



HAL
open science

An asymptotic cell category for cyclic groups

Cédric Bonnafé, Raphaël Rouquier

► **To cite this version:**

Cédric Bonnafé, Raphaël Rouquier. An asymptotic cell category for cyclic groups. 2017. hal-01579429v1

HAL Id: hal-01579429

<https://hal.science/hal-01579429v1>

Preprint submitted on 31 Aug 2017 (v1), last revised 15 Oct 2019 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

AN ASYMPTOTIC CELL CATEGORY FOR CYCLIC GROUPS

by

CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ & RAPHAËL ROUQUIER

In [Ma], Malle has associated to any special imprimitive complex reflection group W a set of *unipotent characters* (which is in natural bijection with the set of *unipotent characters* of the associated finite reductive group whenever W is a Weyl group). In this generalization of Lusztig's work, he also obtained a partition of this set into *families* and, to each family, he associated a \mathbb{Z} -fusion datum: by a \mathbb{Z} -fusion datum, we mean that we have all the axioms of a classical fusion datum (which will be called a \mathbb{Z}_+ -fusion datum in the present paper) except that the structure constants of the associated fusion ring might be negative.

It is a classical problem to find a categorification of a \mathbb{Z}_+ -fusion datum by a tensor category with suitable extra-structures (pivot, twist). The aim of this paper is to provide an *ad hoc* categorification of the \mathbb{Z} -fusion datum associated with the non-trivial family of the cyclic complex reflection group of order d : it is provided by the stable category of the Drinfeld double of the Taft algebra of dimension d^2 . It must be said that we have no theoretical explanation for this fact.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS - We wish to thank warmly Abel Lacabanne for the fruitful discussions we had with him and his very careful reading of a preliminary version of this work.

The first author is partly supported by the ANR (Project No ANR-16-CE40-0010-01 GeRep-Mod).

The second author was partly supported by the NSF (grant DMS-1161999 and DMS-1702305) and by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#376202).

1. The Drinfeld double of the Taft algebra

From now on, \otimes will denote the tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$. We fix a natural number $d \geq 2$ as well as a primitive d -th root of unity $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. We denote by $\mu_d = \langle \zeta \rangle$ the group of d -th roots of unity. If $i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ and a is an element of a group such that $a^d = 1$, we will denote by a^i the element $a^{i'}$, where i' is any representative of i .

If $n \geq 1$ is a natural number and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$(n)_\xi = 1 + \xi + \cdots + \xi^{n-1}$$

and

$$(n)!_\xi = \prod_{i=1}^n (i)_\xi.$$

We also set $(0)!_\xi = 1$.

1.A. The Taft algebra. — We denote by B the \mathbb{C} -algebra admitting the following presentation:

- Generators: K, E .
- Relations: $\begin{cases} K^d = 1, \\ E^d = 0, \\ KE = \zeta EK. \end{cases}$

It follows from [Ka, Proposition IX.6.1] that:

(Δ) There exists a unique morphism of algebras $\Delta : B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ such that

$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(E) = (1 \otimes E) + (E \otimes K).$$

(ε) There exists a unique morphism of algebras $\varepsilon : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\varepsilon(K) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(E) = 0.$$

(S) There exists a unique anti-automorphism S of B such that

$$S(K) = K^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad S(E) = -EK^{-1}.$$

With Δ as a coproduct, ε as a counit and S as an (invertible) antipode, B becomes a Hopf algebra, called the *Taft algebra* [EGNO, Example 5.5.6]. It is easily checked that

$$(1.1) \quad B = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{d-1} \mathbb{C} K^i E^j = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{d-1} \mathbb{C} E^i K^j.$$

1.B. Dual algebra. — Let K^* and E^* denote the elements of B^* such that

$$K^*(E^i K^j) = \delta_{i,0} \zeta^j \quad \text{and} \quad E^*(E^i K^j) = \delta_{i,1}.$$

Recall that B^* is a Hopf algebra [Ka, Proposition III.3.3] and it follows from [Ka, Lemma IX.6.3] that

$$(1.2) \quad (E^{*i} K^{*j})(E^{i'} K^{j'}) = \delta_{i,i'} (i)!_{\zeta} \zeta^{j(i+j')}.$$

We deduce easily that $(E^{*i} K^{*j})_{0 \leq i, j \leq d-1}$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of B^* .

We will give explicit formulas for the coproduct, the counit and the antipode in the next subsection. We will in fact use the Hopf algebra $(B^*)^{\text{cop}}$, which is the Hopf algebra whose underlying space is B^* , whose product is the same as in B^* and whose coproduct is opposite to the one in B^* .

1.C. Drinfeld double. — We denote by $D(B)$ the *Drinfeld quantum double* of B , as defined for instance in [Ka, Definition IX.4.1] or [EGNO, Definition 7.14.1]. Recall that $D(B)$ contains B and $(B^*)^{\text{cop}}$ as Hopf subalgebras and that the multiplication induces an isomorphism of vector spaces $(B^*)^{\text{cop}} \otimes B \xrightarrow{\sim} D(B)$. A presentation of $D(B)$, with generators E, E^*, K, K^* is given for instance in [Ka, Proposition IX.6.4]. We shall slightly modify it by setting

$$z = K^{*-1} K \quad \text{and} \quad F = \zeta E^* K^{*-1}.$$

Then [Ka, Proposition IX.6.4] can be rewritten as follows:

Proposition 1.3. — *The \mathbb{C} -algebra $D(B)$ admits the following presentation:*

- Generators: E, F, K, z ;
- Relations:
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K^d = z^d = 1, \\ E^d = F^d = 0, \\ [z, E] = [z, F] = [z, K] = 0, \\ KE = \zeta EK, \\ KF = \zeta^{-1} FK, \\ [E, F] = K - zK^{-1}. \end{array} \right.$$

The next corollary follows from an easy induction argument:

Corollary 1.4. — *If $i \geq 1$, then*

$$[E, F^i] = (i)_{\zeta} F^{i-1} (\zeta^{1-i} K - zK^{-1})$$

and

$$[F, E^i] = (i)_{\zeta} E^{i-1} (\zeta^{1-i} zK^{-1} - K).$$

The algebra $D(B)$ is endowed with a structure of Hopf algebra, where the comultiplication, the counit and the antipode are still denoted by Δ , ε and S respectively (as they extend the corresponding objects for B). We have [Ka, Proposition IX.6.2]:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta(K) = K \otimes K, \\ \Delta(z) = z \otimes z, \\ \Delta(E) = (1 \otimes E) + (E \otimes K), \\ \Delta(F) = (F \otimes 1) + (zK^{-1} \otimes F), \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} S(K) = K^{-1}, \\ S(z) = z^{-1}, \\ S(E) = -EK^{-1}, \\ S(F) = -\zeta^{-1}FKz^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.6) \quad \varepsilon(K) = \varepsilon(z) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(E) = \varepsilon(F) = 0.$$

1.D. Morphisms to \mathbb{C} . — If $\xi \in \mu_d$, we denote by $\varepsilon_\xi : D(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the unique morphism of algebras such that

$$\varepsilon_\xi(K) = \xi, \quad \varepsilon_\xi(z) = \xi^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_\xi(E) = \varepsilon_\xi(F) = 0.$$

It is easily checked that the ε_ξ 's are the only morphisms of algebras $D(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Note that $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon$ is the counit.

1.E. Group-like elements. — It follows from (1.5) that K and z are group-like, so that $K^i z^j$ is group-like for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The converse also holds (and is certainly already well-known):

Lemma 1.7. — *If $g \in D(B)$ is group-like, then there exist $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g = K^i z^j$.*

Proof. — Let $g \in D(B)$ be a group-like element. Let us write

$$g = \sum_{i,j,k,l=0}^{d-1} \alpha_{i,j,k,l} K^i z^j E^k F^l.$$

We denote by (k_0, l_0) the biggest pair (for the lexicographic order) such that there exist $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ such that $\alpha_{i,j,k_0,l_0} \neq 0$. Then the coefficient of $K^i z^j E^{k_0} F^{l_0} \otimes K^i z^j E^{k_0} F^{l_0}$ in $g \otimes g$ is equal to α_{i,j,k_0,l_0}^2 , so it is different from 0.

But, if we compute the coefficient of $K^i z^j E^{k_0} F^{l_0} \otimes K^i z^j E^{k_0} F^{l_0}$ in

$$g \otimes g = \Delta(g) = \sum_{i,j,k,l=0}^{d-1} \alpha_{i,j,k,l} \Delta(K)^i \Delta(z)^j \Delta(E)^k \Delta(F)^l$$

using the formulas (1.5), we see that it is equal to 0 if $(k_0, l_0) \neq (0, 0)$. Therefore $(k_0, l_0) = (0, 0)$, and so g belongs to the linear span of the family $(K^i z^j)_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Now the result follows from the linear independence of group-like elements. \square

1.F. Braiding. — For $0 \leq i, j \leq d-1$, we set

$$\beta_{i,j} = \frac{E^{*i}}{d \cdot (i)!_{\zeta}} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \zeta^{-k(i+j)} K^{*k}.$$

It follows from (1.2) that $(\beta_{i,j})_{0 \leq i,j \leq d-1}$ is a dual basis to $(E^i K^j)_{0 \leq i,j \leq d-1}$. We then set

$$R = \sum_{i,j=0}^{d-1} E^i K^j \otimes \beta_{i,j} \in D(B) \otimes D(B).$$

Then R is a universal R -matrix for $D(B)$ which endows $D(B)$ with a structure of braided Hopf algebra [Ka, Theorem IX.4.4]). Using our generators E, F, K, z , we have:

$$(1.8) \quad R = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{d-1} \frac{\zeta^{(i-k)(i+j)-i(i+1)/2}}{(i)!_{\zeta}} E^i K^j \otimes z^{-k} F^i K^k.$$

1.G. Twist. — Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : D(B) \otimes D(B) &\longrightarrow D(B) \otimes D(B) \\ a \otimes b &\longmapsto b \otimes a. \end{aligned}$$

Following [Ka, §VIII.4], we set

$$u = \sum_{i,j=0}^{d-1} S(\beta_{i,j}) E^i K^j \in D(B).$$

Recall that u is called the *Drinfeld element* of $D(B)$. It satisfies several properties (see for instance [Ka, Proposition VIII.4.5]). For instance, u is invertible and we will recall only three equalities:

$$(1.9) \quad \varepsilon(u) = 1, \quad \Delta(u) = (\tau(R)R)^{-1}(u \otimes u) \quad \text{and} \quad S^2(b) = ubu^{-1}$$

for all $b \in D(B)$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$(1.10) \quad S^2(b) = KbK^{-1}$$

for all $b \in D(B)$. We now set

$$\theta = K^{-1}u.$$

Then it follows from (1.9) and (1.10) that:

Proposition 1.11. — *The element θ is central and invertible in $D(B)$ and satisfies*

$$\varepsilon(\theta) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(\theta) = (\tau(R)R)^{-1}(\theta \otimes \theta).$$

Let us give a formula for θ :

$$(1.12) \quad \theta = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i \frac{\zeta^{(i-k)(i+j)-i}}{(i)!_\zeta} z^{k-i} F^i E^i K^{i+j-k-1}.$$

Corollary 1.13. — $S(\theta) = z\theta$.

Proof. — Let $g = S(\theta)\theta^{-1}$. Since $\Delta \circ S = \tau \circ (S \otimes S) \circ \Delta$ and $(S \otimes S)(R) = R$ (see for instance [Ka, Theorems III.3.4 and VIII.2.4]), it follows from Proposition 1.11 that g is central and group-like. Hence, by Lemma 1.7, there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $S(\theta) = \theta z^l$. So, by (1.12), we have

$$(\sharp) \quad S(\theta)E^{d-1} = \theta z^l E^{d-1} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{-jk} z^{k+l} K^{j-k-1} E^{d-1}.$$

Let us now compute $S(\theta)E^{d-1}$ by using directly (1.12). We get

$$\begin{aligned} S(\theta)E^{d-1} = E^{d-1}S(\theta) &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{-jk} E^{d-1} z^{-k} K^{1+k-j} \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{-jk} \zeta^{1+k-j} z^{-k} K^{1+k-j} E^{d-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{(1-j)(1+k)} z^{-k} K^{1+k-j} E^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So, if we set $j' = 1 - j$ and $k' = -1 - k$, we get

$$S(\theta)E^{d-1} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j',k' \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{-j'k'} z^{k'+1} K^{j'-k'-1} E^{d-1}.$$

Comparing with (\sharp) , we get that $z^l = z$. □

2. $D(B)$ -modules

Most of the result of this section are due to Chen [Ch1] or Edrmann, Green, Snashall and Taillefer [EGST1], [EGST2]. By a $D(B)$ -module, we mean a finite dimensional left $D(B)$ -module. We denote by $D(B)\text{-mod}$ the category of (finite dimensional left) $D(B)$ -modules. If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1} \in \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$J_l^+(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & & 0 & \alpha_{l-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$J_l^-(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}) = {}^t J_l^+(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}).$$

If M is a $D(B)$ -module and $b \in D(B)$, we denote by $b|_M$ the endomorphism of M induced by b . For instance, $E|_M$ and $F|_M$ are nilpotent and $K|_M$ and $z|_M$ are semisimple.

2.A. Simple modules. — If $1 \leq l \leq d$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $M_{l,p}$ the $D(B)$ -module with \mathbb{C} -basis $\mathcal{M}^{(l,p)} = (e_i^{(l,p)})_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ and such that the action of z , K , E and F in the basis $\mathcal{M}^{(l,p)}$ are given by the following matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} z|_{M_{l,p}} &= \zeta^{2p+l-1} \text{Id}_{M_{l,p}}, \\ K|_{M_{l,p}} &= \zeta^p \text{diag}(\zeta^{l-1}, \zeta^{l-2}, \dots, \zeta, 1), \\ E|_{M_{l,p}} &= \zeta^p J_l^+((1)_\zeta(\zeta^{l-1}-1), (2)_\zeta(\zeta^{l-2}-1), \dots, (l-1)_\zeta(\zeta-1)), \\ F|_{M_{l,p}} &= J_l^-(1, \dots, 1). \end{aligned}$$

It is readily checked from the relations given in Proposition 1.3 that this defines a $D(B)$ -module of dimension l . The next result is proved in [Ch1, Theorem 2.5].

Theorem 2.1 (Chen). — *The map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{1, 2, \dots, d\} \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \text{Irr}(D(B)) \\ (l, p) & \longmapsto & M_{l,p} \end{array}$$

is bijective.

2.B. Blocks. — We denote by $\Lambda(d)$ the set $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\Lambda(d)$ is in canonical bijection with the set $\{1, 2, \dots, d\} \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, which parametrizes the simple $D(B)$ -modules. So if $\lambda \in \Lambda(d)$, we will denote by M_λ the corresponding simple $D(B)$ -module. We also set $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\# = (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\Lambda^\#(d) = (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\# \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. Finally, let $\Lambda^0(d) = \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ be the complement of $\Lambda^\#(d)$ in $\Lambda(d)$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : \Lambda(d) &\longrightarrow \Lambda(d) \\ (l, p) &\longmapsto (-l, p + l). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\iota^2 = \text{Id}_{\Lambda(d)}$ and $\Lambda^0(d)$ is the set of fixed points of ι . If \mathcal{L} is a ι -stable subset of $\Lambda(d)$, we denote by $[\mathcal{L}/\iota]$ a set of representatives of ι -orbits in \mathcal{L} . The next result is proved in [EGST1, Theorem 2.26].

Theorem 2.2 (Erdmann-Green-Snashall-Taillefer). — *Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda(d)$. Then M_λ and $M_{\lambda'}$ belong to the same block of $D(B)$ if and only if λ and λ' are in the same ι -orbit.*

We have constructed in §1.G a central element, namely θ . Note that

$$(2.3) \quad \text{The element } \theta \text{ acts on } M_{l,p} \text{ by multiplication by } \zeta^{(p-1)(l+p-1)}.$$

Proof. — It is sufficient to compute the action of θ on $e_1^{(l,p)}$. Note that $E^i e_1^{(l,p)} = 0$ as soon as $i \geq 1$. Therefore, for computing $\theta e_1^{(l,p)}$ using the formula (1.12), only the terms corresponding to $i = 0$ remain. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{l,p}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j,k=0}^{d-1} \zeta^{-jk} \zeta^{(2p+l-1)k} \zeta^{(p+l-1)(j-k-1)} \\ &= \frac{\zeta^{1-l-p}}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \zeta^{pk} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \zeta^{(p+l-1-k)j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The term inside the big parenthesis is equal to d if $p+l-1-k \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, and is equal to 0 otherwise. The result follows. \square

2.C. Projective modules. — If $\lambda \in \Lambda(d)$, we denote by P_λ an indecomposable projective cover of M_λ . The next result is proved in [EGST1, Corollary 2.25].

Theorem 2.4 (Erdmann-Green-Snashall-Taillefer). — *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(d)$. Then:*

(a) *If $\lambda \in \Lambda^\#(d)$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(P_\lambda) = 2d$, $\text{Rad}^3(P_\lambda) = 0$ and the Loewy structure of P_λ is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda / \text{Rad}(P_\lambda) &\simeq M_\lambda \\ \text{Rad}(P_\lambda) / \text{Rad}^2(P_\lambda) &\simeq M_{i(\lambda)} \oplus M_{i(\lambda)} \\ \text{Rad}^2(P_\lambda) &\simeq M_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

(b) $P_{d,p} = M_{d,p}$ has dimension d .

3. Tensor structure

We mainly refer here to the work of Erdmann, Green, Snashall and Taillefer [EGST1], [EGST2]. Since $D(B)$ is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, the category $D(B)\text{-mod}$ inherits a structure of a tensor category. We will compute here some tensor products between simple modules. For simplifying, we will denote by M_l the simple module $M_{l,0}$.

3.A. Invertible modules. — We denote by $V_\xi = \mathbb{C}v_\xi$ the one-dimensional $D(B)$ -module associated with the morphism $\varepsilon_\xi : D(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined in §1.D:

$$b v_\xi = \varepsilon_\xi(b) v_\xi$$

for all $b \in D(B)$. We have

$$(3.1) \quad V_{\zeta^p} \simeq M_{1,p}.$$

An immediate computation using the comultiplication Δ shows that

$$(3.2) \quad M_{l,p} \otimes V_{\zeta^q} \simeq V_{\zeta^q} \otimes M_{l,p} \simeq M_{l,p+q}$$

as $D(B)$ -modules. The V_ξ 's are (up to isomorphism) the only invertible objects in the tensor category $D(B)\text{-mod}$.

3.B. Tensor product with M_2 . — For simplifying, we set $e_i = e_i^{(2,0)}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, so that (e_1, e_2) is the standard basis of M_2 . The next result is a particular case of [EGST1, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 3.3 (Edrmann-Green-Snashall-Taillefer). — *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda(d)$ and let (l, p) be a representative of λ in $\{1, 2, \dots, d\} \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. Then:*

- (a) *If $l \leq d - 1$ (i.e. if $\lambda \in \Lambda^\#(d)$), then $M_2 \otimes M_{l,p} \simeq M_{l+1,p} \oplus M_{l-1,p+1}$.*
- (b) *$M_2 \otimes M_{d,p} \simeq P_{d-1,p}$.*

4. Grothendieck rings

We denote by $\text{Gr}(D(B))$ the Grothendieck ring of the category of (left) $D(B)$ -modules.

4.A. Structure. — Since $D(B)$ is a braided Hopf algebra (with universal R -matrix R),

(4.1) *The ring $\text{Gr}(D(B))$ is commutative.*

If M is a $D(B)$ -module, we denote by $[M]$ the class of M in $\text{Gr}(D(B))$. We set

$$\mathbf{m}_\lambda = [M_\lambda], \quad \mathbf{m}_l = [M_{l,0}] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_\xi = [V_\xi] \in \text{Gr}(D(B)),$$

Recall that $\mathbf{v}_{\zeta^p} = \mathbf{m}_{1,p}$. Then it follows from (3.2) and Theorem 3.3 that

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{v}_{\zeta^q} \mathbf{m}_{l,p} = \mathbf{m}_{l,p+q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{m}_2 \mathbf{m}_{l,p} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{m}_{l+1,p} + \mathbf{m}_{l-1,p+1} & \text{if } l \leq d-1, \\ 2(\mathbf{m}_{d-1,p} + \mathbf{m}_{1,p-1}) & \text{if } l = d. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.3. — *The Grothendieck ring $\text{Gr}(D(B))$ is generated by \mathbf{v}_ζ and \mathbf{m}_2 .*

Proof. — We will prove by induction on l that $\mathbf{m}_{l,p} \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{v}_\zeta, \mathbf{m}_2]$. Since $\mathbf{m}_{1,p} = (\mathbf{v}_\zeta)^p$, this is true for $l = 1$. Since $\mathbf{m}_{2,p} = (\mathbf{v}_\zeta)^p \mathbf{m}_2$, this is also true for $l = 2$. Now the induction proceeds easily by using (4.2). \square

4.B. Some characters. — If $b \in D(B)$ is *group-like*, then the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}(D(B)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ [M] & \longmapsto & \mathrm{Tr}(b|_M) \end{array}$$

is a morphism of rings. Here, Tr denotes the usual trace (not the quantum trace) of an endomorphism of a finite dimensional vector space. Recall from Lemma 1.7 that the only group-like elements of $D(B)$ are the $K^i z^j$, where $(i, j) \in \Lambda(d)$. We set

$$\chi_{i,j}: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}(D(B)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ [M] & \longmapsto & \mathrm{Tr}(K^i z^j|_M). \end{array}$$

An easy computation yields

$$(4.4) \quad \chi_{i,j}(\mathbf{m}_{l,p}) = \zeta^{p^{i+(2p+l-1)j}} \cdot (l)_{\zeta^i}.$$

Note that the $\chi'_{i,j}$ s are not necessarily distinct:

Lemma 4.5. — *Let λ and λ' be two elements of $\Lambda(d)$. Then $\chi_\lambda = \chi_{\lambda'}$ if and only if λ and λ' are in the same ι -orbit.*

Proof. — Let us write $\lambda = (i, j)$ and $\lambda' = (i', j')$. The “if” part follows directly from (4.4). Conversely, assume that $\chi_{i,j} = \chi_{i',j'}$. By applying these two characters to \mathbf{v}_ζ and \mathbf{m}_2 , we get:

$$\begin{cases} \zeta^{i+2j} = \zeta^{i'+2j'}, \\ \zeta^j(1 + \zeta^i) = \zeta^{j'}(1 + \zeta^{i'}). \end{cases}$$

So the result follows by applying exactly the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

4.C. Stable category. — As B is a Hopf algebra, it is Frobenius and so its stable category $B\mathrm{st}$ (namely the quotient of $B\text{-mod}$ by the full subcategory $B\text{-proj}$ consisting of projective objects) is triangulated. Similarly, the category $D(B)\text{-stab}$ is triangulated. Note also that a B -module (resp. a $D(B)$ -module) is projective if and only if it is injective. Since the tensor product of a projective $D(B)$ -module by any $D(B)$ -module is still projective [EGNO, Proposition 4.2.12], it inherits a structure of monoidal category (such that the canonical functor $D(B)\text{-mod} \rightarrow D(B)\text{-stab}$ is monoidal). In particular, its Grothendieck group (as a triangulated category), which will be denoted by $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{st}}(D(B))$, is a ring and the natural map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}(D(B)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{st}}(D(B)) \\ \mathbf{m} & \longmapsto & \mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{st}} \end{array}$$

is a morphism of rings. If M is a $D(B)$ -module, we denote by $[M]_{\text{st}}$ its class in $\text{Gr}^{\text{st}}(D(B))$.

Also, it follows Theorem 2.4 that

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{m}_{d,p}^{\text{st}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2(\mathbf{m}_{l,p}^{\text{st}} + \mathbf{m}_{d-l,p+l}^{\text{st}}) = 0$$

if $l \leq d-1$.

4.D. A further quotient. — We denote by $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$ the full subcategory of $D(B)\text{-mod}$ whose objects are the $D(B)$ -modules M such that $\text{Res}_B^{D(B)} M$ is a projective B -module. Since $D(B)$ is a free B -module (of rank d^2), $D(B)\text{-proj}$ is a full subcategory of $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$. We denote by $D(B)\text{-STAB}$ the quotient of the category $D(B)\text{-mod}$ by the full subcategory $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$: it is also the quotient of $D(B)\text{-stab}$ by the image of $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$ in $D(B)\text{-stab}$.

Lemma 4.7. — *The image of $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$ in $D(B)\text{-stab}$ is a thick triangulated subcategory. In particular, $D(B)\text{-STAB}$ is triangulated.*

Proof. — If M is a $D(B)$ -module, we denote by $\pi_M : P(M) \rightarrow M$ (resp. $i_M : M \hookrightarrow I(M)$) a projective cover (resp. injective hull) of M . We just need to prove the following facts:

- (a) If M belongs to $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$, then $\text{Ker}(\pi_M)$ and $I(M)/\text{Im}(i_M)$ also belong to $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$.
- (b) If $M \oplus N$ belongs to $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$, then M and N also belong to $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$.
- (c) If M and N belong to $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$ and $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism of $D(B)$ -modules, then the cone of f also belong to $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$.

(a) Assume that M belongs to $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$. Since M is a projective B -module, there exists a morphism of B -modules $f : M \rightarrow P(M)$ such that $\pi_M \circ f = \text{Id}_M$. In particular, $P(M) \simeq \text{Ker}(\pi_M) \oplus M$, as a B -module. So $\text{Ker}(\pi_M)$ is a projective B -module.

On the other hand, $I(M)$ is a projective $D(B)$ -module since $D(B)$ so it is a projective B -module and so it is an injective B -module. So, again, $I(M) \simeq M \oplus I(M)/\text{Im}(i_M)$, so $I(M)/\text{Im}(i_M)$ is a projective B -module. This proves (a).

(b) is obvious.

(c) Let M and N belong to $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$ and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism of $D(B)$ -modules. Let $\Delta_f : M \rightarrow I(M) \oplus N$, $m \mapsto (i_M(m), f(m))$. Then the cone of f is isomorphic in $D(B)\text{-stab}$ to $(I(M) \oplus N)/\text{Im}(\Delta_f)$. But Δ_f is injective, M is an injective B -module and so $I(M) \simeq M \oplus (I(M) \oplus N)/\text{Im}(\Delta_f)$ as a B -module, which shows that $(I(M) \oplus N)/\text{Im}(\Delta_f)$ is a projective B -module. \square

Since $D(B)$ -STAB is triangulated, we can define its Grothendieck group, which will be denoted by $\text{Gr}^{\text{ST}}(D(B))$. Also, if M belongs to $D(B)$ -PROJ and N is any $D(B)$ -module, then $M \otimes N$ and $N \otimes M$ are projective as B -modules [EGNO, Proposition 4.2.12], so $D(B)$ -STAB inherits a structure of monoidal category, compatible with the triangulated structure. This endows $\text{Gr}^{\text{ST}}(D(B))$ with a ring structure. The natural map $\text{Gr}(D(B)) \rightarrow \text{Gr}^{\text{ST}}(D(B))$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{m} \mapsto \mathbf{m}^{\text{ST}}$: it is a morphism of rings. Of course, this morphism factors through $\text{Gr}^{\text{st}}(D(B))$.

If $\lambda \in \Lambda^\#(d)$, then it follows from [EGST2, Property 1.4] that there exists a $D(B)$ -module P_λ^B which is projective as a B -module and such that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{\iota(\lambda)} \longrightarrow P_\lambda^B \longrightarrow M_\lambda \longrightarrow 0.$$

It then follows that

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbf{m}_\lambda^{\text{ST}} + \mathbf{m}_{\iota(\lambda)}^{\text{ST}} = 0.$$

Also, we still have

$$(4.9) \quad \mathbf{m}_{d,p}^{\text{ST}} = 0.$$

The next Theorem follows from (4.8), (4.9) and Proposition 4.3:

Theorem 4.10. — *The ring $\text{Gr}^{\text{ST}}(D(B))$ is generated by $\mathbf{v}_\zeta^{\text{ST}}$ and \mathbf{m}_2^{ST} . Moreover,*

$$\text{Gr}^{\text{ST}}(D(B)) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in [\Lambda^\#(d)/\iota]} \mathbb{Z} \mathbf{m}_\lambda^{\text{ST}}$$

and $\text{Gr}^{\text{ST}}(D(B))$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank $d(d-1)/2$.

Recall that Lemma 4.5 shows that, through the $\chi_{i,j}$'s, only $d(d+1)/2$ different characters of the ring $\text{Gr}(D(B))$ have been defined. It is not clear if $\mathbb{C} \text{Gr}(D(B))$ is semisimple in general but, for $d=2$, it can be checked that it is semisimple (of dimension 4), so that there is a fourth character $\text{Gr}(D(B)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is not obtained through the $\chi_{i,j}$'s.

Now, a character $\chi : \text{Gr}(D(B)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ factors through $\text{Gr}^{\text{ST}}(D(B))$ if and only if its kernel contains the \mathbf{m}_{λ_0} 's (where λ_0 runs over $\Lambda^0(d)$) and the $\mathbf{m}_\lambda + \mathbf{m}_{\iota(\lambda)}$'s (where λ runs over $\Lambda^\#(d)$). This implies the following result:

Theorem 4.11. — *The character $\chi_\lambda : \text{Gr}(D(B)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ factors through $\text{Gr}^{\text{ST}}(D(B))$ if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda^\#(d)$. So the $(\chi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in [\Lambda^\#(d)/\iota]}$ are all the characters of $\text{Gr}^{\text{ST}}(D(B))$ and the \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathbb{C} \text{Gr}^{\text{ST}}(D(B))$ is semisimple.*

4.E. Complements. — If \mathcal{C} is a monoidal category, we denote by $\mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ its *Drinfeld center* (see [Ka, §XIII.4]) and we denote by $\mathbf{For}_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathbf{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the forgetful functor.

It turns out that the category $\mathbf{Z}(B\text{-mod})$ is naturally equivalent to $D(B)\text{-mod}$ and that, through this equivalence, the forgetful functor just becomes the restriction functor $\text{Res}_B^{D(B)}$. We will denote by $\text{can}_{\text{st}}^{D(B)} : D(B)\text{-mod} \rightarrow D(B)\text{-stab}$, $\text{can}_{\text{st}}^B : B\text{-mod} \rightarrow B\text{-stab}$ and $\text{can}_{\text{ST}} : D(B)\text{-mod} \rightarrow D(B)\text{-STAB}$ the canonical functors. Then the functor

$$\text{can}_{\text{st}}^B \circ \text{Res}_B^{D(B)} : D(B)\text{-mod} \longrightarrow B\text{-stab}$$

factors through $\mathbf{Z}(B\text{-stab})$ so that we get a commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(B)\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_B^{D(B)}} & B\text{-mod} \\ \mathcal{F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{can}_{\text{st}}^B \\ \mathbf{Z}(B\text{-stab}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{For}_{B\text{-stab}}} & B\text{-stab}. \end{array}$$

But any $D(B)$ -module which is projective as a B -module is sent to the zero object of $\mathbf{Z}(B\text{-stab})$ through \mathcal{F} , so \mathcal{F} factors through $D(B)\text{-PROJ}$ and we get a commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & D(B)\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_B^{D(B)}} & B\text{-mod} \\ & \swarrow \text{can}_{\text{ST}}^{D(B)} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{can}_{\text{st}}^B \\ D(B)\text{-PROJ} & & \mathcal{F} & & \\ & \searrow \overline{\mathcal{F}} & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathbf{Z}(B\text{-stab}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{For}_{B\text{-stab}}} & B\text{-stab}. \end{array}$$

Question. Is $\overline{\mathcal{F}} : D(B)\text{-PROJ} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}(B\text{-stab})$ an equivalence of categories?

5. Fusion datum

5.A. Quantum traces. — The element $R \in D(B) \otimes D(B)$ defined in §1.F is a universal R -matrix which endows $D(B)$ with a structure of braided Hopf

algebra, the category $D(B)\text{-mod}$ is braided as follows: if M and N are two $D(B)$ -modules, then the braiding $c_{M,N} : M \otimes N \xrightarrow{\sim} N \otimes M$ is given by

$$c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = \tau(R)(n \otimes m).$$

Recall that $\tau : D(B) \otimes D(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(B) \otimes D(B)$ is given by $\tau(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$. In particular,

$$(5.1) \quad c_{N,M} c_{M,N} M \otimes N \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes N \text{ is given by the action by } \tau(R)R.$$

For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $S^2(b) = (z^{-i}K)b(z^{-i}K)^{-1}$ for all $b \in D(B)$ and $z^{-i}K$ is group-like, so the algebra $D(B)$ is *pivotal* with pivot $z^{-i}K$. This endows the tensor category $D(B)\text{-mod}$ with a structure of pivotal category (see Section A) whose associated traces $\text{Tr}_+^{(i)}$ and $\text{Tr}_-^{(i)}$ are given as follows: if M is a $D(B)$ -module and $f \in \text{End}_{D(B)}(M)$, then

$$\text{Tr}_+^{(i)}(f) = \mathbf{Tr}(z^{-i}Kf) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr}_-^{(i)}(f) = \mathbf{Tr}(fK^{-1}z^i).$$

Recall that \mathbf{Tr} denotes the ‘‘classical’’ trace for endomorphisms of a finite dimensional vector space. So the pivotal structure depends on the choice of i (modulo d). The corresponding twist is $\theta_i = z^i\theta$, which endows $D(B)\text{-mod}$ with a structure of balanced braided category (depending on i).

Hypothesis and notation. From now on, and until the end of this paper, we assume that the Hopf algebra $D(B)$ is endowed with the pivotal structure whose pivot is $z^{-1}K$. The structure of balanced braided category is given by $\theta_1 = z\theta$ and the associated quantum traces $\text{Tr}_\pm^{(1)}$ are denoted by Tr_\pm .
If M is a $D(B)$ -module, we set $\dim_\pm(M) = \text{Tr}_\pm(\text{Id}_M)$.

We define

$$\dim(D(B)) = \sum_{M \in \text{Irr} D(B)} \dim_-(M) \dim_+(M).$$

Then

$$(5.2) \quad \dim(D(B)) = \frac{2d^2}{(1-\zeta)(1-\zeta^{-1})}.$$

This follows easily from the fact that

$$(5.3) \quad \dim_+ M_{l,p} = \zeta^{1-l-p}(l)_\zeta \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_- M_{l,p} = \zeta^{p+l-1}(l)_{\zeta^{-1}} = \zeta^p(l)_\zeta.$$

5.B. Characters of $\text{Gr}(D(B))$ via the pivotal structure. — As in Section A, these structures (braiding, pivot) allow to define characters of $\text{Gr}(D(B))$ associated with (endo)simple modules. So, if $\lambda \in \Lambda(d)$, then we set

$$s_{M_\lambda}^+ : \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}(D(B)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ [M] & \longmapsto & (\text{Id}_{M_\lambda} \otimes \text{Tr}_+^M)(c_{M, M_\lambda} c_{M_\lambda, M}) \end{array}$$

and
$$s_{M_\lambda}^- : \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}(D(B)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ [M] & \longmapsto & (\text{Id}_{M_\lambda} \otimes \text{Tr}_-^M)(c_{M, M_\lambda} c_{M_\lambda, M}) \end{array}$$

These are morphism of rings (see Proposition A.4). The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 5.4. — *If $\lambda \in \Lambda(d)$, then*

$$s_{M_\lambda}^+ = \chi_{-\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad s_{M_\lambda}^- = \chi_{(0,1)-\lambda}.$$

Proof. — Write $\lambda = (l, p)$. For simplification, we set

$$\mathfrak{r}_{i,j,k} = \frac{\zeta^{(i-k)(i+j)-i(i+1)/2}}{(i)!_\zeta}.$$

Then

$$\tau(R)R = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{i,i',j,j',k,k'=0}^{d-1} \mathfrak{r}_{i,j,k} \mathfrak{r}_{i',j',k'} \zeta^{i(k'-j')} (z^{-k'} F^{i'} E^i K^{k'+j}) \otimes (z^{-k} E^{i'} F^i K^{j'+k}).$$

We need to compute the endomorphism of $M_{l,p}$ equal to $(\text{Id}_{M_{l,p}} \otimes \text{Tr}_+^M)(\tau(R)R|_{M_{l,p} \otimes M})$. Since $M_{l,p}$ is simple, this endomorphism is the multiplication by a scalar ϖ , and so it is sufficient to compute the action on $e_1^{(l,p)} \in M_{l,p}$. Therefore, all the terms (in the big sum giving $\tau(R)R$) corresponding to $i \neq 0$ disappear (because $E e_1^{(l,p)} = 0$). Also, since we are only interested in the coefficient on $e_1^{(l,p)}$ of the result (because the coefficients on other vectors will be zero), all the terms corresponding to $i' \neq 0$ also disappear. Therefore,

$$\varpi = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{j,j',k,k' \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{-kj-k'j'} (\zeta^{2p+l-1})^{-k'} (\zeta^{p+l-1})^{k'+j} \mathbf{Tr}(z^{-1} K z^{-k} K^{j'+k}|_M).$$

So it remains to compute the element

$$b = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{j,j',k,k' \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{-kj-k'j'} (\zeta^{2p+l-1})^{-k'} (\zeta^{p+l-1})^{k'+j} z^{-k-1} K^{j'+k+1}$$

of $D(B)$. But,

$$b = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{j', k \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{j, k' \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^{l(p+l-1-k)} \zeta^{k'(-p-j')} \right) z^{-k-1} K^{j'+k+1}.$$

So, only the terms corresponding to $k = l + p - 1$ and $j' = -p$ remain and so

$$b = z^{-l-p} K^l,$$

as expected.

The other formula is obtained through a similar computation. \square

We denote by $\mathbb{S}^\pm = (\mathbb{S}_{\lambda, \lambda'}^\pm)_{\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda(d)}$ the square matrix defined by

$$\mathbb{S}_{\lambda, \lambda'}^\pm = \text{Tr}_\pm(c_{M_{\lambda'}, M_\lambda} \circ c_{M_\lambda, M_{\lambda'}}).$$

Similarly, we define \mathbb{T}^\pm to be the diagonal matrix (whose rows and columns are indexed by $\lambda \in \Lambda(d)$) and whose λ -entry is

$$\mathbb{T}_\lambda^\pm = \omega_\lambda(\theta_1^{\pm 1}).$$

Let us first give a formula for $\mathbb{S}_{\lambda, \lambda'}^\pm$ and \mathbb{T}_λ^\pm .

Corollary 5.5. — *Let $(l, p), (l', p') \in \Lambda(d)$. Then*

$$\mathbb{S}_{(l,p),(l',p')}^+ = \frac{\zeta}{1-\zeta} \zeta^{-ll'-lp'-pp'-2pp'} (1-\zeta^{ll'}), \quad \mathbb{T}_{(l,p)}^+ = \zeta^{p(p+l)},$$

$$\mathbb{S}_{(l,p),(l',p')}^- = \frac{\zeta^{2p+l+2p'+l'-1}}{1-\zeta} \zeta^{-ll'-lp'-pp'-2pp'} (1-\zeta^{ll'}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}_{(l,p)}^- = \zeta^{-p(p+l)}.$$

Proof. — This follows immediately from (2.3), (5.3), (4.4) and Theorem 5.4. \square

5.C. Fusion datum associated with $D(B)$ -STAB. — Let \mathcal{E} denote a set of representatives of ι -orbits in $\{1, 2, \dots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. We then define arbitrarily

$$\dim^{\text{ST}}(D(B)) = \sum_{(l,p) \in \mathcal{E}} \dim_{-}(M_{l,p}) \dim_{+}(M_{l,p}).$$

We have no theoretical justification for this definition... Then

$$\dim^{\text{ST}}(D(B)) = \frac{1}{2} \dim(D(B)) = \frac{d^2}{(1-\zeta)(1-\zeta^{-1})}.$$

So $\dim^{\text{ST}} D(B)$ is a positive real number and we denote by $\sqrt{\dim^{\text{ST}} D(B)}$ its positive square root. Since $1 - \zeta^{-1} = -\zeta^{-1}(1 - \zeta)$, there exists a unique square root $\sqrt{-\zeta}$ of $-\zeta$ such that

$$\sqrt{\dim^{\text{ST}}(D(B))} = \frac{d \sqrt{-\zeta}}{1 - \zeta}.$$

We denote by $\mathbb{S}^{\text{ST}} = (\mathbb{S}_{\lambda, \lambda'})_{\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathcal{E}}$ the square matrix defined by

$$\mathbb{S}_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{\text{ST}} = \frac{\mathbb{S}_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{+}}{\sqrt{\dim^{\text{ST}}(D(B))}}.$$

Then it follows from Corollary 5.5 that

$$(5.6) \quad \mathbb{S}_{(l,p),(l',p')}^{\text{ST}} = \frac{\sqrt{-\zeta}}{d} \zeta^{-ll'-lp'-p'l-2pp'} (\zeta^{ll'} - 1).$$

6. Comparison with Malle \mathbb{Z} -fusion datum

We refer to [Ma] and [Cu] for most of the material of this section. We denote by $\mathcal{E}(d)$ the set of pairs (i, j) of natural numbers such that $0 \leq i < j \leq d-1$.

6.A. Set-up. — Let $Y = \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ and let $\pi : Y \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be the map defined by

$$\pi(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in \{0, 1\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

We denote by $\Psi(Y, \pi)$ the set of maps $f : Y \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ such that f is strictly increasing on $\pi^{-1}(0) = \{2, 3, \dots, d\}$ and strictly increasing on $\pi^{-1}(1) = \{0, 1\}$. Since f is injective on $\{2, 3, \dots, d\}$, there exists a unique element $\mathbf{k}(f) \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ which does not belong to $f(\{2, 3, \dots, d\})$. Note that, since f

is strictly increasing on $\{2, 3, \dots, d\}$, $\mathbf{k}(f)$ determines the restriction of f to $\{2, 3, \dots, d\}$. So the map

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Psi(Y, \pi) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(d) \times \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\} \\ f & \longmapsto & (f(0), f(1), \mathbf{k}(f)) \end{array}$$

is bijective. For $f \in \Psi(Y, \pi)$, we set

$$\varepsilon(f) = (-1)^{|\{(y, y') \in Y \times Y \mid y < y' \text{ and } f(y) < f(y')\}|}.$$

We denote by $V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d-1} \mathbb{C}v_i$ a vector space of dimension d endowed with a basis $(v_i)_{0 \leq i \leq d-1}$ and we denote by \mathcal{S} the square matrix $(\zeta^{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq d-1}$, which will be viewed as an automorphism of V . Note that \mathcal{S} is the character stable of the cyclic group μ_d . We set $\delta(d) = (-1)^{d(d-1)/2} \det(\mathcal{S}) = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq d-1} (\zeta^i - \zeta^j)$. Recall that

$$\delta(d)^2 = (-1)^{(d-1)(d-2)/2} d^d.$$

If $f \in \Psi(Y, \pi)$, let

$$\mathbf{v}_f = (v_{f(0)} \wedge v_{f(1)}) \otimes (v_{f(2)} \wedge v_{f(3)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{f(d)}) \in (\bigwedge^2 V) \otimes (\bigwedge^{d-1} V).$$

Then $(\mathbf{v}_f)_{f \in \Psi(Y, \pi)}$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of $(\bigwedge^2 V) \otimes (\bigwedge^{d-1} V)$. If $f' \in \Psi(Y, \pi)$, we write

$$((\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{S}) \otimes (\bigwedge^{d-1} \mathcal{S}))(\mathbf{v}_{f'}) = \sum_{f \in \Psi(Y, \pi)} \mathbf{S}_{f, f'} \mathbf{v}_f.$$

In other words, $(\mathbf{S}_{f, f'})_{f, f' \in \Psi(Y, \pi)}$ is the matrix of the automorphism $(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{S}) \otimes (\bigwedge^{d-1} \mathcal{S})$ of $(\bigwedge^2 V) \otimes (\bigwedge^{d-1} V)$ in the basis $(\mathbf{v}_f)_{f \in \Psi(Y, \pi)}$.

Lemma 6.2. — *Let $f, f' \in \Psi(Y, \pi)$. We define*

$$\begin{aligned} i &= f(0), & j &= f(1), & k &= \mathbf{k}(f), \\ i' &= f'(0), & j' &= f'(1), & k' &= \mathbf{k}(f'). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{S}_{f, f'} = (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\delta(d)}{d} \zeta^{-kk'} (\zeta^{ii'+jj'} - \zeta^{ij'+ji'}).$$

Proof. — The computation of the action of $\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{S}$ is easy, and gives the term $\zeta^{ii'+jj'} - \zeta^{ij'+ji'}$. So it remains to show that the determinant of the matrix $\mathcal{S}(k, k')$ obtained from \mathcal{S} by removing the k -th row and the k' -th column is equal to $(-1)^{k+k'} \zeta^{-kk'} \delta(d)/d$. For this, let $\mathcal{S}'(k)$ denote the matrix whose k -th row is equal to $(1, t, t^2, \dots, t^{d-1})$ (where t is an indeterminate) and whose other rows coincide with those of \mathcal{S} . Then $(-1)^{k+k'} \det(\mathcal{S}(k, k'))$ is equal to

the coefficient of t^k in the polynomial $\det(\mathcal{S}'(k))$. This is a Vandermonde determinant and

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathcal{S}'(k)) &= \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i < j \leq d-1 \\ i \neq k, j \neq k}} (\zeta^j - \zeta^i) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (t - \zeta^i) \cdot \prod_{i=k+1}^{d-1} (\zeta^i - t) \\ &= \delta(d) \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq k}}^{d-1} \frac{(t - \zeta^i)}{(\zeta^k - \zeta^i)}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq k}}^{d-1} (t - \zeta^i) = \frac{t^d - 1}{t - \zeta^k} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} t^i \zeta^{(d-1-i)k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\det(\mathcal{S}(k, k')) = (-1)^{k+k'} \delta(d) \frac{\zeta^{(d-1-k')k}}{d \zeta^{(d-1)k}} = (-1)^{k+k'} \frac{\delta(d)}{d} \zeta^{-kk'},$$

as desired. \square

6.B. Malle \mathbb{Z} -fusion datum. — Let

$$\Psi^\#(Y, \pi) = \{f \in \Psi(Y, \pi) \mid \sum_{y \in Y} f(y) \equiv \frac{d(d-1)}{2} \pmod{d}\}$$

and, if $f \in \Psi^\#(Y, \pi)$, we define

$$\text{Fr}(f) = \zeta_*^{d(1-d^2)} \prod_{y \in Y} \zeta_*^{-6(f(y)^2 + df(y))},$$

where ζ_* is a primitive $12d$ -th root of unity such that $\zeta_*^{12} = \zeta$.

We denote by \mathbb{T} diagonal matrix (whose rows and column are indexed by $\Psi^\#(Y, \pi)$) equal to $\text{diag}(\text{Fr}(f))_{f \in \Psi^\#(Y, \pi)}$. We denote by $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{S}_{f,g})_{f,g \in \Psi^\#(Y, \pi)}$ the square matrix defined by

$$\mathbb{S}_{f,g} = \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{\delta(d)} \varepsilon(f) \varepsilon(g) \mathbf{S}_{f,g}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{S}_{f, f_{0,1}} \neq 0$ for all $f \in \Psi^\#(Y, \pi)$ (see Lemma 6.2).

Proposition 6.3 (Malle [Ma]). — *With the previous notation, we have:*

- (a) $\mathbb{S}^4 = (\mathbb{S}\mathbb{T})^3 = [\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{T}] = 1$.
- (b) ${}^t\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}$ and ${}^t\overline{\mathbb{S}}\mathbb{S} = 1$.

(c) For all $f, g, h \in \Psi^\#(Y, \pi)$, the number

$$N_{f,g}^h = \sum_{i \in \Psi^\#(Y, \pi)} \frac{\mathbb{S}_{i,f} \mathbb{S}_{i,g} \overline{\mathbb{S}}_{i,h}}{\mathbb{S}_{i,f,0,1}}$$

belongs to \mathbb{Z} .

The pair (\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{T}) is called the *Malle \mathbb{Z} -fusion datum*.

6.C. Comparison. — We wish to compare the \mathbb{Z} -fusion datum (\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{T}) with the ones obtained from the tensor categories $D(B)\text{-mod}$ and $D(B)\text{-stab}$. For this, we will use the bijection (6.1) to characterize elements of $\Psi^\#(Y, \pi)$ (here, if $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then k^{res} denotes the unique element in $\{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ such that $k \equiv k^{\text{res}} \pmod{d}$):

Lemma 6.4. — Let $f \in \Psi(Y, \pi)$. Then $f \in \Psi^\#(Y, \pi)$ if and only if $\mathbf{k}(f) = (f(0) + f(1))^{\text{res}}$. Consequently, the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi^\#(Y, \pi) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(d) \\ f & \longmapsto & (f(0), f(1)) \end{array}$$

is bijective

Proof. — We have

$$\sum_{y \in Y} f(y) = f(0) + f(1) + \frac{d(d-1)}{2} - \mathbf{k}(f)$$

and the result follows. \square

If $(i, j) \in \Lambda(d)$, we denote by $f_{i,j}$ the unique element of $\Psi^\#(Y, \pi)$ such that $f_{i,j}(0) = i$ and $f_{i,j}(1) = j$. We have

$$(6.5) \quad \text{Fr}(f_{i,j}) = \zeta^{ij}$$

and, if $(i, j), (i', j') \in \Lambda(d)$, then

$$(6.6) \quad \mathbb{S}_{f_{i,j}, f_{i',j'}} = \frac{(-1)^{(i+j)^{\text{res}} + (i'+j')^{\text{res}}}}{d} \varepsilon(f_{i,j}) \varepsilon(f_{i',j'}) (\zeta^{-ij' - ji'} - \zeta^{-i'i - jj'}).$$

Proof. — The second equality follows immediately from Lemmas 6.2 and 6.4. Let us prove the first one. By definition, $\text{Fr}(f_{i,j}) = \zeta_*^\alpha$, where

$$\alpha = d(1-d^2) - 6 \sum_{y \in Y} (f_{i,j}(y)^2 + d f_{i,j}(y)).$$

The construction of $f_{i,j}$ shows that

$$\alpha = d(1-d^2) - 6(i^2 + di) - 6(j^2 + dj) - 6 \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (k^2 + dk) + 6(((i+j)^{\text{res}})^2 + d(i+j)^{\text{res}}).$$

Write $i+j = (i+j)^{\text{res}} + \eta d$, with $\eta \in \{0, 1\}$. Then $\eta^2 = \eta$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} (i+j)^2 + d(i+j) &= ((i+j)^{\text{res}})^2 + d(i+j)^{\text{res}} + 2\eta d(i+j) + 2\eta d^2 \\ &\equiv ((i+j)^{\text{res}})^2 + d(i+j)^{\text{res}} \pmod{2d}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\equiv 12ij + d(1-d^2) - 6 \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (k^2 + dk) \pmod{12d} \\ &\equiv 12ij \pmod{12d}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\text{Fr}(f_{i,j}) = \zeta_*^{12ij} = \zeta^{ij}$. □

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: \mathcal{E}(d) &\longrightarrow \Lambda^\#(d) \\ (i, j) &\longmapsto (j-i, i). \end{aligned}$$

The $\pi(\mathcal{E}(d))$ is a set of representatives of ι -orbits in $\Lambda^\#(d)$ and the pairs of matrices $(\mathbb{S}^{\text{ST}}, \mathbb{T}^{\text{ST}})$ and (\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{T}) are related by the following equality (which follows immediately from Corollary 5.5, (6.5) and (6.6)):

$$(6.7) \quad \mathbb{S}_{f_{i,j}, f_{i',j'}} = \sqrt{-\zeta} \mathbb{S}_{\varphi(i,j), \varphi(i',j')}^{\text{ST}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}_{f_{i,j}} = \mathbb{T}_{\varphi(i,j)}^{\text{ST}}.$$

Therefore:

Theorem 6.8. — *Malle \mathbb{Z} -fusion datum (\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{T}) can be categorified by the monoidal category $D(B)\text{-STAB}$, endowed with the pivotal structure induced by the pivot $z^{-1}K$ and the balanced structure induced by $z\theta$.*

Remark 6.9. — M. Broué, G. Malle & J. Michel have associated to a class of exceptional reflection groups (the *spetsial* ones) a set of “unipotent characters” and a partition of these unipotent characters into families. To each family, they have also associated a \mathbb{Z} -fusion datum (S, T) . It turns out that some of these \mathbb{Z} -fusion data can be categorified by Hopf quotients of the algebra $D(B)$ (this is investigated by A. Lacabanne in his Ph.D. Thesis). ■

A. Appendix. Recollection of S -matrices

We follow closely [EGNO, Chapters 4 and 8].

Let \mathcal{C} be a *tensor category* over \mathbb{C} , as defined in [EGNO, Definition 4.1.1]: \mathcal{C} is a locally finite \mathbb{C} -linear rigid monoidal category (whose unit object is denoted by $\mathbf{1}$) such that the bifunctor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is \mathbb{C} -bilinear on morphisms and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{C}$. If X is an object in \mathcal{C} , its left (respectively right) dual is denoted by X^* (respectively *X) and we denote by

$$\text{coev}_X : \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow X \otimes X^* \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ev}_X : X^* \otimes X \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}$$

the *coevaluation* and *evaluation* morphisms respectively.

We assume that \mathcal{C} is *braided*, namely that it is endowed with a bifunctorial family of isomorphisms $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X$ such that

$$(A.1) \quad c_{X,Y \otimes Y'} = (\text{Id}_Y \otimes c_{X,Y'}) \circ (c_{X,Y} \otimes \text{Id}_{Y'})$$

and

$$(A.2) \quad c_{X \otimes X',Y} = (c_{X,Y} \otimes \text{Id}_{X'}) \circ (\text{Id}_X \otimes c_{X',Y}).$$

for all objects X, X', Y and Y' in \mathcal{C} (we have omitted the associativity constraints).

Finally, we also assume that \mathcal{C} is *pivotal* [EGNO, Definition 4.7.8], i.e. that it is equipped with a family of functorial isomorphisms $a_X : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ (for X running over the objects of \mathcal{C}) such that $a_{X \otimes Y} = a_X \otimes a_Y$. If $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$, the pivotal structure allows to define two *traces*:

$$\text{Tr}_+(f) = \text{ev}_{X^*} \circ (a_X f \otimes \text{Id}_{X^*}) \circ \text{coev}_X \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{C}$$

and

$$\text{Tr}_-(f) = \text{ev}_X \circ (\text{Id}_{X^*} \otimes f a_X^{-1}) \circ \text{coev}_{X^*} \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbb{C}.$$

We will sometimes write $\text{Tr}_+^X(f)$ or $\text{Tr}_-^X(f)$ for $\text{Tr}_+(f)$ and $\text{Tr}_-(f)$. We define two *dimensions*

$$\dim_+(X) = \text{Tr}_+(\text{Id}_X) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_-(X) = \text{Tr}_-(\text{Id}_X).$$

To summarize, we will work under the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis and notation. We fix in this section a braided pivotal tensor category \mathcal{C} as above. We denote by $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ its Grothendieck ring. If X is an object in \mathcal{C} , we denote by $[X]$ its class in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$. The set of isomorphism classes of simple objects in \mathcal{C} will be denoted by $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$. If $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ and Y is any object in \mathcal{C} , we denote by $[Y : X]$ the multiplicity of X in a Jordan-Hölder series of Y .

A.A. S-matrices. — If X, Y are objects in \mathcal{C} , we set

$$s_{X,Y}^+ = (\text{Id}_X \otimes \text{Tr}_+^Y)(c_{Y,X} c_{X,Y}) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X).$$

and

$$s_{X,Y}^- = (\text{Id}_X \otimes \text{Tr}_-^Y)(c_{Y,X} c_{X,Y}) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X).$$

It is clear that they induce two morphisms of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s_X^+ : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \\ [Y] & \longmapsto & s_{X,Y}^+ \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} s_X^- : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \\ [Y] & \longmapsto & s_{X,Y}^- . \end{array}$$

Definition A.3. — An object X in \mathcal{C} is said *endominimal* if $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) = \mathbb{C}$.

For instance, a simple object is endominimal (and $\mathbf{1}$ is also endominimal, but $\mathbf{1}$ is simple in a tensor category [EGNO, Theorem 4.3.1]). Note also that an endominimal module is indecomposable. So if \mathcal{C} is moreover semisimple, then an object is endominimal if and only if it is simple.

If X is endominimal, then we will view $s_{X,Y}^+$ and $s_{X,Y}^-$ as elements of $\mathbb{C} = \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$.

Proposition A.4. — If X is endominimal, then $s_X^+ : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $s_X^- : \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are morphisms of rings.

Proof. — Assume that X is endominimal. We will only prove the result for s_X^+ , which amounts to show that

$$(*) \quad s_{X,Y \otimes Y'}^+ = s_{X,Y}^+ s_{X,Y'}^+.$$

First, note that the following equality

$$c_{Y \otimes Y', X} c_{X, Y \otimes Y'} = (c_{Y,X} \otimes \text{Id}_{Y'}) \circ (\text{Id}_Y \otimes c_{Y',X} c_{X,Y'}) \circ (c_{X,Y} \otimes \text{Id}_{Y'})$$

holds by (A.1) and (A.2). Taking $\text{Id}_X \otimes \text{Id}_Y \otimes \text{Tr}_+^{Y'}$ on the right-hand side, one gets $s_{X,Y}^+ c_{Y,X} c_{X,Y} \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes Y)$ (because X is endominimal). Applying now $\text{Id}_X \otimes \text{Tr}_+^Y$, one get $s_{X,Y'}^+ s_{X,Y}^+ \text{Id}_X$. Since

$$(\text{Id}_X \otimes \text{Tr}_+^Y) \circ (\text{Id}_X \otimes \text{Id}_Y \otimes \text{Tr}_+^{Y'}) = \text{Id}_X \otimes \text{Tr}_+^{Y \otimes Y'},$$

this proves (*). □

Proposition A.5. — Let X be endominimal and let X' be an endominimal subquotient of X . Then

$$s_X^+ = s_{X'}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad s_X^- = s_{X'}^-.$$

Proof. — This is just because the endomorphism $(\text{Id}_X \otimes \text{Tr}_+^Y)(c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y})$ of X is a multiplication by a scalar, and this scalar can be computed on any non-trivial subquotient of X . \square

Corollary A.6. — *Let X and X' be two endominimal objects in \mathcal{C} belonging to the same block. Then*

$$s_X^+ = s_{X'}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad s_X^- = s_{X'}^-.$$

Proof. — By Proposition A.5, we may assume that X and X' are simple. We may also assume that X is not isomorphic to X' and that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(X, X') = 0$. So let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}$ be such that there exists a non-split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X' \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $X \not\cong X'$, we have $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{C}$ and so \mathbf{X} is endominimal. Then it follows from Proposition A.5 that

$$s_{\mathbf{X}}^+ = s_X^+ = s_{X'}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad s_{\mathbf{X}}^- = s_X^- = s_{X'}^-,$$

as desired. \square

References

- [BMM] M. BROUÉ, J. MICHEL & G. MALLE, *Split spetses for primitive reflection groups*, *Astérisque* **359** (2014), vi+146 pp.
- [Ch1] H.-X. CHEN, *Irreducible representations of a class of quantum doubles*, *Journal of Algebra* **225** (2000), 391-409.
- [Ch2] H.-X. CHEN, *Finite-dimensional representations of a quantum double*, *Journal of Algebra* **251** (2002), 751-789.
- [Cu] M. CUNTZ, *Fusion algebras for imprimitive complex reflection groups*, *J. Algebra* **311** (2007), 251-267.
- [EGST1] K. ERDMANN, E.L. GREEN, N. SNASHALL & R. TAILLEFER, *Representation theory of the Drinfeld doubles of a family of Hopf algebras*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **204** (2006), 413-454.
- [EGST2] K. ERDMANN, E.L. GREEN, N. SNASHALL & R. TAILLEFER, *Representation theory of the drinfeld doubles of a family of hopf algebras II: corrections and new results*, preprint (2016), [arXiv:1609.03831](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.03831).
- [EGNO] P. ETINGOF, S. GELAKI, D. NIKSHYCH & V. OSTRIK, *Tensor categories*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, **205**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015, xvi+343 pp.
- [Ka] C. KASSEL, *Quantum groups*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **155**, Springer-Verlag, 1995, xii+531 pp.

- [Ma] G. MALLE, Unipotente Grade imprimitiver komplexer Spiegelungsgruppen, *J. Algebra* **177** (1995), 768-826.

August 31, 2017

CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ, Institut Montpellierain Alexander Grothendieck (CNRS: UMR 5149), Université Montpellier 2, Case Courrier 051, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 MONTPELLIER Cedex, FRANCE • *E-mail*: `cedric.bonnafe@umontpellier.fr`

RAPHAËL ROUQUIER, UCLA Mathematics Department Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA
E-mail: `rouquier@math.ucla.edu`