



HAL
open science

Link between cyclicity of a vector in ℓ^p spaces and zero set of its Fourier transform

Florian Le Manach

► **To cite this version:**

Florian Le Manach. Link between cyclicity of a vector in ℓ^p spaces and zero set of its Fourier transform. 2017. hal-01570349v1

HAL Id: hal-01570349

<https://hal.science/hal-01570349v1>

Preprint submitted on 29 Jul 2017 (v1), last revised 9 Jan 2018 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

LINK BETWEEN CYCLICITY OF A VECTOR IN ℓ^p SPACES AND ZERO SET OF ITS FOURIER TRANSFORM

FLORIAN LE MANACH

ABSTRACT. We study the cyclicity of vectors u in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$. It is known that a vector u is cyclic in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if the zero set, $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$, of its Fourier transform, \hat{u} , has Lebesgue measure zero and $\log |\hat{u}| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$, where \mathbb{T} is the unit circle. Here we show that, unlike $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, there is no characterization of the cyclicity of u in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 < p < 2$, in terms of $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$ and the divergence of the integral $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |\hat{u}|$. Moreover we give both necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for u to be cyclic in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 < p < 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper we will study cyclic vector in ℓ^p spaces for $1 < p < 2$. A vector $u = (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ is called *cyclic* in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ if the linear span of $\{(u_{n-k})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ and u is called *bicyclic* in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ if the linear span of $\{(u_{n-k})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that the bicyclicity in this paper is defined as cyclicity in [13] and [14].

We denote by \mathbb{T} the unit circle of \mathbb{C} . The Fourier transform of $u = (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$\hat{u} : \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u_n \zeta^n$$

and we denote by $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$ the zero set on \mathbb{T} of \hat{u} :

$$\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u}) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}, \hat{u}(\zeta) = 0\}.$$

Note that if $u \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ then \hat{u} is continuous and $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$ is well defined, while if $u \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ then $\hat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$ is defined modulo null sets.

Wiener showed in [18] that the bicyclicity of a sequence u in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is characterized in term of only $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$: u is bicyclic in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u}) = \emptyset$ and u is bicyclic in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$ has Lebesgue measure zero. Wiener asked if the same phenomenon holds for $u \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 < p < 2$.

Lev and Olevskii, in [14], answered this question negatively. We cannot characterize the bicyclicity of $u \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ in term of only the zero set of \hat{u} : for $1 < p < 2$ there exist $u, v \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u}) = \mathcal{Z}(\hat{v})$ and one is bicyclic in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ and the other is not. Here we consider a similar problem for cyclicity in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 < p < 2$.

Date: July 29, 2017.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. primary 47A16; secondary 47B37, 43A15, 31C15.

Key words and phrases. Cyclicity, weighted ℓ^p spaces.

It follows from Wiener characterization about bicyclic vectors and Szegő infimum that a vector u is cyclic in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$ has Lebesgue measure zero and $\log |\hat{u}| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$ (see [16, Corollary 4.1.2, Theorem 4.1.4]). Furthermore when u is cyclic in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ then u is cyclic in $\ell^q(\mathbb{Z})$ for $q \geq p$. A direct consequence of this fact is that, for $u \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, if u is cyclic in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$ has Lebesgue measure zero and $\log |\hat{u}| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$. It follows from this and Wiener characterization of bicyclic vectors in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ that there is no cyclic vectors in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ (see also [1] for a different proof).

The existence of cyclic vector on $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$, for $p > 1$, was established by Abakumov, Atzmon and Grivaux in [1, Corollary 1] in 2007. Note that this result was proved first by Olevskii in an unpublished manuscript in 1998 (see [1, Remark 1]).

We show that for sequences $u \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\log |\hat{u}| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$, there is no characterization of the cyclic vectors u in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ in term of only $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p < 2$. There exist u and v in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u}) = \mathcal{Z}(\hat{v})$, $\log |\hat{u}| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$, $\log |\hat{v}| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$, with u not cyclic in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ and v cyclic in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Until now we do not know more than the existence of cyclic vectors in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$. Here we give, when \hat{u} is smooth, both necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for u to be cyclic in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$, $1 < p < 2$ and in a more general case: the weighted ℓ^p spaces. In particular this allows to construct explicit examples of cyclic vectors in weighted ℓ^p spaces. Here are some results that we get: for $u \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ and $1 < p < 2$,

- if $\hat{u} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})) < 2(p-1)/p$ and $\log |\hat{u}| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$ then u is cyclic in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$,
- if $\hat{u} \in \text{Lip}_\delta(\mathbb{T})$ for $\delta > 1/p - 1/2$, $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})) < 2(p-1)/p$ and $\log(d(\cdot, \mathcal{Z}(\hat{u}))) \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$ then u is cyclic in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$,

where \dim is the Hausdorff dimension (see [10, Chap II]), $d(\cdot, \mathcal{Z}(\hat{u}))$ is the euclidian distance to $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$ and $f \in \text{Lip}_\delta(\mathbb{T})$ means that there exists $C > 0$

$$|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta')| \leq C|\zeta - \zeta'|^\delta, \quad \zeta, \zeta' \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (1.1)$$

Let E be a closed subset of \mathbb{T} . The set E is called a Carleson set if $\log d(\cdot, E) \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. This condition is equivalent to E has a Lebesgue measure zero and $\sum_n |I_n| \log |I_n| > -\infty$, where (I_n) are the complementary intervals of E . Note that if $\hat{u} \in \text{Lip}_\delta(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{u})$ is not a Carleson set then $\log |\hat{u}| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we give preliminary results, in section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 and section 4 is devoted to establish both necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for cyclicity in weighted $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$.

We use the following notations:

- $A \lesssim B$ means that there is an absolute constant C such that $A \leq CB$.
- $A \asymp B$ if both $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a topological linear space of complex functions on \mathbb{T} such that the shift operator S , given by

$$S(f)(\zeta) = \zeta f(\zeta)$$

is a topological isomorphism of X onto itself. Let $f \in X$ and $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $[f]_{\Lambda}^X$ the closed linear span of $\{z^n f, n \in \Lambda\}$. We say that $f \in X$ is *cyclic* in X if $[f]_{\mathbb{N}}^X = X$ and f is *bicyclic* in X if $[f]_{\mathbb{Z}}^X = X$. Note that f is cyclic in X if and only if f is bicyclic in X and $[f]_{\mathbb{N}}^X = [f]_{\mathbb{Z}}^X$. Moreover

$$[f]_{\mathbb{N}}^X = [f]_{\mathbb{Z}}^X \iff f \in [f]_{\mathbb{N}^*}^X \quad (2.1)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ the set of trigonometric polynomials on \mathbb{T} and by $\mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T})$ the set of analytic polynomials on \mathbb{T} . Now we suppose, in addition, that X is a Banach space such that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ is dense in X . Then $f \in X$ is bicyclic in X if and only if there exists a sequence (P_n) of trigonometric polynomials such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|1 - P_n f\|_X = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

So we obtain, from this and (2.1), the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f \in X$. The function f is cyclic in X if and only if there exist $P_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ and $Q_n \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying*

$$\|1 - P_n f\|_X \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f - z Q_n f\|_X \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Now we study the weighted ℓ^p spaces. We denote by $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T})$ the set of distributions on \mathbb{T} and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ the set of measures on \mathbb{T} . For $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\widehat{S}(n)$ the n th Fourier coefficient of S defined by $\widehat{S}(n) = \langle S, z^{-n} \rangle$ and we write $S = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{S}(n) z^n$. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ we have

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\zeta) \zeta^{-n} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi}.$$

For $p \geq 1$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the Banach spaces

$$\ell_{\beta}^p(\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \|(u_n)\|_{\ell_{\beta}^p}^p = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |u_n|^p (1 + |n|)^{p\beta} < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$A_{\beta}^p(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}), \|S\|_{A_{\beta}^p}^p = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{S}(n)|^p (1 + |n|)^{p\beta} < \infty \right\}.$$

We will write $A^p(\mathbb{T})$ for the space $A_0^p(\mathbb{T})$ and $A(\mathbb{T})$ for the space $A^1(\mathbb{T})$ which is the Wiener algebra. The Fourier transformation is an isometric isomorphism between $\ell_{\beta}^p(\mathbb{Z})$ and $A_{\beta}^p(\mathbb{T})$ since for $S \in A_{\beta}^p(\mathbb{T})$ we have

$$\|S\|_{A_{\beta}^p} = \|(\widehat{S}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell_{\beta}^p}.$$

Moreover, for a sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\widehat{(u_{n-k})} = z^k \widehat{(u_n)}$, so u is cyclic in $\ell_{\beta}^p(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if \widehat{u} is cyclic in $A_{\beta}^p(\mathbb{T})$. From now we will state and prove our results in $A_{\beta}^p(\mathbb{T})$. Note that if $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\beta \geq 0$, $A_{\beta}^p(\mathbb{T})$ is a subset $L^2(\mathbb{T})$.

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\beta \geq 0$ we define the product of $f \in A_\beta^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $S \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ by

$$fS = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k) \widehat{S}(n-k) \right) z^n,$$

and we see that $\|fS\|_{A_\beta^p} \leq \|f\|_{A_\beta^1} \|S\|_{A_\beta^p}$.

The following lemmas gives us different inclusions between the $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ spaces.

Lemma 2.2 ([13]). *Let $1 \leq r, s < \infty$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.*

- (1) *If $r \leq s$ then $A_\beta^r(\mathbb{T}) \subset A_\gamma^s(\mathbb{T}) \iff \gamma \leq \beta$.*
- (2) *If $r > s$ then $A_\beta^r(\mathbb{T}) \subset A_\gamma^s(\mathbb{T}) \iff \beta - \gamma > \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{r}$.*

For $p \neq 1$, the dual space of $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ can be identified with $A_{-\beta}^q(\mathbb{T})$ ($q = \frac{p}{p-1}$) by the following formula

$$\langle S, T \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{S}(n) \widehat{T}(-n), \quad S \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T}), T \in A_{-\beta}^q(\mathbb{T}).$$

We denote by $\text{supp}(S)$ the support of the distribution S and $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ the zero set of the function f . For $\delta \geq 0$ we recall that $\text{Lip}_\delta(\mathbb{T})$ denote the set of functions f defined on \mathbb{T} which satisfies (1.1).

We need the following proposition which gives a necessary condition for a function $f \in \text{Lip}_\delta(\mathbb{T})$ to be bicyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$.

Proposition 2.3 ([8]). *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $q = p/(p-1)$ and $f \in A(\mathbb{T})$. If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f \in \text{Lip}_\delta(\mathbb{T})$ and if there exists $S \in A^q(\mathbb{T}) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\text{supp}(S) \subset \mathcal{Z}(f)$ then f is not bicyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$.*

3. NO CHARACTERIZATION OF CYCLICITY IN TERMS OF ZERO SET

The following Theorem is a reformulation of Theorem 1.1 in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 < p < 2$. There exist f and g in $A(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(f) = \mathcal{Z}(g)$, $\log|f| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$, $\log|g| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$ with f not cyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$ and g cyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$.*

For the proof we need some notations and definitions. Let

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \{f \in A(\mathbb{T}), f(1) = 0\}$$

and \mathcal{J}_1 the closure in $A(\mathbb{T})$ of the set

$$\{f \in A(\mathbb{T}), 1 \notin \text{supp}(f)\}.$$

Since $\{1\}$ is a set of synthesis (see [10, Theorem IV] p. 123 or [7, Appendix 3] pp. 416-418), we have $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{J}_1$. Contrary to the space $A(\mathbb{T})$, \mathcal{I}_1 admits cyclic vectors. Moreover, by [1, Proposition 2], the set of cyclic vectors in \mathcal{I}_1 is a dense G_δ subset of \mathcal{I}_1 .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{I}_1$. If f is cyclic in \mathcal{I}_1 then f is cyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$ for all $p > 1$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{I}_1$ cyclic in \mathcal{I}_1 and $\varepsilon > 0$. We denote h_k , for $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the function defined on \mathbb{T} by

$$h_k(z) = \frac{z - 1}{z - 1 - 1/k}.$$

Clearly $h_k \in \mathcal{I}_1$. Moreover for $z \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$h_k(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(1+1/k)^n}.$$

Thus for $p > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|1 - h_k\|_{A^p}^p &= \frac{1}{(k+1)^p} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+1/k)^{pn}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)^p} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{(1+1/k)^p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)^p - k^p} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{pk^{p-1}} \end{aligned}$$

So for fixed $p > 1$, we choose $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\|1 - h_k\|_{A^p} < \varepsilon$. Since f is cyclic in \mathcal{I}_1 , there exist P and Q in $\mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$\|h_k - Pf\|_{A^1} < \varepsilon \quad \text{et} \quad \|f - zQf\|_{A^1} < \varepsilon.$$

We obtain that $\|f - zQf\|_{A^p} < \varepsilon$ and

$$\|1 - Pf\|_{A^p} \leq \|1 - h_k\|_{A^p} + \|h_k - Pf\|_{A^1} < 2\varepsilon.$$

This proves, by proposition 2.1, that f is cyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$ for all $p > 1$. \square

To prove our main Theorem of this section, we need to introduce the notion of Helson sets.

Definition 3.3 ([10], Chap XI). *A compact set $K \subset \mathbb{T}$ is called a Helson set if it satisfies any one of the following equivalent conditions:*

- (i) *if $f \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ then there exists $g \in A(\mathbb{T})$ such that $g|_K = f$,*
- (ii) *there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset K$ we have*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\mu}(n)| \geq \delta_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}} |d\mu|,$$

- (iii) *there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset K$ we have*

$$\limsup_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\mu}(n)| \geq \delta_2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} |d\mu|.$$

By (iii), a Helson set does not support any non zero measure μ such that $\hat{\mu}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand Körner shows, in [12], that there exists a Helson set which support a non zero distribution S such that $\hat{S}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$ (see also Kaufman in [11] for a simple proof). Lev and Olevskii extend significantly this result by showing the following Theorem which is the key of their result about bicyclicity in $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 3.4 ([14], Theorem 3). *For $q > 2$, there exists a Helson set K which supports a non-zero distribution $S \in A^q(\mathbb{T})$.*

We need also the following lemma obtained by Lev and Olevskii.

Lemma 3.5 ([14], Lemma 10). *Let K be a Helson set. For $\varepsilon > 0$, $p > 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(K)$, there exists $g \in A(\mathbb{T})$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} g|_K = f, \\ \|g\|_{A^1} \leq \frac{1}{\delta_2} \|f\|_\infty, \\ \|g\|_{A^p} < \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

where δ_2 is a constant which satisfies (iii) in the definition 3.3.

The main result, Theorem 3.1, is a consequence of the following Theorem which is an analogue of Lev and Olevskii result.

Theorem 3.6. *Let K be a Helson set on \mathbb{T} . There exists $g \in A(\mathbb{T})$ such that g vanishes on K and such that g is cyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$ for all $p > 1$.*

Proof. Let K be a Helson set on \mathbb{T} . We define

$$\mathcal{I}(K) = \{g \in A(\mathbb{T}), g|_K = 0\}$$

which, endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{A^1}$, is a Banach space. We define

$$\mathcal{G}(K) = \{g \in \mathcal{I}(K), g \text{ is cyclic in } A^p(\mathbb{T}), \forall p > 1\}.$$

We will show that $\mathcal{G}(K)$ is a dense G_δ subset of $\mathcal{I}(K)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p > 1$, we consider the set

$$G(\varepsilon, p) = \{g \in \mathcal{I}(K), \exists P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}), \exists Q \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T}), \|1 - Pg\|_{A^p} < \varepsilon \text{ and } \|g - zQg\|_{A^p} < \varepsilon\}.$$

Let $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n}$ and $p_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$. To use a Baire Category argument, we will show that

- (i) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G(\varepsilon_n, p_n) = \mathcal{G}(K)$
- (ii) For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p > 1$, $G(\varepsilon, p)$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{I}(K)$
- (iii) For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p > 1$, $G(\varepsilon, p)$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{I}(K)$.

(i) : Let $g \in \mathcal{I}(K)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exist $P_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ and $Q_n \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying $\|1 - P_n g\|_{A^{p_n}} < \varepsilon_n$ and $\|g - zQ_n g\|_{A^{p_n}} < \varepsilon_n$.

Since for all $p > 1$, there exists N such that for all $n \geq N$ we have $p > p_n$,

$$\|1 - P_n g\|_{A^p} \leq \|1 - P_n g\|_{A^{p_n}} < \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\|g - zQ_n g\|_{A^p} \leq \|g - zQ_n g\|_{A^{p_n}} < \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By proposition 2.1, g is cyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$ for all $p > 1$ and thus we have $g \in \mathcal{G}(K)$. The other inclusion is clear.

(ii) follows from the fact that, for $f \in A(\mathbb{T})$ and $g \in A^p(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\|fg\|_{A^p} \leq \|f\|_{A^1} \|g\|_{A^p}.$$

(iii) : Let $g_0 \in \mathcal{I}(K)$. We will show that for all $\eta > 0$, there exists $g \in G(\varepsilon, p)$ such that $\|g - g_0\|_{A^1} < \eta$. Without loss of generality, we suppose $1 \in K$. So we have $g_0 \in \mathcal{I}_1$. Since K is a Helson set, we consider δ_2 the constant satisfying the condition (iii) of Definition 3.3. Since the set of cyclic vectors in \mathcal{I}_1 is dense in \mathcal{I}_1 (see [1]), there exists a function h cyclic in \mathcal{I}_1 such that

$$\|h - g_0\|_{A^1} < \frac{\delta_2}{1 + \delta_2} \eta.$$

Hence for all $t \in K$,

$$|h(t)| = |h(t) - g_0(t)| \leq \|h - g_0\|_{\infty} \leq \|h - g_0\|_{A^1} < \frac{\delta_2}{1 + \delta_2} \eta.$$

Since h is cyclic in \mathcal{I}_1 , by lemma 3.2, h is also cyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$. By proposition 2.1, there exist $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying $\|1 - Ph\|_{A^p} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\|h - zQh\|_{A^p} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists $f \in A(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} f|_K = h|_K \\ \|f\|_{A^1} \leq \frac{\|h|_K\|_{\infty}}{\delta_2} < \frac{\eta}{1 + \delta_2} \\ \|f\|_{A^p} < \min\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\|P\|_{A^1}}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|1 - zQ\|_{A^1}}\right) \end{cases}$$

Let $g = h - f$. We have $g \in \mathcal{I}(K)$ since $f|_K = h|_K$. Moreover $g \in G(\varepsilon, p)$ since

$$\|1 - Pg\|_{A^p} \leq \|1 - Ph\|_{A^p} + \|P\|_{A^1} \|f\|_{A^p} < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\|g - zQg\|_{A^p} \leq \|h - zQh\|_{A^p} + \|1 - zQ\|_{A^1} \|f\|_{A^p} < \varepsilon.$$

Finally we have

$$\|g - g_0\|_{A^1} \leq \|h - g_0\|_{A^1} + \|f\|_{A^1} < \frac{\delta_2}{1 + \delta_2} \eta + \frac{\eta}{1 + \delta_2} < \eta.$$

Hence $G(\varepsilon, p)$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{I}(K)$.

By Baire Category argument, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G(\varepsilon_n, p_n)$ is a dense G_{δ} subset of $\mathcal{I}(K)$. In particular $\mathcal{G}(K)$ is not empty. \square

Proof. (of Theorem 3.1) Let K be a Helson set given by Theorem 3.4. By Theorem 3.6, there exists $g \in A(\mathbb{T})$ such that g vanishes on K and such that g is cyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$. This implies in particular that $\log|g| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover there exists $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(f) = \mathcal{Z}(g)$ and $\log|f| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$ (take $f(\zeta) = e^{-1/d(\zeta, \mathcal{Z}(g))}$ if $\zeta \notin \mathcal{Z}(g)$ and 0 otherwise). By Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 3.4, f is not bicyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$ so not cyclic in $A^p(\mathbb{T})$. \square

4. CYCLICITY IN WEIGHTED l^p SPACES

In the following we denote $q = p/(p-1)$. This section is devoted to give both necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for f to be cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$, when f is smooth, for $1 < p < 2$ and $0 \leq \beta q \leq 1$. When $\beta q > 1$, there is no cyclic vector in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. Indeed, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\beta \geq 0$, $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ is a Banach algebra of continuous functions if and only if $\beta q > 1$ (see [6]). So, if f is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$, when $\beta q > 1$, then $\mathcal{Z}(f) = \emptyset$ and $\log |f| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$ which is impossible since f is continuous. In particular the conditions obtained in this section allow to construct explicit examples of cyclic vectors. We also study the density of the set of cyclic vectors in weighted $l^p(\mathbb{Z})$.

4.1. Conditions for the cyclicity. We denote H^∞ the space of functions $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying $\hat{f}(n) = 0$ for all $n < 0$. It is well known that H^∞ can be identified with the space of bounded holomorphic functions on the disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$. Recall that outer functions are given by

$$f(z) = \exp \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} \log \varphi(\zeta) \frac{|d\zeta|}{2\pi}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where φ is a positive function such that $\log \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Note that the radial limit of f , noted also by f , exists a.e. and $|f| = \varphi$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} .

Proposition 4.1. *Let $1 < p < 2$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $f \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T})$ with $\alpha > 1/p - 1/2 + \beta$. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) $[f]_{\mathbb{N}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})} = [f]_{\mathbb{Z}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$
- (2) $\inf \left\{ \|Pf\|_{A_\beta^p}, P \in H^\infty, Pf \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T}), P(0) = 1 \right\} = 0$

Note that, by Lemma 2.2, $\alpha > 1/p - 1/2 + \beta$ implies that $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T}) \subset A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.

Proof. We suppose first $[f]_{\mathbb{N}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})} = [f]_{\mathbb{Z}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$. By proposition 2.1, there exists $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\lim \|f - zP_n f\|_{A_\beta^p} = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $1 - zP_n \in H^\infty$, we have

$$\inf \left\{ \|Pf\|_{A_\beta^p}, P \in H^\infty, Pf \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T}), P(0) = 1 \right\} = 0.$$

Now suppose that (2) holds. Let $P_n \in H^\infty$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $P_n(0) = 1$, $P_n f \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T})$ and $\lim \|P_n f\|_{A_\beta^p} = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We write $P_n = 1 - zQ_n$ with $Q_n \in H^\infty$. So we have, $\lim \|zQ_n f - f\|_{A_\beta^p} = 0$. Recall that $[f]_{\mathbb{N}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})} = [f]_{\mathbb{Z}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$ holds if and only if $f \in [f]_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$. Thus it suffices to show that $zQ_n f \in [f]_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$ since $[f]_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$ is a closed subset of $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. Note that $zQ_n f \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T})$ since $P_n f$ and f are in $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T})$. So we will show the following claim : if $Q \in H^\infty$ verifies $zQf \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T})$ then $zQf \in [f]_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$.

We note, for $0 < r < 1$, $Q_r(z) = Q(rz)$. We have $zQ_r f \in [f]_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$ since for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\tilde{Q}_r \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\|Q_r - \tilde{Q}_r\|_{A_\beta^1} < \varepsilon$ and $\|zQ_r f - z\tilde{Q}_r f\|_{A_\beta^p} \leq \|Q_r - \tilde{Q}_r\|_{A_\beta^1} \|f\|_{A_\beta^p}$.

$\tilde{Q}_r \|z f\|_{A_\beta^p} < \varepsilon \|z f\|_{A_\beta^p}$. Moreover, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, $[f]_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$ is weakly closed in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. So it suffices to show that $z Q_r f \rightarrow z Q f$ weakly in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ as $r \rightarrow 1$. Let $g \in A_{-\beta}^q(\mathbb{T})$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$. There exists a sequence (g_n) in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\lim \|g - g_n\|_{A_{-\beta}^q} = 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle z Q_r f - z Q f, g \rangle| &\leq |\langle z Q_r f - z Q f, g - g_n \rangle| + |\langle z Q_r f - z Q f, g_n \rangle| \\ &\leq \|z Q_r f - z Q f\|_{A_\beta^p} \|g - g_n\|_{A_{-\beta}^q} + \\ &\quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} (z Q_r f - z Q f)(\zeta) g_n(\zeta) |d\zeta| \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|z Q_r f - z Q f\|_{A_\beta^p} \leq \|z Q_r f - z Q f\|_{A_\alpha^2}$, we can show, with the same calculus that in [17, proposition 3.4] and [4], that $\|z Q_r f - z Q f\|_{A_\beta^p}$ remains bounded as $r \rightarrow 1$. Moreover $\|g - g_n\|_{A_{-\beta}^q} \rightarrow 0$ and, by the dominated convergence theorem, $\int_{\mathbb{T}} |(z Q_r f - z Q f)(\zeta) g_n(\zeta)| |d\zeta| \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ since $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. So $z Q_r f \rightarrow z Q f$ weakly in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ and $z Q f \in [f]_{\mathbb{N}^*}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$. This completes the proof. \square

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2 ([2] pp. 7-8). *Let E be a closed subset of \mathbb{T} with Lebesgue measure zero and such that $\log(d(\cdot, E)) \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Let $\delta' > 1/2$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that $2\delta' - \gamma - 1 \geq 0$. Let F_ε be the outer function F_ε satisfying*

$$|F_\varepsilon(\zeta)| = (d(\zeta, E)^\gamma + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{T}$$

and

$$M_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left(\frac{1}{d(\zeta, E)^\gamma + \varepsilon} \right) d\zeta.$$

For all $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} d(\zeta', E)^{2(\delta' - \gamma)} \frac{|F_\varepsilon(\zeta) - F_\varepsilon(\zeta')|^2}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^2} |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| \lesssim M_\varepsilon.$$

The following result was obtained in [2] for $p = 2$ and $\beta = 1/2$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $1 < p < 2$ and $\beta > 0$ such that $\beta q < 1$. Let $f \in \text{Lip}_\delta(\mathbb{T})$, where $\delta > \beta + 1/p - 1/2$. We suppose that $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ has Lebesgue measure zero. If*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log d(\zeta, \mathcal{Z}(f)) |d\zeta| = -\infty$$

then

$$[f]_{\mathbb{Z}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})} = [f]_{\mathbb{N}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Futhermore, if $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(f)) < \frac{2}{q}(1 - \beta q)$ then f is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.

Proof. Let $\alpha > 0$ such that $\beta + 1/p - 1/2 < \alpha < \min(\delta, 1/2)$. First we have $f \in \text{Lip}_\delta(\mathbb{T}) \subset A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T}) \subset A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. Indeed by Dougl'a's formula (see [17, section 5]), $f \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T})$ if and only if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f) = \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta')|^2}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^{1+2\alpha}} |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| < \infty.$$

Moreover we have

$$\|f\|_{A_\alpha^2}^2 \asymp \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 + \mathcal{D}_\alpha(f). \quad (4.1)$$

Thus if $f \in \text{Lip}_\delta(\mathbb{T})$ then

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(f) \lesssim \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^{1+2\alpha-2\delta}} |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| < \infty$$

and we get $f \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T})$. On the other hand, by proposition 2.2, we have $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T}) \subset A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.

By proposition 4.1, it suffices to show that

$$\inf \left\{ \|Pf\|_{A_\beta^p}, P \in H^\infty, Pf \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{T}), P(0) = 1 \right\} = 0$$

to complete the proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that $\gamma < \delta - \alpha$. We define p_ε to be the outer function satisfying

$$|p_\varepsilon(\zeta)| = \frac{e^{-M_\varepsilon}}{(d(\zeta, E)^\gamma + \varepsilon)^{1/2}} \quad a.e.$$

where $E = \mathcal{Z}(f)$ and

$$M_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left(\frac{1}{d(\zeta, E)^\gamma + \varepsilon} \right) |d\zeta|$$

so that $p_\varepsilon(0) = 1$. Since $\log d(\cdot, E) \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$, $M_\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We will show that

$$\|p_\varepsilon f\|_{A_\beta^p} \leq \|p_\varepsilon f\|_{A_\alpha^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

By (4.1), we have

$$\|p_\varepsilon f\|_{A_\alpha^2}^2 \asymp \|p_\varepsilon f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 + \mathcal{D}_\alpha(p_\varepsilon f).$$

First

$$\|p_\varepsilon f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 \lesssim e^{-2M_\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d(\zeta, E)^{2\delta}}{d(\zeta, E)^\gamma} |d\zeta| \lesssim e^{-2M_\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$$

since $\gamma < 2\delta$.

Then we have

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(p_\varepsilon f) = \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{|p_\varepsilon f(\zeta) - p_\varepsilon f(\zeta')|^2}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^{1+2\alpha}} |d\zeta| |d\zeta'|.$$

Note that for all $(\zeta, \zeta') \in \mathbb{T}^2$ we have

$$|p_\varepsilon f(\zeta) - p_\varepsilon f(\zeta')|^2 \leq 2|p_\varepsilon(\zeta)|^2 |f(\zeta) - f(\zeta')|^2 + 2|f(\zeta')|^2 |p_\varepsilon(\zeta) - p_\varepsilon(\zeta')|^2.$$

So if we denote $\Gamma = \{(\zeta, \zeta') \in \mathbb{T}^2, |d(\zeta', E)| \leq |d(\zeta, E)|\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\alpha(p_\varepsilon f) &\lesssim \iint_\Gamma |p_\varepsilon(\zeta)|^2 \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta')|^2}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^{1+2\alpha}} |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| + \iint_\Gamma |f(\zeta')|^2 \frac{|p_\varepsilon(\zeta) - p_\varepsilon(\zeta')|^2}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^{1+2\alpha}} |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| \\ &= A_\varepsilon + B_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let η such that $\frac{\gamma}{2\delta} \leq \eta < \frac{2\delta-2\alpha}{2\delta}$. Then, using the fact that for $(\zeta, \zeta') \in \Gamma$, $|f(\zeta')| \leq d(\zeta, E)^\delta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_\varepsilon &= e^{-2M_\varepsilon} \iint_\Gamma \left(\frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta')|^{2\eta}}{d(\zeta, E)^\gamma + \varepsilon} \right) \left(\frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta')|^{2-2\eta}}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^{1+2\alpha}} \right) |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| \\ &\lesssim e^{-2M_\varepsilon} \iint_\mathbb{T} \frac{d(\zeta, E)^{2\eta\delta}}{d(\zeta, E)^\gamma} \left(\frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\zeta')|^{2-2\eta}}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^{1+2\alpha}} \right) |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| \\ &\lesssim e^{-2M_\varepsilon} \iint_\mathbb{T} \frac{|\zeta - \zeta'|^{(2-2\eta)\delta}}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^{1+2\alpha}} |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| \\ &\lesssim e^{-2M_\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate B_ε , we consider the outer function F_ε given in lemma 4.2. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \{(\zeta, \zeta') \in \Gamma, d(\zeta', E) \leq |\zeta - \zeta'|\}, \\ \Gamma_2 &= \{(\zeta, \zeta') \in \Gamma, d(\zeta', E) > |\zeta - \zeta'|\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} B_\varepsilon &= \iint_\Gamma 2|f(\zeta')|^2 |p_\varepsilon(\zeta)p_\varepsilon(\zeta')|^2 \frac{|1/p_\varepsilon(\zeta') - 1/p_\varepsilon(\zeta)|^2}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^{1+2\alpha}} |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| \\ &\lesssim e^{-2M_\varepsilon} \iint_\Gamma \frac{d(\zeta', E)^{2\delta}}{(d(\zeta, E)^\gamma + \varepsilon)(d(\zeta', E)^\gamma + \varepsilon)} \frac{|F_\varepsilon(\zeta) - F_\varepsilon(\zeta')|^2}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^{1+2\alpha}} |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| \\ &\lesssim e^{-2M_\varepsilon} \iint_{\Gamma_1} |\zeta - \zeta'|^{2\delta-2\gamma-2\alpha-1} |F_\varepsilon(\zeta) - F_\varepsilon(\zeta')|^2 |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| \\ &\quad + e^{-2M_\varepsilon} \iint_{\Gamma_2} d(\zeta', E)^{2\delta-2\gamma-2\alpha+1} \frac{|F_\varepsilon(\zeta) - F_\varepsilon(\zeta')|^2}{|\zeta - \zeta'|^2} |d\zeta| |d\zeta'| \\ &= B_\varepsilon^1 + B_\varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma < \delta - \alpha$ and $|F_\varepsilon|$ is bounded on \mathbb{T} , we have $B_\varepsilon^1 \lesssim e^{-2M_\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, applying Lemma 4.2 with $\delta' = \delta - \alpha + 1/2$, we obtain $B_\varepsilon^2 \lesssim M_\varepsilon e^{-2M_\varepsilon}$. Thus $B_\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore we have $\lim \|p_\varepsilon f\|_{A_\beta^p} = 0$ and, by proposition 4.1, $[f]_{\mathbb{Z}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})} = [f]_{\mathbb{N}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$. Futhermore, by [13, Theorem A], if $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(f)) < \frac{2}{q}(1 - \beta q)$ then f is bicyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$, so f is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ \square

Remark. Let $1 < p < 2$ and $\beta > 0$ such that $\beta q < 1$. Using the fact $A_{1/2}^2(\mathbb{T}) \subset A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ and [2, Theorem 1.2] we obtain, for $f \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ such that $|f| \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $|f'| \in \text{Lip}_\delta(\mathbb{T})$ for $\delta > 0$, the following result: if $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(f)) < \frac{2}{q}(1 - \beta q)$ and $\log |f| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$ then f^2 is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.

4.2. Cyclicity for smooth functions. When $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, Makarov proves in [15], that $[f]_{\mathbb{N}}^{C^\infty(\mathbb{T})} = [f]_{\mathbb{Z}}^{C^\infty(\mathbb{T})}$ if and only if $\log |f| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$. As a consequence of Makarov's Theorem and some result obtained in [13], we get the following Theorem:

Theorem 4.4. *Let $1 < p < 2$, $\beta \geq 0$ such that $\beta q \leq 1$. We have the following conditions :*

- (1) *If $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(f)) < \frac{2}{q}(1 - \beta q)$ and $\log |f| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$ then f is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.*
- (2) *If $f \in A_\beta^1(\mathbb{T})$, $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(f)) > 1 - \beta q$ then f is not cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.*
- (3) *For $\frac{2}{q}(1 - \beta q) \leq \alpha \leq 1$, there exists a closed subset $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that $\dim(E) = \alpha$ and every $f \in A_\beta^1(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying $\mathcal{Z}(f) \subset E$ is not cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.*
- (4) *If $p = \frac{2k}{2k-1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ then there exists a closed set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that $\dim(E) = 1 - \beta q$ and every $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying $\mathcal{Z}(f) \subset E$ and $\log |f| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$ is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.*

Note that, for $1 < p < 2$ and $\beta \geq 0$ such that $\beta q \leq 1$, if f is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ then $\log |f| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$.

Proof. For (2) and (3), we use [13, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 4.3] since if f is not bicyclic then f is not cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.

For (1) and (4), suppose $\log |f| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$. By [15], we have $[f]_{\mathbb{N}}^{C^\infty(\mathbb{T})} = [f]_{\mathbb{Z}}^{C^\infty(\mathbb{T})}$. Since the embedding $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \subset A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ is continuous, we obtain $[f]_{\mathbb{N}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})} = [f]_{\mathbb{Z}}^{A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})}$. Moreover, by [13, Theorem 3.4], f is bicyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. Therefore f is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. \square

In the following, we denote, for $E \subset \mathbb{T}$, $|E|$ the Lebesgue measure of E

Examples. Let E be a closed subset of \mathbb{T} and Λ be a continuous, positive, decreasing function defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim \Lambda(t) = \infty$ and $\lim t\Lambda(t) = 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. We consider the function f defined on \mathbb{T} by

$$f(\zeta) = \exp(-\Lambda(d(\zeta, E)))$$

if $\zeta \notin E$ and $f(\zeta) = 0$ otherwise. So, by [5, Proposition A.1], we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |f(\zeta)| |d\zeta| = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Lambda(d(\zeta, E)) |d\zeta| = -\infty \Leftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} |E_t| d\Lambda(t) = -\infty$$

where $E_t = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}, d(\zeta, E) \leq t\}$. Let $N_E(t)$ be the smallest number of closed arcs of length $2t$ that cover E . We have, by [5, Lemma A.3], that $tN_E(t) \leq |E_t| \leq 4tN_E(t)$. Thus, by [3, Theorem 2, p. 30], we have for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+1} N_E(t)} dt = \infty \Leftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{t^\alpha |E_t|} dt = \infty \Rightarrow \dim(E) < \alpha.$$

Now we suppose that $\Lambda(t) = 1/t^\gamma$ for $\gamma > 0$. The function f associated is infinitely differentiable on \mathbb{T} . Let $1 < p < 2$, $\beta \geq 0$ such that $\beta q \leq 1$. We can construct a Cantor set E such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|E_t|}{t^{\gamma+1}} dt = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{t^\alpha |E_t|} dt = \infty$$

for $\alpha < \frac{2}{q}(1 - \beta q)$. Then, by Theorem 4.4, the function f is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. Moreover if $\gamma \geq 1$ we have $\log |f| \notin L^1(\mathbb{T})$. So, by Theorem 4.4,

- (1) if $\dim(E) < \frac{2}{q}(1 - \beta q)$ then f is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$;
- (2) if $\dim(E) > 1 - \beta q$ then f is not cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$;
- (3) for $\frac{2}{q}(1 - \beta q) \leq \alpha \leq 1$, there exists a closed subset $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that $\dim(E) = \alpha$ and f is not cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$;
- (4) if $p = \frac{2k}{2k-1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ then there exists a closed set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that $\dim(E) = 1 - \beta q$ and f is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.

4.3. Density of cyclic vectors set. When $\beta q \leq 1$, we have seen, in the precedent section, that there exist cyclic vectors in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. Here we show that the set of cyclic vectors in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ is a dense G_δ subset of $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $f \in A(\mathbb{T})$. If $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ is finite then f is bicyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ for $p > 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$ such that $\beta q \leq 1$.*

Proof. Let $S \in A_{-\beta}^q(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\langle S, z^n f \rangle = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It suffice to prove that $S = 0$. First we have $\text{supp}(S) \subset \mathcal{Z}(f)$ (see [13, Lemma 2.4]) and since $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ is finite, we obtain that

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{n=0}^M \lambda_{k,n} \delta_{z_k}^{(n)}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(f) = \{z_k, k \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket\} \subset \mathbb{T}$, $\lambda_{k,n} \in \mathbb{C}$ and where $\delta_{z_k}^{(n)}$ is the n th derivative of the Dirac delta measure concentrated at a point z_k .

Suppose that $S \neq 0$. There exists k_0 and n_0 such that $\lambda_{k_0, n_0} \neq 0$. We define

$$T = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq k_0}}^N (z - z_k)^{M+1} S.$$

So $T \in A_{-\beta}^q(\mathbb{T})$ and $T \neq 0$. Hence there exists $(c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{M+1}$ such that for every test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\langle T, \varphi \rangle = \langle S, \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq k_0}}^N (z - z_k)^{M+1} \varphi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^M \lambda_{k_0, n} c_n \varphi^{(n)}(z_{k_0}).$$

If we denote $n_1 = \max\{n, c_n \lambda_{k_0, n} \neq 0\}$, then we have for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$|\langle T, z^l \rangle| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_{k_0, n} c_n (il)^n z_{k_0}^l \right| \underset{l \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} |\lambda_{k_0, n_1}| |c_{n_1}| |l|^{n_1}$$

(here $z^l = e^{il\theta}$). This is not possible since $T \in A_{-\beta}^q(\mathbb{T})$ and $\beta q \leq 1$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and $\beta \geq 0$ such that $\beta q \leq 1$ where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $f \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ and if $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ is not zero then Pf is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 4.5, P is bicyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ since $\mathcal{Z}(P)$ is finite. So there exists $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\|1 - P_1P\|_{A_\beta^p} < \varepsilon$. Moreover since f is bicyclic, there exists $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$\|1 - P_2f\|_{A_\beta^p} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|P_1P\|_{A_\beta^1}}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\|1 - P_1P_2Pf\|_{A_\beta^p} \leq \|1 - P_1P\|_{A_\beta^p} + \|P_1P\|_{A_\beta^1}\|1 - P_2f\|_{A_\beta^p} < 2\varepsilon.$$

So Pf is bicyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.

Moreover, since f is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$, there exists $Q \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\|f - zQf\|_{A_\beta^p} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|P\|_{A_\beta^1}}$. So we have

$$\|Pf - zQPf\|_{A_\beta^p} \leq \|P\|_{A_\beta^1}\|f - zQf\|_{A_\beta^p} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, by proposition 2.1, Pf is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and $\beta \geq 0$ such that $\beta q \leq 1$ where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. The set of cyclic vectors in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ is a dense G_δ subset of $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We consider the set

$$G_\varepsilon = \{g \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T}), \exists P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}), \exists Q \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T}), \|1 - Pg\|_{A_\beta^p} < \varepsilon \text{ and } \|g - zQg\|_{A_\beta^p} < \varepsilon\}.$$

To use a Baire Category argument, we will show that

- (i) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{1/n} = \{f \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T}) \text{ cyclic in } A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})\}$
- (ii) For $\varepsilon > 0$, G_ε is an open subset of $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$
- (iii) For $\varepsilon > 0$, G_ε is a dense subset of $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$.

(i) : Let $g \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exist $P_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T})$ and $Q_n \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying $\|1 - P_n g\|_{A_\beta^p} < 1/n$ and $\|g - zQ_n g\|_{A_\beta^p} < 1/n$. By proposition 2.1, g is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. The other inclusion is clear.

(ii) follows from the fact that, for $f \in A_\beta^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $g \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\|fg\|_{A_\beta^p} \leq \|f\|_{A_\beta^1}\|g\|_{A_\beta^p}.$$

(iii) : Since for $\varepsilon > 0$, $\{f \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T}) \text{ cyclic in } A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})\} \subset G_\varepsilon$, we will show that $\{f \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T}) \text{ cyclic in } A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})\}$ is dense in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. Let $h \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $\eta < \|h\|_{A_\beta^p}$. Consider $f \in A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$ which is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. So there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathbb{T})$ not zero such that $\|h - Pf\|_{A_\beta^p} < \eta$ and by lemma 4.6, Pf is cyclic in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. Therefore this shows that G_ε is dense in $A_\beta^p(\mathbb{T})$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge my doctoral advisors, K. Kellay and M. Zarrabi.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ABAKUMOV, A. ATZMON, S. GRIVAUX, *Cyclicity of bicyclic operators and completeness of translates*, Math. Ann. 341 no. 2 293–322 (2008)
- [2] E. ABAKUMOV, O. EL-FALLAH, K. KELLAY, T. RANSFORD, *Cyclicity in the harmonic Dirichlet space*, Conference on Harmonic and Functional, Analysis, Operator Theory and Applications. Theta Series in Advanced Mathematics. arXiv preprint arxiv:1601.06572, (2017)
- [3] L. CARLESON, *Selected problems of exceptional sets*, Van Nostrand, Princeton. 1967.
- [4] O.EL-FALLAH, K.KELLAY, H.KLAJA, J.MASHREGHI, T.RANSFORD, *Dirichlet spaces with super-harmonic weights and de Branges-Rovnyak spaces*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 10, No. 1, 97-107 (2016)
- [5] O.EL-FALLAH, K.KELLAY, T.RANSFORD, *Cyclicity in the Dirichlet space*, Ark. Mat. 44, No. 1, 61-86 (2006)
- [6] O. EL-FALLAH, N. K. NIKOLSKI, M. ZARRABI, *Estimates for resolvents in Beurling-Sobolev algebras*, St. Petersburg Math. J. 10 (1999), no. 6, 901-964.
- [7] C.C. GRAHAM, O.C. MCGEHEE, *Essays in commutative harmonic analysis*, Vol. 238. Springer (2012)
- [8] C. S. HERZ, *A note on the span of translations in L^p* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 724-727 (1957)
- [9] J-P. KAHANE, *Séries de Fourier absolument convergentes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidenberg-New York, (1970)
- [10] J-P. KAHANE, R. SALEM, *Ensembles parfaits et séries trigonométriques*, Hermann, Paris (1963)
- [11] R. KAUFMAN, *M-sets and distributions*, Astérisque 5, Soc. Math. France (1973) 225-230
- [12] T.W. KÖRNER, *A pseudofunction on a Helson set*, I and II, Astérisque 5, Soc. Math. France (1973) 3-224 and 231-239
- [13] F. LE MANACH, *Cyclicity in weighted ℓ^p spaces*, arXiv preprint arxiv:1703.02841, (2017)
- [14] N. LEV, A. OLEVSKII, *Wiener's 'closure of translates' problem and Piatetski-Shapiro's uniqueness phenomenon*, Ann. Math. (2) 174, No. 1, 519-541 (2011)
- [15] N. G. MAKAROV, *Invariant subspaces of the space C^∞* , Mat. Sb. (N.S.), 119(161):1(9) (1982), 3–31; Math. USSR-Sb., 47:1 (1984), 1–26
- [16] N. K. NIKOLSKII, *Operators, Functions, and Systems: An Easy Reading*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Volume 92
- [17] W. T. ROSS, S. RICHTER, C. SUNDBERG, *Hyperinvariant subspaces of the harmonic Dirichlet space*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 448 (1994) 1–26.
- [18] N. WIENER, *Tauberian theorems*, Ann. of Math. (2) 33 (1932), 1–100

IMB, UNIVERSITÉ DE BORDEAUX, 351 COURS DE LA LIBÉRATION, 33405 TALENCE, FRANCE
E-mail address: florian.le-manach@math.u-bordeaux.fr