



**HAL**  
open science

## Convergence rate of inertial Forward-Backward algorithm beyond Nesterov's rule

Vassilis Apidopoulos, Jean-François Aujol, Charles H Dossal

► **To cite this version:**

Vassilis Apidopoulos, Jean-François Aujol, Charles H Dossal. Convergence rate of inertial Forward-Backward algorithm beyond Nesterov's rule. *Mathematical Programming, Series A*, 2018, pp.1-20. 10.1007/s10107-018-1350-9 . hal-01551873

**HAL Id: hal-01551873**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01551873v1>**

Submitted on 30 Jun 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Convergence rate of inertial Forward-Backward algorithm beyond Nesterov's rule

Vassilis Apidopoulos   Jean-François Aujol   Charles Dossal

Université de Bordeaux, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 Talence, France.  
CNRS, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 Talence, France.

{vasileios.apidopoulos/jean-francois.aujol/charles.dossal}@math.u-bordeaux.fr

June 30, 2017

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Definitions and basic notions</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Convergence analysis for i-FB</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>The perturbed case</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1	Inexact computations of the proximal point . . . . .	9
4.2	Convergence rate for inexact i-FB algorithm . . . . .	9
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>12</b>

## Abstract

In this paper we study the convergence of an Inertial Forward-Backward algorithm, with a particular choice of an over-relaxation term. In particular we show that for a sequence of over-relaxation parameters, that do not satisfy Nesterov's rule one can still expect some relatively fast convergence properties for the objective function. In addition we complement this work by studying the convergence of the algorithm in the case where the proximal operator is inexactly computed with the presence of some errors and we give sufficient conditions over these errors in order to obtain some convergence properties for the objective function .

**Keywords** : Convex optimization, proximal operator, inertial FB algorithm, Nesterov's rule, rate of convergence

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space (possibly infinite dimensional). We are interested in the following minimization problem :

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \{F(x)\} \tag{M}$$

where  $F = f + g : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , with :

- H.1  $f$  a convex function in  $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $L$ -Lipschitz gradient
- H.2  $g$  a convex, l.s.c. proper function (possibly non-smooth)
- H.3  $F$  is coercive

Under the conditions , it is clear that the minimization problem (M) admits a solution. In what follows we will consider the conditions as guaranteed and we will note  $x^* \in \mathcal{H}$  a minimizer of  $F$ .

In order to solve the problem (M), several algorithms have been proposed based on the use of the proximal operator due to the non differentiable part . One of the basics is the Forward-Backward algorithm (FB), which consists in obtaining the new iterate by evaluating the proximal operator of  $g$  on the previous point, i.e. : For  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{L}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$  we define <sup>1</sup>

$$x_{n+1} = \text{Prox}_{\gamma g}(x_n - \gamma \nabla f(x_n)) \quad (1.1)$$

The FB turns out to be a descent algorithm with a rate of convergence of the objective function  $F(x_n) - F(x^*) \leq \frac{C}{n}$ ,  $\forall n \geq 1$  and  $C > 0$  a positive constant. It was also proven that the generated iterates weakly converge to a minimizer  $x^*$ . In the seminal work of Nesterov in [12], it was shown that considering -not to the previous but- a relaxed version of the two previous iterations, can lead to some significant fast convergence properties for the trajectories generated. These ideas are further developed in the semi-differential case (where  $g$  is not necessarily differentiable) in [10] and notably in [7]. The basic scheme of these algorithms is the following :

Given  $x_0 = y_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a positive sequence, such that  $a_n \nearrow 1$  and  $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{L}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= \text{Prox}_{\gamma g}(y_{n-1} - \gamma \nabla f(y_{n-1})) \\ y_n &= x_n + a_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

There is a vast literature concerning the study of this type of inertial FB algorithms ( to name but a few, we address the reader to [12], [13], [7], [8], [1], [16]).

The basic idea behind the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is that it can be written as  $a_n = \frac{s_n - 1}{s_{n+1}}$ , where  $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , is a sequence that verifies Nesterov's rule, i.e. :

$$s_n^2 + s_{n+1} - s_{n+1}^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (\text{NR})$$

It has been shown ( see for example [12], [13], [7], [8] ) that if the relation (NR) hold true, then one can obtain a better convergence rate towards the minimum, i.e.  $F(x_n) - F(x^*) \leq \frac{C}{n^2}$ ,  $\forall n \geq 1$  where  $C > 0$  is a positive constant.

A choice of a particular interest for the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , is when  $a_n = \frac{n}{n+b}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  ( this corresponds to  $s_n = \frac{n+b-1}{b-1}$  ), where  $b > 1$ . With this choice, Nesterov's rule (NR) is equivalent to considering  $b \geq 3$ . Nevertheless, in [8] ( see also [1] ) the authors show that by assuming that  $b > 3$ , one can additionally expect the weak convergence of the iterates  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  generated by i-FB, to a minimizer  $x^*$  of  $F$ . In addition in [3] the authors show that by taking  $b > 3$  can asymptotically increase the rate of convergence of  $F(x_n) - F(x^*)$  to a  $o(n^{-2})$ .

In this paper we study the case of i-FB algorithm where  $a_n = \frac{n}{n+b}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , with  $b \in (0, 3)$ , which means that the Nesterov's rule (NR) is not satisfied.<sup>2</sup> In particular we deduce some relatively fast convergence rate for the objective function  $F(x_n) - F(x^*)$ , as also for the local variation of the iterates  $\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|$ . The exact estimate bounds that we find for these quantities, for  $b \in (0, 3)$ , are the following (see Corollary 3.2) :

$$F(x_n) - F(x^*) \leq \frac{C}{(n+b-1)^{\frac{2b}{3}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{(n+b-1)^{\frac{b}{3}}} \quad (1.3)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $C > 0$  is a positive constant.

In addition we deduce "almost" the same convergence properties, in the perturbed case, where every iterate is inexactly calculated by some error parameters, under some control hypotheses over these errors (see Corollary 4.1 and Remark 1).

This work consists a discrete time counterpart of the continuous one made recently in [5] and it will provide us a useful guide for our study.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give the basic definitions and tools necessary for our analysis. In the third section we study the convergence rates for a special choice of over-relaxation terms for the i-FB algorithm. Finally in section 4 we present the same type of analysis for the inexact i-FB algorithm, where every new iterate of the algorithm is inexactly calculated with the presence of some errors.

<sup>1</sup>For a definition of the Prox operator, see (2.1) in the next section

<sup>2</sup> To this issue, we address the reader to **Remark A** at the end of this document

## 2 Definitions and basic notions

Given a function  $G : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define its subdifferential, as the multi-valued operator  $\partial G : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ , such that for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  :

$$\partial G(x) = \{z \in \mathcal{H} : \forall y \in \mathcal{H}, G(x) \leq G(y) + \langle z, x - y \rangle\}$$

We also recall the definition of the proximal operator which is the basic tool for i-FB algorithm. If  $G$  is a lower semi-continuous, proper and convex function, the proximal operator of  $G$  is the operator  $\text{Prox}_G \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , such that :

$$\text{Prox}_G(x) = \arg \min_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ G(y) + \frac{\|x - y\|^2}{2} \right\}, \forall x \in \mathcal{H} \quad (2.1)$$

Here we must point out that the proximal operator is well-defined, since by the hypothesis made on  $G$ , for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , the strongly convex function  $y \rightarrow G(y) + \frac{\|x - y\|^2}{2}$ , admits a unique minimizer.

Equivalently the proximal operator can be also seen as the resolvent of the maximal monotone operator  $\partial G$ , i.e. for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\gamma$  a positive parameter we have that :

$$\text{Prox}_{\gamma G}(x) = (Id + \gamma \partial G)^{-1}(x) \quad (2.2)$$

For a detailed study concerning the subdifferential and the proximal operator and their properties, we address the reader to [6].

## 3 Convergence analysis for i-FB

In this section we present the results concerning the convergence analysis of the i-FB algorithm with a special choice of the over-relaxation terms.

Firstly we recall the i-FB algorithm as the one considered in [8] :

---

### Algorithm 1 i-FB

---

Let  $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{L}$  and  $b \in (0, 3)$ . We consider the sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ ,  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $x_0 = y_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ , and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  we set :

$$x_n = T(y_{n-1}) \quad (3.1)$$

$$y_n = x_n + a_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) \quad \text{with} \quad a_n = \frac{n}{n+b} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\text{where} \quad T(x) = \text{Prox}_{\gamma g}(x - \gamma \nabla f(x)) \quad (3.3)$$


---

We also consider the following sequences :  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ ,  $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ ,  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that :

$$t_n = n + b - 1 \quad (3.4)$$

$$\delta_n = \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 \quad (3.5)$$

$$w_n = F(x_n) - F(x^*) \quad (3.6)$$

In addition for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\xi > 0$ , we define the sequences  $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ , such that for all  $n \geq 1$  :

$$v_n = \frac{1}{2} \|\lambda(x_{n-1} - x^*) + t_n(x_n - x_{n-1})\|^2 \quad (3.7)$$

$$E_n = t_n^2 w_n + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \underbrace{\|\lambda(x_{n-1} - x^*) + t_n(x_n - x_{n-1})\|^2}_{=v_n} + \frac{\xi}{2\gamma} \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 \quad (3.8)$$

The sequence  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  was used implicitly for the study of i-FB algorithm in numerous articles (see for example [8], [16] and [1]). Although it was introduced explicitly in [16] and [1], as an energy function associated to the dynamical system corresponding to i-FB algorithm, which is the following :

$$\ddot{x}(t) + \frac{b}{t} \dot{x}(t) + \nabla F(x(t)) = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

In these works it has been shown that for higher values of parameter  $b$  which are greater than 3, the sequence  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is non-increasing. This leads to some fast convergence properties of the sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as also to convergence of the generated sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to a minimizer ( see for example [8], [16] and [1]). As also pointed out, the value of  $b = 3$  ( which corresponds to the Nesterov's accelerated algorithm ) seems critical for this non-increasing property of  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . More precisely the following Theorem holds :

**Theorem 3.1** (Su and al. [16]). *Let  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{L}$ ,  $b \geq 3$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the sequence generated by  $i$ -FB. Then for  $\lambda = b - 1$  and  $\xi = 0$  the sequence  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is non-increasing.*

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{L}$ ,  $b \geq 3$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the sequence generated by  $i$ -FB. Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ , it holds :*

$$w_n \leq \frac{C}{(n + b - 1)^2} \quad (3.10)$$

Our study focus on the convergence rates of the objective sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for small values of  $b$ . More precisely we show that for  $b \in (0, 3)$ , one can still obtain some relatively fast convergence rate for  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  despite the fact that the energy-sequence  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not necessarily non-increasing. We now give the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{L}$ ,  $b \in (0, 3)$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the sequence generated by  $i$ -FB. Then for  $\lambda = \frac{2b}{3}$  and  $\xi = \frac{4b^2}{9}$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , such that for all  $n \geq 1$ , it holds :*

$$E_n \leq C(n + b - 1)^{\frac{2(3-b)}{3}} \quad (3.11)$$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have :*

$$F(x_n) - F(x^*) \leq \frac{C}{(n + b - 1)^{\frac{2b}{3}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{(n + b - 1)^{\frac{b}{3}}} \quad (3.12)$$

The strategy of the proof is the following. Firstly we study the local variation of the sequence  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  ( i.e. the difference  $E_{n+1} - E_n$  ). Using some Lyapunov-type analysis, for some suitable choices of parameters  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\xi > 0$ , we are able to control the growth of  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  up to a suitable order. Once this control-estimate is proven, an application of a discrete version of Gronwall's lemma ( see Lemma A.1 in Appendix ) will provide the bound for the sequence  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as given on Theorem 3.2.

For the proof of Theorem 3.2 we also make use of the following lemma (see Lemma 1, in [8]) :

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $y \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{L}$  we have that for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  :*

$$2\gamma(F(x) - F(T(y))) \geq \|T(y) - x\|^2 - \|y - x\|^2 \quad (3.13)$$

In order to prove the assertion of Theorem 3.2, we will use the next Lemma which shows the control-order of the growth of  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{L}$ ,  $b \in (0, 3)$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the sequence generated by  $i$ -FB. Then for all  $n \geq 1$ , the following recursive formula holds :*

$$E_{n+1} - E_n \leq \left( \frac{a}{(n + b - 1)^2} + \frac{c}{(n + b - 1)} \right) E_n \quad (3.14)$$

where  $a = \frac{(3-b)(3+b)}{9}$  and  $c = \frac{2(3-b)}{3}$

Due to the technical details of the proof of Lemma 3.2, we will first present a sketch of it in order to give a better insight.

1. We start by investigating the local variation of the sequence  $\{E_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ . By using Lemma 3.1 and performing some algebraic computations we obtain a relation of the following form :

$$2\gamma(E_{n+1} - E_n) \leq 2\gamma\alpha_{n,\lambda,\xi}w_n + \beta_{n,\lambda,\xi}\delta_n + \gamma_{n,\lambda,\xi}\langle x_n - x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} - x^* \rangle \quad (3.15)$$

At this point, in order to prove Theorem 3.1 it is sufficient to choose suitable values for  $\lambda$  and  $\xi$  in order to show that  $\gamma_{n,\lambda,\xi} = 0$  and  $\alpha_{n,\lambda,\xi}, \beta_{n,\lambda,\xi} \leq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , under the supplementary hypothesis that  $b \geq 3$ .

2. Here instead we are interested in the case where  $b \in (0, 3)$  and  $\alpha_{n,\lambda,\xi}, \beta_{n,\lambda,\xi}$  are not necessarily non-positive for all  $n \geq 1$ . In that point we express  $E_n$  in function of  $w_n$  and  $\delta_n$  and we find a relation of the form :

$$2\gamma(E_{n+1} - E_n) \leq 2\gamma \frac{c}{t_n} E_n + R_{n,\lambda,\xi} \quad (3.16)$$

3. Finally by some suitable values for  $\lambda$  and  $\xi$  we show that :  $R_{n,\lambda,\xi} \leq 2\gamma \frac{a}{t_n^2} E_n$

We now pass to a detailed presentation of this proof.

*Proof.* By applying Lemma (3.1) to  $y = y_n$  and  $x = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}\right)x_n + \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}x^*$  we obtain ( here  $\lambda \in (0, 1+b)$ ):

$$2\gamma \left( F \left( \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}\right)x_n + \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}x^* \right) - F(x_{n+1}) \right) \geq \|x_{n+1} - x_n + \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}(x_n - x^*)\|^2 - \|a_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}(x_n - x^*)\|^2 \quad (3.17)$$

By using the convexity of  $F$  we obtain :

$$2\gamma \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}\right)F(x_n) + \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}F(x^*) - F(x_{n+1}) \right] \geq \|x_{n+1} - x_n + \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}(x_n - x^*)\|^2 - \|a_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}(x_n - x^*)\|^2 \quad (3.18)$$

By adding  $F(x^*)$  on both sides ,by definition of  $w_n$ , we have :

$$2\gamma \left[ \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}\right)w_n - w_{n+1} \right] \geq \|x_{n+1} - x_n + \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}(x_n - x^*)\|^2 - \|a_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}(x_n - x^*)\|^2 \quad (3.19)$$

By multiplying both sides by  $t_{n+1}^2$ , we obtain :

$$2\gamma \left( (t_{n+1}^2 - \lambda t_{n+1})w_n - t_{n+1}^2 w_{n+1} \right) \geq \|t_{n+1}(x_{n+1} - x_n) + \lambda(x_n - x^*)\|^2 - \|n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \lambda(x_n - x^*)\|^2 \quad (3.20)$$

by adding  $t_n^2 w_n$  on both sides we obtain :

$$2\gamma \left( k_{n+1}w_n + t_n^2 w_n - t_{n+1}^2 w_{n+1} \right) \geq \underbrace{\|t_{n+1}(x_{n+1} - x_n) + \lambda(x_n - x^*)\|^2}_{=v_{n+1}} - \|n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \lambda(x_n - x^*)\|^2 \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k_{n+1} &= t_{n+1}^2 - \lambda t_{n+1} - t_n^2 = (n+b)^2 - \lambda(n+b) - (n+b-1)^2 \\ &= n^2 + 2bn + b^2 - \lambda n - \lambda b - n^2 - 2(b-1)n - b^2 + 2b - 1 \\ &= (2-\lambda)(n+b) - 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

So that :

$$2\gamma(t_{n+1}^2 w_{n+1} - t_n^2 w_n) \leq 2\gamma k_{n+1}w_n + \|n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \lambda(x_n - x^*)\|^2 - v_{n+1} \quad (3.23)$$

Hence by using the last inequality and the identity

$$\|u - z\|^2 - \|v - z\|^2 = \|u - v\|^2 + 2\langle u - v, v - z \rangle \quad \forall u, v, z \in \mathcal{H} \quad (\text{PI})$$

and the definition of  $E_n$  we have that :

$$\begin{aligned}
2\gamma(E_{n+1} - E_n) &= 2\gamma(t_{n+1}w_{n+1} - t_n w_n) + v_{n+1} - v_n + \xi(\|x_n - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2) \\
(3.23) \quad &\leq 2\gamma k_{n+1} w_n + \|n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \lambda(x_n - x^*)\|^2 - \|t_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \lambda(x_{n-1} - x^*)\|^2 \\
&\quad + \xi(\|x_n - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2) \\
\left( (PI)z = \lambda x^* \right) &= 2\gamma k_{n+1} w_n + (\lambda + 1 - b)^2 \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 + 2(\lambda + 1 - b)\langle x_n - x_{n-1}, t_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \lambda(x_{n-1} - x^*) \rangle \\
\left( (PI)z = x^* \right) &\quad + \xi \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 + 2\xi \langle x_n - x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} - x^* \rangle \\
&= 2\gamma k_{n+1} w_n + \left( (\lambda + 1 - b)^2 + \xi + 2(\lambda + 1 - b)t_n \right) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2(\lambda(\lambda + 1 - b) + \xi) \langle x_n - x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} - x^* \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

By definition of  $E_n$  we also have

$$2\gamma E_n = 2\gamma t_n^2 w_n + (\lambda^2 + \xi) \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 + t_n^2 \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 + 2\lambda t_n \langle x_n - x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} - x^* \rangle \tag{3.25}$$

so that

$$t_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 = \frac{2\gamma}{t_n} E_n - 2\gamma t_n w_n - \frac{(\lambda^2 + \xi)}{t_n} \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 - 2\lambda \langle x_n - x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} - x^* \rangle \tag{3.26}$$

By injecting this last equality into (3.24), we find :

$$\begin{aligned}
2\gamma(E_{n+1} - E_n) &\leq 2\gamma(k_{n+1} - 2(\lambda + 1 - b)t_n)w_n + ((\lambda + 1 - b)^2 + \xi) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 - \frac{2(\lambda + 1 - b)(\lambda^2 + \xi)}{t_n} \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2(\xi - \lambda(\lambda + 1 - b)) \langle x_n - x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} - x^* \rangle + 2\gamma \frac{2(\lambda + 1 - b)}{t_n} E_n
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

By choosing  $\xi = \lambda(\lambda + 1 - b)$ , we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned}
2\gamma(E_{n+1} - E_n) &\leq 2\gamma(k_{n+1} - 2(\lambda + 1 - b)t_n)w_n + (\lambda + 1 - b)(2\lambda + 1 - b) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 1 - b)(2\lambda + 1 - b)}{t_n} \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 + 2\gamma \frac{2(\lambda + 1 - b)}{t_n} E_n
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

By definition of  $k_{n+1}$  (3.22), we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned}
2\gamma(E_{n+1} - E_n) &\leq 2\gamma((2 - \lambda)(n + b) - 1 - 2(\lambda + 1 - b)t_n)w_n + (\lambda + 1 - b)(2\lambda + 1 - b) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 1 - b)(2\lambda + 1 - b)}{t_n} \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 + 2\gamma \frac{2(\lambda + 1 - b)}{t_n} E_n \\
&= 2\gamma(2b - 3\lambda)(n + b)w_n + 2\gamma(2(\lambda - b) + 1)w_n + (\lambda + 1 - b)(2\lambda + 1 - b) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 1 - b)(2\lambda + 1 - b)}{t_n} \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 + 2\gamma \frac{2(\lambda + 1 - b)}{t_n} E_n
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

By choosing  $\lambda = \frac{2b}{3}$ , we find :

$$\begin{aligned}
2\gamma(E_{n+1} - E_n) &\leq 2\gamma \frac{(3 - 2b)}{3} w_n + \frac{(3 - b)(3 + b)}{9} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 - 2 \frac{2b(3 - b)(3 + b)}{27t_n} \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\gamma \frac{2(3 - b)}{3(n + b - 1)} E_n
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

In this point, firstly we express the term  $\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2$  with the aid of  $E_n$  and  $w_n$  and then we regroup the different terms.

From the inequality

$$\|\alpha\|^2 \leq 2\|\alpha + \beta\|^2 + 2\|\beta\|^2, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{H}$$

and the definition of  $E_n$ , we have ( for  $\alpha = t_n(x_n - x_{n-1})$  and  $\beta = \lambda(x_{n-1} - x^*)$  ) we find :

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma E_n &\geq 2\gamma t_n^2 w_n + \frac{t_n^2}{2} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 + (\xi - \lambda^2) \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 \\ (\xi = \lambda(\lambda + 1 - b)) &= 2\gamma t_n^2 w_n + \frac{t_n^2}{2} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 - \lambda(b-1) \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 \leq 2\gamma \frac{2}{t_n^2} E_n - 4\gamma w_n + \frac{2\lambda(b-1)}{t_n^2} \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 \quad (3.32)$$

By injecting the inequality (3.32) into (3.27), we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma(E_{n+1} - E_n) &\leq 2\gamma \left( \frac{3-2b}{3} - 2\frac{(3-b)(3+b)}{9} \right) w_n + 2\frac{2b(3-b)(3+b)}{27} \left( \frac{b-1}{t_n^2} - \frac{1}{t_n} \right) \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\gamma \frac{2(3-b)(3+b)}{9t_n^2} E_n + 2\gamma \frac{2(3-b)}{3(n+b-1)} E_n \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Therefore we have :

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma(E_{n+1} - E_n) &\leq 2\gamma \frac{(2b^2 - 6b - 9)}{9} w_n - \frac{2b(3-b)(b+3)n}{27(n+b-1)^2} \|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\gamma \frac{2(3-b)(b+3)}{9(n+b-1)^2} E_n + 2\gamma \frac{2(3-b)}{3(n+b-1)} E_n \\ &= 2\gamma B_1 w_n + -B_2 n \frac{\|x_{n-1} - x^*\|^2}{(n+b-1)^2} + \frac{2\gamma a}{(n+b-1)^2} E_n + \frac{2\gamma c}{n+b-1} E_n \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

where :

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \frac{2b^2 - 6b - 9}{9} < 0, \forall b \in (0, 3) \\ B_2 &= \frac{2b(3-b)(b+3)}{27} > 0, \forall b \in (0, 3) \\ a &= \frac{2(3-b)(b+3)}{9} > 0, \forall b \in (0, 3) \\ c &= \frac{2(3-b)}{3} > 0, \forall b \in (0, 3) \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that for all  $n \geq 1$  :

$$E_{n+1} - E_n \leq \frac{a}{(n+b-1)^2} E_n + \frac{c}{(n+b-1)} E_n \quad (3.35)$$

which concludes the proof of Lemma 3.2, with  $a = \frac{2(3-b)(b+3)}{9}$  and  $c = \frac{2(3-b)}{3}$ . □

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem 3.2, by using the estimation (3.14) of Lemma 3.2 and a discretized version of Gronwall's Lemma (see Lemma A.1).

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.*

From Lemma 3.2 for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have :

$$E_{n+1} - E_n \leq \frac{a}{(n+b-1)^2} E_n + \frac{c}{(n+b-1)} E_n \quad (3.36)$$

with  $a = \frac{2(3-b)(b+3)}{9}$  and  $c = \frac{2(3-b)}{3}$ .

By summing (3.36) over  $n$ , we find that for all  $n \geq 1$  it holds :

$$E_{n+1} \leq E_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{a}{i} + c \right) \frac{E_i}{i} \quad (3.37)$$



where for ease of notation we denote as  $i$  the quantity  $i + b - 1$ . By applying Lemma A.1, for all  $n \geq 1$  we find :

$$E_{n+1} \leq E_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \left( 1 + \frac{c}{i} + \frac{a}{i^2} \right) = E_1 e^{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{i} + \frac{a}{i^2} \right) \right)} \quad (3.38)$$

The function  $G(x) = \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{x} + \frac{a}{x^2} \right)$  is positive and non-increasing in  $[1, +\infty)$ , therefore by summation-integral comparison test for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n G(i) \leq G(1) + \int_2^n G(x) dx = \log(1 + c + a) + \int_2^n \left( \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{x} + \frac{a}{x^2} \right) \right) dx \quad (3.39)$$

By standard integration techniques, for all  $n \geq 1$  we find :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^n \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{x} + \frac{a}{x^2} \right) dx &= n \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{n} + \frac{a}{n^2} \right) + \int_2^n \frac{cx + 2a}{x^2 + cx + a} dx + A \\ &= n \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{n} + \frac{a}{n^2} \right) + \frac{c}{2} \int_2^n \frac{2x + c}{x^2 + cx + a} dx + \left( 2a - \frac{c^2}{2} \right) \int_2^n \frac{1}{x^2 + cx + a} dx + A \\ &= n \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{n} + \frac{a}{n^2} \right) + \frac{c}{2} \log(n^2 + cn + a) + \frac{1}{2} \int_2^n \frac{dx}{\left( \frac{2x+c}{\sqrt{4a-c^2}} \right)^2 + 1} + A \\ &= \frac{c}{2} \log(n^2 + cn + a) + n \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{n} + \frac{a}{n^2} \right) + \left( \sqrt{4a - c^2} \right) \arctan \left( \frac{2n + c}{\sqrt{4a - c^2}} \right) + A \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

where  $A > 0$  is a renamed constant at each step. Here we stress out that every step is justified, since  $4a > c^2$ . As the function  $\arctan$  is bounded for all  $n \geq 1$ , we obtain :

$$\int_2^n \left( \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{x} + \frac{a}{x^2} \right) \right) dx \leq \frac{c}{2} \log(n^2 + cn + a) + n \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{n} + \frac{a}{n^2} \right) + A \quad (3.41)$$

where  $A > 0$  is a (renamed) constant which can be chosen positive. By injecting this last inequality into (3.39), for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n G(i) &\leq \log(1 + c + a) + \frac{c}{2} \log(n^2 + cn + a) + n \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{n} + \frac{a}{n^2} \right) + A \\ &\leq \log(1 + c + a) + \frac{c}{2} \log((1 + a + c)n^2) + n \log \left( 1 + \frac{c}{n} + \frac{a}{n^2} \right) + A \\ &= 2 \log(1 + a + c) + \log(n^c) + \log \left( 1 + \frac{c + \frac{a}{n}}{n} \right)^n + A \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

By injecting the last inequality into (3.38), for all  $n \geq 1$ , we obtain :

$$E_{n+1} \leq E_1 \left[ 2(1 + a + c)A \left( 1 + \frac{c + \frac{a}{n}}{n} \right)^n n^c \right] \leq Cn^c \quad (3.43)$$

for a positive constant  $C > 0$ , since  $\left( 1 + \frac{c + \frac{a}{n}}{n} \right)^n$  is bounded, as a convergent sequence ( such a bound is for example  $e^{c+a}$  ). This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.2 up to substituting  $n$  by  $n + b - 1$ .  $\square$

## 4 The perturbed case

In many cases the calculation of the proximal operator is not exact In this section we present i-FB algorithm in presence of some error parameters as the ones considered in [4] [14], [17], [9]. In what follows we keep the same notations as in the unperturbed case for the different sequences.

## 4.1 Inexact computations of the proximal point

In this section, we introduce the different notions used to approximate a proximal operator in this work. As recalled in the first section, if  $F$  is a proper, convex and l.s.c function and  $\gamma > 0$ , we can define the proximal map  $\text{Prox}_{\gamma F}$  by

$$\text{Prox}_{\gamma F}(y) = \arg \min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ F(x) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|x - y\|^2 \right\} \quad (4.1)$$

Let us denote by

$$G_{\gamma}(x) = F(x) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|x - y\|^2. \quad (4.2)$$

The first order optimality condition for a convex minimum problem yields

$$z = \text{Prox}_{\gamma F}(y) \iff 0 \in \partial G_{\gamma}(z) \iff \frac{y - z}{\gamma} \in \partial F(z) \quad (4.3)$$

We now introduce the notion of  $\varepsilon$ -subdifferential of  $F$  at the point  $z \in \text{dom}F$  as:

$$\partial_{\varepsilon} F(z) = \{y \in \mathcal{H} \mid F(x) \geq F(z) + \langle x - z, y \rangle - \varepsilon, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}\} \quad (4.4)$$

It is worth noticing that it holds:

$$0 \in \partial_{\varepsilon} F(z) \iff F(z) \leq \inf F + \varepsilon \quad (4.5)$$

The  $\varepsilon$ -subdifferential is a generalization of the subdifferential as given in section 2. Note that if  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $\partial f(x) \subset \partial_{\varepsilon} f(x)$ .

We start by giving some definitions on the different types of approximations of the proximal operator that one can find in [4], following [14] and [17].

**Definition 4.1.** We say that  $z \in \mathcal{H}$  is a type 1 approximation of  $\text{Prox}_{\gamma F}(y)$  with  $\varepsilon$  precision and we write  $z \approx_1 \text{Prox}_{\gamma F}(y)$  if and only if

$$0 \in \partial_{\varepsilon} G_{\gamma}(z) \quad (4.6)$$

**Definition 4.2.** We say that  $z \in \mathcal{H}$  is a type 2 approximation of  $\text{Prox}_{\lambda F}(y)$  with  $\varepsilon$  precision and we write  $z \approx_2 \text{Prox}_{\lambda F}(y)$  if and only if

$$\frac{y - z}{\gamma} \in \partial_{\varepsilon} F(z) \quad (4.7)$$

Notice that if  $z \approx_2 \text{Prox}_{\gamma F}(y)$ , then  $z \approx_1 \text{Prox}_{\gamma F}(y)$  (see Proposition 1 in [14]).

Finally we make call of a technical lemma taken from [15] ( see Lemma 2 ), that enables to consider approximations of types  $i = 2$  or  $i = 3$  in the same setting.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  is a type 2 approximation of  $\text{Prox}_{\gamma F}(y)$  with  $\varepsilon$  precision, then there exists  $r$  such that  $\|r\| \leq \sqrt{2\gamma\varepsilon}$  and*

$$\frac{y - x - r}{\gamma} \in \partial_{\varepsilon} F(x) \quad (4.8)$$

Notice that when  $r = 0$ , then we get the definition of a type 2 approximation.

## 4.2 Convergence rate for inexact i-FB algorithm

In this framework we consider the inexact i-FB algorithm as follows :

---

### Algorithm 2 Inexact i-FB

---

Let  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{L}$  and  $b \in (0, 3)$ . We consider the sequences  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ ,  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $x_0 = y_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  we set :

$$x_n = T_{e_n}^{\varepsilon}(y_{n-1}) \quad (4.9)$$

$$y_n = x_n + a_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) \quad \text{where} \quad a_n = \frac{n}{n+b} \quad (4.10)$$

$$\text{where} \quad T_{e_n}^{\varepsilon}(x) \approx_j^{\varepsilon} \text{Prox}_{sg}(x - \gamma(\nabla f(x) + e_n)) \quad \text{where} \quad j \in \{1, 2\}$$


---

We present here the main results concerning the inexact i-FB algorithm :

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{L}$ ,  $b \in (0, 3)$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the sequence generated by the inexact i-FB algorithm. Then for  $\lambda = \frac{2b}{3}$  and  $\xi = \frac{4b^2}{9}$ , for every  $\eta > 0$ , there exists  $C_\eta > 0$ , such that for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have :

$$E_n \leq \frac{\left(2A_n + \sqrt{2(C_\eta + B_n)}\right)^2}{2\gamma} (n + b - 1)^{\frac{2(3-b)}{3} + \eta} \quad (4.11)$$

$$\text{where : } A_n = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{1 - \frac{c+\eta}{2}} (\gamma \|e_i\| + \sqrt{2\gamma\varepsilon_i}) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n = \gamma \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{2 - (c+\eta)} \varepsilon_i \quad (4.12)$$

**Corollary 4.1.** Let  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{L}$ ,  $b \in (0, 3)$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the sequence generated by the inexact i-FB algorithm. Then for every  $\eta > 0$ , there exists  $C_\eta > 0$ , such that for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have :

$$F(x_n) - F(x^*) \leq \frac{\left(2A_n + \sqrt{2(C_\eta + B_n)}\right)^2}{2\gamma(n + b - 1)^{\frac{2b}{3} - \eta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 \leq \frac{\left(2A_n + \sqrt{2(C_\eta + B_n)}\right)^2}{(n + b - 1)^{\frac{2b}{3} - \eta}} \quad (4.13)$$

$$\text{where : } A_n = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{1 - \frac{c+\eta}{2}} (\gamma \|e_i\| + \sqrt{2\gamma\varepsilon_i}) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n = \gamma \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{2 - (c+\eta)} \varepsilon_i \quad (4.14)$$

*Remark 1.* The last Corollary asserts that under the supplementary hypothesis over the perturbation terms  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ , the convergence rates for the inexact i-FB algorithm remain "almost" the same as in the unperturbed case (i-FB algorithm). Formally, let  $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{L}$ ,  $b \in (0, 3)$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the sequence generated by the inexact i-FB algorithm. If in addition, for every  $\eta > 0$ , we make the following assumptions :

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n^{1 - \frac{c+\eta}{2}} \|e_n\| \leq A < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n^{1 - \frac{c+\eta}{2}} \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \leq B < +\infty \quad (4.15)$$

Then there there exists  $C_\eta > 0$ , such that for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have :

$$F(x_n) - F(x^*) \leq \frac{C_\eta}{2\gamma(n + b - 1)^{\frac{2b}{3} - \eta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 \leq \frac{C_\eta}{(n + b - 1)^{\frac{2b}{3} - \eta}} \quad (4.16)$$

We begin by adapting the Lemma 3.1 of the previous section, for the perturbed version :

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $y \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{L}$ . For all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have :

$$F(x) - F(T_e^\varepsilon(y)) + \varepsilon + \langle e + \frac{r}{\gamma}, x - T_e^\varepsilon(y) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2\gamma} (\|T_e^\varepsilon(y) - x\|^2 - \|y - x\|^2) \quad (4.17)$$

where  $r \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|r\| \leq \sqrt{2\gamma\varepsilon}$

For a complete proof of Lemma 4.2, we address the reader to Lemma A.1. in [4].

We are now ready to present the proof of Theorem 4.1 :

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* In the same way than the one in the unperturbed case, by applying Lemma 4.2 to  $y = y_n$  and  $x = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}\right)x_n + \frac{\lambda}{t_{n+1}}x^*$  we obtain ( here  $\lambda \in (0, 1 + b)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma(t_{n+1}^2 w_{n+1} - t_n^2 w_n) &\leq 2\gamma k_{n+1} w_n + \|(t_n - 1)(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \lambda(x_n - x^*)\|^2 - v_{n+1} \\ &\quad - 2\gamma t_{n+1} \langle e_{n+1} + \frac{r_{n+1}}{\gamma}, \underbrace{\lambda(x_n - x^*) + t_{n+1}(x_{n+1} - x_n)}_{=v_{n+1}} \rangle + 2\gamma t_{n+1}^2 \varepsilon_{n+1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Therefore by using the last inequality, and performing the same computations as the ones made in proof of Theorem 3.2, we find that for all  $n \geq 1$ , it holds:

$$E_{n+1} - E_n \leq \frac{(c + \frac{a}{n+b-1})}{n+b-1} E_n - (n+b) \langle e_{n+1} + \frac{r_{n+1}}{\gamma}, v_{n+1} \rangle + (n+b)^2 \varepsilon_{n+1} \quad (4.19)$$

For ease of notation we will use the re-indexation  $n + b - 1 \rightsquigarrow n$ , which we will replace at the end of the proof. Hence we rewrite the previous inequality as :

$$E_{n+1} - E_n \leq \frac{(c + \frac{a}{n})}{n} E_n - (n+1) \langle e_{n+1} + \frac{r_{n+1}}{\gamma}, v_{n+1} \rangle + (n+1)^2 \varepsilon_{n+1} \quad (4.20)$$

Let  $\eta > 0$ . We define the sequence  $\{H_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ , such that for all  $n \geq 1$  :

$$H_n = \frac{E_n}{n^{c+\eta}} + \sum_{i=1}^n i^{1-(c+\eta)} \langle e_i + \frac{r_i}{\gamma}, v_i \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n i^{2-(c+\eta)} \varepsilon_i$$

For all  $n \geq 1$ , we have :

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n+1} - H_n &= \frac{E_{n+1}}{(n+1)^{c+\eta}} - \frac{E_n}{n^{c+\eta}} + \frac{(n+1) \langle e_{n+1} + \frac{r_{n+1}}{\gamma}, v_i \rangle}{(n+1)^{c+\eta}} - \frac{(n+1)^2 \varepsilon_{n+1}}{(n+1)^{c+\eta}} \\ &= \frac{E_{n+1} - (1 + \frac{1}{n})^{c+\eta} E_n + (n+1) \langle e_{n+1} + \frac{r_{n+1}}{\gamma}, v_i \rangle - (n+1)^2 \varepsilon_{n+1}}{(n+1)^c} \\ &= \frac{E_{n+1} - E_n - \frac{c}{n} E_n + (n+1) \langle e_{n+1} + \frac{r_{n+1}}{\gamma}, v_i \rangle - (n+1)^2 \varepsilon_{n+1} - \frac{\eta}{n} E_n + O(n^{-2}) E_n}{(n+1)^c} \\ (4.20) \quad &\leq \left( O(n^{-1}) - \eta \right) \frac{E_n}{n^{1+c}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Since for any  $\eta > 0$  there exists  $N_\eta \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that the right-hand side of the last inequality is non-positive, we deduce that the tail sequence  $\{H_n\}_{n \geq N_\eta}$  is non-increasing. Therefore by setting  $C_\eta = \max\{H_n : n \leq N_\eta\}$ , using the definition of  $H_n$ , the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Lemma 4.1, for all  $n \geq 1$  we find :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E_n}{n^{c+\eta}} &\leq C_\eta - \sum_{i=1}^n i^{1-(c+\eta)} \langle e_i + \frac{r_i}{\gamma}, v_i \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n i^{2-(c+\eta)} \varepsilon_i \\ &\leq C_\eta + \sum_{i=1}^n i^{1-(c+\eta)} \|e_i + \frac{r_i}{\gamma}\| \|v_i\| + \sum_{i=1}^n i^{2-(c+\eta)} \varepsilon_i \\ &\leq C_\eta + \sum_{i=1}^n i^{1-(\frac{c+\eta}{2})} (\|e_i\| + \frac{\sqrt{2\gamma\varepsilon_i}}{\gamma}) i^{-\frac{c+\eta}{2}} \|v_i\| + \sum_{i=1}^n i^{2-(c+\eta)} \varepsilon_i \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Using the last inequality and the definition of  $\{E_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , we find :

$$(n^{-\frac{c-\eta}{2}} \|v_n\|)^2 \leq 2C_\eta + 2B_n + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n i^{1-(\frac{c+\eta}{2})} (\gamma \|e_i\| + \sqrt{2\gamma\varepsilon_i}) i^{-\frac{c+\eta}{2}} \|v_i\| \quad (4.23)$$

By applying Lemma A.2 with :

$$u_n = n^{-\frac{c-\eta}{2}} \|v_n\| \quad , \quad a_n = 2n^{1-(\frac{c+\eta}{2})} (\gamma \|e_n\| + \sqrt{2\gamma\varepsilon_n}) \quad \text{and} \quad S_n = 2C_\eta + 2B_n \quad (4.24)$$

we find that for all  $n \geq 1$ , it holds :

$$\|v_n\| \leq \left( 2A_n + \sqrt{2(C_\eta + B_n)} \right) n^{\frac{c+\eta}{2}} \quad (4.25)$$

By injecting this last inequality into (4.22) and multiplying both members by  $2\gamma$ , we find :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\gamma E_n}{n^{c+\eta}} &\leq 2A_n \left( 2A_n + \sqrt{2(C_\eta + B_n)} \right) + 2(C_\eta + B_n) \\ &= \left( 2A_n + \sqrt{2(C_\eta + B_n)} \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

It follows that :

$$E_n \leq \frac{\left( 2A_n + \sqrt{2(C_\eta + B_n)} \right)^2}{2\gamma} n^{c+\eta} \quad (4.27)$$

which by replacing  $n$  by  $n + b - 1$  and  $c = \frac{2(3-b)}{3}$ , concludes the proof of Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

## A Appendix

The next two Lemmas are the discretized versions of Gronwall's Lemma and Gronwall's-Bellman's Lemma ( see for example Theorem 4 in [11] and Lemma 1 in [15] ).

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $C_0$  a positive real number and  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  two non-negative sequences such that  $u_1 \leq C_0$  and for all  $n \geq 1$  :*

$$u_{n+1} \leq C_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Then for all  $n \geq 1$  it holds :

$$u_{n+1} \leq C_0 \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + a_i) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $C_0$  a positive real number and  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  two non-negative sequences, such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  it holds*

$$u_n^2 \leq S_n + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i$$

where  $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a non-decreasing sequence such that  $u_1^2 \leq S_1$ . Then for all  $n \geq 1$ , it holds :

$$u_n \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + \sqrt{S_n}$$

**Remark.** While submitting this paper we were informed that in a parallel but independent way Attouch and al. worked in the same problem and had just submitted the preprint "Rate of convergence of the Nesterov accelerated gradient method in the subcritical case  $\alpha \leq 3$ " ( see [2], <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.05671> ).

Nevertheless our method allow us to conclude with Corollary 3.2 concerning the estimates (3.12), i.e.

$$F(x_n) - F(x^*) \leq \frac{C}{(n+b-1)^{\frac{2b}{3}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{(n+b-1)^{\frac{b}{3}}} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

which is a better result as the one proven in [2] which corresponds to the following estimates (see Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.1 of [2]) :

$$F(x_n) - F(x^*) \leq \frac{C_p}{(n+b-1)^{2p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \leq \frac{C_p}{(n+b-1)^p} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where  $0 < p < \frac{b}{3}$ . In addition we give a complete proof of Theorem 4.1, concerning the results for the inexact i-FB algorithm.

**Acknowledgements :** This study has been carried out with financial support from the French State, managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR GOTMI) (ANR-16-CE33-0010-01). Jean-François Aujol is a member of Institut Universitaire de France (IUF).

## References

- [1] Hedy Attouch, Zaki Chbani, Juan Peypouquet, and Patrick Redont. Fast convergence of inertial dynamics and algorithms with asymptotic vanishing viscosity. *Mathematical Programming*, pages 1–53, 2016.
- [2] Hedy Attouch, Zaki Chbani, and Hassan Riahi. Rate of convergence of the nesterov accelerated gradient method in the subcritical case  $\alpha \leq 3$ . *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.05671*, 2017.
- [3] Hedy Attouch and Juan Peypouquet. The rate of convergence of nesterov's accelerated forward-backward method is actually faster than  $1/k^2$ . *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 26(3):1824–1834, 2016.
- [4] J-F Aujol and Ch Dossal. Stability of over-relaxations for the forward-backward algorithm, application to fista. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 25(4):2408–2433, 2015.

- [5] J-F Aujol and Ch Dossal. Optimal rate of convergence of an ode associated to the fast gradient descent schemes for  $b > 0$ . *submitted to Journal of Differential Equations*, 2017.
- [6] Heinz H Bauschke and Patrick L Combettes. *Convex analysis and monotone operator theory in Hilbert spaces*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- [7] Amir Beck and Marc Teboulle. A fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems. *SIAM journal on imaging sciences*, 2(1):183–202, 2009.
- [8] A Chambolle and Ch Dossal. On the convergence of the iterates of the “fast iterative shrinkage/thresholding algorithm”. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 166(3):968–982, 2015.
- [9] Patrick L Combettes and Valérie R Wajs. Signal recovery by proximal forward-backward splitting. *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation*, 4(4):1168–1200, 2005.
- [10] Osman Güler. New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 2(4):649–664, 1992.
- [11] John M Holte. Discrete gronwall lemma and applications. In *MAA-NCS meeting at the University of North Dakota*, volume 24, pages 1–7, 2009.
- [12] Yurii Nesterov. A method of solving a convex programming problem with convergence rate  $o(1/k^2)$ . In *Soviet Mathematics Doklady*, volume 27, pages 372–376, 1983.
- [13] Yurii Nesterov. *Introductory lectures on convex optimization: A basic course*, 2013.
- [14] Saverio Salzo and Silvia Villa. Inexact and accelerated proximal point algorithms. *Journal of Convex analysis*, 19(4):1167–1192, 2012.
- [15] Mark Schmidt, Nicolas Le Roux, and Francis Bach. Convergence rates of inexact proximal-gradient methods for convex optimization. In *NIPS*, 2011.
- [16] Weijie Su, Stephen Boyd, and Emmanuel J Candes. A differential equation for modeling nesterov’s accelerated gradient method: theory and insights. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 17(153):1–43, 2016.
- [17] S Villa, S Salzo, L Baldassarre, and A Verri. Accelerated and inexact forward-backward algorithms. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 23(3):1607–1633, 2013.