



HAL
open science

An exact method for solving the two-machine flow-shop problem with time delays

Mohamed Amine Mkadem, Aziz Moukrim, Mehdi Serairi

► **To cite this version:**

Mohamed Amine Mkadem, Aziz Moukrim, Mehdi Serairi. An exact method for solving the two-machine flow-shop problem with time delays. 3th Workshop on Models and Algorithms for Planning and Scheduling Problems (MAPSP 2017), Jun 2017, Seeon-Seebruck, Germany. pp.175-176. hal-01544655

HAL Id: hal-01544655

<https://hal.science/hal-01544655v1>

Submitted on 28 Jun 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

An exact method for solving the two-machine flow-shop problem with time delays

Mohamed Amine Mkaïdem (Speaker) * Aziz Moukrim * Mehdi Serairi *

1 Introduction

We address the flow-shop scheduling problem with two machines and time delays, which is denoted by $F2|l_j|C_{max}$. $F2|l_j|C_{max}$ can be described as follows. Given a set $J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of n jobs and two machines (M_1, M_2) , each job $j \in J$ has two operations $O_{1,j}$ and $O_{2,j}$. The operation $O_{1,j}$ (resp. $O_{2,j}$) must be executed without preemption during $p_{1,j}$ (resp. $p_{2,j}$) time units on M_1 (resp. M_2). In addition to the resource constraints of the machines and the non-preemption of jobs, a schedule S is considered feasible if, for each job $j \in J$, a time delay of at least l_j time units must elapse between the completion of $O_{1,j}$ and the start of $O_{2,j}$. The goal is to find a feasible schedule S that minimizes the makespan, i.e, the maximum job completion time.

The $F2|l_j|C_{max}$ problem is NP-hard in the strong sense even with unit-time operations [5]. Therefore, it has been the scope of a variety of investigations. As far we know, [2] and [4] proposed lower bound methods. Moreover, [2] investigated heuristic approaches where he introduced four constructive heuristics and a Tabu Search algorithm. It should be noted that [2] implemented an exact method based on the branch-and-bound method of [1], which is originally made for the job-shop problem. Another branch-and-bound method was proposed by [3] for the unit-time operations case.

The objective of this paper consists in introducing a branch-and-bound algorithm for $F2|l_j|C_{max}$. To our aim, we propose a set of lower bounds and an upper bound. In addition, a set of dominance rules is proposed in order to reduce the search space.

2 The Branch-and-Bound Algorithm

In this section, we present an exact method for $F2|l_j|C_{max}$ based on a branch-and-bound enumeration scheme. At first, let us introduce the following observation.

Observation 1 *Let σ be a fixed job sequence on M_1 of all jobs, then schedules in which the job sequence σ is fixed first on M_1 and the jobs are executed on M_2 according to the nondecreasing order of their arrival times are dominant.*

According to this observation, our branch-and-bound enumerates job sequences on M_1 as follows. At a given node N_{σ_1} of the search tree of the branch-and-bound, a partial

*{mohamed-amine.mkadem, aziz.moukrim, mehdi.serairi}@hds.utc.fr. Sorbonne universités, Université de technologie de Compiègne, CNRS, UMR 7253 Heudiasyc - CS 60 319 - 60 203 Compiègne cedex.

job sequence σ_1 of $|\sigma_1|$ jobs is fixed on M_1 . In order to reduce the number of explored nodes, we invoke at each node N_{σ_1} the following features:

- A preprocessing procedure that aims to fix some precedence relationships between jobs on each machine.
- Several lower bounds of [2] and [4] that have been adapted to be used inside the branch-and-bound search tree.
- An upper bound based on a local search technique applied on the current sub-sequence.
- Three dominance rules that allow to discard nodes from additional expansions in order to reduce the computational time of the proposed branch-and-bound algorithm.

If these features fail to prune the current node N_{σ_1} , then for each unscheduled job j a *son node* is created from N_{σ_1} , in which j is fixed after σ_1 . Note that we adopted the depth-first node selection strategy.

3 Computational results

A computer simulation of the branch-and-bound algorithm, which was carried out on a set of 360 instances of [2], shows that our branch-and-bound method outperforms the state of the art exact method. In particular, we manage to solve 358 instances among 360 possible ones.

References

- [1] P. Brucker, B. Jurisch, and B. Sievers. A branch and bound algorithm for the job-shop scheduling problem. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 49(1):107 – 127, 1994.
- [2] M. Dell’Amico. Shop problems with two machines and time lags. *Operations Research*, 44(5):777–787, 1996.
- [3] A. Moukrim, D. Rebaine, and M. Serairi. A branch and bound algorithm for the two-machine flowshop problem with unit-time operations and time delays. *RAIRO - Operations Research*, 48(2):235–254, 2014.
- [4] W. Yu. *The two-machine flow shop problem and the one-machine total tardiness problem*. PhD thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [5] W. Yu, H. Hoogeveen, and J.K. Lenstra. Minimizing makespan in a two-machine flow shop with delays and unit-time operations is np-hard. *Journal of Scheduling*, 7(5):333 – 348, 2004.