

## **SUPERMANprevents class B gene expression and promotes stem cell termination in the fourth whorl ofArabidopsis thalianaflowers**

Nathanaël Prunet, Weibing Yang, Pradeep Das, Elliot M. Meyerowitz,

Thomas P. Jack

### **To cite this version:**

Nathanaël Prunet, Weibing Yang, Pradeep Das, Elliot M. Meyerowitz, Thomas P. Jack. SUPERMANprevents class B gene expression and promotes stem cell termination in the fourth whorl ofArabidopsis thalianaflowers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2017, 114 (27), pp.7166-7171. 10.1073/pnas.1705977114. hal-01592225

## **HAL Id: hal-01592225 <https://hal.science/hal-01592225v1>**

Submitted on 26 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# SUPERMAN prevents class B gene expression and promotes stem cell termination in the fourth whorl of Arabidopsis thaliana flowers

Nathanaël Prunet<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Weibing Yang<sup>c</sup>, Pradeep Das<sup>d</sup>, Elliot M. Meyerowitz<sup>b,1</sup>, and Thomas P. Jack<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755; <sup>b</sup>Division of Biology and Biological Engineering, Howard Hughes Medical Institute and California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125; 'Sainsbury Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1LR, United Kingdom; and <sup>d</sup>Laboratoire de Reproduction et Développement des Plantes, Université Lyon 1, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 69364 Lyon cedex 07, France

Contributed by Elliot M. Meyerowitz, May 19, 2017 (sent for review April 11, 2017; reviewed by Richard G. H. Immink and David Smyth)

The molecular and genetic networks underlying the determination of floral organ identity are well studied, but much less is known about how the flower is partitioned into four developmentally distinct whorls. The SUPERMAN gene is required for proper specification of the boundary between stamens in whorl 3 and carpels in whorl 4, as superman mutants exhibit supernumerary stamens but usually lack carpels. However, it has remained unclear whether extra stamens in superman mutants originate from an organ identity change in whorl 4 or the overproliferation of whorl 3. Using live confocal imaging, we show that the extra stamens in superman mutants arise from cells in whorl 4, which change their fate from female to male, while floral stem cells proliferate longer, allowing for the production of additional stamens.

flower development | boundary formation | floral organ identity | stem cells | SUPERMAN

Most of the body of flowering plants is generated post-<br>embryonically from apical meristems, which are pools of undifferentiated cells at the tips of stems and roots. Divisions in the shoot apical meristem (SAM) allow for the continuous production of lateral organs on the flanks of the stem: leaves during the vegetative phase, then floral meristems (FMs) after the SAM transitions to the reproductive phase. FMs, in turn, generate the floral organs that comprise the flower.

Partition of the organism into distinct tissues and organs is a fundamental process of development in both animals and plants, yet it relies on different mechanisms in each kingdom. In animals, tissue separation is determined by cell surface cues that influence the adhesive properties of cells and their ability to interact with each other (1). Unlike animal cells, however, plant cells are surrounded and connected to their neighbors by contiguous cell walls that prevent them from migrating. As new organs form, they are separated from surrounding tissues by a boundary, which consists of a group of cells with restricted growth that act as a physical barrier separating two different developmental programs (2).

In Arabidopsis thaliana, whereas the SAM gives rise to lateral organs one at a time, in an iterative, spiral pattern, the FM semisynchronously produces 16 floral organs, with four different identities, in four adjacent whorls. Floral organ identity is determined by the combinatorial action of four classes of MADS-box transcription factors, which form distinct complexes in the four floral whorls (3, 4). For instance, a combination of APETALA3 and PISTILLATA (AP3 and PI, class B), together with AGAMOUS (AG, class C) and SEPALLATA3 (SEP3, class E) specifies stamens in whorl 3, whereas complexes composed solely of AG and SEP3 trigger carpel development in whorl 4. Targets of these MADS-box transcription factors have been extensively studied, and downstream regulatory networks have been partially deciphered (4). However, the mechanisms that underlie the patterning of the FM, with the generation of four distinct types of organs in such a constrained space and time, remain poorly understood. In particular, how boundaries between the floral whorls are established is still unclear. Here, we analyze the role of SUPERMAN (SUP) in defining the boundary between whorl 3 stamens and whorl 4 carpels.

SUP encodes a transcriptional repressor with a C2H2 zincfinger DNA-binding domain and an EAR repression domain (5–8), and is expressed at the boundary between whorls 3 and 4 (6, 9). sup mutant flowers have numerous extra stamens, whereas carpel tissue is usually reduced or missing (10, 11). This phenotype is associated with the expansion of AP3 and PI expression closer to the center of the FM compared with the wild type (10). Overall, floral organ number is higher in sup flowers than in the wild type, indicating an increase in cell proliferation in developing sup flower buds. Although SUP was first characterized a quarter century ago, there are still two conflicting models to explain SUP function and the developmental origin of the sup phenotype. Here, we refer to these two models as "whorl 3" and "whorl 4" models, based on the whorl where the extra stamens in sup mutant flowers hypothetically form. The whorl 4 model proposes that SUP functions to prevent ectopic expression of AP3 and PI in whorl 4. According to this model, ectopic AP3/PI expression in whorl 4 of developing sup flowers triggers the formation of stamens instead of carpels, and prolongs cell proliferation in the FM (10, 11). Conversely, the whorl 3 model proposes that SUP controls the balance of cell proliferation between whorl

#### **Significance**

The Arabidopsis thaliana flower is a complex structure that consists of discrete floral organs (sepals, petals, stamens, and carpels) that are separated by regions lacking organ growth called boundaries. The SUPERMAN (SUP) gene functions to define the boundary between the male organs (stamens) and female organs (carpels) in the flower. Previous work on boundary formation in plants has focused on growth repression, rather than on identity separation. Using live confocal imaging, we demonstrate that SUP functions by keeping the male and female developmental programs spatially and temporally separate, which is critical for the fertility of the flower. In addition, we show a second role of SUP in the timely termination of floral stem cells.

Author contributions: N.P., P.D., E.M.M., and T.P.J. designed the experiments; N.P., W.Y., and T.P.J. performed the experiments; N.P. and T.P.J. generated the reporter lines; N.P. performed the live confocal imaging; W.Y. performed the in situ hybridizations; N.P. wrote the paper; and P.D., E.M.M., and T.P.J. edited versions of the paper.

Reviewers: R.G.H.I., Wageningen Plant Research; and D.S., Monash University.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

<sup>1</sup> To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: [nprunet@caltech.edu](mailto:nprunet@caltech.edu) or [meyerow@](mailto:meyerow@caltech.edu) [caltech.edu](mailto:meyerow@caltech.edu).

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental)

3 and 4, and suggests that production of extra stamens in sup mutant flowers results from increased cell proliferation in whorl 3 at the expense of whorl 4 (6, 12). In this study, we used live confocal imaging to investigate the developmental basis of the sup phenotype. We show that extra stamens in sup mutant flowers arise from a subset of whorl 4 cells that switch identity from female to male, as predicted by the whorl 4 model, and that the floral stem cells at the center of the flower, rather than cells in whorl 3, are the source of the overproliferation observed in sup mutants.

#### Results

SUP Is Expressed on Both Sides of the Boundary Between Whorls **3 and 4.** We generated a gSUP-3xVenusN7 translational SUP reporter that complements the sup-1 mutant phenotype. The SUP protein is first detected at stage 3, in cells adjacent to the boundary between whorls 3 and 4, inside of lateral sepal primordia (Fig. 1 A and B; stages as described in ref. 13), and quickly expands to form an oblong ring ∼3 to 4 cells wide, and longer medially than laterally (Fig.  $1 \overline{A}$  and  $C$ ). At early stage 5, SUP is detected on both sides of the boundary between whorls 3 and 4, which at this stage forms a groove between the developing stamen primordia and the center of the flower (Fig. 1D). By late stage 5, SUP expression becomes restricted to a narrower band of cells at the boundary (Fig. 1A).  $gSUP-3xVenusN7$  fluorescence appears to peak at stage 4, before decreasing in intensity during stage 5 and becoming undetectable by late stage 6 (Fig. 1A). Overall, the SUP expression pattern resembles that of AP3, but SUP appears to accumulate closer to the center of the flower (Fig. 1, compare A and E). To determine more precisely where SUP is expressed relative to the boundary between whorls 3 and 4, we monitored the expression of SUP and class B genes simultaneously, using the gSUP-3xVenusN7 reporter together with a gAP3-GFP translational reporter (Fig. 1F), a gPI-GFP [\(Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)) translational reporter, or a pAP3- CFPN7 transcriptional reporter (Fig. 2). SUP expression initiates



Fig. 1. Expression of SUP and AP3 in wild-type flowers. Expression of the gSUP-3xVenusN7 (A–D) and gAP3-GFP (E) reporters separately (A–E), or together  $(F-1)$ . (A, E, and F) Whole inflorescences; numbers indicate floral stages. (B–D and G–I) Flower buds at early stage 3 ( $B$  and  $G$ ), late stage 3 ( $H$ ), stage 4 ( $C$ ), and stage 5 ( $D$  and  $\Lambda$ ). A, E, F, and G and H, Left show maximum intensity projections (MaxIPs). D, Lower; G and H, Right; and I, Lower Left show slice views along horizontal planes. D, Upper and I, Upper Left and Lower Right show slice views along vertical planes. Yellow arrowheads indicate the position of the boundary between whorls 3 and 4, blue arrowheads mark cells that express both AP3 and SUP, and white arrowheads mark cells that express SUP but not AP3. (Scale bars: 25 μm.)

shortly after that of AP3 at stage 3 (Fig.  $1F$ ), and the first cells to express SUP also express AP3 (Fig. 1G), indicating that SUP is initially expressed in whorl 3. However, from late stage 3 on, we observed cells that express SUP but do not express AP3 or PI (Figs. 1 H and I and 2  $E1-F3$  and [Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)), demonstrating that SUP expression expands into whorl 4. At stages 4 and 5, SUP is clearly found on both sides of the boundary between whorls 3 and 4 (Figs. 1*I* and 2  $AI-F3$  and [Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)  $B-D$  $B-D$ ). SUP accumulation overlaps with that of AP3/PI in whorl 3 at the boundaries between stamen primordia (Fig. 2  $B$ ,  $D$ , and  $F1-F3$ , [Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1) $D$ , and [Movie](http://movie-usa.glencoesoftware.com/video/10.1073/pnas.1705977114/video-1) [S1\)](http://movie-usa.glencoesoftware.com/video/10.1073/pnas.1705977114/video-1), and in a narrow, one- to two-cell-wide band on the adaxial side of stamen primordia (Figs. 1*I* and 2 C and  $E1-E3$ , [Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)*D*, and [Movie S1](http://movie-usa.glencoesoftware.com/video/10.1073/pnas.1705977114/video-1)). SUP is also expressed without AP3/PI in another narrow, one- to two-cell-wide band in the outer part of whorl 4 (Figs. 1I and  $2A2$  and  $E1-E3$ , [Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)D, and [Movie S1\)](http://movie-usa.glencoesoftware.com/video/10.1073/pnas.1705977114/video-1). Together, these data clearly show that, contrary to earlier interpretations (6), the SUP protein accumulates on both sides of the boundary between whorl 3 stamens and whorl 4 carpels, and is not confined solely to whorl 3. Double fluorescence in situ hybridization experiments for SUP and AP3 confirmed that this is also the case at the mRNA level [\(Fig. S2\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2). Indeed, SUP protein levels appear higher in whorl 4, where the AP3 and PI proteins do not accumulate (Fig. 2 G1 and H). Similarly, AP3 expression appears stronger in whorl 3 cells that do not express SUP (Fig.  $2 G2$  and I).

To better understand where SUP is expressed relative to the positions where stamen and carpel primordia initiate, we examined plants expressing both the *gSUP-3xVenusN7* reporter and the DORNROSCHEN-LIKE (DRNL) pDRNL-erGFP reporter, which marks floral organ founder cells (Fig.  $S3A$  and B) (14). In particular, DRNL expression in whorl 3 forms a ring at early stage 4 that is reminiscent of AP3 and SUP expression patterns, before being restricted to foci at the sites of stamen initiation at stage 5 [\(Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)A). At that stage, DRNL is also expressed in two

Fig. 2. Overlap between SUP and AP3 expression patterns. All images show wild-type flowers expressing the gSUP-3xVenusN7 and pAP3-CFPN7 reporters; cell walls were stained with propidium iodide (gray); SUP expression is shown in red and AP3 expression in green, except in G1 and G2, where the intensity of the gSUP-3xVenusN7 (G1) and pAP3- CFPN7 (G2) signal is indicated by a fire color code: The brighter the color, the stronger the signal; yellow in A2 and A3 marks the overlap between SUP and AP3 expression, as detected with the Imaris software. (A1–A3) MaxIPs of a stage 4 flower, showing the expression of SUP and AP3 alone (A1), together with the overlap between SUP and AP3 expression (A2), and the overlap between SUP and AP3 expression alone (A3). (B) Slice view of a stage 5 flower along a horizontal plane; yellow arrowheads mark the boundary between two medial stamens. (C and D) MaxIPs of stage 4 flowers. (E1–E3) Views of a vertical optical section along the yellow arrow in C, showing the expression of SUP and AP3 together (E1) or separately (E2 and E3); this section goes through opposite medial stamen primordia. (F1–F3) Views of a vertical optical section along yellow arrow number 1 in D, showing the expression of SUP and AP3 together (F1) or separately (F2 and F3); this slice goes through the boundaries between medial stamens. (G1 and G2) Views of a vertical optical section along yellow arrow number 2 in  $D$ , showing the intensity of the  $gSUP-$ 3xVenusN7 (G1) and gAP3-GFP (G2) signal. Red arrowheads in E1–G2 mark the outer boundary of the SUP expression domain; cyan arrowheads mark the foci in whorl 4, which correspond to the sites of carpel initiation, and in two narrow arcs of cells connecting these foci ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3) B [and](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3) C) (14). SUP and DRNL expression partially overlap in stamen primordia in whorl 3 ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$ ), whereas a narrow ring of SUP accumulation in whorl 4 directly surrounds DRNL expression in carpel founder cells in the center of whorl 4 ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)B).

Extra Stamens in sup-1 Flowers Arise from Whorl 4 Cells. To determine whether the extra stamens in sup mutant flowers arise from whorl 3 or whorl 4, we compared the expression of class B genes in wild-type and sup-1 flowers by using a pAP3-3xVenusN7 transcriptional reporter (Fig. 3) and the  $gAP3\text{-}GFP$  [\(Fig. S4\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4) and gPI-GFP translational reporters [\(Fig. S5](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF5)). At stages 3 and 4, AP3 expression appears similar in the wild type and in sup-1 (Fig. 3, compare A and B and [Fig. S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF4), compare A and B). However, by stage 5, both AP3 and PI are expressed closer to the center of the flower in  $sup-1$  than in the wild type (Fig. 3, compare A and B; and Figs.  $S\overline{4}$ , compare A and B; and  $S\overline{5}$ , compare A and B) (10). Whereas the fourth whorl of wild-type flowers shows no AP3 expression or PI accumulation (Fig. 3 C and E and Fig.  $S5C$ ), a narrow, two-cell-wide band of AP3/PI expression can be seen inside of the boundary between stamen primordia and the center of sup-1 flowers at stage 5 (Fig. 3 D and F and Fig.  $S5D$ ). At stage 6, the whole fourth whorl of wild-type flowers develops into carpel primordia (Fig. 3C) (13). Conversely, in sup-1 flowers, extra stamen primordia only start forming within the ring of extra AP3-expressing cells at stage 7, with a slight delay compared with wild-type carpels (Fig. 3G; stages for sup-1 flowers were determined based on time elapsed after stage 5, which is the last stage at which wild-type and sup-1 flowers are morphologically identical). As these extra stamens develop, AP3 expression spreads again beyond the boundary of the primordia toward the center of sup-1 flowers, forming another narrow ring of AP3-expressing cells, which later gives rise to



inner boundary of AP3 expression domain. Thus, the area between the arrows marks the expression overlap of SUP and AP3. (H and I) Apical (Left) and lateral (Right) views of a stage 4 flower bud showing the nuclei expressing SUP (H) and AP3 (I) as detected with Imaris, with expression intensity indicated by color codes. (Scale bars: 20 μm.)

more stamen primordia (Fig. 3K). This iterative process allows for the formation of several consecutive rings of stamens, sometimes resulting in flowers with more than 20 stamens. It is worth noting that AP3 is never expressed throughout the center of sup-1 flowers, which eventually develop into stunted, misshapen carpels or chimeric stamen/carpel organs (10, 11). Accordingly, organ primordia, composed both of cells that express AP3 and cells that do not, can often be seen in the center of developing sup-1 flowers (Fig.  $S6A$  and B).

We sought to establish whether the extra  $AP3$ -expressing cells in stage 5 sup-1 flowers derive from whorl 4 cells that change identity, or from whorl 3 cells that overproliferate. The ring of extra AP3-expressing cells in sup-1 flowers looks similar to the ring of SUP-expressing cells in whorl 4 of wild-type flowers (compare Fig.  $3F$  to Fig. 1 D and I), suggesting that the loss of SUP function might cause ectopic expression of AP3 in these cells. Using time-lapse imaging of sup-1 pAP3-3xVenusN7 flower buds, we identified numerous individual cells at the boundary between whorls 3 and 4 that do not express AP3 at stage 4 but begin to express  $AP3$  de novo at stage 5 (Fig. 3, compare H and I). These cells that switch identity from female-fated, non–AP3 expressing cells to male-fated, AP3-expressing cells are situated inside of the boundary between whorl 3 stamens and the center of the flower, indicating that they belong to whorl 4 (Fig. 3J). These data clearly show that the extra *AP3*-expressing cells in *sup*-1 flowers originate from whorl 4 cells that switch fate from female

to male, rather than from whorl 3 cells that overproliferate, and supports the whorl 4 model.

Stem Cell Termination Is Delayed in sup-1 Flowers. The respecification of a small ring of cells in the fourth whorl of sup-1 flowers at stage 5 is not sufficient to explain the formation of so many supernumerary stamens. The iterative production of rings of extra stamens in the fourth whorl of *sup-1* flowers requires an increase or prolongation of cell proliferation compared with the wild type. To test whether cells in the floral meristem are the source of overproliferation in sup-1 mutants, we monitored the expression of stem cell marker CLAVATA3 (CLV3) and stem cell-promoting gene WUSCHEL (WUS) by using pCLV3-erGFP (15) and pWUS-erGFP transcriptional reporters. CLV3 expression persists in wild-type flowers through stage 6 (Fig. 4A) (16), but is no longer detectable at stage 7, as stem cells are incorporated into developing carpels (Fig. 4B). Conversely, we observed CLV3 expression as late as stage 10 in a small dome at the center of sup-1 flowers, after several extra stamens have formed (Fig. 4C). Stem cell termination is thus clearly delayed in sup-1 flowers compared with the wild type. Similarly, WUS expression stops by stage 5 in wild-type flowers (17), but is maintained much longer in some sup-1 flowers (Fig. 4D), indicating that a bona fide FM remains functional in sup-1 flowers longer than it does in the wild type.  $AG$  is responsible for triggering stem cell termination in wild-type flowers by turning off the expression of WUS (18–21), and most mutants with a delay or loss



Fig. 3. Expression of AP3 in wild-type and sup-1 flowers. Expression of the pAP3-3xVenusN7 reporter in the wild type  $(A, C, and E)$  and sup-1  $(B, D, and)$  $F-K$ ). (A and B) Whole inflorescences; numbers indicate floral stages. (C and D) Stage 5 flowers after removal of medial sepals; ca, carpel; ls, lateral sepal, covering lateral stamen; ms, medial stamen; dotted blue lines mark the boundary between whorl 3 stamens and the center of the flower. (E and F) Stage 5 flowers, slice views along horizontal planes (Lower) and vertical planes (Upper); white arrowheads mark the boundary between whorl 3 stamens and the center of the flower. (G) Four-day time lapse of a single sup-1 flower between stages 7 and 9; white asterisks mark extra stamen primordia. (H and I) Two-day time lapse of an individual sup-1 flower between stages 4 (H) and 5 (I); Left show a lateral view of the flower, with a segmented projection of the L1 layer in the center; Right show a close-up of the same area on each day; red numbers mark cells that do not express AP3 at stage 4, but express AP3 at stage 5; asterisks indicate divisions that occurred between stages 4 and 5. (J) MaxIP (Left) and slice view along vertical planes (Right) of the flower shown in  *white arrowheads mark the boundary between* whorl 3 stamens and the center of the flower.  $(K)$  MaxIP (Left) and slice view along the vertical planes (Right) of a stage 8 sup-1 flower; white asterisks mark extra stamen primordia; white arrowheads and dashed blue lines mark the boundary between extra stamen primordia and the center of the flower. (Scale bars: 20  $μ$ m.)

of floral stem cell termination have defects in AG expression (4). We thus used the  $gAG-GFP$  reporter (22) to compare the expression of AG in wild-type and sup-1 flowers, and AG expression appears unaffected in  $sup-1$  flowers (Fig. 4, compare E and F and G and  $H$ ) (10), suggesting that an AG-independent mechanism is responsible for the delay in stem cell termination in sup-1 flowers. SUP affects floral stem cells noncell-autonomously, as the SUP and CLV3 expression domains are separated by a narrow, one- to two-cell-wide ring (Fig. 4  $I$  and  $J$ ). Indeed, this ring of cells separating the SUP and CLV3 expression domains expresses DRNL and likely corresponds to the carpel founder cells (Fig.  $S3$  D and E). However, the SUP expression domain tightly surrounds that of WUS, with a few cells expressing both genes, suggesting that the effect of SUP on stem cells may be mediated by WUS (Fig. 4K and [Movie S2\)](http://movie-usa.glencoesoftware.com/video/10.1073/pnas.1705977114/video-2).

#### Discussion

It is worth noting that several studies have shown that ectopic expression of SUP causes a decrease in cell proliferation (5, 23– 25), which was interpreted as evidence in support of the whorl 3 model. It is not surprising, however, for a boundary gene to control cell proliferation, as cell division rates are lower at boundaries, including the boundary between stamens and carpels, than in developing organs (2, 26). For instance, RABBIT EARS (RBE), which encodes a C2H2 zinc-finger protein related to SUP, specifies the boundary between whorls 2 and 3 by excluding  $\overline{AG}$  from whorl 2 (27, 28), and also specifies the intersepal boundaries by regulating cell proliferation in whorl 1 via the miR164/CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON (CUC) module (29).

Similarly, a role for SUP in the control of cell proliferation does not exclude the possibility that SUP also affects AP3/PI expression. Moreover, rates of cell proliferation on either side of the boundary between whorls 3 and 4 appear unaffected in sup-1 flowers compared with the wild type (26), contrary to the predictions of the whorl 3 model.

Our data confirm, instead, the predictions of the whorl 4 model. Specifically, we show that the extra stamens in sup mutant flowers arise from a narrow ring of cells in the outer part of whorl 4, adjacent to the boundary with whorl 3, which change identity from female to male at the transition between stages 4 and 5, and start expressing AP3 de novo (Fig. 3). Cells in this ring then divide, allowing for the formation of extra stamens. The *sup* phenotype was initially described as heterochronic, *sup* flowers being "stuck in developmental time" (10, 11). The sup phenotype is indeed iterative: as extra stamen primordia arise, the lack of functional SUP at the inner boundary of these stamens causes AP3 expression to spread again toward the center of the flower (Fig. 3K), allowing for the formation of additional stamens. Even as several rings of extra stamens form one after the other, the center of the flower, which is still devoid of AP3 expression, is replenished by the floral stem cells, which are maintained longer in sup flowers than in the wild type (Fig. 4). Eventually, the center of sup flowers differentiates into stunted carpels or mosaic, stamen-carpel organs [\(Fig. S6\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF6). The fact that  $SU\ddot{P}$  is expressed in the fourth whorl of wild-type flowers, in the same cells that express  $AP3$  in the fourth whorl of sup flowers (Figs. 1 D and I and 3 D and F), suggests that  $SUP$  cell-autonomously represses AP3 expression in the outer part of whorl 4. Whether



Fig. 4. SUP promotes stem cell termination noncell-autonomously, and independently of AG expression. (A-C) Expression of the pCLV3-erGFP reporter in stage 6 (A) and 7 (B) wild-type flowers, and in a stage 10 sup-1 flower (C); Upper Left show MaxIPs, with GFP fluorescence detected with Imaris; Lower Left and Upper Right show slice views along the xz and yz planes, respectively; c, carpel; s, whorl 3 stamen. (D) Expression of the pWUS-erGFP reporter in a stage 8 sup-1 flower. Asterisks in C and D mark extra stamen primordia. (E-H) Expression of the gAG-GFP reporter in stage 4 (E and F) and 5 (G and H) wild-type (E and G) and sup-1 (F and H) flowers. (I) Expression of the gAP3-GFP (green), gSUP-3xVenusN7 (red), and pCLV3-dsRedN7 (blue) reporters in a wild-type inflorescence; numbers indicate floral stages. (J) Optical sections of a stage 5 flower expressing the gSUP-3xVenusN7 (green) and pCLV3-dsRedN7 (red) reporters; cell walls were stained with propidium iodide (gray); Lower Left shows a horizontal section, Upper Left and Lower Right show vertical sections. (K) Optical sections of an early stage 5 flower expressing the gSUP-3xVenusN7 (green) and pWUS-dsRedN7 (red) reporters; Lower Left shows a horizontal section, Upper Left and Lower Right show vertical sections; white arrowheads indicate nuclei that express both reporters. (Scale bars: 20  $\mu$ m.)

such a repression is direct or indirect, however, remains unknown. Conversely, SUP affects floral stem cells noncell-autonomously [the fully complementing gSUP-3xVenusN7 construct encodes a protein that exceeds the size exclusion limit for passage through plasmodesmata (30), showing that the SUP protein does not need to migrate from cell to cell to accomplish its function], and independently of AG expression (Fig. 4). KNUCKLES (KNU), which encodes a C2H2 zinc-finger protein closely related to SUP, also promotes the termination of floral stem cells by repressing WUS (21). However, while the expression of SUP and WUS shows a long, but only minor spatial overlap, the expression of KNU and WUS shows a full, but very transient spatial overlap, as the onset of KNU expression at stage 6 directly correlates with the arrest of WUS expression (21). KNU likely represses WUS expression directly, and it is possible that SUP also represses WUS directly, but this could be the case only at the periphery of WUS expression domain. Overall, the effect of SUP on WUS is largely noncell-autonomous, suggesting that SUP does not regulate WUS expression directly.

Over the last two decades, considerable progress has been made on the understanding of the mechanisms that underlie the formation of boundaries between different organs and between organs and the meristem, both in the SAM and the FM (2, 4). Numerous genes have been characterized, with some, like the CUC genes, involved in the formation of all boundaries, and some,

- 1. Fagotto F (2014) The cellular basis of tissue separation. Development 141:3303–3318. 2. Aida M, Tasaka M (2006) Morphogenesis and patterning at the organ boundaries in
- the higher plant shoot apex. Plant Mol Biol 60:915–928. 3. Krizek BA, Fletcher JC (2005) Molecular mechanisms of flower development: An armchair guide. Nat Rev Genet 6:688–698.
- 4. Prunet N, Jack TP (2014) Flower development in Arabidopsis: There is more to it than learning your ABCs. Methods Mol Biol 1110:3–33.
- 5. Hiratsu K, Ohta M, Matsui K, Ohme-Takagi M (2002) The SUPERMAN protein is an active repressor whose carboxy-terminal repression domain is required for the development of normal flowers. FEBS Lett 514:351–354.
- 6. Sakai H, Medrano LJ, Meyerowitz EM (1995) Role of SUPERMAN in maintaining Arabidopsis floral whorl boundaries. Nature 378:199–203.
- 7. Dathan N, et al. (2002) The Arabidopsis SUPERMAN protein is able to specifically bind DNA through its single Cys2-His2 zinc finger motif. Nucleic Acids Res 30:4945–4951.
- 8. Hiratsu K, Mitsuda N, Matsui K, Ohme-Takagi M (2004) Identification of the minimal repression domain of SUPERMAN shows that the DLELRL hexapeptide is both necessary and sufficient for repression of transcription in Arabidopsis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 321:172–178.
- 9. Ito T, Sakai H, Meyerowitz EM (2003) Whorl-specific expression of the SUPERMAN gene of Arabidopsis is mediated by cis elements in the transcribed region. Curr Biol 13:1524–1530.
- 10. Bowman JL, et al. (1992) SUPERMAN, a regulator of floral homeotic genes in Arabidopsis. Development 114:599–615.
- 11. Schultz EA, Pickett FB, Haughn GW (1991) The FLO10 gene product regulates the expression domain of homeotic genes AP3 and PI in Arabidopsis flowers. Plant Cell 3: 1221–1237.
- 12. Sakai H, Krizek BA, Jacobsen SE, Meyerowitz EM (2000) Regulation of SUP expression identifies multiple regulators involved in arabidopsis floral meristem development. Plant Cell 12:1607–1618.
- 13. Smyth DR, Bowman JL, Meyerowitz EM (1990) Early flower development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2:755–767.
- 14. Chandler JW, Jacobs B, Cole M, Comelli P, Werr W (2011) DORNRÖSCHEN-LIKE expression marks Arabidopsis floral organ founder cells and precedes auxin response maxima. Plant Mol Biol 76:171–185.
- 15. Reddy GV, Meyerowitz EM (2005) Stem-cell homeostasis and growth dynamics can be uncoupled in the Arabidopsis shoot apex. Science 310:663–667.
- 16. Fletcher JC, Brand U, Running MP, Simon R, Meyerowitz EM (1999) Signaling of cell fate decisions by CLAVATA3 in Arabidopsis shoot meristems. Science 283:1911–1914.
- 17. Mayer KF, et al. (1998) Role of WUSCHEL in regulating stem cell fate in the Arabidopsis shoot meristem. Cell 95:805–815.

like *SUP* or *RBE*, involved in the formation of specific boundaries in the flower. However, most of these genes are associated with growth suppression, and not, like SUP, with the separation of different identities on either side of the boundary (2, 4). This study provides insights into how a boundary gene partitions two different developmental programs in adjacent organs.

#### Methods

Inflorescences were prepared for imaging as described in refs. 31 and 32. Fluorescence was monitored using LSM-780 (Carl Zeiss) and A1RSi (Nikon) confocal microscopes, and images were processed with the Zen (Zeiss), NISelements (Nikon), FiJi, Imaris (Bitplane), and MorphoGraphX software. Pictures of whole inflorescences and stage 9 flower buds, which were too large to image in a single objective field, were composed by combining overlapping Z-stacks of the same specimen. Figures were composed with Adobe Photoshop CS6. Detailed information on plant material, construction of re-porter lines, and in situ hybridization is provided in [SI Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1705977114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201705977SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank John Chandler and Paul Tarr for providing reporter lines, Toshiro Ito and Frank Wellmer for critical reading of the manuscript, and Ann Lavanway for her tremendous help with live confocal imaging. Funding in the T.P.J. Laboratory was provided by National Science Foundation Grant IOS-0926347; and funding in the E.M.M. Laboratory was provided by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, the National Institutes of Health Grant R01 GM104244, and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation through Grant GBMF3406.

- 18. Lenhard M, Bohnert A, Jürgens G, Laux T (2001) Termination of stem cell maintenance in Arabidopsis floral meristems by interactions between WUSCHEL and AGAMOUS. Cell 105:805–814.
- 19. Lohmann JU, et al. (2001) A molecular link between stem cell regulation and floral patterning in Arabidopsis. Cell 105:793–803.
- 20. Liu X, et al. (2011) AGAMOUS terminates floral stem cell maintenance in Arabidopsis by directly repressing WUSCHEL through recruitment of Polycomb Group proteins. Plant Cell 23:3654–3670.
- 21. Sun B, Xu Y, Ng KH, Ito T (2009) A timing mechanism for stem cell maintenance and differentiation in the Arabidopsis floral meristem. Genes Dev 23:1791–1804.
- 22. Urbanus SL, et al. (2009) In planta localisation patterns of MADS domain proteins during floral development in Arabidopsis thaliana. BMC Plant Biol 9:5.
- 23. Bereterbide A, Hernould M, Castera S, Mouras A (2001) Inhibition of cell proliferation, cell expansion and differentiation by the Arabidopsis SUPERMAN gene in transgenic tobacco plants. Planta 214:22–29.
- 24. Nandi AK, Kushalappa K, Prasad K, Vijayraghavan U (2000) A conserved function for Arabidopsis SUPERMAN in regulating floral-whorl cell proliferation in rice, a monocotyledonous plant. Curr Biol 10:215–218.
- 25. Yun JY, Weigel D, Lee I (2002) Ectopic expression of SUPERMAN suppresses development of petals and stamens. Plant Cell Physiol 43:52–57.
- 26. Breuil-Broyer S, et al. (2004) High-resolution boundary analysis during Arabidopsis thaliana flower development. Plant J 38:182–192.
- 27. Krizek BA, Lewis MW, Fletcher JC (2006) RABBIT EARS is a second-whorl repressor of AGAMOUS that maintains spatial boundaries in Arabidopsis flowers. Plant J 45: 369–383.
- 28. Takeda S, Matsumoto N, Okada K (2004) RABBIT EARS, encoding a SUPERMAN-like zinc finger protein, regulates petal development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Development 131: 425–434.
- 29. Huang T, López-Giráldez F, Townsend JP, Irish VF (2012) RBE controls microRNA164 expression to effect floral organogenesis. Development 139:2161–2169.
- 30. Kim I, Kobayashi K, Cho E, Zambryski PC (2005) Subdomains for transport via plasmodesmata corresponding to the apical-basal axis are established during Arabidopsis embryogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:11945–11950.
- 31. Prunet N, Jack TP, Meyerowitz EM (2016) Live confocal imaging of Arabidopsis flower buds. Dev Biol 419:114–120.
- 32. Prunet N (2017) Live confocal imaging of developing Arabidopsis flowers. J Vis Exp 122:e55156.
- 33. Yang W, et al. (2016) Regulation of meristem morphogenesis by cell wall synthases in Arabidopsis. Curr Biol 26:1404–1415.