

# Biological control of tomato gray mold caused by Botrytis cinerea by using Streptomyces spp.

Sawai Boukaew, Poonsuk Prasertsan, Claire Troulet, Marc Bardin

# ▶ To cite this version:

Sawai Boukaew, Poonsuk Prasertsan, Claire Troulet, Marc Bardin. Biological control of tomato gray mold caused by Botrytis cinerea by using Streptomyces spp.. BioControl, 2017, 62, pp.793-803. 10.1007/s10526-017-9825-9. hal-01564548

# HAL Id: hal-01564548 https://hal.science/hal-01564548v1

Submitted on 24 Feb 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1	Biological control of tomato gray mold caused by Botrytis cinerea by using
2	Streptomyces spp.
3	
4	Sawai Boukaew*, Poonsuk Prasertsan, Claire Troulet, Marc Bardin*
5	
6	S. Boukaew, College of Innovation and Management, Songkhla Rajabhat University,
7	Songkhla 90000, Thailand; e-mail: sawai.bo@skru.ac.th
8	P. Prasertsan, Department of Industrial Biotechnology, Faculty of Agro-Industry, Prince of
9	Songkla University, Songkhla 90112, Thailand
10	S. Boukaew, C. Troulet, M. Bardin, Pathologie Végétale, INRA, 84140 Montfavet, France ; e-
11	mail: marc.bardin@inra.fr
12	* corresponding authors
13	
14	Abstract
15	Streptomyces is a genus known for its ability to protect plants against many pathogens
16	and various strains of this bacteria have been used as biological control agents. In this study,
17	the efficacy of Streptomyces philanthi RM-1-138, S. philanthi RL-1-178, and S. mycarofaciens
18	SS-2-243 to control various strains of <i>Botrytis cinerea</i> was evaluated both <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> .
19	In vitro studies using confrontation tests on PDA plates indicated that the three strains of
20	Streptomyces spp. inhibited the growth of 41 strains of B. cinerea. Volatile compounds
21	produced by Streptomyces spp. had an influence on the growth of 10 strains of B. cinerea while
22	its culture filtrate at low concentration (diluted at 10 <sup>-3</sup> ) showed a complete inhibition (100%) of
23	spore germination of <i>B. cinerea</i> strain BC1. A significant protection efficacy of tomato against
24	<i>B. cinerea</i> was observed on both whole-plant test (57.4%) and detached leaf test (60.1%) with
25	S. philanti RM-1-138. Moreover, this antagonistic strain had a preventive and a curative effect.

26	These results indicated that S. philanthi RM-1-138 may have the potential to control gray mold
27	caused by B. cinerea on tomato but further work is required to enhance its efficacy and its
28	survival in planta.

Keywords: *Botrytis cinerea* ' Biological control ' Durability ' Sensitivity' *Streptomyces* spp.
 31

- -
- 32

# 33 Introduction

Gray mold disease caused by the fungus Botrytis cinerea Pers.: (teleomorph Botryotinia 34 fuckeliana (de Bary) Whetzel) is an economically important disease on numerous vegetable 35 including tomato (Jarvis 1980; Helbig 2002; Elad et al. 2015). Botrytis cinerea can infect the 36 plants either by direct penetration or through wounds caused by cultivation practices. Infection 37 is promoted by high humidity, free moisture on the plant surface, and low temperatures (Lee et 38 al. 2006). In general, disease management strategy largely relies on the use of chemical 39 fungicides that are generally considered harmful to the environment and can result in fungicide 40 resistance as previously reported (Locke and Fletcher 1988; Yourman and Jeffers 1999; Chung 41 42 et al. 2006). The development of efficient antifungal microbial agents could be an alternative method to control the disease. 43

Species of *Streptomyces* are potential biocontrol agents since they are ubiquitous in the environment and many of them produce secondary metabolites such as enzyme inhibitors and antibiotics with diverse biological activities, including the ability to inhibit plant pathogenic fungi (Omura 1992; Lange and Sanchez 1996; Vaz Jauri et al. 2016). Several species of *Streptomyces* have been isolated and used to control plant pathogens on various crops, such as *Pyricularia oryzae* and *Rhizoctonia solani* on rice (Prabavathy et al. 2006), *R. solani* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* on sugar beet (Sadeghi et al. 2006; Errakhi et al. 2007) and *Sclerotinia*  *sclerotiorum* on sunflower (Baniasadi et al. 2009). In particular, strain RM-1-138 and RL-1-178 of *S. philanthi* and strain SS-2-243 of *S. mycarofaciens*, isolated from the rhizosphere of chili pepper in southern Thailand (Boukaew et al. 2011), have shown a good efficacy to control *S. rolfsii, Ralstonia solanacearum* on chili (Boukaew et al. 2011), and *R. solani* on rice (Boukaew et al. 2013, 2014a; 2014b). However, few studies explored the effect of *Streptomyces* sp. on *B. cinerea*. For instance, the strain A01 of *Streptomyces lydicus* produces natamycin and chitinase that have the potential to control gray mold caused by *B. cinerea* (Wu et al. 2013).

In all the studies cited above, the effect of the biocontrol agent *Streptomyces* spp. was 58 tested against a single strain of the plant pathogen considered. However, some studies highlight 59 differences in the sensitivity of various isolates of plant pathogens to biocontrol agents, with 60 the existence of less sensitive isolates in natural populations of plant pathogens and even the 61 capacity of plant pathogens to adapt to biocontrol agents (Bardin et al. 2015). Diversity of 62 sensitivity of plant pathogens to biocontrol agents may result in a reduction of efficacy of the 63 biocontrol agent in the field and if selection pressure would increase, *i.e.* intensive use of 64 65 biocontrol agents by growers, we can expect a selection of the less sensitive isolates and consequently a reduced efficacy of biocontrol agents against plant pathogens in the field. 66

The first objective of the present study was to evaluate the ability of three strains of 67 Streptomyces spp. to inhibit the growth of B. cinerea in vitro and on tomato plants. The three 68 tested strains, RM-1-138 and RL-1-178 of Streptomyces philanthi and SS-2-243 of S. 69 70 mycarofaciens, are known for their antifungal effect on various plant pathogens (Boukaew et 71 al. 2011, 2013, 2014a; 2014b), but they have never been tested against B. cinerea. The second objective of the study was to assess the possible variability in susceptibility of various strains 72 of B. cinerea to these antagonistic strains. To this end, 41 strains of B. cinerea differing in their 73 date of isolation, geographic and host or substrate of origin were used. 74

## 77 Materials and Methods

78 Microorganisms and inoculum preparation

Strains RM-1-138 and RL-1-178 of *S. philanthi* and strain SS-2-243 of *S. mycarofaciens*were isolated in a previous study from the rhizosphere of chili pepper in southern Thailand
(Boukaew et al. 2011). Spores of *Streptomyces* were collected in 10 ml water from 10-day-old
culture on glucose yeast-malt agar at 30°C and counted using a hematocytometer. Inoculum
was prepared by dilution in distilled sterilized water to achieve the required concentration.

Forty-one strains of *Botrytis cinerea* differing in their date of isolation, geographic and 84 plant hosts or substrate of origin were used in this study. All isolates were single-spored and 85 conserved at -20°C before use. In all tests, the B. cinerea strain BC1, known to be very 86 aggressive on tomato (Decognet et al. 2009), was used. An inoculum of B. cinerea was prepared 87 by cultivating the strain on potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates under cool white fluorescent light 88 (14-h photoperiod at 65  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) in a growth chamber at 21°C. Spores were collected in 5 89 ml water from 14-day-old culture and counted using a hematocytometer. Inoculum was 90 prepared by dilution in distilled sterilized water to achieve the required concentration. 91

92

# 93 Dual culture

The three strains of *Streptomyces* spp. were evaluated for their antagonistic properties against forty-one strains of *B. cinerea* using a dual culture technique (Islam et al. 2009). For each strain of *Streptomyces* spp., a streak of spore suspension at 10<sup>7</sup> spores.ml<sup>-1</sup> was deposited on one side of a PDA medium in Petri dishes. In previous study, Boukaew et al. (2011) have shown that the antagonistic activity of the 3 strains of *Streptomyces* by dual culture on agar was observed after 7 days of incubation at 28°C. For RM-1-138 the optimal activity of antifungal metabolites was observed for culture filtrate obtained after 9 to 11 days of incubation at 28°C (Boukaew and Prasertsan 2014b). Plates were then incubated in a growth chamber for 10 days
at 21°C in the dark.

A 5-mm-diameter mycelial plug, excised from a 3-day-old *B. cinerea* colony, was transferred to the center of each plate. As a control, a mycelial plug of *B. cinerea* was placed on a PDA plate without any *Streptomyces* strain. The dual culture plates were further incubated in a growth chamber (21°C, dark) for 2 days, after which the radial mycelial growth of *B. cinerea* was measured and compared to that of the control. Three replicates were conducted for each *Streptomyces–B cinerea* strain combination. The colony size in each treatment was recorded and the percentage inhibition of hyphal growth was calculated.

110

111 Volatile effect

Streptomyces philanthi RM-1-138 and RL-1-178, and S. mycarofaciens SS-2-243 were 112 evaluated for the effect of their volatile substances on the mycelial development of ten strains 113 of B. cinerea. Strains of B. cinerea tested were selected based on their susceptibility to 114 115 Streptomyces spp. in the dual culture assay: the 5 most sensitive strains and the 5 less sensitive strains to Streptomyces spp. were tested. For each strain of Streptomyces spp., a streak of a 116 spore suspension at 10<sup>7</sup> spores.ml<sup>-1</sup> was deposited on PDA medium in a Petri dish. After a ten 117 118 days incubation in a growth chamber (21°C, dark) a Petri dish containing Streptomyces spp. was covered with another Petri dish containing PDA medium inoculated with a 5 mm-diameter 119 120 mycelial plug of B. cinerea. The two plates were then sealed using double layers of Parafilm to obtain a double-plate chamber (Raza et al. 2015). Double plates were incubated in a growth 121 chamber at 21°C (14 h day and 10 h night). After 2 days of incubation, the diameters of the 122 colonies of *B. cinerea* were recorded, and the percentage inhibition of hyphal growth was 123 calculated. For each treatment, three replicates were realized. 124

Streptomyces philanthi RM-1-138 and RL-1-178, and *S. mycarofaciens* SS-2-243 were
each grown at 30°C in a 250 ml flask containing 100 ml liquid GYM medium (glucose yeastmalt extract broth, pH adjusted at 7.0 before autoclaving) on a rotary shaker at 150 rpm. After
3 days of incubation, 5 ml aliquots of this culture was transferred into 100 ml fresh GYM
medium, and incubated for 10 days under the same conditions. The culture broth was
centrifuged (8880 g for 20 min), then filtered through a 0.45 mm Millipore membrane to recover
the culture filtrate.

Serial dilutions of the culture filtrate (1/10, 1/100 and 1/1000) were prepared in distilled 134 sterile water. Ten µl of the different dilutions were then mixed with 2 µl of a spore suspension 135 of the strain BC1 of *B. cinerea* dosed at  $10^5$  spores.ml<sup>-1</sup> and dropped on a glass-slide. Sterile 136 Potato Dextrose Broth (PDB) was used instead of the culture filtrate as a positive control. The 137 slides were further placed in Petri dishes with humid absorbent paper to maintain high relative 138 humidity and incubated under cool white fluorescent light (14-h photoperiod-65  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) 139 in a growth chamber at 21°C for 24 h. Spores that germinate were counted under the microscope 140 and the inhibition of spore germination (in percentage) was calculated. 141

142

#### 143 Efficacy of *Streptomyces* spp. against *B. cinerea* on tomato

Seed of tomato cv. Monalbo (INRA Plant Pathology, Avignon, France) were sown in compost and transplanted after 1 week in an individual pot containing a horticulture compost mix (De Baat, Coevorden, The Netherlands). Plants were grown in a glasshouse for 7 to 8 weeks where they received a standard commercial nutrient solution once or twice a day, depending on needs. They had at least 8 fully expended leaves when used.

149 Two types of test were performed to evaluate the efficacy of *Streptomyces* spp. to 150 control *B. cinerea* on tomato plants: a detached-leaf assay and a whole-plant assay. Tests were first carried out with the three strains of *Streptomyces* spp. (RM-1-138, RL-1-178 and SS-2243) against the strain BC1 of *B. cinerea*. Additional tests with RM-1-138 were realized with
3 strains of *B. cinerea* (BC1, C10 and CEN12-13) having different level of sensitivity to RM1-138 in dual culture tests.

For the detached-leaf assay, leaves were sprayed with a spore suspension of 155 Streptomyces spp. at the concentration of 10<sup>6</sup> or 10<sup>7</sup> spores.ml<sup>-1</sup>. When the leaves were dried 156 (between 30 and 60 minutes after treatment), they were removed, and two leaflets were placed 157 in a transparent plastic box with humid absorbent paper to maintain high relative humidity 158 (close to 100%). Mycelial plugs (5-mm in diameter) of B. cinerea excised from the growing 159 margin of a 3-day-old PDA cultures were deposited onto the leaflets of tomato. For each 160 treatment, 6 leaflets of tomato (3 plastic boxes) were inoculated. Following inoculation, the 161 detached-leaves were incubated in a growth chamber in conditions conducive to disease 162 development (21°C, 14 h-photoperiod). Leaves removed from non-treated plants were 163 inoculated as a control. The lesion area was determined with ImageJ software 2 days after 164 inoculation. Three independent repetitions of the test were realized. 165

For the whole-plant test, three leaves per plant were removed leaving 5-10 mm petiole 166 stubs on the stems and each pruning wound was inoculated with 10 µl of a spore suspension of 167 B. cinerea dosed at  $10^6$  spores.ml<sup>-1</sup>, in order to place Botrytis in the most favorable conditions 168 for infestation of the stem. Streptomyces spp. was then applied to pruning wounds with 10 µl 169 of a spore suspension dosed at  $10^6$  or  $10^7$  spores.ml<sup>-1</sup>, 5 min after the inoculation of *B. cinerea*, 170 the time that the drop was absorbed by the petiole. The pathogen was inoculated alone as a 171 control. All plants were incubated in a growth chamber with a photoperiod of 14 h and 172 maintained at 21°C with a relative humidity above 80% to favor disease development. The 173 experiment was repeated three times independently, each with three replicates per treatment. 174

Lesion expansion on tomato stem was recorded daily for 7 days after inoculation (DAI).
To take into account the kinetics of disease development, we computed the area under the
disease progress curves (AUDPC) as described by Decognet et al. (2009), as:

178 
$$AUDPC = \left[\frac{Y1}{2} + \sum_{2}^{n-1} Yj + \frac{Yn}{2}\right].[I]$$

179 where Yj was the observed lesion length (in mm) at the j<sup>th</sup> observation time, n was the total 180 number of observations, and I the interval between each observation (in days). These values 181 were computed for individual pruning lesions for n=5 observations at daily intervals during the 182 period from the 3<sup>rd</sup> to the 7<sup>th</sup> day after inoculation. For both tests, a percentage of protection of 183 tomato generated by the bacteria, compared to the control, was computed.

184

185 Preventive and curative effect of *Streptomyces* against *B. cinerea* on tomato plants

To test a potential preventive effect of the 3 strains of *Streptomyces* against *B. cinerea* on tomato plants, three leaves per plant were removed, leaving 5-10 mm petiole stubs on the stems. Each pruning wound was treated with 10  $\mu$ l of a spore suspension at 10<sup>7</sup> spores.ml<sup>-1</sup> of a strain of *Streptomyces* sp. and then inoculated with 10  $\mu$ l of a spore suspension at 10<sup>6</sup> spores.ml<sup>-1</sup> of the strain BC1 of *B. cinerea*. Inoculation of BC1 was realized 0, 2, 4 or 6 h after treatment with the selected strain of *Streptomyces*.

To test a potential curative effect of the 3 strains of *Streptomyces* against *B. cinerea*, the same method was used except that inoculation of *B. cinerea* at  $10^6$  spores.ml<sup>-1</sup> was realized before the treatment with a spore suspension at  $10^7$  spores.ml<sup>-1</sup> of a strain of *Streptomyces* sp. In this case, treatment with *Streptomyces* was realized 0, 2, 4 or 6 h after the inoculation with the strains BC1 of *B. cinerea*.

197 All plants were incubated in a growth chamber with a photoperiod of 14 h and 198 maintained at 21°C with a relative humidity above 80% to favor disease development. The experiment was repeated three times independently, each with three replicates (3 plants each with 3 pruning wounds) per treatment. Lesion expansion on tomato stem was recorded from the 3<sup>rd</sup> to the 7<sup>th</sup> day after inoculation (DAI) and AUDPC and protection index were computed as described above.

203

204 Statistical analysis

The data were subjected to one- or two-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 15 for Windows. When appropriate, the means were compared with the Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) and a P < 0.05 was considered as being significantly different.

209

210

211 **Results** 

212 In vitro antagonism of Streptomyces spp. against different strains of B. cinerea

The *in vitro* dual culture assay shows that the three strains of *Streptomyces* spp. inhibited 213 the mycelial growth of the forty-one strains of *B. cinerea* in the range of 73% to 100% inhibition 214 on PDA medium after two days of incubation at 21°C, compared with the control treatment 215 without Streptomyces (Fig. 1). Significant differences in sensitivity to the 3 strains of 216 Streptomyces sp. were observed between strains of B. cinerea (S. philanthi RM-1-138; F = 4.36, 217 df = 40, 82, P < 0.0001; S. philanthi RL-1-178; F = 3.45, df = 40, 82, P < 0.0001; S.218 *mycarofaciens* SS-2-243; F = 3.03, df = 40, 82, P < 0.0001). The strains RM-1-138 and RL-1-219 220 178 of S. philanthi completely inhibit the mycelial growth of 31 out of the 41 strains of B. cinerea tested and the strain SS-2-243 of S. mycarofaciens completely inhibited the mycelial 221 growth of 25 strains of *B. cinerea*. Culture filtrates of each strain of *Streptomyces* have a strong 222 223 inhibitory effect on the spore germination of the strains BC1 of B. cinerea (Fig. S1;

Supplementary data). This inhibitory effect is very effective (100% inhibition of spore germination) even when the culture filtrate is diluted at  $10^{-3}$ , suggesting a strong antifungal effect of the 3 strains of *Streptomyces* spp. tested.

To test the effect of volatile compounds produced by *Streptomyces* spp. on the mycelial 227 growth of *B. cinerea*, the *in vitro* inhibitory action of volatiles was tested on ten isolates of *B*. 228 cinerea having various level of sensitivity to the bacteria based on dual culture assay (Fig. 2). 229 230 Significant differences between strains of Streptomyces spp. was observed (S. philanthi RM-1-138; *F* = 63.64, *df* = 9, 20, *P* < 0.0001; *S. philanthi* RL-1-178; *F* = 92.53, *df* = 9, 20, *P* < 0.0001; 231 S. mycarofaciens SS-2-243; F = 51.28, df = 9, 20, P < 0.0001) with an inhibition ranging from 232 6 to 30% depending both on the strain of *B. cinerea* and on the strain of *Streptomyces* spp. Some 233 strains of *B. cinerea* are significantly less sensitive to *Streptomyces* than others. The strain 234 E258 of B. cinerea is for instance among the least sensitive strain against the three strains of 235 Streptomyces. 236

237

### 238 Efficacy of *Streptomyces* spp. against *B. cinerea* on tomato

The protective efficacy of the three strains of *Streptomyces* spp. against the strain BC1 239 of B. cinerea was evaluated on tomato plants, both on a detached-leaf test and on a whole-plant 240 test. Two spore concentrations of *Streptomyces* spp. (10<sup>6</sup> or 10<sup>7</sup> spores.ml<sup>-1</sup>) were evaluated. 241 242 Globally, the highest is the spore concentration of *Streptomyces* spp. the most effective is the 243 control of B. cinerea on plant organs (Fig. 3). A 2-way analysis of variance (strain of Streptomyces spp. x dose of bacteria done on the protection of the biological control agent) was 244 realized independently on detached-leaf and on whole-plant bioassays. It reveals a Streptomyces 245 strain effect (F = 15.62, df = 2, P < 0.0001) and a dose effect (F = 49.04, df = 1, P < 0.0001) 246 247 on detached-leaf bioassay and a *Streptomyces* strain effect (F = 9.47, df = 2, P = 0.003) and a dose effect (F = 7.95, df = 1, P = 0.015) on whole-plant bioassay, with no interaction effect (F248

249 = 0.82, df = 2, P = 0.464 and F = 1.58, df = 2, P = 0.246 for detached-leaf and whole plant 250 bioassays, respectively). The strain RM-1-138 of *S. philanthi* at 10<sup>7</sup> spores.ml<sup>-1</sup> exhibits the 251 strongest protection effect against *B. cinerea* on tomato plants with 57% protection on whole 252 plants and 60% protection on detached leaves. The two other strains of *Streptomyces* sp. provide 253 a lower protective effect against *B. cinerea* on tomato.

254

Efficacy of the strain RM-1-138 of *S. philanthi* against 3 different strains of *B. cinerea* on tomato

Three isolates of *B. cinerea* having various levels of *in vitro* sensitivity to the strain RM-257 1-138 were tested on tomato plants to estimate the efficacy of protection generated by this strain 258 (Fig. 4). On both bioassays (whole plant test and detached leaf test) and whatever the dose of 259 RM-1-138 applied ( $10^6$  or  $10^7$  spores.ml<sup>-1</sup>), lesions caused by *B. cinerea* were significantly 260 reduced compared to the control without the biocontrol agent. A 2-way analysis of variance 261 (strain of *B. cinerea* X dose of RM-1-138 on the protection of the biological control agent) was 262 realized for each bioassay. On detached-leaf bioassay, analysis reveals a B. cinerea strain effect 263 (F = 5.08, df = 1, P = 0.025) and a dose effect (F = 17.02, df = 2, P = 0.001) with no interaction 264 (F = 0.36, df = 2, P = 0.703). On whole-plant bioassay, an absence of B. cinerea strain effect 265 266 (F = 1.40, df = 2, P = 0.284), a dose effect (F = 4.22, df = 2, P = 0.05) and no interaction effect (F = 0.28, df = 2, P = 0.764) were observed. S. philanti RM-1-138 at 10<sup>7</sup> spores.ml<sup>-1</sup> displays 267 a protection of tomato plants comprised between 45% and 52% on whole plant tests and 268 between 44% and 60% on detached-leaf tests, depending on the strain of *B. cinerea* tested. 269

- 271
- 272



Treatment of pruning wounds of tomato with a spore suspension of S. philanthi RM-1-274 138 concomitantly with an inoculation of a spore suspension of B. cinerea gives a protection 275 index of approximately 50% (Fig. 5). When the plants are treated with S. philanthi few hours 276 before the inoculation with *B. cinerea* the protective effect decreases significantly (ANOVA on 277 the protection index; F = 342.06, df = 3, 8, P < 0.0001). A treatment realized on pruning wounds 278 with S. philanthi, 6 hours before the inoculation with B. cinerea tends to favor the development 279 of *B. cinerea* (protection index = -23%). When the plants are treated with *S. philanthi* few hours 280 after the inoculation with B. cinerea, the protective effect also decreases significantly (ANOVA 281 on the protection index; F = 172.14, df = 3, 8, P < 0.0001). The protection index attained less 282 than 5% when S. philanthi was inoculated 6 hours after the fungal pathogen B. cinerea. 283

284

## 285 Discussion

The three strains of *Streptomyces* spp. tested in this study had a significant effect on the 286 287 mycelial growth of all strains of B. cinerea tested on PDA agar plates, suggesting a strong direct antifungal effect. Inhibition of germination of *B. cinerea* conidia is also achieved by the culture 288 filtrate of the three strains of Streptomyces spp. tested. This result was also observed for other 289 strains of *Streptomyces* such as the culture filtrate of *S. globisporus* JK-1 that inhibit the spore 290 germination of Magnaporthe oryzae and reduced its appressorial formation on rice leaves (Li 291 et al. 2011). Moreover, volatile compounds produced by the strains Streptomyces spp. have also 292 an inhibitory effect against B. cinerea mycelial growth. The genus Streptomyces is known to 293 produce volatile antifungal compounds (Vaz Jauri et al. 2016). Volatile compounds produced 294 by S. globisporus JK-1 was for instance able to control Penicillium italicum on Citrus 295 microcarpa and B. cinerea on tomato fruit (Li et al. 2010; Li et al. 2012). Streptomyces albulus 296 NJZJSA2 also produced volatile compounds effective against Fusarium oxysporum and 297 Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Wu et al. 2015). 298

299 Testing 41 strains of B. cinerea for their degree of sensitivity to the three strains of Streptomyces spp. revealed that some strains of B. cinerea are less sensitive to the inhibitory 300 effect of antimicrobial compounds produced by these bacteria. Studies highlighting the 301 302 diversity in sensitivity of plant pathogens to antimicrobial compounds produced by biocontrol agents have been listed by Bardin et al. (2015). However to our knowledge, this study is the 303 first one revealing the diversity of sensitivity of a plant pathogen to volatile compounds 304 305 produced by a biocontrol agent. Detection of less sensitive isolates to these compounds may indicate a risk of developing a resistance in case of increased selection pressure exerted by the 306 307 given biocontrol agents. Even if a limited diversity in the sensitivity of the different strains of B. cinerea was observed, further studies are needed to evaluate the capacity of B. cinerea to 308 become resistant to these compounds. Indeed, previous studies have demonstrated that B. 309 cinerea can evolve and become resistant to antibiotic produced by biocontrol agents (Ajouz et 310 al. 2010; Fillinger et al. 2012). 311

In this study, we demonstrated that spore suspension of the three isolates of S. philanthi 312 and S. mycarofaciens tested in this study can significantly control B. cinerea on leaves and stem 313 of tomato plants. Among the three strains tested, S. philanthi RM-1-138 exhibit the strongest 314 protective efficacy against B. cinerea with 57% protection on whole-plant and 60% protection 315 316 on the detached leaf, suggesting that this strain may have the best potential to be used for 317 protection of tomato grown in greenhouses against gray mold. These results confirm the 318 potential of strains belonging to the genus Streptomyces to control B. cinerea (Ge et al. 2015; Jiang et al. 2016). The best protection of tomato against B. cinerea was observed when spore 319 suspension of S. philanthi were inoculated concomitantly with spores of B. cinerea, given a 320 protection index superior to 50% both on leaves and on the stem. However, when the plants 321 were treated with S. philanthi before or after the inoculation of B. cinerea, the protective effect 322 323 decreased dramatically. The absence of a preventive effect by S. philanthi suggested that this

bacteria is not able to induce a strong systemic resistance in tomato plants and also that the 324 strain may not be able to survive in the plant. This low capacity to survive within the plant could 325 be due to its sensitivity to molecules produced by the plant. It could also be due to the 326 temperature of the tests (21°C) which is not favorable to the growth of S. philanthi (Boukaew 327 and Prasertsan 2014b). Experiments will be done to test these hypothesis and to measure the 328 ability of this strain to survive in tomato tissues. The absence of curative effect suggests that 329 330 the bacteria is not able to produce enough amount of antifungal compounds to limit the development of *B. cinerea* on the plant. It reinforces the hypothesis that this bacteria is not able 331 to survive and to develop on the plant. More work is therefore needed to develop a formulation 332 to consider using this strain preventively or curatively. 333

Our results demonstrated that the 3 strains of *Streptomyces* spp. produce very effective 334 anti-Botrytis substances. The antagonistic mechanism of Streptomyces spp. is therefore 335 probably related to the production of inhibitory antifungal volatile and non-volatile compounds. 336 These results confirm that species of Streptomyces spp. can produce a wide spectrum of 337 antifungal compounds such as antibiotics and volatile compounds (Vaz Jauri et al. 2016). The 338 isolation and the characterization of these substances could lead to the development of effective 339 and stable molecule-based product. Other modes of action could be tested, and a variety of 340 341 fungal cell wall-degrading enzymes associated to biocontrol activity, such as chitinases and β-342 1,3-glucanase are known to be synthesized by Streptomyces (de Boer et al. 1998; Mahadevan 343 and Crawford 1997; Mukherjee and Sen 2006; Vaz Jauri et al. 2016).

In conclusion, the strains of *Streptomyces* tested in this study may have the potential to control gray mold caused by *B. cinerea* on tomato but further work is definitely required to enhance its efficacy *in planta*. For instance improvement in the production process and development of a suitable formulation of the bacteria may result in a more effective product.

#### 350 **References**

- Ajouz S, Nicot PC, Bardin M (2010) Adaptation to pyrrolnitrin in *Botrytis cinerea* and cost of
   resistance. Plant Pathology 59:556–566
- Bardin M, Ajouz S, Comby M, Lopez-Ferber M, Graillot B, Siegwart M, Nicot PC (2015) Is
- the efficacy of biological control against plant diseases likely to be more durable than that
  of chemical pesticides?. Frontiers in Plant Science 6:1–14
- 356 Baniasadi F, Bonjar GHS, Baghizadeh A, Karimi Nik A, Jorjandi M, Aghighi S, Farokhi PR
- 357 (2009) Biological control of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, causal agent of sunflower head and
- stem rot disease, by use of soil borne actinomycetes isolates. Journal of Agricultural and
  Biological Sciences 4:146–151
- 360 Boukaew S, Chuenchit S, Petcharat V (2011) Evaluation of *Streptomyces* spp. for biological
- 361 control of *Sclerotium* root and stem rot and *Ralstonia* wilt of chili. BioControl 56:365–
  362 374
- Boukaew S, Plubrukarn A, Prasertsan P (2013) Effect of volatile substances from *Streptomyces philanthi* RM-1-138 on growth of *Rhizoctonia solani* on rice leaf. BioControl 58:471–
   482
- Boukaew S, Prasertsan P (2014a) Suppression of rice sheath blight disease using heat stable
  culture filtrate of *Streptomyces philanthi* RM-1-138. Crop protection 6:1–10
- Boukaew S, Prasertsan P (2014b) Factors affecting antifungal activity of *Streptomyces philanthi* RM-1-138 against *Rhizoctonia solani*. World Journal of Microbiology and
   Biotechnology 30:323–329
- Chung WH, Ishii H, Nishimura K, Fukaya M, Yano K, Kajitani Y (2006) Fungicide sensitivity
  and phylogenetic relationship of anthracnose fungi isolated from various fruit crops in
  Japan. Plant Disease 90:506–512

- de Boer W, Gunnewiek PJAK, Lafeber P, Janse JD, Spit BE, Woldendorp JW (1998)
  Antifungal properties of chitinolytic dune soil bacteria. Soil Biology and Biochemistry
  30:193–203
- 377 Decognet V, Bardin M, Trottin-Caudal Y, Nicot P (2009) Rapid change in the genetic diversity
   378 of *Botrytis cinerea* populations after the introduction of strains in a tomato glasshouse.
- **379** Phytopathology 99:185–193
- Elad Y, Pertot I, Cotes Prado AM, Stewart A (2015) Plant hosts of Botrytis spp. In: Botrytis –
- the Fungus, the Pathogen and its Management in Agricultural Systems. S. Fillinger and Y.
- 382 Elad (eds.). Springer. pp 413–486
- 383 Errakhi R, Bouteau F, Lebrihi A, Barakate M (2007) Evidences of biological control capacities
- of *Streptomyces* spp. against *Sclerotium rolfsii* responsible for damping off disease in
   sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.). World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology
   23:1503–1509
- Fillinger S, Ajouz S, Nicot P, Leroux P, Bardin M (2012) Functional and structural comparison
   of pyrrolnitrin- and iprodione-induced modifications in the class III histidine-kinase bos1
   of *Botrytis cinerea*. PLoS ONE 7(8): e42520
- Ge BB, Cheng Y, Liu Y, Liu BH, Zhang KC (2015) Biological control of *Botrytis cinerea* on
   tomato plants using *Streptomyces ahygroscopicus* strain CK-15. Letters in Applied
   Microbiology 61:596–602
- Helbig J (2002) Ability of the antagonistic yeast *Cryptococcus albidus* to control *Botrytis cinerea* in strawberry. BioControl 47:85–99
- Islam MR, Jeong YT, Ryu YJ, Song CH, Lee SY (2009) Identification and optimal culture
- condition of *Streptomyces albidoflavus* C247 producing antifungal agents against
   *Rhizoctonia solani* AG2-2. Mycobiology 37:114–120

- Jarvis WR (1980) Taxonomy. In: Coley-Smith JR, Verhoeff K, Jarvis WR (eds), The Biology
  of *Botrytis*. Academic Press, London, New York. pp. 1–17
- 400 Jiang B, Huang Y, Jia Z, Song S (2016) *Streptomyces nobilis* C51 suppresses gray mold
- 401 caused by *Botrytis cinerea* in tomato. British Microbiology Research Journal 16:1–13
- 402 Lange L, Sanchez LC (1996) Micro-organisms as a source of biologically active secondary
- 403 metabolites. In: Crop protection agents from nature: natural products and analogues.
- 404 Copping LG (ed.) The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK, pp 10–26
- Lee PJ, Lee SW, Kim CS, Son JH, Song JH, Lee KY, Kim HJ, Jung SJ, Moon JB (2006)
- 406 Evaluation of formulations of *Bacillus licheniformis* for the biological control of tomato
- 407 gray mold caused by *Botrytis cinerea*. Biological Control 37:329–337
- Li Q, Ning P, Zheng L, Huang J, Li G, Hsiang T (2010) Fumigant activity of volatiles of *Streptomyces globisporus* JK-1 against *Penicillium italicum* on *Citrus microcarpa*.
  Postharvest Biology and Technology 58:157–165
- Li Q, Jiang Y, Ning P, Zheng L, Huang J, Li G, Jiang D, Hsiang T (2011) Suppression of
   *Magnaporthe oryzae* by culture filtrates of *Streptomyces globisporus* JK-1. Biological
   Control 58:139–148
- Li Q, Ning P, Zheng L, Huang J, Li G, Hsiang T (2012) Effects of volatile substances of
- 415 *Streptomyces globisporus* JK-1 on control of *Botrytis cinerea* on tomato fruit. Biological
  416 Control 61:113–120
- Locke T, Fletcher JT (1988) Incidence of benomyl and iprodione resistance in isolates of
   *Botrytis cinerea* in tomato crops in England and Wales in 1986. Plant Pathology 37: 381–
   384
- 420 Mahadevan B, Crawford DL (1997) Properties of the chitinase of the antifungal biocontrol
  421 agent *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC108. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 20:489–493
- 422 Mukherjee G, Sen SK (2006) Purification, Characterization, and antifungal activity of chitinase
- from *Streptomyces venezuelae* P10. Current Microbiology 53:265–269

- 424 Omura S (1992) The expanded horizon for microbial metabolites—a review. Gene 115:141–
  425 149
- Prabavathy VR, Mathivanan N, Murugesan K (2006) Control of blast and sheath blight diseases
  of rice using antifungal metabolites produced by *Streptomyces* sp. PM5. Biological
  Control 39:313–319
- 429 Raza W, Yuan J, Ling N, Huang Q, Shen Q (2015) Production of volatile organic compounds
- 430 by an antagonistic strain *Paenibacillus polymyxa* WR-2 in the presence of root exudates
- 431 and organic fertilizer and their antifungal activity against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp.
- 432 *niveum*. Biological Control 80:89–95
- Sadeghi A, Hessan AR, Askari H, Aghighi S, Bonjar GHS (2006) Biological control potential
  of two *Streptomyces* isolates on *Rhizoctonia solani*, the causal agent of damping-off of
  sugar beet. International Journal of Biological Sciences 9:904–910
- 436 Vaz Jauri P, Altier N, Kinkel LL. (2016) *Streptomyces* for sustainability. In: Microbial models:
- from environment to industrial sustainability, Microorganism for Sustainability 1. S.
  Castro-Sowinski (ed.) Springer, pp 251–276
- 439 Wu Q, Bai L, Liu W, Li Y, Lu C, Li Y, Fu K, Yu C, Chen J (2013) Construction of a
- 440 *Streptomyces lydicus* A01 transformant with a chit42 gene from *Trichoderma harzianum*
- P1 and evaluation of its biocontrol activity against *Botrytis cinerea*. Journal of
  Microbiology 51:166–173
- Wu Y, Yuan J, Yaoyao E, Raza W, Shen Q, Huan Q (2015) Effects of volatile organic
  compounds from *Streptomyces albulus* NJZJSA2 on growth of two fungal pathogens.
- 460 Journal of Basic Microbiology 55:1104–1117
- Yourman LF, Jeffers SN (1999) Resistance to Benzimidazole and dicarboximide fungicides in
  greenhouse isolates of *Botrytis cinerea*. Plant Disease 83:569–575

#### 463 **Figure Captions**

**Fig. 1** Mycelial growth inhibition of the forty-one strains of *Botrytis cinerea* caused by the strains RM-1-138 (a) and RL-1-178 (b) of *S. philanthi* and the strain SS-2-243 (c) of *S. mycarofaciens* revealed by dual culture technique on PDA agar plates and incubated at 21°C for 2 days. Values are mean of three replications ( $\pm$  SE). Values with the same letter are not significantly different (ANOVA, *P* < 0.05; Duncan multiple range test).

469

**Fig. 2** Mycelial growth inhibition of ten strains of *B. cinerea* caused by volatile substances produced by each of the strains RM-1-138 (a) and RL-1-178 (b) of *S. philanthi* and the strain SS-2-243 (c) of *S. mycarofaciens* on PDA agar plates and incubated at 21°C for 2 days. Values are mean of three replications ( $\pm$  SE). Values with the same letter are not significantly different (ANOVA, *P* < 0.05; Duncan multiple range test).

475

Fig. 3 Protection of tomato plants (in percentage) on whole-plant assay (a) and detached-leaf 476 assay (b) against the strain BC1 of B. cinerea with the strains RM-1-138 and RL-1-178 of S. 477 *philanthi* and the strain SS-2-243 of S. *mycarofaciens* at two spore concentrations  $(10^6 \text{ and } 10^7 \text{ m})$ 478 spores.ml<sup>-1</sup>). Values are mean of three repetitions ( $\pm$  SE). To test the hypothesis that the efficacy 479 480 of a biocontrol agent was not dependent on the strain of Streptomyces spp. and on the dose of bacteria used, a 2-way analysis of variance (strain of *Streptomyces* spp. X dose of bacteria) was 481 482 realized for each bioassay and the Duncan's Multiple Range Test was used to compare the percentage of protection of tomato against B. cinerea. Values with the same letter are not 483 significantly different for each bioassay independently (2-way ANOVA done on protection, P 484 < 0.05; Duncan multiple range test). 485

486

488 Fig. 4 Protection of tomato plants (in percentage) on whole-plant assay (a) and detached-leaf assay (b) against 3 different strains of B. cinerea with the strain RM-1-138 of S. philanthi at 489 two spore concentrations ( $10^6$  and  $10^7$  spores.ml<sup>-1</sup>). Values are mean of three repetitions ( $\pm$  SE). 490 To test the hypothesis that the efficacy of a biocontrol agent was not dependent on the strain of 491 492 B. cinerea and on the dose of the strain of RM-1-138 of S. philanthi, a 2-way analysis of variance was realized and the Duncan's Multiple Range Test was used to compare the means 493 of the percentage of protection of tomato against *B. cinerea*. Values with the same letter are 494 not significantly different at P < 0.05. 495

496

497

**498** Fig. 5 Timing of preventive (a) or curative (b) measures by the strain RM-1-138 of *S*. **499** *philanthi* on the protection of tomato plants inoculated with *B. cinerea*. Values are mean of **500** three replications ( $\pm$  SE). Values with the same letter are not significantly different (ANOVA, **501** P < 0.05; Duncan multiple range test).

502

503











Strains of B. cinrea



514 Fig. 2









535 Fig. 5