

A multiscale modelling approach for the regulation of the cell cycle by the circadian clock

Raouf El Cheikh, Samuel Bernard, Nader El Khatib

▶ To cite this version:

Raouf El Cheikh, Samuel Bernard, Nader El Khatib. A multiscale modelling approach for the regulation of the cell cycle by the circadian clock. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 2017, 426, pp.117-125. 10.1016/j.jtbi.2017.05.021 . hal-01561617

HAL Id: hal-01561617 https://hal.science/hal-01561617v1

Submitted on 13 Jul 2017 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

A multiscale modelling approach for the regulation of the cell cycle by the circadian clock

Raouf El Cheikh, Samuel Bernard, Nader El Khatib

 PII:
 S0022-5193(17)30229-1

 DOI:
 10.1016/j.jtbi.2017.05.021

 Reference:
 YJTBI 9078

To appear in:

Journal of Theoretical Biology

Received date:9 September 2016Revised date:16 May 2017Accepted date:17 May 2017

Please cite this article as: Raouf El Cheikh, Samuel Bernard, Nader El Khatib, A multiscale modelling approach for the regulation of the cell cycle by the circadian clock, *Journal of Theoretical Biology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2017.05.021

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Highlights

- A multiscale model for the cell cycle-circadian clock coupling is developed
- The model is based on a transport PDE structured by molecular contents
- A particle-based method is used for resolution
- Impacts of inter and intracellular dynamics on cell proliferation are studied
- Discordance of division rhythms between population and single cell levels is observed

A CHIER MAN

1	A multiscale modelling approach for the regulation of
2	the cell cycle by the circadian clock
3	Raouf El Cheikh ¹ , Samuel Bernard ² and Nader El Khatib ^{*3}
4	¹ Aix Marseille Univ, Inserm S_911 CRO2, SMARTc Pharmacokinetics Unit, 27 Bd Jean Moulin,
5	Marseille, France
6	2 CNRS UMR 5208, Institut Camille Jordan, Université Lyon 1, 43 blvd. du 11 novembre 1918,
7	F-69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France
8	³ Lebanese American University, Department of Computer Science and Mathematics, Byblos, P.O.
9	Box 36, Byblos, Lebanon
10	May 23, 2017
11	Abstract
12	We present a multiscale mathematical model for the regulation of the cell cycle by
13	the circadian clock. Biologically, the model describes the proliferation of a population
14	of heterogeneous cells connected to each other. The model consists of a high dimen-
15	sional transport equation structured by molecular contents of the cell cycle-circadian
16	clock coupled oscillator. We propose a computational method for resolution adapted
17	from the concept of particle methods. We study the impact of molecular dynamics
18	on cell proliferation and show an example where discordance of division rhythms be-
19	tween population and single cell levels is observed. This highlights the importance of
20	multiscale modeling where such results cannot be inferred from considering solely one
21	biological level.

1 Introduction

22

The mammalian cell cycle and the circadian clock are two molecular processes that 23 operate in a rhythmic manner. On one hand, the cell cycle is driven by the rhythmic 24 activity of cyclin-dependent kinases, which dictate the time a cell must engage mitosis 25 and the time it must divide giving birth to two daughter cells. On the other hand, the 26 circadian clock is a network of transcriptional and translational feedback-loops that 27 generate sustained oscillations of different mRNAs and proteins concentration with a 28 period of approximately 24h. It turns out that several components of the circadian-29 clock regulate various cyclin-dependent kinases at different stages of the cell cycle. This 30 makes the circadian clock a key player in the temporal organization of the cell cycle 31 and makes these two biological processes act as two tightly coupled oscillators. 32

Many computational models explored the interaction between the cell cycle and 33 the circadian clock. One category of models consists of systems of ordinary differential 34 equations that describe the interaction at the molecular level [14, 33]. The second cat-35 egory consists of physiologically-structured partial differential equations that describe 36 the effect of circadian regulation on the growth rate of cells [8, 9, 2, 7]. In a recent 37 study, we have combined these two approaches by coupling an age-structured PDE to 38 a circadian clock-cell cycle molecular ODE system [12]. Although this model could 39 capture the influence of intracellular dynamics on the growth rate of cells, it lacked 40 a proper multiscale description [1]. It could not take into consideration, for example, 41 intracellular heterogeneity among cells. 42

Here, we present a multiscale formulation of our mathematical model for the regulation of the cell cycle by the circadian clock and a numerical method for solving it.
The multiscale formulation consists of a transport equation structured by the molecular contents of the coupled cell cycle-circadian clock oscillator. In its general form, the equation reads

$$\partial_t \rho(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda) + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \left[\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda, \psi) \rho(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda) \right] = L[x, \lambda] \left(\rho(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda) \right), \tag{1.1}$$

with ρ representing the density of cells. In the coupled PDE/ODE model, the PDE (equation (11) in [12]) was structured in age. By contrast, partial derivatives in equation (1.1) are structured by the molecular contents (denoted **x**) and the space where it is solved is *d*-dimensional with *d* the number of molecular components x_i ; this is why we call it a molecular-structured equation. Since the molecular mechanism of the cell cycle-circadian clock interaction involves an abundant number of components, the model is high-dimensional in nature. Classical numerical methods such as finite volumes/differences are inappropriate for solving the transport equation. This is the
 main difficulty that makes molecular-structured models scarcely used in similar appli cations. We circumvent this difficulty by adapting a particle method to solve the main
 equation.

Particle methods have arisen as an alternative to classical numerical methods for 59 solving high-dimensional problems. They are used in different applications, like the 60 incompressible Euler equations in fluid mechanics [17, 19, 20], the Vlasov equation 61 in plasma physics [3, 15] and in turbulence models for reactive flows [25, 24]. The 62 computational implementation of particle methods is conceptually simple. At a given 63 time, the solution is represented by a large number of particles, each having its own 64 properties, for example position and weight. These properties evolve in time according 65 to a system of stochastic or ordinary differential equations. The classical solution to the 66 PDE is obtained by reconstructing a smooth density from the particles distribution. 67 Theoretically, the numerical solution is a linear combination of Dirac masses 68

$$\rho(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j(t) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^j)$$

where α_i is the weight of particle j, \mathbf{x}^j its position (state) and N is the total number 69 of particles. To obtain a classical solution, one has to update the positions and weights 70 of particles and then regularize the Dirac masses. The overriding strength of particle 71 methods is that, for N fixed, the size of the system increases only linearly with the 72 dimensionality of the space. This means that if we have a structured equation with 73 dimension d, we have to solve $d \times N$ ODEs/SDEs. Since recovering a classical solution 74 requires a regularization of the Dirac distribution, the performance of particle methods 75 depends also on the quality of the regularization procedure. For generalities about 76 particle methods, one can refer to [23, 27, 10]. 77

In this work, we investigated the influence of molecular dynamics on cell proliferation. Therefor, the novelty of our approach emanate from considering a multiscale design that incorporates three linked biological levels. Hereafter some specificities of our model:

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

• Intracellular heterogeneity among cells: generally speaking, the particle method consists of solving N copies of an ODE/SDE system, each representing the dynamics of a given particle. In our model, the N copies are not the same. Since each particle is associated with a given cell, we considered several sources of variability. For example, we have multiplied the

right-hand side of the ODE system describing cell cycle dynamics by a factor λ . This ensures that each cell has a distinct cell cycle period. Another source of variability was introduced with cell division. Once a cell divides, its daughter is attributed a new coefficient λ given by $\lambda^{N+1} = \lambda^N + D\xi_{\lambda}$, with D a "diffusion" coefficient and ξ_{λ} a normally distributed random number. This implies that the daughter and mother cells will have distinct cell cycle periods.

- Inter-cellular connection: we assumed that cells are connected with each other by making Per/Cry mRNA transcription dependent on the average concentration of Per/Cry among cells. Including connectivity between cells is important in certain tissues to maintain a robust synchronized activity.
- Coupling back from population to molecular level: we assumed that cell division is dependent on the MPF-WEE1 molecular activity and that the total number of cells has an impact on Per/Cry mRNA activity. This implies a two way coupling between the molecular and population levels. We assumed also that the MPF activation coefficient decreases at an exponential rate proportional to the total number of cells. This ensures a limited growth, which is physiologically more realistic.

The paper is divided mainly into two parts. First, we introduce the model structure, give details about its construction and how the transport equation is solved by adapting a particle method. Then, we illustrate biological properties that can be examined using our model; like the effect of intracellular dynamics on cell proliferation, the synchronization among cells, and how heterogeneity among cells affects growth rate.

111

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

2 Description of the model

112

2.1 General framework and main equation

We consider a large collection of cells with state $(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) \in \Omega \times \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^p$, where \mathbf{x} is a cellular dynamical state in an open subset Ω of dimension d and λ a cellular parameter state in an open subset Λ of dimension p. In our case, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ with d = 10represents the set of proteins/mRNAs concentrations and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (p = 1) represents the intrinsic cell cycle period of each cell. The distinction between the dynamical and the parameter state is that the dynamical state changes during the lifespan of a cell, while 119 120

121

the parameter state is fixed over the lifespan of the cell, but can vary among cells or when a cell divides. The population is described by its density $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda)$ which evolves according to the following hyperbolic PDE

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda) + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \left[\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda, \psi)\rho(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda)\right] = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\lambda} \cdot \left[\sigma^{2}(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda)R(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)\rho(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda)\right] + r(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)\rho(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda). \quad (2.2)$$

We assumed that the cellular state dynamics \mathbf{x} is governed by a deterministic system of ODEs, represented by the term $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda, \psi)$, which depends on the cellular state (\mathbf{x}, λ) and on m population-level statistics $\psi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^m$ where

$$\psi_i = \langle \rho, \Phi_i \rangle = \iint_{\Omega \times \Lambda} \rho(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda) \Phi_i(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda) d\mathbf{x} d\lambda, \qquad i = 1, \cdots, m$$
(2.3)

with $\Phi_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ taken such that ψ_i is finite. The operator $L[x, \lambda]$ describes the population relative growth rate. The terms σ , R and r are diffusion in parameter state, differentiation and growth rates of the population, respectively. For the sake of simplicity, we omitted the full description of the derivation of equation (2.2). The reader could refer to Supplementary materials for more details. We adopted a more computational and biological description of the problem.

128

2.2 Intracellular dynamics

The evolution of cells in the state space depicts the cell cycle-the circadian clock coupling mechanism. Therefore, intracellular dynamics were described according to the following deterministic ODE system presented in [12]:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t, \lambda, \psi). \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$u_1 = \frac{\mathrm{d}x_1}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\nu_{1b}(x_7 + c)}{k_{1b}(1 + (\frac{x_3}{k_{1i}})^p) + x_7 + c} - k_{1d}x_1 + k_s\psi_1, \tag{2.5}$$

$$u_2 = \frac{\mathrm{d}x_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = k_{2b}x_1^q - k_{2d}x_2 - k_{2t}x_2 + k_{3t}x_3, \tag{2.6}$$

$$u_{3} = \frac{dx_{3}}{dt} = k_{2t}x_{2} - k_{3t}x_{3} - k_{3d}x_{3},$$

$$u_{4} = \frac{dx_{4}}{dt} = \frac{\nu_{4b}x_{3}^{r}}{k_{4b}^{r} + x_{3}^{r}} - k_{4d}x_{4},$$

$$u_{5} = \frac{dx_{5}}{dt} = k_{5b}x_{4} - k_{5d}x_{5} - k_{5t}x_{5} + k_{6t}x_{6},$$

$$(2.9)$$

$$(2.7)$$

$$(2.7)$$

$$u_{6} = \frac{dx_{0}}{dt} = k_{5t}x_{5} - k_{6t}x_{6} - k_{6d}x_{6} + k_{7a}x_{7} - k_{6a}x_{6}, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$u_{7} = \frac{dx_{7}}{dt} = k_{6a}x_{6} - k_{7a}x_{7} - k_{7d}x_{7}, \qquad (2.11)$$

$$u_{8} = \frac{dx_{8}}{dt} = \lambda \left(\frac{\left(k_{lmpf} + k_{0mpf} \exp^{-\eta\psi_{2}}\right)k_{1mpf}^{n}}{k_{1mpf}^{n} + x_{8}^{n} + sx_{10}^{n}} (1 - x_{8}) - d_{wee1}x_{9}x_{8} \right), \qquad (2.12)$$

$$u_{9} = \frac{dx_{9}}{dt} = \lambda \left(\frac{k_{actw}}{k_{actw} + d_{w1}} (c_{w} + Cx_{7}) + \left(\frac{k_{actw}}{k_{actw} + d_{w1}} - 1 \right) \frac{k_{inactw}x_{8}^{n}x_{9}}{k_{1wee1}^{n} + x_{8}^{n}} - d_{w2}x_{9} \right),$$

$$u_{10} = \frac{\mathrm{d}x_{10}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lambda \left(k_{act} (x_8 - x_{10}) \right).$$
(2.13)
(2.14)

Equations (2.5-2.11) describe the circadian oscillator and equations (2.12-2.14) the 132 cell cycle. Circadian dynamical variables are x_1 , Per2 or Cry mRNA and proteins; 133 x_2 , PER2/CRY complex (cytoplasm); x_3 , PER2/CRY complex (nucleus); x_4 , Bmal1 134 mRNA; x_5 , BMAL1 cytoplasmic protein; x_6 , BMAL1 nuclear protein and x_7 , active 135 BMAL1. Cell cycle dynamical variables are x_8 , active MPF; x_9 , active WEE1 and 136 x_{10} , active MPF inhibitor. The coupling between these two oscillators is taken into 137 consideration in the term Cx_7 of equation (2.13) through the regulation of WEE1 by 138 BMAL1/CLOCK. The coefficient C is the coupling strength. Details about this model 139 can be found in [12]. The cellular dynamics is now part of a multiscale system, some 140 changes were added to the original system to reflect that cells interact with each other. 141 These changes are detailed in the coming paragraphs. 142

143

144

145

146

2.3 Population synchronization

Including connectivity between cells is important in certain tissues to maintain a robust synchronized activity. It is known, for example, that neuronal clocks within the the suprachiasmatic nucleus SCN form a heterogeneous network that must synchronize to

maintain time keeping activity. The coherent output of the SCN is established by 147 intracellular signaling factors, such as vasointestinal polypeptide [13]. A simple way to 148 induce synchronization in our model is to make Per/Cry mRNA transcription depends 149 on the average concentration of Per/Cry among cells. For that, we included in equation 150 (2.5) the term $k_s\psi_1 := k_s\langle \rho, \Phi_1 \rangle$ with k_s a coefficient that represents the connectivity 151 strength and $\Phi_1 = x_1$. Although peripheral tissues, as modeled here, would run out-152 of-phase without the SCN, there are so many factors that can synchronize clocks that 153 it is relevant to study the possibility for peripheral tissues to be connected 154

¹⁵⁵ 2.4 Variability among cells

Variability among cells arises naturally from the difference in their molecular contents. 156 This was taken into consideration in our model through the initial states of cells, 157 which can be chosen randomly. We added another source of variability through the 158 parameter λ . We assumed that each cell has a distinct cell cycle period. This was 159 modeled by multiplying equations (2.12-2.14) by a scaling factor λ . Cell division can 160 also induce variability by assigning to each new born cell an intrinsic cell cycle period 161 that is different from its mother cell. (This is accomplished by taking a non trivial 162 distribution $p(\lambda|z)$, see Supplementary materials equations (1.4), (1.5)). 163

¹⁶⁴ 2.5 Cell division

A central aspect of our model is that it takes into account cell division. Cell division 165 timing is based on the antagonistic relationship between the mitosis promoting factor 166 MPF and the protein WEE1. It is assumed that a cell enters mitosis once the activity of 167 MPF surpasses that of WEE1 and then divides once MPF activity shuts down abruptly. 168 Based on this mechanism, we considered two division types, one deterministic and one 169 stochastic. The deterministic division occurs exactly every time MPF activity rises 170 above WEE1 activity and then shuts down. This was taken into consideration with 171 a growth rate $r(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) := r_d(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \delta_{(\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma)}$. For the stochastic division, we considered 172 that a cell divides with a certain probability $\Delta t \times r(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) + o(\Delta t)$ for a small time step 173 Δt . The division rate r is a function of x and λ that mimics the deterministic case. 174 For example, a switch-like function that takes small values on one side of Γ and large 175 values on the other side could be used (see Supplementary materials for more details 176 about the growth rates and Γ). Here, we used the Koshland-Goldbeter function [16] 177

178

179

180

181

182

183

given by

$$K(y,z) = \frac{2yJ_i}{z - y + zJ_a + yJ_i + \sqrt{(z - y + zJ_a + yJ_i)^2 - 4yJ_i(z - y)}}.$$
 (2.15)

This function generates a switching behavior [21]. If the ratio y/z (y and z are dummy variables here) becomes larger than one, the function switches to the upper state and the transition occurs. J_a and J_i are two constants that determines the stiffness of the switch, if they converge to zero, the switch converges to a step function.

2.6 Limited growth

To make sure the growth is bounded (for physiological and computational reasons), we assumed that cell proliferation slows down when the total number of cells reaches a threshold value. We assumed that the activation coefficient of MPF decreases at an exponential rate proportional to the total number of cells. This was taken into consideration in equation (2.12) by multiplying MPF activation coefficient k_{0mpf} by $\exp^{-\eta\psi_2}$ where $\psi_2 = \langle \rho, \Phi_2 \rangle$ with $\Phi_2 = 1$ ($\psi_2 = N(t)$). When cell number is large enough, MPF activity cannot increase above that of WEE1, and cell division is blocked.

¹⁹¹ 2.7 Method of resolution

The main difficulty for solving equation (2.2) comes from the high dimension of the dynamical state space (10 in our case). For that, we adapted a particle method that is more appropriate in high dimensions than classical methods such as finite differences/volumes.

The intuition behind the particle method is to start with a distribution of particles that approximates the initial condition and then follow the evolution of these particles in time in the dynamical and parameter states space. Mathematically, the particle solution is a discrete measure function, which means it is irregular. To obtain a solution in the usual classical sense at a given time T, one has to recover the classical solution with regularization techniques. The method is mainly divided into two steps

202

196

197

198

199

200

201

2.7.1 Step 1: approximation of the initial condition

Given an initial condition $\rho^0(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$, we take an initial set of particles \mathbf{x}^j with weights α_j such that $\rho_h^0 = \sum_j^N \alpha_j \delta[(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) - (\mathbf{x}^j, \lambda^j)]$ approximates ρ^0 . This should 205 206

be understood as an approximation in the sense of measures, which means that one looks for a test function $\phi \in C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\langle \rho^0, \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^0 \phi d\mathbf{x} d\lambda \text{ and } \langle \rho_h^0, \phi \rangle = \sum_j \alpha_j \phi(\mathbf{x}^j, \lambda^j).$$

207

This yields a typical numerical quadrature problem where the integral $\int_{\mathbb{D}^d} \rho^0 \phi$ is approximated by $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j \phi(\mathbf{x}^j, \lambda^j)$. For biological purposes, in our study we do consider 208 directly an initial condition of the form $(\alpha_j = 1)$ 209

$$\rho_h^0 = \sum_j^N \delta[(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) - (\mathbf{x}^j, \lambda^j)].$$

Step 2: particle evolution in time 2.7.2210

In the dynamical state space the particles positions $\mathbf{X}^{j}(t)$ (not to confuse between \mathbf{X}^{j} 211 and \mathbf{x}^{j} , our notations implies that $\mathbf{X}^{j}(0) = \mathbf{x}^{j}$ can be computed at a given time t by 212 solving the following ordinary differential equation system 213

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{X}^{j}(t) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}^{j}(t), t).$$
(2.16)

To take into account the source term in equation (2.2), we examined the intracellular state of each cell (position of X in the state space) after each time step Δt and looked if the biological conditions are met for a cell to divide. This means that if for a given particle, MPF activity rises above that of WEE1 and then shuts down under a threshold level, we add a particle \mathbf{X}^{N+1} with intracellular state similar to the mother cell or randomly chosen. If division occurs, a new parameter $\lambda^{N+1} = \lambda^N + D\xi_{\lambda}$ is assigned to the particle of number N + 1, with D a diffusion coefficient and ξ_{λ} a normally distributed random number. Hence the measure solution at a given time T, is given by

$$\rho_h(\mathbf{x}, T) = \sum_{j}^{\tilde{N}} \delta[(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) - (\mathbf{X}^j(T), \boldsymbol{\lambda}^j(T))].$$
(2.17)

with N the new number particles.

Commonly, with particle method one needs to obtain a solution in the classical sense, which means that the particle solution (2.17) should be

214 215 regularized. This is usually accomplished by taking the convolution product of ρ_h with a smoothing kernel ζ_{ϵ} . In our case, we did not need to obtain a smooth solution, since there is no explicit dependence of the quantities of interest on the density of cells ρ . We focused on studying statistic-like properties of the distribution of cells. Hence, we did not perform any regularization procedure on the particle solution. For more information about particle methods the reader could refer to [24, 27].

224 **3** Results

3.1 Regulation of the cell cycle by the circadian clock

We solved equation (2.2) with L = 0, i.e. without division. We assumed that each cell 226 has an intrinsic cell cycle period included in the interval [20 h, 28 h]. This was done 227 by assigning to each particle a different coefficient λ . We let all cells have the same 228 initial molecular state and considered two cases; one without coupling to the circadian 229 clock (C = 0), and one with coupling to the circadian clock (C = 1.2). We plotted a 230 projection of the solution in the subspaces (x_7, x_9) and (x_7, x_8) , which are the subspaces 231 of molecular concentrations (BMAL1/CLOCK, WEE1) and (BMAL1/CLOCK, MPF). 232 We obtained a random distribution of particles for the non-coupled case and a limit 233 cycle distribution for the coupled case (Figure 1). This means that the circadian clock 234 is forcing all cells to oscillate with the same period. This is in agreement with results 235 obtained earlier [12]; it was shown that a population composed of cells with cell cycle 236 periods between 20 h and 28 h are brought to oscillate with a unique cell cycle period 237 of 24 h for large values of C. 238

3.2 Cell division

239

We took four cells initially, two of them with $\lambda = 230$, and two with $\lambda = 180$ (intrinsic 240 cell cycle lengths $\simeq 10$ h and 12.7 h respectively). These values were taken randomly. 241 We solved equation (2.2) with a source term and considered three cases, one with $r = r_d$ 242 (deterministic growth) and the two other ones with $r = r_s$ (stochastic growth). For the 243 stochastic growth, we used a stiff and a non-stiff Koshland-Goldbeter function. First, 244 we fixed the value of η to 0, which means that MPF activity does not depend on the 245 total cells number. We assumed that newborn cells retain the cell cycle length of their 246 mother cells at the division time, hence $p(\lambda|z) = \delta(\lambda - z)$ which leads the growth term 247 to ne $L = r(x, \lambda)\rho$. This ensures that MPF cycle does not change along birth. Our 248



Figure 1: Solution of equation (2.2) without cell division. (A,B) Projection on the subspace (x_7, x_9) ; (C,D) projection on the subspace (x_7, x_8) . (A,C) Without coupling to the circadian clock, C = 0. (B,D) With coupling to the circadian clock C = 1.2.

simulations showed that the total number of cells after four days was equal to 1152 for 249 the first case, 900 for the second one with a stiff Koshland-Goldbeter function and 480 250 with a non stiff one. This is natural and is justified by the fact that the division rate r_d 251 is a Dirac-type division which means that division depends deterministically on MPF 252 activity. Looking at MPF activity, we see that it peaks 9 times for $\lambda = 230$, and 6 times 253 for $\lambda = 180$ every 4 days (Figure 2A,B). If division occurs exactly once MPF activity 254 accomplishes a normal cycle, the total number of cells should be $2 \times 2^9 + 2 \times 2^6 = 1152$, 255 which is the result obtained with $r = r_d$ (Figure 2C). The division rate r_s introduces 256 stochasticity in the decision for division. In this case, division does not depend only on 257 MPF-WEE1 activity, but also on the probability $r_s \times \Delta t$ at each time step Δt Figure 258 (2C).259

Second, we assumed that proliferation depends on the total number of cells, and 260 set $\eta = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ (increasing the value of η will make the factor $\exp^{-\eta\psi_2}$ less than one 261 and hence decreases the value of MPF activation coefficient k_{0mpf}). We took initially 262 100 cells with different intrinsic cell cycle periods and followed the total number of cells 263 over 15 days. We remarked that division stopped after 8 days, and the growth curve 264 reached a steady state (Figure 2D). Increasing the value of η decreases the activity of 265 MPF with increasing number of cells. This means that at a certain time, MPF activity 266 will decrease below a threshold that does not allow the cell to start mitosis. 267

268

3.3 Synchronization

To study the synchronization of cells, we compared the molecular concentrations of a 269 cell chosen randomly with the average molecular concentration of all cells. We let the 270 rate of production of Per2/Cry mRNA of each cell to be dependent on the average 271 value for Per2/Cry mRNA. This was done by taking $k_s = 0.05$ in equation (2.5). We 272 took a population of 100 cells with autonomous cell cycle periods randomly distributed 273 between 20 and 28 hours. Initial molecular concentrations were chosen randomly be-274 tween 0 and 1 and each cell has a distinct initial molecular state. We did not consider 275 division hence L = 0, but we considered coupling between the cell cycle and the cir-276 cadian clock (C = 1.2). We followed the evolution of three components, Per2/Cry 277 mRNA, BMAL1/CLOCK and WEE1, over 20 days. Our simulations showed that for 278 $k_s = 0$, the average molecular concentration had small oscillations which are asyn-279 chronous with those of the random cell concentration (Figure 3 A,B,C). Whereas for 280 $k_s = 0.05$, we obtained that the average molecular concentration tends to coincide with 281 that of the random cell (Figure 3 D,E,F). This indicates that all cells are oscillating in 282 283 a similar manner (same period and phase), and indicates synchronization of all popu-



Figure 2: (A) MPF-WEE1 antagonistic activity for $\lambda = 180$: division occurs if MPF activity rises above that of WEE1 and then shuts down. (B) Impact of λ on MPF activity. (C) Total number of cells with different source terms with no dependence of MPF activity on the total number of cells ($\eta = 0$). Initial number of cells = 4, two cells with $\lambda = 230$ and two with $\lambda = 180$. (D) Impact of the dependence of MPF activity on the total number of cells ($\eta = 2 \times 10^{-3}$).

lation cells. In the case $k_s = 0$, even though cells are coupled in the same manner to their circadian clock, cells keep oscillating in an asynchronous manner. The circadian clock regulates all cells to oscillate in a similar period which is 24 h in this case but due to the randomness in the initial molecular concentrations, each cell oscillates with a different phase.

3.4 Heterogeneity of cells and growth rate

We studied the growth rate of a cell population where each cell had a different cell 290 cycle period. We took 100 cells initially and conferred to each of them a coefficient λ 291 chosen randomly between 128 and 193. These coefficients scale the intracellular cell 292 cycle system so that periods range randomly from 12 h to 18 h. The circadian control 293 strength value C was fixed to 1.6. We did not consider connection between cells $(k_s = 0)$ 294 and we did not consider dependence of the intracellular dynamics on any population 295 level statistics. Simulations were done over 20 days and with a net death rate to mainly 296 reduce the computation time. Simulations showed a growth rate with two daily peaks, 297 suggesting that there were two division rounds every day (Figure 4A). To explain this 298 bimodal behavior for the growth rate, we looked at the average activity of WEE1 and 299 MPF. Simulations showed that MPF activity overcomes that of WEE1 once a day 300 (Figure 4B). Based on average MPF activity alone, division should occur only once 301 a day, and cannot explain the two daily peaks. We then followed a subpopulation of 302 cells and examined at which time each cell divided. We identified three regimes for 303 division: a single division per day, two divisions per day and three divisions every 304 two days (Figure 4C,D). These regimes can be explained by the entrainment results 305 obtained previously (for autonomous cell cycle periods between 12 and 18 h) showing 306 large phase-locking regions entrainment with ratios 1:1, 2:1 and 3:2 [12]. The double 307 peaks for the growth rate can be then explained by the fact that all cells are dividing 308 at least once a day and some of them will undergo a second round of division (Figure 309 4D). 310

311

312

313

314

315

4

289

Discussion and conclusion

We presented in this work a multiscale mathematical model for the regulation of the cell cycle by the circadian clock and its influence on cell growth. The model consisted of a master transport partial differential equation of high dimension structured by the molecular contents of the coupled cell cycle-circadian clock oscillator. We proposed a



Figure 3: Comparison between population average molecular concentrations randomly chosen and single cell molecular concentrations. (A,B,C) No synchronization between cells: $k_s = 0$; (E,F,G) Synchronized activity: $k_s = 0.05$.



Figure 4: (A) Growth rate indicating two peaks of division each day. (B) MPF-WEE1 average activity for all cells. (C) Counting the number of times each cell divides per day. Each square indicates a division. (D) Similar to figure C but simulation shows the division times for 100 cells instead of 10.

computational approach for resolution adapted from the concept of particle methods. 316 Several computational and theoretical studies dealt with modeling cell population 317 connected to molecular systems influencing cell growth. Bekkal Brikci and colleagues, 318 developed a nonlinear model for the dynamics of a cell population divided into a pro-319 liferative and quiescent compartments. This model is structured by the time spent by 320 a cell in the proliferative phase and by the Cyclin D CDK4/6 amount [5, 6]. Ribba 321 and colleagues developed a multiscale model of cancer growth based on the genetic 322 and molecular features of the evolution of colorectal cancer [28]. In more recent works, 323 Prokopiou et al. presented a multiscale computational model to study the maturation 324 of CD8 T-cells in a lymph node controlled by their molecular profile [26]. Schluter 325 and colleagues developed a multiscale multicompartment model that accounts for bio-326 physical interactions on both the cell-cell and cell colonies levels [29]. Bratsun and 327 colleagues proposed a multiscale model of cancer tumor development in epithelial tis-328 sue. Their model includes mechanical interactions, chemical exchange as well as cell 329 division [4]. Shokhirev and colleagues developed a multiscale model to study the role of 330 NF- κ B in cell division. Their approach allows for the prediction of dynamic organ-level 331 physiology in terms of intracellular molecular network. 332

All of these works emphasize on the importance of taking into account different 333 levels of a biological system by showing results that cannot be inferred by considering 334 solely one level of functioning. We exposed a simulation showing discordance between 335 rhythms observed on the population and signal cell levels. This is important since it 336 underlies the fact that biological function markers which most of the times rely on 337 average-like information can mislead the interpretation in some cases. Our modeling 338 approach differs from the above cited works which can be separated into two cate-339 gories. One consisted of low dimension structured partial differential equations solved 340 by classical numerical techniques [28, 5]; and the other one consisted of agent-based 341 modeling approaches [4, 29, 30]. Our approach consists of considering a master par-342 tial differential equation in high dimension structured by molecular contents for which 343 we propose a computational solution based on a particle method. This allowed us to 344 structure the partial differential equation by a large number of molecular contents, 345 providing a realistic representation of the coupled cell-cycle circadian clock oscillator. 346 By doing so, we were able to describe both population and single cell functioning in 347 one equation. Globally, the model takes into consideration the molecular dynamics 348 inside a cell, connection between heterogeneous cells and the proliferation of cells. 349

350 351 Our results can shed light on the superiority of frequent administration of chemotherapies over the standard maximum tolerated dose (MTD). Scheduling the MTD into

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

multiple administrations per cycle proved to be more effective and less toxic [18, 22, 31]. 352 We showed in section 3.4 that an apparent population growth rhythm may hide sev-353 eral subpopulation growth rhythms. Biological markers, as the Ki-67 index, are mostly 354 indicative of the growth status at the population level. Therefore, one is tempted to 355 consider that the administration of an anticancer drug during the time of the largest 356 growth peak is the most effective. However, our simulations showed that this largest 357 growth peak is obtained from the crossing of different subpopulation rhythms. There-358 fore, administrating a drug at the same time every treatment cycle may hit only one 359 subpopulation of cells, leaving another subpopulation without treatment for a long 360 time. This possibly leads to the emergence of resistant subpopulations and make the 361 treatment ineffective. 362

The aim of this work was to provide a computational multiscale framework for the 363 regulation of the cell cycle by the circadian clock. The use of multiscale computational 364 tools is of growing interest in the area of drug development, especially the area of sys-365 tems pharmacology. A major challenge for systems pharmacology is the development 366 of mechanistic tools to understand how regulatory networks control variability in drug 367 response at the organismal level [34]. Our model was constructed in the hope to over-368 come such challenges. It had the specificity of describing the connection between the 369 cell cycle and the circadian clock through the regulation of the protein kinase WEE1 by 370 the complex BMAL1-CLOCK. Irregular activity of the WEE1 kinase has been linked to 371 ovarian and NSCLC cancers. Inhibiting this activity demonstrated interference with 372 DNA damage response within tumor cells, leading to their death. Drugs targeting 373 WEE1 are currently under investigation [11]. In such specific applications, our model 374 can be of great utility. According to Zhao and colleagues, experimental settings in 375 the process of drug development are sometimes not sufficient due to the multitude of 376 interactions between mammalian network proteins. Actions on the desired targets may 377 lead to adverse events occurring at another level due to the wide ramification of molec-378 ular interactions. The abundance of such interactions makes it hard to design drugs 379 affecting only the desired targets with controlled therapeutic effects [34]. Therefore it 380 is important to propose modeling frameworks that allow in silico experimentation in 381 the presence of uncertain or incomplete data. In this manuscript, we have provided 382 such an example, and showed why incomplete population level data may lead to a 383 suboptimal treatment. 384

385 386

387

Multiscale modeling in systems medicine is growing in importance. Wolkenhauer and colleagues recently proposed different recommendations to improve the integration of multiscale models into clinical research [32]. One of their medium-term recommendations is the rising need of developing computational tools and algorithms for efficient multiscale simulations. We hope the modeling approach we propose in this study represents a step forward towards this direction.

392 **References**

- [1] S. Bernard. How to build a multiscale model in biology. Acta Biotheoretica, 61:291–303, 2013.
- [2] S. Bernard and H. Herzel. Why do cells cycle with a 24 hour period? Gen. Info., 17(1):72–79, 2006.
- [3] M. Bossy and D. Talay. A stochastic particle method for the McKean-Vlasov and the Burgers equation. *Math. Comput.*, 66(217):157–192, 1997.
- [4] D. A. Bratsun, D. V. Merkuriev, A. P. Zakharov, and L. M. Pismen. Multiscale
 modeling of tumor growth induced by circadian rhythm disruption in epithelial
 tissue. Journal of Biological Physics, 42(1):107-132, 2016.
 - [5] F.B. Brikci, J. Clairambault, and B. Perthame. Analysis of a molecular structured population model with possible polynomial growth for the cell division cycle. *Math. Comp. Model.*, 47:699–713, 2008.
- [6] F.B. Brikci, J. Clairambault, B. Ribba, and B. Perthame. An age-and-cyclinstructured cell population model for healthy and tumoral tissues. J. Math. Biol.,
 57:91–110, 2007.
- [7] R.H. Chisholm, T. Lorenzi, and J. Clairambault. Cell population heterogeneity
 and evolution towards drug resistance in cancer: Biological and mathematical
 assessment, theoretical treatment optimisation. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*(BBA) General Subjects, pages -, 2016.
 - [8] J. Clairambault, S. Gaubert, and T. Lepoutre. Circadian rhythm and cell population growth. *Math. Comput. Model.*, 53:1558–1567, 2011.
 - [9] J. Clairambault, P. Michel, and B. Perthame. Circadian rhythm and tumor growth. C. R. Acad. Sci., 342:17–22, 2007.
- 416 [10] G-H. Cottet and P.D. Koumoutsakos. Vortex methods: theory and practice. Cam 417 bridge University Press, 2000.
 - [11] K. Do, JH. Doroshow, and S. Kummar. Weel kinase as a target for cancer therapy. Cell cycle, 12(19):3159–3164, 2013.

391

393

394

395

396

397

398

402

403

404

412

413

414

415

418

419

420 421 422	[12]	R. El Cheikh, S. Bernard, and N. El Khatib. Modeling circadian clock-cell cycle interaction effects on cell population growth rates. <i>J. Theor. Biol.</i> , 363(0):318 – 331, 2014.
423 424	[13]	J. Enright. Temporal precision in circadian systems: A reliable neuronal clock from unreliable components? <i>Science</i> , 209: 1542, 1980.
425 426 427	[14]	C. Gérard and A. Goldbeter. Entrainment of the mammalian cell cycle by the circadian clock: Modeling two coupled cellular rhythms. <i>PLoS Comput. Biol.</i> , 8(5):e1002516, 05 2012.
428 429	[15]	R. Glassey. The Cauchy Problem in Kinetic Theory. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1996.
430 431	[16]	A. Goldbeter and D.E. Koshland, Jr. An amplified sensitivity arising from covalent modification in biological systems. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.</i> , 78:6840–6844, 1981.
432 433	[17]	F.H. Harlow. The Particle-in-Cell Method for Fluid Dynamics, volume 3 of Methods in Computational Physics. Academic Press, New York, 1964.
434 435 436 437	[18]	E. Hénin, C. Meille, D. Barbolosi, B. You, J. Jérôme Guitton, A. Iliadis, and G. Freyer. Revisiting dosing regimen using pk/pd modeling: the model1 phase i/ii trial of docetaxel plus epirubicin in metastatic breast cancer patients. <i>Breast. Cancer Res. Treat.</i> , 156(2):331–341, 2015.
438 439	[19]	A. Leonard. Vortex methods for flow simulation. J. Comput. Phys., 37:289–335, 1980.
440 441	[20]	A. Leonard. Computing three-dimensional incompressible flows with vortex elements. <i>Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.</i> , 17:523–559, 1985.
442 443	[21]	B. Novak, Z. Pataki, A. Ciliberto, and J.J. Tyson. Mathematical model of the cell division cycle of fission yeast. <i>Chaos</i> , 11(1):277–286, 2001.
444 445	[22]	E. Pasquier, M. Kavallaris, and N. André. Metronomic chemotherapy: new ratio- nale for new directions. <i>Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.</i> , 7(8):455–465, 2010.
446	[23]	B. Perthame. Transport equations in biology. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007.
447 448	[24]	S.B. Pope. PDF methods for turbulent reactive flows. <i>Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.</i> , 11(2):119–192, 1985.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

- [25] S.B. Pope. Lagrangian PDF methods for turbulent flows. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech,
 26:23-63, 1994.
- [26] S.A. Prokopiou, L. Barbarroux, S. Bernard, J. Mafille, Y. Leverrier, C. Arpin,
 J. Marvel, O. Gandrillon, and F. Crauste. Multiscale modeling of the early CD8
 T-cell immune response in lymph nodes: An integrative study. *Computation*,
 2(4):159–181, 2014.
- [27] P.A. Raviart. An analysis of particle methods. In Franco Brezzi, editor, Numerical
 Methods in Fluid Dynamics, volume 1127 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages
 243–324. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1985.
- ⁴⁵⁸ [28] B. Ribba, T. Colin, and S. Schnell. A multiscale mathematical model of cancer, ⁴⁵⁹ and its use in analysing irradiation therapies. *Theor. Biol. Med. Model.*, 3:7, 2006.
- [29] D.K. Schlüter, I. Ramis-Conde, and M.A.J. Chaplain. Multi-scale modelling of
 the dynamics of cell colonies: insights into cell-adhesion forces and cancer invasion
 from in silico simulations. Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 12(103), 2014.
- [30] M.N. Shokhirev, J. Almaden, J. Davis-Turak, H.A. Birnbaum, T.M. Russell,
 J.A.D. Vargas, and A. Hoffmann. A multi-scale approach reveals that nf-b crel
 enforces a b-cell decision to divide. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 11(2):n/a-n/a,
 2015.

[31] M.L. Slevin, P.I. Clark, S.P. Joel, S. Malik, R.J. Osborne, W.M. Gregory, D.G.
Lowe, R.H. Reznek, and P.F. Wrigley. A randomized trial to evaluate the effect
of schedule on the activity of etoposide in small-cell lung cancer. J. Clin. Oncol.,
7(9):1333–1340, 1989.

[32] O. Wolkenhauer, C. Auffray, O. Brass, J. Clairambault, A. Deutsch, D. Drasdo,
F. Gervasio, L. Preziosi, P. Maini, A. Marciniak-Czochra, C. Kossow, L. Kuepfer,
K. Rateitschak, I. Ramis-Conde, B. Ribba, A. Schuppert, R. Smallwood, G. Stamatakos, F. Winter, and H. Byrne. Enabling multiscale modeling in systems
medicine. *Genome Medicine*, 6(3):1–3, 2014.

 [33] J. Zamborszky, A. Csikasz-Nagy, and C.I. Hong. Computational analysis of mammalian cell division gated by a circadian clock: Quantized cell cycles and cell size. J. Biol. Rhythms, 22:542–553, 2007.

476

477

478

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

[34] S. Zhao and R. Iyengar. Systems pharmacology: network analysis to identify
multiscale mechanisms of drug action. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol., 52:505–
521, 2012.