

# **Pax3- and Pax7-mediated Dbx1 regulation orchestrates the patterning of intermediate spinal interneurons**

Chris Gard, Gloria Gonzalez Curto, Youcef El-Mokhtar, Elodie Chollet, Nathalie Duval, Valentine Auzié, Frédéric Auradé, Lisa Vigier, Frédéric Relaix, Alessandra Pierani, et al.

### **To cite this version:**

Chris Gard, Gloria Gonzalez Curto, Youcef El-Mokhtar, Elodie Chollet, Nathalie Duval, et al.. Pax3 and Pax7-mediated Dbx1 regulation orchestrates the patterning of intermediate spinal interneurons. Developmental Biology, 2017, 10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.06.014 . hal-01545854

# **HAL Id: hal-01545854 <https://hal.science/hal-01545854v1>**

Submitted on 23 Jun 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# <sup>2</sup>**Pax3- and Pax7-mediated Dbx1 regulation orchestrates the**  <sup>3</sup>**patterning of intermediate spinal interneurons**

4



### 23 **Abstract**

24 Transcription factors are key orchestrators of the emergence of neuronal diversity within the 25 developing spinal cord. As such, the two paralogous proteins Pax3 and Pax7 regulate the 26 specification of progenitor cells within the intermediate neural tube, by defining a neat 27 segregation between those fated to form motor circuits and those involved in the integration of 28 sensory inputs. To attain insights into the molecular means by which they control this process, 29 we have performed detailed phenotypic analyses of the intermediate spinal interneurons (IN), 30 namely the dI6, V<sub>0</sub>, V<sub>0</sub><sub>VCG</sub> and V<sub>1</sub> populations in compound null mutants for Pax<sub>3</sub> and Pax<sub>7</sub>. 31 This has revealed that the levels of Pax3/7 proteins determine both the dorso-ventral extent and 32 the number of cells produced in each subpopulation; with increasing levels leading to the 33 dorsalisation of their fate. Furthermore, thanks to the examination of mutants in which Pax3 34 transcriptional activity is skewed either towards repression or activation, we demonstrate that 35 this cell diversification process is mainly dictated by Pax3/7 ability to repress gene expression. 36 Consistently, we show that Pax3 and Pax7 inhibit the expression of *Dbx1* and of its repressor 37 *Prdm12*, fate determinants of the V0 and V1 interneurons, respectively. Notably, we provide 38 evidence for the activity of several *cis*-regulatory modules of *Dbx1* to be sensitive to Pax3 and 39 Pax7 transcriptional activity levels. Altogether, our study provides insights into how the 40 redundancy within a TF family, together with discrete dynamics of expression profiles of each 41 member, are exploited to generate cellular diversity. Furthermore, our data supports the model 42 whereby cell fate choices in the neural tube do not rely on binary decisions but rather on 43 inhibition of multiple alternative fates.

44

# **Highlights**

- Pax3 and Pax7 control the spatial organisation of spinal differentiation
- Pax3 and Pax7 repressive activity spatially restricts Dbx1 and Prdm12 expression
- An intronic *cis*-regulatory module recapitulates *Dbx1* expression
- The *Dbx1* intronic *cis*-regulatory module is sensitive to Pax3/7 transcriptional activity

# **Keywords**

- 51 Neuronal patterning; Pax3/Pax7 transcription factors; Dbx1; repression; spinal cord
- 52 interneurons; *cis*-regulatory modules.

### 53 **Introduction**

54 The developing vertebrate neural tube is a classical and well-studied model to address how cell 55 diversity is generated and organised within a tissue. The stereotypical arrangements and 56 numbers of neuronal subtypes composing the adult spinal cord emanate from two rounds of 57 cell-fate choices operating during embryogenesis (Lai et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2015). During the 58 first phase, "naïve" progenitor cells acquire distinct molecular signatures depending on their 59 position along the dorsal-ventral (D-V) axis of the neural tube (Del Corral and Storey, 2004; 60 Lai et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2015). These signatures are then further variegated when cells undergo 61 post-mitotic differentiation. Ultimately, cells will express unique sets of genes imposing 62 stereotypic physiological and morphological traits upon each neuronal subtype. This process of 63 cellular determination is largely controlled by transcription factors (TFs) (Lai et al., 2016; Lu 64 et al., 2015). These proteins operate at the level of non-coding *cis*-regulatory modules (CRMs) 65 where they recruit factors impacting on the activity of the basal transcriptional machinery 66 and/or the chromatin state. Thereby, their activity underpins the overall levels of gene activation 67 or repression within cells (Spitz and Furlong, 2012). Although the extent of TF diversity within 68 the developing vertebrate neural tube can now be estimated (e.g. Armant et al., 2013, see also 69 Supp. Table 4), how their activity at the level of CRMs generates robust gene expression 70 profiles and cellular phenotypes is still poorly defined and hence remains under intensive 71 investigation (e.g. Bailey et al., 2006; Borromeo et al., 2014; Kutejova et al., 2016; Mazzoni et 72 al., 2013).

73

74 To delve into this issue, we focussed on the activity of two paralogous and highly related 75 proteins, Pax3 and Pax7 (Mayran et al., 2015). These TFs are amongst the first to be induced 76 within the dorsal part of the developing spinal cord; in mouse, Pax3 is induced by gestational 77 day (GD) 8, while Pax7 appears 24 hours later (Goulding et al., 1991; Jostes et al., 1990). It has

78 been known for a long time that these factors are essential to spinal cord development and 79 control several key cellular processes ranging from neural tube closure to timing of post-mitotic 80 differentiation (e.g. Epstein et al., 1991; Nakazaki et al., 2008). In addition, several studies have 81 led to the idea that these factors orchestrate cell type specification not only within the dorsal 82 neural tube where they are expressed (Moore et al., 2013; Nakazaki et al., 2008) but also within 83 the intermediate neural tube (Mansouri and Gruss, 1998). In this region, 3 adjacent progenitor 84 pools, dp6, p0 and p1 (from dorsal to ventral), are fated to become dI6, V0 and V1 interneurons 85 (IN), respectively (Lu et al., 2015). The V1 and V0 IN populations are further diversified into 86 discrete neuronal subtypes. For instance, the most dorsal p0 progenitors give rise to two GABA-87 and glycine-secreting populations, termed V0<sub>D</sub> and V0<sub>V</sub> IN, while the ventral p0 progenitors 88 give rise to two excitatory neuronal subtypes, the cholinergic  $V0<sub>C</sub>$  and glutamatergic  $V0<sub>G</sub>$  IN 89 (Lu et al., 2015). Within these populations, it was previously shown that the position and the 90 number of V1 and V0vcG IN are regulated by Pax3 and Pax7 activity; in their absence, the V1 91 IN are produced in excess and are intermingled with V0 IN (Mansouri and Gruss, 1998). 92 Whether Pax3 and Pax7 regulate the fate of the other intermediate cell types remains to be 93 tested and the molecular mechanisms by which they repress ventral fates needs to be 94 established. Notably, it is still unclear whether they are able to directly repress the expression 95 of ventral specifiers. Indeed, even though both Pax3 and Pax7 possess an octapeptide motif 96 capable of interactions with the Groucho/TLE co-repressors (Muhr et al., 2001), most studies 97 suggest that they act as transcriptional activators. For instance, Pax3 and Pax7 have been shown 98 to be necessary to promote the activity of CRMs regulating the expression of key patterning 99 genes such as Pax3 itself or Neurog2 (Moore et al., 2013; Nakazaki et al., 2008). Further 100 supporting this, the expression of a single functional allele of Pax3 encoding an activator form 101 of Pax3 is sufficient to rescue many of the morphological defects of Pax3 single mutants (Relaix 102 et al., 2003).

103 Altogether these data prompted us to assess the precise contribution of Pax3 and Pax7 to the 104 patterning of the intermediate neural tube and to test whether their active and/or repressive 105 transcriptional activity is involved in this process. To this end, we have analysed the distribution 106 of intermediate interneuron populations in mouse embryos carrying either compound null 107 mutations for these two genes or dominant active or repressive forms of Pax3. These analyses 108 revealed that the modulation of the levels and the type of transcriptional activity determines not 109 only the position but also the number of neurons generated. Furthermore, they led us to identify 110 Prdm12 and Dbx1 as target genes, the latter being capable of sensing the levels of Pax3 and 111 Pax7 transcriptional activity through several *cis*-regulatory modules.

112

### 113 **Material and Methods**

#### 114 **Mouse lines and** *in ovo* **electroporation**

115 Mice carrying *Pax3* null, *PAX3A*, *Pax3R* and *Pax7* null alleles were previously described 116 (Bajard et al., 2006; Mansouri et al., 1996; Relaix et al., 2005, 2003). Notably, *PAX3A* and 117 *Pax3R* alleles encode for proteins made of Pax3 DNA-binding domains fused to either the 118 FOXO1 transactivation or Engrailed repressor domain. These are expressed from the *Pax3* 119 locus upon activation of the Cre recombinase driven by the zygote specific *PGK* enhancer 120 (Lallemand et al., 1998). Electroporation constructs expressing Pax3 and Pax7 variants and 121 Dbx1 from the *pCIG* vector engineered to bi-cistronically express nuclear-targeted GFP 122 (Megason and McMahon, 2002) were generated. The *CRM4.8kb*, which contains the *Dbx1* 123 minimal promoter and the *CRM4.8kb::iCRM* were cloned into a *pBluescript* vector, while 124 *CRM1* to *3* and the *iCRM* were inserted into a *bGZA* vector (Yee et al., 1993), upstream of a 125 minimal *HBB* promoter. For detailed cloning strategies see Supp. Material and Methods. 126 Reporter plasmids (0.5µg/µl) and *pCIG* based constructs (1.5 µg/µl) were electroporated in 127 Hamburger and Hamilton (HH) stage 10-11 chick embryos according to described protocols 128 (Briscoe et al., 2000).

129

#### 130 **Immunohistochemistry and** *in situ* **hybridisation**

131 Mouse and chick embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 45 min to 2 hr at 4°C. Fixed 132 embryos were cryoprotected by equilibration in 15% sucrose, embedded in gelatin, 133 cryosectioned (14 µm), and processed for immunostaining (Briscoe et al., 2000) or *in situ* 134 hybridisation (ISH) (Yamada et al., 1993). Details of the reagents are provided in the Supp. 135 Material and Methods. All quantifications were performed at brachial levels using ImageJ 136 v.1.43 g image analysis software (NIH) on usually more than 3 embryos and on 2 to 4 transverse 137 sections per embryo (Supp. Table 1, Table 2). The number of cells per section was determined 138 using the cell counter plugin. Fluorescence intensity of  $\beta$ -Galactosidase and of GFP in chick 139 embryos and the evaluation of dorsal–ventral boundaries position were determined as described 140 in (Balaskas et al., 2012). The heat maps were generated using MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, 141 MA) and correspond to the mean of the ratios of  $\beta$ -Galactosidase fluorescence intensity over 142 that of GFP on the number of sections and embryos indicated in Supp. Table 2. The heat maps 143 provide a color-coded scale for individual datasets by associating the lowest value in the data 144 matrix with dark blue and the highest value with dark red. All statistical analyses were 145 performed using a Mann-Whitney U test in GraphPad Prism and all the p-values are given in 146 Supp. Table 3.

147

#### 148 *In silico* **analysis of** *Dbx1* **locus**

149 The mouse sequence of each CRM was used as the query sequence for cross-species BLAT 150 searches, performed with the Ensembl genome browser (http://www.ensembl.org/). The 151 alignments of enhancer sequences across anole lizard, cat, chicken, chimpanzee, Chinese soft-

7

152 shell turtle, cow, horse, human, mouse, rat, xenopus and zebrafish genomes were produced and 153 analysed using ClustalOmega (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) and phylogenetically 154 conserved motifs were defined using MEME (http://meme.ebi.edu.au/meme/tools/meme). 155 Putative TF binding sites within the conserved motifs were identified using the Tomtom tool in 156 the MEME suite (http://meme.ebi.edu.au/meme/tools/tomtom). The alignments of enhancer 157 sequences were searched for putative Pax3 and Pax7 homeodomain and paired binding sites 158 using position weight matrices (PWMs) for these sites using FIMO (http://meme-159 suite.org/tools/fimo). PWMs for Pax homeodomain binding sites were either taken from work 160 by (Jolma et al., 2013) or created *de novo* from Pax3 and Pax7 ChIP-Sequencing data 161 (Soleimani et al., 2012) using MEME (Supp. Fig. 3A, Supp. Material and Methods). To 162 characterise the functionality of Dbx1 CRMs, H3K27ac, H3K4me1, DNase-seq and enhancer-163 like predictions from mouse GD11.5 and/or GD12.5 neural tube reference epigenome data were 164 obtained from the ENCODE data center (Encode project consortium, 2012). Data were 165 produced by the B. Ren (UCSD) and Z. Weng (Umass) laboratories and can be retrieved under 166 the ENCODE project accession numbers ENCSR111SYT, ENCSR362MIE, ENCSR003PNR, 167 ENCSR448TTC and ENCSR263CKR. Sox2 and Sox3 binding events in neural progenitors 168 were obtained from Bergsland et al. (2012). Pax7 binding events in muscle were obtained from 169 Soleimani et al. (2012). Processed data in mm9 assembly coordinates were transformed into 170 mm10 using the UCSC LiftOver tool.

# 171 **RESULTS**

#### 172 **Modulations in the levels of Pax3 and Pax7 transcriptional activities impact on the**

#### 173 **specification of intermediate spinal interneurons**

174 In order to analyse the fate of intermediate dp6 to p1 progenitors upon the modulation of Pax3 175 and Pax7 transcriptional activity, we analysed the expression profiles of several TFs allowing

176 the identification of dI6,  $V0<sub>D</sub>$ ,  $V0<sub>VCG</sub>$  and V1 IN in several mutants for Pax3 and Pax7 (Fig. 177 1)(Lai et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2015). To distinguish dI6 IN we performed immunolabelling for 178 Lbx1 together with AP2 $\alpha$  and/or Brn3a; the dI6 express only Lbx1, while the abutting dorsal 179 dI5 population is  $Lbx1^+$ ,  $AP2\alpha^+$ ,  $Brn3a^+$  (Supp. Fig. 1A-D, Fig. 1Ai, C). Detecting Pax2 and 180 Lbx1 allowed us to identify  $V0_D$  IN, as a Pax2<sup>+</sup>/Lbx1<sup>-</sup> population ventrally flanking 181 Pax2<sup>+</sup>/Lbx1<sup>+</sup> dI6 cells (Fig. 1Aviii, C). The identification of this V0<sub>D</sub> population can be 182 facilitated by the additional labelling of Evx1, allowing to distinguish between Evx1<sup>-</sup> V0<sub>D</sub> and  $183$  Evx1<sup>+</sup> V0<sub>VCG</sub> IN populations (Fig. 1Aviii). Finally, V1 IN were observed by visualising FoxD3 184 expression (Fig. 1Axv, C).

185 These immunolabellings revealed that the generation of dI6 IN is not dramatically affected by 186 the loss of either Pax3 or Pax7 (Fig. 1Ai, ii, Bi and data not shown). However, their number 187 greatly decreases in Pax $3^{-/-}$ ;Pax $7^{+/}$  embryos and even more in double knock-out (dKO) embryos 188 (Fig. 1Aiii, iv, Bi). This indicates that production of dI6 IN requires both Pax3 and Pax7 189 activities and is sensitive to gene dosage. Similar conclusions can be drawn for the V0<sub>D</sub> IN. The 190 decrease in their number seen in Pax $3^{-1}$  single mutants is accentuated by the loss of one allele 191 of Pax7 (Fig. 1Aviii-x, Bii). The dKO embryos display barely any V0<sub>D</sub> cells (Fig. 1Axi, Supp. 192 Fig. 1E). However, precise quantification was compromised by the GFP expressed from Pax3 193 mutant alleles and the absence of the Pax2<sup>-</sup> V0<sub>V</sub> population that normally separates the Pax2<sup>+</sup> 194 V $0_D$  from the V $0_{CG}/V1$  cells (Fig. 1Axi, Fig. 1C, (Lai et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2015)). In contrast 195 with dI6 and V0 $_D$  IN, the number of Evx1<sup>+</sup> V0 $_{VCG}$  IN is increased in Pax3 single null mutants 196 (Fig. 1Axv, xvi, Biii). This expansion is further increased in absence of one allele of Pax7, 197 indicating that the generation of this population is restricted by Pax3 and Pax7 and that the 198 restriction is dependent on Pax $3/7$  levels (Fig. 1Axvii, Biii). Intriguingly, the V0 $v_{\text{CG}}$  population 199 is very much reduced in dKO embryos (Fig. 1Axviii, Biii). This can be correlated with the 200 dramatic dorsal expansion of  $FoxD3+V1$  cells observed in absence of both Pax3 and Pax7 (Fig.

201 1Axv, xviii, Biv), which was also previously observed using *in situ* hybridisation labelling of 202 *EN1* mRNA, a V1 determinant (Mansouri and Gruss, 1998). This dorsal expansion of V1 IN 203 was also observed, although to a lesser extent, in  $Pax3^{-/-}$ ;  $Pax7^{+-}$  embryos and is even less 204 pronounced in Pax $3^{-/-}$  embryos (Fig. 1Axvi, xvii, Biv). Taken together these analyses 205 demonstrate that the number and the position of dI6,  $V0<sub>D</sub>$ ,  $V0<sub>VCG</sub>$  and V1 IN are regulated by 206 the levels of Pax3 and Pax7 proteins, with dorsal fates being progressively converted to ventral 207 ones upon loss of Pax3/7 alleles.

208

209 To assess whether Pax3/Pax7-mediated patterning of the intermediate spinal cord involves 210 transcriptional activation or repression, we performed the same immunostainings on brachial 211 sections of embryos expressing a dominant active (PAX3A) or repressive form (Pax3R) of Pax3 212 from the Pax3 locus (Fig. 1). Upon PAX3A expression, the generation of dI6 IN is completely 213 lost, while the expression of Pax3R has little, if any, effect on this population (Fig. 1Av-vii, 214 Bv). Taken together with the progressive loss of dI6 IN when Pax3/Pax7 alleles are successively 215 removed, this indicates that the generation of dI6 IN requires Pax3/7 repressive activity. 216 Conversely, the V0D population, which is also decreased upon loss of Pax3/7 alleles, expands 217 upon the expression of PAX3A and recedes slightly upon expression of Pax3R (Fig. 1Axii-xiv, 218 Bvi), suggesting that the fate of this population is more dependent on Pax3 positive activity. 219 V0v<sub>CG</sub> IN are also affected by the expression of the transcriptional active and repressive forms of Pax3. Expression of PAX3A increases the population, while it is reduced in *Pax3KIPax3R/-* 220 221 embryos (Fig. 1Axix-xxi, Bvii), suggesting that Pax3 repressive activity prevents V0 $v_{\text{CC}}$  IN 222 generation. Finally and intriguingly, contrasting with the dKO phenotype, the V1 population is 223 neither affected by the expression of PAX3A nor by that of Pax3R. Several hypotheses can be 224 drawn to explain this phenotype. First, the ventral restrictions of the V1 population by Pax3 and 225 Pax7 depend on a complex regulatory network, implicating both their positive and negative 226 transcriptional potential. Second, the remaining two alleles of Pax7 in embryos carrying the 227 Pax3R and PAX3A alleles may interfere, thus rendering the interpretation of these results 228 difficult. To conclude, our data demonstrate that the levels of Pax3 and Pax7 activity, notably 229 those leading to transcriptional repression, play a key role in the positioning and numbering of 230 four distinct interneuron populations of the intermediate neural tube.

231

# 232 **Pax3 and Pax7 repressive transcriptional activity restricts the Dbx1 dorsal**  233 **boundary**

234 Amongst the known TFs that regulate the fate of neurons within the intermediate spinal cord 235 and that could be targeted by Pax3 and Pax7, Dbx1 stood out. Dbx1 is mainly expressed by p0 236 progenitors in a domain that just overlaps with that of Pax7 ((Dessaud et al., 2010; Dyck et al., 237 2012; Lanuza et al., 2004; Pierani et al., 2001) and is able to direct spinal progenitor cells 238 towards a V0 fate by preventing them from adopting either a V1 or a dI6 fate ((Lanuza et al., 239 2004; Pierani et al., 2001), Fig. 4A, Supp. Fig. 1F). To evaluate the possibility of Pax3/7 240 regulating Dbx1, we first compared the dynamics in the expression profile of these three TFs 241 in mouse embryos in which the stable reporter proteins GFP and  $\beta$ -Galactosidase are expressed 242 from *Pax3* and *Pax7* loci, respectively (Fig. 2A, Supp. Fig. 2A, data not shown). Pax7 is induced 243 in the neural tube concomitantly with Dbx1 around GD9.0 (data not shown; (Jostes et al., 1990; 244 Pierani et al., 1999)) and at all stages analysed their expression only overlaps within the most 245 dorsal row of the  $Dbx1^+$  domain (Fig. 2Aii', Supp. Fig. 2A). Within this row of cells, Pax3 is 246 also detected (Fig. 2Aii), with Pax3<sup>+</sup> cells representing less than 20% of Dbx1<sup>+</sup> cells (Fig. 247 2Aiii). This contrasts with the presence of Pax3 lineage marker GFP in more than 98% of Dbx1<sup>+</sup> 248 cells (Fig. 2Aiii). Dbx1 induction, thus, appears temporally correlated with the dynamic dorsal 249 restriction of Pax3 expression (Fig. 2B). Dbx1 induction may then be stabilised by a Dbx1

250 mediated repression of Pax3, as illustrated by the downregulation of Pax3 and, to a lesser extent 251 of Pax7, upon Dbx1 gain of function in chick embryos (Fig. 4Aii, iii).

252 We then assessed whether *Dbx1* expression is modulated by the loss of function of Pax3 and 253 Pax7 by performing *in situ* hybridisation against *Dbx1* transcripts in *Pax3* and *Pax7* double null 254 mutant mice at GD10.5 and GD11.5 (Fig. 2C, Supp. Fig. 2B). At both stages, the  $Dbx1^+$  domain 255 is dorsally expanded within the neural tube of double mutants and reaches a size double that of 256 control embryos. To further determine the contribution of Pax3 and/or Pax7 to *Dbx1* ventral 257 restriction, we examined Dbx1 protein distribution in several compound null mutants for both 258 genes at GD11.5 (Fig. 2D, Supp. Fig. 2C, Di). In *Pax7* null mutants, the Dbx1 expression profile 259 remains unchanged (Supp. Fig. 2C). Conversely, a slight, yet significant, increase in the number 260 of Dbx1<sup>+</sup> cells was observed in *Pax3* single mutants (Fig. 2Di, ii, v). This phenotype appears 261 stronger upon removal of one *Pax7* allele (Fig. 2Diii, v). Indeed, in *Pax3<sup>-/-</sup>; Pax7<sup>+/-</sup>* embryos, 262 not only is the Dbx1<sup>+</sup> domain dorsally expanded (Supp. Fig. 2Di), but also all of the cells within 263 this domain express Dbx1 protein. By contrast, in control embryos, Dbx1 protein distribution 264 is present in a salt-and-pepper pattern (compare Fig. 2Diii to Fig. 2Di). Intriguingly, despite the 265 large expansion of *Dbx1* transcript distribution in *Pax3;Pax7* double mutants (Supp. Fig. 2Di), 266 we observed a reduced number of cells expressing Dbx1 protein compared to control embryos 267 (Fig. 2Div, v); suggesting post-transcriptional down-regulation of Dbx1 in the absence of Pax3 268 and Pax7. This could explain the reduction of  $V0_{VCG}$  IN production previously observed in dKO 269 mutants (Fig. 1Axviii, Biii). Taken together these results indicate that both Pax3 and Pax7 are 270 required to position the dorsal border of the *Dbx1* expression domain.

271 We then sought to evaluate whether gain of function for Pax3 and Pax7 impacts on *Dbx1* 272 expression. For this, we electroporated chick embryos at Hamilton and Hamburger stage HH10- 273 11 with constructs driving the bi-cistronic expression of either Pax3 or Pax7 together with GFP 274 (Fig. 2E). At 24 hours post electroporation (hpe), both Pax3 and Pax7 ectopic expression are 275 sufficient to prevent the induction of *Dbx1* transcription, while electroporation of the empty 276 *GFP* containing plasmid (*pCIG*) has no effect.

277 Altogether, these results prompted us to investigate whether Pax3- and Pax7-mediated 278 restriction of *Dbx1* expression occurs by transcriptional activation or repression (Drouin, 2014). 279 First, we analysed the distribution of *Dbx1* mRNA and protein in mouse embryos expressing 280 Pax3R or PAX3A, at GD10.5 and GD11.5. (Fig. 2F-H, Supp. Fig. 2Dii). Embryos carrying the 281 *Pax3R* allele display a reduction in the levels of Dbx1 transcripts and protein (Fig. 2F, G). Upon 282 quantification, no significant changes in the position of Dbx1 D-V boundaries were noted in 283 mutants carrying a *Pax3R* allele compared to control embryos (Fig. 2Fv, Supp. Fig. 2Dii). By 284 contrast, in  $Pax3^{Pax3A/+}$  embryos, the Dbx1 domain is more than doubled in size at GD10.5 (Fig. 285 2Fiv, v, 2Giv, v) and further expands with time to encompass the entire dorsal region of the 286 spinal cord in GD11.5 embryos (Fig. 2Hi, ii). In addition, this expansion occurs both dorsally 287 and ventrally, unlike the purely dorsal expansion observed in double knockout embryos (Fig. 288 2Fv, Supp. Fig. 2Dii). Taken together these results show that while Pax3 and Pax7 have 289 previously been mainly demonstrated to act as transcriptional activators during embryogenesis 290 (Budry et al., 2012; McKinnell et al., 2008; Relaix et al., 2003), in the neural tube these TFs 291 contribute to neural tube patterning through transcriptional repression. It is also noteworthy that 292 *Dbx1* expression is hardly ever detected and only occurs at very low levels in the somites of 293 wild-type embryos (Fig. 2Hiii) but that it is strongly induced in  $Pax3^{PAX3A/+}$  embryos (Fig. 294 2Hiv); therefore suggesting that Pax3-mediated repression of Dbx1 also occurs in Pax3 295 dependent myogenic lineages. This hints that our work may prove relevant in a spectrum of 296 tissue types.

297

#### 298 *Dbx1* **locus harbours several Pax responsive** *cis***-regulatory modules**

299 We next decided to evaluate whether Pax3 and Pax7 could directly control *Dbx1* transcription 300 by acting at the level of *Dbx1* associated *cis*-regulatory modules (CRM). To identify these 301 CRMs, we first compared the *Dbx1 loci* and the surrounding 10kb intergenic regions of several 302 vertebrate species ranging from human to fugu. This approach revealed the existence of 5 303 blocks of conservation: three of them located within 4.2kb upstream of the *Dbx1* transcription 304 start site (CRM1, CRM2, CRM3); the other two within the second intron (iCRM1, iCRM2) 305 (Fig. 3A). In addition, ENCODE epigenetic data on the neural tube of GD11.5 or GD12.5 306 mouse embryos further supports the functionality of these CRMs (see Material and Methods). 307 Notably, DNase hypersensitivity and histone modification H3K27ac, whose combined 308 occurrence defines active enhancers, are both present at the level of the two iCRMs. 309 Additionally, the H3K4me1 epigenetic mark of active and/or poised enhancers is detected at 310 iCRM2, CRM2 and CRM3 (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, in ESC-derived neuroprogenitors, binding 311 events for Sox2 and Sox3, two TFs involved in *Dbx1* regulation (Rogers et al., 2014), have 312 been identified within the Dbx1 iCRM (Fig. 3A)(Bergsland et al., 2012). Finally, the 3 313 intergenic CRMs are located within a 5.7kb region capable of driving the expression of reporter 314 transgenes within the intermediate spinal cord of mouse and chick embryos (Dyck et al., 2012; 315 Lu et al., 1996; Matise et al., 1999; Thelié et al., 2015); while CRM3 on its own has also been 316 reported to be active in the intermediate neural tube of chick embryos (Oosterveen et al., 2012). 317 In order to test the ability of the candidate CRMs to respond to Pax3/7 individually or 318 collectively, we generated reporter constructs and tested their activity in the neural tube of chick 319 embryos 24 and 36 hours after their electroporation at stage HH10-11 (Fig. 3B,C, data not 320 shown). Amongst the three intergenic CRMs, only CRM3 displays any activity, as previously 321 described (Oosterveen et al., 2012)(Fig. 3Bi-iii, C), yet, the expression of the reporter protein 322 driven by this element is weak, as it was detected in only 4 embryos out of 14. In addition, it is 323 not restricted to the  $Dbx1^+$  domain (Fig. 3Biii). Likewise, the CRM4.8kb module, which 324 contains CRM1, 2 and 3 (Fig. 3A) is poorly able to induce gene expression in the neural tube 325 of chick embryos (Fig. 3Biv); only 4 embryos out of 21 display any  $\beta$ -Galactosidase expression. 326 When detected, the activity of this module is not restricted to the  $Dbx1<sup>+</sup>$  domain but 327 encompasses the entire dorsal neural tube (Fig. 3Biv, C). This is consistent with previously 328 identified ectopic dorsal activity of a 5.7kb CRM in the neural tube of mouse embryos (Lu et 329 al., 1996; Matise et al., 1999) and indicates that the intergenic CRMs may not be the main 330 drivers of the restriction of *Dbx1* expression to the intermediate spinal cord. We next evaluated 331 the ability of the two intronic CRMs to induce gene expression using a construct, containing 332 both modules and the region between them, termed iCRM (Fig. 3A). The iCRM construct  $333$  systematically promotes  $\beta$ -Galactosidase expression in the neural tube 24hpe and 36hpe. The 334 overall levels of this reporter are weak, but expression is always found exclusively within the 335 Dbx1 domain (Fig. 3Bv-v'', C and data not shown). Hence the iCRM contains all the necessary 336 information to recapitulate Dbx1 induction and D-V restriction. Strengthening this argument, 337 adding the iCRM to the CRM4.8kb was sufficient to abolish the CRM4.8kb ectopic dorsal 338 activity and promote strong  $LacZ$  gene expression in the  $Dbx1<sup>+</sup>$  domain alone, as assessed at 339 36hpe (Fig. 3Bvi). It is worth mentioning that *Dbx1 LacZ* KI reporter mice have previously 340 been generated, where *LacZ* replaces the four exons and three introns (including the iCRM) of 341 *Dbx1* (Pierani et al., 2001). Interestingly,  $\beta$ -Galactosidase expression matches with that of Dbx1 342 in these animals, thus, the iCRM is unlikely to be the only module responsible for *Dbx1* gene 343 regulation in the neural tube. It is tempting to hypothesise that it behaves as a shadow enhancer 344 providing robustness to Dbx1 transcription.

345 We next used *in silico* approaches to evaluate whether these CRMs contain putative Pax3/7 346 binding sites. We first identified the most phylogenetically conserved 15 base pair long DNA 347 motifs contained in these CRMs using MEME (cf. Materials and Methods). Then, we searched

348 within the extracted motifs for putative Pax binding sites using the TOMTOM database, or 349 using FIMO and Pax3- and Pax7-specific position weight matrices (PWMs)(Supp. Fig. 3A), as 350 Pax binding domains have some level of family member specificity and Pax3 and Pax7 PWMs 351 are not defined in TOMTOM databases (Jolma et al., 2013; Mayran et al., 2015). These were 352 either previously defined by HT-SELEX (Jolma et al., 2013) or Pax3 and Pax7 ChIP-Seq 353 performed in myoblasts (Soleimani et al., 2012). These two methods identified putative Pax3 354 and Pax7 binding sites in iCRM, CRM2 and CRM3 (Fig. 3Axii). Further supporting this, Pax7 355 has been shown to be able to bind to the 2 sites identified in iCRM and one of the sites located 356 in CRM3 in myoblasts (Soleimani et al., 2012) (Fig. 3Axi). Probing this further, we noted that 357 the electroporation of either Pax3 or Pax7 induces significant changes in the activity of iCRM, 358 CRM4.8kb and CRM3 in the neural tube of chick embryos 24hpe (Fig. 3D, Supp. Fig. 3B, data 359 not shown); promoting the activity of the latter and repressing that of the other two. These 360 results provide one possible explanation for why the intergenic CRM3 or CRM4.8Kb harbour 361 ectopic activity in the dorsal neural tube which expresses Pax3 and Pax7, while the iCRM is 362 restricted to the intermediate domain. Furthermore, electroporation of PAX3A stimulates the 363 activity of all the CRMs (Fig. 3D, Supp. Fig. 3B). Notably, its impact was stronger on that of 364 the iCRM than on all other constructs. Conversely, electroporation of Pax3R inhibits the 365 activity of iCRM, CRM4.8kb and CRM3 (data not shown). Altogether, these results support 366 the idea that Pax3 and Pax7 directly regulate Dbx1 by modulating the activity of several CRMs, 367 repressing transcription through iCRM, CRM1 and CRM2, and activating it through CRM3.

368

#### 369 **Pax3 and Pax7 mediated** *Dbx1* **regulation involves an additional genetic interaction**

370 The progressive attenuation of *Dbx1* expression in the dKO embryos observed between GD10.5

371 and GD11.5 (compare Fig. 2C to Supp. Fig. 2B) could not be solely explained by the repression

372 of *Dbx1* locus activity by Pax3 and Pax7. We, thus, looked for candidate TFs that would be

373 regulated by Pax3 and Pax7 and whose activity would impact onto *Dbx1* expression. Prdm12, 374 a p1 fate determinant known to repress Dbx1 expression, as well as the activity of CRM4.8kb 375 in chick embryos (Kinameri et al., 2008; Thelié et al., 2015), appeared an obvious candidate. 376 We analysed its expression by *in situ* hybridisation in GD11.5 Pax3 and Pax7 mutant embryos 377 (Fig. 4B). In single mutants for Pax3 and Pax7, we did not detect any defects in the expression 378 profile of this TF (Fig. 4Bii). In contrast, in dKO embryos, the *Prdm12* expression domain is 379 dorsally enlarged and overlaps with the extended *Dbx1* domain (Fig. 4Biv, Supp. Fig. 2Di). *380 Prdm12* is also ectopically induced in  $Pax3^{-/-}$ ;  $Pax7^{++}$  embryos, but to a much lesser extent than 381 in the dKO embryos (Fig. 4Biii-iv). These results indicate that Pax3 and Pax7 are both required 382 to position of the dorsal boundary of *Prdm12* domain. To assess whether this is mediated by a 383 repressive activity of the Pax TFs, we assessed for *Prdm12* in embryos carrying Pax3A and 384 Pax3R variants (Fig. 4Bv-vii). Expression of the latter Pax3 variant has no effect on *Prdm12* 385 expression (Fig. 4Bvii), while the dominant active form of Pax3 induces ectopic dorsal 386 expression of Prdm12 (Fig. 4Bv). Taken together these results indicated that Pax3 and Pax7 387 repress *Prdm12* expression. As Prdm12 is a repressor of Dbx1 (Thelié et al., 2015), Pax3/7 388 mediated Prdm12 repression provides an explanation for why the removal of Pax3 and Pax7 389 results in the attenuation of *Dbx1* expression. This also shows that the regulation of Dbx1 by 390 Pax3 and Pax7 is complex and includes both positive and negative components. The latter is a 391 direct regulation while the former is indirect. It is tempting to hypothesise that this incoherent 392 regulatory network formed by Pax3/7, Dbx1 and Prdm12 explains, in parts, why the levels of 393 Pax3 and Pax7 repression play such a key role during the generation of intermediate neural cell 394 fates (Fig. 4C, Alon, 2007; Balaskas et al., 2012).

### 395 **Conclusion/Discussion**

396 First of all, this paper provides strong evidence that progenitor cells of the intermediate neural 397 tube are able to sense and interprete the dose and the levels of Pax3 and Pax7 transcriptional 398 activity, as shown by the progressively more dorsal fates seen upon increasing Pax levels (Fig. 399 1). This is highly reminiscent of the mode of interpretation of TF-based morphogen gradients 400 (Rushlow and Shvartsman, 2012). Our data further suggest that in the case of Pax3 and Pax7, 401 graded activity throughout the D-V axis of the intermediate neural tube is likely to be 402 established thanks to the temporal restriction of their expression profiles. It is also tempting to 403 hypothesise that Pax3 and Pax7 diffuse ventrally over a short range and thus form a gradient 404 sufficient to provide robustness to pattern formation, as proven for Pax6, another member of 405 the Pax family (Prochiantz and Di Nardo, 2015; Quiñinao et al., 2015). Second, we have 406 highlighted the importance of the repressive transcriptional activity of Pax3 and Pax7 in this 407 process, especially for the spatial restriction and numbering of the V0 and V1 IN. This contrasts 408 with Pax3/7 mediated cell fate specification in other tissues, which is rather based on 409 transcriptional activation (Budry et al., 2012; McKinnell et al., 2008; Relaix et al., 2003). 410 Whether this is due to differential usage of their DNA binding domains or specific protein 411 partners remains to be studied (Mayran et al., 2015). Finally, our study has identified several of 412 the genetic interactions of the gene network by which Pax3 and Pax7 regulate the organisation 413 of cell fates within the intermediate spinal cord. Dbx1 displays a central position in this network 414 and we have demonstrated that it receives both a likely direct negative input and an indirect 415 positive input from Pax3 and Pax7 (Fig. 4C). On the one hand, we provide several pieces of 416 evidence for the direct repression of Dbx1 by Pax3 and Pax7. We have shown that *Dbx1* 417 expression displays a dose response to levels of Pax3 and Pax7 activity and that several Dbx1 418 *cis*-regulatory elements are responsive to the modulation of Pax3 and Pax7 transcriptional 419 activity. Not only do these harbour putative Pax3 and Pax7 binding sites, but physical 420 interactions between Pax3 and Pax7 and two of these CRMs have also been observed in 421 myoblast cells (Soleimani et al., 2012). Importantly, our data indicate that the negative input of 422 Pax3 and Pax7 on Dbx1 plays an essential role in positioning the dorsal border of the Dbx1 423 expression domain. As we and others have demonstrated that Dbx1 promotes V0 fate by 424 inhibiting the expression of determinants of the adjacent dI6 and V1 cell populations ((Karaz 425 et al., 2016; Lanuza et al., 2004; Pierani et al., 2001), Fig. 4A), this genetic interaction provides 426 a fairly simple mechanism for how the Pax TFs impact on the size of V0 and dI6 IN populations 427 (Karaz et al., 2016; Lanuza et al., 2004; Pierani et al., 2001), Fig. 1, Fig. 4A, Supp. Fig. 1E,F). 428 On the other hand, we have shown that Pax3 and Pax7 repress Prdm12, a known repressor of 429 Dbx1 (Thelié et al., 2015). Interestingly, this regulatory loop provides a molecular explanation 430 for the massive ectopic dorsal generation of V1 cells in Pax3 and Pax7 double mutants 431 described twenty years ago ((Mansouri and Gruss, 1998), Fig. 1). Furthermore, it supports a 432 model whereby D-V progenitor pool determinants not only repress genes expressed in adjacent 433 pools, but also expressed in pools located further way (e.g. (Kutejova et al., 2016)). Altogether, 434 our paper supports the ideas that (i) the patterning potential of TFs is extended by the ability of 435 progenitors to read and extrapolate discrete levels of TF activity, that (ii) these levels can be 436 modulated thanks to genetic redundancy induced by gene duplication and/or dynamics in their 437 expression profiles and that (iii) the generation of the diversity of progenitor pools in the 438 developing neural tube might not be mediated by multiple binary cell fate choices between 439 adjacent progenitor pools but by the active repression of several alternative fates.

440

### 441 **Acknowledgments**

442 We deeply thank C. Birchmeier and T. Müller for antibodies as well as M.A. Rudnicki and P. 443 Gruss for providing plasmids. We also thank the ImagoSeine core facility of Institut Jacques 444 Monod, a member of France-BioImaging (ANR-10-INBS-04) and certified IBiSA. We 445 acknowledge the ENCODE consortium and B. Ren (UCSD) and Z. Weng (Umass) laboratories 446 for the generation of the reference epigenome for embryonic mouse neural tube data. We are 447 grateful to the biological service staff of the CDTA and Buffon animal housing for help with 448 the mouse colonies. FC and VR are INSERM researchers. Work in the lab of VR was supported 449 by CNRS/INSERM ATIP-AVENIR program. Studies in the lab of AP is funded by Agence 450 Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-15-CE16-0003-01) and Fondation pour la Recherche 451 Médicale (INE20060306503 and «Equipe FRM DEQ 20130326521»). This work was 452 supported by funding to FR from Association Française contre les Myopathies (AFM) via 453 TRANSLAMUSCLE (PROJECT 19507), Labex REVIVE (ANR-10-LABX-73), Fondation 454 pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM; Grant FDT20130928236), Agence Nationale pour la 455 Recherche (ANR) grant Satnet (ANR-15-CE13-0011-01) and bone-muscle-repair (ANR-13- 456 BSV1-0011-02).

### 457 **References**

- 458 Alon, U., 2007. Network motifs: theory and experimental approaches. Nat Rev Genet 8, 450– 459 461.
- 460 Armant, O., März, M., Schmidt, R., Ferg, M., Diotel, N., Ertzer, R., Bryne, J.C., Yang, L., 461 Baader, I., Reischl, M., Legradi, J., Mikut, R., Stemple, D., Ijcken, W. Van, van der Sloot, 462 A., Lenhard, B., Strähle, U., Rastegar, S., 2013. Genome-wide, whole mount in situ 463 analysis of transcriptional regulators in zebrafish embryos. Dev. Biol. 380, 351–62. 464 doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2013.05.006
- 465 Bailey, P.J., Klos, J.M., Andersson, E., Karlén, M., Källström, M., Ponjavic, J., Muhr, J., 466 Lenhard, B., Sandelin, A., Ericson, J., Karlen, M., Kallstrom, M., 2006. A global genomic 467 transcriptional code associated with CNS-expressed genes. Exp Cell Res 312, 3108–3119.
- 468 doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2006.06.017
- 469 Bajard, L., Relaix, F., Lagha, M., Rocancourt, D., Daubas, P., Buckingham, M.E., 2006. A 470 novel genetic hierarchy functions during hypaxial myogenesis: Pax3 directly activates 471 Myf5 in muscle progenitor cells in the limb. Genes Dev. 20, 2450–64. 472 doi:10.1101/gad.382806
- 473 Balaskas, N., Ribeiro, A., Panovska, J., Dessaud, E., Sasai, N., Page, K.M., Briscoe, J., Ribes,
- 474 V., 2012. Gene regulatory logic for reading the Sonic Hedgehog signaling gradient in the 475 vertebrate neural tube. Cell 148, 273–284. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.047
- 476 Bergsland, M., Ramskold, D., Zaouter, C., Klum, S., Sandberg, R., Muhr, J., 2012. Sequentially
- 477 acting Sox transcription factors in neural lineage development. Genes Dev 25, 2453–2464.
- 478 Borromeo, M.D., Meredith, D.M., Castro, D.S., Chang, J.C., Tung, K.-C., Guillemot, F.,
- 479 Johnson, J.E., 2014. A transcription factor network specifying inhibitory versus excitatory 480 neurons in the dorsal spinal cord. Development 2812, 2803–2812. 481 doi:10.1242/dev.105866
- 482 Briscoe, J., Pierani, A., Jessell, T.M., Ericson, J., 2000. A homeodomain protein code specifies 483 progenitor cell identity and neuronal fate in the ventral neural tube. Cell 101, 435–445.
- 484 Budry, L., Balsalobre, A., Gauthier, Y., Khetchoumian, K., L'Honoré, A., Vallette, S., Brue,
- 485 T., Figarella-Branger, D., Meij, B., Drouin, J., L'Honore, A., Vallette, S., Brue, T.,
- 486 Figarella-Branger, D., Meij, B., Drouin, J., 2012. The selector gene Pax7 dictates alternate
- 487 pituitary cell fates through its pioneer action on chromatin remodeling. Genes Dev 26,
- 488 2299–2310. doi:10.1101/gad.200436.112
- 489 Del Corral, R.D., Storey, K.G., 2004. Opposing FGF and retinoid pathways: A signalling switch
- 490 that controls differentiation and patterning onset in the extending vertebrate body axis.
- 491 BioEssays 26, 857–869. doi:10.1002/bies.20080
- 492 Dessaud, E., Ribes, V., Balaskas, N., Yang, L.L., Pierani, A., Kicheva, A., Novitch, B.G.,
- 493 Briscoe, J., Sasai, N., 2010. Dynamic assignment and maintenance of positional identity 494 in the ventral neural tube by the morphogen sonic hedgehog. PLoS Biol 8, e1000382.
- 495 Drouin, J., 2014. Pioneer transcription factors in cell fate specification. Mol. Endocrinol. 28,
- 496 me20141084. doi:10.1210/me.2014-1084
- 497 Dyck, J., Lanuza, G.M., Gosgnach, S., 2012. Functional characterization of dI6 interneurons in 498 the neonatal mouse spinal cord 3256–3266. doi:10.1152/jn.01132.2011
- 499 Epstein, D.J., Vekemans, M., Gros, P., 1991. Splotch (Sp2H), a mutation affecting development 500 of the mouse neural tube, shows a deletion within the paired homeodomain of Pax-3. Cell 501 67, 767–774.
- 502 Goulding, M.D., Chalepakis, G., Deutsch, U., Erselius, J.R., Gruss, P., 1991. Pax-3, a novel 503 murine DNA binding protein expressed during early neurogenesis. EMBO J. 10, 1135– 504 1147.
- 505 Jolma, A., Yan, J., Whitington, T., Toivonen, J., Nitta, K.R., Rastas, P., Morgunova, E., Enge, 506 M., Taipale, M., Wei, G., Palin, K., Vaquerizas, J.M., Vincentelli, R., Luscombe, N.M., 507 Hughes, T.R., Lemaire, P., Ukkonen, E., Kivioja, T., Taipale, J., 2013. DNA-binding 508 specificities of human transcription factors. Cell 152, 327–339. 509 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.009
- 510 Jostes, B., Walther, C., Gruss, P., 1990. The murine paired box gene, Pax7, is expressed 511 specifically during the development of the nervous and muscular system. Mech Dev 33, 512 27–37.
- 513 Karaz, S., Courgeon, M., Lepetit, H., Bruno, E., Pannone, R., Tarallo, A., Thouzé, F., Kerner, 514 P., Vervoort, M., Causeret, F., Pierani, A., Onofrio, G.D., 2016. Neuronal fate 515 specification by the Dbx1 transcription factor is linked to the evolutionary acquisition of 516 a novel functional domain. Evodevo 1–13. doi:10.1186/s13227-016-0055-5
- 517 Kinameri, E., Inoue, T., Aruga, J., Imayoshi, I., Kageyama, R., Shimogori, T., Moore, A.W.,
- 518 2008. Prdm proto-oncogene transcription factor family expression and interaction with the
- 519 Notch-Hes pathway in mouse neurogenesis. PLoS One 3, 1–10. 520 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003859
- 521 Kutejova, E., Sasai, N., Shah, A., Gouti, M., Briscoe, J., 2016. Neural Progenitors Adopt 522 Specific Identities by Directly Repressing All Alternative Progenitor Transcriptional 523 Programs. Dev. Cell 1–15. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2016.02.013
- 524 Lai, H.C., Seal, R.P., Johnson, J.E., 2016. Making sense out of spinal cord somatosensory 525 development. Development 143, 3434–3448. doi:10.1242/dev.139592
- 526 Lallemand, Y., Luria, V., Haffner-Krausz, R., Lonai, P., 1998. Maternally expressed PGK-Cre
- 527 transgene as a tool for early and uniform activation of the Cre site-specific recombinase. 528 Transgenic Res 7, 105–112.
- 529 Lanuza, G.M., Gosgnach, S., Pierani, A., Jessell, T.M., Goulding, M., 2004. Genetic 530 identification of spinal interneurons that coordinate left-right locomotor activity necessary 531 for walking movements. Neuron 42, 375–86.
- 532 Lu, D.C., Niu, T., Alaynick, W.A., 2015. Molecular and cellular development of spinal cord 533 locomotor circuitry 8, 1–18. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2015.00025
- 534 Lu, S., Shashikant, C.S., Ruddle, F.H., 1996. Separate cis-acting elements determine the 535 expression of mouse Dbx gene in multiple spatial domains of the central nervous system. 536 Mech. Dev. 58, 193–202.
- 537 Mansouri, A., Gruss, P., 1998. Pax3 and Pax7 are expressed in commissural neurons and restrict 538 ventral neuronal identity in the spinal cord. Mech Dev 78, 171–178.
- 539 Mansouri, A., Stoykova, A., Torres, M., Gruss, P., 1996. Dysgenesis of cephalic neural crest 540 derivatives in Pax7-/- mutant mice. Development 122, 831–838.
- 541 Matise, M.P., Lustig, M., Sakurai, T., Grumet, M., Joyner, A.L., 1999. Ventral midline cells
- 542 are required for the local control of commissural axon guidance in the mouse spinal cord

543 3659, 3649–3659.

- 544 Mayran, A., Pelletier, A., Drouin, J., 2015. Pax factors in transcription and epigenetic 545 remodelling. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2015.07.007
- 546 Mazzoni, E.O., Mahony, S., Closser, M., Morrison, C. a, Nedelec, S., Williams, D.J., An, D.,
- 547 Gifford, D.K., Wichterle, H., 2013. Synergistic binding of transcription factors to cell-
- 548 specific enhancers programs motor neuron identity. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 1219–27. 549 doi:10.1038/nn.3467
- 550 McKinnell, I.W., Ishibashi, J., Le Grand, F., Punch, V.G., Addicks, G.C., Greenblatt, J.F., 551 Dilworth, F.J., Rudnicki, M.A., 2008. Pax7 activates myogenic genes by recruitment of a 552 histone methyltransferase complex. Nat Cell Biol 10, 77–84.
- 553 Megason, S.G., McMahon, A.P., 2002. A mitogen gradient of dorsal midline Wnts organizes 554 growth in the CNS. Development 129, 2087–2098.
- 555 Moore, S., Ribes, V., Terriente, J., Wilkinson, D., Relaix, F., Briscoe, J., 2013. Distinct 556 regulatory mechanisms act to establish and maintain Pax3 expression in the developing 557 neural tube. PLoS Genet. 9, e1003811. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003811
- 558 Muhr, J., Andersson, E., Persson, M., Jessell, T.M., Ericson, J., 2001. Groucho-mediated 559 transcriptional repression establishes progenitor cell pattern and neuronal fate in the 560 ventral neural tube. Cell 104, 861–873.
- 561 Nakazaki, H., Reddy, A.C., Mania-Farnell, B.L., Shen, Y.-W.W., Ichi, S., McCabe, C., George,
- 562 D., McLone, D.G., Tomita, T., Mayanil, C.S.K.S.K., 2008. Key basic helix-loop-helix
- 563 transcription factor genes Hes1 and Ngn2 are regulated by Pax3 during mouse embryonic 564 development. Dev Biol 316, 510–523. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.01.008
- 565 Oosterveen, T., Kurdija, S., Alekseenko, Z., Uhde, C.W., Bergsland, M., Sandberg, M., 566 Andersson, E., Dias, J.M., Muhr, J., Ericson, J., 2012. Mechanistic Differences in the
- 567 Transcriptional Interpretation of Local and Long-Range Shh Morphogen Signaling. Dev.
- 568 Cell 23, 1006–1019. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.09.015
- 569 Pierani, A., Brenner-Morton, S., Chiang, C., Jessell, T.M., 1999. A Sonic Hedgehog– 570 Independent, Retinoid-Activated Pathway of Neurogenesis in the Ventral Spinal Cord. 571 Cell 97, 903–915. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80802-8
- 572 Pierani, A., Sunshine, M.J., Littman, D.R., Goulding, M., Jessell, T.M., 2001. Control of 573 Interneuron Fate in the Developing Spinal Cord by the Progenitor Homeodomain Protein 574 Dbx1 29, 367–384.
- 575 Prochiantz, A., Di Nardo, A.A., 2015. Homeoprotein Signaling in the Developing and Adult 576 Nervous System. Neuron 85, 911–925. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.01.019
- 577 Quiñinao, C., Prochiantz, A., Touboul, J., 2015. Local homeoprotein diffusion can stabilize 578 boundaries generated by graded positional cues. Development 142, 1860–8. 579 doi:10.1242/dev.113688
- 580 Relaix, F., Polimeni, M., Rocancourt, D., Ponzetto, C., Schafer, B.W., Buckingham, M., 581 Schäfer, B.W., 2003. The transcriptional activator PAX3-FKHR rescues the defects of 582 Pax3 mutant mice but induces a myogenic gain-of-function phenotype with ligand-583 independent activation of Met signaling in vivo. Genes Dev 17, 2950–2965. 584 doi:10.1101/gad.281203
- 585 Relaix, F., Rocancourt, D., Mansouri, A., Buckingham, M., 2005. A Pax3/Pax7-dependent 586 population of skeletal muscle progenitor cells. Nature 435, 948–953. 587 doi:10.1038/nature03594
- 588 Rogers, N., McAninch, D., Thomas, P., 2014. Dbx1 Is a Direct Target of SOX3 in the Spinal 589 Cord. PLoS One 9, e95356. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095356
- 590 Rushlow, C.A., Shvartsman, S.Y., 2012. Temporal dynamics, spatial range, and transcriptional 591 interpretation of the Dorsal morphogen gradient. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 22, 542–6.
- 592 doi:10.1016/j.gde.2012.08.005

25

- 593 Soleimani, V.D., Punch, V.G., Kawabe, Y.I., Jones, A.E., Palidwor, G. a., Porter, C.J., Cross,
- 594 J.W., Carvajal, J.J., Kockx, C.E.M., van IJcken, W.F.J., Perkins, T.J., Rigby, P.W.J.,
- 595 Grosveld, F., Rudnicki, M. a., 2012. Transcriptional Dominance of Pax7 in Adult
- 596 Myogenesis Is Due to High-Affinity Recognition of Homeodomain Motifs-supp. Dev.
- 597 Cell 22, 1208–1220. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.03.014
- 598 Spitz, F., Furlong, E.E., 2012. Transcription factors: from enhancer binding to developmental 599 control. Nat Rev Genet 13, 613–626.
- 600 Thelié, A., Desiderio, S., Hanotel, J., Quigley, I., Driessche, B. Van, Rodari, A., Borromeo,
- 601 M.D., Kricha, S., Lahaye, F., Croce, J., Cerda-moya, G., Bolle, B., Lewis, K.E., Sander,
- 602 M., Pierani, A., Schubert, M., Johnson, J.E., Kintner, C.R., Pieler, T., Lint, C. Van,
- 603 Henningfeld, K.A., Bellefroid, E.J., Campenhout, C. Van, 2015. Prdm12 specifies V1
- 604 interneurons through cross-repressive interactions with Dbx1 and Nkx6 genes in Xenopus 605 3416–3428. doi:10.1242/dev.121871
- 606 Yamada, T., Pfaff, S.L., Edlund, T., Jessell, T.M., 1993. Control of cell pattern in the neural 607 tube: motor neuron induction by diffusible factors from notochord and floor plate. Cell 73, 608 673–86.
- 609 Yee, S., Rigby, P.J., Hill, M., Uk, N.W., 1993. The regulation of myogenin gene expression 610 duringthe embryonic development of tile mouse 1277–1289.
- 611

### 612 **Figure legends**

# 613 **Fig. 1: Pax3 and Pax7 regulate the production of interneuron populations in the**  614 **intermediate part of the spinal cord**

615 **A.** Immunostaining for Lbx1,  $AP2\alpha$ , Brn3a to identify dI6 IN (i-vii), Pax2, Lbx1 and Evx1 to 616 reveal V0<sub>D</sub> IN (viii-xiv) and FoxD3 and Evx1 to label V0<sub>VCG</sub> and V1 IN populations,

617 respectively (**xv-xxi**) performed on brachial transverse sections of GD11.5 mouse embryos of 618 the indicated genotype. In **ii**,  $\text{Ap2}\alpha$  is not labelled. In **ix-xi** Evx1 is not marked. Scale bars: 619 50µm. Dashed lines delineate the pools of interneuron subtypes. Asterisks in **iv** and **vii** mark 620 the lack of dI6 cells. In **xii** and **xiii**,  $V0^*$  indicates that the  $V0<sub>D</sub>$  and  $V0<sub>VCG</sub>$  are intermingled. 621 Arrowheads in **xvii** and **xviii** point at ectopic V1 cells and limited V0 cells, respectively. **B.** 622 Quantification of the number of each neuronal subtype in GD11.5 mouse embryos with the 623 indicated genotype (dI6 IN are Lbx1+/Ap2 $\alpha$ /Brn3a<sup>-</sup>, V0<sub>D</sub> IN are Pax2+/Lbx1-/Evx1<sup>-</sup>, V0<sub>VCG</sub> IN 624 are Evx1<sup>+</sup>, V1 IN are FoxD3<sup>+</sup>). Red bars denote the mean values. Mann–Whitney U test p-625 values are represented as follows: \*: p≤0.05; \*\*: p≤0.01; \*\*\*: p≤0.001; \*\*\*\*: p≤0.0001. **C.**  626 Representation of the pools of progenitor cells in the spinal cord and the neuronal populations 627 they give rise to. The dI6, V0 and V1 populations are highlighted and the expression of TFs 628 that allows their determination are shown. The distribution of these populations in the 629 Pax3/Pax7 mutant backgrounds that were analysed are summarised.

630

#### 631 **Fig. 2: Pax3 and Pax7 repressive activity positions the dorsal boundary of** *Dbx1* **expression**

632 **A.** Immunostaining for Dbx1/β-Galactosidase/GFP in thoracic transverse sections of GD11.5 633 *Pax3<sup>GFP/+;</sup> Pax7<sup>LacZ/+</sup>* embryos (**i-i''**) and Dbx1/Pax3 at brachial level of GD10.5 wild-type 634 embryo (**ii-ii**<sup>\*</sup>). Quantification of the proportion of Dbx1<sup>+</sup> cells expressing Pax3 or Pax3 lineage 635 marker GFP at GD11.5 in thoracic transverse sections (**iii**, mean±s.e.m, n=4 embryos). **B.** 636 Schematics showing the temporal dynamics of Pax3, Pax7, Dbx1 and Prdm12 expression 637 profiles in the dp6, p0 and p1 spinal progenitor pools and the neurons they will generate. **C-H.** 638 *In situ* hybridisation for *Dbx1* (**Ci-ii; Ei-iii; Fi-iv, Hi-iv**) and immunolabelling of Dbx1 (**Di-iv,**  639 **Gi-iv**) in brachial transverse sections of either mouse embryos of the indicated stage and 640 genotype (**C, D, F-H**) or in chick embryos 24hpe with the indicated plasmid **(E)**. For the latter 641 samples, nuclei were also labelled with DAPI and the electroporated cells with 642 immunofluorescent labelling of GFP (**Ei', ii', iii'**). Graphs in **Ciii** and **Fv** display the absolute 643 D-V position of the *Dbx1* expression domain from the floor plate in  $\mu$ m (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m on 6 644 sections for each embryo subtype). Graphs in **Dv and Gv** show the number of  $Dbx1^+$  cells per 645 transverse section at brachial level in GD11.5 embryos of the indicated genotype. The mean 646 value is indicated by the red bar. Mann–Whitney U test p-values are represented as follows: \*: 647 p≤0.05; \*\*: p≤0.01; \*\*\*: p≤0.001; \*\*\*\*: p≤0.0001. Scale bars: 50 µm.

648

#### 649 **Fig. 3: Dbx1 CRMs are responsive to Pax3 and Pax7 activity**

650 **A.** Schematics displaying the *Dbx1* locus (**i**), regions of conservation across 60 species of 651 vertebrates (**ii**) and putative *cis*-regulatory modules (**iii**). iCRM is located in *Dbx1* intron 2, 652 while CRM1-3 are located in a 4.8kb region upstream the *Dbx1* TSS (CRM4.8kb) and all show 653 high sequence conservation. DNase-seq (**iv**), H3K27ac ChIPseq (**v**) and enhancer predictions 654 (**vi**) in GD11.5 neural tube (NT) support the potential enhancer role of iCRM. H3K4me1 655 ChIPseq signal at GD11.5 (**vii**) and GD12.5 (**viii**) is enriched in iCRM and in CRM2 and CRM3 656 within the CRM4.8kb. Moreover, the iCRM region shows Sox2 (**ix**) and Sox3 (**x**) binding 657 events. Pax7 binding events in muscle cells (**xi**) coincide with the iCRM and CRM4.8kb 658 putative regulatory regions, which also harbour *in silico* predicted Pax3/7 binding sites (**xii**). **B.**  659 Immunostaining for Dbx1/β-Galactosidase/GFP in chick embryos 24hpe with *pCIG* and the 660 indicated reporter constructs carrying *Dbx1* CRMs. Scale bars: 50 µm. **C-D.** Heatmaps display 661 the levels of  $\beta$ -Galactosidase expression normalised to that of GFP along the D-V axis of the 662 neural tube of chick embryos 24hpe with the indicated *Dbx1* CRM reporters and either *pCIG* 663 (C and columns 1 in D), *Pax3-GFP* (columns 2 in D) or *PAX3A-GFP* (columns 3 in D).

664

665 **Fig. 4: Characterisation of genetic interactions within the Pax3 and Pax7 linked gene**  666 **network** 











# **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

# **Pax3- and Pax7-mediated Dbx1 regulation orchestrates the patterning of intermediate spinal interneurons**

Chris GARD<sup>1\*</sup>, Gloria GONZALEZ-CURTO<sup>1\*</sup>, Youcef El-Mokhtar FRARMA<sup>1</sup>, Elodie CHOLLET<sup>1</sup>, Nathalie DUVAL<sup>1,2</sup>, Valentine AUZIÉ<sup>1</sup>, Frédéric AURADÉ<sup>3,4</sup>, Lisa VIGIER<sup>1</sup>, Frédéric RELAIX<sup>4</sup>, Alessandra PIERANI<sup>1</sup>, Frédéric CAUSERET<sup>1#</sup> & Vanessa RIBES1#

<sup>1</sup> Institut Jacques Monod, CNRS UMR7592, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 75205 Paris Cedex, France

<sup>2</sup> Institut Pasteur, Department of developmental and stem cell biology, CNRS URA 2578, 75015 Paris, France

<sup>3</sup> Sorbonne Universités UPMC Univ Paris 06, Inserm, CNRS, Centre de Recherche en Myologie (CRM), GH Pitié Salpêtrière, 47 bld de l'hôpital, 75013 Paris, France

4 INSERM IMRB U955-E10, UPEC - Université Paris Est, Faculté de Médicine, Créteil 94000, France

# **Supplementary Material and Methods**

#### **Electroporation constructs**

#### *Pax3 and Pax7 variants in pCIG vector and Dbx1 in pCAGGS vector*

*Pax3R* and *Pax7R* were blunt subcloned into a *pCIG* EcoRV site from intermediate plasmids that were respectively cut by EcoRI or XhoI/SpeI. Pax3 was inserted into a *pCIG* EcoRI site from a plasmid kindly donated by P. Gruss. Pax7 was taken from the pPax7cp plasmid (gift from M.A. Rudnicki) using XhoI and MfeI restriction enzymes and inserted between XhoI and EcoRI sites in *pCIG*. The PAX3A construct was previously described (Relaix et al., 2003), while PAX7A was amplified by PCR from cDNA provided by F. Barr and cloned into XhoI and XmaI *pCIG* sites. We used a *pCAGG-IRES-EGFP* plasmid containing an HA tagged version of the mouse *Dbx1* gene (Karaz et al., 2016).

#### *Reporter constructs for Dbx1 CRM activity*

To generate the 4.8kbCRM reporter plasmid, a 4.8kb BamHI/BstEII fragment corresponding to sequences located immediately 5' to the Dbx1 TSS (Pierani et al., 2001) was inserted upstream of an *NLS-LacZ* cassette in a *pBluescript* vector. Sequences corresponding to CRM1, and CRM2 were individually amplified using the 4.8kbCRM construct as a template and the following primers:

*CRM1-Fwd: AAAGCGGCCGCATCTGCTCTACGAGTCCCAT* 

*CRM1-Rev: AAAACTAGTTACTTGGGCGTCTGCGAGT* 

*CRM2-Fwd: AAAGCGGCCGCCCCTAAATAACTTTTTAATTTGA* 

*CRM2-Rev: AAAACTAGTGCTCTGAGCCTCCACTGC* 

The iCRM sequence was amplified by PCR from mouse genomic DNA using the primers:

*iCRM-Fwd: AAAGCGGCCGCTCCCTACCCCGAAGTCGTAT*

*iCRM-Rev: AAAACTAGTTCGTTTTAAAAACTACATATG*

PCR products were subsequently cloned into the BGZA vector using NotI/SpeI restriction sites in order to match with the CRM3 reporter plasmid (Oosterveen et al., 2012). For the 4.8kbCRM+iCRM construct, the sequence corresponding to the iCRM was inserted into the 4.8kbCRM reporter plasmid after the *LacZ* cassette, in order to mimic the genomic context.

#### **Dbx1 mutant mouse line**

The knock-in mouse line carrying *LacZ* in the *Dbx1* locus was previously described (Pierani et al., 2001).

#### **Immunohistochemistry and** *in situ* **hybridisation**

The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Dbx1 (Pierani et al., 1999), chick anti-GFP (1/1000, Abcam), mouse anti- $\beta$ -Galactosidase (1/500, Promega), mouse anti-Evx1 (1/500, DSHB), mouse anti-Pax3 (1/100, DSHB), mouse anti-Pax7 (1/100, DSHB), mouse anti-Brn3a (1/500, gift from C. Parras), guinea-pig anti-FoxD3 (1/10,000, gift from T. Muller/C. Birchmeier), rabbit and guinea-pig anti-Lbx1 (1/10,000, gift from T. Muller/C. Birchmeier), rabbit anti-AP2 $\alpha$  (1/500, Santa-Cruz), goat anti-Lhx2 (1:250, Santa Cruz), rabbit anti-Pax2 (1/500, Thermo Scientific), goat anti-Sox9 (1/500, R&D). Secondary antibodies were all from Thermo Scientific, coupled to Alexa Fluorophores (A488, A568, A647) and diluted 1/500. Immunofluorescence microscopy was carried out using a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope. For *in situ* hybridisation experiments, we used probes against mouse *Dbx1* (Lu et al., 1994), chick *Dbx1* (Pierani et al., 1999) and mouse *Prmd12* (Kinameri et al., 2008). Pictures were then taken with an Axio Observer Z1 microscope (Zeiss). All the images were processed with Photoshop 7.0 software (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA) or Image J v.1.43 g image analysis software (NIH).

#### **Defining Pax3 and Pax7 specific position weight matrices**

Position weight matrices (PWMs) for Pax homeodomain binding sites were taken from work by (Jolma et al., 2013) or created *de novo* from Pax3 and Pax7 ChIP-Sequencing data (Soleimani et al., 2012) using MEME (Supp. Fig. 3A). Pax3 and Pax7 peak location data were retrieved for the top 500 ChIP peaks and 100bp sequences either side of their centre were fetched using Galaxy (usegalaxy.org). A Pax7 HD PWM was created using 200bp sequences of the top 500 peaks using MEME. The PWM for the Pax3 paired domain binding site was created by selecting 51 sequences from the ChIP-Seq data that contained at least three consecutive bases of the core GTCAC motif. These sequences were reduced to 100 base pairs in length and used in MEME to establish the PWM.

#### **Defining the transcription factors expressed in GD11.5 mouse neural tube**

Genes with an expression level below 0 TPM in the ENCODE RNAseq screen performed on GD11.5 mouse spinal cord (ENCODE project, accession number: ENCSR337FYI) were annotated with gene ontology terms using the Bioconductor packages AnnotationDbi (Pagès H, Carlson M, Falcon S and Li N (2017). *AnnotationDbi: Annotation Database Interface*. R package version 1.36.2.) and Mus.musculus (Team BC (2015). *Mus.musculus: Annotation package for the Mus.musculus object*. R package version 1.3.1.). Genes annotated under the GO term "sequence-specific DNA binding" were selected (Supp. Table 4).



**Supplementary Table 1. Number of embryos and sections used for quantifications of cell number per sections at GD11.5**

In **bold** are given the numbers of embryos analysed

In *Italics* are given the numbers of sections considered

# **Supplementary Table 2. Number of embryos and sections used for generating the heatmaps in Fig. 3C and 3D**



In **bold** are given the numbers of embryos analysed

In *Italics* are given the numbers of sections considered

# **Supplementary Table 3. Mann–Whitney** *U* **test probabilities.**



All comparisons are done to control embryos.

Significant differences are highlighted in orange



# **Supplementary Table 4. Transcription factors expressed in GD11.5 mouse spinal cord.**













## **Supplementary references**

Jolma, A., Yan, J., Whitington, T., Toivonen, J., Nitta, K.R., Rastas, P., Morgunova, E., Enge, M., Taipale, M., Wei, G., et al. (2013). DNA-binding specificities of human transcription factors. Cell *152*, 327–339.

Karaz, S., Courgeon, M., Lepetit, H., Bruno, E., Pannone, R., Tarallo, A., Thouzé, F., Kerner, P., Vervoort, M., Causeret, F., et al. (2016). Neuronal fate specification by the Dbx1 transcription factor is linked to the evolutionary acquisition of a novel functional domain. Evodevo 1–13.

Kinameri, E., Inoue, T., Aruga, J., Imayoshi, I., Kageyama, R., Shimogori, T., and Moore, A.W. (2008). Prdm proto-oncogene transcription factor family expression and interaction with the Notch-Hes pathway in mouse neurogenesis. PLoS One *3*, 1–10.

Lu, S., Wise, T.L., and Ruddle, F.H. (1994). Mouse homeobox gene Dbx: sequence, gene structure and expression pattern during mid-gestation. Mech. Dev. *47*, 187–195.

Oosterveen, T., Kurdija, S., Alekseenko, Z., Uhde, C.W., Bergsland, M., Sandberg, M., Andersson, E., Dias, J.M., Muhr, J., and Ericson, J. (2012). Mechanistic differences in the transcriptional interpretation of local and long-range Shh morphogen signaling. Dev. Cell *23*, 1006–1019.

Pierani, A., Brenner-Morton, S., Chiang, C., and Jessell, T.M. (1999). A Sonic Hedgehog-Independent, Retinoid-Activated Pathway of Neurogenesis in the Ventral Spinal Cord. Cell *97*, 903– 915.

Pierani, A., Sunshine, M.J., Littman, D.R., Goulding, M., and Jessell, T.M. (2001). Control of Interneuron Fate in the Developing Spinal Cord by the Progenitor Homeodomain Protein Dbx1. *29*, 367–384.

Relaix, F., Polimeni, M., Rocancourt, D., Ponzetto, C., Schafer, B.W., Buckingham, M., and Schäfer, B.W. (2003). The transcriptional activator PAX3-FKHR rescues the defects of Pax3 mutant mice but induces a myogenic gain-of-function phenotype with ligand-independent activation of Met signaling in vivo. Genes Dev *17*, 2950–2965.

Soleimani, V.D., Punch, V.G., Kawabe, Y.I., Jones, A.E., Palidwor, G. a., Porter, C.J., Cross, J.W., Carvajal, J.J., Kockx, C.E.M., van IJcken, W.F.J., et al. (2012). Transcriptional Dominance of Pax7 in Adult Myogenesis Is Due to High-Affinity Recognition of Homeodomain Motifs-supp. Dev. Cell *22*, 1208–1220.

### **Supplementary Figure Legends**

# Supp. Fig. 1: Characterisation of  $AP2\alpha$  expression profiles in the embryonic dorsal **spinal cord and roles of Dbx1 in restricting the generation of dorsal interneurons**

**A.** Immunostaining for AP2 $\alpha$ /Sox9/GFP on thoracic sections of GD11.5 Pax3<sup>*GFP/+*</sup> embryos showing that  $Ap2\alpha$  is induced in the post-mitotic dorsal GFP<sup>+</sup>, Sox9<sup>-</sup> neurons of the spinal cord. **B.** Immunostaining for  $AP2\alpha/Lbx1/Brn3a$  on brachial sections of GD11.5 wild-type embryos showing that  $Ap2\alpha$  expression largely overlaps with the profile of Brn3a and Lbx1 with two exceptions. First, it is excluded from the most ventral  $Lbx1<sup>+</sup>$  cells and its ventral boundary matches that of Brn3a (arrowheads). Hence its ventral expression includes the Brn3a<sup>+</sup> dI5 IN and excludes the Lbx1<sup>+</sup>/Brn3a<sup>-</sup> dI6 IN. Second, it is excluded from the most dorsal cells expressing Brn3a, likely the dI1 IN (arrows).  $C$ . Immunostaining for  $AP2\alpha/Lhx2$ on brachial sections of GD10.5 wild-type embryos confirming the exclusion of  $Ap2\alpha$  from dI1 IN. **D.** Representation of the spinal cord showing the distribution of  $Ap2\alpha$  protein in red, characterised by the markers whose D-V extent are depicted in grey. **E.** Immunostaining for Pax2 and FoxD3, as well as GFP detection, on brachial sections of GD11.5 of *Pax3KIGFP/+* and *Pax3KIGFP/GFP;Pax3KILacZ/LacZ* (dKO) embryos, showing that, in absence of both Pax3 and Pax7 Pax2<sup>+</sup>;GFP<sup>+</sup> cells express the V1 marker, FoxD3. The white dashed line in **i**, **i'** marks the border between dI5 and dI6 cells; the light green one in **i-ii'** segregates dorsal GFP<sup>+</sup> cells from the ventral GFP<sup>-</sup> cells. F. i-ii Immunostaining for AP2 $\alpha$ /Lbx1/ $\beta$ Galactosidase on brachial sections of GD11.5 *Dbx1KILacZ/+* and *Dbx1KILacZ/LacZ* embryos showing that, in absence of *Dbx1*, p0 progenitors give rise to  $Lbx1^+$ ;  $AP2a$ ;  $Pax2^+$  dI6 interneurons (see brackets in ii, ii'). iii Quantification of Lbx1<sup>+</sup>; AP2a<sup>-</sup> dI6 cells that derive from progenitors that have or have not expressed the BGalactosidase under the control of the *Dbx1* locus (mean $\pm$ s.e.m., n=4 *Dbx1KILacZ/+* embryos, n=2 *Dbx1KILacZ/LacZ* embryos). **iv.** Immunostaining for Pax2/Lbx1/ $\beta$ Galactosidase on brachial sections of GD11.5 *Dbx1<sup>KILacZ/LacZ</sup>* embryos showing that  $Lbx1^+$  neurons derived from p0 progenitors express another dI6 marker, Pax2.

# **Supp. Fig. 2: Characterisation of Dbx1 profiles in wild-type and Pax3/Pax7 mutant embryos**

**A.** Immunostaining for Dbx1 and Pax7 in thoracic transverse sections of wild-type embryos at the indicated stages. **B-C.** *In situ* hybridisation for *Dbx1* **(B)** and immunolabelling of Dbx1 **(C)** in brachial transverse sections of GD11.5 mouse embryos of the indicated genotype. **D.** Graphs displaying the absolute D-V position from the floor plate in µm of Dbx1 protein expression in GD11.5 mouse embryos with the indicated genotype (mean  $\pm$  s.e.m). Scale bars: 50 µm.

# **Supp. Fig. 3: Pax Position Weight Matrices and response of Dbx1 CRMs to Pax3 and PAX3A**

**A.** Position Weight Matrices retrieved from data generated by Jolma et al. (2013) (1), identified by MEME from ChIP-Seq data generated by Soleimani et al. (2012) (2), or created using MEME by compiling sites previously identified by Soleimani et al. (2012), Moore et al. (2013) and Relaix et al. (2003) (3). **B.** Immunostaining for β-Galactosidase and GFP combined with DAPI labelling performed on sections of chick embryos 24hpe with the indicated reporter constructs carrying *Dbx1* CRMs and either Pax3-GFP **(i-v')** or PAX3A-GFP **(vi-x')**. Scale bars: 50 µm.



Gard et al., Supp. Figure 1





GD11.5



Gard et al., Supp. Figure 2

GD11.5





Gard et al., Supp. Figure 3

A