

Detection of mutated primers and impact on targeted metagenomics results

Aymeric Antoine-Lorquin, Frédéric Mahé, Micah Dunthorn, Catherine

Belleannée

▶ To cite this version:

Aymeric Antoine-Lorquin, Frédéric Mahé, Micah Dunthorn, Catherine Belleannée. Detection of mutated primers and impact on targeted metagenomics results. 2017. hal-01564093

HAL Id: hal-01564093 https://hal.science/hal-01564093v1

Preprint submitted on 18 Jul 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Detection of mutated primers and impact on targeted metagenomics results

Aymeric Antoine-Lorquin¹, Frédéric Mahé², Micah Dunthorn³ and Catherine Belleannée¹

1- Dyliss - Dynamics, Logics and Inference for biological Systems and Sequences, Inria, Rennes University, FRANCE

2- LSTM - Laboratoire des symbioses tropicales et méditerranéennes, CIRAD, FRANCE

3- Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, GERMANY

1 Introduction

High-throughout sequencing platforms are widely used in metabarcoding studies of environmental microbial diversity as thev can quickly produce millions of reads (e.g., [cite:de_vargas_ocean_2015;lax_longitudinal_2014;tedersoo_global_2014]). Resulting reads in these studies are clustered into molecular operational taxonomic units (OTUs) and compared statistically. Before the raw reads can be clustered and compared, though, they are passed through various cleaning and removal steps. One of these cleaning steps is the identification of primer sequences: if the primer cannot be detected the read is usually removed.

Some previous studies, particularly by Huse et al. [cite:huse_accuracy_2007], have shown that eliminating some suspects reads, such as those containing ambigous bases ("N"), inexact primers or with anormal length, could improve the overall quality of a sample . Then, in order to limit the number of spurious OTUs retrieved from samples, eliminating the reads with mutated primers has become the norm. This process has the advantage of not requiring the use of complex tools, since it is possible to search for exact primers with simple regular expressions natively supported by many programming languages (python, perl, ruby, etc.). However, this strategy may also eliminate correct sequences and this practice raises questions: Does the removal of all reads with mutated primers cause information loss? Or, in a more practical perspective : now there are tools to reject the less reliable sequences in clustering as SWARM [cite:mahe_swarm_2015], is there an interest to seek mutated primers? Can it bring new sequences? Are these sequences relevant? Can it contribute to detect more species?

Such are the questions that we try to answer in this article, through a metagenomic analysis that estimates eukaryotic soil biodiversity.

To try to answer these questions, we analysed data on tropical soils to study the impact on metabarcoding results of keeping reads with mutated primers. The study shows that keeping such reads allows identifying more sequences. The majority of the new sequences are quite similar to sequences with exact primers. A minority of the new sequences contributes to validate new clusters as potential species signatures.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 High-throughout sequencing datasets

We used data from nine soil samples collected in a Neotropical rainforest by [cite:mahe_comparing_2015]: Sample 1 (L020, Lat. 10.408167, Long. -84.019564), Sample 2 (L030, 10.418269,-84.011220), Sample 3 (L040, 10.424040,-84.006255), Sample 4 (L050, 10.420614,-84.009819), Sample 5 (L060, 10.432789,-84.010707), Sample 6 (L070, 10.422997,-

84.021248), Sample 7 (L080, 10.414865,-84.026901), Sample 8 (L090, 10.417751,-84.025404), and Sample 9 (L100, 10.424392,-84.039239). A tenth sample was not used during analysis because it contains a majority of sequences with mutated primers. We think that this sample form a too much particular case that reflect not the common reality.

Full details of sampling and sequencing can be found in [cite:mahe_comparing_2015]. In brief, each sample was amplified using [cite:stoeck_multiple_2010] general eukaryotic primers for the V4 hyper-variable region of the 18S rRNA (TAReuk454FWD1 and TAReuk-Rev3). Each amplification was then sequenced with both Roche/454 GS FLX+ with Titanium chemistry and Illumina MiSeq with v3 chemistry. For Roche/454 reads, Sffinfo was used to demultiplex and convert flowgram files to fna and qual files. For Illumina MiSeq reads, PEAR v0.9.0 ([cite:zhang_pear:_2014]) was used with default parameter to assemble fastq files, which were then converted to fasta format.

The 454/Roche sequencing results of the 9 samples are merged into one dataset called "454/Roche dataset", for a total of 310,375 sequences. The Illumina/MiSeq sequencing results of the 9 samples are merged into one dataset called "Illumina/MiSeq dataset", for a total of 5,223,138 sequences.

2.2 Exact and mutated primer detection

2.2.1 Exact V4 primers

The universal forward and reverse primers for the V4 region are given by the regular expressions (the variable nucleotides are indicated in parentheses):

Exact_V4F: CCAGCA[GC]C[CT]GCGGTAATTCC

Exact_V4R: CTTTCGTTCTTGAT[CT][AG]A

Fig M3: exact models for V4F and V4R primers.

The V4F_exact model recognizes the following fourth sequences CCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATTCC, CCAGCAGCTGCGGTAATTCC, CCAGCACCCGCGGTAATTCC, CCAGCACCTGCGGTAATTCC. The V4R_exact model CTTTCGTTCTTGAT**CA**A, recognizes the following fourth sequences CTITCGTTCTTGATCGA, CTITCGTTCTTGATTAA, CTITCGTTCTTGATTGA.

The search for exact primers is done using a Python script. It takes as inputs the regular expression of the primer, a direction of research (5' for the forwad primer, 3' for the reverse primer) and a set of sequences. It gives two sets as output: a first one with the sequences without the targeted primer, a second one with the sequences with the targeted primer. In this set, the first hit found on each sequence is trimmed.

The Python script is launched twice: a first one to search and trim the forward primer on the set of sequences, and a second one for the reverse primer.

The Python script is given in supplementary data (cf supplementary data #1).

2.2.2 Mutated V4 primers

By observing the reads from the Neotropical rainforest soils, common variants of the primers in

These observations were used to develop the following mutation templates for the primers:

Mutated_V4F:

CCAGCA[GC]C[CT]GCGGTAATTCC up to 2 substitutions OR up to 1 insertion / deletion

Mutated_V4R:

CTTTCGTTCTTGAT[CT][AG]A (up to 2 substitutions OR up to 1 insertion / deletion) and (up to 2 truncated nucleotides in 3 ')

Fig M4: mutated models for V4F and V4R primers.

The search for mutated primers is done using a grammatical pattern matching tool, Logol [cite:belleannee_logol:_2014] wich allows a full control on the specifics of the model. The Logol grammars for each primer are given in supplementary data (cf supplementary data #5).

Actually, the grammar for the mutated model looks for either a pattern with a maximum of 2 substitutions or a pattern with a maximum of 1 insertion/deletion.

2.3 Workflow for exact and mutated primer detection



Fig M1: Exact and mutated primers detection workflow. Step 1 detects and trims the V4F primer, then Step 2 detects and trims the V4R primer, finally, Step 3 discards reads with ambiguous nculeotides

For each technology, all nine samples are merged for the analysis.

Exact and mutated primers are searched in reads using the following workflow:

• Step1: V4F detection

Reads are analysed with the V4F_exact model using regular expression in order to detect and trim an exact V4F primer. Sequences without exact V4F primer are analysed with V4F_mutated model using Logol in order to detect and trim a mutated V4F primer. Sequences with a V4F primer go to the step 2 while sequences without V4F form the group of rejected sequences.

• Step2: V4R detection

Reads are analysed with the V4R_exact model using regular expression in order to detect and trim an exact V4R primer. Sequences without exact V4R primer are analysed with V4R_mutated model using Logol in order to detect and trim a mutated V4R primer. Sequences with a V4R primer go to the step 3 while sequences without V4F join the group of rejected sequences.

• Step3: 'N' clearing

Reads which contain ambiguous nucleotides ('N') join the group of rejected sequences. Others form the group of valid sequences. This verification takes place at the end of the process in order to preserve the reads which would have 'N' only positionned in primers (i.e. primers with ambigous nucleotides can be detected by V4_mutated models).

At the end of the process, we consider two sets: the "**valid sequences**", with both V4F and V4R primers detected and the "**rejected sequences**", with at least one undetected primer or with internal 'N'.

The internal part of a valid sequence, after primer trimming, will be now called **amplicon**.

The "valid sequence" dataset can be splitted into two subdatasets: the "**previous amplicons**", with both exact V4F and V4R primers detected, and the "**new amplicons**", with at least one primer detected using mutated models.

2.4 Method for comparing two populations of sequences: Bray-Curtis dissimilarity test

The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity test is used to view on a graph the similarity of two sets of sequences. It is based on a side-by-side comparison of sequence profiles of two sets of identical size.

Applied to our case-study, this test allows estimating wether the newly detected amplicons are broadly similar to the previous ones. That is to say, if the set of new amplicons are biologically plausible in relation to the set of previous sequences or if it is composed of very distant sequences and therefore probably biologically false.

The new amplicons being far outnumbered by new sequences, the final value of dissimilarity was obtained by averaging 10,000 dissimilarity calculations between new amplicons and a random subsample (of same quantity than new amplicons) of previous amplicons. These calculations are made independently for each biological sample.

2.5 Clustering similar sequences using SWARM: OTUs detection

A clustering was made with the tool SWARM v2.1.6 [cite:mahe_swarm_2015], using the default options and enabling the -f fastidious option, on the valid sequence dataset.



Fig M2: SWARM clustering. Each node represents a sequence whose quantity is indicated in the node. Each edge represents a distance of one mutation between two sequences. SWARM builds clusters according the proximity between sequences. If the path between two nodes of a cluster

passes through a lower abundance node than the two nodes, SWARM splits the cluster in two clusters (cf the path between node '32' and node '24' is decreasing until '2' before rising).

Each cluster made by SWARM contains sequences near each other to a few substitions.

According to previous recommandations [cite:Huse_ironing_2010], the **validation rule for a cluster** is as follows: to be preserved, a cluster must gather a minimum of 3 sequences or at least 2 sequences from two different samples. Each valid cluster forms an **OTU** (Operationnal Taxonomic Unit) usually considered as a good candidate to represent a biological species.

Applied to our case-study, this test allows to valide new amplicons that are clustered with previous amplicons in a valid cluster.

2.6 New OTUs validation

Among SWARM clusters, some are "new OTUs" composed with only new amplicons. So, these amplicons were not at all detected with the research of exact primers. These amplicons raise questions: are they the mark of yet undetected species (as the previous conventionally obtained OTUs) or are they erroneous data ? Two different methods was used to estimate their credibility.

2.6.1 Validation by comparison with public dataset

To check if some of these amplicons are already known ones, each new OTU was tested against the BLAST [cite:altschul_basic_1990] database, using the default options. We select the matches with the best recovery rate of alignment and at least 90\% of recovery, and we chose among them the best match having at least 80\% of similarity.

2.6.2 Validation by cross-clustering using SWARM

To check if some of these amplicons were already found with the other technology, the representative amplicons of new OTUs from 454 technology were mixed with previous amplicons from Illumina technology before being clustered using the tool SWARM, using the default options. This clustering can check whether a new 454 amplicon is similar enough to previous Illumina amplicons to cluster with them.

The cross-validation of the new Illumina amplicons was done in the same way using the previous 454 amplicons.

2.7 Seeking even more amplicons: fishing amplicons into rejected sequences

In order to recover mutated primers not taken into account by the initial mutation pattern, we tried to identify already known amplicons in rejected sequences.

Each rejected sequence was scanned in order to detect into the read the exact presence of a known amplicon (i.e. an amplicon detected in the sample by the search of both primers, exact or mutated). The upstream extremity of the rejected sequence forms the forward extremity and the downstream extremity of the rejected sequence forms the reverse extremity. The forward extremity is aligned with each of the four sequences of the V4F_exact model, and the reverse extremity is aligned with the V4R exact model. The alignment is made using the logiciel LALIGN [cite:pearson_improved_1988].

In case of good alignment, the mutated primer is kept aside for further analysis (cf [Results and discussion, partie 5]).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Looking for mutated primers increases the number of recovered sequences

The 310,375 reads of 454/Roche dataset are filtered using the workflow presented in [Materials and methods:PrimerFinder workflow]. Looking for the exact primers helps recover 90.2\% of total sequences (cf fig R1). The search for mutated primers captures 8.3\% of additional sequences (+25,619).

The 5,223,138 reads of Illumina dataset are filtered in the same way. Looking for the exact primers helps recover 82.7% of total sequences (cf fig R1). The search for mutated primers captures 7.1% of additional sequences (+368,270).

They are now two subdatasets from each technologies: sequences with both exact primers, that were already detected using only regex search (the "previous amplicons") and sequence with at least one mutated primers, that can not be detected using only regex search (the "new amplicons").

	454 dataset	Illumina dataset
Initial sequences	310,375 séquences	5,223,138 séquences
Sequences with V4F_exact	302,505	4,592,349
Sequences with V4F_mutated	7,001	109,792
Sequences without V4F	869	520,997
Total sequences with V4F	309,506 (99.72\%)	4,702,141 (90.03\%)
Sequences with V4R_exact	287,360	4,412,349
Sequences with V4R_mutated	19,311	273,185
Sequences without V4R	2,835	16,522
Total sequences with V4R	306,671 (98.81\%)	4,685,619 (89.71\%)
Sequences with N	978	44
Total sequences without N	305,693 (98.49\%)	4,685,575 (89.71\%)
Sequences found with only Regex search	280,074 (90.24\%)	4,317,315 (82.66\%)
Added sequences with Logol search	25,619 (8.25\%)	368,270 (7.05\%)
Rejected sequences	4,682 (1.51\%)	537,563 (10.29\%)

Fig R1: Recall in 454/Roche and Illumina/MiSeq datasets at each workflow step. Percentages are calculated based on the amount of initial sequences in the data sets.

Search for mutated primer allow finding new amplicons. But one wonders what these new

sequences are worth: are they like normal sequences?

3.2 Looking for mutateds primers detects sequence similar to sequences with exact primers

3.2.1 Bray-Curtis test: globally new amplicon set and previous amplicon set are similar



Fig R6: Bray-Curtis dissimilarity results for previous and new dataset. Dataset Id are builded following "LXab" pattern, where "LX" is the sample Id, "a" the technology ("i" for Illumina, "r" for 454) and "b" for the detection logiciel ("r" for regex (previous dataset) and "l" for Logol (new dataset)).

In order to evaluate the overall resemblance between amplicons bordered by exact primers and those bordered by mutated primers, both sets were compared using the Bray-Curtis test.

The result firstly shows that new amplicons are very close to previous ones. Secondly, it shows that new and previous sequences obtained via the same sequencing technology are closer together than their counterpart of the alternative sequencing technology (e.g., regex/Illumina amplicons are closer to Logol/Illumina amplicons than to regex/454 sequences).

So amplicons with mutated primers are globally similar to amplicons bordered by exact primers.

3.2.2 SWARM clustering: a new amplicon is not significantly more isolated than a previous amplicon

Are new amplicons mainly noise or interesting data?

An invalid cluster being a cluster with only one or two sequences (cf [materials and

method:Clustering similar sequences using SWARM: OTUs detection]), its sequences reflect sequences isolated from the rest of the dataset, considered as noise (cf [Huse_ironning_2010]). So to answer the question we count how many amplicons of each category (new and previous) are in invalid clusters. For that, all amplicons (new and previous) from a technology have been clustered with SWARM.

454/Roche technology	Previous amplicons	New amplicons	New/Previous ratio
Before clustering	280,074	25,619	9.15\%
Clustered sequences Rejected sequences	266,988 (95.33\%) 13,086 (4.67\%)	23,310 (90.99\%) 2,309 (9.01\%)	8.73\%
Illumina/MiSeq technology	Previous amplicons	New amplicons	New/Previous ratio
Before clustering	4,317,315	368,247	8.53\%
Clustered sequences Rejected sequences	3,770,004 (87.32\%) 547,311 (12.68\%)	279,318 (75.85\%) 88,929 (24.15\%)	7.4\%

Fig R7: Proportion of amplicons validated by SWARM clustering in previous and new amplicons (for the 454/Roche data and Illumina/MiSeq data).

New amplicons (i.e. with at least one mutated primer) contain a bit less validated amplicons than the previous amplicons (i.e. with bot exact primers), but they are in a large majority valid sequences (only 9\% of rejected amplicons in 454/Roche new amplicons, and 24\% of rejected amplicons in Illumina/MiSeq new amplicons, cf R7).

3.3 Looking for mutated primers increases the number of OTUs

Looking for mutated primers allows obtaining new amplicons, but do they contribute to detect new OTUs?

To this end, we focus on the localisation of these new sequences after the clusterisation step.



Fig R5: Illustration of the five types of cluster after SWARM clustering

We consider five different types of clusters at the end of the SWARM clustering:

1. Invalid cluster: the quantity of sequences in the cluster is too low to valid the cluster

(validation threshold: at least 3 sequences or 2 sequences from 2 different samples).

- 2. **Unchanged previous OTUs**: the cluster contains only previous amplicons. Looking for sequences with mutated primers has not modified the composition of this cluster.
- 3. **Mixed previous OTUs**: the cluster contains both previous amplicons and new amplicons. Looking for sequences with mutated primers modify the composition of the cluster but not its detection. Previous amplicons are in sufficient quantity to valid the cluster by themselves.
- 4. **Mixed new OTUs**: the cluster contains both previous amplicons and new amplicons. Looking for sequences with mutated primers modify the composition of the cluster and its detection: previous amplicons are not in sufficient quantity to valid the cluster by themselves and adding the new amplicons allow validating the cluster.
- 5. **Completely new OTUs**: the cluster contains only new amplicons. This cluster was not detected at all using only sequences with exact primers.

For Illumina/MiSeq technology, the majority of new amplicons (75.85\%) are clustered in valid cluster (case 2 to 5, cf Fig R2), and the majority (70.03\%) are clustered in "mixed previous OTUs" (case 3), so they join pre-existant OTUs. Theses clusters regroup the majority of previous amplicons (78.46\%) (cf Fig R2).

A few parts of new amplicons (0.39\%) are clustered in "mixed new OTUs" (case 4), i.e. these new amplicons are similar to previous amplicons that have not suffisant quantity to form a valid the cluster. Without new amplicons, the cluster can not be valid.

Another few parts of new amplicons (5.62\%) are clustered in "completely new OTUs" (case 5), i.e. such new amplicons do not resemble to any previous amplicon, but it occurs in suffisant quantity to form a valid cluster.

For 454/Roche technology, the majority of new amplicons (90.99\%) are clustered in valid cluster (case 2 to 5, cf Fig R2), and the majority (80.63\%) are clustered in "mixed previous OTUs" (case 3), so they join pre-existant OTUs. Theses clusters regroup the majority of previous amplicons (89.89\%) (cf Fig R2).

A few parts of new amplicons (1.31\%) are clustered in "mixed new OTUs" (case 4), i.e. these new amplicons are similar to previous amplicons that have not suffisant quantity to form a valid the cluster. Without new amplicons, the cluster can not be valid.

Another few parts of new amplicons (9.04\%) are clustered in "completely new OTUs" (case 5), i.e. such new amplicons do not resemble to any previous amplicon, but it occurs in suffisant quantity to form a valid cluster.



Fig R11: Distribution of Illumina reads through the primer search workflow.

To resume, here are our main observations on SWARM data:

- The search for mutated primers makes it possible to increase the number of obtained clusters (cf Fig R3).
- The majority of new amplicons are clustered with the majority of previous amplicons, into clusters that was already detected looking only for exact primers, so: a mutated primer does not necessarily involve a mutated amplicon; some sequences with mutated primer contain an amplicon highly similar to an amplicon of sequence with exact primers.
- In the same way, the new amplicons involved in mixed new cluster join amplicons detected by exact primers research, so these new amplicons seem relevant. Even if the cluster was not valid using only exact primer research, the amount of both sequences in the cluster is suffisant to pass the threshold of validation. The probability that two sequences with high sequencing errors are suffisantly close to form a homogenous cluster is very low according ([cite:Huse_ironing_2010]).
- A minority of new amplicons does not cluster with previous amplicons. Those are the amplicons that raise more interrogations: it is interesting to know whether these new groups bring information or add noise (cf [partie3.4]).

Find below the repartition of amplicons in SWARM clusters.

	454 / Roche		Illumina / MiSeq	
Sequence type	Previous	New	Previous	New
Sequence quantity	280,074	25,619	4,317,315	368,270
Rejected amplicons	4.67%	9.01%	19.15%	24.15%
Unchanged previous OTUs	5.38%	Ø	2.39%	Ø
Mixed previous OTUs	89.89%	80.63%	78.46%	70.03%

Mixed new OTUs	0.05%	1.31%	0.01%	0.39%
Completely new OTUs	Ø	9.04%	Ø	5.62%

Fig R2: Becoming of new and previous amplicons after the clusterisation with SWARM. Unchanged previous OTUs contain only previous amplicons. Mixed previous OTUs contain both new and previous amplicons, but previous amplicons are in suffisant quantity to valid the cluster by themselves. Mixed new OTUs contain both new and previous amplicons, but added new amplicons increase quantity enough in order to valid the cluster. Completely new OTUs contains only new amplicons.

	454 / Roche		Illumina / MiSeq	
Total OTU	4,435		28,374	
Unchanged previous OTU	2,375	53.55\%	15,681	55.27\%
Mixed previous OTU	1,756	39.59\%	11,503	40.54\%
Mixed new OTU	99	2.23\%	253	0.89\%
Completely new OTU	205	4.62\%	937	3.3\%

Fig R3: Proportion of new OTU added by new sequences after the clusterisation with SWARM. Unchanged previous OTUs contain only previous amplicons. Mixed previous OTUs contain both new and previous amplicons, but previous amplicons are in suffisant quantity to valid the cluster by themselves. Mixed new OTUs contain both new and previous amplicons, but added new amplicons increase quantity enough in order to valid the cluster. Completely new OTUs contains only new amplicons.

3.4 Some completely new OTUs are biologically relevant

The completely new OTUs contain only new amplicons but in suffisant quantity to validate the cluster. In order to verify the relevance of these new amplicons, we have checked if some of them are similar to 18S sequences already present in database or if some are already found in samples with another technology (cf [Materials and method,2.6]).

	454 / Roche		Illumina / MiSe	
Completely new OTUs	205		937	
SWARM cross-validation	6	2.93\%	27	2.88\%
BLAST validation	3	1.46\%	76	8.11\%
Both validations	1	0.49\%	2	0.21\%
No validation	195	95.1\%	832	88.8\%
Total validation	10	4.88 \%	105	11.2\%

Fig R8: Validation of completely new OTUs for 454/Roche and Illumina/MiSeq technologies.

Only a few sequences can be identified by comparison against public databank (2\% in 454/Roche, 8\% in Illumina/MiSeq), which is not very surprising because of the lack of information on eukaryote tropical data soils species. Indeed, these species are massively unknown: for example, BLAST validation used on previous dataset (i.e. sequences with exact primers) allows the identification of only 4.6\% in 454/Roche and 1.6\% in Illumina/MiSeq.

Although we can clearly not assert that all new OTUs are valid, we can see that some of these completely new OTUs have a biological validity.

3.5 Analyzing the mutated primers gives rise to a new mutation model

Even by using the model of mutations, there are sequences were at least one primer is not detected. In order to improve the model, we have analysed sequences that are rejected by the workflow for the sample L020.

3.5.1 Illumina/MiSeq sample

With Illumina/MiSeq technology, there is 10\% of rejected reads (32,923 reads).

Using the fishing amplicon method presented in [Materials and methods,2.7], we have find 436 exact amplicons present in these reads (1,3\%, we will name these reads "**recovered reads**"). Into this 436 recovered reads, we found that 16 \% (70 reads) did not have at least one primer: the read is beginning or ending directly by the amplicon. So, the reads will never be detected by a primer search workflow.

Looking for definitively rejected sequences: not recovered sequences are analysed using BLAST, in order to see if they are close or not of already known 18S sequences. 56\% (18,358) of not recovered sequences have 100\% of identity with the phage PhiX sequences. These reads are used to improve the sensitivity of the sequencing: the sample was not perfectly cleaned before analysis.

Primers of recovered reads (not detected by the mutated_V4 models) was merged with mutated primers of new amplicons, in order to build a panorama of mutation type present in the sample.

Mutated primer	V4F	V4R
Covered by the mutation model	87.03\%	93.42\%
• Most important features	 37.92\% - 1 Substitution 34.99\% - 1 Deletion 5.4\% - 2 Substitutions 	 74.91\% - 1 Substitution 7.01\% - 2 Substitutions 6.2\% - 1 Deletion 3.41\% - 1 Insertion
Without at least one primer	0.82\%	0.06\%
Important features not covered by the model	7.09\% - 1 deletion at 5' extremity 1.45\% - 2 Deletion	4.8\% - 2 Deletion
Total	96.39\%	98.28\%

Fig R4: Principal models of mutation present in mutated primers for Illumina/MiSeq technology. The missing percentages correspond to heavily mutated primers (>50% mutations)

3.5.2 454 / Roche sample

With 454/Roche technologies, there is 1\% of rejected reads (431 reads).

Using the fishing amplicon method presented in [Materials and methods,2.7], we have find 133 exact amplicon present in these reads (30.86\%, we will name these reads "recovered reads").

Primers of recovered reads (not detected by the mutated_V4 models) was merged with mutated primers of new amplicons, in order to build a panorama of mutation type present in the sample.

Mutated primer	V4F	V4R
Covered by the mutation model	78.24\%	94.36\%
Most important features	 34.25\% - 1 Deletion 30.7\% - 1 Substitution 12.14\% - 1 Insertion 	 27.37\% - 1 Insertion 26.76\% - 1 Deletion 23.72\% - 2 Deletion at 3' 10.64\% - 1 Substitution
Without at least one primer	9.28\%	0%
Important features not covered by the model	5.96\% - 1 deletion at 5' extremity 3.09\% - 2 Deletions	0.81\% - 2 Insertions 0.76\% - 2 Deletions
Total	96.57\%	95.93\%

Fig R9: Principal models of mutation present in mutated primers for 454/Roche technology. The missing percentages correspond to heavily mutated primers (>50% mutations)

3.5.3 Final mutated V4 models

The mutation pattern used to detect mutated primers find the majority of mutated primers (cf Fig R4 and R9). This model can be improved to take into account some mutation patterns not covered, such as the possibility to allow up 2 deletions.

Final_mutated_V4F: CCAGCA[GC]C[CT]GCGGTAATTCC up to 2 mutations	
Final_mutated_V4R: CTTTCGTTCTTGAT[CT][AG]A up to 2 mutations	

Fig R10: New mutated models for V4F and V4R primers.

More broadly, contrarly to the new mutated_V4F and V4R models, the new model does not require considering separately for substitution and indel counts: to allow a global value of two mutations lead to a better recall of "new sequences" and "recovered reads" while facilitating its implementation through more known software (such as CutAdapt [cite:martin_cutadapt_2011]).

4 Conclusion

We have shown that finding mutated primers in the metagenomic sequencing data makes it possible to increase the number of amplicons detected, i.e. the number of reads retained at the end of the primer detection workflow. We called them new amplicons. We have also shown that new amplicons obtained are very close to amplicons found with both exact primers. The majority of these new amplicons are similar to amplicons with exact primers: the presence of mutated primers in reads therefore does not necessarily imply a more mutated amplicon than normal. Finally, we showed that some new OTUs obtained via the new amplicons were not detected by a standard workflow looking for exact primers: thus integrating amplicons from reads with mutated primers allows the validation of +4\% of OTUs (+1 190 OTUs) in Illumina/MiSeq in our tropical soils study. In addition, some of these new OTUs could be identified as the signature of known species (11% in Illumina/MiSeq) and thus allows the detection of new species present in the samples. Same analyse was done on 454/Roche technology with similar results.

Thus, the search for mutated primers makes it possible to exploit a sample more completely, which can be useful when the samples can not be duplicated. Nevertheless, in order to conclude more precisely, it would be necessary to repeat the study on populations of species better known than those of tropical soils in order to really be able to demonstrate whether the new detected OTUs add predominantly information or noise.

5 Supplementary Data

Supplementary data #1: Python regex script Supplementary data #2: PrimerFinder workflow script (zip workflow F.M?) Supplementary data #3: fasta of mutated primers Supplementary data #4: List of mutated primers of recovered reads Supplementary data #5: Logol models

6 Bibliography

```
@article{altschul_basic_1990,
       title = {Basic local alignment search tool},
       volume = \{215\},\
       issn = \{0022-2836\},\
       doi = {10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2},
       abstract = \{\},\
       language = {eng},
       number = \{3\},
       journal = {Journal of Molecular Biology},
       author = {Altschul, S. F. and Gish, W. and Miller, W. and Myers, E. W. and Lipman, D. J.},
       month = oct,
       year = {1990},
       pmid = \{2231712\},\
       keywords = {Algorithms, Amino Acid Sequence, Base Sequence, Databases, Factual,
Mutation, Sensitivity and Specificity, Sequence Homology, Nucleic Acid, Software},
       pages = \{403-410\}
}
@article{huse ironing 2010,
       title = {Ironing out the wrinkles in the rare biosphere through improved {OTU} clustering},
       volume = \{12\},
       issn = \{1462-2912\},\
       url = {http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2909393/},
       doi = {10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02193.x},
       abstract = \{\},\
       number = \{7\},
       urldate = {2016-03-21},
       journal = {Environmental Microbiology},
       author = {Huse, Susan M and Welch, David Mark and Morrison, Hilary G and Sogin,
Mitchell L},
```

```
month = jul,
```

year = {2010}, pmid = {20236171}, pmcid = {PMC2909393}, pages = {1889--1898}, file

file={PubMedCentralFullTextPDF:/home/aantoine/.mozilla/firefox/rq2x6hcl.default/zotero/storage/3VMJ9H83/Huse et al. - 2010-2010- Ironing out the wrinkles in the rare biosphere thr.pdf:application/pdf}---

}

```
@article{de vargas ocean 2015,
```

title = {Ocean plankton. {Eukaryotic} plankton diversity in the sunlit ocean}, volume = {348}, issn = {1095-9203}, doi = {10.1126/science.1261605}, abstract = {}, language = {eng}, number = {6237}, journal = {Science (New York, N.Y.)},

author = {de Vargas, Colomban and Audic, Stéphane and Henry, Nicolas and Decelle, Johan and Mahé, Frédéric and Logares, Ramiro and Lara, Enrique and Berney, Cédric and Le Bescot, Noan and Probert, Ian and Carmichael, Margaux and Poulain, Julie and Romac, Sarah and Colin, Sébastien and Aury, Jean-Marc and Bittner, Lucie and Chaffron, Samuel and Dunthorn, Micah and Engelen, Stefan and Flegontova, Olga and Guidi, Lionel and Horák, Aleš and Jaillon, Olivier and Lima-Mendez, Gipsi and Lukeš, Julius and Malviya, Shruti and Morard, Raphael and Mulot, Matthieu and Scalco, Eleonora and Siano, Raffaele and Vincent, Flora and Zingone, Adriana and Dimier, Céline and Picheral, Marc and Searson, Sarah and Kandels-Lewis, Stefanie and {Tara Oceans Coordinators} and Acinas, Silvia G. and Bork, Peer and Bowler, Chris and Gorsky, Gabriel and Grimsley, Nigel and Hingamp, Pascal and Iudicone, Daniele and Not, Fabrice and Ogata, Hiroyuki and Pesant, Stephane and Raes, Jeroen and Sieracki, Michael E. and Speich, Sabrina and Stemmann, Lars and Sunagawa, Shinichi and Weissenbach, Jean and Wincker, Patrick and Karsenti, Eric},

```
month = may,
year = {2015},
pmid = {25999516},
```

keywords = {Animals, Biodiversity, DNA Barcoding, Taxonomic, DNA, Ribosomal, Eukaryota, Oceans and Seas, Phylogeny, Plankton, Ribosomes, Sequence Analysis, DNA, Sunlight},

pages = $\{1261605\}$

}

@article{tedersoo_global_2014,

```
title = {Global diversity and geography of soil fungi},
volume = {346},
copyright = {Copyright © 2014, American Association for the Advancement of Science},
issn = {0036-8075, 1095-9203},
url = {http://science.sciencemag.org/content/346/6213/1256688},
doi = {10.1126/science.1256688},
abstract = {},
language = {en},
number = {6213},
urldate = {2016-03-21},
journal = {Science},
```

author = {Tedersoo, Leho and Bahram, Mohammad and Põlme, Sergei and Kõljalg, Urmas and Yorou, Nourou S. and Wijesundera, Ravi and Ruiz, Luis Villarreal and Vasco-Palacios, Aída M. and Thu, Pham Quang and Suija, Ave and Smith, Matthew E. and Sharp, Cathy and Saluveer, Erki and Saitta, Alessandro and Rosas, Miguel and Riit, Taavi and Ratkowsky, David and Pritsch, Karin and Põldmaa, Kadri and Piepenbring, Meike and Phosri, Cherdchai and Peterson, Marko and Parts, Kaarin and Pärtel, Kadri and Otsing, Eveli and Nouhra, Eduardo and Njouonkou, André L. and Nilsson, R. Henrik and Morgado, Luis N. and Mayor, Jordan and May, Tom W. and Majuakim, Luiza and Lodge, D. Jean and Lee, Su See and Larsson, Karl-Henrik and Kohout, Petr and Hosaka, Kentaro and Hiiesalu, Indrek and Henkel, Terry W. and Harend, Helery and Guo, Liang-dong and Greslebin, Alina and Grelet, Gwen and Geml, Jozsef and Gates, Genevieve and Dunstan, William and Dunk, Chris and Drenkhan, Rein and Dearnaley, John and Kesel, André De and Dang, Tan and Chen, Xin and Buegger, Franz and Brearley, Francis Q. and Bonito, Gregory and Anslan, Sten and Abell, Sandra and Abarenkov, Kessy},

```
month = nov,
year = {2014},
pmid = {25430773},
pages = {1256688},
file
```

 $\label{eq:storage} $$ Snapshot:/home/aantoine/.mozilla/firefox/rq2x6hcl.default/zotero/storage/EZ2DCBCA/1256688.html:text/html $$ tml:text/html $$ the storage of the sto$

=

}

```
@article{lax_longitudinal_2014,
```

title = {Longitudinal analysis of microbial interaction between humans and the indoor environment},

```
volume = {345},
issn = {0036-8075},
url = {http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4337996/},
doi = {10.1126/science.1254529},
abstract = {},
number = {6200},
urldate = {2016-03-21},
journal = {Science (New York, N.Y.)},
```

author = {Lax, Simon and Smith, Daniel P. and Hampton-Marcell, Jarrad and Owens, Sarah M. and Handley, Kim M. and Scott, Nicole M. and Gibbons, Sean M. and Larsen, Peter and Shogan, Benjamin D. and Weiss, Sophie and Metcalf, Jessica L. and Ursell, Luke K. and Vázquez-Baeza, Yoshiki and Van Treuren, Will and Hasan, Nur A. and Gibson, Molly K. and Colwell, Rita and Dantas, Gautam and Knight, Rob and Gilbert, Jack A.},

```
month = aug,
year = {2014},
pmid = {25170151},
pmcid = {PMC4337996},
pages = {1048--1052},
file = {PubMed Central Full Text
```

PDF:/home/aantoine/.mozilla/firefox/rq2x6hcl.default/zotero/storage/P336J2SE/Lax et al. - 2014 - Longitudinal analysis of microbial interaction bet.pdf:application/pdf}

@article{mahe_swarm_2015,

title = {Swarm v2: highly-scalable and high-resolution amplicon clustering},
volume = {3},

```
issn = \{2167-8359\},\
       shorttitle = {Swarm v^2},
       doi = {10.7717/peerj.1420},
       abstract = \{\},\
       language = \{eng\},\
       journal = {PeerJ},
       author = {Mahé, Frédéric and Rognes, Torbjørn and Quince, Christopher and de Vargas,
Colomban and Dunthorn, Micah},
       year = \{2015\},
       pmid = \{26713226\},\
       pmcid = \{PMC4690345\},\
       keywords = {Barcoding, Environmental diversity, Molecular operational taxonomic units},
       pages = \{e1420\}
}
@article{mahe_comparing_2015,
       title = {Comparing {High}-throughput {Platforms} for {Sequencing} the {V}4 {Region} of
{SSU}-{rDNA} in {Environmental} {Microbial} {Eukaryotic} {Diversity} {Surveys}},
       volume = \{62\},
       issn = \{1550-7408\},\
       url = {http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jeu.12187/abstract},
       doi = {10.1111/jeu.12187},
       abstract = \{\},\
       language = \{en\},
       number = \{3\},
       urldate = {2016-03-21},
       journal = {Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology},
       author = {Mahé, Frédéric and Mayor, Jordan and Bunge, John and Chi, Jingyun and
Siemensmeyer, Tobias and Stoeck, Thorsten and Wahl, Benjamin and Paprotka, Tobias and Filker,
Sabine and Dunthorn, Micah},
       month = may,
       vear = \{2015\},\
       keywords =
                      {454,
                               abundances,
                                             Illumina,
                                                         MiSeq,
                                                                  next-generation
                                                                                    sequencing,
pyrosequencing, Roche},
       pages = \{338--345\},
                                                              {Full
       file
                                                                                            Text
PDF:/home/aantoine/.mozilla/firefox/rg2x6hcl.default/zotero/storage/QEC2K7QB/Mahé et al. -
                                               High-throughput
2015
                          Comparing
                                                                         Platforms
                                                                                             for
Sequencing.pdf:application/pdf:Snapshot:/home/aantoine/.mozilla/firefox/rg2x6hcl.default/zotero/st
orage/GSWRWVZX/abstract\;jsessionid=C1D1DF184D27394B03A1DD8CC095CE1F.html:text/ht
ml}
}
@article{stoeck multiple 2010,
       title = {Multiple marker parallel tag environmental {DNA} sequencing reveals a highly
complex eukaryotic community in marine anoxic water},
       volume = \{19 \text{ Suppl } 1\},\
       issn = \{1365-294X\},\
       doi = {10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04480.x},
       abstract = \{\},\
       language = \{eng\},\
       journal = {Molecular Ecology},
```

author = {Stoeck, Thorsten and Bass, David and Nebel, Markus and Christen, Richard and Jones, Meredith D. M. and Breiner, Hans-Werner and Richards, Thomas A.}, month = mar, $vear = \{2010\},\$ pmid = {20331767}, keywords = {Biodiversity, Cluster Analysis, Computational Biology, Dinoflagellida, DNA, Ribosomal, Gene Library, Seawater, Sequence Analysis, DNA, Sequence Tagged Sites, Water Microbiology}, $pages = \{21--31\}$ } @incollection{belleannee_logol:_2014, series = {Lecture {Notes} in {Computer} {Science}}, title = {Logol: {Expressive} {Pattern} {Matching} in {Sequences}. {Application} to {Ribosomal} {Frameshift} {Modeling}}, copyright = {©2014 Springer International Publishing Switzerland}, isbn = {978-3-319-09191-4 978-3-319-09192-1}, shorttitle = $\{Logol\},\$ url = {http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-09192-1_4}, $abstract = \{\},\$ language = $\{en\}$, number = $\{8626\}$, urldate = {2015-03-20}, booktitle = {Pattern {Recognition} in {Bioinformatics}}, publisher = {Springer International Publishing}, author = {Belleannée, Catherine and Sallou, Olivier and Nicolas, Jacques}, editor = {Comin, Matteo and Käll, Lukas and Marchiori, Elena and Ngom, Alioune and Rajapakse, Jagath}, month = aug, year = $\{2014\}$, keywords = {Algorithm Analysis and Problem Complexity, Artificial Intelligence (incl. Robotics), Computational Biology/Bioinformatics, Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, Health Informatics, Pattern Recognition}, pages = $\{34-47\}$, file = {Snapshot:/home/aantoine/.mozilla/firefox/rq2x6hcl.default/zotero/storage/ETD69ADI/978-3-319-09192-1 4.html:text/html} } @article{pearson_improved_1988, title = {Improved tools for biological sequence comparison}, volume = $\{85\}$, $issn = \{0027-8424\},\$ $abstract = \{\},\$ language = {eng}, number = $\{8\}$, journal = {Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America}, author = {Pearson, W. R. and Lipman, D. J.}, month = apr, year = {1988}, pmid = $\{3162770\},\$

pmcid = {PMC280013},

keywords = {Amino Acid Sequence, Animals, Base Sequence, Globins, Humans, Information Systems, Molecular Sequence Data, Peptides, Receptors, Antigen, T-Cell, Sequence Homology, Nucleic Acid, Software, Transforming Growth Factors},

}

 $pages = \{2444 - 2448\}$

@article{martin_cutadapt_2011,

title = {Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads}, volume = {17},

copyright = {Authors who publish with this journal agree to the following terms: Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgement of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal. Authors are able to enter into separate, additional contractual arrangements for the non-exclusive distribution of the journal's published version of the work (e.g., post it to an institutional repository or publish it in a book), with an acknowledgement of its initial publication in this journal. Authors are permitted and encouraged to post their work online (e.g., in institutional repositories or on their website) prior to and during the submission process, as it can lead to productive exchanges, as well as earlier and greater citation of published work (See The Effect of Open Access).},

issn = {2226-6089},

```
url = {http://journal.embnet.org/index.php/embnetjournal/article/view/200},
       doi = \{10.14806/ej.17.1.200\},\
       abstract = \{\},\
       language = \{en\},
       number = \{1\},
       urldate = {2016-03-21},
       journal = {EMBnet.journal},
       author = {Martin, Marcel},
       month = may,
       year = \{2011\},
       keywords = {adapter removal, microRNA, next generation sequencing, small RNA},
       pages = {pp. 10-12},
       file
                                                               {Full
                                                                                             Text
PDF:/home/aantoine/.mozilla/firefox/rq2x6hcl.default/zotero/storage/ZMI7QG8Q/Martin - 2011 -
Cutadapt
                   removes
                                      adapter
                                                        sequences
                                                                             from
                                                                                            high-
throu.pdf:application/pdf;Snapshot:/home/aantoine/.mozilla/firefox/rg2x6hcl.default/zotero/storage/
```

```
SU9QIEV3/200.html:text/html}
```

}

```
@article{huse_accuracy_2007,
```

```
title = {Accuracy and quality of massively parallel {DNA} pyrosequencing},
volume = {8},
issn = {1474-760X},
doi = {10.1186/gb-2007-8-7-r143},
abstract = {},
language = {eng},
number = {7},
journal = {Genome Biology},
author = {Huse, Susan M. and Huber, Julie A. and Morrison, Hilary G. and Sogin, Mitchell
```

```
L. and Welch, David Mark},
```

```
year = {2007},
pmid = {17659080},
pmcid = {PMC2323236},
```

pmcid = {PMC2323236}, keywords = {DNA, Ribosomal, Genes, rRNA, Genetics, Microbial, Genetic Variation, Genome, Reproducibility of Results, Sequence Analysis, DNA},

```
pages = {R143}
```

}