



HAL
open science

Homogeneous Dirichlet wavelets on the interval diagonalizing the derivative operator, and related applications

Souleymane Kadri Harouna, Valérie Perrier

► **To cite this version:**

Souleymane Kadri Harouna, Valérie Perrier. Homogeneous Dirichlet wavelets on the interval diagonalizing the derivative operator, and related applications. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2022, 505 (2), pp.125479. 10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125479 . hal-01568431v3

HAL Id: hal-01568431

<https://hal.science/hal-01568431v3>

Submitted on 15 Feb 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Homogeneous Dirichlet wavelets on the interval diagonalizing the derivative operator, and related applications

Souleymane Kadri Harouna^{a,*}, Valérie Perrier^b

^a*Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Image et Applications, Avenue Michel Crépeau, 17042 La Rochelle cedex 1 France.*

^b*Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, LJK, 38000 Grenoble, France.*

Abstract

This paper presents a new construction of homogeneous Dirichlet wavelet basis on the unit interval, linked by a diagonal differentiation-integration relation to a standard biorthogonal wavelet basis. This new wavelet basis allows to compute the solution of the Poisson equation only by a wavelet coefficient renormalization - like in Fourier domain -, which yields a linear complexity $\mathcal{O}(N)$ for this problem. Another application concerns the construction of free-slip divergence-free wavelet bases of the hypercube, in general dimension, with an associated decomposition algorithm as simple as in the periodic case.

Keywords: Wavelets on the interval, Boundary condition, Poisson equation, Divergence-free wavelets

1. Introduction

Since the pioneering work of Lemarié-Rieusset [14], due to their important role in the construction of divergence-free or curl-free wavelets, biorthogonal multiresolution analyses linked by differentiation and integration have been widely studied [13, 19, 22, 23]. The main purpose was to construct two mul-

*Corresponding author

Email address: souleymane.kadri_harouna@univ-lr.fr (Souleymane Kadri Harouna)

ti-resolution analyses of $L^2(0, 1)$ provided by spaces V_j^1 and V_j^0 such that

$$\forall j, \quad \frac{d}{dx} V_j^1 = V_j^0. \quad (1)$$

2 Relation (1) should be interpreted as: $\forall f \in V_j^1, f' \in V_j^0$ and $\forall g \in V_j^0$, there
 3 exists $f \in V_j^1$ such that $f' = g$.

4

On the unit interval $[0, 1]$, with non periodic boundary conditions, such a construction was firstly introduced by Jouini and Lemarié-Rieusset [13]. They started with V_j^1 as a *regular* multiresolution analysis of $L^2(0, 1)$ reproducing polynomial at boundaries [1, 5, 11], with the scaling function φ^1 and wavelet ψ^1 generators on \mathbb{R} that satisfy [14]:

$$(\varphi^1)' = \varphi^0 - \varphi^0(\cdot - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad (\psi^1)' = 4\psi^0. \quad (2)$$

Jouini and Lemarié-Rieusset [13] used the orthogonal construction of [5] for the space V_j^1 . They show that, from relation (2) and properly setting the integer parameters in the construction of V_j^1 , one can deduce the space V_j^0 that satisfies (1). In this case, the wavelet space W_j^0 is defined by differentiating the wavelet basis of W_j^1 :

$$W_j^0 = \text{span}\{\psi_{j,k}^0 := 2^{-j}(\psi_{j,k}^1)'\}.$$

The corresponding biorthogonal spaces $(\tilde{V}_j^1, \tilde{V}_j^0)$ are respectively constructed again using integration by part. However, the construction of [13] remains theoretical, for instance it is not obvious to compute numerically the wavelet filters of $\psi_{j,k}^0$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0$:

$$\psi_{j,k}^0 = \sum_n H_{k,n}^0 \varphi_{j+1,n}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0 = \sum_n \tilde{H}_{k,n}^0 \tilde{\varphi}_{j+1,n}^0. \quad (3)$$

5 where $V_j^0 = \text{span}\{\varphi_{j,k}^0; k\}$ and $\tilde{V}_j^0 = \text{span}\{\tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}^0; k\}$. This point has been raised
 6 by Kadri-Harouna and Perrier in [19], they extended the construction of [13] to
 7 any *regular* scaling function generator φ^1 and provided a numerical algorithm
 8 for the associated Fast Wavelet Transform.

9

10 One advantage of the construction [13, 19] is that the associated multiscale
 11 projectors commute with the derivative operator in $H^1(0, 1)$. This fundamental
 12 property enables to construct divergence-free wavelet bases as it was done in
 13 [19]. Another interest of this property is to make possible the Ladyenskaya-
 14 Babuska-Brezzi (LBB) condition for a wavelet based method in the numerical
 15 discretization of a mixed problem such as the Stokes problem [3, 6]. The key
 16 ingredient is that, commutation with derivation allows to get easily the condition
 17 of Fortin's lemma [10], see [6].

18
 19 Ensuring the commutation of multiscale projectors with differentiation im-
 20 poses to the biorthogonal space \tilde{V}_j^0 to satisfy homogeneous Dirichlet boundary
 21 condition [13]. In this case, $\tilde{V}_j^0 \subset H_0^1(0, 1)$ and constitutes a multiresolution
 22 analysis of this space (and not of $L^2(0, 1)$). Nevertheless, relation (2) remains
 23 valid but only for internal scaling functions and wavelets (*i.e.* basis functions
 24 having their support included into $[0, 1]$). The edge functions did not strictly
 25 satisfy this diagonal relation, but a linear combination of them: a change of
 26 basis is therefore introduced [20].

27
 Recently, Stevenson [22] has proposed another construction which differs
 from the existing constructions by the choice of the boundary conditions for
 the dual spaces \tilde{V}_j^0 and \tilde{V}_j^1 . Precisely, let us suppose that $\psi_{j,k}^1$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0$ are
 the wavelets constructed from scaling function generators satisfying (2). Then,
 integration by part shows that:

$$\psi_{j,k}^1(1)\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0(1) - \psi_{j,k}^1(0)\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0(0) = \langle \psi_{j,k}^0, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0 \rangle - \langle \psi_{j,k}^1, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1 \rangle. \quad (4)$$

28 If the two systems $(\psi_{j,k}^1, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1)$ and $(\psi_{j,k}^0, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0)$ are biorthogonal, the boundary
 29 terms of (4) should vanish. Instead of taking $\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0 \in H_0^1(0, 1)$ like in [13], the
 30 construction of [22] sets $\psi_{j,k}^1(1) = 0$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0(0) = 0$. This choice of bound-
 31 ary condition is more flexible and leads to \tilde{V}_j^0 as a multiresolution analysis of
 32 $L^2(0, 1)$: however the commutation of multiscale projectors with differentiation
 33 is lost. Alternatively, to get (4) one can take (V_j^0, \tilde{V}_j^0) as a multiresolution of

34 $L_{2,0} = \{u \in L^2(0,1) : \int_0^1 u(t)dt = 0\}$ [21]. In this case, $V_j^1 = \int_0^x V_j^0 \subset H_0^1(0,1)$,
 35 thus only the spaces $\tilde{V}_j^1 = \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{V}_j^0$ can provide a multiresolution analysis of
 36 $L^2(0,1)$, see Proposition 3.1 of [21].

37

The focal point of this work is the construction of a wavelet basis satisfying homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on the interval, associated to a biorthogonal multiresolution analyses of $H_0^1(0,1)$, and linked by a diagonal differentiation/integration relation to a standard wavelet bases of $H_0^1(0,1)$, as in [13, 19, 22]. As for the internal wavelets (2), emphasis is made on the construction of edge wavelets in order to get a diagonal differentiation relation:

$$\psi_{j,k}^1(x) = 2^j \int_0^x \psi_{j,k}^0(t)dt \quad \text{and} \quad (\psi_{j,k}^1)'(x) = 2^j \psi_{j,k}^0(x). \quad (5)$$

Contrarily to our previous construction [19], which started with the wavelets $\psi_{j,k}^1$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1$, in this work we begin with the knowledge of the wavelets $\psi_{j,k}^0$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0$: for this step, we will use a standard orthogonal or biorthogonal wavelet basis on the interval $[0,1]$ allowing polynomial reproduction even at boundaries, see e.g; [1, 5]. Since $\int_0^1 \psi_{j,k}^0(t)dt = 0$, relation (5) leads to $\psi_{j,k}^1 \in H_0^1(0,1)$ instead of $\psi_{j,k}^1 \in H^1(0,1)$ as in [13, 19, 22, 23]. Denoting by \overline{W}_j^1 this new wavelet spaces, one obtains the multiscale decompositions:

$$V_j^1 = V_{j_{min}}^1 \oplus \overline{W}_{j_{min}}^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{W}_{j-1}^1, \quad (6)$$

where incorporating homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition in V_j^1 is reduced to the treatment of this boundary condition only at the coarse scale j_{min} :

$$V_j^1 \cap H_0^1(0,1) = (V_{j_{min}}^1 \cap H_0^1(0,1)) \oplus \overline{W}_{j_{min}}^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{W}_{j-1}^1, \quad (7)$$

38 Notice that, due to the property of polynomial reproduction at boundaries, the
 39 multiscale decomposition (7) is stable in $H_0^1(0,1)$:

$$\|u\|_{H_0^1}^2 \sim \sum_{k \neq 0,1} | \langle u, \tilde{\varphi}_{j_{min},k}^1 \rangle |^2 + \sum_{j \geq j_{min}} \sum_k 2^{2j} | \langle u, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1 \rangle |^2, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(0,1),$$

40 whereas (6) yields a non stable multiscale decomposition of $L^2(0, 1)$.

41

Considering the particular case $\psi_{j,k}^0 = \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0$, which corresponds to the orthogonal setting, leads to:

$$\langle (\psi_{j,k}^1)', (\psi_{\ell,n}^1)' \rangle = 2^{j+\ell} \langle \psi_{j,k}^0, \psi_{\ell,n}^0 \rangle = 2^{j+\ell} \delta_{j,\ell} \delta_{k,n}. \quad (8)$$

42 Then, from (8) we infer that the 1D Poisson equation with homogeneous Dirich-
 43 let boundary can be solved with a linear numerical complexity in the multireso-
 44 lution analysis provided by spaces V_j^1 . Furthermore, this new construction still
 45 maintains the properties of Fortin's lemma [10] in the numerical discretization
 46 of Stokes problem and allows to get a fast divergence-free wavelet transform
 47 algorithm similar to this of the periodic case [9]. The main difficulty of such
 48 a construction is the numerical implementation of the decomposition (6), this
 49 point will be well documented in the present work.

50

51 In Section 2 we recall the construction of biorthogonal multiresolution anal-
 52 ysis of $L^2(0, 1)$ with polynomial reproduction and how to impose homogeneous
 53 boundary conditions in such context to obtain a basis of $H_0^1(0, 1)$. Section 3
 54 reminds the principle of the construction of BMRA linked by differentiation
 55 / integration and its main properties needed for a numerical implementation.
 56 The new construction of BMRA linked by differentiation / integration is de-
 57 tailed in Section 4, while the associated fast wavelet transform algorithms are
 58 provided in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 presents numerical examples showing
 59 the potentiality of these new bases.

60

61 **2. Biorthogonal multiresolution analyses of $L^2(0, 1)$ reproducing poly-** 62 **nomial**

The construction of biorthogonal multiresolution analyses (V_j, \tilde{V}_j) of $L^2(0, 1)$ with polynomial reproduction (r, \tilde{r}) is classical [4, 7, 11]: the principle is to start

with generators $(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})$, that are biorthogonal scaling functions of a BMRA on \mathbb{R} . We suppose that φ is compactly supported on $[n_{min}, n_{max}]$ and reproduces polynomials up to degree $r - 1$:

$$0 \leq \ell \leq r - 1, \quad \frac{x^\ell}{\ell!} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{p}_\ell(k) \varphi(x - k), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (9)$$

with $\tilde{p}_\ell(k) = \langle \frac{x^\ell}{\ell!}, \tilde{\varphi}(x - k) \rangle$. Similarly, $\tilde{\varphi}$ reproduces polynomials up to degree $\tilde{r} - 1$ and we note $p_\ell(k) = \langle \frac{x^\ell}{\ell!}, \varphi(x - k) \rangle$. For j sufficiently large, the spaces V_j on $[0, 1]$ have the structure:

$$V_j = V_j^b \oplus V_j^{int} \oplus V_j^\sharp, \quad (10)$$

63 where $V_j^{int} = span\{\varphi_{j,k}(x) = 2^{j/2}\varphi(2^j x - k) ; k = k_b, 2^j - k_\sharp\}$ is the space
 64 generated by *interior scaling functions* whose supports are included into $[\frac{\delta_b}{2^j}, 1 -$
 65 $\frac{\delta_\sharp}{2^j}] \subset [0, 1]$ ($\delta_b, \delta_\sharp \in \mathbb{N}$ be two fixed parameters), and $k_b = \delta_b - n_{min}$ and $k_\sharp =$
 66 $\delta_\sharp + n_{max}$. Moreover

$$V_j^b = span\{\Phi_{j,\ell}^b(x) = 2^{j/2}\Phi_\ell^b(2^j x) ; \ell = 0, \dots, r - 1\},$$

$$V_j^\sharp = span\{\Phi_{j,\ell}^\sharp(1 - x) = 2^{j/2}\Phi_\ell^\sharp(2^j(1 - x)) ; \ell = 0, \dots, r - 1\},$$

are the *edge spaces*, the *edge scaling functions* at the edge 0 being defined in order to preserve the polynomial reproduction (9) on the interval $[0, 1]$:

$$0 \leq \ell \leq r - 1, \quad \Phi_\ell^b(x) = \sum_{k=1-n_{max}}^{k_b-1} \tilde{p}_\ell(k) \varphi(x - k) \chi_{[0,+\infty[}. \quad (11)$$

67 At the edge 1, the edge scaling functions $\Phi_{j,\ell}^\sharp$ are constructed on $] - \infty, 1]$
 68 by symmetry, using the transform $Tf(x) = f(1 - x)$. In practice we have
 69 to choose $j \geq j_{min}$ where j_{min} is the smallest integer which verifies $j_{min} >$
 70 $\log_2[n_{max} - n_{min} + \delta_\sharp + \delta_b]$ to ensure that the supports of edge scaling functions
 71 at 0 do not intersect the supports of edge scaling functions at 1.

72

The polynomial reproduction in V_j is then satisfied since, for $0 \leq \ell \leq r - 1$ and $x \in [0, 1]$ we have:

$$\frac{2^{j/2}(2^j x)^\ell}{\ell!} = 2^{j/2}\Phi_\ell^b(2^j x) + \sum_{k=k_b}^{2^j - k_\sharp} \tilde{p}_\ell(k) \varphi_{j,k}(x) + 2^{j/2}\Phi_\ell^\sharp(2^j(1 - x)). \quad (12)$$

Similarly, the biorthogonal spaces \tilde{V}_j are defined with the same structure, allowing the polynomial reproduction up to degree $\tilde{r} - 1$:

$$\tilde{V}_j = \text{span}\{\tilde{\Phi}_{j,\ell}^b\}_{\ell=0,\tilde{r}-1} \oplus \tilde{V}_j^{int} \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{\Phi}_{j,\ell}^\sharp\}_{\ell=0,\tilde{r}-1}, \quad (13)$$

where $\tilde{V}_j^{int} = \text{span}\{\tilde{\varphi}_{j,k} ; k = \tilde{k}_b, 2^j - \tilde{k}_\sharp\}$ is the space generated by interior scaling functions $\tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}(x) = 2^{j/2}\tilde{\varphi}^1(2^j x - k)$, whose supports are included into $[\frac{\tilde{\delta}_b}{2^j}, 1 - \frac{\tilde{\delta}_\sharp}{2^j}]$ ($\tilde{\delta}_b, \tilde{\delta}_\sharp \in \mathbb{N}$ be two parameters). The edge scaling functions at 0 are defined by:

$$0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r} - 1, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_\ell^b(x) = \sum_{k=1-\tilde{n}_{max}}^{\tilde{k}_b-1} p_\ell(k) \tilde{\varphi}(x - k) \chi_{[0,+\infty[}.$$

73 The equality between dimensions of V_j and \tilde{V}_j is obtained by adjusting the
 74 parameters $\tilde{\delta}_b = \tilde{k}_b - \tilde{n}_{max}$ and $\tilde{\delta}_\sharp = \tilde{k}_\sharp + \tilde{n}_{min}$ (with $[\tilde{n}_{min}, \tilde{n}_{max}] = \text{supp } \tilde{\varphi}$)
 75 such that: $\Delta_j = \dim(V_j) = \dim(\tilde{V}_j) = 2^j - (\delta_b + \delta_\sharp) - (n_{max} - n_{min}) + 2r + 1$.
 76 Remark that $(\delta_b, \delta_\sharp)$ remain "free" parameters of the construction (often chosen
 77 equal to 0 or 1). The last step of the construction lies in the biorthogonalization
 78 process of the basis functions, since edge scaling functions of V_j and \tilde{V}_j are no
 79 more biorthogonal [1, 7, 11, 16]. Finally, the spaces (V_j, \tilde{V}_j) form a biorthogonal
 80 MRA of $L^2(0, 1)$.

Boundary conditions. A multiresolution analyses of

$$H_0^m(0, 1) = \{f \in H^m(0, 1) : f^{(p)}(0) = f^{(p)}(1) = 0, \quad 0 \leq p \leq m - 1\}$$

can be defined from V_j by taking $V_j^{m,0} = V_j \cap H_0^m(0, 1)$. For instance, if $m = 1$, as described in [15, 16], it suffices to remove the edge scaling functions Φ_0^b at edge 0 and Φ_0^\sharp at edge 1 which leads to:

$$V_j^{1,0} = \text{span}\{\Phi_{j,\ell}^b ; \ell = 1, r - 1\} \oplus V_j^{int} \oplus \text{span}\{\Phi_{j,\ell}^\sharp ; \ell = 1, r - 1\}.$$

81 In such case, we also remove the edge functions $\tilde{\Phi}_0^b$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_0^\sharp$ from \tilde{V}_j prior to
 82 biorthogonalization, to adjust the dimension of the biorthogonal space. Then,
 83 the spaces $(V_j^{1,0}, \tilde{V}_j^{1,0})$ constitute a biorthogonal multiresolution analyses of
 84 $H_0^1(0, 1)$.

85 **3. Existing construction of (V_j^0, \tilde{V}_j^0) linked by differentiation / inte-**
 86 **gration with (V_j^1, \tilde{V}_j^1)**

87 In this section, we recall briefly the earlier construction of the multiresolution
 88 analysis linked differentiation / integration. Then, we will mention their limita-
 89 tions in some applications that we intend to take up with our new construction
 90 below.

91 All the constructions of biorthogonal multiresolution analyses of $L^2(0,1)$
 92 linked by differentiation / integration are based on the following proposition
 93 [14]:

94 **Proposition 1.** *Let $(V_j^1(\mathbb{R}), \tilde{V}_j^1(\mathbb{R}))$ be a biorthogonal MRA of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, with*
 95 *associated scaling functions $\varphi^1, \tilde{\varphi}^1$ and wavelets $\psi^1, \tilde{\psi}^1$. Assume that $V_j^1(\mathbb{R})$*
 96 *is regular, $\varphi^1 \in \mathcal{C}^{1+\epsilon}$, $\epsilon > 0$, and compactly supported. Then there exists a*
 97 *biorthogonal MRA $(V_j^0(\mathbb{R}), \tilde{V}_j^0(\mathbb{R}))$, with associated scaling functions $\varphi^0, \tilde{\varphi}^0$ and*
 98 *wavelets $\psi^0, \tilde{\psi}^0$, such that:*

99 $(\varphi^1)'(x) = \varphi^0(x) - \varphi^0(x-1)$ and $(\psi^1)' = 4\psi^0$.

100 *The biorthogonal functions verify: $\int_x^{x+1} \tilde{\varphi}^1(t) dt = \tilde{\varphi}^0(x)$ and $(\tilde{\psi}^0)' = -4\tilde{\psi}^1$.*

101 Proposition 1 provides biorthogonal multiresolution analysis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ linked
 102 by differentiation/ integration [14]. For the space $L^2(0,1)$, again based on
 103 Proposition 1, the first construction was done by Jouini and Lemarié-Rieusset
 104 [13]: they prove the existence of two biorthogonal multiresolution analyses of
 105 $L^2(0,1)$, denoted (V_j^1) and (V_j^0) linked by differentiation such that:

$$\frac{d}{dx} V_j^1 = V_j^0. \quad (14)$$

106 The construction of [13] allows to study divergence-free vector functions on
 107 hypercube $[0,1]^d$, thus to get commutation of multiscale projector with the
 108 derivation operator, the biorthogonal spaces \tilde{V}_j^0 should satisfy:

$$\tilde{V}_j^0 = H_0^1(0,1) \cap \int_0^x \tilde{V}_j^1 = \left\{ f : f' \in \tilde{V}_j^1 \text{ and } f(0) = f(1) = 0 \right\}. \quad (15)$$

109 Then, as mentioned before, \tilde{V}_j^0 can not be a multiresolution analysis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

110 since $\tilde{V}_j^0 \subset H_0^1(0,1)$. Furthermore, if $(\mathcal{P}_j^1, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j^1)$ are the biorthogonal projectors
 111 of (V_j^1, \tilde{V}_j^1) and $(\mathcal{P}_j^0, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j^0)$ those of (V_j^0, \tilde{V}_j^0) respectively, we have [13]:

112 **Proposition 2.**

113 (i) $\forall f \in H^1(0,1), \quad \frac{d}{dx} \circ \mathcal{P}_j^1 f = \mathcal{P}_j^0 \circ \frac{d}{dx} f,$

114 (ii) $\forall f \in H_0^1(0,1), \quad \frac{d}{dx} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j^0 f = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j^1 \circ \frac{d}{dx} f.$

115

116 Despite of satisfying Proposition 2, the construction of Jouini and Lemarié-
 117 Rieusset [13] remains in a theoretical setting and inspired by the use of Daubechies
 118 compactly supported orthogonal generators [8]. A construction that uses classi-
 119 cal biorthogonal multiresolution analyses on the interval [4, 7, 11], with polyno-
 120 mial reproduction at boundaries, was done and implemented by Kadri-Harouna
 121 and Perrier [19]. In such a construction, the choice of integer parameters $(\delta_b, \delta_\#)$
 122 and $(\tilde{\delta}_b, \tilde{\delta}_\#)$ is very important: they must be identical for the two multiresolu-
 123 tion analyses to satisfy (14,15) and to provide the commutation of multiscale
 124 projectors with the differentiation operator.

125 *3.1. Wavelet spaces*

For $j \geq j_{min}$, the biorthogonal wavelet spaces associated to V_j^1 are defined
 by $W_j^1 = V_{j+1}^1 \cap (\tilde{V}_j^1)^\perp$. As for the scaling function spaces, these spaces have
 the following structure:

$$W_j^1 = W_j^{1,b} \oplus W_j^{1,int} \oplus W_j^{1,\#},$$

126 where $W_j^{1,b}$ is spanned by the edge wavelets at 0, $W_j^{1,int}$ is spanned by the
 127 interior wavelets and $W_j^{1,\#}$ is spanned by the edge wavelets at 1, see [1, 4, 7,
 128 11, 16] and references therein. The biorthogonal spaces $\tilde{W}_j^1 = \tilde{V}_{j+1}^1 \cap (V_j^1)^\perp$ are
 129 constructed in the same way, finally the wavelet bases of the two spaces must to
 130 be biorthogonalized identically as the scaling functions. The resulting wavelet
 131 bases are denoted by $\{\psi_{j,k}^1\}_{k=1,2^j}$ and $\{\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1\}_{k=1,2^j}$ without distinction.

132

133 The biorthogonal wavelets of W_j^0 and \tilde{W}_j^0 , linked to $\psi_{j,k}^1$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1$ by dif-
 134 ferentiation/integration are defined by the following proposition, established in
 135 the general framework by [13]:

Proposition 3. *Let (V_j^1, \tilde{V}_j^1) and (V_j^0, \tilde{V}_j^0) BMRA's satisfying (14,15). The wavelet spaces $W_j^0 = V_{j+1}^0 \cap (\tilde{V}_j^0)^\perp$ and $\tilde{W}_j^0 = \tilde{V}_{j+1}^0 \cap (V_j^0)^\perp$ are linked to the biorthogonal wavelet spaces associated to (V_j^1, \tilde{V}_j^1) by:*

$$W_j^0 = \frac{d}{dx} W_j^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{W}_j^0 = \int_0^x \tilde{W}_j^1. \quad (16)$$

Moreover, let $\{\psi_{j,k}^1\}_{k=1,2^j}$ and $\{\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1\}_{k=1,2^j}$ be two biorthogonal wavelet bases of W_j^1 and \tilde{W}_j^1 . Biorthogonal wavelet bases of W_j^0 and \tilde{W}_j^0 are directly defined by:

$$\psi_{j,k}^0 = 2^{-j} (\psi_{j,k}^1)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0 = -2^j \int_0^x \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1. \quad (17)$$

136 This new edge wavelets preserve fast algorithms since they satisfy two-scale
 137 equations [19]:

Proposition 4. *Let $\{\psi_{j,k}^1\}_{k=1,2^j}$ and $\{\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1\}_{k=1,2^j}$ be two biorthogonal wavelet bases of W_j^1 and \tilde{W}_j^1 associated respectively to filters G_j^1 and \tilde{G}_j^1 :*

$$\psi_{j,k}^1 = \sum_n (G_j^1)_{k,n} \varphi_{j+1,n}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1 = \sum_n (\tilde{G}_j^1)_{k,n} \tilde{\varphi}_{j+1,n}^1.$$

Then there exist sparse matrices G_j^0 and \tilde{G}_j^0 defined by:

$$G_j^0 = 2^{-j} G_j^1 L_{j+1}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G}_j^0 = -2^j \tilde{G}_j^1 L_{j+1}^{0T}, \quad (18)$$

such that the wavelets $\psi_{j,k}^0$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0$ satisfy:

$$\psi_{j,k}^0 = \sum_n (G_j^0)_{k,n} \varphi_{j+1,n}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^0 = \sum_n (\tilde{G}_j^0)_{k,n} \tilde{\varphi}_{j+1,n}^0.$$

The matrices L_j^1 and L_j^0 correspond to the change of basis between $(\frac{d}{dx} V_j^1, V_j^0)$ and $(\int_0^x V_j^0, V_j^1)$, respectively:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \varphi_{j,k}^1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\Delta_j-1} (L_j^1)_{k,n} \varphi_{j,n}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad - \int_0^x \varphi_{j,k}^0 = \sum_{m=1}^{\Delta_j} (L_j^0)_{k,m} \varphi_{j,m}^1. \quad (19)$$

138

139 Interior wavelets $\psi_{j,k}^0(x) = 2^{j/2}\psi^0(2^j x - k)$ in Proposition 3 correspond to
 140 classical wavelets, ψ^0 being a wavelet on \mathbb{R} associated to the scaling function φ^0
 141 as in Proposition 1.

142

143 In the previous works [19, 22], to construct divergence-free wavelet satisfy-
 144 ing *free slip* boundary condition, one needs to differentiate wavelets of $V_{j+1}^1 \cap$
 145 $H_0^1(0, 1)$ that satisfy homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, which deriva-
 146 tives differ from the wavelets defined in (17). In this case, the numerical com-
 147 putation of the Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition or the numerical simulation of
 148 the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations should required the use of four dif-
 149 ferent kind of edge wavelet filters. Precisely, in two space dimension using the
 150 multiresolution analysis $(V_j^1 \otimes V_j^0) \times (V_j^0 \otimes V_j^1)$, one should use the wavelet filters
 151 of $(\psi_{j,k}^1; \psi_{j,k}^0 = 2^{-j}(\psi_{j,k}^1)')$ for the usual decomposition and the wavelet filters
 152 of $(\psi_{j,k}^{1,0} \in V_{j+1}^1 \cap H_0^1(0, 1); 2^{-j}(\psi_{j,k}^{1,0})' \neq \psi_{j,k}^0)$, due to the *free slip* boundary
 153 condition, see [18, 20] for details.

154

155 The new construction detailed in the next section will lead to edge wavelets
 156 that satisfy relation (17) even if with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condi-
 157 tion.

158 **4. New construction of (V_j^1, \tilde{V}_j^1) linked to (V_j^0, \tilde{V}_j^0) by differentiation** 159 **/ integration to handle boundary conditions in V_j^1 .**

160 In this section we present our new construction of biorthogonal multiresolu-
 161 tion analyses linked by differentiation and integration. The construction of the
 162 primal spaces (V_j^1, V_j^0) remains the same as in the classical construction [13, 19].
 163 However, the construction of the *biorthogonal* spaces $(\tilde{V}_j^1, \tilde{V}_j^0)$ will be different.
 164 Indeed, to handle Dirichlet boundary conditions in V_j^1 , we will construct new
 165 wavelet bases $(\psi_{j,k}^1)$ which will constitute a Riesz basis for the homogeneous space
 166 $H_0^1(0, 1)$. This is an issue of major benefit in the construction of divergence-free

167 wavelet satisfying physical boundary condition [19].

168

169 The construction starts with (V_j^0, \tilde{V}_j^0) as a standard biorthogonal multireso-
 170 lution analyses of $L^2(0, 1)$ [1, 4, 5] (which can be orthogonal), where the scaling
 171 function generators $(\varphi^0, \tilde{\varphi}^0)$ satisfy Proposition 1, with at least two vanishing
 172 moments for the wavelet ψ^0 : $\tilde{r}^0 \geq 2$. We denote by $(\delta_b, \delta_\#)$ and $(\tilde{\delta}_b, \tilde{\delta}_\#)$ the
 173 integer parameters used in the construction of (V_j^0, \tilde{V}_j^0) . Following [13, 19],
 174 the classical multiresolution spaces V_j^1 is constructed from the scaling function
 175 generator φ^1 with the same integer parameters $(\delta_b, \delta_\#)$ and satisfy:

$$\frac{d}{dx} V_j^1 = V_j^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_j^1 - 1 = \Delta_j^0.$$

176 In this case, for the biorthogonal space \tilde{V}_j^0 , since $\Delta_j^0 = \dim(\tilde{V}_j^0)$, we see that:

$$\Delta_j^1 - 2 = \dim\left(\frac{d}{dx} \tilde{V}_j^0\right).$$

177 The construction of \tilde{V}_j^1 follows similar approach with the generator $\tilde{\varphi}^1$. To get
 178 equality between dimensions of spaces V_j^1 and \tilde{V}_j^1 one needs:

$$\Delta_j^1 = \tilde{\Delta}_j^1,$$

179 which imposes to the integer parameters to be used for the construction of \tilde{V}_j^1
 180 to be fixed to $(\tilde{\delta}_b - 1, \tilde{\delta}_\# - 1)$. It follows therefore that:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \tilde{V}_j^0 \not\subset \tilde{V}_j^1.$$

181 This is a major difference compared to the existing construction.

182 4.1. A new wavelet space for V_j^1

The construction of the wavelet basis associated to V_j^1 is the major contri-
 bution of the present work. In the classical construction, one defines the wavelet
 space as:

$$V_{j+1}^1 = V_j^1 \oplus W_j^1, \quad \text{where} \quad W_j^1 = V_{j+1}^1 \cap (\tilde{V}_j^1)^\perp.$$

Then, the space W_j^1 does not necessarily satisfy homogeneous Dirichlet bound-
 ary condition. To compensate for that, in this work the wavelet space is defined

as:

$$\overline{W}_j^1 = \int_0^x W_j^0,$$

where W_j^0 is the wavelet space associated to V_j^0 [1, 4, 7, 11, 16]:

$$W_j^0 = V_{j+1}^0 \cap (\tilde{V}_j^0)^\perp.$$

Remark 1.

From the zero mean value property of the wavelet $\psi_{j,k}^0$, by construction the space \overline{W}_j^1 satisfies:

$$\overline{W}_j^1 \subset H_0^1(0, 1).$$

In the previous section, the wavelet space W_j^0 was defined as $W_j^0 = \frac{d}{dx} W_j^1$ and this choice of W_j^0 led in general to:

$$W_j^1 \neq \int_0^x W_j^0.$$

183 For all $j \geq j_{min}$, the spaces \overline{W}_j^1 verify the following proposition.

Proposition 5.

Let W_j^0 be the wavelet space associated to V_j^0 , where $V_j^0 = \frac{d}{dx} V_j^1$. Then the space V_{j+1}^1 can be decomposed as follows:

$$V_{j+1}^1 = V_j^1 \oplus \overline{W}_j^1, \quad \text{with } \overline{W}_j^1 = \int_0^x W_j^0, \quad (20)$$

and

$$V_{j+1}^1 = V_{j_{min}}^1 \oplus \overline{W}_{j_{min}}^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{W}_j^1. \quad (21)$$

Proof. As $\frac{d}{dx} V_j^1 = V_j^0$, we get:

$$\overline{W}_j^1 = \int_0^x W_j^0 \subset \int_0^x \frac{d}{dt} V_{j+1}^1 \subset V_{j+1}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^x W_j^0 \subset H_0^1(0, 1).$$

Moreover, let u_j be a function of $V_j^1 \cap \overline{W}_j^1$:

$$u_j = \sum_k c_k \varphi_{j,k}^1 = \sum_n d_n \int_0^x \psi_{j,n}^0,$$

we deduce that:

$$\frac{d}{dx} u_j \in V_j^0 \cap W_j^0 \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx} u_j = 0 \Rightarrow u_j = C \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since

$$\langle 1, \int_0^x \psi_{j,n}^0 \rangle = -\langle x, \psi_{j,n}^0 \rangle = 0,$$

we get $u_j = 0$, which implies $V_j^1 \cap \overline{W}_j^0 = \{0\}$. Let $f_{j+1} \in V_{j+1}^1$, then:

$$\frac{d}{dx} f_{j+1} \in V_{j+1}^0 = V_j^0 \oplus W_j^0.$$

Since $f_{j+1}(0) \in V_j^1$ (the constants are in V_j^1), integration gives:

$$f_{j+1}(x) = f_{j+1}(0) + \int_0^x \mathcal{P}_j^0 \left(\frac{d}{dx} f_{j+1} \right) + \int_0^x \mathcal{Q}_j^0 \left(\frac{d}{dx} f_{j+1} \right) \in V_j^1 + \overline{W}_j^1,$$

184 and this ends the proof. □

185 *4.2. A new multiscale decomposition of $H_0^1(0,1)$, and relation with the derivative*
 186 *operator*

We recall that from the results of Section 2, incorporating homogeneous boundary conditions in V_j^1 consists on removing the two scaling functions that do not satisfy the desired boundary conditions. In addition to that, one interest of this new wavelet space construction is that the treatment of homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions in V_j^1 is done only at the coarse scale j_{min} . Indeed, by construction the space $\overline{W}_j^1 = \int_0^x W_j^0 \subset H_0^1(0,1)$ and relation (21) allow to get the following decomposition:

$$V_{j+1}^{1,0} = V_{j+1}^1 \cap H_0^1(0,1) = (V_{j_{min}}^1 \cap H_0^1(0,1)) \oplus \overline{W}_{j_{min}}^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{W}_j^1. \quad (22)$$

187 Moreover, as a matter of fact, the wavelet space \overline{W}_j^1 is the classical wavelet
 188 space of $H_0^1(0,1)$ associated to the multiresolution analysis constituted by $V_j^{1,0}$
 189 (but the wavelet basis is different), as proved in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.

Let (V_j^1, \tilde{V}_j^1) and (V_j^0, \tilde{V}_j^0) be two BMRA of $L^2(0,1)$ linked by differentiation and integration constructed using the parameters $(\delta_b, \delta_\sharp)$ and $(\tilde{\delta}_b, \tilde{\delta}_\sharp)$. Defining the biorthogonal spaces $(V_j^{1,0}, \tilde{V}_j^{1,0})$ by:

$$V_j^{1,0} = V_j^1 \cap H_0^1(0,1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{V}_j^0 = \tilde{V}_j^{1,0}, \quad (23)$$

190 then we have:

- (i) The spaces $V_j^{1,0}$ provide a multiresolution analysis of $H_0^1(0, 1)$.
- (ii) The space $\overline{W}_j^1 = \int_0^x W_j^0$ is the classical wavelet space associated to $V_j^{1,0}$:

$$V_{j+1}^{1,0} = V_{j+1}^1 \cap H_0^1(0, 1) = V_j^{1,0} \oplus \int_0^x W_j^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^x W_j^0 = V_{j+1}^{1,0} \cap (\tilde{V}_j^{1,0})^\perp. \quad (24)$$

Proof.

The first point (i) is evident. Since V_j^1 is a multiresolution analysis of $L^2(0, 1)$ and $V_{j_{min}}^{1,0} = V_{j_{min}}^1 \cap H_0^1(0, 1)$, we have:

$$\overline{\cup_{j \geq j_{min}} V_j^{1,0}} = H_0^1(0, 1). \quad (25)$$

For the second point (ii), from the vanishing moment condition of the wavelet basis of W_j^0 we get:

$$\int_0^1 W_j^0 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_0^x W_j^0 \subset H_0^1(0, 1).$$

The differentiation relation gives:

$$\frac{d}{dx} V_{j+1}^1 = V_{j+1}^0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_0^x V_{j+1}^0 \subset V_{j+1}^1,$$

thus

$$\int_0^x W_j^0 \subset V_{j+1}^1 \cap H_0^1(0, 1) = V_{j+1}^{1,0}.$$

Moreover, the differentiation relation:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \tilde{V}_j^0 = \tilde{V}_j^{1,0}$$

states that for any $\tilde{f}_j^{1,0} \in \tilde{V}_j^{1,0}$, there exists $\tilde{f}_j^0 \in \tilde{V}_j^0$ such that $(\tilde{f}_j^0)' = \tilde{f}_j^{1,0}$, then:

$$\left\langle \int_0^x \psi_{j,k}^0, \tilde{f}_j^{1,0} \right\rangle = \left\langle \int_0^x \psi_{j,k}^0, \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{f}_j^0 \right\rangle = -\langle \psi_{j,k}^0, \tilde{f}_j^0 \rangle = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_0^x W_j^0 \subset (\tilde{V}_j^{1,0})^\perp.$$

Then we deduce that

$$\int_0^x W_j^0 \subset V_{j+1}^{1,0} \cap (\tilde{V}_j^{1,0})^\perp$$

and since the two spaces have the same dimension, we get:

$$\int_0^x W_j^0 = V_{j+1}^{1,0} \cap (\tilde{V}_j^{1,0})^\perp.$$

192

□

193 We remind that the integer parameters used in the construction of \tilde{V}_j^1 and
 194 \tilde{V}_j^0 are not the same. Then one can not expect to get commutation between
 195 multiscale projectors and derivation as in Proposition 2, but for the oblique
 196 multiscale projectors of $(V_j^{1,0}, V_j^0)$ and $(\tilde{V}_j^0, \tilde{V}_j^{1,0})$ we can prove the following
 197 proposition:

198 **Proposition 7.**

199 *Let $(\mathcal{P}_j^{1,0}, \mathcal{P}_j^0)$ be the biorthogonal projectors associated with $(V_j^{1,0}, V_j^0)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j^0, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j^{1,0})$
 200 the biorthogonal projectors associated with $(\tilde{V}_j^0, \tilde{V}_j^{1,0})$. Then, we have:*

201 (i) $\forall f \in H_0^1(0, 1), \quad \frac{d}{dx} \circ \mathcal{P}_j^{1,0} f = \mathcal{P}_j^0 \circ \frac{d}{dx} f.$

202 (ii) $\forall f \in H^1(0, 1), \quad \frac{d}{dx} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j^0 f = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j^{1,0} \circ \frac{d}{dx} f.$

Proof.

From proposition 6, there exist two matrices denoted $L_j^{1,0}$ and $\tilde{L}_j^{1,0}$ of size $(\Delta_j^1 - 2) \times (\Delta_j^1 - 1)$, such that:

$$\varphi_{j,k}^{1,0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\Delta_j^1-1} (L_j^{1,0})_{k,n} \int_0^x \varphi_{j,n}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^x \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}^{1,0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\Delta_j^1-1} (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{k,n} \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^0. \quad (26)$$

203 Then, the biorthogonality of the basis functions $\varphi_{j,k}^{1,0}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}^{1,0}$, with an integra-
 204 tion by part give:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k,m} &= \langle \varphi_{j,k}^{1,0}, \tilde{\varphi}_{j,m}^{1,0} \rangle = \sum_{\ell} (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{m,\ell} \langle \varphi_{j,k}^{1,0}, \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\varphi}_{j,\ell}^0 \rangle = \sum_{\ell} (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{m,\ell} \langle -\frac{d}{dx} \varphi_{j,k}^{1,0}, \tilde{\varphi}_{j,\ell}^0 \rangle \\ &= - \sum_n \sum_{\ell} (L_j^{1,0})_{k,n} (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{m,\ell} \langle \varphi_{j,n}^0, \tilde{\varphi}_{j,\ell}^0 \rangle = - \sum_n (L_j^{1,0})_{k,n} (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{m,n}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that:

$$I_{\Delta_j-2} = -L_j^{1,0} {}^t \tilde{L}_j^{1,0}.$$

205 Thus, the proof of the point (i) becomes a change of basis. Indeed, for $f \in$
 206 $H_0^1(0, 1)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{P}_j^{1,0}(f) &= \sum_k \langle f, \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}^{1,0} \rangle \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_{j,k}^{1,0} = \sum_k \sum_n (L_j^{1,0})_{k,n} \langle f, \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}^{1,0} \rangle \varphi_{j,n}^0 \\
 &= \sum_n \langle f, \sum_k (L_j^{1,0})_{k,n} \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}^{1,0} \rangle \varphi_{j,n}^0 = \sum_n \langle f, \sum_k \sum_m (L_j^{1,0})_{k,n} (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{k,m} \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\varphi}_{j,m}^0 \rangle \varphi_{j,n}^0 \\
 &= \sum_n \langle f, -\frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^0 \rangle \varphi_{j,n}^0 = \sum_n \langle \frac{d}{dx} f, \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^0 \rangle \varphi_{j,n}^0 = \mathcal{P}_j^0(\frac{d}{dx} f).
 \end{aligned}$$

For the second point (ii), let us consider the matrix \tilde{L}_j^0 defined by:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}^0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\Delta_j^0-1} (\tilde{L}_j^0)_{k,n} \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{1,0}.$$

Again, the duality of the basis and integration by part give:

$$\langle \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_{j,k}^{1,0}, \tilde{\varphi}_{j,m}^0 \rangle = (L_j^{1,0})_{k,m} = \langle \varphi_{j,k}^{1,0}, -\frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\varphi}_{j,m}^0 \rangle = -(\tilde{L}_j^0)_{m,k},$$

207 then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j^0(f) &= \sum_k \langle f, \varphi_{j,k}^0 \rangle \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}^0 = \sum_k \sum_n (\tilde{L}_j^0)_{k,n} \langle f, \varphi_{j,k}^0 \rangle \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{1,0} \\
 &= \sum_n \langle f, \sum_k (\tilde{L}_j^0)_{k,n} \varphi_{j,k}^0 \rangle \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{1,0} = \sum_n \langle f, -\sum_k (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{n,k} \varphi_{j,k}^0 \rangle \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{1,0} \\
 &= \sum_n \langle f, -\frac{d}{dx} \varphi_{j,n}^{1,0} \rangle \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{1,0} = \sum_n \langle \frac{d}{dx} f, \varphi_{j,n}^{1,0} \rangle \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{1,0} = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j^{1,0}(\frac{d}{dx} f).
 \end{aligned}$$

208

□

209 4.3. Fast decomposition algorithm

In this section we provide the decomposition of a given function $f \in H_0^1(0, 1)$ in the MRA $(V_j^{1,0})$ using (25, 22). As usual in Fast Wavelet Transforms, the complete decomposition uses a binary tree whose elementary step is given by the decomposition:

$$V_{j+1}^{1,0} = V_j^{1,0} \oplus \overline{W}_j^1 \tag{27}$$

Remembering that the space $V_j^{1,0}$ is obtained from V_j^1 only removing one boundary scaling function at each boundary 0 and 1:

$$V_j^{1,0} = \text{span}\{\varphi_j^{1,0}; j = 2, \dots, \Delta_j^1 - 1\}$$

and that (22) holds, we first study the elementary step:

$$V_{j+1}^1 = V_j^1 \oplus \overline{W}_j^1.$$

Therefore we will study the computation of the projection of $f_{j+1} \in V_{j+1}^1$ onto V_j^1 and \overline{W}_j^1 respectively. Precisely, starting with:

$$f_{j+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta_{j+1}^1} c_{j+1,k} \varphi_{j+1,k}^1,$$

we want to compute the coefficients $c_{j,k}$ and $d_{j,k}$ from $c_{j+1,k}$ such that:

$$f_{j+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta_j^1} c_{j,k} \varphi_{j,k}^1 + \sum_{m=1}^{2^j} d_{j,m} \int_0^x \psi_{j,m}^0.$$

Firstly, we notice that f_{j+1} can be split as

$$f_{j+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta_{j+1}^1} c_{j+1,k} \varphi_{j+1,k}^1 = f_{j+1}^0 + f_{j+1}^{1,0} + f_{j+1}^1,$$

210 with

$$f_{j+1}^0 = c_{j+1,1} \varphi_{j+1,1}^1 \Rightarrow f_{j+1}^0(0) \neq 0 \text{ and } f_{j+1}^0(1) = 0,$$

$$f_{j+1}^1 = c_{j+1,\Delta_{j+1}^1} \varphi_{j+1,\Delta_{j+1}^1}^1 \Rightarrow f_{j+1}^1(0) = 0 \text{ and } f_{j+1}^1(1) \neq 0,$$

and

$$f_{j+1}^{1,0} = \sum_{k=2}^{\Delta_{j+1}^1-1} c_{j+1,k} \varphi_{j+1,k}^1 \in V_{j+1}^{1,0} \subset H_0^1(0,1).$$

Thus, the two scale decomposition of $f_{j+1}^{1,0}$ is a classical decomposition in the multiresolution analysis of $H_0^1(0,1)$ provided by the scaling function filter of $V_j^{1,0}$ and wavelet filter of \overline{W}_j^1 . To compute the projection of f_{j+1}^0 , one way to proceed is to use the two scale relations satisfied by $\varphi_{j+1,1}^1$:

$$\varphi_{j+1,1}^1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{\Delta}_j^1} \tilde{H}_{n,1}^1 \varphi_{j,n}^1 + \sum_{m=1}^{2^j} \tilde{G}_{m,1}^1 \psi_{j,m}^1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{\Delta}_j^1} \tilde{H}_{n,1}^1 \varphi_{j,n}^1 + \sum_{m=1}^{2^j} \tilde{G}_{m,1}^1 \int_0^x \psi_{j,m}^0,$$

211 where the first decomposition corresponds to $V_{j+1}^1 = V_j^1 \oplus W_j^1$, with W_j^1 a
 212 chosen classical wavelet space associated to V_j^1 [4, 7, 11, 16] and the second one
 213 corresponds to $V_{j+1}^1 = V_j^1 \oplus \overline{W}_j^1$.

214

Then, to get the new filters $\tilde{H}_{n,1}^1$ and $\tilde{G}_{n,1}^1$, according to the biorthogonal-
 ization procedure that we adopted, where only the dual basis are modified, we
 have:

$$\tilde{G}_{k,1}^1 = \sum_{m=1}^{2^j} \tilde{G}_{m,1}^1 \langle \int_0^x \psi_{j,m}^0, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1 \rangle, \quad (28)$$

and

$$\tilde{H}_{k,1}^1 = \tilde{H}_{k,1}^1 + \sum_{m=1}^{2^j} \tilde{G}_{m,1}^1 \langle \int_0^x \psi_{j,m}^0, \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}^1 \rangle. \quad (29)$$

215 Equations (28) and (29) define two linear systems with respect to the edge
 216 scaling function and wavelet filters $\tilde{G}_{n,1}^1$ and $\tilde{H}_{n,1}^1$. From [2, 20], the computation
 217 of coefficients $\langle \int_0^x \psi_{j,m}^0, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1 \rangle$ and $\langle \int_0^x \psi_{j,m}^0, \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k}^1 \rangle$ is straightforward and this is
 218 done only for functions whose support intersect the edge function support, due
 219 to the compactly support properties of the generators. Then, solving these linear
 220 systems, and similar relations at the boundary 1, allows to get the new edge
 221 filters. Finally, the main steps of the decomposition algorithm are summarized
 222 as:

$$c_{j,1} = c_{j+1,1} \tilde{H}_{1,1}^1, \quad (30)$$

$$c_{j,k} = \sum_{n=2}^{\Delta_{j+1}-1} c_{j+1,n} \tilde{H}_{n,k}^{1,0} + c_{j+1,1} \tilde{H}_{k,1}^1 + c_{j+1,\Delta_{j+1}} \tilde{H}_{k,\Delta_{j+1}}^1, \quad 2 \leq k \leq \Delta_j \quad (31)$$

$$c_{j,\Delta_j} = c_{j+1,\Delta_{j+1}} \tilde{H}_{\Delta_j,\Delta_{j+1}}^1, \quad (32)$$

223 and

$$d_{j,k} = \sum_{n=2}^{\Delta_{j+1}-1} c_{j+1,n} \tilde{G}_{n,k}^{1,0} + c_{j+1,1} \tilde{G}_{k,1}^1 + c_{j+1,\Delta_{j+1}} \tilde{G}_{k,\Delta_{j+1}}^1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2 \quad (33)$$

224 **Remark 2.** Working in $V_j^{1,0} \subset H_0^1(0,1)$ amounts to work directly with $f_{j+1}^{1,0}$. In
 225 this case the elementary decomposition step (27) is reduced to the computations
 226 of coefficients (31, 33).

Fast reconstruction algorithm. For $f_{j+1} \in V_{j+1}^1$, let us suppose that we know its projection onto $V_j^1 \oplus \overline{W}_j^1$ in terms of:

$$f_{j+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta_j^1} c_{j,k} \varphi_{j,k}^1 + \sum_{m=1}^{2^j} d_{j,m} \int_0^x \psi_{j,m}^0,$$

and we want to compute its projection onto V_{j+1}^1 in terms of:

$$f_{j+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta_{j+1}^1} c_{j+1,k} \varphi_{j+1,k}^1.$$

Setting

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\Delta_j^1} c_{j,k} \varphi_{j,k}^1 = f_j^0 + f_j^{1,0} + f_j^1, \quad \text{with } f_j^0 = c_{j,1} \varphi_{j,1}^1 \quad \text{and } f_j^1 = c_{j,\Delta_j^1} \varphi_{j,\Delta_j^1}^1,$$

it is easy to see that

$$f_j^{1,0} + \sum_{m=1}^{2^j} d_{j,m} \int_0^x \psi_{j,m}^0 \in V_{j+1}^{1,0},$$

thus we get:

$$c_{j+1,k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\Delta_j^1} c_{j,n} H_{n,k}^1 + \sum_{m=1}^{2^j} d_{j,m} \overline{G}_{m,k}^1, \quad 2 \leq k \leq \Delta_{j+1}^1 - 1,$$

and

$$c_{j+1,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\Delta_j^1} c_{j,n} H_{n,1}^1, \quad c_{j+1,\Delta_{j+1}^1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\Delta_j^1} c_{j,n} H_{n,\Delta_{j+1}^1}^1.$$

227 **Remark 3.** Again working in $V_j^{1,0} \subset H_0^1(0,1)$ amounts to work directly with
 228 $f_j^{1,0}$ and $f_{j+1}^{1,0}$, assuming that $f_j^0 = 0$ and $f_j^1 = 0$. Using (30,32), we obtain
 229 $c_{j+1,1} = 0$ and $c_{j+1,\Delta_{j+1}^1} = 0$.

230 5. Numerical examples

231 We present in this section numerical examples to illustrate the effective-
 232 ness and the potential application of this new construction of multiresolution
 233 analyses linked by differentiation and integration. We first show the shape of




Figure 1: Plot of the internal scaling function φ^0 (left) and the internal wavelet ψ^0 (right). Daubechies orthogonal generator with $r = 3$.

234 generating functions and study the approximation errors provided by the MRA
 235 (V_j^1). Second we apply the new bases to the resolution of a Dirichlet-Laplace
 236 problem, only using Fast Wavelet Transforms, leading to a linear complexity for
 237 the resolution of the problem.

238 5.1. Basis functions and approximation errors

For the different examples, the scaling function and wavelet generators (φ^0, ψ^0) considered are Daubechies orthogonal generators, with three vanishing moments for the wavelet [8]. The integer parameters of the construction of V_j^0 thus are:

$$r = 3, \quad \delta_b = \delta_{\sharp} = 1, \quad n_{min} = -r + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad n_{max} = r.$$

On Figure 1, we show the plot of the internal scaling function φ^0 and the wavelet ψ^0 . The edge orthogonal scaling functions and wavelets are plotted on Figure 2 and Figure 3 respectively. The generators (φ^1, ψ^1) are computed from (φ^0, ψ^0) using the formula:

$$\varphi^1(x) = \int_{x-1}^x \varphi^0(t)dt \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^1(x) = 4 \int_{-\infty}^x \psi^0(t)dt. \quad (34)$$

239 The graphs of φ^1 and ψ^1 are plotted on Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the
 240 plot of the edge scaling function graphs. The edge wavelet graphs are plotted on
 241 Figure 7 and Figure 8. We notice that these edge wavelets satisfy homogeneous
 242 Dirichlet boundary condition while this boundary condition is not required for




Figure 2: Plot of the edge orthogonal scaling functions of V_j^0 . Daubechies orthogonal generator with $r = 3$.




Figure 3: Plot of the edge orthogonal wavelet functions of W_j^0 . Daubechies orthogonal generator with $r = 3$.




Figure 4: Plot of the internal scaling function $\varphi^1(x) = \int_{x-1}^x \varphi^0(t)dt$ (left) and the internal wavelet $\psi^1(x) = 4 \int_{-\infty}^x \psi^0(t)dt$ (right), where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.

243 all the edge scaling functions. Then, to get the multiresolution analysis of
 244 $H_0^1(0, 1)$ provided by $V_j^{1,0}$, one must remove from V_j^1 the scaling functions $\Phi_{j,0}^{1,b}$
 245 and $\Phi_{j,0}^{1,\#}$ that allow to reproduce constants at boundaries: this is confirmed
 246 again by Figure 5 and Figure 6.

247

Similarly, the generators $(\tilde{\varphi}^1, \tilde{\psi}^1)$ biorthogonal to (φ^1, ψ^1) are computed using the formula:

$$\varphi^0(x) = \int_x^{x+1} \tilde{\varphi}^1(t)dt \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^0(x) = -4 \int_{-\infty}^x \tilde{\psi}^1(t)dt. \quad (35)$$

248 The graphs of $\tilde{\varphi}^1$ and $\tilde{\psi}^1$ are plotted on Figure 9, Figure 10 and Figure 11
 249 show the plot of the edge biorthogonal scaling functions. The edge biorthogonal
 250 wavelet graphs are plotted on Figure 12 and Figure 13.

251

We now study the interpolation error of the multiresolution analysis (V_j^1, \tilde{V}_j^1) . For a given function f , whose values are known at grid points $x_k = k/2^{j_{max}}$, $0 \leq k \leq 2^{j_{max}}$, the interpolation step consists of computing its approximation in V_j^1 defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_{j_{max}}^1(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\Delta_{j_{max}}^1 - 1} \langle f, \tilde{\varphi}_{j_{max},k}^1 \rangle \varphi_{j_{max},k}^1. \quad (36)$$




Figure 5: Plot of the edge scaling functions at edge 0, computed from generator $\varphi^1(x) = \int_{x-1}^x \varphi^0(t)dt$, where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.







Figure 6: Plot of the edge scaling functions at edge 1, computed from generator $\varphi^1(x) = \int_{x-1}^x \varphi^0(t)dt$, where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.




(a) $\Psi_{j,0}^{1,b}$



(b) $\Psi_{j,1}^{1,b}$



(c) $\Psi_{j,2}^{1,b}$



(d) $\Psi_{j,3}^{1,b}$

Figure 7: Plot of the edge wavelet at edge 0, computed from generator $\psi^1(x) = 4 \int_{-\infty}^x \psi^0(t) dt$, where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.




Figure 8: Plot of the edge wavelet at edge 1, computed from generator $\psi^1(x) = 4 \int_{-\infty}^x \psi^0(t) dt$, where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.







Figure 9: Plot of the internal scaling function $\tilde{\varphi}^1$ (left) and the internal wavelet $\tilde{\psi}^1(t)$ (right), where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.




(a) $\tilde{\Phi}_{j,0}^{1,b}$



(b) $\tilde{\Phi}_{j,1}^{1,b}$



(c) $\tilde{\Phi}_{j,2}^{1,b}$



(d) $\tilde{\Phi}_{j,3}^{1,b}$

Figure 10: Plot of the edge biorthogonal scaling functions, where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.




Figure 11: Plot of the edge biorthogonal scaling functions, where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.







Figure 12: Plot of the edge biorthogonal wavelets, where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.




(a) $\tilde{\Psi}_{j,0}^{1,\sharp}$



(b) $\tilde{\Psi}_{j,1}^{1,\sharp}$



(c) $\tilde{\Psi}_{j,2}^{1,\sharp}$



(d) $\tilde{\Psi}_{j,3}^{1,\sharp}$

Figure 13: Plot of the edge biorthogonal wavelets, where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.




Figure 14: Plot of the interpolation error $\|f - \tilde{f}_{j_{max}}\|_\infty$ (left) and $\|f - \tilde{f}_{j_{max}}\|_2$ (right) in loglog scale, where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.

We adapted the quadrature formula and algorithms of [16] to the biorthogonal case to compute numerically the inner product $\langle f, \tilde{\varphi}_{j_{max},k}^1 \rangle$. For the function f defined by:

$$f(x) = \sin(2\pi x) \sin(50x) + 1, \quad (37)$$

we show on Figure 14 the snapshot of the interpolation error $\|f - \mathcal{P}_{j_{max}}^1(f)\|$ according to the different values of j_{max} .

254

Again, from the approximation $\tilde{f}_{j_{max}} = \mathcal{P}_{j_{max}}^1(f)$, we study the projection error in V_ℓ^1 :

$$\|\tilde{f}_{j_{max}} - \mathcal{P}_\ell^1(\tilde{f}_{j_{max}})\|, \quad j_{min} \leq \ell \leq j_{max} - 1,$$

involved in the fast wavelet transform algorithm. Figure 15 shows the plot of the projection error in a loglog scale with respect to the resolutions j . The Figure 16 shows the plot of this error at grid points for $j_{max} = 16$ and $j = 9$ or $j = 13$.

259

To prove the sparse approximation property of the wavelet basis $\psi_{j,k}^1$, we studied the non-linear approximation error of f defined in (37). On Figure 17 we plot the evolution of this error according the ratio of wavelet coefficients retained.

263




Figure 15: Plot of the projection error $\|\tilde{f}_{j_{max}} - \mathcal{P}_\ell^1(\tilde{f}_{j_{max}})\|_\infty$ (left) and $\|\tilde{f}_{j_{max}} - \mathcal{P}_\ell^1(\tilde{f}_{j_{max}})\|_2$ (right) in loglog scale, where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$




Figure 16: Plot of the projection error $\tilde{f}_{j_{max}} - \mathcal{P}_\ell^1(\tilde{f}_{j_{max}})$ at grid points for $j_{max} = 16$ and $j = 9$ (left) and for $j = 13$ (right), where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.




Figure 17: Plot of the non linear approximation L_2 -error. Daubechies orthogonal generator with $r = 3$.

264

265 In each of these experiences, the errors decay order obtained is about $s \approx -4$.
 266 Since f is very smooth, this is in accordance with the theoretical order which is
 267 the polynomial approximation order of the space V_j^1 .

268 5.2. One dimensional laplacian operator

In this section, we evaluate the performance of our new wavelet basis construction, in the numerical resolution of one dimensional Poisson equation with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} -u''(x) = f(x), & x \in]0, 1[, \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

Usually, the numerical resolution of problem (38) with a wavelet based method is done using a Galerkin (or Petrov-Galerkin) method. This leads to the resolution of a linear algebraic system with the stiffness matrix of the considered wavelet basis [2, 6]. In our construction, the wavelet basis $\psi_{j,k}^0$ can be chosen as an orthogonal basis. In this case, it is easy to see that the stiffness matrix of the wavelet basis $\psi_{j,k}^1$ constructed by integrating $\psi_{j,k}^0$ is a diagonal matrix:

$$\langle -(\psi_{j,k}^1)'' , \psi_{\ell,n}^1 \rangle = \langle (\psi_{j,k}^1)' , (\psi_{\ell,n}^1)' \rangle = 2^{j+\ell} \langle \psi_{j,k}^0 , \psi_{\ell,n}^0 \rangle = 2^{j+\ell} \delta_{j,\ell} \delta_{k,n}. \quad (39)$$

Then, if the solution u is searched in terms of its wavelet series:

$$u = \sum_{j,k} u_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}^1, \quad (40)$$

the coefficients $u_{j,k}$ are given by:

$$u_{j,k} = 2^{-2j-4} f_{j,k}, \quad \text{where} \quad f = \sum_{j,k} f_{j,k} \tilde{\psi}_{j,k}^1. \quad (41)$$

269 Thus, the resolution of (38) is reduced to a wavelet coefficient normalization,
 270 with a linear numerical complexity. We notice that, at the coarse scale j_{min} we
 271 also have to invert the stiffness matrix of the scaling function $\varphi_{j_{min},k}^1$. The size
 272 of this matrix is very small compared to the size of the whole system.

To see the efficiency of this approach, we firstly compare its numerical complexity to the complexity of a finite difference method and the multi-grid method of [12]. The main criterion we took is the real computational time of the MATLAB code [17] that encodes the method. For this purpose, two numerical experiences have been conducted. The first experience is done with the exact solution:

$$u(x) = x^3 - x^4. \quad (42)$$

274 The source term f is appropriately computed from the solution u . On Tab. 1,
 275 we provide the different mean real computational time according to the space
 276 resolution j . It can be observed that the present method performs better than
 277 these two methods when the resolution j increases, with a good accuracy on the
 relative L_2 -error.

Computational time			
Method	resolution j	t (seconds)	L_2 -error
Multi-grid	8	0.010705	$4.4184E^{-5}$
Present	8	0.000625	$1.3302E^{-9}$
Finite difference	8	0.000205	$4.4341E^{-5}$
Multi-grid	10	0.019202	$2.7255E^{-6}$
Present	10	0.000835	$3.1552E^{-11}$
Finite difference	10	0.000389	$2.7640E^{-6}$
Multi-grid	16	0.089770	$2.0575E^{-7}$
Present	16	0.007712	$5.6942E^{-11}$
Finite difference	16	0.018695	$9.2095E^{-10}$

Table 1: Comparison of the real computational time and the relative L^2 -error for the exact solution: $u(x) = x^3 - x^4$.

The second experience concerns the source term f defined by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq x < 3/8, \\ 2, & 3/8 \leq x \leq 5/8, \\ 0, & 5/8 < x \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

and this corresponds to an exact solution u of (38) defined by:

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}x, & 0 \leq x < 3/8, \\ -x^2 + x - \frac{9}{64}, & 3/8 \leq x \leq 5/8, \\ -\frac{1}{4}x + \frac{1}{4}, & 5/8 < x \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

280 The function f has discontinuities at $x = 3/8$ and $x = 5/8$. Then, the motivation
 281 for this experience is to highlight the adaptativity of the wavelet basis $\psi_{j,k}^1$.

282 6. Fast divergence-free wavelet transform

283 Another application of our new construction of wavelet linked by differen-
 284 tiation and integration is the implementation of a fast divergence-free wavelet
 285 transform algorithm, similar to this of [9] for the periodic case. Since we have
 286 a diagonal differentiation relation between the wavelets $\psi_{j,k}^{1,0}$ and $\psi_{j,k}^0$ even at
 287 boundaries, the algorithm will be the same, except that we have to take care
 288 of the lowest scale j_{min} , which cannot be equal to 0 as in the periodic case. To
 289 make the text simpler, we will present only the three dimensional case, knowing
 290 that the generalization to higher dimension is straightforward.

291

Specifically, the divergence-free function space that we are concerned is
 $\mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega)$:

$$\mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega) = \{\mathbf{u} \in (L^2(\Omega))^3 : \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{u} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{n}}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}, \quad (45)$$




Figure 18: From top to bottom, plot of the computed solution, its wavelet coefficients and the residual error (first column $j = 8$ and second column $j = 16$). Where (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.

292 where $\Omega = [0, 1]^3$ and $\vec{\mathbf{n}}$ denotes the unit outward normal at boundary $\partial\Omega$.

293

For the sake of convenience, we denote by $\{\psi_{j,k}^{1,0}\}$ and $\{\psi_{j,k}^0\}$ the scaling functions and wavelets of $V_j^{1,0}$ and V_j^0 , respectively (the scaling function at coarsest scale j_{min} will be denoted like the wavelet but with a scale index $j = j_{min} - 1$). In the three dimensional case, as explained in [9, 20, 21, 22, 23] one can construct three kind of anisotropic divergence-free wavelets by:

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{div,1} := \mathbf{curl} \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \psi_{j_1,k_1}^{1,0} \otimes \psi_{j_2,k_2}^{1,0} \otimes \psi_{j_3,k_3}^0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{j_1,k_1}^{1,0} \otimes (\psi_{j_2,k_2}^{1,0})' \otimes \psi_{j_3,k_3}^0 \\ -(\psi_{j_1,k_1}^{1,0})' \otimes \psi_{j_2,k_2}^{1,0} \otimes \psi_{j_3,k_3}^0 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{div,2} := \mathbf{curl} \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{j_1,k_1}^0 \otimes \psi_{j_2,k_2}^{1,0} \otimes \psi_{j_3,k_3}^{1,0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_{j_1,k_1}^0 \otimes \psi_{j_2,k_2}^{1,0} \otimes (\psi_{j_3,k_3}^{1,0})' \\ -\psi_{j_1,k_1}^0 \otimes (\psi_{j_2,k_2}^{1,0})' \otimes \psi_{j_3,k_3}^{1,0} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{div,3} := \mathbf{curl} \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_{j_1,k_1}^{1,0} \otimes \psi_{j_2,k_2}^0 \otimes \psi_{j_3,k_3}^{1,0} \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -\psi_{j_1,k_1}^{1,0} \otimes \psi_{j_2,k_2}^0 \otimes (\psi_{j_3,k_3}^{1,0})' \\ 0 \\ (\psi_{j_1,k_1}^{1,0})' \otimes \psi_{j_2,k_2}^0 \otimes \psi_{j_3,k_3}^{1,0} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (48)$$

By construction these wavelets are contained in $\mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega)$ and the space $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{j}}^{div}$ that they spanned is included into the BMRA of $(L^2(\Omega))^3$ endowed with the free-slip boundary condition generated by:

$$\vec{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{j}} = \left(V_j^{1,0} \otimes V_j^0 \otimes V_j^0 \right) \times \left(V_j^0 \otimes V_j^{1,0} \otimes V_j^0 \right) \times \left(V_j^0 \otimes V_j^0 \otimes V_j^{1,0} \right). \quad (49)$$

According to Proposition 7, the spaces $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ provide an internal multiscale approximation process for $\mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega)$. Precisely, let $\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ be the biorthogonal multiscale projector associated to $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{j}}$:

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{j}} = \left(\mathcal{P}_j^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{P}_j^0 \otimes \mathcal{P}_j^0 \right) \times \left(\mathcal{P}_j^0 \otimes \mathcal{P}_j^{1,0} \otimes \mathcal{P}_j^0 \right) \times \left(\mathcal{P}_j^0 \otimes \mathcal{P}_j^0 \otimes \mathcal{P}_j^{1,0} \right),$$

and $\mathbf{P}_j^0 = \mathcal{P}_j^0 \otimes \mathcal{P}_j^0 \otimes \mathcal{P}_j^0$ the projector associated to $\mathbf{V}_j^0 = V_j^0 \otimes V_j^0 \otimes V_j^0$. Then, the commutation of the one dimensional multiscale projectors with differentiation allows to get:

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega), \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathbf{P}}_j(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{P}_j^0(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{\mathbf{P}}_j(\mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega)) = \vec{\mathbf{V}}_j \cap \mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega).$$

Thus, the spaces $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j^{div} = \vec{\mathbf{V}}_j \cap \mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega)$ constitute a multiresolution analysis of $\mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega)$ and the anisotropic multiscale decomposition of $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j^{div}$ reads:

$$\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j^{div} = \vec{\mathbf{V}}_{j_{min}}^{div} \bigoplus_{j_{min} \leq |\mathbf{j}| \leq j-1} \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{j}}^{div}.$$

Proposition 8. *A basis of the finite dimensional space $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j^{div} = \vec{\mathbf{V}}_j \cap \mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega)$ is given by the following divergence-free scaling function basis:*

$$\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j^{div} = span\{\Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,1}, \Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,2}\}, \quad (50)$$

where

$$\Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,1} := \mathbf{curl} \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \varphi_{j,k_1}^{1,0} \otimes \varphi_{j,k_2}^{1,0} \otimes \varphi_{j,k_3}^0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{j_1,k_1}^{1,0} \otimes (\varphi_{j_2,k_2}^{1,0})' \otimes \varphi_{j_3,k_3}^0 \\ -(\varphi_{j_1,k_1}^{1,0})' \otimes \varphi_{j_2,k_2}^{1,0} \otimes \varphi_{j_3,k_3}^0 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (51)$$

and

$$\Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,2} := \mathbf{curl} \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{j,k_1}^0 \otimes \varphi_{j,k_2}^{1,0} \otimes \varphi_{j,k_3}^{1,0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi_{j_1,k_1}^0 \otimes \varphi_{j_2,k_2}^{1,0} \otimes (\varphi_{j_3,k_3}^{1,0})' \\ -\varphi_{j_1,k_1}^0 \otimes (\varphi_{j_2,k_2}^{1,0})' \otimes \varphi_{j_3,k_3}^{1,0} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (52)$$

294 with $2 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq \Delta_j^1 - 1$ and $1 \leq k_3 \leq \Delta_j^0 - 1$ for $\Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,1}$ and $2 \leq k_2, k_3 \leq \Delta_j^1 - 1$

295 and $1 \leq k_1 \leq \Delta_j^0 - 1$ for $\Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,2}$.

296

Proof. First by construction we have $span\{\Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,1}, \Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,2}\} \subset \vec{\mathbf{V}}_j^{div}$, using (26) left which leads to:

$$(\varphi_{j,k}^{1,0})' = \sum_{n=1}^{\Delta_j^1 - 1} (L_j^{1,0})_{k,n} \varphi_{j,n}^0$$

297 This shows the first inclusion.

298 Conversely, let $\mathbf{u} \in \vec{\mathbf{V}}_j^{div}$ and denote by $c_{\mathbf{k}}^1$, $c_{\mathbf{k}}^2$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}}^3$ the coefficients of its
299 decomposition onto the scaling function basis of $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j$:

$$\mathbf{u} = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^1 \phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^1; \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^2; \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^3 \phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^3 \right),$$

where $\phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^1 := \varphi_{j,k_1}^{1,0} \otimes \varphi_{j,k_2}^0 \otimes \varphi_{j,k_3}^0$, $\phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^2 := \varphi_{j,k_1}^0 \otimes \varphi_{j,k_2}^{1,0} \otimes \varphi_{j,k_3}^0$ and $\phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^3 := \varphi_{j,k_1}^0 \otimes \varphi_{j,k_2}^0 \otimes \varphi_{j,k_3}^{1,0}$.

Now, from \mathbf{u} we define a divergence-free function $\mathbf{u}^{div} \in span\{\Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,1}, \Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,2}\}$

by:

$$\mathbf{u}^{div} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^{div,1} \Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,1} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^{div,2} \Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,2},$$

with

$$c_{k_1,k_2,k_3}^{div,1} = \left\langle \sum_{\mathbf{m}} c_{\mathbf{m}}^1 \phi_{j,\mathbf{m}}^1, -\tilde{\varphi}_{j,k_1}^{1,0} \otimes \int_0^x \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k_2}^{1,0} \otimes \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k_3}^0 \right\rangle = - \sum_m c_{k_1,m,k_3}^1 (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{k_2,m}$$

and

$$c_{k_1,k_2,k_3}^{div,2} = \left\langle \sum_{\mathbf{m}} c_{\mathbf{m}}^3 \phi_{j,\mathbf{m}}^3, \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k_1}^0 \otimes \int_0^x \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k_2}^{1,0} \otimes \tilde{\varphi}_{j,k_3}^{1,0} \right\rangle = \sum_m c_{k_1,m,k_3}^3 (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{k_2,m},$$

where $L_j^{1,0}$ and $\tilde{L}_j^{1,0}$ are the change of bases matrices defined in (26). Then, to get the second inclusion $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j^{div} \subset span\{\Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,1}, \Phi_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{div,2}\}$, it suffices to prove that $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{div} = 0$.

For this, let $\bar{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^1$, $\bar{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^2$ and $\bar{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^3$ be the coefficients of the decomposition of \mathbf{u}^{div} onto the scaling function basis of $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j$. Since the following biorthogonal relation holds:

$$\langle (\varphi_{j,k}^{1,0})', - \int_0^x \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{1,0} \rangle = \langle \varphi_{j,k}^{1,0}, \tilde{\varphi}_{j,n}^{1,0} \rangle = -(L_j^{1,0} \text{ } {}^t \tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{n,k} = \delta_{n,k}, \quad (53)$$

a simple computation shows that:

$$\bar{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^1 = c_{\mathbf{k}}^1, \quad \bar{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = \sum_m \left(-c_{m,k_2,k_3}^{div,1} (L_j^{1,0})_{m,k_1} + c_{k_1,k_2,m}^{div,2} (L_j^{1,0})_{m,k_3} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^3 = c_{\mathbf{k}}^3.$$

On the other hand, the divergence-free relation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ expressed in terms of the coefficients $c_{\mathbf{k}}^1$, $c_{\mathbf{k}}^2$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}}^3$ reads:

$$\sum_m \left(c_{m,k_2,k_3}^1 (L_j^{1,0})_{m,k_1} + c_{k_1,m,k_3}^2 (L_j^{1,0})_{m,k_2} + c_{k_1,k_2,m}^3 (L_j^{1,0})_{m,k_3} \right) = 0.$$

300 Then, using again the relation (53) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{k_1, k_2, k_3}^2 &= -\sum_n \sum_m \left(c_{m, n, k_3}^1 (L_j^{1,0})_{m, k_1} (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{k_2, n} + c_{k_1, n, m}^3 (L_j^{1,0})_{m, k_3} (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{k_2, n} \right) \\
&= \sum_m \left(\left[\sum_n c_{m, n, k_3}^1 (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{k_2, n} \right] (L_j^{1,0})_{m, k_1} + \left[\sum_n c_{k_1, n, m}^3 (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{k_2, n} \right] (L_j^{1,0})_{m, k_3} \right) \\
&= \sum_m \left(-c_{m, k_2, k_3}^{div, 1} (L_j^{1,0})_{m, k_1} + c_{k_1, k_2, m}^{div, 2} (L_j^{1,0})_{m, k_3} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

301 Thus $\bar{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = c_{\mathbf{k}}^2$, which means that $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{div} = 0$. □

302

This proposition provides an algorithm that allows us to compute $c_{\mathbf{k}}^{div, 1}$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}}^{div, 2}$ from $c_{\mathbf{k}}^1$, $c_{\mathbf{k}}^2$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}}^3$ by:

$$c_{k_1, k_2, k_3}^{div, 1} = -\sum_m c_{k_1, m, k_3}^1 (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{k_2, m} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{k_1, k_2, k_3}^{div, 2} = \sum_m c_{k_1, m, k_3}^3 (\tilde{L}_j^{1,0})_{k_2, m}. \quad (54)$$

303 Conversely, from $c_{\mathbf{k}}^{div, 1}$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}}^{div, 2}$ one can compute $c_{\mathbf{k}}^1$, $c_{\mathbf{k}}^2$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}}^3$ by:

$$c_{k_1, k_2, k_3}^1 = \sum_m c_{k_1, m, k_3}^{div, 1} (L_j^{1,0})_{m, k_2} \quad (55)$$

$$c_{k_1, k_2, k_3}^2 = \sum_m \left(-c_{m, k_2, k_3}^{div, 1} (L_j^{1,0})_{m, k_1} + c_{k_1, k_2, m}^{div, 2} (L_j^{1,0})_{m, k_3} \right) \quad (56)$$

$$c_{k_1, k_2, k_3}^3 = -\sum_m c_{k_1, m, k_3}^{div, 2} (L_j^{1,0})_{m, k_2}. \quad (57)$$

On the wavelet basis, this change of bases reduces to a simple wavelet coefficients renormalization as in the periodic case: let $d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^1$, $d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^2$ and $d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^3$ be the coefficients of the decomposition of \mathbf{u} in the anisotropic wavelet basis associated to $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j$, and $d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^{div, 1}$ and $d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^{div, 2}$ the coefficients of its decomposition in the wavelet basis of $\vec{\mathbf{W}}_j^{div}$. Then, we have:

$$d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^{div, 1} = 2^{-j_2-2} d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^{div, 2} = -2^{-j_2-2} d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^3, \quad (58)$$

and

$$d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^1 = 2^{j_2+2} d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^{div, 1}, \quad d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^2 = -2^{j_1+2} d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^{div, 1} + 2^{j_3+2} d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^{div, 2} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^3 = -2^{j_2+2} d_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^{div, 2}. \quad (59)$$




Figure 19: Linear approximation L^2 -error (left) and nonlinear approximation L^2 -error (right) in log-log scale, obtained with the fast divergence-free transform algorithm. Where \mathbf{u} is defined in (60) and (φ^0, ψ^0) are Daubechies orthogonal generators with $r = 3$.

304 **Remark 4.**

305 As no distinction is made between the scaling functions $\varphi_{j,k}^{1,0}$ and the wavelet
 306 $\psi_{j,k}^{1,0}$ in the definition of the divergence-free wavelets (46, 47, 48), the definition
 307 of coefficients $(d_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{div,1}, d_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{div,2})$ and $(c_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^1, c_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^2, c_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^3)$ in (58, 59) must be adapted
 308 following the scaling function cases listed above if at least one coordinate of \mathbf{j} is
 309 j_{min} .

We tested the fast divergence-free wavelet transform algorithm on a toy vector field \mathbf{u} defined by:

$$\mathbf{u}(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} \sin^2(2\pi x) \sin(4\pi y) \sin(4\pi z) \\ \sin(4\pi x) \sin^2(2\pi y) \sin(4\pi z) \\ -2 \sin(4\pi x) \sin(4\pi y) \sin^2(2\pi z) \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

310 For the construction of $V_j^{1,0}$ and V_j^0 , we kept the same parameters as in the
 311 previous sections: again (φ^0, ψ^0) are the Daubechies orthogonal generators with
 312 $r = 3$. On Figure 19, we show both the plot of the linear and nonlinear approx-
 313 imation L^2 -errors in a log-log scale. Since the vector field \mathbf{u} is smooth enough,
 314 the expected decay orders of the errors are achieved.

315

316

The construction extends to larger dimensions $d > 3$ readily. We obtain in this case $(d - 1)$ types of linear independent divergence-free wavelet functions. For $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$, the general formula of these wavelets is given by:

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^{div, i} := \begin{array}{l} \text{row } i \\ \text{row } i + 1 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 2^{j_{i+1}+2} \psi_{j_1, k_1}^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_{j_{i-1}, k_{i-1}}^0 \otimes \psi_{j_i, k_i}^{1,0} \otimes \psi_{j_{i+1}, k_{i+1}}^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_{j_d, k_d}^0 \\ -2^{j_i+2} \psi_{j_1, k_1}^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_{j_i, k_i}^0 \otimes \psi_{j_{i+1}, k_{i+1}}^{1,0} \otimes \psi_{j_{i+1}, k_{i+2}}^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_{j_d, k_d}^0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (61)$$

The wavelets $\Psi_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^{div, i}$ satisfy the boundary condition $\Psi_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}}^{div, i} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ by construction. The space $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{j}}^{div}$ spanned by these wavelets is included into the following standard BMRA of $(L^2(\Omega))^d$:

$$\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j = \mathbf{V}_j^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{V}_j^d \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{V}_j^i = V_j^{\delta_{1,i}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_j^{\delta_{d,i}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \quad (62)$$

317 where $\delta_{i,j}$ denotes the Kronecker symbol. To satisfy the free-slip boundary
318 condition we must replace V_j^1 by $V_j^{1,0}$ in (62). We also emphasized that, the
319 corresponding spaces $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j^{div} = \vec{\mathbf{V}}_j \cap \mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega) = \vec{\mathbf{P}}_j(\mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega))$ provide a multires-
320 olution analysis of $\mathcal{H}_{div}(\Omega)$. Following a similar approach as for $d = 3$, and
321 taking the $(d - 1)$ scaling functions that generated the previous divergence-free
322 wavelets, we obtain a divergence-free scaling functions basis of $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j^{div}$.

323 7. Conclusion

324 In this paper we have presented a new construction of biorthogonal wavelet
325 bases linked by differentiation and integration. In opposite to the existing con-
326 structions, the differentiation relation between the wavelet basis $\psi_{j,k}^1$ and $\psi_{j,k}^0$
327 remains diagonal as for the internal wavelet, and including an homogeneous
328 boundary condition on the boundary. Several experiments have demonstrated

329 the potential applications of this new construction in signal compression and
330 in the numerical resolution of the Poisson equation in one dimensional space.
331 Moreover, the diagonal relation between the wavelet bases improves the com-
332 plexity of the fast divergence-free wavelet transform algorithms.

333 References

- 334 [1] L. Andersson, N. Hall, B. Jawerth, G. Peters, Wavelets on closed subsets
335 of the real line, *Recent Advances in Wavelets Analysis (L.L. Schumaker
336 and G. Webb eds)*, Academic Press (1993) 1–61.
- 337 [2] G. Beylkin, On the representation of operator in bases of compactly sup-
338 ported wavelets, *SIAM J.Numer.Anal.* **6(6)** (1992) 1716–1740.
- 339 [3] C. Canuto, R. Masson, Stabilized wavelet approximations of the Stokes
340 problem, *Math. of Comput.* **70(236)** (2000) 1397–1416.
- 341 [4] A. Cohen, I. Daubechies, J.-C. Feauveau, Biorthogonal bases of compactly
342 supported wavelets, *Comm. Pure Appli. Maths.* **45** (1992) 485–560.
- 343 [5] A. Cohen, I. Daubechies, P. Vial, Wavelets on the Interval and Fast Wavelet
344 Transforms, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **1** (1993) 54–81.
- 345 [6] W. Dahmen, A. Kunoth, K. Urban, A wavelet Galerkin method for the
346 Stokes equations , *Computing.* **3 (56)** (1996) 259–301.
- 347 [7] W. Dahmen, A. Kunoth, K. Urban, Biorthogonal Spline-wavelets on the
348 interval. Stability and moment conditions, *App. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **6**
349 (1999) 132–196.
- 350 [8] I. Daubechies, Orthogonal bases of compactly supported wavelets, *Comm.*
351 *Pure and Appli. Math.* **7 (41)** (1988) 906–996.
- 352 [9] E. Deriaz, V. Perrier, Orthogonal Helmholtz decomposition in arbitrary
353 dimension using divergence-free and curl-free wavelets, *App. Comput. Har-*
354 *mon. Anal.*, **26** (2009) 249–269.

- 355 [10] M. Fortin, An analysis of the convergence of mixed finite element meth-
 356 ods, *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis* **4** (11)
 357 (1977) 341–354.
- 358 [11] S. Grivet-Talocia, A. Tabacco, Wavelet on the interval with optimal local-
 359 ization, *Math. Models. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **10(3)** (2000) 441–462.
- 360 [12] W. Hager, Applied Numerical Linear Algebra, *Prentice-Hall*, (1988).
- 361 [13] A. Jouini, P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset, Analyse multirésolution biorthogonale
 362 sur l'intervalle et applications, *Annales de l'I.H.P. Section C* **10** (1993) 453–
 363 476.
- 364 [14] P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset, Analyses multi-résolutions non orthogonales, com-
 365 mutation entre projecteurs et dérivation et ondelettes vecteurs à divergence
 366 nulle, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* **8(2)** (1992) 221–236.
- 367 [15] R. Masson, Biorthogonal spline wavelets on the interval for the resolution
 368 of boundary problems, *M3AS.* **6** (6) (1996) 749–791.
- 369 [16] P. Monasse, V. Perrier, Orthogonal Wavelet Bases Adapted For Partial
 370 Differential Equations With Boundary Conditions, *SIAM J.Math. Anal.*
 371 **29** (1998) 1040–1065.
- 372 [17] S. Kadri-Harouna, [http : //pageperso.univ -](http://pageperso.univ-lr.fr/souleymane.kadri_harouna/Softwares.html)
 373 [lr.fr/souleymane.kadri_harouna/Softwares.html](http://pageperso.univ-lr.fr/souleymane.kadri_harouna/Softwares.html)
- 374 [18] S. Kadri-Harouna, V. Perrier, Divergence-free Wavelet Projection Method
 375 for Incompressible Viscous Flow, *SIAM Multiscale Modeling and Simulation*
 376 **13(1)** (2015) 399–422.
- 377 [19] S. Kadri-Harouna, V. Perrier, Effective construction of divergence-free
 378 wavelets on the square, *J. of Computational and Applied Math.* **240** (2013)
 379 74–86.

- 380 [20] S. Kadri-Harouna, V. Perrier, Helmholtz-Hodge Decomposition on $[0, 1]^d$
381 by Divergence-free and Curl-free Wavelets, *Lecture Notes in Computer Sci-*
382 *ence series* **6920** (2012), springer, 311–329.
- 383 [21] R. Stevenson, Divergence-free wavelet bases on the hypercube: Free-slip
384 boundary conditions, and applications for solving the instationary Stokes
385 equations, *Math. Comp.* **80** (2011) 1499–1523.
- 386 [22] R. Stevenson, Divergence-free wavelet bases on the hypercube, *Appl. Com-*
387 *put. Harmon. Anal.* **30** (2011) 1–19.
- 388 [23] K. Urban, Wavelet Bases in $H(\text{div})$ and $H(\text{curl})$, *Math. Comput.* **70**
389 (2000) 739–766.