



HAL
open science

On the cohomology of Calogero-Moser spaces

Cédric Bonnafé, Peng Shan

► **To cite this version:**

| Cédric Bonnafé, Peng Shan. On the cohomology of Calogero-Moser spaces. 2017. hal-01579435v3

HAL Id: hal-01579435

<https://hal.science/hal-01579435v3>

Preprint submitted on 12 Oct 2017 (v3), last revised 13 Mar 2018 (v5)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON THE COHOMOLOGY OF CALOGERO-MOSER SPACES

by

CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ & PENG SHAN

Abstract. — We compute the equivariant cohomology of smooth Calogero-Moser spaces and some associated symplectic resolutions of symplectic quotient singularities.

1. Notation and main results

All along this note, we will abbreviate $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ as \otimes . By an algebraic variety, we mean a reduced scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} .

1.A. Reflection group. — Let V be a \mathbb{C} -vector space of finite dimension n and let W be a finite subgroup of $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$. We set

$$\mathrm{Ref}(W) = \{s \in W \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^s = n - 1\}$$

and we assume that

$$W = \langle \mathrm{Ref}(W) \rangle.$$

We set $\varepsilon : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, $w \mapsto \det(w)$.

If $s \in \mathrm{Ref}(W)$, we denote by α_s^{\vee} and α_s two elements of V and V^* respectively such that $V^s = \mathrm{Ker}(\alpha_s)$ and $V^{*s} = \mathrm{Ker}(\alpha_s^{\vee})$, where α_s^{\vee} is viewed as a linear form on V^* .

If $w \in W$, we set

$$\mathrm{cod}(w) = \mathrm{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^w)$$

and we define a filtration $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}W)$ of the group algebra of W as follows: let

$$\mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W) = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{cod}(w) \leq i} \mathbb{C}w.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Id}_V = \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{C}W) = \mathbb{C}W = \mathcal{F}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}W) = \cdots$$

is a filtration of $\mathbb{C}W$. For any subalgebra A of $\mathbb{C}W$, we set $\mathcal{F}_i(A) = A \cap \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W)$, so that

$$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Id}_V = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{F}_0(A) \subset \mathcal{F}_1(A) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n(A) = A = \mathcal{F}_{n+1}(A) = \cdots$$

The first author is partly supported by the ANR (Project No ANR-16-CE40-0010-01 GeRepMod).
The second author is partly supported by the ANR (Project No ANR-13-8501-001-01 VARGEN)..

is also a filtration of A . Let \hbar be a formal variable, and write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}(A) &= \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \hbar^i \mathcal{F}_i(A) \subset \mathbb{C}[\hbar] \otimes A \quad (\text{the Rees algebra}), \\ \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}(A) &= \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_i(A) / \mathcal{F}_{i-1}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}(A) \simeq \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}(A) / \hbar \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}(A)$.

1.B. Rational Cherednik algebra at $t = 0$. — All along this note, we fix a function $c : \text{Ref}(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is invariant under conjugacy. We define the rational Cherednik algebra \mathbf{H}_c to be the quotient of the algebra $\text{T}(V \oplus V^*) \rtimes W$ (the semi-direct product of the tensor algebra $\text{T}(V \oplus V^*)$ with the group W) by the relations

$$(\mathcal{H}_c) \quad \begin{cases} [x, x'] = [y, y'] = 0, \\ [y, x] = \sum_{s \in \text{Ref}(W)} (\varepsilon(s) - 1) c_s \frac{\langle y, \alpha_s \rangle \langle \alpha_s^\vee, x \rangle}{\langle \alpha_s^\vee, \alpha_s \rangle} s, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, x' \in V^*$, $y, y' \in V$. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the standard pairing. The first commutation relations imply that we have morphisms of algebras $\mathbb{C}[V] \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_c$ and $\mathbb{C}[V^*] \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_c$. Recall [4, Theorem 1.3] that we have an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{C}[V] \otimes \mathbb{C}W \otimes \mathbb{C}[V^*] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{H}_c$$

induced by multiplication (this is the so-called *PBW-decomposition*).

We denote by \mathbf{Z}_c the center of \mathbf{H}_c : it is well-known [4] that \mathbf{Z}_c is an integral domain, which is integrally closed and contains $\mathbb{C}[V]^W$ and $\mathbb{C}[V^*]^W$ as subalgebras (so it contains $\mathbf{P} = \mathbb{C}[V]^W \otimes \mathbb{C}[V^*]^W$), and that it is a free \mathbf{P} -module of rank $|W|$. We denote by \mathcal{Z}_c the affine algebraic variety whose ring of regular functions $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_c]$ is \mathbf{Z}_c : this is the *Calogero-Moser space* associated with the datum (V, W, c) .

Using the PBW-decomposition, we define a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}^c : \mathbf{H}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{C}W$ by

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}^c(fwg) = f(0)g(0)w$$

for all $f \in \mathbb{C}[V]$, $g \in \mathbb{C}[V^*]$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}W$. This map is W -equivariant for the action on both sides by conjugacy, so it induces a well-defined \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$\Omega^c : \mathbf{Z}_c \rightarrow Z(\mathbb{C}W).$$

Recall from [3, Corollary 4.2.11] that Ω^c is a morphism of algebras, and that

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{Z}_c \text{ is smooth if and only if } \Omega^c \text{ is surjective.}$$

The “only if” part is essentially due to Gordon [6, Corollary 5.8] (see also [3, Proposition 9.6.6 and (16.1.2)]) while the “if” part follows from the work of Bellamy, Schedler and Thiel [13, Corollary 1.4].

1.C. Grading. — The algebra $\text{T}(V \oplus V^*) \rtimes W$ can be \mathbb{Z} -graded in such a way that the generators have the following degrees

$$\begin{cases} \deg(y) = -1 & \text{if } y \in V, \\ \deg(x) = 1 & \text{if } x \in V^*, \\ \deg(w) = 0 & \text{if } w \in W. \end{cases}$$

This descends to a \mathbb{Z} -grading on \mathbf{H}_c , because the defining relations (\mathcal{H}_c) are homogeneous. Since the center of a graded algebra is always graded, the subalgebra \mathbf{Z}_c is also

\mathbb{Z} -graded. So the Calogero-Moser space \mathcal{Z}_c inherits a regular \mathbb{C}^\times -action. Note also that by definition $\mathbf{P} = \mathbb{C}[V]^W \otimes \mathbb{C}[V^*]^W$ is clearly a graded subalgebra of \mathbf{Z}_c .

1.D. Main results. — For a complex algebraic variety \mathcal{X} (equipped with its classical topology), we denote by $H^i(\mathcal{X})$ its i -th singular cohomology group with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . If \mathcal{X} carries a regular action of a torus \mathbf{T} , we denote by $H_{\mathbf{T}}^i(\mathcal{X})$ its i -th \mathbf{T} -equivariant cohomology group (still with coefficients in \mathbb{C}). Note that $H^{2^\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^{2i}(\mathcal{X})$ is a graded \mathbb{C} -algebra and $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{2^\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H_{\mathbf{T}}^{2i}(\mathcal{X})$ is a graded $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{2^\bullet}(\mathbf{pt})$ -algebra. The following result [4, Theorem 1.8] describes the algebra structure on the cohomology of \mathcal{Z}_c (with coefficients in \mathbb{C}):

Theorem 1.3 (Etingof-Ginzburg). — *Assume that \mathcal{Z}_c is smooth. Then:*

- (a) $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{Z}_c) = 0$ for all i .
- (b) *There is an isomorphism of graded \mathbb{C} -algebras $H^{2^\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \simeq \mathfrak{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet(Z(\mathbb{C}W))$.*

In this note, we prove an equivariant version of this statement (we identify $R = H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathbf{pt})$ with $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ in the usual way):

Theorem A. — *Assume that \mathcal{Z}_c is smooth. Then:*

- (a) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{Z}_c) = 0$ for all i .
- (b) *There is an isomorphism of graded R -algebras $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2^\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \simeq \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet(Z(\mathbb{C}W))$.*

Note that Theorem A(a) just follows from statement (a) of Etingof-Ginzburg Theorem by Proposition 2.4(a) below. As a partial consequence of Theorem A, we also obtain the following application to the equivariant cohomology of some symplectic resolutions.

Theorem B. — *Assume that the symplectic quotient singularity $(V \times V^*)/W$ admits a symplectic resolution $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow (V \times V^*)/W$. Recall that the \mathbb{C}^\times -action on $(V \times V^*)/W$ lifts (uniquely) to \mathcal{X} (see [11, Theorem 1.3(ii)]). Then:*

- (a) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i .
- (b) *There is an isomorphism of graded R -algebras $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2^\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet(Z(\mathbb{C}W))$.*

Note that for $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$ acting on \mathbb{C}^n , Theorem B describes the equivariant cohomology of the Hilbert scheme of n points in \mathbb{C}^2 : this was already proved (by different methods) by Vasserot [14]. In [5, Conjecture 1.3], Ginzburg-Kaledin proposed a conjecture for the equivariant cohomology of a symplectic resolution of a symplectic quotient singularity E/G , where E is a finite dimensional symplectic vector space and G is a finite subgroup of $\mathbf{Sp}(E)$. However, their conjecture cannot hold as stated, because they considered the \mathbb{C}^\times -action by dilatation, which is contractible. Theorem B shows that the correct equivariant cohomological realization of the Rees algebra is provided by the symplectic \mathbb{C}^\times -action, which exists only when G stabilizes a Lagrangian subspace of E .

Remark 1.4. — Recall from the works of Etingof-Ginzburg [4], Ginzburg-Kaledin [5], Gordon [6] and Bellamy [1] that the existence of a symplectic resolution of $(V \times V^*)/W$ is equivalent to the existence of a parameter c such that \mathcal{Z}_c is smooth, and that it can only occur if all the irreducible components of W are of type $G(d, 1, n)$ (for some $d, n \geq 1$) or G_4 in Shephard-Todd classification. ■

1.E. Conjectures. — In [3, Chapter 16, Conjectures COH and ECOH], Rouquier and the first author proposed the following conjecture which aims to generalize the above Etingof-Ginzburg Theorem 1.3 into two directions: it includes singular Calogero-Moser spaces and it extends to equivariant cohomology.

Conjecture 1.5. — *With the above notation, we have:*

- (1) $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{Z}_c) = 0$ for all i .
- (2) We have an isomorphism of graded \mathbb{C} -algebras $H^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \simeq \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im}(\Omega^c))$.
- (3) We have an isomorphism of graded R -algebras $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \simeq \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im}(\Omega^c))$.

By (1.2), when \mathcal{Z}_c is smooth, the image of Ω^c coincide with the center of $\mathbb{C}W$. So Theorem A proves this conjecture for smooth \mathcal{Z}_c .

We will also prove in Example 3.6 the following result:

Proposition 1.6. — *If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = 1$, then Conjecture 1.5 holds.*

1.F. Structure of the paper. — The paper is organized as follows. The proof of Theorem A relies on classical theorems on restriction to fixed points in cohomology and K-theory. In Section 2, we first recall basic properties on equivariant cohomology and equivariant K-theory and restriction to fixed points. Section 3 explains how these general principles can be applied to Calogero-Moser spaces. Theorem A will be proved in Section 4. The cyclic group case (Proposition 1.6) will be handled in Example 3.6. The proof of Theorem B will be given in Section 5.

2. Equivariant cohomology, K-theory and fixed points

2.A. Equivariant cohomology. — Let \mathcal{X} be a complex algebraic variety equipped with a regular action of a torus \mathbf{T} . Recall that the *equivariant cohomology* of \mathcal{X} is defined by

$$H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) = H^{\bullet}(E_{\mathbf{T}} \times_{B_{\mathbf{T}}} \mathcal{X}),$$

where $E_{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow B_{\mathbf{T}}$ is a universal \mathbf{T} -bundle. The pullback of the structural morphism $x : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{pt}$ yields a ring homomorphism $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{pt}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$, which makes $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$ a graded $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{pt})$ -algebra.

Denote by $X(\mathbf{T})$ the character lattice of \mathbf{T} . For each $\chi \in X(\mathbf{T})$, denote by \mathbb{C}_{χ} the one dimensional \mathbf{T} -module of character χ , the first Chern class c_{χ} of the line bundle $E_{\mathbf{T}} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbb{C}_{\chi}$ on $B_{\mathbf{T}}$ is an element in $H^2(B_{\mathbf{T}})$. Identify the vector space $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(\mathbf{T})$ with the dual \mathfrak{t}^* of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} of \mathbf{T} via $\chi \mapsto d\chi$. Then the assignment $\chi \mapsto c_{\chi}$ yields an isomorphism of graded \mathbb{C} -algebras $S(\mathfrak{t}^*) = H_{\mathbf{T}}^{2\bullet}(\mathbf{pt})$.

2.B. Equivariant K-theory. — We denote by $K_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{X})$ the Grothendieck ring of the category of \mathbf{T} -equivariant vector bundles on \mathcal{X} . Note that a \mathbf{T} -equivariant vector bundle on a point is the same as a finite dimensional \mathbf{T} -module. We have a canonical isomorphism $K_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}[X(\mathbf{T})]$ which sends the class of a \mathbf{T} -module M to

$$(2.1) \quad \dim^{\mathbf{T}}(M) = \sum_{\chi \in X(\mathbf{T})} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{\chi})\chi,$$

where M_{χ} is the χ -weight space in M .

Let $\hat{H}_{\mathbf{T}}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$ be the completion of $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$ with respect to the ideal $\bigoplus_{i>0} H_{\mathbf{T}}^{2i}(\mathcal{X})$. The equivariant Chern character provides a ring homomorphism

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}} : K_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \hat{H}_{\mathbf{T}}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$$

with the following properties. First, when \mathcal{X} is a point \mathbf{pt} , we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{ch}_{\mathbf{pt}} : K_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}[X(\mathbf{T})] & \longrightarrow & \hat{H}_{\mathbf{T}}^{2\bullet}(\mathbf{pt}) = \hat{S}(\mathfrak{t}^*) \\ \chi & \longmapsto & \exp(d\chi). \end{array}$$

Next the Chern character commutes with pullback. More precisely, if \mathcal{Y} is another variety with a regular action of the same torus \mathbf{T} and if $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a \mathbf{T} -equivariant morphism, then the following diagram commutes

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & K_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{X}) \\ \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \hat{H}_{\mathbf{T}}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}^*} & \hat{H}_{\mathbf{T}}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}). \end{array}$$

Here φ^* denotes both the pullback map in K-theory or in equivariant cohomology, and $\hat{\varphi}^*$ is the map induced after completion by the pullback map in equivariant cohomology. In particular, by applying the above diagram to $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbf{pt}$ and the structural morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{pt}$, we may view $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}}$ as a morphism of algebras over $K_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{pt})$, with the $K_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{pt})$ -algebra structure on $\hat{H}_{\mathbf{T}}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$ provided by the embedding (2.2).

2.C. Fixed points. — We denote by $\mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}}$ the (reduced) variety consisting of fixed points of \mathbf{T} in \mathcal{X} . Let $i_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the natural closed immersion. Since \mathbf{T} acts trivially on $\mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}}$, we have $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}}) = H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{pt}) \otimes H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}})$ as $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{pt})$ -algebras. Recall that the \mathbf{T} -action on \mathcal{X} is called *equivariantly formal* if the Leray-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(B_{\mathbf{T}}; H^q(\mathcal{X})) \implies H_{\mathbf{T}}^{p+q}(\mathcal{X})$$

for the fibration $E_{\mathbf{T}} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B_{\mathbf{T}}$ degenerates at E_2 . We have the following standard result on equivariant cohomology (see for instance [9, Proposition 2.1]):

Proposition 2.4. — Assume that $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i . Then \mathcal{X} is equivariantly formal, and:

- There is an isomorphism of graded $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{pt})$ -modules $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{pt}) \otimes H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$. In particular $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i .
- The pullback map $i_{\mathcal{X}}^* : H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}})$ is injective.
- Let \mathfrak{m} be the unique graded maximal ideal of $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{pt})$. Then we have an isomorphism of algebras $H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) / \mathfrak{m}H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$.

In particular, this shows that Conjectures 1.5(1) and (3) imply Conjecture 1.5(2).

Example 2.5 (Blowing-up). — Let \mathcal{Y} be an affine variety with a \mathbf{T} -action and let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbf{T} -stable closed subvariety (not necessarily reduced) of \mathcal{Y} . Let \mathcal{X} be the blowing-up of \mathcal{Y} along \mathcal{C} . Write $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ for the natural morphism, and we equip \mathcal{X} with the unique \mathbf{T} -action such that π is equivariant. *We assume that $\mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}}$ is finite.* We write

$$H_{\mathbf{T}}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}}) = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}}} S(t^*)\mathbf{e}_x,$$

where $\mathbf{e}_x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^0(\mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}})$ is the primitive idempotent associated with x (i.e., the fundamental class of x).

Then $\mathcal{D} = \pi^*(\mathcal{C})$ is a \mathbf{T} -stable effective Cartier divisor, and we denote by $[\mathcal{D}]$ the class in $K_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathcal{X})$ of its associated line bundle (which is \mathbf{T} -equivariant). We denote by $\text{ch}_{\mathcal{X}}^1([\mathcal{D}]) \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^2(\mathcal{X})$ its first \mathbf{T} -equivariant Chern class. We want to compute $i_{\mathcal{X}}^*(\text{ch}_{\mathbf{T}}^1(\mathcal{D}))$.

First, let I be the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Y}]$ associated with \mathcal{C} . As it is \mathbf{T} -stable, we can find a family of \mathbf{T} -homogeneous generators $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ of I . We denote by $\lambda_i \in X(\mathbf{T})$ the \mathbf{T} -weight of a_i . The choice of this family of generators induces a \mathbf{T} -equivariant closed immersion $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{C})$. We denote by \mathcal{X}_i the affine chart corresponding to “ $a_i \neq 0$ ”. If $x \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}}$, we denote by $\mathbf{i}(x) \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ an element such that $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{i}(x)}$. Then

$$(2.6) \quad i_{\mathcal{X}}^*(\text{ch}_{\mathbf{T}}^1(\mathcal{D})) = -\hbar \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{T}}} (d\lambda_{\mathbf{i}(x)})\mathbf{e}_x.$$

Indeed, we just need to compute the local equation of \mathcal{D} around $x \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{T}}$, and this can be done in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{i}(x)}$. But $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{X}_i$ is principal for all i , defined by

$$\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{X}_i = \{(y, \xi) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{C}) \mid (y, \xi) \in \mathcal{X}_i \text{ and } a_i(y) = 0\}.$$

So $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{X}_i$ is defined by a \mathbf{T} -homogeneous equation of degree $\lambda_i \in X(\mathbf{T})$, and so (2.6) follows. ■

3. Localization and Calogero-Moser spaces

In this section, we apply the previous discussions to $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_c$ and $\mathbf{T} = \mathbb{C}^\times$. Denote by $q : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ the identity map. Then $X(\mathbb{C}^\times) = q^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and we have

$$K_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathbf{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}], \quad H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathbf{pt}) = \mathbb{C}[\hbar],$$

with $\hbar = c_q$, following the notation of Section 2.A. So $\hat{H}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathbf{pt}) = \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ and the Chern map in this case is given by

$$\text{ch}_{\mathbf{pt}} : \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]], \quad q \mapsto \exp(\hbar).$$

Note also that a finite \mathbb{C}^\times -module is nothing but a finite dimensional \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$ such that \mathbb{C}^\times acts on M_i by the character q^i . The identification $K_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathbf{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ sends the class of M to its *graded dimension* (or *Hilbert series*):

$$\dim^{\text{gr}}(M) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M_i)q^i \in \mathbb{N}[q, q^{-1}].$$

3.A. Fixed points. — For $\chi \in \text{Irr}(W)$, we denote by $\omega_\chi : Z(CW) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the associated morphism of algebras, i.e. $\omega_\chi(z) = \chi(z)/\chi(1)$ is the scalar through which z acts on the irreducible CW -module with the character χ . We denote by e_χ^W the unique primitive idempotent of $Z(CW)$ such that $\omega_\chi(e_\chi^W) = 1$. If \mathcal{E} is a subset of $\text{Irr}(W)$, then we set $e_\mathcal{E}^W = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}} e_\chi^W$.

Now, consider the algebra homomorphism

$$\Omega_\chi^c = \omega_\chi \circ \Omega^c : \mathbf{Z}_c \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Its kernel is a maximal ideal of \mathbf{Z}_c , denote by z_χ the corresponding closed point in \mathcal{Z}_c . It follows from [3, Lemma 10.2.3 and (14.2.2)] that $z_\chi \in \mathcal{Z}_c^{\text{C}^\times}$ and that the map

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} z : \text{Irr}(W) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}_c^{\text{C}^\times} \\ \chi & \longmapsto & z_\chi \end{array}$$

is surjective. The fibers of this map are called the *Calogero-Moser c -families*. They were first consider by Gordon [6] and Gordon-Martino [8]: see also for instance [3, §9.2]. Let $\text{CM}_c(W)$ be the set of Calogero-Moser c -families. For $\mathcal{E} \in \text{CM}_c(W)$, we denote by $z_\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{Z}_c^{\text{C}^\times}$ its image under the map z . On the other hand, by [3, (16.1.2)] we have

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Im}(\Omega^c) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{E} \in \text{CM}_c(W)} \mathbb{C} e_\mathcal{E}^W.$$

Hence we get an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras

$$H^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c^{\text{C}^\times}) \simeq \text{Im}(\Omega^c), \quad e_{z_\mathcal{E}} \mapsto e_\mathcal{E}^W,$$

which extends to an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -algebras $H^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c^{\text{C}^\times}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\hbar] \otimes \text{Im}(\Omega^c)$. For simplification, we set $i_c = i_{\mathcal{Z}_c} : \mathcal{Z}_c^{\text{C}^\times} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and, under the above identification, we view the pullback map i_c^* as a morphism of algebras

$$i_c^* : H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\hbar] \otimes \text{Im}(\Omega^c).$$

So, by Proposition 2.4, Conjecture 1.5 is implied by the following one:

Conjecture 3.3. — *With the above notation, we have:*

- (1) $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{Z}_c) = 0$ for all i .
- (2) $\text{Im}(i_c^*) = \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Im}(\Omega^c))$.

Remark 3.4. — Set

$$\mathcal{F}_i^{\text{H}}(\text{Im}(\Omega^c)) = \{z \in \text{Im}(\Omega^c) \mid \hbar^i z \in \text{Im}(i_c^*)\}.$$

Then, by construction and Proposition 2.4, $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^{\text{H}}(\text{Im}(\Omega^c))$ is the filtration of $\text{Im}(\Omega^c)$ satisfying

$$H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \simeq \text{Im}(i_c^*) = \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{H}}}(\text{Im}(\Omega^c))$$

and

$$H^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \simeq \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}^{\text{H}}}(\text{Im}(\Omega^c)).$$

So showing Conjecture 3.3 is then equivalent to showing that the filtrations $\mathcal{F}_\bullet(\text{Im}(\Omega^c))$ and $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^{\text{H}}(\text{Im}(\Omega^c))$ coincide.

Note also that, since \mathcal{Z}_c is an affine variety of dimension $2n$, we have $H^i(\mathcal{Z}_c) = 0$ for $i > 2n$, so this shows that

$$(b) \quad \mathcal{F}_n(\text{Im}(\Omega^c)) = \text{Im}(\Omega^c) = \mathcal{F}_n^{\text{H}}(\text{Im}(\Omega^c)).$$

On the other hand, since \mathcal{X}_c is connected, we have

$$(\sharp) \quad \mathcal{F}_0(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)) = \mathcal{F}_0^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)) = \mathbb{C}.$$

These two particular cases will be used below. ■

The following result, based on the previous remark, can be viewed as a reduction step for the proof of Conjecture 3.3:

Proposition 3.5. — Assume that $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}_c) = 0$ and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^{2i}(\mathcal{X}_c)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{F}_i(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c))/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)))$$

for all i . Then Conjecture 3.3 holds if and only if $\mathrm{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)) \subset \mathrm{Im}(i_c^*)$.

Proof. — Assume that $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}_c) = 0$ and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^{2i}(\mathcal{X}_c)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{F}_i(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c))/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)))$$

for all i . We keep the notation of Remark 3.4. It then follows from this remark and the hypothesis that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{F}_i(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c))/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c))) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^{2i}(\mathcal{X}_c)) = \dim(\mathcal{F}_i^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c))/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)))$$

for all i . So, by induction, we get that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{F}_i(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c))) = \dim(\mathcal{F}_i^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)))$ for all i (by the equality (\sharp) of Remark 3.4). This shows that $\mathrm{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)) = \mathrm{Im}(i_c^*)$ if and only if $\mathrm{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)) \subset \mathrm{Im}(i_c^*)$, as desired. □

Example 3.6. — Assume in this example, and only in this example, that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = 1$. It is proved in [3, Theorem 18.5.8] that in this case $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}_c) = 0$ and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H^{2i}(\mathcal{X}_c)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{F}_i(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c))/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)))$$

for all i . Since \mathcal{X}_c is affine of dimension 2, we have $H^i(\mathcal{X}_c) = 0$ if $i \notin \{0, 2\}$. So it follows from the equalities (b) and (\sharp) that Conjecture 3.3 holds in this case (this proves Proposition 1.6). ■

3.B. Chern map. — If \mathcal{E} is a Calogero-Moser family, we denote by $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_c$ the ideal of functions vanishing at $z_{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathcal{X}_c^{\mathrm{C}^\times}$. We also set

$$\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{CM}_c(W)} \mathbb{Z}e_{\mathcal{E}}^W.$$

We make the natural identification $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathcal{X}_c^{\mathrm{C}^\times}) = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Through these identifications, the Chern map $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_c^{\mathrm{C}^\times}}$ just becomes the natural inclusion $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)_{\mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] \otimes \mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c)$. Moreover, if P is a \mathbb{Z} -graded finitely generated projective \mathbb{Z}_c -module, then the commutativity of the diagram (2.3) just says that

$$(3.7) \quad i_c^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_c}([P])) = \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{CM}_c(W)} \dim^{\mathrm{gr}}(P/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{E}}P) e_{\mathcal{E}}^W \subset \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] \otimes \mathrm{Im}(\Omega^c).$$

4. Proof of Theorem A

Hypothesis and notation. *We assume in this section, and only in this section, that \mathcal{X}_c is smooth.*

If $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$ and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} N_i$ are two finite-dimensional graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -modules, we set

$$\langle M, N \rangle_W^{\text{gr}} = \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle M_i, N_j \rangle_W q^{i+j},$$

where $\langle E, F \rangle_W = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}W}(E, F)$ for any finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}W$ -modules E and F . We extend this notation to the case where M or N is a graded virtual character (i.e. an element of $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}(W)$). Finally, we denote by $\mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)}$ the *coinvariant algebra*, i.e. the quotient of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[V]$ by the ideal generated by the elements $f \in \mathbb{C}[V]^W$ such that $f(0) = 0$. Then $\mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)}$ is a graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -module, which is isomorphic to the regular representation $\mathbb{C}W$ when one forgets the grading.

4.A. Localization in K-theory. — Recall from (1.2) that the smoothness of \mathcal{X}_c implies that $\text{Im}(\Omega^c) = Z(\mathbb{C}W)$, so that $z : \text{Irr}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_c^{\text{C}^\times}$ is bijective. Let $e = e_1^W = (1/|W|) \sum_{w \in W} w$. The smoothness of \mathcal{X}_c also implies that the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_c\text{-mod} \\ M &\longmapsto eM = e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c} M \end{aligned}$$

is an equivalence of categories [4, Theorem 1.7]. If E is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Z} -graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -module, the \mathbf{H}_c -module $\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is finitely generated, \mathbb{Z} -graded and projective. Therefore, $e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a finitely generated graded projective \mathbf{Z}_c -module, which can be viewed as a \mathbb{C}^\times -equivariant vector bundle on \mathcal{X}_c . For simplification, we set

$$\text{ch}_c(E) = i_c^*(\text{ch}_{\mathcal{X}_c}(e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E)) \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] \otimes \text{Im}(\Omega^c).$$

Proposition 4.1. — *Assume that \mathcal{X}_c is smooth. Let E be a finite dimensional graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -module. Then*

$$\text{ch}_c(E) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(W)} q^{b_\chi} \frac{\langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \otimes E \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}}{\langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}} e_\chi^W,$$

where b_χ is the valuation of $\langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \rangle_G^{\text{gr}}$.

Proof. — As the formula is additive, we may, and we will, assume that E is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}W$ -module, concentrated in degree 0, and we denote by ψ its character.

Now, let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(W)$: we denote by \mathfrak{m}_χ the maximal ideal of \mathbf{Z}_c corresponding to the fixed point z_χ . We set $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}_\chi \cap \mathbf{P}$: it does not depend on χ (it is the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{P} = \mathbb{C}[V/W \times V^*/W]$ of functions which vanishes at $(0, 0)$; see for instance [3, (14.2.2)]). By (3.7),

$$\text{ch}_c(E) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(W)} \dim^{\text{gr}}((e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E)/\mathfrak{m}_\chi(e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E)) e_\chi^W.$$

Now, let $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_c = \mathbf{Z}_c/\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{Z}_c$ and $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_c = \mathbf{H}_c/\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{H}_c$. We set $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_\chi = \mathfrak{m}_\chi/\mathfrak{p}\mathbf{Z}_c$. Then $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_c$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -algebra (called the *restricted rational Cherednik algebra*) and again the bimodule

$e\bar{\mathbf{H}}_c$ induces a Morita equivalence between $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_c$ and $\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_c$. This implies that $e\bar{\mathbf{H}}_c/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_\chi\bar{\mathbf{H}}_c = (\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_c/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_\chi) \otimes_{\bar{\mathbf{Z}}_c} e\bar{\mathbf{H}}_c$ is a simple right $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_c$ -module (that will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}_c(\chi)$).

Since $\mathbb{C}W$ is semisimple, the $\mathbb{C}W$ -module E is flat, and so

$$\begin{aligned} (e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E)/\mathfrak{m}_\chi(e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E) &\simeq (e\mathbf{H}_c/\mathfrak{m}_\chi(e\mathbf{H}_c)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E \\ &\simeq (e\bar{\mathbf{H}}_c/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_\chi(e\bar{\mathbf{H}}_c)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E. \\ &\simeq \mathcal{L}_c(\chi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E. \end{aligned}$$

But the graded dimension of $\mathcal{L}_c(\chi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is known whenever \mathcal{Z}_c is smooth and is given by the expected formula (see [1, Lemma 3.3 and its proof]). \square

Let $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathbb{C}W)$ denote the Grothendieck group of the category of finite dimensional graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -modules. If E is a finite dimensional graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -module, we denote by $[E]$ its class in $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathbb{C}W)$. We still denote by $\text{ch}_c : \mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathbb{C}W) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] \otimes Z(\mathbb{C}W)$ the map defined by

$$\text{ch}_c([E]) = \text{ch}_c(E).$$

Now, let W' be a parabolic subgroup of W and set $V' = V^{W'}$ and $r = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(V')$. We identify the dual V'^* of V' with $V^{*W'}$ and note that

$$(4.2) \quad V^* = V'^* \oplus (V')^\perp.$$

We denote by $\wedge(V')^\perp$ the element of $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathbb{C}W')$ defined by

$$\wedge(V')^\perp = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i [\wedge^i(V')^\perp].$$

Recall also that there exists n algebraically independent homogeneous polynomials f_1, \dots, f_n in $\mathbb{C}[V]^W$ such that $\mathbb{C}[V]^W = \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_n]$, and we denote by d_i the degree of f_i .

Corollary 4.3. — *Assume that \mathcal{Z}_c is smooth. Let E' be a finite dimensional graded $\mathbb{C}W'$ -module. Then*

$$\text{ch}_c(\text{Ind}_{W'}^W(\wedge(V')^\perp \otimes [E'])) = \frac{(1-q^{d_1}) \cdots (1-q^{d_n})}{(1-q)^{n-r}} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(W)} q^{b_\chi} \frac{\langle \chi, \text{Ind}_{W'}^W E' \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}}{\langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}} e_\chi^W.$$

Proof. — The group W' acts trivially on V' so it acts trivially on $\wedge^i V'^*$ for all i . Therefore,

$$(1-q)^{n-r} \text{ch}_c(\text{Ind}_{W'}^W(\wedge(V')^\perp \otimes [E'])) = \text{ch}_c(\text{Ind}_{W'}^W(\wedge V'^* \otimes \wedge(V')^\perp \otimes [E'])).$$

But $\wedge V'^* \otimes \wedge(V')^\perp = \text{Res}_{W'}^W(\wedge V^*)$ by (4.2), so, by Frobenius formula,

$$(1-q)^{n-r} \text{ch}_c(\text{Ind}_{W'}^W(\wedge(V')^\perp \otimes [E'])) = \text{ch}_c(\wedge V^* \otimes \text{Ind}_{W'}^W E').$$

So it follows from Proposition 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} (1-q)^{n-r} \text{ch}_c(\text{Ind}_{W'}^W(\wedge(V')^\perp \otimes [E'])) \\ = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(W)} \frac{q^{b_\chi}}{\langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}} \langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \otimes (\wedge V^*) \otimes \text{Ind}_{W'}^W(E') \rangle_W^{\text{gr}} e_\chi^W. \end{aligned}$$

But, if $w \in W$, the Molien's formula implies that the graded trace of w on $\mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)}$ is equal to

$$\frac{(1-q^{d_1})\cdots(1-q^{d_n})}{\det(1-wq)},$$

while its graded trace on $\wedge V$ is equal to $\det(1-w^{-1}q)$. So the class of $\mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \otimes \wedge V^*$ is equal to $(1-q^{d_1})\cdots(1-q^{d_n})$ times the class of the trivial module, and the corollary follows. \square

Proof of Theorem A. — Assume that \mathcal{X}_c is smooth. This implies in particular that Conjecture 1.5(1) and (2) hold (Etingof-Ginzburg Theorem 1.3), and so the hypotheses of Proposition 3.5 are satisfied. Note also that $\text{Im}(\Omega^c) = Z(\mathbb{C}W)$ by (1.2). It is then sufficient to prove that $\hbar^i \mathcal{F}_i(Z(\mathbb{C}W)) \subset \text{Im}(i_c^*)$ for all i .

Let us introduce some notation. If G is a finite group and H is a subgroup, we define a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\text{Tr}_H^G : Z(\mathbb{C}H) \rightarrow Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ by

$$\text{Tr}_H^G(z) = \sum_{g \in [G/H]} {}^g z = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} {}^g z$$

(here, $[G/H]$ denotes a set of representatives of elements of G/H and ${}^g z = gzg^{-1}$). It is easily checked that

$$(4.4) \quad \text{Tr}_H^G(e_\eta^H) = \frac{\eta(1)}{|H|} \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Irr}(G)} \frac{|G|}{\gamma(1)} \langle \gamma, \text{Ind}_H^G(\eta) \rangle_G e_\gamma^G$$

for all $\eta \in \text{Irr}(H)$. Also, if $h \in H$ and $\Sigma_H(h) \in Z(\mathbb{C}H)$ denotes the sum of the conjugates of h in H , then

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Tr}_H^G(\Sigma_H(h)) = \frac{|C_G(h)|}{|C_H(h)|} \Sigma_G(h).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_r(W)$ denote the set of parabolic subgroups W' of W such that $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^{W'}) = r$. It follows from (4.5) that

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{F}_r(Z(\mathbb{C}W)) = \sum_{W' \in \mathcal{P}_r(W)} \text{Tr}_{W'}^W(Z(\mathbb{C}W')).$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3.5, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(\star) \quad \hbar^r \text{Tr}_{W'}^W(e_{\chi'}^{W'}) \in \text{Im}(i_c^*) \text{ for all } W' \in \mathcal{P}_r(W) \text{ and all } \chi' \in \text{Irr}(W').$$

But it turns out that the coefficient of \hbar^r in

$$\frac{\chi'(1)}{|W'|} \text{ch}_c(\text{Ind}_{W'}^W(\wedge(V')^\perp \otimes \chi'))$$

is equal, according to Corollary 4.3, to

$$\frac{\chi'(1)}{|W'|} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(W)} \frac{|W| \langle \chi, \text{Ind}_{W'}^W \chi' \rangle_W}{\chi(1)} e_\chi^W$$

(since $d_1 \cdots d_n = |W|$). Note that by definition $\frac{\chi'(1)}{|W'|} \text{ch}_c(\text{Ind}_{W'}^W(\wedge(V')^\perp \otimes \chi'))$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]} \text{Im}(i_c^*)$, so each of its homogeneous components belong to $\text{Im}(i_c^*)$. By (4.4), this proves that (\star) holds, and the proof of Theorem A is complete. \square

5. Proof of Theorem B

Hypothesis and notation. We assume in this section, and only in this section, that the symplectic quotient singularity $\mathcal{X}_0 = (V \times V^*)/W$ admits a symplectic resolution $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$.

Recall [11, Theorem 1.3(ii)] that the \mathbb{C}^\times -action on \mathcal{X}_0 lifts uniquely to \mathcal{X} . As it is explained in Remark 1.4, the existence of a symplectic resolution of \mathcal{X}_0 implies that all the irreducible components of W are of type $G(d, 1, n)$ or G_4 . Since the proof of Theorem B can be easily reduced to the irreducible case, we will separate the proof in two cases.

Proof of Theorem B for $W = G(d, 1, n)$. — Assume here that $W = G(d, 1, n)$. Let \mathbf{S}^1 be the group of complex numbers of modulus 1. In this case, it follows from [7] that \mathcal{X} is diffeomorphic to some smooth \mathcal{X}_c , and that the diffeomorphism might be chosen to be \mathbf{S}^1 -equivariant. As the \mathbf{S}^1 -equivariant cohomology is canonically isomorphic to the \mathbb{C}^\times -equivariant cohomology, this proves Theorem B in this case. \square

Proof of Theorem B for $W = G_4$. — Assume here that $W = G_4$. It is possible (probable?) that again \mathcal{X} is \mathbf{S}^1 -diffeomorphic to some smooth \mathcal{X}_c , but we are unable to prove it (it is only known that they are diffeomorphic). So we will prove Theorem B in this case by brute force computations.

We fix a primitive third root of unity ζ and we assume that $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ and that $W = \langle s, t \rangle$, where

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ \zeta^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\zeta^2 \\ 0 & \zeta \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the work of Bellamy [2], there are only two symplectic resolutions of $\mathcal{X}_0 = (V \times V^*)/W$. They have both been constructed by Lehn and Sorger [12]: one can be obtained from the other by exchanging the role of V and V^* , so we will only prove Theorem B for one of them. Let us describe it.

Let $H = V^s$ and let \mathcal{H} denote the image of $H \times V^*$ in \mathcal{X}_0 , with its reduced structure of closed subvariety. We denote by $\beta : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ the blowing-up of \mathcal{X}_0 along \mathcal{H} and we denote by $\alpha : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ the blowing-up of \mathcal{Y} along its reduced singular locus \mathcal{S} . Then [12]

$$\pi = \beta \circ \alpha : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$$

is a symplectic resolution.

We now give more details, which all can be found in [12, §1]. First, $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}_0]$ is generated by 8 homogeneous elements $(z_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 8}$ whose degrees are given by the following table:

(5.1)

z	z_1	z_2	z_3	z_4	z_5	z_6	z_7	z_8
$\deg(z)$	0	4	-4	2	-2	-6	6	0

The defining ideal of \mathcal{H} in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}_0]$ is generated by 6 homogeneous elements $(b_j)_{1 \leq j \leq 6}$ whose degrees are given by the following table:

(5.2)

b	b_1	b_2	b_3	b_4	b_5	b_6
$\deg(b)$	2	6	0	12	8	4

This defines a \mathbb{C}^\times -equivariant closed immersion $\mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_0 \times \mathbf{P}^5(\mathbb{C})$. We denote by \mathcal{Y}_i the affine chart defined by “ $b_i \neq 0$ ”. The equations of the zero fiber $\beta^{-1}(0)$ given in [12, §1] show that $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \{p_2, p_3, p_4, p_6\}$, where p_i is the unique element of $\mathcal{Y}_i^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$. We use the notation of Example 2.5. By (2.6) and (5.2), we have

$$(\clubsuit) \quad i_{\mathcal{Y}}^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{Y}}^1([\beta^* \mathcal{H}])) = -\hbar(6\mathbf{e}_{p_2} + 12\mathbf{e}_{p_4} + 4\mathbf{e}_{p_6}).$$

Now, \mathcal{S} is contained in $\mathcal{Y}_2 \cup \mathcal{Y}_3$, so α is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of p_4 and p_6 . So let $q_4 = \alpha^{-1}(p_4)$ and $q_6 = \alpha^{-1}(p_6)$. These are elements of $\mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$.

On the other hand, \mathcal{Y}_2 is a transversal A_1 -singularity, so the defining ideal of $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{Y}_2$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Y}_2]$ is generated by three homogeneous elements a_+ , a_0 and a_- (of degree 6, 0 and -6 , by [12, §1]), and it is easily checked that $\alpha^{-1}(p_2)^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \{q_2^+, q_2^-\}$ where q_2^\pm is the unique \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed element in the affine chart defined by “ $a_\pm \neq 0$ ”.

Also, \mathcal{Y}_3 is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^*)/\mathfrak{S}_3$, where \mathfrak{h} is the diagonal Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$ and \mathfrak{S}_3 is the symmetric group on 3 letters, viewed as the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathbf{Hilb}_3(\mathbb{C}^2)$ denote the Hilbert scheme of 3 points in \mathbb{C}^2 , and let $\mathbf{Hilb}_3^0(\mathbb{C}^2)$ denote the (reduced) closed subscheme defined as the Hilbert scheme of three points in \mathbb{C}^2 whose sum is equal to $(0, 0)$. By [10, Proposition 2.6],

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{X}_3 \simeq \mathbf{Hilb}_3^0(\mathbb{C}^2).$$

It just might be noticed that the isomorphism $\mathcal{Y}_3 \simeq (\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^*)/\mathfrak{S}_3$ becomes \mathbb{C}^\times -equivariant if one “doubles the degrees” in $(\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^*)/\mathfrak{S}_3$, that is, if \mathbb{C}^\times acts on \mathfrak{h} (respectively \mathfrak{h}^*) with weight 2 (respectively -2). Recall that \mathbb{C}^\times -fixed points in $\mathbf{Hilb}_3^0(\mathbb{C}^2)$ are parametrized by partitions of 3: we denote by q_3^+ , q_3^0 and q_3^- the fixed points in \mathcal{X}_3 corresponding respectively to the partitions (3) , $(2, 1)$ and $(1, 1, 1)$ of 3, so that

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{X}_3^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \{q_3^+, q_3^0, q_3^-\}.$$

Finally, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \{q_2^+, q_2^-, q_3^+, q_3^0, q_3^-, q_4, q_6\}.$$

Also, $\pi^*(\mathcal{H}) = \alpha^*(\beta^*(\mathcal{H}))$ is an effective Cartier divisor of \mathcal{X} , and it follows from (\clubsuit) and the commutativity of the diagram (2.3) that

$$(\diamond) \quad i_{\mathcal{X}}^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}}^1([\pi^* \mathcal{H}])) = -\hbar(6\mathbf{e}_{q_2^+} + 6\mathbf{e}_{q_2^-} + 12\mathbf{e}_{q_4} + 4\mathbf{e}_{q_6}).$$

We now wish to compute $i_{\mathcal{X}}^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}}^1([\alpha^* \mathcal{S}]))$. As the singular locus \mathcal{S} is contained in $\mathcal{Y}_2 \cup \mathcal{Y}_3$, and contains p_2 and p_3 , there exists n_2^+ , n_2^- , n_3^+ , n_3^0 and n_3^- in \mathbb{Z} such that

$$i_{\mathcal{X}}^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}}^1([\alpha^* \mathcal{S}])) = -\hbar(n_2^+ \mathbf{e}_{q_2^+} + n_2^- \mathbf{e}_{q_2^-} + n_3^+ \mathbf{e}_{q_3^+} + n_3^0 \mathbf{e}_{q_3^0} + n_3^- \mathbf{e}_{q_3^-}).$$

Since a_+ and a_- have degree 6 and -6 , it follows from (2.6) that $n_2^+ = 6$ and $n_2^- = -6$.

As we can exchange the roles of \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}^* in the description of \mathcal{Y}_3 and its singular locus (because $\mathfrak{h} \simeq \mathfrak{h}^*$ as an \mathfrak{S}_3 -module), this shows that $n_3^- = -n_3^+$ and $n_3^0 = -n_3^0$. So $n_3^0 = 0$ and it remains to compute n_3^+ . So let U_+ be the open subset of $\mathbf{Hilb}_3^0(\mathbb{C}^2)$ consisting of ideals J of codimension 3 of $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ such that the classes of 1, x and x^2 form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/J$. Then we have an isomorphism $J_+ : \mathbb{C}^4 \xrightarrow{\sim} U_+$ given by

$$(a, b, c, d) \longmapsto J_+(a, b, c, d) = \langle x^3 + ax + b, y - cx^2 - dx - \frac{2}{3}ac \rangle.$$

The form of the generators of the ideal $J_+(a, b, c, d)$ is here to ensure that $J_+(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbf{Hilb}_3^0(\mathbb{C}^2)$. The fixed point q_3^+ is the unique one in U_+ . Through this identification, the action of \mathbb{C}^\times on \mathbb{C}^4 is given by

$$\xi \cdot (a, b, c, d) = (\xi^4 a, \xi^6 b, \xi^{-6} c, \xi^{-4} d)$$

(remember that we must “double the degrees” of the usual action). The equation of $\alpha^*(\mathcal{S})$ on this affine chart $\simeq \mathbb{C}^4$ can then be computed explicitly and is given by $4a^3 + 27b^2 = 0$, so is of degree 12. This shows that $n_3^+ = 12$. Finally,

$$(\heartsuit) \quad i_{\mathcal{X}}^*(\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{X}}^1([\alpha^* \mathcal{S}])) = -\hbar(6\mathbf{e}_{q_2^+} - 6\mathbf{e}_{q_2^-} + 12\mathbf{e}_{q_3^+} - 12\mathbf{e}_{q_3^-}).$$

Let us now conclude. First, recall from [5, Theorem 1.2] that

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} \mathrm{H}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0 & \text{for all } i, \\ \mathrm{H}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(Z(\mathbb{C}W)). \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 2.4, we get

$$(\spadesuit) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i}(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 3 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 7 & \text{if } i \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Now, W has seven irreducible characters $1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2, \chi, \chi\varepsilon, \chi\varepsilon^2$ and θ , where χ is the unique irreducible character of degree 2 with rational values and θ is the unique one of degree 3. We denote by

$$\Psi : \mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] \otimes Z(\mathbb{C}W)$$

the isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras such that

$$\Psi(\mathbf{e}_{q_4}) = e_1^W, \quad \Psi(\mathbf{e}_{q_6}) = e_\theta^W, \quad \Psi(\mathbf{e}_{q_2^+}) = e_{\chi\varepsilon}^W, \quad \Psi(\mathbf{e}_{q_2^-}) = e_{\chi\varepsilon^2}^W,$$

$$\Psi(\mathbf{e}_{q_3^+}) = e_{\varepsilon^2}^W, \quad \Psi(\mathbf{e}_{q_3^-}) = e_\chi^W \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\mathbf{e}_{q_3^-}) = e_\varepsilon^W.$$

By Proposition 2.4, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$ is isomorphic to its image by $\Psi \circ i_{\mathcal{X}}^*$ in $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] \otimes Z(\mathbb{C}W)$. But, by (\diamond) and (\heartsuit) , and after investigation of the character table of W , this image contains

$$\hbar(6\mathbf{e}_{\chi\varepsilon}^W - 6\mathbf{e}_{\chi\varepsilon^2}^W + 12\mathbf{e}_1^W + 4\mathbf{e}_\theta^W) = \hbar(4 + \Sigma_W(s) + \Sigma_W(s^2))$$

$$\text{and} \quad \hbar(12\mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon^2}^W - 12\mathbf{e}_\varepsilon^W + 6\mathbf{e}_{\chi\varepsilon} - 6\mathbf{e}_{\chi\varepsilon^2}) = \hbar((1 + 2\zeta)\Sigma_W(s) + (1 + 2\zeta^2)\Sigma_W(s^2)).$$

So it contains $\hbar\Sigma_W(s)$ and $\hbar\Sigma_W(s^2)$. Also, by (\spadesuit) and Proposition 2.4, it also contains $\hbar^2 Z(\mathbb{C}W)$, so

$$\mathrm{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet(Z(\mathbb{C}W)) \subset \mathrm{Im}(\Psi \circ i_{\mathcal{X}}^*).$$

Using again (\spadesuit) and Proposition 2.4, a comparison of dimensions yields that

$$\mathrm{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet(Z(\mathbb{C}W)) = \mathrm{Im}(\Psi \circ i_{\mathcal{X}}^*),$$

and the proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] G. BELLAMY, *On singular Calogero-Moser spaces*, Bull. LMS **41**, 315-326.
- [2] G. BELLAMY, *Counting resolutions of symplectic quotient singularities*, Compos. Math. **152** (2016), 99-114.
- [3] C. BONNAFÉ & R. ROUQUIER, *Cherednik algebras and Calogero-Moser cells*, preprint (2017), arXiv:1708.09764.
- [4] P. ETINGOF & V. GINZBURG. *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, Inventiones Mathematicae **147**, no. 2 (2002), 243-348.
- [5] V. GINZBURG & D. KALEDIN, *Poisson deformations of symplectic quotient singularities*, Adv. in Math. **186** (2004), 1-57.
- [6] I. GORDON, *Baby Verma modules for rational Cherednik algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), 321-336.
- [7] I. GORDON, *Quiver varieties, category \mathcal{O} for rational Cherednik algebras, and Hecke algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Papers **2008** (2008), Art. ID rpn006, 69 pages.
- [8] I. GORDON & M. MARTINO, *Calogero-Moser space, restricted rational Cherednik algebras, and two-sided cells*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** (2009), 255-262.
- [9] M. GORESKY & R. MACPHERSON, *On the spectrum of the equivariant cohomology ring*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **62**, no. 2 (2010), 262-283.
- [10] M. HAIMAN, *t, q -Catalan numbers and the Hilbert scheme*, Discrete Math. **193** (1998), 201-224. Selected papers in honor of Adriano Garsia (Taormina, 1994).
- [11] D. KALEDIN, *On crepant resolutions of symplectic quotient singularities*, Selecta Math. **9** (2003), 529-555.
- [12] M. LEHN & C. SORGER, *A symplectic resolution for the binary tetrahedral group*, Geometric methods in representation theory II, 429-435, Sémin. Congr., 24-II, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2012.
- [13] G. BELLAMY, T. SCHEDLER & U. THIEL, *Hyperplane arrangements associated to symplectic quotient singularities*, preprint (2017), arXiv:1702.04881.
- [14] É. VASSEROT, *Sur l'anneau de cohomologie du schéma de Hilbert de \mathbb{C}^2* , C. R. Acad. Sci. **332** (2001), 7-12.

October 12, 2017

CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ, Institut Montpellierain Alexander Grothendieck (CNRS: UMR 5149), Université Montpellier 2, Case Courrier 051, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 MONTPELLIER Cedex, FRANCE
E-mail : cedric.bonnafe@umontpellier.fr

PENG SHAN, Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Département de Mathématiques, Bâtiment 425, Faculté des Sciences d'Orsay, Université Paris-Sud 91405 ORSAY Cedex, FRANCE
E-mail : peng.shan@math.u-psud.fr