

Two-year survey of specific hospital wastewater treatment and its impact on pharmaceutical discharges

Laure Wiest, Teofana Chonova, Alexandre Bergé, Robert Baudot, Frédérique Bessueille-Barbier, Linda Ayouni-Derouiche, Emmanuelle Vulliet

► To cite this version:

Laure Wiest, Teofana Chonova, Alexandre Bergé, Robert Baudot, Frédérique Bessueille-Barbier, et al.. Two-year survey of specific hospital wastewater treatment and its impact on pharmaceutical discharges. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2018, 25 (10), pp.9207-9218. 10.1007/s11356-017-9662-5 . hal-01569749

HAL Id: hal-01569749 https://hal.science/hal-01569749v1

Submitted on 10 Sep 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Two year survey of specific hospital wastewater treatment and its impact on
 pharmaceutical discharges

- 3
- 4 Laure Wiest^{1*}, Teofana Chonova^{2,3}, Alexandre Bergé¹, Robert Baudot¹, Frédérique
 5 Bessueille-Barbier¹, Linda Ayouni-Derouiche¹, Emmanuelle Vulliet¹
- ⁶ ¹Univ Lyon, CNRS, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Ens de Lyon, Institut des Sciences
- 7 Analytiques, UMR 5280, 5 rue de la Doua, F-69100 VILLEURBANNE, France
- 8 ²Université de Lyon, INSA Lyon, DEEP, F-69621, Villeurbanne Cedex, France
- 9 ³GRAIE, Groupe de Recherche Rhône-Alpes sur les Infrastructure et l'Eau, 66 bd Niels Bohr,
- 10 69100 Villeurbanne, France
- 11

12 *Contact : laure.wiest@isa-lyon.fr

1314 Highlights

- 15 Hospital and urban effluents are compared
- 16 Impact of hospital wastewater on activated sludge treatment is studied
- 17 Seasonal variations of pharmaceuticals removal efficiency are demonstrated

18 Keywords

- 19 Pharmaceuticals, antibiotics, wastewater treatment, hospital wastewater, removal
- 20

21 Abstract

It is well known that pharmaceuticals are not completely removed by conventional activated sludge wastewater treatment plants. Hospital effluents are of major concern as they present high concentrations of pharmaceutically active compounds. Despite this, these specific effluents are usually co-treated with domestic wastewaters. Separate treatment has been recommended. However, there is a lack of information concerning the efficiency of separate hospital wastewater treatment by activated sludge, especially on the removal of pharmaceuticals.

29 In this context, this article presents the results of a two years monitoring of conventional parameters, surfactants, gadolinium and thirteen pharmaceuticals on the specific study site 30 31 SIPIBEL. This site allows the characterization of urban and hospital wastewaters and their separate treatment using the same process. Flow proportional sampling, solid phase extraction 32 and liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry were used in order to 33 obtain accurate data and limits of quantification consistent with ultra-traces detection. Thanks 34 35 to these consolidated data, an in-depth characterization of urban and hospital wastewaters was realized, as well as a comparison of treatment efficiency between both effluents. 36

Higher concentrations of organic carbon, AOX, phosphates, gadolinium, paracetamol, 37 ketoprofen and antibiotics were observed in hospital wastewaters compared to urban 38 39 wastewaters. Globally higher removals were observed in the hospital wastewater treatment 40 plant and some parameters were shown to be of high importance regarding removal efficiencies: hydraulic retention time, redox conditions and ambient temperature. Eleven 41 pharmaceuticals were still quantified at relevant concentrations in hospital and urban 42 wastewaters after treatment (e.g. up to 1 μ g/L for sulfamethoxazole). However, as the urban 43 44 flow was about 37 times higher than the hospital flow, the hospital contribution appeared relatively low compared to domestic discharges. Thanks to the SIPIBEL site, data obtained 45 from this two years program are useful to evaluate the relevance of separate hospital 46 wastewater treatment. 47

48 Introduction

49

50 Pharmaceutically active compounds (PhAC) are specific micropollutants. They can be 51 biologically active at very low concentrations, which imply the use of advanced analytical techniques to study their occurrence. Furthermore, beside the parent molecule, they are often 52 excreted as metabolites that can be even more toxic than the native molecule (Pico &Barcelo 53 2015). The main sources of contamination are well-known: domestic discharges, the 54 pharmaceutical industry, healthcare facilities, releases associated with veterinary activities 55 and fish farming, and disposal of unused medicines (Boxall et al. 2012). Nevertheless, 56 57 incertitude about their relative contributions still remains. Furthermore, it is well-documented that PhAC are not completely removed by Conventional Activated Sludge (CAS) treatment 58 59 process, which is the most used technique around the world (Noguera-Oviedo & Aga 2016).

60

In the last two decades, hospital effluents have gained interest because of their high potential 61 risk for the environment, due to their specific composition including high concentrations of 62 PhAC, X-ray contrast media, AOX and the presence of pathogens (Carraro et al. 2016). Due 63 64 to these characteristics, the assumption is often made that hospital effluents reduce the removal efficiency of wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) (Oliveira et al. 2015). Different 65 treatment processes were studied to improve removal efficiencies of specific pollutants from 66 hospital WW (HWW). Such additional treatments are for example Membrane Bio Reactor 67 (MBR), the use of activated carbon and advanced oxidation processes (Verlicchi et al. 2015). 68 However, very few investigations were performed about PhAC removal from HWWs with 69 CAS treatment, and to the best of our knowledge, only two at full scale (Al Qarni et al. 2016, 70 71 Kosma et al. 2010).

72

In this context, the SIPIBEL project, based on a specific WWTP whose configuration allows 73 the study of HWW, urban WW (UWW) and their treatment separately, in the same 74 75 environmental conditions, aims at an in-depth characterization of UWW and HWW and their treated effluents and the evaluation of the efficiency of a separate treatment (more details 76 77 available in Chonova et al. article in this special issue). This article focuses on physicochemical characterization of WW, with the monitoring of conventional parameters, 78 surfactants, gadolinium (Gd) and thirteen PhAC: analgesic (paracetamol, salicylic acid), anti-79 inflammatory (ibuprofen, ketoprofen, and diclofenac), beta-blockers (atenolol, propranolol), 80 hormonal contraceptive (ethinylestradiol), antibiotic (ciprofloxacin, sulfamethoxazole, and 81 vancomycin), anti-fungal (econazole) and anti-convulsant (carbamazepine) products. Targeted 82 PhAC were first selected according to their consumption (domestic and/or hospital) and their 83 Persistence, Bioaccumulation and Toxicity (PBT) index, leading to a list of 62 compounds 84 85 which was further reduced due to analytical and economic constraints. In order to obtain accurate data, an analytical method based on advanced techniques was developed and 86 validated. Limits of quantification in the ng/L range were achieved and the method was 87 applied to the monitoring of PhAC in raw and treated WW of the SIPIBEL site, during two 88 89 years.

91 Materials and methods

92

93 Sampling sites

All sampling sites were located in the area of Scientrier (Haute-Savoie, France) with an
average altitude between 423 and 490 m. The weather is continental with temperatures
between -8°C in winter and 33°C in summer.

The main characteristics of the plant during the sampling period are shown in Table 1 and 97 described in Chonova et al. (2017), in this issue. The WWTP is a low-loaded plant, designed 98 for carbon removal and nitrification. It is equipped with pre-treatment systems including 99 aerated grit chambers, combined with grease removers and 3 conventional activated sludge 100 (CAS) systems: two basins of 2720 and 4000 m³, collecting UWW and one dedicated to the 101 treatment of hospital effluents of 1280 m³ (Chonova et al. 2016). The same process, 102 sequential aerobic and anoxic/anaerobic treatment, was applied to UWW and HWW basins. 103 Every basin was equipped with an aeration system, proportional to the basin capacity, in order 104 to supply the same amount of oxygen per m³-basin-capacity. Due to the low flow rate of 105 HWW, the release of oxygen per m^3 of discharge was about two times higher than in the 106 urban basins, even if aeration times of the hospital basin were decreased to 4.4 h/day instead 107 of 18h. The food to microorganism (F/M) ratio was between 0.1 and 0.2 108 109 kgBOD₅/kgMLVSS.d in the UWW basins and about ten times lower in the HWW basin.

The hospital effluent is separately conveyed to the WWTP and comes from a medium-sized hospital with 450 beds (1300 patients/day) and 1450 staff members. It is composed of 25 wards, including geriatrics, oncology, maternity, emergency, medical imaging, biologic analysis and kitchen (Lecomte 2016). Sterilization and laundry are outsourced. The collecting system of the hospital is separated; therefore, there should be no contribution of rain events to HWW.

116

Table 1	Characteristics	of	the	WWTP	

	WWTP capacity (population equivalent / m ³)	Hospital bed number	Urban network (inhabitants)	Mean flow rate (m ³ .day ⁻¹)	Mean HRT (days)
Urban basins	26600 PE / 6720 m ³	-	20,850	5355	1.6
Hospital basin	5400 PE / 1280 m ³	450	-	146	8.1

117

118 Sampling

Four sampling sites were selected: urban influent and effluent, hospital influent and effluent
(more details available in Chonova et al. (2017) in this special issue). WW were sampled
before pre-treatment and treated WW after the secondary treatment.

Sampling campaigns were performed between June 2013 and December 2015, during dry days, representing 27 campaigns of the hospital and urban raw WWs. Due to a change of configuration in October 2014, only 13 campaigns of the hospital and urban treated WW were

achieved. Samples from all sampling sites were collected on the same days and each
campaign started on Tuesday to avoid variability due to the day of sampling. Sampling was
performed following approved French guidelines (Exmerts et al. 2011)

127 performed following approved French guidelines (Eymery et al. 2011).

To ensure representative sampling, identical sampling strategies were used for all locations. Samples were collected on a 24h-period (from 8 a.m. to 8 a.m.). 24-h composite water 130 samples were collected; the sub-sampling interval was flow-proportional (with basin-specific 131 flow volume) (Ort et al. 2010). Flows were measured before and after the WWTP. ASP 132 Station 2000 (Endress hauser) refrigerated automated samplers were used. Both were 133 constituted of 24 glass bottles with Teflon tubing. The content of the 24 glass bottles was 134 gathered, mixed and homogenized. Then samples were stored in darkness at 4°C and treated 135 within 24h.

136

137 Conventional physicochemical parameters

The following physicochemical parameters of influents were analysed: 5-day biochemical 138 oxygen demand (BOD₅), chemical oxygen demand (COD), conductivity, dissolved organic 139 140 carbon (DOC), total organic carbon (TOC), pH, total suspended solids (TSS), total phosphorus (TP), phosphates (PO₄³⁻), Kjedahl nitrogen (TKN), ammonium (NH₄⁺), 141 adsorbable organic halogen (AOX), Gadolinium (Gd) and cationic, non-ionic and anionic 142 surfactants. All these parameters were analysed on the whole water except DOC, PO_4^{3-} , Gd 143 144 and cationic and anionic surfactants which were analyzed on the dissolved fraction. All these analyses were performed following standard methods (see Table S1) approved by the French 145 organization of standardization AFNOR, except Gd which was analyzed with a validated 146 internal method. 147

148

149 Pharmaceutical analysis

150 In order to obtain accurate data, an analytical method based on Solid Phase Extraction (SPE),

Liquid Chromatography coupled with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) and the use of isotope labelled internal standards, was developed for PhAC analysis.

153

154 *Chemicals and reagents*

Thirteen pharmaceuticals were analyzed: paracetamol, salicylic acid, ketoprofen, ibuprofen, 155 diclofenac, atenolol, propranolol, econazole, ethinylestradiol, carbamazepine, and the 156 157 antibiotics ciprofloxacin, sulfamethoxazole and vancomycin. All pharmaceuticals and isotopically labeled internal standards were of high purity grade (see Table S2). Individual 158 stock standard and isotopically labeled internal standard solutions were prepared at a 159 concentration of 200 mg/L, by dissolving 2 mg of solid reference standard in 10 mL of 160 161 methanol. After preparation, standards were stored in the dark at -18°C. A mixture of these standards at 10 mg/L, prepared in methanol, stored at -18°C was stable for at least three 162 months. Diluted working standard solutions were prepared regularly in water and stored at 163 4°C. 164

LC-MS acetonitrile, methanol and acetic acid were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (SaintQuentin Fallavier, France). Ultra-pure water was obtained with a Milli-Q water system from
Millipore (Molsheim, France). SPE cartridges were Oasis HLB (60 mg, 3 mL) from Waters
(Milford, USA). Glass fiber filters (0.7 μm) were obtained from Millipore (Molsheim,
France).

170

171 Sample preparation and extraction

172 Samples were first filtered on glass fiber filters 0.7 μ m with a filtration System IT30 142 HW

173 from Millipore (Molsheim, France). SPE was then conducted with an AutoTrace automated

SPE system from ThermoFisher (Roissy, France). Solid phase extraction procedure was 174 adapted from (Gros et al. 2006). Briefly, according to the nature of water, raw WW/treated 175 WW, 150/300 mL of filtered water samples were spiked with 150/120 µL of a 250 µg/L 176 177 solution of internal standards. 100/250 mL samples were then loaded at a flow rate of 10 mL/min onto Oasis HLB cartridges previously conditioned with 5 mL methanol then 5 mL 178 179 MilliQ water at 1 mL/min. Cartridges were then rinsed with 5 mL MilliQ water at 1 mL/min, 180 dried 10 min with nitrogen and eluted with 2 x 4 mL methanol at 2 mL/min. The eluates were dried with a gentle stream of nitrogen at 40 °C and reconstituted in 1 mL of ultra-pure water. 181 Final extracts were transferred into 2 mL vials and stored at -18°C prior to analysis by HPLC-182 MS/MS. Besides, in order to analyze paracetamol and salicylic acid in raw WW, a 10 mL 183 184 aliquot of WW filtered at 0.7 µm was sampled, further filtered at 0.45 µm, diluted 10 times with ultra-pure water, and spiked with the internal standards solution. 185

186

187

Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

188 Chromatographic separations were carried out with an Agilent 1290 series liquid chromatograph system (Agilent Technologies, Avondale, USA), using a Zorbax Eclipse C18 189 column (50 mm×2.1 mm i.d., 1.8 µm) also from Agilent, protected with a KrudKatcher Ultra 190 In-Line Filter guard column from Phenomenex (Torrence, CA, USA) were used for 191 192 chromatographic separation. The column oven temperature was set at 60 °C for the positive mode and 50°C for the negative mode. Solvent A was Milli-Q quality water with 0.01 % 193 acetic acid and solvent B was either methanol for the positive ionization mode or 194 acetonitrile/methanol for the negative mode. Flow rates were 0.6 mL/min and the sample 195 volume injected was 50 µL for positive and negative modes respectively. 196

197 The LC instrument was coupled to 3200 QTrap (ABSciex, CA, USA) triple quadrupole mass 198 spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ion source (ESI Turbo V) operated in both 199 negative and positive modes. The quantitative analysis of the target compounds was achieved 200 in Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) mode. For quantitative purposes, two MRM 201 transitions were monitored for each target compound. Nitrogen was used as the drying and 202 nebulizing gas. All data were acquired and processed using Analyst 1.5.2 software. Gradient 203 elution programs and MS/MS parameters are given in Tables S3 and S4.

204

205

Quantification and quality control

In the aim of ensuring a constant performance of the method, analytical blanks and quality controls were injected at each batch. Field blanks were also regularly performed with MilliQ water, mineral water and real water samples. In order to evaluate possible adsorption or desorption in the sampling system, undrawn and withdrawn samples were analyzed.

In order to correct for recoveries and matrix effects, internal standard calibration was 210 performed using the stable isotope labelled analogue of each compound of interest. Indeed, 211 internal standard calibration is one of the most accurate solutions to correct for matrix effects, 212 as long as the internal standard co-elutes with the analyte of interest (Hewavitharana 2011). 213 However, stronger matrix effects were observed in hospital wastewater extracts, leading to 214 signal suppression of paracetamol and salicylic acid analogue internal standard. It is well-215 known that smallest and most polar molecules are more prone to matrix effects (Taylor 2005), 216 which is the case of paracetamol and salicylic acid. As concentrations of these two 217

- compounds in hospital raw wastewater were very high (superior to 100 ng/L), wastewater,
 diluted by ten in MilliQ water and spiked with internal standard analogue, was injected
 directly in order to quantify them accurately.
- Besides, in order to fully validate our analytical method, an inter-laboratory assay was conducted on surface waters (to the author's knowledge, no inter-laboratory assay was available on WW), thanks to the AGLAE association (www.association-aglae.fr). Z-scores obtained were between -0.99 for diclofenac and +1.64 for carbamazepine.
- 225

226 Calculation methods and statistical analyses

- Mean removal efficiencies were calculated for each of the measured parameters to compare the treatment of the two lines (UWW and HWW) and the effect of the temperature on the treatment efficiency.
- Furthermore, mass loadings were calculated for each sampling period by multiplying the concentrations of each compound by the mean daily flow of the respective location (hospital or urban) and sampling period. To calculate the contribution of the HWW to the total load of pollutants, first total load was calculated as a sum of hospital and urban loads. The load of each chemical in the HWW was divided by the total load of the same chemical, and
- multiplied by 100. The loads of each chemical used to calculate the hospital contribution
- refers to the mean value of all campaigns performed. The same calculation was done for the hospital treated WW contribution to the total treated effluent in order to comprehend the effect of the treatment on the mass loadings.
- Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to present the main tendencies in the loads distribution of pharmaceuticals in urban and hospital wastewaters. Data for PCA were centered and standardized. PCA was done with R software (3.3.0, R development core team)
- using the ade4 package (Dray &Dufour 2007).
- 243

244 **Results and discussion**

245

246 Characterization of raw wastewaters

- 247
- 248 Conventional physico-chemical parameters, surfactants and Gd
- 249 Various parameters of hospital and domestic WW were followed every month during two
- 250 years. Medians, minimums, maximums and coefficients of variation are reported in Table 2.
- 251

252 Table 2 Conventional physico-chemical parameters, surfactants and Gd measured in hospital and	urban raw
---	-----------

253 wastewate	rs
---------------	----

		Hospital 1	aw WW			Urban raw WW					
Demonster	Median	Min	Max	CV	Median	Median	Min	Max	CV		
Parameter				%	ratio				%		
Flow (m ³ /day)	174	98	277	23	0.04	3892	2598	6549	25		
$BOD_5 (mg/L O_2)$	400.0	216	700	31	1.4	294.0	139	478	32		
COD (mg/L)	918.0	480	1340	26	1.4	659.0	49	1698	52		
Conductivity (µS/cm 25°C)	2660.0	2304	3682	13	1.8	1520.0	1079	1830	12		
DOC (mg/L)	116.0	5.9	178	47	2.3	50.1	23	103	37		
TOC (mg/L)	220.0	79	332	30	<u>1.8</u>	120.0	63	264	40		
pH	7.7	7.1	8.5	4.4	1.0	7.6	7.2	7.7	1.4		
TSS (mg/L)	310.0	104	690	41	0.8	370.0	96	608	42		
TP (mg/L)	12.0	7.3	22	25	1.4	8.5	4.9	18	33		
PO_4^{3-} (mg/L)	7.4	2.3	9.7	28	<u>1.9</u>	3.8	2	7.7	37		
TKN (mg/L)	67.0	48.0	94	15	1.0	64.0	38	102	27		
NH_4^+ (mg/L)	46.0	16	70	23	1.0	47.0	23	86	26		
AOX (mg/L)	0.69	0.2	1.7	44	14	0.05	LOQ	0.1	36		
Gadolinium (µg/L)	6.7	1.7	33	96	<u>13</u>	0.5	<loq< td=""><td>2.0</td><td>74</td></loq<>	2.0	74		
Cationic surfactant (mg/L)	1.1	<loq< td=""><td>2.6</td><td>90</td><td><u>11</u></td><td>0.1</td><td><loq< td=""><td>3.2</td><td>30</td></loq<></td></loq<>	2.6	90	<u>11</u>	0.1	<loq< td=""><td>3.2</td><td>30</td></loq<>	3.2	30		
Non-ionic surfactant (mg/L)	4.9	0.1	22	70	4.4	1.1	0.1	8.8	109		
Anionic surfactant (mg/L)	0.37	0.1	9.6	230	0.2	1.9	0.1	6.6	67		

254 Hospital and domestic WW presented similar values of BOD₅, COD, pH, TSS, TP, TKN and NH₄⁺. Besides, the COD/ BOD₅ ratio of hospital and UWW were in the same range, which 255 means that they have similar biodegradability. Similar values of pH, TKN and NH₄⁺ between 256 hospital and urban WW were also reported in the study of (Verlicchi et al. 2010). However, 257 such similarities of TSS were in contradiction with what is usually reported (Verlicchi et al. 258 2010). Furthermore, TSS concentrations (median of 310 and 370 mg/L for hospital and urban 259 WW, respectively) were in the highest range of those reported in other studies (Verlicchi et al. 260 2015). 261

The other parameters were significantly different between UWW and HWW. Conductivity, 262 PO_4^{3-} , TOC and DOC were approximately two times higher in HWW than in UWW. Even 263 more important differences were observed in concentrations of AOX and gadolinium, which 264 were more than 10 times higher in hospital WW, compared to domestic WW. As one of the 265 main ion contributing to conductivity is chloride (Le Bonté et al. 2008), it is not surprising 266 that the conductivity of hospital WW is higher than the one of UWW (Verlicchi et al. 2010). 267 Concentrations of AOX are consistent with the study of Kümmerer et al. 1998. According to 268 Kümmerer 2001, the major mass carriers for AOX in hospital wastewaters are most likely 269 iodised X-ray contrast media, solvents, disinfectants, cleaners and drugs containing chlorine. 270 The higher concentrations of phosphate in the hospital WW could also be explained by the 271 272 release of detergents with high content of phosphorus and pharmaceuticals containing phosphoric antineoplastics from the hospital (Chonova et al. 2016). Higher DOC in hospital 273 WW was also reported by Kovalova et al. (Kovalova et al. 2012). Gadolinium is used as a 274 275 contrast agent for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), which explains its high 276 concentrations in HWW. Values reported by Goulle et al. 2012 are consistent with our study. Finally, global analysis of anionic and ionic surfactants revealed higher concentrations of 277

cationic and non-ionic surfactants in HWW and higher concentrations of anionic surfactants in UWW (median concentration of 2 mg/L). More details about the presence of surfactants are

available in another article of this special issue (Bergé et al. in this issue).

282 Pharmaceutical concentrations

Concentrations of 13 pharmaceuticals in hospital and urban WW were followed every month
during two years. In order to avoid too high uncertainties on the results, only values superior
to the limit of quantification are analysed and discussed. Medians, minimums, maximums and
coefficients of variation are reported in Table 3.

287

Table 3 Pharmaceuticals in raw hospital and urban wastewaters: limit of quantification, quantification
 frequency, median, minimum and maximum concentration, coefficient of variation and hospital on urban median

290 concentrations ratio (Median ratio)

				Hosp	ital raw WW	r		Urban raw WW					
			Nb of					Medi	Nb of				
	Compound	LOQ	samples	Median	Min	Max	CV	an	samples	Median	Min	Max	CV
		µg/L	>LOQ	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	%	ratio	>LOQ	µg/L	μg/L	µg/L	%
Analgesic	Paracetamol	0.012	27/27	813.5	178	1510	34	5	27/27	161.0	31.5	1160	109
Antibiotic	Sulfamethoxazole	0.006	27/27	3.9	0.9	26	99	8	27/27	0.5	0.04	1.5	66
Antibiotic (fluoroquinolone)	Ciprofloxacin	0.035	27/27	21.6	4.6	179	114	172	3/27	0.12	LOQ	0.15	27
Antibiotic	Vancomycin	0.050	27/27	0.77	0.06	7.4	139		0/27	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>N/A</td></loq<>	N/A
Antifungal	Econazole	0.001	2/27	0.016	<loq< td=""><td>0.018</td><td>13</td><td></td><td>1/27</td><td>0.002</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.002</td><td>N/A</td></loq<></td></loq<>	0.018	13		1/27	0.002	<loq< td=""><td>0.002</td><td>N/A</td></loq<>	0.002	N/A
Anti-inflammatories	Ketoprofen	0.009	27/27	10.0	3.6	20	37	8	27/27	1.3	0.63	2.9	37
Anti-inflammatories	Diclofenac	0.005	27/27	0.31	0.07	1.1	70	0.4	27/27	0.72	0.22	1.5	39
Anti-inflammatories	Ibuprofen	0.0005	27/27	7.1	3.7	17	38	0.8	27/27	8.3	3.6	16	36
Anti-inflammatories (metabolite)	Salicylic acid	0.013	27/27	22.7	0.29	62	55	0.8	26/27	27.4	LOQ	70	66
Beta-blockers	Atenolol	0.004	27/27	3.2	1.0	39	166	1.3	27/27	2.4	1.0	4.6	34
Beta-blockers	Propranolol	0.001	27/27	0.6	0.11	3.8	97	1.7	27/27	0.35	0.20	3.3	117
Hormone	Ethinylestradiol	0.014	0/27	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>0/27</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>0/27</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>0/27</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	N/A	N/A	0/27	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>N/A</td></loq<>	N/A
Psychiatric drug	Carbamazepine	0.001	27/27	0.3	0.01	12	226	0.6	27/27	0.53	0.31	1.5	45

Ethinylestradiol was the only compound that could never be quantified during this study. Econazole was only quantified once in urban raw WW and twice in hospital raw WW. According to Nagarnaik et al. 2010, ethinylestradiol was also not quantified in hospital effluents. This hormone is usually quantified at concentrations lower than the ng/L range, which is not detectable with our analytical method (Backe 2015). Econazole is often mainly detected in the particulate phase (Lachassagne et al. 2015), which was not analyzed in this work. Consequently, these two compounds are not included in the statistical analysis.

298

All other PhAC were quantified in 100% of the samples of HWW. Paracetamol 299 concentrations were more than ten times higher than the other PhAC, with median 300 concentrations of 813 and 161 µg/L, in HWW and UWW respectively. Concerning HWW, all 301 other pharmaceuticals were always quantified with median concentrations varying from 0.3 302 303 µg/L for diclofenac and carbamazepine, to 22.7 µg/L for salicylic acid. In UWW, the same pharmaceuticals, except ciprofloxacin and vancomycin, were always quantified with median 304 concentrations varying from 0.35 µg/L for propranolol, to 27.4 µg/L for salicylic acid. 305 306 Ciprofloxacin was only quantified three times, in the order of 0.1 µg/L, and vancomycin was 307 never quantified.

308

Concentrations of ibuprofen, salicylic acid, atenolol, propranolol and carbamazepine were in the same order in hospital and urban raw wastewaters. Median concentrations of diclofenac were two times lower in HWW than in UWW, but minimum and maximum concentrations were very close. All these compounds are extremely used, both in hospital and domestic sectors. Ibuprofen, salicylic acid and diclofenac belong to the 30 most sold substances in urban areas of France (Cavalié 2014). Atenolol and propranolol use is more restricted but these high concentrations can be explained by the increase in the average age of the

population and the presence of retirement homes in the catchment area. Conversely, 316 concentrations of two painkillers (paracetamol, ketoprofen) and three antibiotics 317 (sulfamethoxazole, ciprofloxacin, vancomycin) were significantly higher in HWW than in 318 UWW. Higher concentrations in hospital WW of these compounds were also reported by Al 319 Aukidy et al. 2014 which reviewed pharmaceutical concentrations in hospital and urban WW. 320 321 Paracetamol is the most prescribed analgesic: its higher concentration in hospital WW can be 322 explained by the higher proportion of injured people at the hospital. Contrary to the other antiinflammatories (ibuprofen, salicylic acid and diclofenac), ketoprofen is only accessible by 323 prescription, and so more used in the hospital sector. Finally, high concentrations of 324 antibiotics in hospital WW, compare to domestic WW, have already been reported (Tuc et al. 325 2017). Vancomycin, which is restricted to hospital use, was only detected in hospital WW, 326 whereas sulfamethoxazole and ciprofloxacin were quantified in both WW, but in 327 concentrations respectively 10 and 100 times higher in hospital WW. 328

329

330 **Removal efficiencies**

331

Regarding the conventional parameters, removals were very high in both WW lines, hospital and urban. Median removal of TSS, COD, BOD₅ and NH_4^+ were respectively 99%, 98%, 100% and 99-100%. These values show effective carbon removal and nitrification in UWW and HWW lines, which meet the standard guidelines given by the Water Framework Directive (WFD) (European commission, 1991). The WWTP is not designed for denitrification, which leads to remaining median concentrations of NO_3^- of 1.3 and 10.4 mg/L and NO_2^- of 0.1 and 0.03 mg/L in urban and hospital treated WW, respectively.

339

Numerous parameters can affect removal efficiency: solid retention time (SRT), HRT, 340 temperature, pH, nitrification and redox conditions (Falas et al. 2016). In this study, pH and 341 temperature of urban and hospital WW were similar for both basins, but this was not the case 342 for HRT and redox conditions. The HRT in the HWW line was around five times higher than 343 in the UWW line. Furthermore, due to the low hospital discharge, the release of oxygen per 344 m3 of discharge was about two times higher than in the urban basins, which may explain the 345 higher concentrations of nitrate in the hospital basin. These particular operational conditions 346 347 and the presence of antibiotics and biocides (Bergé et al. in this issue) in the HWW may influence the growth and structure of bacterial communities, as demonstrated by Chonova et 348 al. 2016. 349

350

Concerning pharmaceuticals, removal efficiencies were between 75 and 100% in the HWW line and between 30 and 100% in the UWW line, except for carbamazepine (Table 4). These removals were generally higher compared to studies reporting HRT below 24h (Verlicchi et al., 2012b). Higher HRT for the WWTP studied here, may explain higher removal, especially for certain molecules (e.g. ibuprofen) (Kosma et al., 2010).

The removal efficiency varied strongly depending on the molecule. Removals of paracetamol, salicylic acid, ibuprofen, ketoprofen, atenolol and the antibiotics ciprofloxacin and vancomycin were higher than 90%, whereas the remaining four pharmaceuticals propranolol, sulfamethoxazole, diclofenac and carbamazepine, presented relatively low removals. Carbamazepine and diclofenac even exhibited higher concentrations in the treated effluents, than in the raw wastewaters. These negative removals were not regular regarding diclofenac,

but very frequent concerning carbamazepine, which explains why its removal rates could not be calculated (Table 4). This phenomenon for carbamazepine has already been reported in

several studies (e.g. Radjenović et al., 2009).

365

Table 4 Pharmaceuticals in treated hospital and urban wastewaters: limit of quantification, quantification
 frequency, median, minimum and maximum concentration, coefficient of variation, median removal and
 associated coefficient of variation

369

				Hospital	treated WW				Urban treated WW							
		Nb of					Median		Nb of					Median		Remova
Compound	LOQ	samples	Median	Min	Max	CV	Removal	CV	samples	Median	Min	Max	CV	Removal	CV	range
	ng/L	>LOQ	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	%	%	%	>LOQ	ng/L	ng/L	ng/L	%	%	%	(%
Paracetamol	12.2	8/13	39.0	<loq< td=""><td>3272</td><td>180</td><td>100.0</td><td>0.2</td><td>9/13</td><td>69.4</td><td><loq< td=""><td>714</td><td>78</td><td>99.9</td><td>0.1</td><td>80-9</td></loq<></td></loq<>	3272	180	100.0	0.2	9/13	69.4	<loq< td=""><td>714</td><td>78</td><td>99.9</td><td>0.1</td><td>80-9</td></loq<>	714	78	99.9	0.1	80-9
Sulfamethoxazole	5.9	13/13	810.0	79.4	1514	68	75.3	33	12/13	119.5	<loq< td=""><td>1004</td><td>148</td><td>73.1</td><td>87</td><td>10-9</td></loq<>	1004	148	73.1	87	10-9
Ciprofloxacine	35.3	8/13	363.5	<loq< td=""><td>3414</td><td>138</td><td>99.2</td><td>2.4</td><td>2/13</td><td>95.1</td><td><loq< td=""><td>144</td><td>73</td><td>94.3</td><td>1.0</td><td>18-9</td></loq<></td></loq<>	3414	138	99.2	2.4	2/13	95.1	<loq< td=""><td>144</td><td>73</td><td>94.3</td><td>1.0</td><td>18-9</td></loq<>	144	73	94.3	1.0	18-9
Vancomycin	50.0	4/13	27.6	<loq< td=""><td>49</td><td>44</td><td>98.6</td><td>6.3</td><td>0/13</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>10</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	49	44	98.6	6.3	0/13	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>10</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>10</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>10</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td>10</td></loq<>	N/A	N/A	10
Ketoprofen	9.3	11/13	36.0	<loq< td=""><td>83</td><td>55</td><td>100.0</td><td>0.2</td><td>13/13</td><td>84.0</td><td>22</td><td>397</td><td>90</td><td>91.0</td><td>12</td><td>7-9</td></loq<>	83	55	100.0	0.2	13/13	84.0	22	397	90	91.0	12	7-9
Diclofenac	5.0	13/13	76.0	18	874	170	77.0	23	13/13	488.0	42	790	50	30.3	113	3-8
Ibuprofen	0.5	11/13	30.0	<loq< td=""><td>88</td><td>79</td><td>99.7</td><td>0.3</td><td>11/13</td><td>96.0</td><td><loq< td=""><td>356</td><td>92</td><td>98.9</td><td>1.6</td><td>26-9</td></loq<></td></loq<>	88	79	99.7	0.3	11/13	96.0	<loq< td=""><td>356</td><td>92</td><td>98.9</td><td>1.6</td><td>26-9</td></loq<>	356	92	98.9	1.6	26-9
Salicylic acid	13.3	1/13	114.0	<loq< td=""><td>114</td><td>N/A</td><td>100.0</td><td>0.2</td><td>1/13</td><td>138.0</td><td><loq< td=""><td>138</td><td>N/A</td><td>100.0</td><td>1.6</td><td>97-9</td></loq<></td></loq<>	114	N/A	100.0	0.2	1/13	138.0	<loq< td=""><td>138</td><td>N/A</td><td>100.0</td><td>1.6</td><td>97-9</td></loq<>	138	N/A	100.0	1.6	97-9
Atenolol	4.1	7/13	18.8	13.6	146	94	99.7	1.8	13/13	193.0	8.16	552	74	91.0	8.9	14-8
Propranolol	0.6	13/13	112.0	22	242	56	87	10	13/13	110.0	23	236	57	70.0	42	1-9
Carbamazepine	0.6	13/13	629.0	133	1334	48	-	-	13/13	498.0	231	788	29	-	-	5-7

370 ^aVerlicchi et al. 2012b

Main removal mechanisms of micropollutants are biodegradation/biotransformation, sorption 373 and volatilization. As pharmaceuticals selected for this study are almost not volatile, the last 374 375 one is expected to be of minor importance. The increase of certain molecules in the treated 376 effluents may be due to their excretion as conjugate metabolites in raw WW, which were not analyzed and may be transformed into the parent compound after treatment. This has already 377 been observed for carbamazepine (Bouju et al. 2016), diclofenac (Bouju et al. 2016) and 378 379 sulfamethoxazole (Guerra et al. 2014, Verlicchi et al. 2015). Other reason may be the sampling procedure. Samples from raw WW and treated effluents were taken in the same day; 380 hence HRT was not taken into account. This is of particular importance for the hospital WW 381 where the mean HRT was 8 days. 382

383

Differences in removal efficiency between molecules were already reported (Verlicchi et al. 384 2012b). As confirmed in our study, paracetamol, salicylic acid and ibuprofen are highly 385 biodegradable molecules. We also observed good removals of ciprofloxacin. However, these 386 can also be explained by the high capacity of this molecule to adsorb to sludge - about 60% 387 388 (Zhou et al. 2013). Regarding the other pharmaceuticals, removals were relatively lower, 389 especially in the case of the UWW treatment. Differences were observed for example, considering ketoprofen and diclofenac removals: in the UWW line, ketoprofen removal was a 390 little lower and diclofenac removal decreased dramatically compared to HWW line. Reported 391 392 values of removals of ketoprofen and diclofenac are very variable through the literature and may increase with higher HRT, SRT, temperature and with reactors that include nitrification 393 and denitrification steps. Consequently, the higher HRT of the HWW line (8 days) is one 394 395 possible explanation of good removals obtained for diclofenac and ketoprofen. This

³⁷¹ ^bTuc et al. 2017

³⁷²

difference can also be explained by the higher concentration of nitrates in the hospital basin. 396 Indeed, Barbieri et al. 2012 demonstrated the formation of the nitro derivative of diclofenac, 397 which can be retransformed to the parent compound. Hence, the higher removal in the HWW 398 399 basin may reflect a higher transformation of diclofenac into nitro-diclofenac and not its biodegradation (Chonova et al. 2016). A great variability in the removals of the beta-blockers 400 atenolol and propranolol was also observed in the literature (Table 4). In our study, removals 401 402 of atenolol and propranolol were a bit lower in the UWW line, than in the HWW line. Stadler 403 et al. 2015 studied the effect of redox conditions on pharmaceutical removals and observed higher removal of atenolol under fully aerobic conditions. This also highlights the importance 404 of oxygenation for the removal efficiency of certain molecules and difference in the removal 405 406 efficiency between urban and hospital line. Another important parameter that influences the removal of micropollutants is the F/M ratio. Petrie et al. 2014 observed a good correlation 407 between the decrease of the F/M ratio from 0.25 to 0.05 kgBOD5/kgMLVSS.d and the 408 increase of the removal of estrogens. Indeed, a low F/M ratio implies a limitation of the 409 410 substrate available for microorganisms, which may lead to the biodegradation of less easily assimilable carbon substrates. 411

412

Finally, an important variability of the removal efficiency (Coefficient of Variation > 40%) 413 was observed in the UWW line, compared to the HWW line. This may be explained by the 414 lower volume of WW discharged in the hospital basin (Kosma et al. 2010) and by larger 415 variation of contamination sources and stronger seasonal fluctuations in UWW. Seasonal 416 differences in removal efficiency, linked to changes in the ambient temperature, were also 417 observed. However, this trend was only visible in the urban basin. Removal efficiency of the 418 419 following molecules showed significant decrease during colder months: atenolol, diclofenac, ibuprofen, ketoprofen and propranolol (Figure 1). For example, diclofenac removal was very 420 variable throughout seasons, with a mean removal of 55% in warmer months, and only 1% in 421 colder months. The same effect of temperature was observed regarding NH4⁺ removal. 422 423 Indeed, nitrifying bacteria growth is dependent on temperature and temperature sensitivity decreases with increasing SRT (Willers et al. 1993) which may explain why it was only 424 observed in the urban line. 425



426

427 Fig. 1 - Boxplots presenting removal rates of some pharmaceuticals and physico-chemical parameters in hospital
428 (H) and Urban (U) lines during colder (_c) (<14°C) and warmer (_w) (>14°C) months (in %)

429

430 Concentrations of pharmaceuticals in treated WW

431

Eleven molecules out of the thirteen monitored pharmaceuticals were quantified in hospital 432 and/or urban treated wastewaters: paracetamol, sulfamethoxazole, ciprofloxacin, vancomycin, 433 ketoprofen, diclofenac, ibuprofen, salicylic acid, atenolol, propranolol and carbamazepine. 434 Median concentrations (Table 4) ranged between 19 ng/L for atenolol and 810 ng/L for 435 436 sulfamethoxazole in HWW. Median concentrations of carbamazepine, which is well-known to be resistant to treatments (Chonova et al. 2016, Radjenovic et al. 2009), were also 437 significant with 629 and 498 ng/L, in HWW and UWW, respectively. These concentrations 438 found in treated WW are consistent with the literature (Al Qarni et al. 2016, Santos et al. 439 2013, Verlicchi et al. 2012b) 440

441 Six pharmaceuticals were quantified in more than 80 % of the samples: sulfamethoxazole, 442 ketoprofen, diclofenac, ibuprofen, propranolol and carbamazepine. Regarding differences 443 between hospital and urban treated WW, despite a good removal efficiency of the treatment, 444 antibiotics concentrations remained higher in hospital effluents than in urban ones. 445 Conversely, atenolol and three anti-inflammatories (ketoprofen, diclofenac and ibuprofen) 446 were found in higher concentrations in the domestic treated WW.

447

448 Loads and contributions

449

Loads of pharmaceuticals were calculated to reveal the effect of the amount of discharge on the quantity of micropollutants released with the urban and the hospital WW. PCA performed

to present these loads shows that urban and hospital samples have very different 452 characteristics according to the loads of pollutants since they are placed oppositely on the first 453 axis (Fig. 2). Exceptions are the pharmaceuticals vancomycin and ciprofloxacin, which are 454 more associated to the second axis. Vancomycin and ciprofloxacin are hospital tracers which 455 were not regularly detected in UWW (Table 3). This may explain the higher importance of 456 their loads in the HWW comparing to other pharmaceuticals. Furthermore, despite higher 457 458 concentrations of certain compounds in the HWW, UWW are characterized by higher loads of almost all compounds. This is caused by the different amount of discharge: the urban 459 discharge is more than 37 times higher than the hospital discharge. These data confirm that, 460 except for antibiotics, UWW are the dominant emission pathway of pharmaceuticals (der 461 462 Beek et al. 2016). Indeed, high concentrations of pharmaceuticals are released by health-care facilities. However, their regular quantification in UWW is an evidence for their constant 463 consumption at home as well. 464



466
467 Fig. 2 - PCA based on loads of pharmaceuticals parameters in the locations UWW and HWW (the first two axes represent respectively 50% and 12% of the variability)

Besides, monthly campaigns showed great variability of concentrations and loads, both in 470 hospital and urban WW, with coefficients of variation up to 200%. An example is shown in 471 Figure 3, for sulfamethoxazole. An increase of sulfamethoxazole load of hospital WW can be 472 observed in autumn 2014 and 2015, which can be attributed to an increase in consumption 473 during these periods. A higher variation of the HWW load compared to the UWW load is 474 observed, which can be explained by the small number of patients compared to the large 475 476 number of people taking pharmaceuticals at home (Ort et al. 2010). Apart from this case, no seasonality was observed for the other compounds both in urban and hospital WW, which is 477 in accordance with Kosma et al. 2010 (Kosma et al. 2010). This is in contradiction with other 478 studies (Fernández et al. 2014, Yu et al. 2013) which reported higher concentrations in winter. 479 However they present shorter monitoring period (1 year), lower number of sampling 480 campaigns and the WWTP studied were significantly larger. Fernández et al. 2014 also 481 studied the seasonality of PhC concentrations in WW and found no tendency. 482

465



484

Fig. 3 – Evolution of the load of sulfamethoxazole through SIPIBEL campaigns in hospital and urban
 wastewaters

487

Finally, contributions of hospital and urban micropollutants to the total load of 488 489 micropollutants in raw and treated WW were calculated to evaluate the importance of hospital WW concerning the input of pollutants (Figure 4). Hospital contribution to the total load of 490 raw WW is higher than 10% for Gd (45%), AOX (40%), paracetamol (15%), ketoprofen 491 (28%) and the antibiotics sulfamethoxazole (34%), ciprofloxacin (99%) and vancomycin 492 493 (100%). These values are relatively high compared to the literature (Azuma et al. 2016, Kleywegt et al. 2016, Ort et al. 2010, Santos et al. 2013). First, the percentage that represents 494 the hospital flow to the total flow of the WWTP is quite high (3%), whereas this ratio is lower 495 in the other studies: between 0.2% in Kleywegt et al. 2016 study and 2% in Santos et al. 2013. 496 Nevertheless, paracetamol, ketoprofen and sulfamethoxazole contributions are consistent with 497 Santos et al. 2013. Secondly, the urban network of our study is collecting WW from about 498 20,850 inhabitants, which represents a bed density (number of beds per 1,000 inhabitants) of 499 21.6. Hospital bed densities in developed countries are usually around 5 beds per 1,000 500 501 inhabitants (Ort et al. 2010). Verlicchi et al. 2012a compared studies with bed density 502 between 4 and 33.5: logically, the highest contributions were found in the case of the highest 503 bed density. Finally, high contributions of vancomycin and ciprofloxacin are explained by the 504 fact that these substances were respectively never quantified and quantified only in 10% of the samples in UWW. After treatment, thanks to good overall removal efficiency, hospital 505 contribution is higher than 10% only for Gd (79%), AOX (24%), and the antibiotics 506 sulfamethoxazole (14%), ciprofloxacin (52%). 507



Fig. 4 – Hospital (black) and urban (grey) contributions of conventional parameters and pharmaceuticals to total
 load of pollutants in A: wastewaters and B: treated effluents



508

513 Conclusion

514

515 This article presents the results of a two years regular monitoring of conventional 516 physicochemical parameters and thirteen PhAC of various classes, in urban and hospital raw wastewaters and their treated effluents. With the help of accurate sampling strategies and the 517 use of advanced analytical techniques, an in-depth characterization of hospital and urban WW 518 was performed. Organic carbon, AOX, phosphates, Gadolinium and the pharmaceuticals 519 paracetamol, ketoprofen and antibiotics were found in higher concentrations in hospital 520 effluents. After activated sludge treatment, only concentrations of antibiotics were still higher 521 in hospital effluents. Nevertheless, due to a significantly higher flow from the urban 522 catchment, despite higher concentrations for certain compounds in hospital WW, hospital 523 contribution of PhAC was lower than domestic contribution. Finally, even if hospital and 524 525 urban WW were treated in the same geographical environment, differences in removal efficiencies were observed, which may be due to various factors: the specific composition of 526 the hospital WW, hydraulic retention time and redox conditions. 527

529 Acknowledgements

The authors thank the SIPIBEL field observatory on hospital's effluents and urban wastewater
treatment plants for displaying data and measurements and the European Union, the RhoneMediterranean Corsica water agency, the Rhône Alpes Region, ONEMA and the French
Ministry of Environment for their support.

534

536 **References**

- Al Aukidy M, Verlicchi P, Voulvoulis N (2014) A framework for the assessment of the
 environmental risk posed by pharmaceuticals originating from hospital effluents.
 Science of the Total Environment 493:54-64
- Al Qarni H, Collier P, O'Keeffe J, Akunna J (2016) Investigating the removal of some pharmaceutical compounds in hospital wastewater treatment plants operating in Saudi
 Arabia. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 23:13003-13014
- Azuma T, Arima N, Tsukada A, Hirami S, Matsuoka R, Moriwake R, Ishiuchi H, Inoyama T,
 Teranishi Y, Yamaoka M, Mino Y, Hayashi T, Fujita Y, Masada M (2016) Detection
 of pharmaceuticals and phytochemicals together with their metabolites in hospital
 effluents in Japan, and their contribution to sewage treatment plant influents. Science
 of the Total Environment 548:189-197
- Backe WJ (2015) An Ultrasensitive (Parts-Per-Quadrillion) and SPE-Free Method for the
 Quantitative Analysis of Estrogens in Surface Water. Environmental Science &
 Technology 49:14311-14318
- Barbieri M, Carrera J, Ayora C, Sanchez-Vila X, Licha T, Nodler K, Osorio V, Perez S,
 Kock-Schulmeyer M, de Alda ML, Barcelo D (2012) Formation of diclofenac and
 sulfamethoxazole reversible transformation products in aquifer material under
 denitrifying conditions: Batch experiments. Science of the Total Environment 426:
 256-263
- Bergé A, Wiest L, Giroud B, Baudot R, Vulliet E, Occurrence of multi-class surfactants in
 urban wastewater: contribution of a healthcare facility to the pollution transported into
 the sewerage system, submitted
- Bouju H, Nastold P, Beck B, Hollender J, Corvini PFX, Wintgens T (2016) Elucidation of
 biotransformation of diclofenac and 4 hydroxydiclofenac during biological wastewater
 treatment. Journal of Hazardous Materials 301:443-452
- Boxall ABA et al. (2012) Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products in the Environment:
 What Are the Big Questions? Environmental Health Perspectives 120:1221-1229
- Carraro E, Bonetta S, Bertino C, Lorenzi E, Gilli G (2016) Hospital effluents management:
 Chemical, physical, microbiological risks and legislation in different countries.
 Journal of Environmental Management 168:185-199
- 567 Cavalié P (2014) Analyse des ventes de médicaments en France en 2013. http://www.ansm.fr
- 568 Chonova T, Keck F, Labanowski J, Montuelle B, Rimet F, Bouchez A (2016) Separate
 569 treatment of hospital and urban wastewaters: A real scale comparison of effluents and
 570 their effect on microbial communities. Science of the Total Environment 542:965-975
- 571 Chonova T, Lecomte V, Bertrand-Krajewski J-L, Dagot C, Lévi Y, Perrodin Y, Labanowski
 572 J, Wiest L, Gonzalez-Ospina A, Bouchez A, Cournoyer B, Sebastian C (2017) The
 573 SIPIBEL project: treatment of both hospital and urban wastewater in a conventional
 574 urban wastewater treatment plant, Environmental Science and Pollution Research,
 575 accepted
- 576 Der Beek TA, Weber FA, Bergmann A, Hickmann S, Ebert I, Hein A, Kuster A (2016)
 577 Pharmaceuticals in the environment-global occurrences and perspectives.
 578 Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 35:823-835
- 579 Dray S, Dufour AB (2007) The ade4 package: Implementing the duality diagram for
 580 ecologists. Journal of Statistical Software 22:1-20
- European Commission (1991) Directive 91/271/EEC of the council of the European communities of 21st May 1991 concerning urban waste water treatment to protect the water environment from the adverse effects of discharges of urban waste water and from certain industrial discharges. Off. J. Eur. Communities 135:40-52.

- Eymery F, Choubert J-M, Lepot B, Gasperi J, Lachenal J, Coquery M (2011) Guide technique
 opérationnel : Pratiques d'échantillonnage et de conditionnement en vue de la
 recherche de micropolluants prioritaires et émergents en assainissement collectif et
 industriel, Première version. Irstea/Cemagref
- Falas P, Wick A, Castronovo S, Habermacher J, Ternes TA, Joss A (2016) Tracing the limits
 of organic micropollutant removal in biological wastewater treatment. Water Research
 95:240-249
- Fernández M, Fernández M, Laca A, Laca A, Diaz M (2014) Seasonal occurrence and
 removal of pharmaceutical products in municipal wastewaters. Journal of
 Environmental Chemical Engineering 2:495-502
- Goulle JP, Saussereau E, Mahieu L, Cellier D, Spiroux J, Guerbet M (2012) Importance of
 Anthropogenic Metals in Hospital and Urban Wastewater: Its Significance for the
 Environment. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 89:1220 1224
- Gros M, Petrovic M, Barcelo D (2006) Development of a multi-residue analytical
 methodology based on liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC MS/MS) for screening and trace level determination of pharmaceuticals in surface and
 wastewaters. Talanta 70:678-690
- Guerra P, Kim M, Shah A, Alaee M, Smyth SA (2014) Occurrence and fate of antibiotic,
 analgesic/anti-inflammatory, and antifungal compounds in five wastewater treatment
 processes. Science of the Total Environment 473:235-243
- Kleywegt S, Pileggi V, Lam YM, Elises A, Puddicomb A, Purba G, Di Caro J, Fletcher T
 (2016) The contribution of pharmaceutically active compounds from healthcare
 facilities to a receiving sewage treatment plant in canada. Environmental Toxicology
 and Chemistry 35:850-862
- Kosma CI, Lambropoulou DA, Albanis TA (2010) Occurrence and removal of PPCPs in
 municipal and hospital wastewaters in Greece. Journal of Hazardous Materials
 179:804-817
- Kovalova L, Siegrist H, Singer H, Wittmer A, McArdell CS (2012) Hospital Wastewater
 Treatment by Membrane Bioreactor: Performance and Efficiency for Organic
 Micropollutant Elimination. Environmental Science & Technology 46:1536-1545
- Kummerer K, Erbe T, Gartiser S, Brinker L (1998) AOX emissions from hospital into
 municipal wastewater. Chemosphere 36:2437-2445
- Kummerer K (2001) Drugs in the environment: emission of drugs, diagnostic aids and
 disinfectants into wastewater by hospitals in relation to other sources a review.
 Chemosphere 45:957-969
- Lachassagne D, Soubrand M, Casellas M, Gonzalez-Ospina A, Dagot C (2015) Impact of
 sludge stabilization processes and sludge origin (urban or hospital) on the mobility of
 pharmaceutical compounds following sludge landspreading in laboratory soil-column
 experiments. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 22:17135-17150
- Lecomte V (2016) Rapport SIPIBEL 2011-2015 : résultats de quatre années de suivi, d'études 625 recherches, sur le site pilote de Bellecombe, 626 et de accessed in www.graie.org/Sipibel/publications 627
- Le Bonté S, Pons M-N, Potier O, Rocklin P (2008) Relation Between Conductivity and Ion
 Content in Urban Wastewater. Revue des sciences de l'eau 21:429-438
- Nagarnaik PM, Mills MA, Boulanger B (2010) Concentrations and mass loadings of
 hormones, alkylphenols, and alkylphenol ethoxylates in healthcare facility
 wastewaters. Chemosphere 78:1056-1062

- Noguera-Oviedo K, Aga DS (2016) Lessons learned from more than two decades of research
 on emerging contaminants in the environment. Journal of Hazardous Materials
 316:242-251
- Oliveira TS, Murphy M, Mendola N, Wong V, Carlson D, Waring L (2015) Characterization
 of Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care products in hospital effluent and waste water
 influent/effluent by direct-injection LC-MS-MS. Science of the Total Environment
 518:459-478
- Ort C, Lawrence MG, Reungoat J, Eaglesham G, Carter S, Keller J (2010) Determining the
 fraction of pharmaceutical residues in wastewater originating from a hospital. Water
 Research 44:605-615
- Petrie B, McAdam EJ, Lester JN, Cartmell E (2014) Assessing potential modifications to the
 activated sludge process to improve simultaneous removal of a diverse range of
 micropollutants. Water Research 62:180-192
- Pico Y, Barcelo D (2015) Transformation products of emerging contaminants in the
 environment and high-resolution mass spectrometry: a new horizon. Analytical and
 Bioanalytical Chemistry 407:6257-6273
- Radjenovic J, Petrovic M, Barcelo D (2009) Fate and distribution of pharmaceuticals in
 wastewater and sewage sludge of the conventional activated sludge (CAS) and
 advanced membrane bioreactor (MBR) treatment. Water Research 43:831-841
- Santos LHMLM, Gros M, Rodriguez-Mozaz S, Delerue-Matos C, Pena A, Barcelo D,
 Montenegro MCBSM (2013) Contribution of hospital effluents to the load of
 pharmaceuticals in urban wastewaters: Identification of ecologically relevant
 pharmaceuticals. Science of the Total Environment 461:302-316
- Stadler LB, Su LJ, Moline CJ, Ernstoff AS, Aga DS, Love NG (2015) Effect of redox
 conditions on pharmaceutical loss during biological wastewater treatment using
 sequencing batch reactors. Journal of Hazardous Materials 282:106-115
- Taylor PJ (2005) Matrix effects: The Achilles heel of quantitative high-performance liquid
 chromatography-electrospray-tandem mass spectrometry. Clinical Biochemistry
 38:328-334
- Tuc DQ, Elodie MG, Pierre L, Fabrice A, Marie-Jeanne T, Martine B, Joelle E, Marc C
 (2017) Fate of antibiotics from hospital and domestic sources in a sewage network.
 Science of the Total Environment 575: 758-766
- Verlicchi P, Galletti A, Petrovic M, Barcelo D (2010) Hospital effluents as a source of
 emerging pollutants: An overview of micropollutants and sustainable treatment
 options. Journal of Hydrology 389:416-428
- Verlicchi P, Al Aukidy M, Galletti A, Petrovic M, Barcelo D (2012a) Hospital effluent:
 Investigation of the concentrations and distribution of pharmaceuticals and
 environmental risk assessment. Science of the Total Environment 430: 109-118
- Verlicchi P, Al Aukidy M, Zambello E (2012b) Occurrence of pharmaceutical compounds in
 urban wastewater: Removal, mass load and environmental risk after a secondary
 treatment-A review. Science of the Total Environment 429: 123-155
- Verlicchi P, Al Aukidy M, Zambello E (2015) What have we learned from worldwide
 experiences on the management and treatment of hospital effluent? An overview and
 a discussion on perspectives. Science of the Total Environment 514:467-491
- Willers HC, Tenhave PJW, Derikx PJL, Arts MW (1993) Temperature-dependency of
 nitrification and required anoxic volume for denitrification in the biological treatment
 of veal calf manure. Bioresource Technology 43:47-52
- Yu Y, Wu L, Chang AC (2013) Seasonal variation of endocrine disrupting compounds,
 pharmaceuticals and personal care products in wastewater treatment plants. Science of
 the Total Environment 442:310-316

Zhou XF, Zhang YL, Shi L, Chen JB, Qiang ZM, Zhang TC (2013): Partitioning of
Fluoroquinolones on Wastewater Sludge. Clean-Soil Air Water 41, 820-827

686 Figure captions

687 Figure 1: Boxplots presenting comparison of removal rates of pharmaceuticals and other

- 688 physico-chemical parameters in hospital (H) and Urban (U) during colder (_c) (<14 $^{\circ}$ C) and 689 warmer (_w) (>14 $^{\circ}$ C) months (in %)
- 690 Figure 2 : PCA based on loads of pharmaceuticals parameters in the locations UWW and
- 691 HWW (the first two axes represent respectively 50% and 12% of the variability)
- Figure 3 : Evolution of the load of sulfamethoxazole through SIPIBEL campaigns in hospitaland urban wastewaters
- 694 Figure 4: Hospital (black) and urban (grey) contributions of conventional parameters and
- 695 pharmaceuticals to total load of pollutants in A: wastewaters and B: treated effluents
- 696
- 697
- 698