



HAL
open science

Kirillov's orbit method: the case of discrete series representations

Paul-Emile Paradan

► **To cite this version:**

Paul-Emile Paradan. Kirillov's orbit method: the case of discrete series representations. 2017. hal-01580580

HAL Id: hal-01580580

<https://hal.science/hal-01580580>

Preprint submitted on 1 Sep 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Kirillov's orbit method: the case of discrete series representations

Paul-Emile PARADAN *

September 1, 2017

Abstract

Let π be a discrete series representation of a real semi-simple Lie group G' and let G be a semi-simple subgroup of G' . In this paper, we give a geometric expression of the G -multiplicities in $\pi|_G$ when the representation π is G -admissible.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Restriction of discrete series representations	4
2.1	Admissible coadjoint orbits	5
2.2	Spinor representation	6
2.3	Restriction to the maximal compact subgroup	7
2.4	Admissibility	9
3	Spin^c quantization of compact Hamiltonian manifolds	11
3.1	Spin^c structures	11
3.2	Spin^c -prequantization	12
3.3	Spin^c quantization of compact manifolds	13
3.4	Quantization commutes with reduction	14
4	Spin^c quantization of non-compact Hamiltonian manifolds	15
4.1	Formal geometric quantization : definition	16
4.2	Formal geometric quantization: main properties	18

*Institut Montpellierain Alexander Grothendieck, Université de Montpellier, CNRS
paul-emile.paradan@umontpellier.fr

5	Spin^c quantization of G-Hamiltonian manifolds	19
5.1	Proper ² Hamiltonian G -manifolds	19
5.2	Spin ^c -quantization of proper ² Hamiltonian G -manifolds	21
5.3	Spin ^c -quantization: main results	22
5.4	Proof of the main Theorem	27

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned by a central problem of non-commutative harmonic analysis : given a unitary irreducible representation π of a Lie group G' , how does π decomposes when restricted to a closed subgroup $G \subset G'$? We analyse this problem for Harish-Chandra discrete series representations of a connected real semi-simple Lie group G' with finite center, relatively to a connected real semi-simple subgroup G (also with finite center).

We start with Harish-Chandra parametrization of the discrete series representations. We can attach an unitary irreducible representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ of the group G' to any regular admissible elliptic coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}' \subset (\mathfrak{g}')^*$, and Schmid proved that the representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ could be realize as the quantization of the orbit \mathcal{O}' [34, 35]. This is a vast generalization of Borel-Weil-Bott's construction of finite dimensional representations of compact Lie groups. In the following, we denote \widehat{G}_d and \widehat{G}'_d the sets of regular admissible elliptic coadjoint orbits of our connected real semi-simple Lie groups G and G' .

One of the rule of Kirillov's orbit method [13] is concerned with the functoriality relatively to inclusion $G \hookrightarrow G'$ of closed subgroups. It means that, starting with discrete series representations representations $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ attached to regular admissible elliptic orbits $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathcal{O}' \subset (\mathfrak{g}')^*$, one expects that the multiplicity of $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G$ in the restriction $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}|_G$ can be computed geometrically in terms of the space

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{O}' // \mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}' \cap p_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'}^{-1}(\mathcal{O})/G,$$

where $p_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'} : (\mathfrak{g}')^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ denotes the canonical projection. One recognises that (1.1) is a symplectic reduced space in the sense of Marsden-Weinstein, since $p_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'} : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is the moment map relative to the Hamiltonian action of G on \mathcal{O}' .

In other words, Kirillov's orbit method tells us that the branching laws $[\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G : \pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}]$ should be compute geometrically. So far, the following special cases have been achieved :

1. $G \subset G'$ are compact. In the 1980s, Guillemin and Sternberg [8] studied the geometric quantization of general G -equivariant compact Kähler

manifolds. They proved the ground-breaking result that the multiplicities of this G -representation are calculated in terms of geometric quantizations of the symplectic reduced spaces. This phenomenon, which has been the center of many research and generalisations [22, 23, 37, 24, 21, 26, 33, 31, 10], is called nowadays “quantization commutes with reduction” (in short, “[Q,R]=0”).

2. G is a compact subgroup of G' . In [25], we used the Blattner formula to see that the [Q,R]=0 phenomenon holds in this context when G is a maximal compact subgroup. Duflo-Vergne have generalized this result for any compact subgroup [7]. Recently, Hochs-Song-Wu have shown that the [Q,R]=0 phenomenon holds for any tempered representation of G' relatively to a maximal compact subgroup [11].

3. $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ is an holomorphic discrete series. We prove that the [Q,R]=0 phenomenon holds with some assumption on G [29].

However, one can observe that the restriction of $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ with respect to G may have a wild behavior in general, even if G is a maximal reductive subgroup in G' (see [15]).

In [15, 16, 17] T. Kobayashi singles out a nice class of branching problems where each G -irreducible summand of $\pi|_G$ occurs discretely with finite multiplicity : the restriction $\pi|_G$ is called G -admissible.

So we focus our attention to a discrete series $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ that admit an admissible restriction relatively to G . It is well-known that we have then an Hilbertian direct sum decomposition

$$\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}|_G = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d} m_{\mathcal{O}'}^{\mathcal{O}} \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G$$

where the multiplicities $m_{\mathcal{O}'}^{\mathcal{O}}$ are finite.

We will use the following geometrical characterization of the G -admissibility obtained by Duflo and Vargas [5, 6].

Proposition 1.1 *The representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ is G -admissible if and only if the restriction of the map $p_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'}$ to the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}' is a proper map.*

Let $(\mathcal{O}', \mathcal{O}) \in \widehat{G}'_d \times \widehat{G}_d$. Let us explain how we can quantize the compact symplectic reduced space $\mathcal{O}'//\mathcal{O}$ when the map $p_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'} : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is proper.

If \mathcal{O} belongs to the set of regular values of $p_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'} : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, then $\mathcal{O}'//\mathcal{O}$ is a compact symplectic orbifold equipped with a spin^c structure. We denote $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}'//\mathcal{O}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ the index of the corresponding spin^c Dirac operator.

In general, we consider an elliptic coadjoint \mathcal{O}_ϵ closed enough¹ to \mathcal{O} , so that $\mathcal{O}'//\mathcal{O}_\epsilon$ is a compact symplectic orbifold equipped with a spin^c structure. Let $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}'//\mathcal{O}_\epsilon) \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the index of the corresponding spin^c Dirac operator. The crucial fact is that the quantity $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}'//\mathcal{O}_\epsilon)$ does not depend on the choice of generic and small enough ϵ . Then we take

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}'//\mathcal{O}) := \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}'//\mathcal{O}_\epsilon)$$

for generic and small enough ϵ .

The main result of this article is the following

Theorem 1.2 *Let $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ be a discrete series representation of G' attached to a regular admissible elliptic coadjoint orbits \mathcal{O}' . If $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ is G -admissible we have the Hilbertian direct sum*

$$(1.2) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}|_G = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \hat{G}_d} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}'//\mathcal{O}) \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G.$$

In other words the multiplicity $[\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G : \pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}]$ is equal to $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}'//\mathcal{O})$.

In a forthcoming paper we will study Equality (1.2) in further details when G is a symmetric subgroup of G' .

Theorem 1.2 give a positive answer to a conjecture of Duflo-Vargas.

Theorem 1.3 *Let $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ be a discrete series representation of G' that is G -admissible. Then all the representations $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G$ which occurs in $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ belongs to a unique family of discrete series representations of G .*

2 Restriction of discrete series representations

Let G be a connected real semi-simple Lie group G with finite center. A discrete series representation of G is an irreducible unitary representation that is isomorphic to a sub-representation of the left regular representation in $L^2(G)$. We denote \hat{G}_d the set of isomorphism class of discrete series representation of G .

We know after Harish-Chandra that \hat{G}_d is non-empty only if G has a compact Cartan subgroup. We denote $K \subset G$ a maximal compact subgroup and we suppose that G admits a compact Cartan subgroup $T \subset K$. The Lie algebras of the groups T, K, G are denoted respectively $\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{k}$ and \mathfrak{g} .

In this section we recall well-know facts concerning restriction of discrete series representations.

¹The precise meaning will be explain in Section 5.2.

2.1 Admissible coadjoint orbits

Here we recall the parametrization of \widehat{G}_d in terms of regular admissible elliptic coadjoint orbits. Let us fix some notations. We denote $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ the weight lattice: any $\mu \in \Lambda$ defines a 1-dimensional representation \mathbb{C}_μ of the torus T .

Let $\mathfrak{R}_c \subset \mathfrak{R} \subset \Lambda$ be respectively the set of (real) roots for the action of T on $\mathfrak{k} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The non-compact roots are those belonging to the set $\mathfrak{R}_n := \mathfrak{R} \setminus \mathfrak{R}_c$. We choose a system of positive roots \mathfrak{R}_c^+ for \mathfrak{R}_c , we denote by \mathfrak{t}_+^* the corresponding Weyl chamber. Recall that $\Lambda \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ is the set of dominant weights.

We denote by B the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} . It induces a scalar product (denoted by $(-, -)$) on \mathfrak{t} , and then on \mathfrak{t}^* . An element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ is called *G-regular* if $(\lambda, \alpha) \neq 0$ for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$, or equivalently, if the stabilizer subgroup of λ in G is T . For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ we denote

$$\rho(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}, (\alpha, \lambda) > 0} \alpha.$$

We denote also $\rho_c := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_c^+} \alpha$.

Definition 2.1 1. A coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ is elliptic if $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{t}^* \neq \emptyset$.

2. An elliptic coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O} is admissible² when $\lambda - \rho(\lambda) \in \Lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{t}^*$.

Harish-Chandra has parametrized \widehat{G}_d by the set of regular admissible elliptic coadjoint orbits of G . In order to simplify our notation, we denote \widehat{G}_d the set of regular admissible elliptic coadjoint orbits. For an orbit $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d$ we denote $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G$ the corresponding discrete series representation of G .

Consider the subset $(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)_{se} := \{\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*, (\xi, \alpha) \neq 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_n\}$ of the Weyl chamber. The subscript means *strongly elliptic*, see Section 5.1. By definition any $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d$ intersects $(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)_{se}$ in a unique point.

Definition 2.2 The connected component $(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)_{se}$ are called chambers. If \mathcal{C} is a chamber, we denote $\widehat{G}_d(\mathcal{C}) \subset \widehat{G}_d$ the subset of regular admissible elliptic orbits intersecting \mathcal{C} .

²Duflo has defined a notion of admissible coadjoint orbits in a much broader context [4].

Notice that the Harish-Chandra parametrization has still a meaning when $G = K$ is a compact connected Lie group. In this case \widehat{K} corresponds to the set of regular admissible coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$, i.e. those of the form $\mathcal{P} = K\mu$ where $\mu - \rho_c \in \Lambda \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$: the corresponding representation $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}^K$ is the irreducible representation of K with highest weight $\mu - \rho_c$.

2.2 Spinor representation

Let \mathfrak{p} be the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{g} : the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} defines a K -invariant Euclidean structure on it. Note that \mathfrak{p} is even dimensional since the groups G and K have the same rank.

We consider the two-fold cover $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \text{SO}(\mathfrak{p})$ and the morphism $K \rightarrow \text{SO}(\mathfrak{p})$. We recall the following basic fact.

Lemma 2.3 *There exists a unique covering $\tilde{K} \rightarrow K$ such that*

1. \tilde{K} is a compact connected Lie group,
2. the morphism $K \rightarrow \text{SO}(\mathfrak{p})$ lifts to a morphism $\tilde{K} \rightarrow \text{Spin}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ be a regular element and consider

$$(2.3) \quad \rho_n(\xi) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_n, (\alpha, \xi) > 0} \alpha.$$

Note that

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda \bigcup \{\rho_n(\xi) + \Lambda\}$$

is a lattice that does not depends on the choice of ξ .

Let $T \subset K$ be a maximal torus and $\tilde{T} \subset \tilde{K}$ be the pull-back of T relatively to the covering $\tilde{K} \rightarrow K$. We can now precise Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4 *Two situations occur:*

1. if $\rho_n(\xi) \in \Lambda$ then $\tilde{K} \rightarrow K$ and $\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$ are isomorphisms, and $\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda$.
2. if $\rho_n(\xi) \notin \Lambda$ then $\tilde{K} \rightarrow K$ and $\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$ are two-fold covers, and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is the lattice of weights for \tilde{T} .

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the spinor representation of the group $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{p})$. Let $\mathbf{c} : \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be the Clifford action. Let o be an orientation on \mathfrak{p} . If $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{\dim \mathfrak{p}}$ is an oriented orthonormal base of \mathfrak{p} we define the element

$$\epsilon_o := (i)^{\dim \mathfrak{p}/2} e_1 e_2 \cdots e_{\dim \mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Cl}(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

that depends only of the orientation. We have $\epsilon_o^2 = -1$ and $\epsilon_o v = -v\epsilon$ for any $v \in \mathfrak{p}$. The element $\mathbf{c}(\epsilon_o)$ determines a decomposition $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+,o} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-,o}$ into irreducible representations $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\pm,o} = \ker(\mathbf{c}(\epsilon_o) \mp Id)$ of $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{p})$. We denote

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^o := \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+,o} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-,o}$$

the corresponding virtual representation of \tilde{K} .

Remark 2.5 *If o and o' are two orientations on \mathfrak{p} , we have $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^o = \pm \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o'}$, where the sign \pm is the ratio between o and o' .*

Example 2.6 *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{k}$ such that the map $\text{ad}(\lambda) : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is one to one. We get a symplectic form Ω_{λ} on \mathfrak{p} defined by the relations $\Omega_{\lambda}(X, Y) = \langle \lambda, [X, Y] \rangle$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}$. We denote $o(\lambda)$ be the orientation of \mathfrak{p} defined by the top form $\Omega_{\lambda}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}/2}$.*

2.3 Restriction to the maximal compact subgroup

We start with a definition.

Definition 2.7 • *We denote $\hat{R}(G, d)$ the group formed by the formal (possibly infinite) sums*

$$\sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \hat{G}_d} a_{\mathcal{O}} \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G$$

where $a_{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

• *Similarly we denote $\hat{R}(K)$ the group formed by the formal (possibly infinite) sums $\sum_{\mathcal{P} \in \hat{K}} a_{\mathcal{P}} \pi_{\mathcal{P}}^K$ where $a_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

The following technical fact will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 2.8 *Let o be an orientation on \mathfrak{p} .*

- *The restriction morphism $V \mapsto V|_K$ defines a map $\hat{R}(G, d) \rightarrow \hat{R}(K)$.*
- *The map $\mathbf{r}^o : \hat{R}(G, d) \rightarrow \hat{R}(\tilde{K})$ defined by $\mathbf{r}^o(V) := V|_K \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^o$ is one to one.*

Proof. When $\mathcal{O} = G\lambda \in \hat{G}_d$, with $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, we denote $c_{\mathcal{O}}^G = \|\lambda + \rho(\lambda)\|$. Similarly when $\mathcal{P} = K\mu \in \hat{K}$, with $\mu - \rho_c \in \Lambda \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, we denote $c_{\mathcal{P}}^K = \|\mu + \rho_c\|$. Note that for each $r > 0$ the set $\{\mathcal{O} \in \hat{G}_d, c_{\mathcal{O}}^G \leq r\}$ is finite.

Consider now the restriction of a discrete series representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G$ relatively to K . The Blattner's formula [9] tells us that the restriction $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G|_K$ admits a decomposition

$$\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G|_K = \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{K}} m_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P}) \pi_{\mathcal{P}}^K$$

where the (finite) multiplicities $m_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P})$ are non-zero only if $c_{\mathcal{P}}^K \geq c_{\mathcal{O}}^G$.

Consider now an element $V = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d} a_{\mathcal{O}} \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G \in \widehat{R}(G, d)$. The multiplicity of $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}^K$ in $V|_K$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d} a_{\mathcal{O}} m_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P}).$$

Here the sum admits a finite number of non zero terms since $m_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P}) = 0$ if $c_{\mathcal{O}}^G > c_{\mathcal{P}}^K$. So we have proved that the K -multiplicities of $V|_K := \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d} a_{\mathcal{O}} \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G|_K$ are finite. The first point is proved.

The irreducible representation of \widehat{K} are parametrized by the set \widehat{K} of regular \widehat{K} -admissible coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$, i.e. those of the form $\mathcal{P} = K\mu$ where $\mu - \rho_c \in \widetilde{\Lambda} \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$. It contains the set \widehat{K} of regular K -admissible coadjoint orbits. We define

$$\widehat{K}_{out} \subset \widehat{K}$$

as the set of coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{P} = K\mu$ where³ $\mu - \rho_c \in \{\rho_n(\xi) + \Lambda\} \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$. Here ξ is any regular element of \mathfrak{t}^* and $\rho_n(\xi)$ is defined by (2.3).

We notice that $\widehat{K}_{out} = \widehat{K}$ when $\widehat{K} \simeq K$ and that $\widehat{K} = \widehat{K} \cup \widehat{K}_{out}$ when $\widehat{K} \rightarrow K$ is a two-fold cover.

We will use the following basic facts.

Lemma 2.9

1. $\mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{O}_K := \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{k}^*$ defines an injective map between \widehat{G}_d and \widehat{K}_{out} .
2. We have $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G|_K \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathcal{O}} = \pm \pi_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{\widehat{K}}$ for all $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O} := G\lambda \in \widehat{G}_d$ where λ is a regular element of the Weyl chamber \mathfrak{t}_+^* . Then $\mathcal{O}_K = K\lambda$ and the term $\lambda - \rho_c$ is equal to the sum $\lambda - \rho(\lambda) + \rho_n(\lambda)$ where $\lambda - \rho(\lambda) \in \Lambda$ and $\rho_n(\lambda) \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$ (see (2.4)), so $\lambda - \rho_c \in \{\rho_n(\xi) + \Lambda\}$. The element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ is regular and admissible for \widehat{K} : this implies that $\lambda - \rho_c \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*$. We have proved that $\mathcal{O}_K \in \widehat{K}_{out}$.

³The set $\{\rho_n(\xi) + \Lambda\} \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$ does not depend on the choice of ξ .

The second point is a classical result (a generalisation is given in Theorem 5.7). Let us explain the sign \pm in the relation. Let $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{k}^*$. Then the sign \pm is the ratio between the orientations o and $o(-\lambda)$ of the vector space \mathfrak{p} (see Example 2.6).

We can now finish the proof of the second point of Proposition 2.8. If $V = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d} a_{\mathcal{O}} \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G \in \widehat{R}(G, d)$, then $\mathbf{r}^o(V) = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d} \pm a_{\mathcal{O}} \pi_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{\tilde{K}}$. Hence $\mathbf{r}^o(V) = 0$ only if $V = 0$. \square

2.4 Admissibility

Let $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ be a discrete series representation of G attached to a regular admissible elliptic orbit $\mathcal{O}' \subset (\mathfrak{g}')^*$.

We denote $\text{As}(\mathcal{O}') \subset (\mathfrak{g}')^*$ the asymptotic support of the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}' : by definition $\xi \in \text{As}(\mathcal{O}')$ if $\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \xi_n$ with $\xi_n \in \mathcal{O}'$ and (t_n) is a sequence of positive number tending to 0.

We consider here a closed connected semi-simple Lie subgroup $G \subset G'$. We choose maximal compact subgroups $K \subset G$ and $K' \subset G'$ such that $K \subset K'$. We denote $\mathfrak{k}^{\perp} \subset (\mathfrak{k}')^*$ the orthogonal (for the duality) of $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{k}'$.

The moment map relative to the G -action on \mathcal{O}' is by definition the map $\Phi_G : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ which is the composition of the inclusion $\mathcal{O}' \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}')^*$ with the projection $(\mathfrak{g}')^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. We use also the moment map $\Phi_K : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ which is the composition of Φ_G with the projection $\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$.

Let $\text{p}_{\mathfrak{k}', \mathfrak{g}'} : (\mathfrak{g}')^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{k}')^*$ be the canonical projection. The main objective of this section is the proof of the following result that refines Proposition 1.1.

Theorem 2.10 *The following facts are equivalent :*

1. *The representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ is G -admissible.*
2. *The moment map $\Phi_G : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is proper.*
3. $\text{p}_{\mathfrak{k}', \mathfrak{g}'}(\text{As}(\mathcal{O}')) \cap \mathfrak{k}^{\perp} = \{0\}$.

Theorem 2.10 is a consequence of different equivalences. We start with the following result that is proved in [5, 29].

Lemma 2.11 *The map $\Phi_G : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is proper if and only if the map $\Phi_K : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is proper.*

We have the same kind of equivalence for the admissibility.

Lemma 2.12 *The representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ is G -admissible if and only if it is K -admissible.*

Proof. The fact that K -admissibility implies G -admissibility is proved by T. Kobayashi in [15]. The opposite implication is a consequence of the first point of Proposition 2.8.

At this stage, the proof of Theorem 2.10 is complete if we show that the following facts are equivalent :

- (a) The representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ is K -admissible.
- (b) The moment map $\Phi_K : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is proper.
- (c) $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{e}', \mathfrak{g}'}(\text{As}(\mathcal{O}')) \cap \mathfrak{k}^\perp = \{0\}$.

We start by proving the equivalence (b) \iff (c).

Proposition 2.13 ([29]) *The map $\Phi_K : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is proper if and only*

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{e}', \mathfrak{g}'}(\text{As}(\mathcal{O}')) \cap \mathfrak{k}^\perp = \{0\}.$$

Proof. The moment map $\Phi_{K'} : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow (\mathfrak{k}')^*$ relative to the action of K' on \mathcal{O}' is a proper map that corresponds to the restriction of the projection $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{e}', \mathfrak{g}'}$ to \mathcal{O}' .

Let T' be a maximal torus in K' and let $(\mathfrak{t}')_+^* \subset (\mathfrak{t}')^*$ be a Weyl chamber. The convexity theorem [14, 20] tells us that $\Delta_{K'}(\mathcal{O}') = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{e}', \mathfrak{g}'}(\mathcal{O}') \cap (\mathfrak{t}')_+^*$ is a closed convex polyedral subset. We have proved in [29][Proposition 2.10], that $\Phi_K : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is proper if and only

$$K' \cdot \text{As}(\Delta_{K'}(\mathcal{O}')) \cap \mathfrak{k}^\perp = \{0\}.$$

A small computation shows that $K' \cdot \text{As}(\Delta_{K'}(\mathcal{O}')) = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{e}', \mathfrak{g}'}(\text{As}(\mathcal{O}'))$ since $K' \cdot \Delta_{K'}(\mathcal{O}') = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{e}', \mathfrak{g}'}(\mathcal{O}')$. The proof of Proposition 2.13 is completed. \square

We denote $\text{AS}_{K'}(\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}) \subset (\mathfrak{k}')^*$ the asymptotic support of the following subset of $(\mathfrak{k}')^*$:

$$\{\mathcal{P}' \in \widehat{K'}, [\pi_{\mathcal{P}'}^{K'} : \pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}] \neq 0\}.$$

The following important fact is proved by T. Kobayashi (see Section 6.3 in [18]).

Proposition 2.14 *The representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ is K -admissible if and only if*

$$\text{AS}_{K'}(\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}) \cap \mathfrak{k}^\perp = \{0\}.$$

We will use also the following result proved by Barbasch and Vogan (see Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 in [2]).

Proposition 2.15 *Let $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ be a representation of the discrete series of G' attached to the regular admissible elliptic orbit \mathcal{O}' . We have*

$$\mathrm{AS}_{K'}(\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}) = \mathrm{p}_{\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{g}'}(\mathrm{As}(\mathcal{O}')).$$

Propositions 2.14 and 2.15 give the equivalence (a) \iff (c). The proof of Theorem 2.10 is completed. \square

In fact Barbasch and Vogan proved also in [2] that the set $\mathrm{As}(\mathcal{O}')$ does not depends on \mathcal{O}' but only on the chamber \mathcal{C}' such that $\mathcal{O}' \in \widehat{G}'_d(\mathcal{C}')$. We obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.16 *The G -admissibility of a discrete series representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ does not depends on \mathcal{O}' but only on the chamber \mathcal{C}' such that $\mathcal{O}' \in \widehat{G}'_d(\mathcal{C}')$.*

3 Spin^c quantization of compact Hamiltonian manifolds

3.1 Spin^c structures

Let N be an even dimensional Riemannian manifold, and let $\mathrm{Cl}(N)$ be its Clifford algebra bundle. A complex vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow N$ is a $\mathrm{Cl}(N)$ -module if there is a bundle algebra morphism $\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathrm{Cl}(N) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E})$.

Definition 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow M$ be a $\mathrm{Cl}(N)$ -module such that the map $\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{S}}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Cl}(N) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S})$. Then we say that \mathcal{S} is a spin^c -bundle for N .*

Definition 3.2 *The determinant line bundle of a spin^c -bundle \mathcal{S} on N is the line bundle $\det(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow M$ defined by the relation*

$$\det(\mathcal{S}) := \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{Cl}(N)}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{S})$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ is the $\mathrm{Cl}(N)$ -module with opposite complex structure.

Basic examples of spin^c -bundles are those coming from manifolds N equipped with an almost complex structure J . We consider the tangent bundle $\mathbf{T}N$ as a complex vector bundle and we define

$$\mathcal{S}_J := \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{T}N.$$

It is not difficult to see that \mathcal{S}_J is a spin^c -bundle on N with determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{S}_J) = \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{\max} \mathbf{T}N$. If L is a complex line bundle on N , then $\mathcal{S}_J \otimes L$ is another spin^c -bundle with determinant line bundle equal to $\bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{\max} \mathbf{T}N \otimes L^{\otimes 2}$.

3.2 Spin^c -prequantization

In this section G is a semi-simple connected real Lie group.

Let M be an Hamiltonian G -manifold with symplectic form Ω and moment map $\Phi_G : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ characterized by the relation

$$(3.5) \quad \iota(X_M)\omega = -d\langle \Phi_G, X \rangle, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $X_M(m) := \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} e^{-tX} \cdot m$ is the vector field on M generated by $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

In the Kostant-Souriau framework [19, 36], a G -equivariant Hermitian line bundle L_Ω with an invariant Hermitian connection ∇ is a prequantum line bundle over (M, Ω, Φ_G) if

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{L}(X) - \nabla_{X_M} = i\langle \Phi_G, X \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 = -i\Omega,$$

for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Here $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is the infinitesimal action of $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ on the sections of $L_\Omega \rightarrow M$. The data (L_Ω, ∇) is also called a Kostant-Souriau line bundle.

Definition 3.3 ([28]) *A G -Hamiltonian manifold (M, Ω, Φ_G) is spin^c prequantized if there exists an equivariant spin^c bundle \mathcal{S} such that its determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{S})$ is a prequantum line bundle over $(M, 2\Omega, 2\Phi_G)$.*

Consider the case of a regular elliptic coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O} = G\lambda$: here $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ has a stabilizer subgroup equal to T . The tangent space $\mathbf{T}_\lambda \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$ is an even dimensional Euclidean space, equipped with a linear action of T and an T -invariant antisymmetric endomorphism⁴ $\text{ad}(\lambda)$. Let $J_\lambda := \text{ad}(\lambda)(-\text{ad}(\lambda)^2)^{-1/2}$ be the corresponding T -invariant complex structure on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$: we denote V the corresponding T -module. It defines an integrable G -invariant complex structure on $\mathcal{O} \simeq G/T$.

As we have explained in the previous section, the complex structure on \mathcal{O} defines the spin^c -bundle $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{O} := \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{T}\mathcal{O}$ with determinant line bundle

$$\det(\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{O}) = \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{\max} \mathbf{T}\mathcal{O} \simeq G \times_T \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{\max} V.$$

⁴Here we see λ has an element of \mathfrak{t} , through the identification $\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$.

A small computation gives that the differential of the T -character $\bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{\max} V$ is equal to i times $2\rho(\lambda)$. In other words, $\bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{\max} V = \mathbb{C}_{2\rho(\lambda)}$.

In the next Lemma we see that for the regular elliptic orbits, the notion of *admissible* orbits is equivalent to the notion of *spin^c-prequantized* orbits.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $\mathcal{O} = G\lambda$ be a regular elliptic coadjoint orbit. Then \mathcal{O} is spin^c-prequantized if and only if $\lambda - \rho(\lambda) \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. Any G -equivariant spin^c-bundle on \mathcal{O} is of the form $\mathcal{S}_\phi = \mathcal{S}_o \otimes L_\phi$ where $L_\phi = G \times_T \mathbb{C}_\phi$ is a line bundle associated to a character $e^X \mapsto e^{i\langle \phi, X \rangle}$ of the group T . Then we have

$$\det(\mathcal{S}_\phi) = \det(\mathcal{S}_o) \otimes L_\phi^{\otimes 2} = G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{2\phi+2\rho(\lambda)}.$$

By G -invariance we know that the only Kostant-Souriau line bundle on $(G\lambda, 2\Omega_{G\lambda})$ is the line bundle $G \times_T \mathbb{C}_{2\lambda}$. Finally we see that $G\lambda$ is spin^c-prequantized by \mathcal{S}_ϕ if and only if $\phi = \lambda - \rho(\lambda)$. \square

If \mathcal{O} is a regular admissible elliptic coadjoint orbit, we denote $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}} := \mathcal{S}_o \otimes L_{\lambda-\rho(\lambda)}$ the corresponding spin^c bundle. Here we use the grading $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}}^-$ induced by the symplectic orientation.

3.3 Spin^c quantization of compact manifolds

Let us consider a compact Hamiltonian K -manifold (M, Ω, Φ_K) which is spin^c-prequantized by a spin^c-bundle \mathcal{S} . The (symplectic) orientation induces a decomposition $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-$, and the corresponding spin^c Dirac operator is a first order elliptic operator [3]

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}} : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}^+) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}^-).$$

Its principal symbol is the bundle map $\sigma(M, \mathcal{S}) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{T}^*M, \text{hom}(p^*\mathcal{S}^+, p^*\mathcal{S}^-))$ defined by the relation

$$\sigma(M, \mathcal{S})(m, \nu) = \mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{S}|_m}(\tilde{\nu}) : \mathcal{S}|_m^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}|_m^-.$$

Here $\nu \in \mathbf{T}^*M \mapsto \tilde{\nu} \in \mathbf{T}M$ is the identification defined by an invariant Riemannian structure.

Definition 3.5 *The spin^c quantization of a compact Hamiltonian K -manifold (M, Ω, Φ_K) is the equivariant index of the elliptic operator $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and is denoted*

$$\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) \in R(K).$$

3.4 Quantization commutes with reduction

Now we will explain how the multiplicities of $\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) \in R(K)$ can be computed geometrically.

Recall that the dual \widehat{K} is parametrized by the regular admissible coadjoint orbits. They are those of the form $\mathcal{P} = K\mu$ where $\mu - \rho_c \in \Lambda \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$. After Lemma 3.4, we know that any regular admissible coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{K}$ is spin^c -prequantized by a spin^c bundle $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and a small computation shows that $\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{P}) = \pi_{\mathcal{P}}^K$ (see [32]).

For any $\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{K}$, we define the symplectic reduced space

$$M//\mathcal{P} := \Phi_K^{-1}(\mathcal{P})/K.$$

If $M//\mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$, then any $m \in \Phi_K^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$ has abelian infinitesimal stabilizer. It implies then that the generic infinitesimal stabilizer for the K -action on M is *abelian*.

Let us explain how we can quantize these symplectic reduced spaces (for more details see [25, 28, 33]).

Proposition 3.6 *Suppose that the generic infinitesimal stabilizer for the K -action on M is abelian.*

- *If $\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{K}$ belongs to the set of regular values of $\Phi_K : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$, then $M//\mathcal{P}$ is a compact symplectic orbifold which is spin^c -prequantized. We denote $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ the index of the corresponding spin^c Dirac operator [12].*

- *In general, if $\mathcal{P} = K\lambda$ with $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, we consider the orbits $\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon} = K(\lambda + \epsilon)$ for generic small elements $\epsilon \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ so that $M//\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon}$ is a compact symplectic orbifold with a peculiar spin^c -structure. Let $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the index of the corresponding spin^c Dirac operator. The crucial fact is that the quantity $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon})$ does not depend on the choice of generic and small enough ϵ . Then we take*

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}) := \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon})$$

for generic and small enough ϵ .

The following theorem is proved in [25].

Theorem 3.7 *Let (M, Ω, Φ_K) be a spin^c -prequantized compact Hamiltonian K -manifold. Suppose that the generic infinitesimal stabilizer for the K -action on M is abelian. Then the following relation holds in $R(K)$:*

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) = \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{K}} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}) \pi_{\mathcal{P}}^K.$$

Remark 3.8 *Identity 3.7 admits generalisations when we do not have conditions on the generic stabilizer [28] and also when we allow the 2-form Ω to be degenerate [33]. In this article, we do not need such generalizations.*

For $\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{K}$, we denote \mathcal{P}^- the coadjoint orbit with \mathcal{P} with opposite symplectic structure. The corresponding spin^c bundle is $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}$. It is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{P}^-) = (\pi_{\mathcal{P}}^K)^*$ (see [32]). The shifting trick tell us then that the multiplicity of $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}^K$ in $\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M)$ is equal to $[\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M \times \mathcal{P}^-)]^K$. If we suppose furthermore that the generic infinitesimal stabilizer is abelian we obtain the useful relation

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}) := \left[\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M \times \mathcal{P}^-) \right]^K.$$

Let γ that belongs to the center of K : it acts trivially on the orbits $\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{K}$. Suppose now that γ acts also trivially on the manifolds M . We are interested by the action of γ on the fibers of the spin^c -bundle $\mathcal{S} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}$. We denote $[\mathcal{S} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}]^\gamma$ the subbundle where γ acts trivially.

Lemma 3.9 *If $[\mathcal{S} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}]^\gamma = 0$ then $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let D be the Dirac operator on $M \times \mathcal{P}^-$ associated to the spin^c bundle $\mathcal{S} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}$. Then

$$\left[\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M \times \mathcal{P}^-) \right]^K = [\ker(D)]^K - [\text{coker}(D)]^K.$$

Obviously $[\ker(D)]^K \subset [\ker(D)]^\gamma$ and $[\ker(D)]^\gamma$ is contained in the set of smooth section of the bundle $[\mathcal{S} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}]^\gamma$. The same result holds for $[\text{coker}(D)]^K$. Finally, if $[\mathcal{S} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}]^\gamma = 0$, then $[\ker(D)]^K$ and $[\text{coker}(D)]^K$ are reduced to 0. \square

4 Spin^c quantization of non-compact Hamiltonian manifolds

In this section our Hamiltonian K -manifold (M, Ω, Φ_K) is not necessarily compact, but the moment map Φ_K is supposed to be proper. We assume that (M, Ω, Φ_K) is spin^c -prequantized by a spin^c -bundle \mathcal{S} .

In the next section, we will explain how to quantize the data $(M, \Omega, \Phi_K, \mathcal{S})$.

4.1 Formal geometric quantization : definition

We choose an invariant scalar product in \mathfrak{k}^* that provides an identification $\mathfrak{k} \simeq \mathfrak{k}^*$.

Definition 4.1 • *The Kirwan vector field associated to Φ_K is defined by*

$$(4.9) \quad \kappa(m) = -\Phi_K(m) \cdot m, \quad m \in M.$$

We denote by Z_M the set of zeroes of κ . It is not difficult to see that Z_M corresponds to the set of critical points of the function $\|\Phi_K\|^2 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The set Z_M , which is not necessarily smooth, admits the following description. Choose a Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{t}_+^* \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ in the dual of the Lie algebra of a maximal torus T of K . We see that

$$(4.10) \quad Z_M = \coprod_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} Z_\beta$$

where Z_β corresponds to the compact set $K(M^\beta \cap \Phi_K^{-1}(\beta))$, and $\mathcal{B} = \Phi_K(Z_M) \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$. The properness of Φ_K insures that for any compact subset $C \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ the intersection $\mathcal{B} \cap C$ is finite.

The principal symbol of the Dirac operator $D_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the bundle map $\sigma(M, \mathcal{S}) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{T}^*M, \text{hom}(\mathcal{S}^+, \mathcal{S}^-))$ defined by the Clifford action

$$\sigma(M, \mathcal{S})(m, \nu) = \mathbf{c}_m(\tilde{\nu}) : \mathcal{S}|_m^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{S}|_m^-.$$

where $\nu \in \mathbf{T}^*M \simeq \tilde{\nu} \in \mathbf{T}M$ is an identification associated to an invariant Riemannian metric on M .

Definition 4.2 *The symbol $\sigma(M, \mathcal{S}, \Phi_K)$ shifted by the vector field κ is the symbol on M defined by*

$$\sigma(M, \mathcal{S}, \Phi_K)(m, \nu) = \sigma(M, \mathcal{S})(m, \tilde{\nu} - \kappa(m))$$

for any $(m, \nu) \in \mathbf{T}^*M$.

For any K -invariant open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ such that $\mathcal{U} \cap Z_M$ is compact in M , we see that the restriction $\sigma(M, \mathcal{S}, \Phi_K)|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a transversally elliptic symbol on \mathcal{U} , and so its equivariant index is a well defined element in $\hat{R}(K)$ (see [1, 31]).

Thus we can define the following localized equivariant indices.

Definition 4.3 • *A closed invariant subset $Z \subset Z_M$ is called a component of Z_M if it is a union of connected components of Z_M .*

- If Z is a compact component of Z_M , we denote by

$$\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M, Z) \in \widehat{R}(K)$$

the equivariant index of $\sigma(M, \mathcal{S}, \Phi_K)|_{\mathcal{U}}$ where \mathcal{U} is an invariant neighbourhood of Z so that $\mathcal{U} \cap Z_M = Z$.

By definition, $Z = \emptyset$ is a component of Z_M and $\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M, \emptyset) = 0$. For any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, Z_β is a compact component of Z_M .

When the manifold M is compact, the set \mathcal{B} is finite and we have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M, Z_\beta) \in \widehat{R}(K).$$

See [24, 31]. When the manifold M is not compact, but the moment map Φ_K is proper, we can define

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M, Z_\beta) \in \widehat{R}(K).$$

The sum of the right hand side is not necessarily finite but it converges in $\widehat{R}(K)$ (see [27, 21, 10]).

Definition 4.4 We call $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) \in \widehat{R}(K)$ the spin^c formal geometric quantization of the Hamiltonian manifold (M, Ω, Φ_K) .

We end up this section with the example of the coadjoint orbits that parametrize the discrete series representations. We have seen in Lemma 3.4 that any $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d$ is spin^c -prequantized. Moreover, if we look at the K -action on \mathcal{O} , we know also that the moment map $\Phi_K : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is proper. The element $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}) \in \widehat{R}(K)$ is then well-defined.

The following result can be understood as a geometric interpretation of the Blattner formula.

Proposition 4.5 ([25]) For any $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d$ we have the following equality in $\widehat{R}(K)$:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G|_K.$$

4.2 Formal geometric quantization: main properties

In this section, we recall two important functorial properties of the formal geometric quantization process $\widehat{Q}^{\text{spin}}$.

We start with the following result of Hochs and Song.

Theorem 4.6 ([10]) *Let (M, Ω, Φ_K) be a spin^c prequantized Hamiltonian K -manifold. Assume that the moment map Φ_K is proper and that the generic infinitesimal stabilizer for the K -action on M is abelian. Then the following relation holds in $\widehat{R}(K)$:*

$$(4.11) \quad \widehat{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) = \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{K}} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}) \pi_{\mathcal{P}}^K.$$

Remark 4.7 *Identity (4.11) admits generalizations when we do not have conditions on the generic stabilizer and also when we allow the 2-form Ω to be degenerate (see [10]).*

Like in the compact setting, consider an element γ belonging to the center of K that acts trivially on the manifold M . Let $\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{K}$ and let \mathcal{P}^- be the orbit \mathcal{P} with opposite symplectic structure. We are interested by the action of γ on the fibers of the spin^c -bundle $\mathcal{S} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}$. We denote $[\mathcal{S} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}]^\gamma$ the subbundle where γ acts trivially.

Lemma 3.9 extends to the non-compact setting.

Lemma 4.8 *If $[\mathcal{S} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}]^\gamma = 0$ then $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}) = 0$.*

Proof. The multiplicative property proved by Hochs and Song [10] tells us that the shifting trick still holds in the non compact setting: the multiplicity of $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}^K$ in $\widehat{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M)$ is equal to $[\widehat{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M \times \mathcal{P}^-)]^K$. If we suppose furthermore that the generic infinitesimal stabilizer is abelian we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}) &= \left[\widehat{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M \times \mathcal{P}^-) \right]^K \\ &= \left[\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M \times \mathcal{P}^-, Z_0) \right]^K \end{aligned}$$

where $Z_0 \subset M \times \mathcal{P}^-$ is the compact set $\{(m, \xi) \in M \times \mathcal{P}^-, \Phi_K(m) = \xi\}$.

The quantity $\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M \times \mathcal{P}^-, Z_0) \in \widehat{R}(K)$ is computed as an index of a K -transversally elliptic operator D_0 acting on the sections of $\mathcal{S} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}$. The argument used in the compact setting still work (see Lemma 1.3 in [31]): if $[\mathcal{S} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}^-}]^\gamma = 0$ then $[\ker(D_0)]^K$ and $[\text{coker}(D_0)]^K$ are reduced to 0. \square

Another important property of the formal geometric quantization procedure is the functoriality relatively to restriction to subgroup. Let $H \subset K$ be a closed connected subgroup. We denote $\Phi_H : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ the moment map relative to the H -action: it is equal to the composition of Φ_K with the projection $\mathfrak{k}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Theorem 4.9 ([30]) *Let (M, Ω, Φ_K) be a spin^c prequantized Hamiltonian K -manifold. Assume that the moment map Φ_H is a proper. Then the element $\widehat{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) \in \widehat{R}(K)$ is H -admissible and we have*

$$\widehat{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(M)|_H = \widehat{Q}_H^{\text{spin}}(M).$$

If we apply the previous Theorem to the spin^c -prequantized coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d$, we obtain the following extension of Proposition 4.5. This result was obtained by other means by Duflo-Vergne [7].

Corollary 4.10 *Let $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d$, and $H \subset K$ a closed connected subgroup such that $\Phi_H : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ is proper. Then $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G$ is H -admissible and*

$$\widehat{Q}_H^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G|_H.$$

5 Spin^c quantization of G -Hamiltonian manifolds

In this section G denotes a connected semi-simple Lie group, and we consider a symplectic manifold (M, Ω) equipped with an Hamiltonian action of G : we denote $\Phi_G : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ the corresponding moment map.

5.1 Proper² Hamiltonian G -manifolds

In this section we suppose that:

1. the moment map Φ_G is *proper*,
2. the G -action on M is *proper*.

For simplicity, we says that (M, Ω, Φ_G) is a proper² Hamiltonian G -manifold.

Following Weinstein [38], we consider the G -invariant open subset

$$(5.12) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{se}^* = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid G_\xi \text{ is compact}\}$$

of strongly elliptic elements. It is non-empty if and only if the groups G and K have the same rank : real semi-simple Lie groups with this property are

the ones admitting discrete series. If we denote $\mathfrak{t}_{se}^* := \mathfrak{g}_{se}^* \cap \mathfrak{t}^*$, we see that $\mathfrak{g}_{se}^* = G \cdot \mathfrak{t}_{se}^*$. In other words, any coadjoint orbit contained in \mathfrak{g}_{se}^* is elliptic.

First we recall the geometric properties associated to proper² Hamiltonian G -manifolds. We denote K a maximal compact subgroup of G and we denote $\Phi_K : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ the moment map relative to the K -action on (M, Ω) .

Proposition 5.1 ([29]) *Let (M, Ω, Φ_G) be a proper² Hamiltonian G -manifold.*

Then:

1. *the map Φ_K is proper,*
2. *the set \mathfrak{g}_{se}^* is non-empty,*
3. *the image of Φ_G is contained in \mathfrak{g}_{se}^* ,*
4. *the set $N := \Phi_G^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_{se}^*)$ is a smooth K -submanifold of M ,*
5. *the restriction of Ω on N defines a symplectic form Ω_N ,*
6. *the map $[g, n] \mapsto gn$ defines a diffeomorphism $G \times_K N \simeq M$.*

Let T be a maximal torus in K , and let \mathfrak{t}_+^* be a Weyl chamber. Since any coadjoint orbit in \mathfrak{g}_{se}^* is elliptic, the coadjoint orbits belonging to the image of $\Phi_G : N \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{se}^*$ are parametrized by the set

$$(5.13) \quad \Delta_G(M) = \Phi_G(M) \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*.$$

We remark that $\mathfrak{t}_+^* \cap \mathfrak{g}_{se}^*$ is equal to $(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)_{se} := \{\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_+^*, (\xi, \alpha) \neq 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_n\}$. The connected component $(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)_{se}$ are called chambers and if \mathcal{C} is a chamber, we denote $\widehat{G}_d(\mathcal{C})$ the set of regular admissible elliptic orbits intersecting \mathcal{C} (see Definition 2.2).

The following fact was first noticed by Weinstein [38].

Proposition 5.2 *$\Delta_G(M)$ is a convex polyhedral set contained in a unique chamber $\mathcal{C}_M \subset (\mathfrak{t}_+^*)_{se}$.*

Proof. We denote $\Phi_K^N : N \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ the restriction of the map Φ_G on the sub-manifold N . It corresponds to the moment map relative to the K -action on (N, Ω_N) : notice that Φ_K^N is a proper map.

The diffeomorphism $G \times_K N \simeq M$ shows that the set $\Delta_G(M)$ is equal to $\Delta_K(N) := \text{Image}(\Phi_K^N) \cap \mathfrak{t}_+^*$, and the Convexity Theorem [14, 20] asserts that $\Delta_K(N)$ is a convex polyhedral subset of the Weyl chamber. Finally since $\Delta_K(N)$ is connected and contained in $(\mathfrak{t}_+^*)_{se}$, it must belong to a unique chamber \mathcal{C}_M . \square

5.2 Spin^c-quantization of proper² Hamiltonian G -manifolds

Now we assume that our proper² Hamiltonian G -manifold (M, Ω, Φ_G) is spin^c-prequantized by a G -equivariant spin^c-bundle \mathcal{S} .

Note that \mathfrak{p} is even dimensional since the groups G and K have the same rank. Recall that the morphism $K \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(\mathfrak{p})$ lifts to a morphism $\tilde{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spin}(\mathfrak{p})$, where $\tilde{K} \rightarrow K$ is either an isomorphism or a two-fold cover (see Section 2.2). We start with the

Lemma 5.3

- The G -equivariant spin^c bundle \mathcal{S} on M induces a \tilde{K} -equivariant spin^c bundle \mathcal{S}_N on N such that $\det(\mathcal{S}_N) = \det(\mathcal{S})|_N$.
- The \tilde{K} -Hamiltonian manifold (N, Ω_N, Φ_K^N) is spin^c-prequantized by \mathcal{S}_N .

Proof. By definition we have $\mathbf{T}M|_N = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathbf{T}N$. The manifolds M and N are oriented by their symplectic forms. The vector space \mathfrak{p} inherits an orientation $o(\mathfrak{p}, N)$ satisfying the relation $o(M) = o(\mathfrak{p}, N)o(N)$. The orientation $o(\mathfrak{p}, N)$ can be computed also as follows: takes any $\xi \in \mathrm{Image}(\Phi_K^N)$, then $o(\mathfrak{p}, N) = o(\xi)$ (see Example 2.6).

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the spinor representation that we see as a \tilde{K} -module. The orientation $o(\mathfrak{p}) := o(\mathfrak{p}, N)$ determines a decomposition $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+, o(\mathfrak{p})} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-, o(\mathfrak{p})}$ and we denote

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o(\mathfrak{p})} := \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+, o(\mathfrak{p})} \ominus \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-, o(\mathfrak{p})} \in R(\tilde{K}).$$

Let \mathcal{S}_N be the unique spin^c-bundle, \tilde{K} -equivariant on N defined by the relation

$$(5.14) \quad \mathcal{S}|_N = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o(\mathfrak{p})} \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_N.$$

Since $\det(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o(\mathfrak{p})})$ is trivial (as \tilde{K} -module), we have the relation $\det(\mathcal{S}_N) = \det(\mathcal{S})|_N$ that implies the second point. \square

For $\mathcal{O} \in \hat{G}_d$, we consider the symplectic reduced space

$$M//\mathcal{O} := \Phi_G^{-1}(\mathcal{O})/G.$$

Notice that $M//\mathcal{O} = \emptyset$ when \mathcal{O} does not belongs to $\hat{G}_d(\mathcal{C}_M)$. Moreover the diffeomorphism $G \times_K N \simeq M$ shows that $M//\mathcal{O}$ is equal to the reduced space

$$N//\mathcal{O}_K := (\Phi_K^N)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_K)/K.$$

with $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{k}^*$. Here $N//\mathcal{O}_K$ should be understood as the symplectic reduction of the \tilde{K} -manifold N relative to the \tilde{K} -admissible coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_K \in \widehat{\tilde{K}}$. Hence the quantization $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(N//\mathcal{O}_K) \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the reduced space $N//\mathcal{O}_K$ is well defined (see Proposition 3.6).

Definition 5.4 For any $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d$, we take $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{O}) := \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(N//\mathcal{O}_K)$.

The main tool to prove Theorem 1.2 is the comparison of the formal geometric quantization of three different geometric data: we work here in the setting where the G -action on M has *abelian infinitesimal stabilizers*.

1. The formal geometric quantization of the G -action on $(M, \Omega, \Phi_G, \mathcal{S})$ is the element $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_G^{\text{spin}}(M) \in \widehat{R}(G, d)$ defined by the relation

$$\mathcal{Q}_G^{\text{spin}}(M) := \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{O}) \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G.$$

2. The formal geometric quantization of the K -action on $(M, \Omega, \Phi_K, \mathcal{S})$ is the element $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) \in \widehat{R}(K)$ (see Definition 4.4). As the K -action on M has *abelian infinitesimal stabilizers*, we have the decomposition

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) = \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{K}} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{P}) \pi_{\mathcal{P}}^K.$$

3. The formal geometric quantization of the \tilde{K} -action on $(N, \Omega_N, \Phi_K^N, \mathcal{S}_N)$ is the element $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\tilde{K}}^{\text{spin}}(N) \in \widehat{R}(\tilde{K})$. As the \tilde{K} -action on N has *abelian infinitesimal stabilizers*, we have the decomposition

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\tilde{K}}^{\text{spin}}(N) = \sum_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \in \widehat{\tilde{K}}} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(N//\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \pi_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}^{\tilde{K}}.$$

In the next section we explain the link between these three elements.

5.3 Spin^c-quantization: main results

Let $\mathcal{C}_M \subset \mathfrak{k}_+^*$ be the chamber containing $\Phi_G(M) \cap \mathfrak{k}_+^*$.

Definition 5.5 We defines the orientation o^+ and o^- on \mathfrak{p} as follows. Take $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_M$, then $o^+ := o(\lambda)$ and $o^- := o(-\lambda)$ (see Example 2.6).

We denote $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o^+}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o^-}$ the virtual representations of \tilde{K} associated to the spinor representation of $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{p})$ and the orientations o^+ and o^- . We denote $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o^+}}$ the \tilde{K} -module with opposite complex structure. Remark that $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o^+}} \simeq \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o^-}$.

Recall that the map $V \mapsto V|_K$ defines a morphism $\hat{R}(G, d) \rightarrow \hat{R}(K)$. We have also the morphism $\mathbf{r}^o = \hat{R}(G, d) \rightarrow \hat{R}(\tilde{K})$ defined by $\mathbf{r}^o(V) = V|_K \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^o$.

We start with the following

Theorem 5.6 *If the G -action on M has abelian infinitesimal stabilizers then*

$$(5.15) \quad \mathbf{r}^o \left(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_G^{\text{spin}}(M) \right) = \epsilon_M^o \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\tilde{K}}^{\text{spin}}(N).$$

Here $\epsilon_M^o = \pm$ is equal to the ratio between o and o^- .

Proof. If the G -action on M has abelian infinitesimal stabilizers, then the \tilde{K} -action on N has also abelian infinitesimal stabilizers. It implies the following relation:

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\tilde{K}}^{\text{spin}}(N) = \sum_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \in \widehat{\tilde{K}}} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(N//\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \pi_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}^{\tilde{K}} \in \hat{R}(\tilde{K}).$$

Following the first point of Lemma 2.9, we consider the following subset $\Gamma := \{\mathcal{O}_K := \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{k}^*, \mathcal{O} \in \hat{G}_d\} \subset \hat{K}_{out} \subset \widehat{\tilde{K}}$.

Thanks to the second point of Lemma 2.9 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}^o \left(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_G^{\text{spin}}(M) \right) &= \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \hat{G}_d} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(M//\mathcal{O}) \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G|_K \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^o. \\ &= \epsilon_M^o \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \hat{G}_d} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(N//\mathcal{O}_K) \pi_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{\tilde{K}} \\ &= \epsilon_M^o \sum_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(N//\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \pi_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}^{\tilde{K}}. \end{aligned}$$

Identity (5.15) is proved if we check that $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(N//\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) = 0$ for any $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \in \widehat{\tilde{K}}$ which does not belong to Γ .

Suppose first that $\tilde{K} \simeq K$. In this case we have $\widehat{\tilde{K}} = \hat{K}_{out} = \hat{K}$ and a coadjoint orbit $\tilde{P} = K\mu \in \hat{K}$ does not belong to Γ if and only if μ is not contained in \mathfrak{g}_{se}^* . But the image of Φ_G is contained in \mathfrak{g}_{se}^* , so $N//\tilde{P} = \emptyset$ and then $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(N//\tilde{P}) = 0$ if $\tilde{P} \notin \Gamma$.

Suppose now that $\tilde{K} \rightarrow K$ is a two-fold cover and let us denote by $\{\pm 1_{\tilde{K}}\}$ the kernel of this morphism. Here $\gamma := -1_{\tilde{K}}$ acts trivially on N and (5.14) shows that γ acts by multiplication by -1 on the fibers of the spin^c bundle \mathcal{S}_N . The element γ acts also trivially on the orbits $\tilde{P} \in \widehat{\tilde{K}}$:

- if $\tilde{P} \in \widehat{K}_{out}$, then γ acts by multiplication by -1 on the fibers of the spin^c bundle $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{P}}$,
- if $\tilde{P} \notin \widehat{K}_{out}$, then γ acts trivially on the fibers of the spin^c bundle $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{P}}$.

Our considerations show that $[\mathcal{S}_N \boxtimes \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{P}-}]^\gamma = 0$ when $\tilde{P} \in \widehat{K} \setminus \widehat{K}_{out}$. Thanks to Lemma 4.8, it implies the vanishing of $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(N//\tilde{P})$ for any $\tilde{P} \in \widehat{K} \setminus \widehat{K}_{out}$.

Like in the previous case, when $\tilde{P} \in \widehat{K}_{out} \setminus \Gamma$, we have $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(N//\tilde{P}) = 0$ because $N//\tilde{P} = \emptyset$. \square

We compare now the formal geometric quantizations of the K -manifolds M and N .

Theorem 5.7 *We have the following relation*

$$(5.16) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o^+}} = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(N) \in R(\tilde{K}).$$

When $M = \mathcal{O} \in \widehat{G}_d$ the manifold N is equal to $\mathcal{O}_K := \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{k}^*$. We have $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(N) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{\tilde{K}}$ and we know also that $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G|_K$ (see Proposition 4.5). Here (5.16) becomes

$$(5.17) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G|_K \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^o = \pm \pi_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{\tilde{K}}$$

where the sign \pm is the ratio between the orientations o and o^- of the vector space \mathfrak{p} .

If we use Theorems 5.6 and 5.7 we get the following

Corollary 5.8 *If the G -action on M has abelian infinitesimal stabilizers, we have $\mathbf{r}^o \left(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_G^{\text{spin}}(M) \right) = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^o$.*

The following conjecture says that the functorial property of $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^{\text{spin}}$ relative to restrictions (see Theorem 4.9) should also holds for non-compact groups.

Conjecture 5.9 *If the G -action on M has abelian infinitesimal stabilizers then the following relation*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_G^{\text{spin}}(M)|_K = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(M)$$

holds in $\widehat{R}(K)$.

The remaining part of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.7.

We work with the manifold $M := G \times_K N$. We denote $\Phi_K^N : N \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ the restriction of $\Phi_G : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ to the submanifold N . We will use the K -equivariant isomorphism $\mathfrak{p} \times N \simeq M$ defined by $(X, n) \mapsto [e^X, n]$.

The maps Φ_G, Φ_K, Φ_K^N are related through the relations $\Phi_G(X, n) = e^X \cdot \Phi_K^N(n)$ and⁵ $\Phi_K(X, n) = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{g}}(e^X \cdot \Phi_K^N(n))$.

We consider the Kirwan vector fields on N and M

$$\kappa_N(n) = -\Phi_K^N(n) \cdot n \quad , \quad \kappa_M(m) = -\Phi_K(m) \cdot m.$$

The following result is proved in [29][Section 2.2].

Lemma 5.10 *An element $(X, n) \in \mathfrak{p} \times N$ belongs to $Z_M := \{\kappa_M = 0\}$ if and only if $X = 0$ and $n \in Z_N := \{\kappa_N = 0\}$.*

Let us recall how are defined the characters $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(M)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\tilde{K}}^{\text{spin}}(N)$. We start with the decomposition $Z_N = \coprod_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} Z_\beta$ where $Z_\beta = K(N^\beta \cap (\Phi_K^N)^{-1}(\beta))$, and $\mathcal{B} = \Phi_K^N(Z_N) \cap \mathfrak{k}_+^*$. Thanks to Lemma 5.10 the corresponding decomposition on M is $Z_M := \coprod_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \{0\} \times Z_\beta$.

By definition we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(N) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(N, Z_\beta) \in \widehat{R}(\tilde{K})$$

and $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(M) = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathfrak{p} \times N) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathfrak{p} \times N, \{0\} \times Z_\beta) \in \widehat{R}(K)$. The proof of Theorem 5.7 is completed if we show that for any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$(5.18) \quad \mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathfrak{p} \times N, \{0\} \times Z_\beta) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o+}} = \mathcal{Q}_{\tilde{K}}^{\text{spin}}(N, Z_\beta) \in R(\tilde{K}).$$

Let \mathcal{S} be the G -equivariant spin^c -bundle on M . The K -equivariant diffeomorphism $M \simeq \mathfrak{p} \times N$ induces a \tilde{K} -equivariant isomorphism at the level of spin^c bundles:

$$\mathcal{S} \simeq \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o+} \otimes \mathcal{S}_N.$$

⁵ $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is the canonical projection.

We denote $\text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ the Clifford action associated to the Clifford module $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Any $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ determines an odd linear map $\text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(X) : \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

For $n \in N$, we denote $\text{cl}_n : \mathbf{T}_n N \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{S}_N|_n)$ the Clifford action associated to the spin^c bundle \mathcal{S}_N . Any $v \in \mathbf{T}_n N$ determines an odd linear map $\text{cl}_n(v) : \mathcal{S}_N|_n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_N|_n$.

Lemma 5.11 *Let $U_{\beta} \subset N$ be a small invariant neighborhood of Z_{β} such that $Z_N \cap \overline{U_{\beta}} = Z_{\beta}$.*

• *The character $\mathcal{Q}_{\tilde{K}}^{\text{spin}}(N, Z_{\beta})$ is equal to the index of the \tilde{K} -transversally elliptic symbol*

$$\sigma_n^1(v) : \mathcal{S}_N^+|_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_N^-|_n, \quad v \in \mathbf{T}_n U_{\beta}$$

defined by $\sigma_n^1(v) = \text{cl}_n(v + \Phi_K^N(n) \cdot n)$.

• *The character $\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathfrak{p} \times N, \{0\} \times Z_{\beta})$ is equal to the index of the K -transversally elliptic symbol*

$$\sigma_{(A,n)}^2(X, v) : (\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^+ \otimes \mathcal{S}_N|_n)^+ \longrightarrow (\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o+} \otimes \mathcal{S}_N|_n)^-$$

defined by $\sigma_{(A,n)}^2(X, v) = \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(X + [\Phi_K^N(n), A]) \otimes \text{cl}_n(v + \Phi_K^N(n) \cdot n)$ for $(X, v) \in \mathbf{T}_{(A,n)}(\mathfrak{p} \times U_{\beta})$.

Proof. The first point corresponds to the definition of the character $\mathcal{Q}_{\tilde{K}}^{\text{spin}}(N, Z_{\beta})$.

By definition, $\mathcal{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathfrak{p} \times N, \{0\} \times Z_{\beta})$ is equal to the index of the K -transversally elliptic symbol

$$\tau_{(A,n)}(X, v) = \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(X + [\Phi_K(X, n), A]) \otimes \text{cl}_n(v + \Phi_K(X, n) \cdot n).$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$\tau_{(A,n)}^t(X, v) = \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(X + [\Phi_K(tX, n), A]) \otimes \text{cl}_n(v + \Phi_K(tX, n) \cdot n), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

defines an homotopy of transversally elliptic symbols between $\sigma^2 = \tau^0$ and $\tau = \tau^1$: like in Lemma 5.10, we use the fact that $[\Phi_K(0, n), A] = 0$ only if $A = 0$. It proves the second point. \square

We can now finish the proof of (5.18). We use here the following isomorphism of Clifford modules for the vector space $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o+} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{o+}} \simeq \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where the Clifford action $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$ on the left is $\text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(X) \otimes \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(Y)$ and on the right is $\text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{C}}(X + iY)$.

The product $\sigma^2 \otimes \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\sigma^+}}$ corresponds to the symbol

$$\text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(X + [\Phi_K(X, n), A]) \otimes \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0) \otimes \text{cl}_n(v + \Phi_K^N(n) \cdot n)$$

which is homotopic to

$$\text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(X + [\Phi_K(X, n), A]) \otimes \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A) \otimes \text{cl}_n(v + \Phi_K^N(n) \cdot n),$$

and is also homotopic to

$$\sigma^3 := \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(X) \otimes \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A) \otimes \text{cl}_n(v + \Phi_K^N(n) \cdot n).$$

We have then proved that the K -equivariant index of σ^2 times $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\sigma^+}} \in R(\tilde{K})$ is equal to the \tilde{K} -equivariant index of σ^3 (that we denote $\text{Index}_{\tilde{K}}^{\mathfrak{p} \times U_{\beta}}(\sigma^3)$). The multiplicative property of the equivariant index [1] tells us that

$$\text{Index}_{\tilde{K}}^{\mathfrak{p} \times U_{\beta}}(\sigma^3) = \text{Index}_{\tilde{K}}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{C}}(X + iA)) \cdot \text{Index}_{\tilde{K}}^{U_{\beta}}(\sigma^1).$$

But $\text{cl}_{\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{C}}(X + iA) : \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^+ \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^- \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $(X, A) \in \mathbf{Tp}$, is the Bott symbol and its index is equal to the trivial 1-dimensional representation $\overline{\tilde{K}}$. We have finally proved that the K -equivariant index of σ^2 times $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\sigma^+}}$ is equal to the \tilde{K} -equivariant index of σ^1 . The proof of (5.18) is complete. \square

5.4 Proof of the main Theorem

Let G be a connected semi-simple subgroup of G' with finite center, and let $\mathcal{O}' \in \hat{G}'_d$. We suppose that the representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ is G -admissible. Then we have a decomposition

$$\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}|_G = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \hat{G}_d} m_{\mathcal{O}} \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G.$$

Let $\Phi_G : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the moment map relative to the G -action on \mathcal{O}' . We have proved in Theorem 2.10, that the G -admissibility of $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}$ implies the properness of Φ_G . Moreover, since \mathcal{O}' is a regular orbit, the G -action on it is proper. Finally we see that \mathcal{O}' is a spin^c prequantized proper² Hamiltonian G -manifold. We can consider its formal spin^c quantization $\hat{Q}_G^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}') \in \hat{R}(G, d)$, which is defined by the relation

$$\hat{Q}_G^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}') := \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \hat{G}_d} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}' // \mathcal{O}) \pi_{\mathcal{O}}^G.$$

Theorem 1.2 is proved if we show that $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}|_G$ and $\widehat{Q}_G^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}')$ are equal in $\widehat{R}(G, d)$. Since the morphism $\mathbf{r}^o : \widehat{R}(G, d) \rightarrow \widehat{R}(\tilde{K})$ is one to one, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(5.19) \quad \mathbf{r}^o \left(\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}|_G \right) = \mathbf{r}^o \left(\widehat{Q}_G^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}') \right).$$

On one hand, the element $\mathbf{r}^o \left(\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}|_G \right)$ is equal to $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}|_K \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^o$. The restriction $\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}|_K \in \widehat{R}(K)$, which is well defined since the moment map $\Phi_K : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ is proper, is equal to $\widehat{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}')$ (see Corollary 4.10). So we get

$$\mathbf{r}^o \left(\pi_{\mathcal{O}'}^{G'}|_G \right) = \widehat{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}') \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^o.$$

On the other hand, Corollary 4.10 tells us that

$$\mathbf{r}^o \left(\widehat{Q}_G^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}') \right) = \widehat{Q}_K^{\text{spin}}(\mathcal{O}') \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^o.$$

Hence we obtain Equality (5.19). The proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

References

- [1] M.F. ATIYAH, *Elliptic operators and compact groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **401**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [2] D. BARBASCH and D.A. VOGAN, *The local structure of characters*, J. F. A. **37** (1980), 37–55.
- [3] N. BERLINE, E. GETZLER and M. VERGNE, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren **298**, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [4] M. DUFLO, *Construction de représentations unitaires d'un groupe de Lie*, CIME, Cortona (1980).
- [5] M. DUFLO and J.A. VARGAS, *Proper map and multiplicities*, 2007, preprint.
- [6] M. DUFLO and J.A. VARGAS, *Branching laws for square integrable representations*, Proc. Japan Acad. **86** (2010), 49–54.
- [7] M. DUFLO and M. VERGNE, *Kirillov's formula and Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture*, C.R.A.S. **349** (2011), 1213–1217.
- [8] V. GUILLEMIN and S. STERNBERG, *Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 515–538.
- [9] H. HECHT and W. SCHMID, *A proof of Blattners conjecture*, Invent. Math. **31**, (1975), 129–154.

- [10] P. HOCHS, and Y. SONG, *Equivariant indices of spin^c -Dirac operators for proper moment maps*, Duke Math. J. **166**, (2017), 1125–1178.
- [11] P. HOCHS, Y. SONG, and S. YU, *A geometric realisation of tempered representations restricted to maximal compact subgroups*, ArXiv:1705.02088.
- [12] T. KAWASAKI, *The index of elliptic operators over V -manifolds*, Nagoya Math. J. **84** (1981), 135–157.
- [13] A.A. KIRILLOV, *Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. **17** (1962), 53–104.
- [14] F. KIRWAN, *Convexity properties of the moment mapping III*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), 547–552.
- [15] T. KOBAYASHI, *Discrete decomposability of the restriction of $A_q(\lambda)$ with respect to reductive subgroups and its applications*, Invent. Math. **117** (1994), 181–205.
- [16] T. KOBAYASHI, *Discrete decomposability of the restriction of $A_q(\lambda)$ with respect to reductive subgroups. II. Micro local analysis and asymptotic K -support*, Annals of Math. **147** (1998), 709–729.
- [17] T. KOBAYASHI, *Discrete decomposability of the restriction of $A_q(\lambda)$ with respect to reductive subgroups. III. Restriction of Harish-Chandra modules and associated varieties*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), 229–256.
- [18] T. KOBAYASHI, *Restrictions of unitary representations of real reductive groups*, in Lie theory, 139–207, Progr. Math. **229**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2005, 139–207.
- [19] B. KOSTANT, *Quantization and unitary representations*, in *Modern Analysis and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 170, Springer-Verlag, 1970, p. 87–207.
- [20] E. LERMAN, E. MEINRENKEN, S. TOLMAN and C. WOODWARD, *Non-Abelian convexity by symplectic cuts*, Topology **37** (1998), 245–259.
- [21] X. MA and W. ZHANG, *Geometric quantization for proper moment maps: the Vergne conjecture*, Acta Mathematica **212** (2014), 11–57.
- [22] E. MEINRENKEN, *Symplectic surgery and the Spin^c -Dirac operator*, Advances in Math. **134** (1998), 240–277.
- [23] E. MEINRENKEN and R. SJAMAAR, *Singular reduction and quantization*, Topology **38** (1999), 699–762.
- [24] P-E. PARADAN, *Localization of the Riemann-Roch character*, J. Functional Analysis **187** (2001), 442–509.
- [25] P-E. PARADAN, *Spin c -quantization and the K -multiplicities of the discrete series*, Annales scientifiques de l’E.N.S. **36** (2003), 805845.

- [26] P-E. PARADAN, *Formal geometric quantization*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **59** (2009), 199–238.
- [27] P-E. PARADAN, *Formal geometric quantization II*, Pacific journal of mathematics, **253** (2011), 169-211.
- [28] P-E. PARADAN, *Spin quantization commutes with reduction*, J. of Symplectic Geometry **10** (2012), 389–422.
- [29] P-E. PARADAN, *Quantization commutes with reduction in the non-compact setting: the case of holomorphic discrete series*, Journal E.M.S. (2015),
- [30] P-E. PARADAN, *Formal geometric quantization III, Functoriality in the spinc setting*, Arxiv preprint, 2017.
- [31] P-E. PARADAN and M. VERGNE, *Witten non abelian localization for equivariant K -theory and the $[Q, R] = 0$ Theorem*, preprint arXiv 1504.07502 (2015), accepted in Memoirs of the A.M.S..
- [32] P-E. PARADAN and M. VERGNE, *Admissible coadjoint orbits for compact Lie groups*, preprint arXiv:1512.02367 (2015), accepted in Transformations Groups.
- [33] P-E. PARADAN and M. VERGNE, *Equivariant Dirac operators and differential geometric invariant theory*, preprint arXiv:1512.02367 (2015), accepted in Acta Mathematica.
- [34] W. SCHMID, On a conjecture of Langlands, *Ann. of Math.* **93**, 1971, p. 1-42.
- [35] W. SCHMID, L^2 -cohomology and the discrete series, *Ann. of Math.* **103**, 1976, p. 375-394.
- [36] J.M. SOURIAU, *Structure des systèmes dynamiques*, Maîtrise de mathématiques, Dunod, 1970.
- [37] Y. TIAN and W. ZHANG, *An analytic proof of the geometric quantization conjecture of Guillemin-Sternberg*, Invent. Math. **132** (1998), 229–259.
- [38] A. WEINSTEIN, *Poisson geometry of discrete series orbits and momentum convexity for noncompact group actions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **56** (2001), 17–30.