

Oribatid mite colonization of sand and manganese tailing sites

M. Murvanidze, L. Mumladze, T. Arabuli, E. Kvavadze

▶ To cite this version:

M. Murvanidze, L. Mumladze, T. Arabuli, E. Kvavadze. Oribatid mite colonization of sand and manganese tailing sites. Acarologia, 2013, 53 (2), pp.203-215. $10.1051/\rm{acarologia}/20132089$. hal-01566004

HAL Id: hal-01566004 https://hal.science/hal-01566004v1

Submitted on 20 Jul 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



ACAROLOGIA

A quarterly journal of acarology, since 1959 Publishing on all aspects of the Acari

All information:

http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/CBGP/acarologia/ acarologia@supagro.inra.fr



Acarologia is proudly non-profit, with no page charges and free open access

Please help us maintain this system by

encouraging your institutes to subscribe to the print version of the journal

and by sending us your high quality research on the Acari.

Subscriptions: Year 2017 (Volume 57): 380 €

http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/CBGP/acarologia/subscribe.php Previous volumes (2010-2015): 250 € / year (4 issues) Acarologia, CBGP, CS 30016, 34988 MONTFERRIER-sur-LEZ Cedex, France

The digitalization of Acarologia papers prior to 2000 was supported by Agropolis Fondation under the reference ID 1500-024 through the « Investissements d'avenir » programme (Labex Agro: ANR-10-LABX-0001-01)



Acarologia is under free license and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons-BY-NC-ND which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ORIBATID MITE COLONIZATION OF SAND AND MANGANESE TAILING SITES

Maka MURVANIDZE^{*1}, Levan MUMLADZE², Tea ARABULI¹ and Eristo KVAVADZE³

(Received 04 July 2012; accepted 24 January 2013; published online 28 June 2013)

¹Entomological and Biocontrol Research Centre, Agricultural University of Georgia, D. Aghmashenebely Alley, 13th km. 0131 Tbilisi, Georgia (* corresponding author) m.murvanidze@agruni.edu.ge, t.arabuli@agruni.edu.ge ²Institute of Ecology, Ilia State University. Cholokashvili ave 3/5, 0165 Tbilisi, Georgia levan.mumladze@iliauni.edu.ge ³Institute of Zoology, Ilia State University. Cholokashvili ave 3/5, 0165 Tbilisi, Georgia ekvavadze@mail.ru

ABSTRACT — We studied the colonization patterns of oribatid mites on post-industrial sand and manganese tailing sites, reclaimed areas, natural meadows and forests in the Chiatura region. A total of 89 species were recorded. In forests, the total number of species was high and the oribatid community was made up mainly of forest-specific species. *Scheloribates laevigatus* (Koch, 1835) predominated in dumps and reclaimed sites, whereas its abundance was lower in meadows and minor in forests. *Tectocepheus velatus sarekensis* Trägårdh, 1910 and *Scheloribates latipes* (Koch, 1844) were numerous in dumps and meadows, but their abundance was low in forests. *Punctoribates punctum* (Koch, 1839), however, was found in high densities only at dump sites. Detrended correspondence analyses and hierarchical cluster analyses show that oribatid mites of dump habitats grouped together with those of reclaimed and meadow sites, indicating high faunal similarity and low richness, whereas the forest inhabiting oribatid community was much more diverse. The results of our studies indicate that colonization of dumps is mainly by species of wide ecological preferences.

KEYWORDS - Chiatura; opencast mining; pioneer species; succession; colonization; dump

INTRODUCTION

The mining of manganese in the Chiatura region (Western Georgia) began in the second half of the XIXth century, with work carried out under ground. These activities caused damage to the soil surface but did not result in soil destruction, and such areas could still be used for agriculture. Since 1960, manganese has been extracted using opencast mining, which disrupted land use for agriculture. Opencast mining is ecologically unacceptable due to the increase in damaged soil area that cannot be used for agriculture without the appropriate reclamation (Andrés and Mateos, 2006). In addition, the abandoned quarries fill with water forming ponds where

http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/CBGP/acarologia/ ISSN 0044-586-X (print). ISSN 2107-7207 (electronic) parasitic blood-sucking insects breed with consequent hazards to human health. From 1960-80, land reclamation was carried out in the Chiatura region, with Reclaimed land amounting to 556 ha (77 % of the previously damaged area) and complete recovery achieved on 478 ha (Sheklashvili *et al.*, 1997). This latter area was re-used for agricultural purposes.

Oribatid mites, in the acarine suborder Oribatida, are associated with organic matter in most terrestrial ecosystems. They are found throughout the soil profile, in surface litter, on grasses, herbs and low-growing shrubs, barks, twigs and leaves of trees and in aquatic, semi-aquatic and coastal habi-

TABLE 1: Sampling site description with GPS data and the number of species of oribatid mites found per site

Site ID	Site description	Coordinates (Latitude - longitude)	Species Number
D1	1 year old dump on sand quarry. Village Darkveti. No vegetation is developed	42.32662° - 43.32298°	14
D2	3 year old dump on manganese quarry. Village Mgvimevi. Tussilago farfara L. and Cirsium sp.	42.31728° - 43.29816°	14
D3	5 years old on manganese quarry. Village Mgvimevi. Scarce vegetation with predomination of <i>Cirsium</i> sp.	42.31712° - 43.29926°	11
D4	18 year old dump on manganese quarry. Village Darkveti. Low grasses	42.33966° - 43.33482°	10
D5	20 year old dump on sand quarry. Village Darkveti. Low grasses.	42.32506° - 43.32103°	18
D6	30 year old dump on sand quarry. Village Darkveti. Meadow grasses	42.32441° - 43.31977°	26
DR	Reclaimed dump on manganese quarry. Village Darkveti. Meadow grasses	42.33966° - 43.33479°	21
CM1	Cotrol. Meadow. Village Darkveti.	42.32624° - 43.32168°	17
CM2	Control. Meadow. Village Mgvimevi.	42.31641° - 43.29577°	14
CF1	Control. Oak Forest. Quercus imeretina Stev., Carpinus caucasica Grossh., Rhododendron flavum Don, Crataegus sp., Smilax excelsa L., Mespilus germanica L. Village Darkveti.	42.32747° - 43.32262°	17
CF2	Control. Oak Forest. <i>Q. imeretina, Rh. flavum, S. excelsa, M. germanica, Pteridium tauricum</i> (Presl.), <i>Erythronium caucasicum G., Viola</i> sp., <i>Primula</i> sp. Village Darkveti	42.32415° - 43.31926°	65
CF3	Control. Mixed Forest. Castanea sativa Mill., C. caucasica, individual Fagus orientalis Lipsky, Q. imeretina, Rh. flavum, S. excelsa, Ilex colchica Pojark., E. caucasicum, Phyllitis sedopendrium (L.) Newm., Viburnum opulus L., Dryopteris filix mas (L.) Schott. Village Mgvimevi	42.31597 ^{° -} 43.29528°	25

tats (Behan-Pelletier and Eamer, 2007; Maraun et al., 2007; Norton and Behan-Pelletier, 2009; Schneider et al., 2004). Oribatida are numerically one of the most dominant arthropod groups in organic horizons of most soils, where their densities can reach several hundred thousand individuals per square meter (Norton and Behan-Pelletier, 2009). Their diversity is high, they occur in high numbers, they are easily sampled, they can be sampled in all seasons, adult identification is relatively easy and they represent a trophically heterogenous group. Their dispersal ability is low and only the adults disperse (Norton, 1994). As a result, oribatid mites cannot easily escape from stressful conditions, thus populations of oribatid mites decline rapidly when their habitat is damaged. Oribatid mites can be considered as "early warning" indicators of stressful soil conditions. Analyses of the structure of their communities help to reveal the degree of soil stability and trend of humus formation (Dunger *et al.*, 2001). They are considered useful indicators of specific soil parameters and quality (Bielska, 1996; Hutson, 1980; van Straalen, 1998).

Several authors have studied oribatid mite faunal responses to post-mining restoration treatments. Investigations were performed in coal mine dumps (Babenko, 1982; Hutson, 1980; Luxton, 1982; Skubala, 1997a, 1997b), ash dumps (Bielska and Paszewska, 1995), limestone (Andrés and Mateos, 2006), gravel (Eitminavichute and Umbrasiene, 1990; Matuseviciute, 2007) and minerals, zinc, iron, nickel, copper and galena-calamine dumps (Skubala, 1995, 1999; Skubala and Gulvik, 2005; St. John *et al.*, 2002). In Chiatura manganese tailing sites, the soil invertebrate assemblage was studied shortly after the first reclamation processes (Eliava *et al.*, 1976) and showed an increase in soil fauna on reclaimed sites. No further studies were performed on Chiatura quarries until the present study.

The re-colonization of oribatid mites was investigated on 1 to 5 and 15 to 30 year old post-industrial dumps, reclaimed territories, meadows and natural forests in the vicinity of Chiatura city. We investigated the patterns of colonization of disturbed sites by oribatid mites in the succession process of soil recovery to establish the pool of species capable of performing the role of colonizers. In particular, we asked (1) what are the differences in faunal composition or abundance between sites of differing history, and (2) how age differences of disturbed habitats affected Oribatida community composition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site description

Sand and manganese quarries are situated 4 km north-east of Chiatura city. This area is quite populated and the natural meadows are intensively grazed by cattle. Six post-industrial dumps (D1-D6), one reclaimed and five control sites (two meadows and three forest sites, respectively) were sampled (table 1).

Sampling and treatment technique

The field work was carried out in January, March, June and October 2011 on sand and manganese tailing sites in the Chiatura region. Site CF1 was not accessible during winter, so samples were only taken during the other three seasons. At each 100 m² site, ten soil samples were taken randomly using a soil core borer of 10 cm diameter by 10 cm depth. Four replicates were obtained covering all four seasons of the year (one replicate per season). Oribatid mites were extracted over a one week period using a modified Berlese apparatus. Oribatids were collected every 24 hours during this period and stored in a solution containing 70 % alcohol, distilled water, glycerin and glacial acetic acid. Only adult individuals were identified to species level. Adult specimens were embedded in drops of lactic acid on temporary cavity slides. Identifications were made using the keys of Weigmann (2006) and Ghilarov and Krivolutsky (1975). The identified material is now stored in the personal collection of M. Murvanidze. A total list of species (see Appendix) for the studied area was made in accordance with Schatz *et al.* (2011).

Data analysis

To reveal the presence of differences in species richness (number of species) and densities (if any), we compared 1 to 5 and 15 to 30 year old dumps to the natural meadow and forest sites using One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA; Sokal and Rohlf, 1996). Species richness for each site was calculated as the sum of all species collected in all seasons. Abundance for each site was calculated as an average number of individuals sampled in all seasons. ANOVA was also used to compare the overall Diversity Index (Simpson, 1949) between dumps, natural meadows and forest sites. Simpson's Diversity Index (D) gives the probability that two individuals drawn randomly from the same site belong to the same species (Simpson, 1949).

To analyze patterns of community composition in the studied habitats we used cluster and Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA) (Hill and Gauch, 1980). DCA was used to visualize the latent environmental predictors along with sampled sites and species showing maximal correspondences. DCA was performed for a row dataset (include the seasonal data for each year) and seasonal samples averaged over the year.

To determine the similarity pattern in faunal composition, we used clustering techniques (based on the Sorensen Similarity Measure) for the whole data set and the data set containing only the abundance data of co-dominant species, which better characterizes the community. Calculating a number of co-dominants was based on slightly modified method of Kikvidze and Ohsawa (2002). This method is based on Simpson's Concentration and is calculated as follows: A=1/D, where A is the number of co-dominants and D is the Simpson's Diversity Index.

The method is very intuitive and an easy way to reveal co-dominant species in the community. However, the method frequently provides an unrealistically large number of co-dominant species, which regularly envelops 70-90 % of total abundance without consideration of abundance distribution within communities.

For example, a community composed of 10 species with an abundance distribution of 10,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1 would result in three codominant species (A=1/D=3). Clearly, this calculation renders ambiguous results, which are difficult to interpret. To avoid this problem, we modified the algorithm and calculated the number of codominants (*A*) several times for each community in a way that each following calculation (A_{i+1}) is performed only for "co-dominant" species revealed by the previous calculation (A_i). This process is repeated until the rounded (to the nearest integer) number $A_{i+1} = A_i$ is obtained. In the example mentioned above, with A_i =3, the following calculation is made for just three species (A_{i+1}) that equals to one.

Software PAST v.2.14 (Hammer et al. 2001) were



FIGURE 1: Average abundance of (a) *Punctoribates punctum* and (b) *Scheloribates laevigatus* at the sampling sites (see table 1 for description of the sampling sites).

206

TABLE 2: Co-dominant species for each sampling locality with their relative abundance (%) and average abundance of total fauna (ind/m^2)

Species	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	DR	CM1	CM2	CF1	CF2	CF2
Phthiracarus ferrugineus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Oppiella subpectinata	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	20	0
Ramusella clavipectinata	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0
Parachipteria punctata	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
Oribatula tibialis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Scheloribates laevigatus	0	19	0	22	86	57	59	49	30	13	0	0
Sch. latipes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0
Chamobates voigtsi	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0
Punctoribates punctum	70	11	52	61	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
Pergalumna nervosa	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	21
Total dominance	70	70	52	83	86	57	59	49	86	47	27	57
Abundance ind/m ²	4825	1375	2400	5125	6550	13325	5825	11100	5725	3900	20675	4000
Number of species	14	14	11	10	18	26	21	17	14	17	65	25

used in cluster building. DCA ordination was performed using CANOCO software (ter Braak and Šmilauer, 2002). ANOVA and dominance analysis were performed as a spreadsheet of MS Excel.

RESULTS

A total of 89 species of oribatid mites were identified in the study areas (see Appendix). Eight species were classified as dominant for all types of habitat, whereas 35 species (40 % of the total fauna) were found only in forests. The oribatid fauna of dumps totaling 5 species was numerically poorer than that of the control sites (a total of 41 species). *Pergalumna nervosa* (Berlese, 1914), *Scheloribates laevigatus* (Koch, 1835) and *Scheloribates latipes* (Koch, 1844) were abundant in meadows and successfully colonized adjacent dumps. *Scheloribates laevigatus* and *Punctoribates punctum* were the most successful colonizers of dump areas with high densities in dumps and lower densities in forest soils (Figure 1).

The abundance of oribatid mites varied from an average of $5600/m^2$ in dumps up to $9500/m^2$ in natural forests. Densities in dump areas were low with the exception of D6 - a 30 year old dump where meadow vegetation had already developed. Densities of Oribatida in meadows were similar to that in forested habitats. The highest density was recorded for CF2 - a natural oak forest (Table 2).

ANOVA followed by Tukey's Multiple Test showed significant differences only between forested and dump sites in species richness (*F*=10,

df=2, 46, p<0.01) and in Simpson's Diversity Index (F=4.4,df=2,9, p=0.047). No significant differences in species richness or abundance were detected between the forest and meadow or between the dump and meadow sites.

Cluster analyses based on the whole dataset showed oribatid mites of all forest sites forming one cluster whereas no clear groupings occurred within other sites (Figure 2). DCA analysis revealed similar results for both datasets (seasonal and pooled data over the year) (Figures 3 and 4). For seasonal data, the first DCA axis (inertia 0.77) separates forests from other sites, whereas meadow and dump sites are not clearly separated (Figure 3). DCA on the pooled data gave more reliable results (Figure 4). Along the first ordination axis (inertia 0.66), forested sites are clearly separated from others with higher scores. Meadows and old (more than 5 years) dump sites (with lower scores at first DCA axis) are also separated from the younger dump sites, which are situated between the first two groups. Clustering of co-dominant species pool (Table 2) provides very similar results to the DCA (Figure 5) and clearly shows that older dumps are clustering with meadow sites and younger dump sites are closely related to that cluster. Forest sites are also clustering together and appear as a basic or source site for other sites.



FIGURE 2: Cluster dendrogram depicting oribatid faunal similarities (based on Sorensen's distance) between sites for seasonal data (see table 1 for description of the sampling sites).



FIGURE 3: Detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) ordination diagram for seasonally sampled data. Ordination scores for first DCA axis plotted against scores for second DCA axis. The numbers indicate sampling localities as follows: 1-D1, 2-D2, 3-D3, 4-D4, 5-D5, 6-D6, 7-DR, 8-CM1, 9-CM2, 10-CF1, 11-CF2, 12-CF3 (see table 1 for description of the sampling sites).



FIGURE 4: Detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) ordination diagram for abundance data averaged over the year. Ordination scores for first DCA axis plotted against scores for second DCA axis. (See table 1 for description of the sampling sites).



FIGURE 5: Cluster dendrogram depicting oribatid faunal similarities (based on Sorensen's distance) between sites for abundance data of co-dominant species in each sampling locality (see table 1 for description of the sampling sites).

210

DISCUSSION

Colonization of post-industrial dumps by soil invertebrates supports soil formation processes and can be regarded as a part of secondary succession (Andrés and Mateos, 2006; Scheu and Schulz, 1996). Although oribatid mites are known as having low mobility (Weigmann, 1982) and being slow colonizers (Dunger, 1989), their ability of passive dispersal is quite high (Karasawa *et al.*, 2005; Lebedeva, 2012; Lehmitz *et al.*, 2011, 2012). However, not all species of Oribatida are successful colonizers.

In our study, the faunal composition differed significantly between post-industrial and natural habitats. In dump soils, Scheloribates laevigatus, S. latipes and Punctoribates puctum dominated, whereas in natural forests, members of Oppiidae, Galumnidae and Achipteriidae were present in abundance. Nonetheless, our previous analyses showed that soil recovery processes take place on postindustrial dumps: the older the dump, the more diverse is the oribatid assemblage. The colonization route of oribatid mites proceeds from forests to dumps, via meadows, in spite of the fact that only a minor number of species are found in both forests and dump sites. DCA analyses provided for all sampling seasons and for the dominant species pool indicated that the oribatid fauna of newly created dump sites may be supplied by species from both meadow or older dumps and forested sites. The main colonizers of the new habitats were P. punctum, S. laevigatus, S. latipes and Tectocepheus velatus sarekensis. These species, especially P. punctum and T. velatus sarekensis (the latter species is not truly dominant but present in all dump sites as a moderately abundant species) can survive in extreme conditions (Malmström, 2008; Murvanidze et al., 2008, 2011; Skubala, 1999) and reach high densities where species that are more sensitive to environmental disturbances are absent. In contrast, in forest soils T. velatus sarekensis and P. punctum were found in low abundance. Similar results were found on a dolomite dump in Poland where T. velatus and S. laevigatus dominated in a three year old dump and their abundance dropped in forested habitats (Skubala, 1999). P. punctum, T. velatus and S. laevigatus are considered cosmopolitan species and

good colonizers during early stages of succession (Skubala, 1995; Skubala and Gulvik, 2005). They are often found in high densities in urban, rural and disturbed habitats (Maraun and Scheu, 2000; Maraun et al., 2003; Murvanidze et al., 2011; Scheu and Schulz, 1996; St. John et al., 2002; Weigmann, 1995). We conclude that in forest ecosystems early succession species are outcompeted and that they find more favourable conditions in disturbed areas, where environmentally sensitive species cannot persist. The existence of several typical "forest" species in dumps, such as Phthiracarus laevigatus (Koch, 1841), Microzetes caucasicus (Krivolutsky, 1967), Ceratoppia quadridentata (Haller, 1882), Parachipteria punctata (Nicolet, 1855), P. fanzagoi Jacot, 1929 and Pergalumna nervosa (Berlese, 1914), suggests that the presence of manganese in soil has less (if any) influence on structuring the oribatid fauna. The main driving forces of shaping the community are either habitat disturbance and/or food supply. Under the latter conditions, species such as P. punctum and S. laevigatus seem to be more successful colonizers. They rapidly increase in abundance in the less competitive environment and maintain dominant positions until their habitats have recovered. The dominance of colonizers such as P. punctum and S. laevigatus in meadow habitats supports the disturbance hypothesis on the basis that all studied meadow sites are extensively grazed and hence disturbance occurs regularly.

The oribatid fauna of distantly located forests showed more similarities with each other than with neighboring meadows or dumps. Typical forest species were found in minor quantities even in meadow soils. Faunal dispersal from forested habitats was insignificant and meadow sites, along with the old aged dumps, can be regarded as a temporal source of new species for the younger dumps, whereas adjacent forests preserve permanent species pool as a refuge and represent colonization source with its visible effect only in large time scale. Returning to our questions raised at the end of the introduction, we conclude that (1) sites of differing history differ from each other by faunal composition and species dominance - different species predominate in different sites depending on

soil recovery processes and (2) that the age difference between the post-industrial dumps results in diverse and meadow-like oribatid fauna in older dumps, whereas the fauna of young dumps remains quite poor.

Reclamation measures carried out on the damaged territory create habitats similar to the natural meadows. However, natural succession processes can support even more diverse fauna than artificial reclamation (Skubala, 2006) and self-sustaining rehabilitation via natural processes is regarded as a good ecological restoration (Dunger *et al.*, 2001). Secondary succession is usually slow and incompatible with the societal requirements for rapid solutions (Ash *et al.*, 1994; Bradshaw, 1997) whereas artificial reclamation procedures fulfill societal needs for fast recovery of damaged surfaces and its reuse by the local population.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our gratitude to Dr. Piotr Skubala for giving very valuable comments during sampling design and statistic treatment as well as for reading the manuscript and giving further advices, Dr. Frank Monson and Dr. Valerie Behan-Pelletier for reading the manuscript, giving very important comments and improving the language, Dr. Gerd Weigmann for help in identification of several species, Drs. Roy Norton and Valerie Behan-Pelletier for access to the literature, and the technical assistant Mary Salakaia for help in species extraction and sorting. This study was financed by STCU grant 4875.

REFERENCES

- Andrés P., Mateos E. 2006 Soil mesofaunal responses to post-mining restoration treatments — Appl. Soil Ecol., 33: 67-78. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2005.08.007
- Ash H.J., Gemmel R.P., Bradshaw A.D. 1994 The introduction of native plant species on industrial waste heaps: a test of immigration and other factors affecting primary succession. J. Appl. Ecol., 31: 74-84. doi:10.2307/2404600
- Babenko A.B. 1982 Dinamika pochvennikh mikroartropod pri zarastanii tekhnogennikh otvalov [Dynamics

of soil microarthropods in vaste dump succession] — Zoolog. Zhurnal, LXI (12): 1815-1825.

- Behan-Pelletier V.M., Eamer B. 2007 Aquatic Oribatida: Adaptations, Constraints, Distribution and Ecology — In: Morales-Malacara J.B., Behan-Pelletier V., Ueckermann E., Perez T.M., Estrada-Venegas E.G., Badii M. (Eds). Acarology XI. Proceedings of the International Congress, Mexico, 2007. p. 71-82.
- Bielska I. 1996 Oribatida communities of deteriorated coniferous forests of Silesian industrial basin and the Karkonosze National Park — In: Rodger M., Horn D.J., Needham G.R., W. Welbourn C. (Eds). Acarology IX, Proceedings Vol. 1, p. 585-588.
- Bielska I., Paszewska H. 1995 Communities of moss mites (Acarida, Oribatida) on recultivated ash dumps from power plant — Polish Ecol. Stud., 21 (3): 263-275.
- Bradshaw A. 1997 Restoration of mine lands using natural processes - Ecol. Eng., 8: 255-269. doi:10.1016/S0925-8574(97)00022-0
- Dunger W. 1989 The return of soil fauna to coal mined areas in the German Democratic Republic — In: Majer J.D. (Ed). Animals in Primary Succession: The Role of Fauna in Reclaimed Lands. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, p. 307-337.
- Dunger W., Wanner M., Hauser H., Hohberg K., Schulz H.J., Schwalbe T., Seifert B., Vogel J., Voigtländer K., Zimdars B., Zulka K.P. 2001 — Development of soil fauna at mine sites during 46 years after afforestation - Pedobiologia, 45: 243-271.
- Eitminavichute I., Umbrasiene D. 1990 The succession of soil mites during the process of sewage sludge degradation In: Rodger M., Horn D.J., Needham G.R., W. Welbourn C. (Eds). Acarology IX, Proceedings Vol. 1, p. 599-601.
- Eliava I., Gogotishvili A., Gurgenidze L., Kvavadze E., Eliashvili T. 1976 Izuchenie pochvrnnikh bezpozvonochnikh rekulutiviruevmikh zemel na Chiaturskom mestonakhojdenia marganeza [The study of soil invertebrates of reclaimed soils on Chiatura manganese tailing sites] In: Biologicheskaya Diagnostika Pochv. "Nauka". p. 321-322 (in Russian).
- Ghilarov M.S., Krivolutsky D.A. 1975 Opredelitel obitayushchikh v pochve kleshchei. Sarcoptiformes [Identification keys of soil inhabiting mites] — Moscow: Nauka pp 491. (in Russian)
- Hammer Ø., Harper D.A.T., Ryan P.D. 2001 PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis — Palaeontologia Electronica, 4 (1): article 4.
- Hill M.O., Gauch H.G. 1980 Detrended Correspondence Analysis: An Improved Ordination Techniques — Vegetatio, 42: 47-58.

- Hutson B.R. 1980 The influence on soil development of the invertebrate fauna colonizing industrial reclamation sites — J. Appl. Ecol., 17(2): 277-286. doi:10.2307/2402324
- Karasawa Sh., Gotoh K., Sasaki T., Hijii N. 2005 Windbased dispersal of oribatid mites (Acari, Oribatida) in a subtropical forest in Japan — J. Acarol. Soc. Japan, 14 (2): 117-122. doi:10.2300/acari.14.117
- Kikvidze Z., Ohsawa M. 2002 Measuring the number of co-dominants in ecological communities — Ecol. Res., 17: 519-525. doi:10.1046/j.1440-1703.2002.00508.x
- Lebedeva N.V. 2012 Oribatid mites transported by birds to polar islands - a review — In: Hempel G., Lochte K., Matishov G. (Eds). Arctic Marine Biology. Reports on polar and marine research, Bremerhaven, Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, 640: 152-161.
- Lehmitz R., Russell D., Hohberg K., Christian A., Xylander W.E.R. 2011 — Wind dispersal of oribatid mites as a mode of dispersion — Pedobiologia, 54: 201-207.
- Lehmitz R., Russell D., Hohberg K., Christian A., Xylander W.E.R. 2012 — Active dispersal of oribatid mites into young soils — Appl. Soil Ecol., 55: 10-19. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.12.003
- Luxton M. 1982 The ecology of some soil mites from coal shale tips — J. Appl. Ecol., 19: 427-442. doi:10.2307/2403477
- Malmström A. 2008 Temperature tolerance in soil microarthropods: simulation of forest-fire heating in the laboratory - Pedobiologia, 51 (5/6): 419-426.
- Maraun M., Scheu St. 2000 The structure of oribatid mite communities (Acari, Oribatida): patterns, mechanisms and implications for future research - Ecography, 23: 374-383.
- Maraun M., Salamon J.A., Schneider K. 2003 Oribatid mite and collembolan diversity, density and community structure in a moder beech forest (*Fagus silvatica*): effects of mechanical perturbances Soil Biol. Biochem., 35: 1387-1394. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00218-9
- Maraun M., Schatz H., Scheu S. 2007 Awesome or ordinary? Global diversity patterns of oribatid mites — Ecography, 30: 209-216.
- Matuseviciute A. 2007 Formation of oribatid mite complex in remediated gravel quarry soil — Ekologija, 53 (4): 25-33.
- Murvanidze M., Arabuli T., Kvavadze Er., Mumladze L. 2008 - The effect of fire disturbance on oribatid mite communities - In: Bertrand M., Kreiter K., McCoy K.D., Migeon A., Navajas M., Tixier M.S., Vial L. (Eds). Integrative Acarology; Proceedings of the 6th Congress

of the European Association of Acarologists, p. 216-221.

- Murvanidze M., Kvavadze E., Mumladze L., Arabuli T. 2011 — Comparison of earthworms (Lumbricidae) and oribatid mite (Acari, Oribatida) communities in natural and urban ecosystems — Vestnik Zoologii, 45: 233-241.
- Norton R.A. 1994 Evolutionary aspects of Oribatid mite life histories and consequences for the origin of Astigmata — In: Houk M. (Eds). Ecological and evolutionary analyses of life-history patterns. Chapman and Hall. p. 99-135.
- Norton R.A., Behan-Pelletier V.M. 2009 Suborder Oribatida — In: Kranz G.W., Walter D.E. (Eds). A Manual of Acarology, 3rd edition. Lubbock: Texas Tech University Press. p. 430-564.
- Schatz H., Behan-Pelletier V.M., Norton R.A. 2011 Suborder Oribatida van der Hammen, 1968 In: Zhang, Z.Q. (Ed.) Animal biodiversity: An outline of higher-level classification and survey of taxonomic richness Zootaxa, 3148: 141-148.
- Scheu St., Schulz E. 1996 Secondary succession, soil formation and development of a diverse community of oribatids and saprophagous soil macroinvertebrates — Biodivers. Conserv., 5: 235-250. doi:10.1007/BF00055833
- Schneider K., Renker K., Scheu St., Maraun M. 2004 -Feeding biology of oribatid mites: a minireview - In: Weigmann G., Alberti G., Wohltmann A., Ragusa S. (Ed.) Acarine Bodiversity in Natural and Human Sphere. Proceedings of the 5th Symposium of the European Association of Acarologists (Berlin, 2004). Phytophaga, 14: 247-256
- Sheklashvili Sh., Chechlashvili G., Margiani G., Melikidze I. 1997 — Chiatura manganese industry during the century — Ganatleba. Tbilisi. pp. 559 [in Georgian].
- Simpson E.H. 1949 Measurement of diversity Nature, 163: 688.
- Skubala P. 1995 Moss mites (Acarina: Oribatida) on industrial dumps of different ages — Pedobiologia, 39: 170-184.
- Skubala P. 1997a Oribatid mite communities (Acari, Oribatida) on postindustrial dumps of different kinds. I. Abundance and species richness — Abhandlungen und Berichte des Naturkundemuseums Görlitz, 69(6): 63-68.
- Skubala P. 1997b The structure of oribatid mite communities (Acari, Oribatida) on mine dumps and reclaimed land — Zoologische Beiträge, 38 (1): 59-80.
- Skubala P. 1999 Colonization of dolomitic dump by oribatid mites (Acari, Oribatida) — Pedobiologia, 43: 145-159.

- Skubala P. 2006 Do we really need land reclamation on dumps? (Oribatid fauna case studies) — In: Gabrys G., Ignatovicz S. (Eds). Advances in Polish Acarology, Wydawnictwo SGGW, Warszawa. p. 366-374.
- Skubala P., Gulvik A. 2005 Pioneer Oribatid mite communities (Acari, Oribatida) in newly exposed natural (glacier foreland) and anthropogenic (post-industrial dump) habitats — Polish Journal of Ecology, 53 (3): 395-407.
- Sokal, R.R., Rohlf, F.J. 1995 Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in biological research. 3rd edition — New York: W. H. Freeman & Company. pp. 887.
- St. John M.G., Bagatto G., Behan-Pelletier V., Lindquist E.E., Shorthouse J.D., Smith I.M. 2002 — Mite (Acari) colonization of vegetated mine tailings near Sundbury, Ontario, Canada — Plant Soil, 245: 295-305. doi:10.1023/A:1020453912401
- ter Braak C.J.F., Šmilauer P. 2002 CANOCO Reference Manual and CanoDraw for Windows User's Guide: Software for Canonical Community Ordination (version 4.5) — Ithaca, NY: Microcomputer Power. pp. 500

- van Straalen N.M. 1998 Evaluation of bioindicator systems derived from soil arthropod communities — Appl. Soil Ecol., 9: 429-437. doi:10.1016/S0929-1393(98)00101-2
- Weigmann G. 1982 Die Berliner Hornmilbenfauna (Acari, Oribatei). Zur Fage der Artenschutzes von Bodentieren — Landschaftsentwicklung und Umweltforschung, 11: 311-326.
- Weigmann G. 1995 Zur Bedeutung von Hemerobie und Habitatstructur für Hornmilben (Acari, Oribatida) in Stadtparks and Ruderalflachen — Schr. R.f. Vegetationskunde. Sukopp-Festschrift, 27: 202-210.
- Weigmann G. 2006 Hornmilben (Oribatida) Die Tierwelt Deutschlands, Teil 76. Keltern: Goecke & Evers. pp. 520.

Copyright

Appendix

Numbers of oribatid mites found in sand and manganese tailing sites in Chiatura region (see table 1 for site description).

Species	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	DR	CM1	CM2	CF1	CF2	CF3
Hypochthoniella minutissima											11	
Epilohmannia cylindrica									1	4	3	
Rhysotritia ardua	5	2		2	2	14	1	14		4	23	2
Hoplophthiracarus illinoisensis										2	43	
Notophthiracarus (C) pavidus vicinus			1							2	1	3
Phthiracarus ferrugineus			5	1					2	8	14	21
Phth. laevigatus			1						1	1		1
Steganacarus spinosus										9		
St. (T) carinatus	1					1	1			2	6	3
Nanhermannia nana											1	
Nothrus biciliatus												
Trhypochthonius tectorum								5			1	
Hermanniella punctulata											4	
Arthrodamaeus femoratus											4	
Metabelba flagelliseta								1			1	
M. papillipes											1	
Microzetes caucasicus		1				1					12	
Amerus troisi											2	
Amerobelba decedens											3	
Ctenobelba pilosella											4	
Damaeolus ornatissimus											5	
Eremobelba geographica											3	
Eremaeus hepaticus										1	1	1
Zetorchestes falzonii											2	
Gustavia microcephala											3	
Ceratoppia bipilis	1										6	
C. quadridentata			4	2							2	
Adoristes ovatus												2
Dorycranosus splendens											2	
Liacarus brevilamellatus											3	
L. lencoranicus						1					11	2
L. xylariae										2		
Xenillus tegeocranus											2	
Carabodes rugosior											2	
Conchogneta traegardhi											24	9
Berniniella bicarinata											4	
B. silvatica											28	
Dissorhina ornata	6	1			1	1		1			47	2
Microppia minus					1	1					4	
Oppia nitens												1
Oppiella fallax	1									3	36	2
O. neerlandica											1	
O. nova		1									5	
O. similifallax	1		1			3				1	29	
O. subpectinata	2						2			17	164	10

Species	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	DR	CM1	CM2	CF1	CF2	CF3
Oxyoppioides decipiens											5	
Quadroppia quadricarinata											10	
Ramusella clavipectinata	5				2	8	2	31	25		26	5
R. insculpta		1		1		1		1	2		34	1
Suctobelba granulata	1										2	
Suctobelbella acutidens											1	
S. duplex											2	
S. forsslundi						1					2	
S. subtrigona		1										
Tectocepheus velatus velatus					1			3			1	
T. velatus sarekensis	8	2	8	10	6	27	31	19	3		1	3
Scutovertex sculptus					1		1					
Eupelops acromios											1	
E. torulosus							1				1	
Peloptulus phaenotus	1		1		1	4	1	8	9			
Parachipteria georgica											30	3
P. punctata			1				5	3		5	56	
P. fanzagoi		2			1	3	2	1			27	
Oribatella berlesei										1		
Haplozetes longisacculus	5											
Peloribates longipilosus				5	1	7		22			2	
Protoribates capucinus								10				
Oribatula tibialis	1	3				1			2	1	12	36
Zygoribatula cognata				4		1	3	25			1	
Z. exilis		1			1				2			2
Liebstadia pannonica				1			1					
Scheloribates laevigatus	11	10	11	45	225	304	138	217	69	15	1	1
Sch. latipes	1		1	9	1	78	6	48	43	7	29	
Ceratozetes gracilis	1					43	2	1		7	35	1
C. minutissimus		1	10			2						
Sphaerozetes piriformis												4
Chamobates cuspidatus		1							2			
Ch. kieviensis							1					
Ch. voigtsi		11					1	1		10	7	34
Euzetes globulus											1	
Minunthozetes pseudofusiger	1						1		4	3	5	9
Punctoribates punctum	128	6	50	124	12	3	29	15	4	11	3	1
Acrogalumna longipluma						1					12	
Galumna flagellata			1					1				1
Galumna tarsipennata						3		6				
Pergalumna nervosa	1	9	1	1	5	7	4	1	60		1	