



HAL
open science

Random polymers on the complete graph

Francis Comets, Gregorio Moreno, Alejandro F. Ramirez

► **To cite this version:**

Francis Comets, Gregorio Moreno, Alejandro F. Ramirez. Random polymers on the complete graph. 2017. hal-01561548v1

HAL Id: hal-01561548

<https://hal.science/hal-01561548v1>

Preprint submitted on 13 Jul 2017 (v1), last revised 30 Nov 2017 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RANDOM POLYMERS ON THE COMPLETE GRAPH

FRANCIS COMETS^{1,5}, GREGORIO MORENO^{2,4,5} AND ALEJANDRO F. RAMÍREZ^{3,4,5}

ABSTRACT. Consider directed polymers in a random environment on the complete graph of size N . This model can be formulated as a product of i.i.d. $N \times N$ random matrices and its large time asymptotics is captured by Lyapunov exponents and the Furstenberg measure. We detail this correspondence, derive the long-time limit of the model and obtain a co-variant distribution for the polymer path.

Next, we observe that the model becomes exactly solvable when the disorder variables are located on edges of the complete graph and follow a totally asymmetric stable law of index $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then, a certain notion of mean height of the polymer behaves like a random walk and we show that the height function is distributed around this mean according to an explicit law. Large N asymptotics can be taken in this setting, for instance, for the free energy of the system and for the invariant law of the polymer height with a shift. Moreover, we give some perturbative results for environments which are close to the totally asymmetric stable laws.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Directed polymers in random environments were introduced in [26] as a model for the phase separation line of the $2d$ Ising model in the presence of impurities. Since then, they have been the subject of an important body of work both in the mathematics and physics communities. On the mathematics side, their study started with the work [29], shortly followed by [6]. An up-to-date account on the mathematical treatment of directed polymers can be found in [14]. On the physics side, this model was often studied in connection with growing surfaces. In particular, it was observed that the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation [31] can be considered as a continuum version of directed polymers [32].

In its usual discrete version, the model can be formulated in terms of two basic ingredients: (i) The polymer paths are given a-priori by the trajectories of a simple symmetric random walk on \mathbb{Z}^d starting at the origin, whose law we denote by P ; (ii) The environment is given by a family $\{\eta(t, x) : t \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ of i.i.d. random variables with common distribution \mathbb{P} . Then, given $t \geq 0$, $\beta > 0$ and a fixed realization of the environment, we define the polymer measure on nearest-neighbor paths \mathbf{x} of length t as the probability measure

$$\mu_{t,\beta}^\eta(d\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z_{t,\beta}^\eta} \exp\left\{\beta \sum_{s=1}^t \eta(s, \mathbf{x}_s)\right\} P(d\mathbf{x}),$$

where $Z_{t,\beta}^\eta$ is the normalizing constant.

Date: June 30, 2017.

AMS 2000 subject classifications. Primary 60K35 secondary 82B23, 60B20 .

Key words and phrases. Directed polymers, random medium, exactly solvable model, stable laws, product of random matrices.

¹Université Paris Diderot. Partially supported by CNRS, Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires, UMR 7599.

² Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Partially supported by Fondecyt grant 1171257.

³ Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Partially supported by Fondecyt grant 1141094.

⁴ Partially supported by Núcleo Milenio ‘Modelos Estocásticos de Sistemas Complejos y Desordenados’.

⁵ Partially supported by Mathamsud ‘Large-scale Behavior of Stochastic Systems’.

In this note, we propose to replace, in the above definition, \mathbb{Z}^d with its natural graph structure by the complete graph with N sites. The precise definition of the model is deferred Section 1.1. Alternatively, this can be seen as a polymer with long-range jumps on a finite state space.

Large finite state spaces have been considered in the literature as approximations of infinite systems, for instance [9] for directed polymers on a cylinder, or directed polymers on n -trees as in [17]. In [10], a zero-temperature version of our model was introduced to compute the corrections for large finite systems to continuous limit equations of front propagation.

There are several additional reasons to consider polymers on other graphs. First of all, they offer several simplifications compared to the original model on \mathbb{Z}^d or \mathbb{R}^d . In this respect, models on the complete graph can be seen as a mean field approximation, much in the same way the Curie-Weiss model relates to the Ising model. When considering mean-field versions of directed polymers it is more common to refer to polymers on the tree [11] [19], but these models show fundamental differences with the original ones [14]. Our model on the complete graph preserves some features, and can also be seen as a positive temperature version of the last passage percolation studied in [10, 15] from where we draw many ideas.

This approximation of infinite graphs by finite ones can be made quantitative. For instance, the paper [21] considers a particular product of random symplectic matrices corresponding to a random diffusion on the d -dimensional discrete torus. Assuming $d \geq 3$ and weak disorder, it is shown that, as the sidelength of the torus goes to infinity, the largest Lyapunov exponent of the product converges to the one of the usual Laplacian on the full lattice.

In a related spirit, models on arbitrary countable graphs are considered in [12] where the *a priori* law on the path space is an ergodic Markov chain.

Finally, on the complete graph, we are able to find a law on the environment that makes the model solvable in the sense that the law of the properly normalized partition function can be computed explicitly. This is analogous to the zero-temperature version of the model from [10, 15, 18]. Exactly solvable models are rare in statistical mechanics, and they result most informative. This one seems to be new. In the case of polymers on the lattice, we can however cite [38] for the original model on \mathbb{Z} and [35] for a semi-continuous counterpart.

The study of polymer models on finite graphs falls in the scope of products of random matrices. More precisely, the free energy is related to the top Lyapunov exponent of such products for which a complete theory has been established in the literature initiated in [24] and developed in particular in [7, 13, 23, 25, 27, 28, 34]. This formalism allows us to derive, for example, the existence of the free energy as well as Gaussian fluctuations for the logarithm of the partition function. In different direction, we mention [1] which gives a nice account on Perron-Frobenius theory for product of random matrices together with its relation to thermodynamic formalism. For "infinite matrices" – i.e. for random positive operators in infinite dimension, limits may fail to exist due to lack of compactness. The authors in [2, 36] deal with products of random operators on the whole \mathbb{Z}^d , but some localization features – a priori embedded in the special models – make them essentially compact.

1.1. Directed polymers on the complete graph. We study the following model of directed polymers on the complete graph with N sites: for $t \geq 1$ integer and $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, consider the set of paths starting at location i at time 0 and ending at j at time t ,

$$J_N(0, i; t, j) = \{ \mathbf{j} = (j_0, \dots, j_t) : 1 \leq j_s \leq N, \forall 1 \leq s \leq t-1; j_0 = i, j_t = j \}. \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\{\omega_{i,j}(t) : 1 \leq i, j \leq N, t \geq 0\}$ be a family of i.i.d. positive random variables defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. For a fixed realization of the environment and $t \geq 1$, we

define the *point-to-point* (P2P) polymer partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(0, i; t, j) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_N(0, i; t, j)} \prod_{s=1}^t \omega_{j_{s-1}, j_s}(s). \quad (1.2)$$

Viewed as a function of j , its logarithm is referred to as the polymer *height function*. We denote with a \star quantities $Q(\star)$ which are, depending on the context, unions or sums over locations $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ of $Q(j)$. For instance, $J_N(0, i; t, \star) = \cup_{j=1}^N J_N(0, i; t, j)$, and $\mathcal{Z}_N(0, i; t, \star) = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{Z}_N(0, i; t, j)$ is the so-called *point-to-line* (P2L) polymer partition function. Similarly, $J_N(0, \star; t, j) = \cup_{i=1}^N J_N(0, i; t, j)$, and $\mathcal{Z}_N(0, \star; t, j) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{Z}_N(0, i; t, j)$ is the *line-to-point* (L2P) partition function.

Our analysis will rely on a tight relation between our model and products of random matrices which emerges from the following observation: defining the $N \times N$ matrix $\Pi(t)$ as the product

$$\Pi(t) = \mathfrak{X}(1)\mathfrak{X}(2) \cdots \mathfrak{X}(t),$$

of the matrices $\mathfrak{X}(t) = [\omega_{i,j}(t)]_{i,j}$, we see that the P2P partition function (1.2) is the (i, j) -entry of $\Pi(t)$,

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(0, i; t, j) = \Pi(t)_{i,j}. \quad (1.3)$$

(We do not indicate in the notation the dependence in N of \mathfrak{X} and Π , although we will let N go to infinity at some stage.) Let us denote by $Z_N(t)$ the (column) vector given by the L2P partition functions

$$Z_N(t) = (\mathcal{Z}_N(0, \star; t, 1), \dots, \mathcal{Z}_N(0, \star; t, N))^*$$

where M^* denotes the transposed of the matrix M . Our convention in the paper is that all vectors are column vectors, and we write $v(j)$ for the j -th coordinate of v , so that $Z_N(t, j) \equiv \mathcal{Z}_N(0, \star; t, j)$. From (1.3), we obtain

$$Z_N(t)^* = \mathbf{1}^* \Pi(t), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mathbf{1}^*$ denotes the N -dimensional row vector with all entries equal to 1. Similarly, the vector of P2L partition functions can be written as $\Pi(t)\mathbf{1}$.

This point of view allows us, among other things, to relate the free energy of the model to the Lyapunov exponent of products of i.i.d. random matrices. In our case the matrices have an additional feature – entries are i.i.d. – but the theory applies to the general case under mild assumptions. At this point, it is convenient to take (1.4) as the starting point of our analysis and consider the slightly more general framework of the recursion

$$Z_N(t)^* = Z_N(t-1)^* \mathfrak{X}(t) \quad (1.5)$$

allowing general initial conditions $Z_N(0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^N \setminus \{0\}$.

We follow the formalism of [27, 28] based on the action of products of random matrices on projective spaces. For $v \in \mathbb{R}_+^N \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha > 0$, define the α -norm of v as $\|v\|_\alpha = (\sum_{j=1}^N v_j^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}$. Of course, this quantity is a norm only in the case $\alpha \geq 1$. Next, we introduce the α -simplex

$$\bar{B}_\alpha = \{v \in \mathbb{R}_+^N : \|v\|_\alpha = 1\},$$

together with the projection $\Psi_\alpha(v) = \frac{v}{\|v\|_\alpha}$ from $\mathbb{R}_+^N \setminus \{0\}$ onto \bar{B}_α .

For $v \in \mathbb{R}_+^N \setminus \{0\}$ and \mathfrak{X} an N -by- N matrix with positive entries, we define the product $^\alpha$ by

$$\mathfrak{X}^\alpha \cdot v := \frac{\mathfrak{X}v}{\|\mathfrak{X}v\|_\alpha} \in \bar{B}_\alpha.$$

We will drop the subscripts and superscripts from the notation when $\alpha = 1$ and write

$$\bar{B} := \bar{B}_1, \quad \mathfrak{X} \cdot v := \mathfrak{X}^1 \cdot v.$$

Finally, define

$$X_{N,\alpha}(t) := \Psi_\alpha(Z_N(t)) = \frac{Z_N(t)}{\|Z_N(t)\|_\alpha} \in \bar{B}_\alpha,$$

and, again, write $X_N := X_{N,1}$. This leads to the simple decomposition

$$\log Z_N(t, i) = \log \|Z_N(t)\|_\alpha + \log X_{N,\alpha}(t, i) \quad (1.6)$$

Note that, by the recursion (1.5) and homogeneity, we have

$$X_{N,\alpha}(t) = \Psi_\alpha(\mathfrak{X}(t)^* Z_{N,\alpha}(t-1)) = \Psi_\alpha(\mathfrak{X}(t)^* X_{N,\alpha}(t-1)),$$

showing that $\{X_{N,\alpha}(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain. We list further important properties of this chain in the next theorem.

1.2. Product of random matrices and polymer model structure. All three results in this subsection come as applications of the general theory of product of independent random matrices. They are overlooked in this context, although they provide a complete understanding of the model for a fixed N . For integers $s < t$, let

$$\Pi(s, t) = \mathfrak{X}(s+1) \dots \mathfrak{X}(t), \quad \Pi(t, t) = I_N, \quad \Pi(t) = \Pi(0, t).$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $Z_N(0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$.*

- (1) *For all $\alpha > 0$, the recursion (1.5) with initial condition $Z_N(0)$ defines a time-inhomogeneous Markov chain $\{X_{N,\alpha}(t) : t \geq 0\}$ with values in \bar{B}_α .*
- (2) *There exists an event Ω_0 with $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1$ such that the (random) limit*

$$V_{N,\alpha}^\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Pi(t)^\alpha \cdot v, \quad (1.7)$$

exists for all $\alpha > 0, \omega \in \Omega_0$ and does not depend on $v \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$. Moreover, $V_{N,\alpha}^\infty = \Psi_\alpha(V_{N,\beta}^\infty)$ for all $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

- (3) *Let $m_{N,\alpha}$ denote the law of $V_{N,\alpha}^\infty$. The chain $(X_{N,\alpha}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with initial law $m_{N,\alpha}$ is stationary and ergodic.*
- (4) *Denote by θ_s the shift on Ω by $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\theta_s \omega(t) = \omega(s+t)$, and set*

$$V_{N,\alpha}^\infty(s) := V_{N,\alpha}^\infty \circ \theta_s = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Pi(s, t)^\alpha \cdot v, \quad (1.8)$$

and $V_{N,\alpha}^\infty(s, j)$ the j -th component of this vector. (In particular, $V_{N,\alpha}^\infty(0) = V_{N,\alpha}^\infty$.) Then,

$$\mathfrak{X}(0)^\alpha V_{N,\alpha}^\infty = V_{N,\alpha}^\infty(-1) \quad (1.9)$$

This is proved in Section 2.1 and the Appendix.

Our next result states the almost sure existence of the free energy as well as Gaussian fluctuations for the logarithm of the partition function. From (1.6), note that the asymptotics of $\log Z_N(t, i)$ is essentially given by that of the first term. That this limit is independent of α comes from the observation that, for each $\alpha > 0$, there exists a constant $c_N(\alpha) \in (1, \infty)$ such that

$$c_N(\alpha)^{-1} \|v\|_1 \leq \|v\|_\alpha \leq c_N(\alpha) \|v\|_1, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}_+^N.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Fix N , assume that the ω 's are not constant and that $\mathbb{E}|\log \omega_{i,j}|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ for some positive δ . Then, there exist numbers v_N and $\sigma_N > 0$ such that, for all $j = 1, \dots, N$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log Z_N(t, j) = v_N \quad \text{a.s.},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} (\log Z_N(t, j) - v_N t) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_N^2) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, with $V_{N,\alpha}^\infty$ from (1.7),

$$v_N = \mathbb{E} [\log \|\mathfrak{X}(0) V_{N,\alpha}^\infty\|_\alpha]. \quad (1.10)$$

We give a proof in Section 2.2.

We now turn to the asymptotic of the polymer measure. The P2L polymer measure starting at i with time-horizon T , denoted by $P_{0,i;T,\star}^\omega$, is the (random) probability measure on $J_N(0, i; T, \star)$ given by

$$P_{0,i;T,\star}^\omega(\mathbf{j} = (j_0, \dots, j_T)) = \frac{1}{Z_N(0, i; T, \star)} \prod_{s=1}^T \omega_{j_{s-1}, j_s}(s). \quad (1.11)$$

Similarly to Proposition 1.1, there exists an almost-sure limit to the “backwards-in-time” product

$$\overleftarrow{V}_{N,\alpha}^\infty(s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \Pi(t, s)^* \cdot^\alpha v \quad (1.12)$$

which does not depend on $v \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$. Since $\mathfrak{X}(0)^* \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \mathfrak{X}(0)$, we have

$$\overleftarrow{V}_{N,\alpha}^\infty(s) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} V_{N,\alpha}^\infty. \quad (1.13)$$

Our second set of results states the existence of an infinite volume polymer measure together with a co-variant law: define the random probability measure $\nu_N(t, \cdot)$ on $\{1, \dots, N\}$ by

$$\nu_N(t, j) := \frac{\overleftarrow{V}_{N,\alpha}^\infty(t, j) V_{N,\alpha}^\infty(t, j)}{\sum_{k=1}^N \overleftarrow{V}_{N,\alpha}^\infty(t, k) V_{N,\alpha}^\infty(t, k)} = \frac{\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t, j) V_N^\infty(t, j)}{\sum_{k=1}^N \overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t, k) V_N^\infty(t, k)}, \quad (1.14)$$

since the ratio in the second term does not depend on α , by Theorem 1.1, point 2. In words, the co-variant law is proportional to the doubly infinite product of weights over polymers (from times $-\infty$ to $+\infty$) which take the value j at time t .

Theorem 1.3. (1) *For almost every environment ω , the polymer measure $P_{0,i;T,\star}^\omega$ converges as $T \rightarrow \infty$ to the (time-inhomogeneous) Markov chain with $P^\omega(j_0 = i) = 1$ and transition probabilities given by*

$$P^\omega(j_{t+1} = \ell | j_t = k) = \frac{\omega_{k,\ell}(t+1) V_N^\infty(t+1, \ell)}{\sum_{\ell'=1}^N \omega_{k,\ell'}(t+1) V_N^\infty(t+1, \ell')} \quad (1.15)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|\mathfrak{X}(t+1) V_N^\infty(t+1)\|_1} \times \frac{\omega_{k,\ell}(t+1) V_N^\infty(t+1, \ell)}{V_N^\infty(t, k)} \quad (1.16)$$

for $t \geq 0, k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

(2) *Let $\omega \in \Omega_0$. For the chain with transition (1.15) starting at time s with law $\nu_N(s, \cdot)$, we have for $t \geq s$,*

$$P^\omega(j_t = \ell) = \nu_N(t, \ell), \quad \ell = 1, \dots, N.$$

This is proved in Section 2.3.

Note that, if $Z_N(0, \cdot; t, \star)$ denotes the vector $(\mathcal{Z}_N(0, 1; t, \star), \dots, \mathcal{Z}_N(0, N; t, \star))^*$, then

$$Z_N(0, \cdot; t, \star) = \Pi(t) \mathbf{1}, \quad (1.17)$$

where $\Pi(t)$ is defined in (1.4). This representation allows us to define a polymer measure with more general initial conditions. The above theorem can be easily adapted to this setting.

Remark 1.4. *The law $m_N = m_{N,1}$ is the limit law of the endpoint distribution which appears in [3] as a fixed point. (Of course, for a finite state space, there is no question of localization and the disorder is always strong.) The previous theorems provide much more information in this simplified framework.*

1.3. Case of α -Stable Environments. We consider now the particular cases when the environment follows a stable law of index $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, see [5, 20]. The law \mathcal{S}_α is supported on \mathbb{R}_+ and can be defined via its Laplace transform: if S is distributed according to \mathcal{S}_α , then

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda S} = e^{-\lambda^\alpha}, \quad (1.18)$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$. In particular, if S_1, \dots, S_N are N independent \mathcal{S}_α -distributed random variables, then

$$N^{-1/\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^N S_i \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \mathcal{S}_\alpha, \quad (1.19)$$

and, more generally,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N a_i S_i \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \mathcal{S}_\alpha, \quad (1.20)$$

provided $\sum_{i=1}^N a_i^\alpha = 1$ and $a_i \geq 0$. The tail of \mathcal{S}_α is known to decay polynomially,

$$\mathbb{P}[S > x] \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} x^{-\alpha},$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, \mathcal{S}_α is the limit of properly normalized sums of i.i.d. random variables exhibiting similar decay.

It turns out that this choice of environment makes the model solvable, in the sense that the law of the (properly normalized) partition function is explicit. The decomposition (1.6) has to be slightly modified to reveal the rich structure of this version of the model: let

$$S_N(t, j) := \frac{Z_N(t, j)}{\|Z_N(t-1)\|_\alpha}, \quad \phi_N(t) := \log \|Z_N(t)\|_\alpha, \quad (1.21)$$

so that

$$\log Z_N(t, j) = \log S_N(t, j) + \phi_N(t-1). \quad (1.22)$$

There are many reasonable manners to measure the mean height of the polymer. However the α -norm yields an unexpectedly simple description. The full probabilistic structure of the stable case is detailed in the next theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose $\{\omega_{i,j}(t) : t \geq 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$ is an i.i.d. family of \mathcal{S}_α -distributed random variables. Then,*

- (1) $\{S_N(t, j) : t \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ is an i.i.d. family of \mathcal{S}_α -distributed random variables. Moreover, the terms in the sum (1.22) are independent.
- (2) Starting from any state, the Markov chain $X_{N,\alpha}(\cdot)$ reaches equilibrium instantaneously. In fact, $(X_{N,\alpha}(t))_{t \geq 1}$ is an i.i.d. sequence in \bar{B}_α for all starting point $X_{N,\alpha}(0)$.
- (3) $\{\phi_N(t) : t \geq 1\}$ is a random walk with i.i.d jumps $\{\Upsilon_N(t) : t \geq 1\}$ distributed as

$$\Upsilon_N \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \log \|S_N\|_\alpha, \quad (1.23)$$

where S_N is a N -vector with i.i.d. \mathcal{S}_α -distributed coordinates.

- (4) $v_N = \mathbb{E}[\Upsilon_N]$, $\sigma_N^2 = \text{Var}[\Upsilon_N]$.
- (5) The invariant law $m_{N,\alpha}$ has the same distribution as $\frac{S_N}{\|S_N\|_\alpha}$.
- (6) The sequence $\{(V_{N,\alpha}^\infty(t, j))_{j=1}^N\}_{t \geq 1}$ is i.i.d. with common distribution $m_{N,\alpha}$.

This is proved in Section 3.1.

Note that, from the product of random matrices point of view, our results look very close to [13] in spirit, although only the symmetric stable case is treated there. However, an inspection of their proofs shows that they do not cover the case of positive totally asymmetric stable laws studied here.

As the velocity and variance from Theorem 1.2 are now explicit, we can try to obtain their asymptotics when N grows.

Proposition 1.6. *Assume $\{\omega_{i,j}(t) : t \geq 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$ is an i.i.d. family of \mathcal{S}_α -distributed random variables. Let*

$$c_\alpha := \Gamma(\alpha) \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi \alpha}. \quad (1.24)$$

Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_N &= \alpha^{-1} (\log N + \log \log N + \log c_\alpha) + o(1), \\ \sigma_N^2 &= \frac{\pi^2}{3\alpha^2 \log N} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

This is proved in Section 3.2.

We now state the convergence of the rescaled random walk or polymer height to a Lévy process:

Theorem 1.7. *Assume $\{\omega_{i,j}(t) : t \geq 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$ is an i.i.d. family of \mathcal{S}_α -distributed random variables. Then, for any sequence $k_N \rightarrow \infty$, we have that*

$$\frac{\phi_N(k_N \tau) - \gamma_N k_N \tau}{k_N / \log N^\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\tau), \quad (1.26)$$

in law in the Skorohod topology, where ϕ_N is defined in (1.21) and

$$\gamma_N = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left(\frac{N \log N}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right) + \frac{\log k_N}{\alpha \log N}$$

and $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ is a totally asymmetric Lévy process with exponent

$$\psi(u) = \int_1^\infty (e^{iux} - 1) \frac{dx}{x^2} + \int_0^1 (e^{iux} - 1 - iux) \frac{dx}{x^2}. \quad (1.27)$$

The proof is very close to the one of the corresponding statement in [15] and is given in Section 3.3.

Finally, we obtain a Poisson-type convergence result for the invariant measure $m_{N,\alpha}$ in the case of an \mathcal{S}_α -distributed environment. This is presented in Section 3.4.

1.4. Perturbative results. We will now study the case of environments that are perturbations of the \mathcal{S}_α laws.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and suppose $\{\omega_{i,j}(t) : t \geq 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$ is an i.i.d. family of random variables with a common Laplace transform

$$\varphi(u) = \mathbb{E}[\exp\{-u \omega_{i,j}(t)\}], \quad u \geq 0,$$

such that

$$1 - \varphi(u) \sim u^\alpha, \quad u \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (1.28)$$

We view such an environment as a perturbation of the α -stable distributed environment, since ω lies in the domain of attraction of the α -stable law. It is important to note that $\mathbb{E}\omega_{i,j}(t) = \infty$, therefore the various partition functions are not integrable and cannot be normalized. In particular, the models we consider are outside the range of application of the main techniques in the field of directed polymers [14]. Let u_α denote the distribution function of the logarithm of an \mathcal{S}_α random variable

$$u_\alpha(x) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{S}_\alpha > e^x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.29)$$

and let U_N denote the *front profile* of the polymer,

$$U_N(t, x) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\log Z_N(t,j) > x}, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.30)$$

The random function $x \mapsto U_N(t, x)$ is the (inverse) distribution function of the polymer height function.

Theorem 1.8. *Suppose the ω 's satisfy (1.28) for a given $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

(1) Then, for all $t \geq 2$ and any $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, we have

$$\frac{Z_N(t, i)}{\|Z_N(t-1)\|_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{S}_\alpha.$$

Moreover, for each $k \geq 1$ and any sequence $K_N \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ with $|K_N| = k$, we have

$$\left\{ \frac{Z_N(t, i)}{\|Z_N(t-1)\|_\alpha} : i \in K_N \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{S}_\alpha^{\otimes k}.$$

(2) Furthermore, for all $t \geq 2$, we have, with ϕ_N from (1.21) and u_α from (1.29)

$$U_N(t, x + \phi_N(t-1)) \rightarrow u_\alpha(x) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in x .

Comment: Since the polymer is pulled by the largest values, the height function is expected to grow like a moving front. Roughly, the front behaves like a wave traveling at speed v_N , and one could try to look at it at time t around location $v_N t$. But if the cardinality N of the monomer state space is large, the actual front can be rather different from $v_N t$, so it is natural to look at it relative to a suitable random location $\phi_N(t-1)$. Item (2) in Theorem 1.8 shows that, for ω close to an α -stable law (i.e., an exactly solvable model), the polymer height function seen from this location $\phi_N(t-1)$ converges – without scaling – to the exactly solvable model.

Theorem 1.8 is proven in Section 4.2. In Section 4.1 we will also prove more complete results in the case of stable environments, including a fluctuation theorem; see Proposition 4.1.

2. GENERAL ENVIRONMENTS WITH FIXED N

We note once for all that Ψ_α is a continuous bijection from $\bar{B} \equiv \bar{B}_1$ to \bar{B}_α for any $\alpha > 0$. Hence, it is enough to work with $\alpha = 1$. The objects constructed on \bar{B}_1 can then be projected on the other α -simplexes yielding the results for general values of α . In particular, the relation $V_{N,\alpha}^\infty = \Psi_\alpha(V_{N,\beta}^\infty)$ appearing in part 2 of Theorem 1.1, follows immediately. Hence, we will restrict to $\alpha = 1$ for the rest of this section.

We follow the well-known theory of product of random matrices, see e.g. [27, 28].

2.1. Asymptotics of the Markov chain and stochastic contractivity. In this section we prove Theorem 1.1, using the following lemma, cf. section I in [27], which is the involved step. We reproduce the proof in the appendix as it contains some crucial ideas, in particular a contraction property. (And, moreover, it is beautiful.)

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a r.v. V_N^∞ taking values in B such that, for all $v \in \bar{B}$, $\Pi(t) \cdot v$ converges a.s. to V_N^∞ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The convergence is a.s. uniform in $v \in \bar{B}$.*

This immediately implies the almost sure convergence stated in part 2. of Theorem 1.1. Note that we can conclude that $X_N(t)$ converges in law to m_N as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.2. *The law m_N of V_N^∞ is the unique invariant probability, i.e., the unique probability measure on \bar{B} such that, for all bounded continuous $f : \bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\int_{\bar{B}} \mathbb{E} [f(\mathfrak{X} \cdot v)] dm_N(v) = \int_{\bar{B}} f(v) dm_N(v).$$

The law m_N is usually called the Furstenberg measure.

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, $V' = \lim_t \Pi(2, t) \cdot x$ converges a.s., and has the same law m_N . The equality $\mathfrak{X}(1) \cdot V' = V_N^\infty$ is the claimed invariance property. Moreover, if m'_N is another invariant law, we get by iterating t times,

$$\int_{\bar{B}} \mathbb{E} [f(\Pi(t) \cdot x)] dm'_N(x) = \int_{\bar{B}} f(x) dm'_N(x).$$

By dominated convergence, the left-hand side converges to $\mathbb{E}f(V_N^\infty)$, and we can conclude that m' is the law of μ_∞ . ■

Lemma 2.3. *The law m_N is invariant for the Markov chain $(X_N(t); t \geq 0)$, and the chain X_N with initial law m_N is ergodic. For any bounded continuous $f : \bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any initial condition $X_N(0) \in \bar{B}$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t f(X_N(s)) = \mathbb{E}[f(V_N^\infty)], \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. We can write (1.9) as $\mathfrak{X}(0) \stackrel{\alpha}{\circ} V_{N,\alpha}^\infty = V_{N,\alpha}^\infty \circ \theta_{-1}$. Transposing this identity and using that $(\mathfrak{X}(0), V_N^\infty, V_N^\infty \circ \theta_{-1}) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (\mathfrak{X}(1), \overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty, \overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty \circ \theta_1)$, we see that

$$\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty \cdot \mathfrak{X}(1) = \overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty \circ \theta_1.$$

Since $\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty$ and $\mathfrak{X}(1)$ are independent and $\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty$ is stationary with law m_N , this equality implies that m_N is invariant.

Ergodicity is shown in Lemma 3.3 in [27]. Finally, the pointwise ergodic theorem (2.1) follows also from the previous and the contraction property, see Appendix A.2. ■

2.2. Free energy and Lyapunov exponents. The Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of the (strictly) positive matrix $\Pi(t)$ is the r.v.

$$\lambda_N^{\text{PF}}(t) = \min_{x \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^N} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{(\Pi(t)x)_i}{x_i} = \max_{x \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^N} \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{(\Pi(t)x)_i}{x_i}.$$

We start by stating that all coefficients of the matrix $\Pi(t)$ grow like the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue.

Lemma 2.4. [28, Lemma 2.1] *Fix N . We have for all $t \geq 2$,*

$$0 \leq \log \frac{\max_{i,j \leq N} \Pi_{i,j}(t)}{\min_{i,j \leq N} \Pi_{i,j}(t)} \leq \log \frac{\max_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(1)}{\min_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(1)} + \log \frac{\max_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(t)}{\min_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(t)}, \quad (2.2)$$

and for all $y \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^N$,

$$1 \leq \frac{\max_{i \leq N} (\Pi(t)y)_i}{\min_{i \leq N} (\Pi(t)y)_i} \leq \frac{\max_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(1)}{\min_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(1)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{t \geq 1} |\log \lambda_N^{\text{PF}}(t) - \log \|\Pi(t)\mathbf{1}\|_1| < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. By positivity, we see that for all $m, m', n, n' \leq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{m,n}(t) &= \sum_{k,\ell \leq N} \omega_{m,k}(1) \Pi_{k,\ell}(1, t-1) \omega_{\ell,n}(t) \leq \frac{\max_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(1)}{\min_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(1)} \times \Pi_{m',n}(t) \\ \Pi_{m,n}(t) &\leq \frac{\max_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(t)}{\min_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(t)} \times \Pi_{m,n'}(t). \end{aligned}$$

This implies (2.2)–(2.3). By Perron-Frobenius theorem, $\exists x > 0$ with $\|x\|_1 = 1$ and $x^* \Pi(t) = \lambda_N^{\text{PF}}(t) x^*$. Then, on the one hand,

$$x^* \Pi(t) \mathbf{1} = \lambda_N^{\text{PF}}(t) x^* \mathbf{1} = \lambda_N^{\text{PF}}(t),$$

while we can estimate

$$x^* \Pi(t) \mathbf{1} = \sum_{i \leq N} x_i (\Pi(t) \mathbf{1})_i \leq \|\Pi(t) \mathbf{1}\|_\infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
x^* \Pi(t) \mathbf{1} &= \sum_{i \leq N} x_i (\Pi(t) \mathbf{1})_i &\geq \min_i (\Pi(t) \mathbf{1})_i \|x\|_1 \\
& &= \min_i (\Pi(t) \mathbf{1})_i \\
& &\stackrel{(2.3)}{\geq} \frac{\min_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(1)}{\max_{i,j \leq N} \omega_{i,j}(1)} \times \max_i (\Pi(t) \mathbf{1})_i
\end{aligned}$$

Then the claim follows using that $N^{-1}|y|_1 \leq |y|_\infty \leq |y|_1$ with $y = \Pi(t) \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^N$. \blacksquare

We are now able to show the existence of the free energy and the Gaussian fluctuations of the logarithm of the partition function.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For a $N \times N$ -matrix χ , set $\|\chi\|_1 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N |\chi_{i,j}|$. Since the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ is submultiplicative, we see that the doubly indexed sequence $\log \|\Pi(s, t)\|_1$ ($0 \leq s \leq t$) is subadditive and, by the subadditive ergodic theorem (see e.g. the nice proof in [37]), it follows that $t^{-1} \log \|\Pi(t)\|_1$ converges a.s. to a limit v_N , and similarly for the entries $t^{-1} \log \|\Pi_{i,j}(t)\|_1$ by Lemma 2.4. Moreover, from Theorem 3 in [27], a central limit theorem holds. It then suffices to recall that $Z_N(t, j) = (\Pi(t)^* \mathbf{1})_j$.

Strict positivity of the variance follows from two results in [27]. By Corollary 3 therein, $\sigma_N = 0$ implies that $(e^{-tv_N} \|\Pi(t)\|_1)_{t \geq 1}$ is a tight sequence in $(0, \infty)$. By Theorem 5, this is equivalent to a certain geometric property of the support of the law of \mathfrak{X} , which is clearly not satisfied for \mathfrak{X} with non-constant, i.i.d. entries.

It remains to prove (1.10). By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v_N &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \|Z_N(t)\|_1 \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t \log \frac{\|Z_N(s)\|_1}{\|Z_N(s-1)\|_1} \\
&\stackrel{(1.5)}{=} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t \log \|\mathfrak{X}(s)^* X_N(s-1)\|_1 \\
&\stackrel{\text{ergodic th.}}{=} \mathbb{E} \log \|\mathfrak{X}(1)^* X_N(0)\|_1,
\end{aligned}$$

which is equal to the RHS of (1.10). \blacksquare

2.3. Infinite volume measure. In this section we prove the existence of the infinite volume polymer measure and of a co-variant measure.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Recall the definition (1.11) of the finite horizon P2L polymer measure. It is well known, and easily checked, that $P_{0,i;T,\star}^\omega$ is a time-inhomogeneous Markov chain on $\{1, \dots, N\}$, with 1-step transitions given for $0 \leq t < T$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{0,i;T,\star}^\omega(j_{t+1} = \ell | j_t = k) &= \frac{\omega_{k,\ell}(t+1) Z_N(t+1, \ell; T, \star)}{\sum_{1 \leq m \leq N} \omega_{k,m}(t+1) Z_N(t+1, m; T, \star)} \\
&= \frac{\omega_{k,\ell}(t+1) \frac{Z_N(t+1, \ell; T, \star)}{\sum_{\ell'} Z_N(t+1, \ell'; T, \star)}}{\sum_{1 \leq m \leq N} \omega_{k,m}(t+1) \frac{Z_N(t+1, m; T, \star)}{\sum_{\ell'} Z_N(t+1, \ell'; T, \star)}}
\end{aligned}$$

But, a.s.,

$$\left(\frac{Z_N(t+1, \ell; T, \star)}{\sum_{\ell'} Z_N(t+1, \ell'; T, \star)} \right)_{\ell=1}^N = \Pi(t+1, T) \cdot \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow V_N^\infty(t+1)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, so the above transition converges,

$$P_{0,i;T,*}^\omega(j_{t+1} = \ell | j_t = k) \longrightarrow \frac{\omega_{k,\ell}(t+1)V_N^\infty(t+1,\ell)}{\sum_{\ell'=1}^N \omega_{k,\ell'}(t+1)V_N^\infty(t+1,\ell')}.$$

This proves that the finite horizon P2L polymer measure converges to the Markov chain P^ω given by the transition probabilities (1.15). In order to obtain (1.16), one can use a shifted version of (1.9),

$$\mathfrak{X}(t+1) \cdot V_N^\infty(t+1) = V_N^\infty(t),$$

to rewrite the denominator in the RHS of (1.15) as

$$\sum_{\ell'=1}^N \omega_{k,\ell'}(t+1)V_N^\infty(t+1,\ell') = V_N^\infty(t,k) \|\mathfrak{X}(t+1)V_N^\infty(t+1)\|_1.$$

We end by proving the second part of Theorem 1.3. Note that (1.14) writes

$$\nu_N(t,j) := \frac{\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t,j)V_N^\infty(t,j)}{\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t)*V_N^\infty(t)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t)*V_N^\infty(t) = \sum_{k=1}^N \overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t,k)V_N^\infty(t,k)$, so that, with (1.16),

$$\nu_N(t,k)P^\omega(j_{t+1} = \ell | j_t = k) = \frac{\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t,k) \times \omega_{k,\ell}(t+1) \times V_N^\infty(t+1,\ell)}{\|\mathfrak{X}(t+1)V_N^\infty(t+1)\|_1 \times (\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t)*V_N^\infty(t))}. \quad (2.6)$$

Summing over k and using (1.12) we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \nu_N(t,k)P^\omega(j_{t+1} = \ell | j_t = k) = \frac{\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t+1,\ell) \times \|\mathfrak{X}(t+1)*\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t)\|_1 \times V_N^\infty(t+1,\ell)}{\|\mathfrak{X}(t+1)V_N^\infty(t+1)\|_1 \times (\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t)*V_N^\infty(t))} \quad (2.7)$$

Summing now over ℓ we derive the identity

$$\|\mathfrak{X}(t+1)V_N^\infty(t+1)\|_1 (\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t)*V_N^\infty(t)) = \|\mathfrak{X}(t+1)\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t)\|_1 (\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t+1)*V_N^\infty(t+1)) \quad (2.8)$$

Using (2.8) back in the RHS of (2.7) we see that it is equal to $\nu_N(t+1,\ell)$, proving the claim. ■

Remark 2.1. *We have in fact a time-reversal property. Define the (time-inhomogeneous) transition probability \overleftarrow{P}^ω on $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ by*

$$\overleftarrow{P}^\omega(j_{t+1} = k | j_t = \ell) = \frac{\omega_{k,\ell}(t+1)\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t,k)}{\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t+1,\ell)\|\mathfrak{X}(t+1)*\overleftarrow{V}_N^\infty(t+1)\|_1}$$

Then, by (2.8), the equality (2.6) writes

$$\nu_N(t,k)P^\omega(j_{t+1} = \ell | j_t = k) = \nu_N(t+1,\ell)\overleftarrow{P}^\omega(j_{t+1} = k | j_t = \ell),$$

from which stationarity follows immediately. The time-reversed of the chain discussed in item 2) of Theorem 1.3 is the chain with transitions \overleftarrow{P}^ω and starting at time 0 from the law $\nu_N(0, \cdot)$.

3. EXACT SOLUTION FOR STABLE LAWS

We consider the particular cases when $\omega_{i,j}(t) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \mathcal{S}_\alpha$, the stable law of index $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, see [5, 20]. We recall that it is supported by \mathbb{R}_+ and that, for $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda \mathcal{S}_\alpha}] = e^{-\lambda^\alpha}. \quad (3.1)$$

3.1. The random walk representation. This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.5 which summarizes the probabilistic structure of the model.

Let by \mathcal{F}_t be the σ -field generated by the $\omega_{i,j}(s)$ for $s \leq t$ and all i, j . Property (1.20) directly implies that for each j , conditionally on \mathcal{F}_t , $S_N(t+1, j)$ has law \mathcal{S}_α , for all $1 \leq j \leq N$. To deal with the N -vector, we fix $\lambda_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$ and we compute,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[e^{-\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j Z_N(t+1, j)} | \mathcal{F}_t] &= \prod_{i, j=1}^N \mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda_j Z_N(t, i) \omega_{i, j}(t+1)} | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \exp\left\{-\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^N Z_N(t, i)^\alpha\right\} \quad (\text{by (3.1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j S_N(t+1, j)} | \mathcal{F}_t] = \exp\left\{-\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^\alpha\right\}, \quad (3.3)$$

which shows that, conditionally on \mathcal{F}_t , $S_N(t+1, j)$ with $1 \leq j \leq N$ have law \mathcal{S}_α for all $1 \leq j \leq N$ and are conditionally independent. As a consequence, the random variables $\{S_N(t+1, j) : t \geq 1, j = 1, \dots, N\}$ are i.i.d. with common law \mathcal{S}_α . This proves Proposition 1.5, part 1.

Now, recall the identity (1.22)

$$\log Z_N(t, j) = \log S_N(t, j) + \phi_N(t-1), \quad (3.4)$$

where $\phi_N(t)$ denotes the height of the polymer at time t :

$$\phi_N(t) = \log \|Z_N(t)\|_\alpha. \quad (3.5)$$

By definition of the α -norm,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_N(t+1) &= \alpha^{-1} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^N Z(t+1, i)^\alpha \right) \\ &= \alpha^{-1} \log \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{Z(t+1, i)^\alpha}{\|Z_N(t)\|_\alpha^\alpha} + \log \|Z_N(t)\|_\alpha \\ &= \alpha^{-1} \log \sum_{i=1}^N S_N(t+1, i)^\alpha + \phi_N(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$= \log \|S_N(t+1)\|_\alpha + \phi_N(t). \quad (3.7)$$

where $S_N(t)$ denotes the vector $(S_N(t, 1), \dots, S_N(t, N))$. From the independence observed above, the sequence $\{\Upsilon_N(t) : t \geq 1\}$ defined by

$$\Upsilon_N(t) = \log \|S_N(t)\|_\alpha$$

is i.i.d., and $(\phi_N(t))_t$ is a random walk with jumps $\Upsilon_N(\cdot)$. The identity (3.4) shows that $\{\log Z_j(t) : j = 1, \dots, N\}$ is an independent N -sample of the α -stable law with an independent shift by $\phi_N(t-1)$. The above discussion proves Theorem 1.5, part 3 and readily implies part 5.

We now turn to the proof of part 5 and 6 in Theorem 1.5. Let $S = (S_1, \dots, S_N)$ be a vector with i.i.d. entries following the law \mathcal{S}_α and let $X = \frac{S}{\|S\|_1}$. Then,

$$\mathfrak{x}(0) \cdot X = \mathfrak{x}(0) \cdot S = \frac{\mathfrak{x}(0) S}{\|\mathfrak{x}(0) S\|_1} = \frac{\tilde{S}}{\|\tilde{S}\|_1},$$

where $\tilde{S} = \frac{\mathfrak{X}(0)S}{\|\mathfrak{X}(0)S\|_\alpha}$. By the conditioning argument above, we see that \tilde{S} has the same distribution as S . Hence, X and $\mathfrak{X}(0) \cdot X$ have the same distribution and the law of X is indeed the unique invariant measure for the system.

3.2. Asymptotic of the Lyapunov exponent for large N : We will prove Proposition 1.6, namely,

$$\alpha v_N = \log N + \log \log N + \log c_\alpha + o(1), \quad \alpha^2 \sigma_N^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{3 \log N} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right),$$

with c_α from (1.24). Recall from Theorem 1.5 that

$$v_N = \mathbb{E} \Upsilon_N = \mathbb{E} \log \|S_N\|_\alpha = \alpha^{-1} \mathbb{E} \log \sum_{j=1}^N S^\alpha(j),$$

for $S_N = (S(j); j = 1, \dots, N)$ an N -sample of \mathcal{S}_α independent random variables, and

$$\sigma_N^2 = \mathbb{E} [(\Upsilon_N - v_N)^2] \quad (3.8)$$

Note that $\mathbb{P}[S_1^\alpha > x] \sim \frac{1}{x\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$ so that the $S(j)^\alpha$'s are in the domain of attraction of the totally asymmetric stable law of index 1 that we will denote by \mathcal{S} . This is the stable law of index $\alpha = 1$, with characteristic function given for $u \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} e^{iuS} &= \exp \left\{ \int_1^\infty (e^{iux} - 1) \frac{dx}{x^2} + \int_0^1 (e^{iux} - 1 - iux) \frac{dx}{x^2} \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ iCu - \frac{\pi}{2} |u| \left\{ 1 + i \frac{2}{\pi} \text{sign}(u) \ln |u| \right\} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for some real constant C defined by the above equality. It takes real values, not only positive ones. We can then appeal to a general fluctuation result:

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose $(X_i)_i$ is a family of i.i.d. positive random variables such that $P[X_1 > x] \sim x^{-1}L(x)$, with $L(x)$ a slowly varying function. Let*

$$a_N = \inf\{x : P[X_1 > x] \leq 1/N\} \quad \text{and} \quad b_N = N \mathbb{E}[X_1 \mathbf{1}_{X_1 < a_N}]. \quad (3.10)$$

Then,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N X_i - b_N}{a_N} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{S}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. This is a particular case of [20, Th. 3.7.2]. ■

In our case, we can replace a_N, b_N by their leading order (denoting them with the same symbol for simplicity): with $a_N = \frac{N}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$ and $b_N = \frac{N \log N}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_N := \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{N} \times \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N S(j)^\alpha - \frac{N \log N}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{S}, \quad (3.12)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. In particular,

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^N S(j)^\alpha}{N \log N} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}, \quad (3.13)$$

in probability, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. The asymptotics for v_N follows from next proposition:

Lemma 3.1.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\log \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N S(j)^\alpha}{N \log N} \right] = -\log \Gamma(1-\alpha). \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. To derive convergence of moments from the convergence in probability above, we prove uniform integrability, following arguments of [13, proof of Prop. 2.8]. It suffices to show that

$$\sup_N \mathbb{E}(\Sigma_N / (N \log N))^a < \infty \quad (3.15)$$

both for some $a > 0$ and some $a < 0$, where $\Sigma_N = \sum_{i=1}^N S(i)^\alpha$.

For the first purpose, let $\hat{\Sigma}_N = \sum_{i=1}^N S_i^\alpha \mathbf{1}_{\{S_i^\alpha \leq Nu\}}$ and note that

$$\mathbb{P}(\Sigma_N \neq \hat{\Sigma}_N) \leq N \mathbb{P}(S_i^\alpha > Nu) \sim \Gamma(\alpha) \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi u}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Use Markov inequality and bound, for $u > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\Sigma_N / (N \log N) > u) &\leq u^{-1} \mathbb{E}(\hat{\Sigma}_N / (N \log N)) + \mathbb{P}(\Sigma_N \neq \hat{\Sigma}_N) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(u^{-1} \log u), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.15) for $a \in (0, 1)$.

We now treat the case $a = -1$: write by Fubini's theorem

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(\Sigma_N / (N \log N))^{-1}\right] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}e^{-t\Sigma_N / (N \log N)} dt = \int_0^\infty g(t / (N \log N))^N dt,$$

where

$$g(t) = \mathbb{E} \exp\{-t(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)^\alpha\} \leq \begin{cases} 1 - C_1 t |\log t| & t \in (0, 1/2], \\ C_2 & t \in [1/2, \log N], \\ t^{-\gamma} & t > \log N, \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

for some $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma > 0$. The bound for $t \in (0, 1/2)$ follows from the explicit rate of decay of the tails of \mathcal{S}_α , whereas the one for $t > 2$ follows from the fact that the density of \mathcal{S}_α at s is $\mathcal{O}(s)$. Plugging the bounds (3.16) into the above integral, we get (3.15) for $a = -1$. \blacksquare

This ends the proof of Proposition 1.6 and yields $\alpha v_N \sim \log N + \log \log N - \log \Gamma(1 - \alpha)$.

As for the variance, first note that, by [13, Lemma 2.6] (or even the arguments in the proof above), $\log \sum_{j=1}^N S(j)^\alpha$ has finite variance. Now, with Σ_N as in the proof of the previous lemma,

$$\log \left(\frac{\Sigma_N}{c_\alpha N \log N} \right) = \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log N} \mathcal{S}_N \right) = F_N(\mathcal{S}_N), \quad (3.17)$$

where \mathcal{S}_N is defined in (3.12), $c_\alpha = 1/\Gamma(1 - \alpha)$ and $F_N(x) = \log(1 + \frac{x}{\log N})$. Let $L > 0$ and observe that the functions $\log N \times F_N^2(x)$ are uniformly bounded and uniformly continuous on $\{x \leq L\sqrt{\log N}\}$. Assume that, on our probability space, $\mathcal{S}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ almost surely. Then,

$$\log N \mathbb{E} [F_N^2(\mathcal{S}_N) \mathbf{1}_{|\mathcal{S}_N| \leq L\sqrt{\log N}}] \sim \log N \mathbb{E} [F_N^2(\mathcal{S}) \mathbf{1}_{|\mathcal{S}| \leq L\sqrt{\log N}}] \quad (3.18)$$

$$\sim \log N \int_0^\infty F_N^2(y) \frac{dy}{y^2}. \quad (3.19)$$

By a simple change of variable, the last quantity equals $\int_0^\infty \log^2(1 + y) \frac{dy}{y^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{3}$.

3.3. Scaling of the polymer height.

Proof. Theorem 1.7. In this section, we proceed as in [15], Theorem 3.2.

We first expand Υ_N from (1.23). Recall that

$$\Upsilon_N = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \sum_{j=1}^N S_j^\alpha,$$

for S_1, \dots, S_N independent \mathcal{S}_α -distributed random variables. From (3.12), we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^N S_j^\alpha = \frac{N \log N}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\mathcal{S}_N}{\log N} \right\}$$

with \mathcal{S}_N converging to \mathcal{S} , the totally asymmetric stable law of exponent 1 given by (3.9). Hence,

$$\Upsilon_N = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left(\frac{N \log N}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right) + \frac{\mathcal{S}_N}{\alpha \log N} + o(1/\log N)$$

so that, for all $t \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{S}_N(t) := \alpha \log N \{ \Upsilon_N(t) - \log \beta_N \} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, \quad (3.20)$$

with $\beta_N = \left(\frac{N \log N}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right)^{1/\alpha}$. This gives the scaling limit of the jumps of the walk ϕ_N . To get the scaling limit for the walk itself, we use basic convergence theorems of independent increments processes. We apply Theorems 3.2 in [30], taking $Y_m^n, \gamma^n(t)$ in formula (3.1) in that paper equal $\mathcal{S}_N(m), k_N t$ respectively. We derive the desired convergence (1.26) after a few manipulations. ■

3.4. Asymptotics of the invariant measure. Recall the definition

$$X_{N,\alpha}(t) = \frac{Z_N(t)}{\|Z_N(t)\|_\alpha} = \frac{Z_N(t)}{\left(\sum_j Z_N(t, j)^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha}}.$$

Letting $S_N(t, j) := \frac{Z_N(t, j)}{\|Z_N(t-1)\|_\alpha}$ and $S_N(t) = (S_N(t, 1), \dots, S_N(t, N))$, we get

$$X_{N,\alpha}(t) = \frac{S_N(t)}{\left(\sum_j S_N(t, j)^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha}},$$

where we recall that $\{S_N(t, j) : t \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ is an i.i.d. family of \mathcal{S}_α -distributed random variables.

We borrow a (basic) version of Theorem 5.7.1 from [33]:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(X_i)_i$ be i.i.d. random variables with common distribution function F and let $u_n = u_n(\tau)$ be such that*

$$n[1 - F(u_n(\tau))] \rightarrow \tau. \quad (3.21)$$

Then, the point process

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{u_n^{-1}(X_i)} \quad (3.22)$$

converges weakly to the Poisson point process (PPP) on \mathbb{R}_+ with intensity measure the Lebesgue measure.

In our setting, $1 - F(u) \sim cu^{-\alpha}$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$ with $c = \Gamma(1-\alpha)^{-1}$ so that we can choose

$$u_n(\tau) = c^{1/\alpha} n^{1/\alpha} \tau^{-1/\alpha}, \quad u_n^{-1}(x) = cnx^{-\alpha}. \quad (3.23)$$

Let $(S_i)_i$ be an i.i.d. family of \mathcal{S}_α -distributed random variables. Then, according to the above result, the point process

$$\mathcal{P}_N := \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{cNS_i^{-\alpha}} \quad (3.24)$$

converges weakly to a Poisson point process $(\tau_i)_i$ with intensity $d\tau$ on \mathbb{R}_+ .

A more familiar formulation is that $\{\frac{S_i}{N^{1/\alpha}}\}$ converges to a PPP with intensity proportional to $s^{-(1+\alpha)}$. Accordingly, it is tempting to rewrite

$$X_{N,\alpha}^\infty(t) = \frac{N^{-1/\alpha} S_N(t)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^N (N^{-1/\alpha} S_N(t, j))^\alpha\right)^{1/\alpha}}, \quad (3.25)$$

but the denominator diverges, as

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^N S_N(t, j)^\alpha}{N \log N} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}, \quad (3.26)$$

so that it is not unreasonable to expect

$$(\log N)^{1/\alpha} \times X_{N,\alpha}(t) = \frac{N^{-1/\alpha} S_N(t)}{\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^N S_N(t, j)^\alpha}{N \log N}\right)^{1/\alpha}} \quad (3.27)$$

to converge in some sense. Since the limit would have infinitely many points in the neighborhood of 0, we perform a non-linear transformation on the point process, and consider $\sigma_i = -\log \tau_i$.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume $\omega_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{S}_\alpha$. We have the convergence of the point process*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\alpha \log X_{N,\alpha}^\infty(i) + \log_2 N} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \sum_{i \geq 1} \delta_{\sigma_i} \quad (3.28)$$

with $(\sigma_i)_i$ a Poisson point process with intensity $e^{-\sigma} d\sigma$ on \mathbb{R} . ($\log_2 = \log \log$.)

We make a comment on localization: Directed polymers on the lattice in strong disorder regime have macroscopic atoms, in the sense that the favorite sites at the ending time have mass bounded away from 0 [16, 3]. Here, the largest mass vanishes at order $(\log N)^{-1/\alpha}$. Localization is thus rather weak in our model.

4. PERTURBATIVE RESULTS

As a preliminary, we show an additional result when the environment is distributed as the stable law.

4.1. Wave front in the α -stable case. Recall $U_N(t, x)$, u_α and ϕ_N from (1.30), (1.29) and (1.21) respectively.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume $\omega_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{S}_\alpha$, and fix $t \geq 1$ arbitrary.*

(1) *Conditionally on \mathcal{F}_t , we have a.s., as $N \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$U_N(t, x + \phi_N(t-1)) \rightarrow u_\alpha(x),$$

uniformly in x .

(2) *As $N \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\log N \times \left[U_N(t, x + (t-1)v_N + \phi_N(0)) - u_\alpha(x) \right] \xrightarrow{\text{law}} u'_\alpha(x) \mathcal{Z}.$$

where \mathcal{Z} is distributed as a sum of $t-1$ independent \mathcal{S} random variables (see definition (3.9)) – i.e., equal in law to $(t-1)\mathcal{S}$ up to a shift.

Proof. Let

$$X_N(t, j) \stackrel{(3.4)}{\equiv} \log S_N(t, j) := \log Z_N(t, j) - \phi_N(t-1)$$

Recall from Theorem 1.5 that the random variables $\{X_N(t, j) : t \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ are i.i.d. with common law $\log \mathcal{S}_\alpha$. Hence, conditionally on \mathcal{F}_{t-1} , $U_N(t, x + \phi_N(t-1))$ is a sum of independent Bernoulli random variables with parameter $u_\alpha(x)$. Pointwise convergence in item (1) then follows from the law of large numbers. Further, pointwise convergence of monotone

functions to a continuous limit is uniform on compact by Dini's theorem; in fact, the limit is even uniform on \mathbb{R} because the functions are bounded. All this yields item (1).

To prove item (2), first observe that

$$U_N(t, x + (t-1)v_N + \phi_N(0)) = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{X_N(t,j) > x - [\phi_N(t-1) - \phi_N(0) - (t-1)v_N]\}}$$

is, conditionally on \mathcal{F}_{t-1} , a binomial r.v.. By (1.21) and (1.23),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha[\phi_N(t-1) - \phi_N(0) - (t-1)v_N] &= \alpha \sum_{l=1}^{t-1} [\Upsilon_N(l) - v_N] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{t-1} [\log \|S_N(l)\|_\alpha^\alpha - \alpha v_N] \end{aligned}$$

Since the i.i.d. variables $S(l, j)^\alpha$ belong to the domain of attraction of \mathcal{S} , we can write

$$\|S_N(l)\|_\alpha^\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^N S(l, j)^\alpha =: c_\alpha N \log N + c_\alpha N \mathcal{S}_N(l)$$

where the variable $\mathcal{S}_N(l)$ defined by this equality is such that $\mathcal{S}_N(l) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{S}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ (see (3.12)). Since $e^{\alpha v_N} = c_\alpha N \log N (1 + o(1))$ by (1.25), we have

$$\alpha[\phi_N(t) - \phi_N(0) - tv_N] = \sum_{l=1}^t \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log N} \mathcal{S}_N(l) \right) + o(1) \right].$$

From this, we deduce that $\alpha \log N \times [\phi_N(t-1) - \phi_N(0) - (t-1)v_N]$ converges in law to the sum of $(t-1)$ independent \mathcal{S} random variables.

Moreover, for any sequence $z_N \rightarrow 0$, the central limit theorem for binomial variables implies,

$$N^{1/2} \times \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha X_N(t,j) > \alpha x - z_N\}} - u_\alpha(x - z_N) \right] \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{N}(0, u_\alpha(x)[1 - u_\alpha(x)]).$$

Together with a suitable Taylor expansion, we see that the Gaussian fluctuations vanish at the relevant scale,

$$\log N \times \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha X_N(t,j) > \alpha x - z_N\}} - u_\alpha(x) + u'_\alpha(x) \cdot z_N \right] \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking $z_N = \alpha[\phi_N(t-1) - \phi_N(0) - (t-1)v_N]$ and recalling the stable limit for $z_N \log N$, we complete the proof of the second statement. \blacksquare

4.2. Wave front for perturbations of the α -stable case. We give the proof of Theorem 1.8. It is plain to see that hypothesis (1.28) is equivalent to

$$\varphi(u) = \exp\{-u^\alpha(1 + \varepsilon(u))\} \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon(u) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

by considering the function $\varepsilon(u) = -u^{-\alpha} \log \varphi(u) - 1$ for positive u . Define

$$\bar{Z}_N(t, j) := \frac{Z_N(t, j)}{\|Z_N(t-1)\|_\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^N a_{N,i} \omega_{ij}(t), \quad (4.2)$$

where $a_{N,i} = \frac{Z_N(t-1,i)}{\|Z_N(t-1)\|_\alpha}$. Note that that $a_{N,i}$ are functions of $\mathfrak{X}(1), \dots, \mathfrak{X}(t-1)$ and that $\sum_{i=1}^N a_{N,i}^\alpha = 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\exp\{-u\bar{Z}_N(t,j)\}|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] &= \prod_{i=1}^N \varphi(ua_{N,i}) \\ &= \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^N (ua_{N,i})^\alpha (1 + \varepsilon(ua_{N,i}))\right\} \quad (\text{by (4.1)}) \\ &= \exp\left\{-u^\alpha \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N a_{N,i}^\alpha \varepsilon(ua_{N,i})\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the next section we will prove the following

Lemma 4.1. *Under the above hypothesis (1.28),*

$$\sup_{i \leq N} \{\|a_{N,i}\|_\infty\} \equiv \sup\{a_{N,i}; 1 \leq i \leq N, \mathfrak{X}(1), \dots, \mathfrak{X}(t-1)\} = o(1)$$

in probability as $N \rightarrow \infty$ when $t \geq 2$.

With the lemma at hand, we finish the proof. Then, the computation above yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp\{-u\bar{Z}_N(t,j)\}|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \exp\{-u^\alpha(1 + o(1))\} \longrightarrow \exp\{-u^\alpha\} = E[\exp\{-u\mathcal{S}_\alpha\}]$$

for all $u \geq 0$. This implies (e.g., [22] theorem 2, p.431) that $\bar{Z}_N(t,j) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{S}_\alpha$, which is the first claim of the theorem. Conditionally on \mathcal{F}_{t-1} , the variables $(Z_N(t,i)/\|Z_N(t-1)\|_\alpha : i \in K_N)$ are i.i.d., so the second claim follows directly.

We end by proving item (2). From the law of large numbers for triangular arrays of i.i.d. random variables, the claim follows for all real x . Then, uniformity is obtained similarly to the proof of Proposition 4.1. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.8. \blacksquare

4.3. Proof of Lemma 4.1. We start with a simple proof under a stronger assumption, because the coupling argument there makes things transparent. In a second step, we give the proof under our general assumption.

4.3.1. Coupling. In this section we present the proof under more restrictive hypothesis: We assume there exist constants $a < b$ such that

$$u_\alpha(x-b) \leq \mathbb{P}(\log \omega_{i,j}(t) \leq x) \leq u_\alpha(x-a), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.3)$$

with u_α from (1.29). This allows to couple the environment ω at time $t-1$ with i.i.d. α -stable random variables $s_{i,j}$ on a larger probability space in such a way that

$$c^{-1}s_{ij} \leq \omega_{ij}(t-1) \leq cs_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N, \quad (4.4)$$

with $c = \max\{a^{-1}, b\} > 1$. Define

$$\tilde{Z}_N(t-1, j) = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_N(t-2, i) s_{ij} \quad (4.5)$$

Then, for some constant C , we have

$$a_{N,i} \leq C \frac{\tilde{Z}_N(t-1, i)}{\|\tilde{Z}_N(t-1)\|_\alpha} = C \frac{S_i}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^N S_j^\alpha\right)^{1/\alpha}}, \quad (4.6)$$

where the random variables $S_j = \frac{\tilde{Z}(t-1, j)}{\|\tilde{Z}(t-1)\|_\alpha}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ are now independent and \mathcal{S}_α -distributed. As noted above, the S_j^α 's are in the domain of attraction of \mathcal{S} , so that the

denominator is $O((N \log N)^{1/\alpha})$. Recall that the sum of the S_i 's is $O(N^{1/\alpha})$, we conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^N a_{N,i} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log N)^{1/\alpha}}\right)$ in probability. The estimate is uniform on \mathcal{F}_{t-1} .

4.3.2. *Proof completed.* In this section we present the proof under the standing hypothesis (1.28).

First, recall a well-known relation on tails of distribution and Laplace transform of a positive r.v. V : The equivalent hypothesis (4.1), $\mathbb{E}e^{-uV} = \exp\{-u^\alpha(1 + \varepsilon(u))\}$ with $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon(u) = 0$, is itself equivalent, by the Tauberian theorem (Corollary 8.1.7 in [4]) to

$$\mathbb{P}[V \geq x] \sim \frac{1}{x^\alpha \Gamma(1 - \alpha)}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.7)$$

We note that $\bar{Z}_N(t, i)$ defined in (4.2), satisfies (4.1) (equivalently, (1.28)). Indeed,

$$\mathbb{E}(\exp\{-u\bar{Z}_N(t, j)\} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = \exp\left\{-u^\alpha \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N a_{N,i}^\alpha \varepsilon(a_{N,i}u)\right)\right\},$$

where we can bound the sum uniformly by $\max\{\varepsilon(v); v \in [0, u]\}$, which vanishes as $u \rightarrow 0$. Then, we apply the above to $V = \bar{Z}_N(t, i)$, which obeys the tail estimate (4.7).

Thus, we can apply the theory of extreme statistics for triangular arrays of i.i.d. real variables, which implies that the maximum of $\bar{Z}_N(t, i)$ over i normalized by $N^{-1/\alpha}$ converges to a Fréchet law. In complete details, we have (e.g., [8], Th. 2.28)¹

$$\left\{ \frac{\bar{Z}_N(t, i)}{N^{1/\alpha}} : i \leq N \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \text{PPP with intensity } \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} x^{-(1+\alpha)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}.$$

This point process has a finite right-most point and the sum of the α -powers of its terms diverges. Hence,

$$\log a_{N,i} \leq \max_{j \leq N} \log \frac{\bar{Z}_N(t, j)}{N^{1/\alpha}} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \log \sum_j \left(\frac{\bar{Z}_N(t, i)}{N^{1/\alpha}} \right)^\alpha \rightarrow -\infty, \quad N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.8)$$

This proves the lemma. ■

APPENDIX A. PROJECTIVE SPACE AND STOCHASTIC CONTRACTIVITY

For the sake of completeness, we give a proof of Lemma 2.1 and expose the principal ideas leading to it.

A.1. Contraction in the projective space. When studying random matrix products, it is convenient to introduce the projective action of these matrices. See, e.g., [7] for invertible matrices, and [27] for positive matrices. Projectively, that is, when only the directions are considered, the elements of the positive N -dimensional orthant are represented by points of the open and closed polygons

$$B = \{x \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^N; \|x\|_1 = 1\}, \quad \bar{B} = \{x \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^N; \|x\|_1 = 1\}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

If g is a $N \times N$ matrix with (strictly) positive entries, we denote the projective action of g on B by the notation \cdot ,

$$g \cdot x = \frac{gx}{\|gx\|_1},$$

¹(4.7) implies that the solution $v_N(\tau)$ of $N\mathbb{P}(\bar{Z}_N(t, j) \geq v_N(\tau) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = \tau$ is such that

$$v_N(\tau) \sim \frac{N}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)u^\alpha}$$

uniformly over \mathcal{F}_{t-1} . This is all what we need in the i.i.d. case to apply the theorem.

which belongs to B for all $x \in \bar{B}$. The set B can be equipped with a convenient metrics $d(x, y)$, which relates to the Hilbert distance (see [27, Remark below Prop. 3.1]). For $x, y \in \bar{B}$ let

$$m(x, y) = \sup\{\lambda \geq 0 : \lambda y_i \leq x_i, \forall i \leq N\} \in [0, 1],$$

and define, with $\phi(s) = (1 - s)/(1 + s)$,

$$d(x, y) = \phi(m(x, y)m(y, x)).$$

Proposition A.1 (Sect. 10 in [27]). *The map $d : \bar{B} \times \bar{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defines a distance on \bar{B} , and we have:*

(i) *The topology of (B, d) is the topology on B induced by the usual topology on \mathbb{R}^N . For $x \in \bar{B} \setminus B$ and $y \in B$, we have $m(x, y) = 0$ and then $d(x, y) = 1$. Also,*

$$\|x - y\|_2 \leq 2d(x, y), \quad x, y \in \bar{B}.$$

Define the contraction coefficient $c(g)$ of a positive $N \times N$ matrix g as

$$c(g) = \sup\{d(g \cdot x, g \cdot y); x, y \in \bar{B}\} \in [0, 1].$$

Then,

(ii) $\forall x, y \in \bar{B}, d(g \cdot x, g \cdot y) \leq c(g)d(x, y)$;

(iii) *If g has strictly positive entries, $c(g) < 1$;*

(iv) *For the product of two positive matrices g, g' , $c(gg') \leq c(g)c(g')$;*

(v) $c(g^*) = c(g)$.

A.2. Stochastic contractivity. We present the proof of Lemma 2.1. Recall our principal task consists in showing the existence of a B -valued r.v. V_N^∞ such that, $\Pi(t) \cdot x \rightarrow V_N^\infty$ a.s. for all $x \in \bar{B}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The random sequence $c(\Pi(t))$ decreases and hence has a limit for all ω . By item (iv) of Proposition A.1, $c(\Pi(t)) \leq \prod_{s=0}^{t-1} c(\mathfrak{X}(s))$. By item (iii), each term is strictly less than 1, and by independence, we have a.s.

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log c(\Pi(t)) \leq \mathbb{E} \log c(\mathfrak{X}) < 0.$$

(In fact, by subadditivity, the lim sup is an a.s. limit, and the value is $\inf_t t^{-1} \mathbb{E} \log c(\Pi(t))$.) Then, the random polygons $K(t, \omega) = \{\Pi(t) \cdot x; x \in \bar{B}\}$ form a decreasing sequence of compact subsets of B , so that they have a limit,

$$K(\omega) = \bigcap_{t \geq 1} K(t) \neq \emptyset.$$

If $x, y \in K(\omega)$, we have for all t ,

$$d(x, y) \leq \sup\{d(\Pi(t) \cdot x', \Pi(t) \cdot y'); x', y' \in \bar{B}\} \leq c(\Pi(t)),$$

so the distance is 0. Finally, $K(\omega)$ reduces to a random point, say V_N^∞ of B . In particular, $V_N^\infty \in K(t)$ implies that

$$d(\Pi(t) \cdot x, V_N^\infty) \leq c(\Pi(t)) \rightarrow 0,$$

so that $\Pi(t) \cdot x \rightarrow V_N^\infty$ in the d -distance as well as in the Euclidean distance by item (i) of Proposition A.1. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Arnold, V. Gundlach, L. Demetrius: Evolutionary formalism for products of positive random matrices. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **4** (1994), 859–901.
- [2] Y. Bakhtin, K. Khanin: Localization and Perron–Frobenius theory for directed polymers *Mosc. Math. J.* **10** (2010), 667–686
- [3] E. Bates, S. Chatterjee: The endpoint distribution of directed polymers. [arXiv:1612.03443](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.03443) preprint 2016.
- [4] N. Bingham, C. Goldie, J. Teugels: *Regular variation*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 27. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [5] J. Bertoin: Subordinators: examples and applications. Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1997), pp.1–91, Lecture Notes in Math., 1717, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [6] E. Bolthausen: A note on the diffusion of directed polymers in a random environment, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), no. 4, 529–534
- [7] P. Bougerol, J. Lacroix: *Products of random matrices with applications to Schrödinger operators*. Progress in Probability and Statistics, 8. Birkhäuser Boston, 1985.
- [8] A. Bovier: *Gaussian processes on trees. From spin glasses to branching Brownian motion*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 163. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017
- [9] E. Brunet, B. Derrida: Probability distribution of the free energy of a directed polymer in a random medium. *Phys. Rev. E* (3) **61** (2000), no. 6, part B, 6789–6801
- [10] E. Brunet, B. Derrida: Exactly soluble noisy traveling-wave equation appearing in the problem of directed polymers in a random medium. *Phys. Rev. E* **70**, 016106 (2004)
- [11] E. Buffet, J. Pulé: Directed polymers on trees: a martingale approach, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** (1993) 1823–1834
- [12] P. Carmona, F. Guerra, Y. Hu, O. Mejane: Strong disorder for a certain class of directed polymers in a random environment. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **19** (2006), 134–151
- [13] J. Cohen, C. Newman: The stability of large random matrices and their products. *Ann. Probab.* **12** (1984), 283–310.
- [14] F. Comets: *Directed polymers in random environments*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2175. Springer, 2017.
- [15] F. Comets, J. Quastel; A. Ramírez: Last passage percolation and traveling fronts. *J. Stat. Phys.* **152** (2013), 419–451
- [16] F. Comets, T. Shiga, N. Yoshida: Directed polymers in a random environment: path localization and strong disorder. *Bernoulli* **9** (2003), 705–723.
- [17] J. Cook, B. Derrida: Directed polymers in a random medium: $1/d$ expansion and the n -tree approximation *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** (1990), 1523–1554
- [18] A. Cortines: The genealogy of a solvable population model under selection with dynamics related to directed polymers. *Bernoulli* **22** (2016), 2209–2236.
- [19] B. Derrida, H. Spohn: Polymers on disordered trees, spin glasses, and traveling waves. *J. Statist. Phys.* **51** (1988), 817–840.
- [20] R. Durrett: *Probability: theory and examples*. Fourth edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [21] J.-P. Eckmann, C. Waynes: The largest Lyapunov exponent for random matrices and directed polymers in a random environment. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989) 147–175.
- [22] W. Feller: *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*. Vol. II. 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, 1971.
- [23] H. Furstenberg: Noncommuting random products. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **108** (1963) 377–428
- [24] H. Furstenberg, H. Kesten: Products of random matrices. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **31** (1960) 457–469.
- [25] Y. Guivarc’h, A. Raugi: Frontière de Furstenberg, propriétés de contraction et théorèmes de convergence. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **69** (1985) 187–242.
- [26] D. Henley, C. Huse: Pinning and roughening of domain wall in ising systems due to random impurities, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54** (1985), 2708–2711.
- [27] H. Hennion: Limit theorems for products of positive random matrices. *Ann. Probab.* **25** (1997) 1545–1587.
- [28] H. Hennion, L. Hervé: Stable laws and products of positive random matrices. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **21** (2008) 966–981.
- [29] J. Imbrie, T. Spencer: Diffusion of directed polymers in a random environment *J. Stat. Phys.* **52** 609 (1988) 609–626
- [30] J. Jacod: *Théorèmes limite pour les processus*. Saint-Flour notes. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **1117**, pp. 298–409. Springer (1983)
- [31] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, Y. Zhang: Dynamic Scaling of Growing Interfaces *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56**, 889 (1986), 889–892

- [32] M. Kardar, Y. Zhang: Scaling of Directed Polymers in Random Media *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 2087 (1987) , 2087-2090
- [33] M. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren, H. Rootzén: *Extremes and related properties of random sequences and processes*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1983
- [34] C. Newman: The distribution of Lyapunov exponents: exact results for random matrices. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **103** (1986), 121–126
- [35] N. O’Connell, M. Yor: Brownian analogues of Burke’s theorem, *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **96** (2001), 285–304.
- [36] Ya. Sinai: A random walk with a random potential. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* **38** (1993) 457–460.
- [37] J.M. Steele: Kingman’s subadditive ergodic theorem. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **25** (1989), 93–98.
- [38] T. Seppäläinen: Scaling for a one-dimensional directed polymer with boundary conditions. *Ann. Probab.* **40** (2012), 19-73.

(Francis Comets) UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT - PARIS 7, MATHÉMATIQUES, CASE 7012, F-75 205 PARIS CEDEX 13, FRANCE

E-mail address: comets@math.univ-paris-diderot.fr

(Gregorio Moreno, Alejandro F. Ramírez) FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DE CHILE, VICUÑA MACKENNA 4860, MACUL, SANTIAGO, CHILE

E-mail address: grmoreno@mat.uc.cl, aramirez@mat.uc.cl