



## Pattern evolution during double liquid-vapor phase transitions under weightlessness

Ana Oprisan, Yves Garrabos, Carole Lecoutre-Chabot, Carole Lecoutre,  
Daniel Beysens

### ► To cite this version:

Ana Oprisan, Yves Garrabos, Carole Lecoutre-Chabot, Carole Lecoutre, Daniel Beysens. Pattern evolution during double liquid-vapor phase transitions under weightlessness. *Molecules*, 2017, 22 (6), pp.947. 10.3390/molecules22060947 . hal-01539223

**HAL Id: hal-01539223**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01539223v1>**

Submitted on 18 Jul 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Article

# Pattern Evolution during Double Liquid-Vapor Phase Transitions under Weightlessness

Ana Oprisan <sup>1,\*</sup>, Yves Garrabos <sup>2,3</sup>, Carole Lecoutre <sup>2,3</sup> and Daniel Beysens <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, College of Charleston, Charleston, SC 29424, USA

<sup>2</sup> ESEME, Institut de Chimie de la Matière Condensée de Bordeaux, CNRS, Univ. Bordeaux, ICMCB, UPR 9048, F-33600 Pessac, France

<sup>3</sup> Service des Basses Températures, CEA-Grenoble et Université Joseph Fourier, 38054 Grenoble, France; yves.garrabos@icmcb.cnrs.fr (Y.G.); carole.lecoutre@icmcb.cnrs.fr (C.L.)

<sup>4</sup> Physique et Mécanique des Milieux Hétérogènes, UMR 7636 CNRS-ESPCI-Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Université Paris Diderot, 10 rue Vauquelin, 75005 Paris, France; daniel.beysens@espci.fr

\* Correspondence: oprisana@cofc.edu; Tel.: +1-843-953-7582

Academic Editor: Yu Yang

Received: 13 May 2017; Accepted: 2 June 2017; Published: 9 June 2017

**Abstract:** Phase transition in fluids is ubiquitous in nature and has important applications in areas such as the food industry for volatile oils' extraction or in nuclear plants for heat transfer. Fundamentals are hampered by gravity effects on Earth. We used direct imaging to record snapshots of phase separation that takes place in sulfur hexafluoride, SF<sub>6</sub>, under weightlessness conditions on the International Space Station (ISS). The system was already at liquid-vapor equilibrium slightly below the critical temperature and further cooled down by a 0.2-mK temperature quench that produced a new phase separation. Both full view and microscopic views of the direct observation cell were analyzed to determine the evolution of the radii distributions. We found that radii distributions could be well approximated by a lognormal function. The fraction of small radii droplets declined while the fraction of large radii droplets increased over time. Phase separation at the center of the sample cell was visualized using a 12× microscope objective, which corresponds to a depth of focus of about 5 μm. We found that the mean radii of liquid droplets exhibit a  $t^{1/3}$  evolution, in agreement with growth driven by Brownian coalescence. It was also found that the mean radii of the vapor bubbles inside the liquid majority phase exhibit a  $t^{1/2}$  evolution, which suggest a possible directional motion of vapor bubbles due to the influence of weak remaining gravitational field and/or a composition Marangoni force.

**Keywords:** phase separation; microgravity; binary coalescence

## 1. Introduction

Phase transition is ubiquitous in nature, exhibited by processes such as the water cycle. However, when dealing with vapor-liquid phase transition, Earth gravity hampers a detailed study. The raising of the vapor bubbles and the falling of the liquid droplets prompt the formation of a flat meniscus by the gravity-induced coalescence of bubbles or droplets [1,2]. Experiments under the space conditions of weightlessness thus allow hidden mechanisms to be clearly evidenced. In addition, dealing with fluids near their critical point allows critical scaling universality to be used to generalize the results to all fluids. It also allows for critical slowing down to observe phenomena that are usually quite difficult to analyze because of their very fast dynamics. The DECLIC (Dispositif pour l'Etude de la Croissance et des Liquides Critiques) flight model facility is a multi-user facility to investigate critical fluids' behavior and directional solidification of transparent alloys. This is a joint NASA and CNES research program onboard the International Space Station (ISS). The compact design contains three inserts, of

which we refer here only to the ALI (Alice Like Insert) dedicated to the study of sulfur hexafluoride ( $\text{SF}_6$ ) as a near-ambient temperature critical fluid. The program covers a whole characterization of  $\text{SF}_6$ , ranging from thermodynamic quantities measurements (thermal diffusivity, heat capacity and turbidity near the critical point) to boiling effects studies [3].

The focus of this paper is on investigating the phase separation process that takes place after the supercritical  $\text{SF}_6$  sample is brought from an initial state, which is already in a liquid-vapor two-phase state slightly below the critical temperature, to a temperature 0.2 mK below its initial temperature by a temperature quench [4,5]. Generally, phase separation could occur in a classical mean-field approach via two mechanisms: nucleation and spinodal decomposition, respectively [6–9]. It has been established that nucleation occurs in metastable systems [9–12], while spinodal decomposition occurs in thermodynamically unstable systems [13]. Dynamics at late time is governed either by Brownian-induced coalescences of bubbles or droplets or by long-ranged hydrodynamic interactions resulting in interconnected droplet or bubble patterns. The equilibrium volume fraction of the minority phase determines whether the system phase separates via disconnected or interconnected droplet/bubble pattern [8,14,15]. This distinction is different than spinodal decomposition or nucleation, based on thermodynamic arguments. Moreover, the presence of solid walls and the wetting effects dramatically modify phase separation dynamics [16–21]. Both phase separation and critical wetting phenomena were studied almost independently, and it has been shown that these two non-equilibrium phenomena could be coupled [22,23]. Wetting dynamics is coupled with phase separation that takes place in the bulk of the fluid. In the case of liquid droplet-forming phase separation, the rate of the growth of the wetting layer is much slower than in the case of bicontinuous phase separation [16,24].

When quenched from the initial temperature  $T_i > T_c$  to a final temperature  $T_f < T_c$ , the lever rule relates the volume fraction of the minority phase,  $\phi$ , of the vapor and the order parameter  $M$  [14,15,25,26]:

$$\phi = \frac{M^+ - M}{M^+ - M^-} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta T}{\delta T} \right)^{-\beta} \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $\delta T = T_c - T_f$  is the quench depth with respect to the critical temperature,  $T_c = 45.557297^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $\Delta T = T_c - T_{cx}$  is the coexistence temperature depth with  $T_{cx} = 45.557270^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $\beta = 0.32575$  is a universal exponent and  $M^\pm$  are the order parameters (relative densities) of liquid and vapor phase, respectively. This is defined as  $M^\pm = (\rho^\pm - \rho_c)/\rho_c$ , where  $\rho^\pm$  is the mean density of liquid and vapor phase, respectively, and  $\rho_c$  is the critical density [25,27]. The coexistence curve (CX) (see Figure 1a) is given by  $M = B(1 - T/T_c)^\beta$ , where  $B = 1.596$  [28].

In his seminal work on nucleation and growth processes, Gibbs [29,30] considered that small nuclei occur randomly with state parameters similar to the properties of the newly-evolving macroscopic phases. In the classical scenario of nucleation and growth, only large fluctuations can overcome the energy cost of the formation of the interface of a nucleus of the new phase (the region between the spinodal and the coexistence curves in Figure 1a).


In van der Waals' [31] and Cahn-Hilliard's [32–34] visions of the spinodal decomposition, a set of long-wavelength fluctuations of the density of the initial state undergo spontaneous growth over large regions in space. Spinodal decomposition occurs when a fluid is quenched into the center of its coexistence region and separates into vapor and liquid phases (the region under the spinodal curves in Figure 1b). Immediately after the quench, density fluctuations whose length scale is approximately the correlation length  $\xi$  become unstable and increase in amplitude through diffusion. During this early stage of phase separation, the domain size increases as  $t^{1/3}$  [34–36]. As the phases reach nearly equilibrium density, the interfaces between the phases sharpen to a thickness of order  $\xi$ . Ultimately, minimization of interfacial area drives viscous flows resulting in a coarsening growth of  $t^1$  [34,35]. This behavior has been observed in molecular fluids [37], binary fluid mixtures [38] and polymer blends [39].



quantitative evolutions for the phase-separated liquid droplets and vapor bubbles, respectively, based on their statistics.

## 2. Wide Field of View Images Results

We used iSolution<sup>TM</sup> software to identify the droplets in the recorded images and Origin Lab<sup>TM</sup> software for histogram extraction and data analysis. We only observed binary coalescence between liquid droplets in all wide field of view (WFOV) images that, over time, led to a significant change in the distribution of droplet radii (see Figure 2). Initially, the system was slightly below  $T_c$  and phase-separated into a matrix liquid phase and some still visible vapor phase from the initial state. By further cooling down the system with the 0.2-mK temperature quench, a double phase separation process occurs in which we observe liquid droplets inside the initial islands of the vapor phase and also noticed the existence of vapor bubbles inside the matrix liquid phase. The coalescence shown in Figure 2 takes place inside of an initially phase-separated large and irregularly-shaped vapor bubble. The highlighted structures in the insets of Figure 2 are small liquid droplets that collide and form a larger liquid droplet.




**Figure 2.** Binary coalescence in wide field of view (WFOV) images at successive frames: 6858.78 s (a), 6949.48 s (b) and 7040.35 s (c). The highlighted squares show the region of interest that contains two large liquid droplets of quite similar radii that approached each other (a) and then form a continuous droplet (b), which slowly changed to an ellipsoid (c) and finally becomes spherical (not shown). The insets of 1 mm  $\times$  1 mm size show a magnified version of the region of interest to better visualize the binary coalescence. The initial state was slightly below  $T_c$  and produced an initial phase separation into a matrix liquid phase and some still visible vapor phase from the initial state.

We selected a few representative WFOV images and check the fit of their corresponding histograms either with a Gaussian (see Figure 3a) or a lognormal (see Figure 3b).


There is a small increase in the coefficient of determination, which is a statistical measure of the goodness of the fitting process, which slightly favors the lognormal fit (see Figure 3). Another reason to favor a lognormal distribution is the presence of the long, asymmetric tail at large radii.

We noticed that both the Gaussian and the lognormal fit show similar trends, i.e., the center  $x_c$  of the distribution drifts towards larger radii over time. We hypothesized that the reason for such a drift is the observed coalescence that merges droplets into a larger droplet (see Figure 4). The fit of the log-log plot shown in Figure 4 suggests that the mean radius increase follows a power law with the exponents  $0.19 \pm 0.03$  for the Gaussian fit (solid squares in Figure 4) and with an exponent of  $0.24 \pm 0.03$  for the lognormal fit (solid circles in Figure 4). As Figure 4 shows, the error bars for the Gaussian fit are generally larger than the corresponding error bars for the lognormal fit. This is because the symmetric Gaussian curve cannot accurately capture the long tail of the radii distribution, especially during the later stages of the phase separation (see the large error bar of the Gaussian fit outlier at about 7000 s).





**Figure 3.** Histograms of WFOV images at successive times: 1775 s (solid squares), 4317 s (solid circles) and 7040 s (solid triangles). The continuous lines show the corresponding Gauss (a) and lognormal (b) fits. For the image at 1775 s (solid squares), the center of Gauss distribution is at  $x_{cG} = (50.6 \pm 0.5) \mu\text{m}$  and of the lognormal is at  $x_{cLN} = (63.8 \pm 1.2) \mu\text{m}$ . The corresponding standard deviations were  $w_G = (14.9 \pm 0.7) \mu\text{m}$  and  $w_{LN} = (5.0 \pm 0.4) \mu\text{m}$ . For the image at 4317 s (solid circles),  $x_{cG} = (66.3 \pm 0.2) \mu\text{m}$  and  $x_{cLN} = (68.1 \pm 4.8) \mu\text{m}$  with the standard deviations  $w_G = (18.4 \pm 1.1) \mu\text{m}$  and, respectively,  $w_{LN} = (3.5 \pm 0.1) \mu\text{m}$ . For the image at 7040 s (solid triangles),  $x_{cG} = (78.3 \pm 1.2) \mu\text{m}$  and  $x_{cLN} = (81.3 \pm 0.8) \mu\text{m}$  with  $w_G = (26.5 \pm 1.2) \mu\text{m}$  and  $w_{LN} = (4.2 \pm 0.1) \mu\text{m}$ .




**Figure 4.** The location of the center of the Gaussian (solid squares), respectively, lognormal (solid circles) distribution versus time for WFOV images. The center of the distributions constantly shifts towards larger radii. The log-log plot suggests possible power laws for radii evolution.

In order to get a deeper insight into the droplet coalescence, we followed the temporal evolution of different one-pixel ( $12 \mu\text{m}$ ) bins of the histogram (see Figure 5). While the  $12\text{-}\mu\text{m}$  (1 pixel) and  $24\text{-}\mu\text{m}$  (2 pixels) bins have very low contributions to the histograms, their relative fractions remained almost constant throughout the experiment. This suggests that either droplets of such radii form by coalescence from droplets of smaller radii that are not detectable (below one-pixel resolution) or they directly and constantly nucleate from the vapor phase. The  $36\text{-}\mu\text{m}$  (3 pixels),  $48\text{-}\mu\text{m}$  (4 pixels),  $60\text{-}\mu\text{m}$  (5 pixels) and  $72\text{-}\mu\text{m}$  (6 pixels) droplets show a clearly distinct pattern with a descending trend that suggests a steady depletion of these small radii droplets, due to coalescence that leads to larger droplet

formation. The 84- $\mu\text{m}$  (seven pixels) radii droplets seem to remain almost constant over time and constitute some kind of a borderline between the constantly decaying fraction of smaller droplets and the constantly increasing fraction of larger than 84  $\mu\text{m}$  (seven pixels) droplets. All droplets with the radius over 96  $\mu\text{m}$  (8 pixels) have a positive trend, which means they are generated from smaller droplets (see Figure 5b). Although the contribution of droplets larger than 132  $\mu\text{m}$  (11 pixels) is relatively small (see Figure 5c), it is worth mentioning that they seem to appear predominately towards later stages of the phase separation. This is consistent with the concurrent and sustained increase of droplets of a large size 96–120  $\mu\text{m}$  (8–10 pixels) observed in Figure 5b. It is only after enough such 96–120- $\mu\text{m}$  (8–10 pixels) droplets formed that the large droplets ( $\geq 11$  pixels) start to show up on our macroscopic images. Such a behavior suggests a cascade, or recursive, process during which binary coalescence between small droplets slowly generates a population of larger and larger droplets.

Although the fitting evolution of different radii bins in Figure 5 was solely provided as a visual aid to help the reader eyeball the general trends, we also provide the fitting parameters below. The least square method for the linear fitting equation  $y = a + bx$  was used, and the adjusted  $R^2$  coefficient was provided as a measure of the goodness of fit (see Table 1).




**Figure 5.** The temporal evolution of different bins of 12  $\mu\text{m}$  (one pixel) in WFOV (solid square), 24  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid circle), 36  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid triangle), 48  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid inverted triangle) and, respectively, 60  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid inverted triangles) pixels (a); 72  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid square), 84  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid circle), 96  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid triangle), 108  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid inverted triangle) and, respectively, 120  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid inverted triangles) pixels (b); and 132  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid square), 144  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid circle), 156  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid triangle), 168  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid inverted triangle) and 180  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid inverted triangles), respectively (c). The smooth interpolation lines suggest some trends in different ranges of droplet radii.

**Table 1.** The fitting parameters ( $a$ ,  $b$ ) and the corresponding goodness of fit statistics ( $R^2$ ) for the trends shown in Figure 5 with continuous lines.

| Radius ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) | $a$ ( $10^{-2}$ ) | $b$ ( $10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) | $R^2$ |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| 12                       | 0.06              | 0.03                             | 0.16  |
| 24                       | 3.52              | −0.15                            | 0.04  |
| 36                       | 13.0              | −0.53                            | 0.06  |
| 48                       | 31.9              | −2.58                            | 0.90  |
| 60                       | 32.3              | −2.04                            | 0.77  |
| 72                       | 17.2              | −0.12                            | 0.08  |
| 84                       | 4.78              | 1.15                             | 0.59  |
| 96                       | 1.65              | 1.16                             | 0.89  |
| 108                      | −1.64             | 1.20                             | 0.81  |
| 120                      | −1.80             | 0.88                             | 0.58  |
| 132                      | −0.65             | 0.41                             | 0.03  |
| 144                      | 0.24              | 0.17                             | 0.14  |
| 156                      | 0.34              | 0.02                             | 0.44  |
| 168                      | −0.04             | 0.06                             | 0.40  |
| 180                      | −0.32             | 0.13                             | 0.43  |

### 3. Narrow Field of View Image Results

A microscope with a magnification of  $12\times$  and a corresponding depth of focus of about  $5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  [42] that switches periodically between the WFOV and a small magnified portion of the direct observation cell (DOC) was also used. In the narrow field of view (NFOV), one pixel is equivalent to  $0.977\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ . The fixed microscope objective focused at the center of the DOC happened to record two types of NFOV images. Initially, the microscope captured images from inside a large vapor bubble that phase-separated before we applied the  $0.2\text{-mK}$  temperature quench (as seen in Figure 2 and labeled “vapor phase from initial state”, i.e., prior to applying the  $0.2\text{-mK}$  temperature quench). Inside this large vapor bubble, coalescence between liquid droplets takes place. While the vapor phase recedes and the large vapor bubble seen on the right side of Figure 2 becomes smaller and smaller, the microscope captures images from the region marked “matrix liquid phase” in Figure 2. In this region, we also noticed coalescence as seen in Figure 6, but this takes place between the vapor bubbles embedded in the fluid majority phase. The high resolution recording and the slowing down of coalescence processes due to microgravity conditions allowed us to observe binary collisions as in Figure 6.




**Figure 6.** Binary collisions in narrow field of view (NFOV) images at successive frames: 6244 s (a), 6335 s (b) and 6426 s (c). The highlighted squares show two vapor bubbles of slightly different radii that approached each other (a) and then form a continuous bubble (b), which slowly changes its shape until it becomes spherical (c). We also magnified the region of interest for better visualization of coalescence.

We closely inspected the structures formed both inside the large vapor bubbles and inside the majority liquid phase and noticed some consistent patterns. Inside the vapor bubbles, the structures that coalesce have a dark contour and brighter interior, which suggests that they are liquid droplets. The structures inside the majority liquid phase (such as those shown in Figure 6) have a bright contour and a darker interior, which suggest that they are vapor bubbles. According to our sketch of the ray tracing shown in Figure 7a, parallel rays passing through a large vapor phase with liquid droplets inside it would be focused by the liquid droplets. As a result, the recorded image of the embedded liquid droplets has a dark boundary and is brighter inside. Similarly, parallel rays passing through a majority liquid phase with vapor bubbles inside it produces a divergent beam as in Figure 7b. In this case, the recorded image of the embedded vapor bubble should have a bright rim and darker towards



its center. In Figure 7c, we show an image with a clear interface between the receding vapor bubble (lower portion of the image) and the expanding liquid phase (upper portion of the image). We used MATLAB's pixel line profiler to show how the intensity changes across a large vapor bubble inside this liquid phase (see panel e). When the intensity profile line enters the vapor bubble from the upper left corner and moves downwards towards the lower right corner, the brightness increases (over about 10 pixels, which is about  $9.77\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ), and then, when the line exits, the bubble there shows another sharp increase in brightness. The vapor bubble measures about 180 pixels (about  $175.9\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ). The lower portion of the image in Figure 7c is the large vapor phase that constantly recedes (as seen in the WFOV images). In this region, the liquid droplets have a dark border and brighter interior consistent with the intensity profile shown in Figure 7d.

Although we might be tempted to consider that WFOV and NFOF should be similar, we need to keep in mind that the NFOV offers only a snapshot of a small portion of the system, and we can hardly make any assumption regarding the system's homogeneity. Therefore, the distribution of droplets observed when counting all droplets in the WFOV images may not be identical to the one observed locally in the NFOV images. Even if the system were in thermal equilibrium, the spatial homogeneity hypothesis is hard to support as local displacements/perturbations could happen at any time, and they could rapidly and transiently change the observed droplets' distribution. Furthermore, even if spatial homogeneity holds, there is the finite size effect of the microscopic window that limits the largest droplets that could be observed experimentally.



**Figure 7.** (a) Liquid droplets embedded in a vapor bubble tend to focus the parallel rays towards their center when projected on a screen (CCD camera); (b) vapor bubbles inside a liquid phase defocus the parallel beam and tend to produce a darker region towards the center of the bubble; (c) the interface separates a large vapor bubble (lower portion of the image) from the liquid phase (upper portion); (d) the intensity profile line across a liquid droplet marked “d” in Panel c shows a sharp decline in brightness with a minimum at about  $10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  as the line crosses into the droplet and another sharp decline in brightness with another minimum at about  $120\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ; (e) the intensity profile line across a vapor bubble marked “e” in Panel c shows a sharp increase in brightness with a maximum at about  $10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  as the line crosses into the vapor bubble and another sharp increase in brightness with another maximum at about  $200\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ .

With all of these caveats in mind, we analyzed separately: (1) the distribution of the liquid droplets inside the large vapor bubble seen on the right side of Figure 2; and (2) the distribution of the vapor bubbles inside the majority liquid phase.

### 3.1. Liquid Droplets Dynamics from NFOV Images

Inside any large vapor bubble, a dynamic process of continuous condensation of liquid droplets from the supercritical phase takes place. When we used the microscope to record NFOV images, only a small portion of the entire sample cell was visualized. As we noticed from the WFOV images, the large vapor bubbles are in continuous, slow motion due to internal processes, such as droplet nucleation and expansion of the wetting layer at cell boundaries, and also Brownian motion and g-jitter and remaining steady gravity due to the fact that the sample is not at the spacecraft center of mass. As a result of the macroscopic motion, some microscopic recordings caught the slow drift of the interface between a large vapor bubble (with liquid droplets inside) and the majority liquid phase (with vapor bubbles inside).


We fitted the liquid droplets' NFOV distributions both to Gauss and lognormal functions to gauge possible trends over time (not shown). As we found out from analyzing WFOV images, there is no significant difference in the goodness of fit between the two fitting functions. However, we may favor the lognormal distribution due to the presence of the asymmetric, long tail in the experimental data.

We also noticed that both the Gaussian and lognormal fit of the liquid droplets NFOV images show similar trends, i.e., the center of the distribution drifts at first towards larger radii (see Figure 8). Such a behavior of the NFOV droplets distributions correlates with the WFOV view results and is characteristic for the droplet distributions measured in the bulk of the vapor bubble that contains the liquid droplets.

The solid circles in Figure 8 cover a frame range where we observed a phase separation line slowly drifting from the top to the bottom of the NFOV image as the large vapor bubble recedes. In Figure 8, we reported only the measurements done inside the large vapor bubble, i.e., below the phase separation line when it becomes visible.

It is expected that the geometry of the vapor bubble and the proximity of the phase separation line changes the distribution of droplets compared to the bulk distribution (see the solid squares in Figure 8). For example, as the phase separation line recedes, the visible area of the bubble is smaller and smaller, which limits the droplet radii that could be observed.

The most noticeable result regarding droplet radii distribution is that initially the center of the distribution shifts towards larger and larger values. This is consistent with the coalescence mechanism and the radii increase as  $t^{1/3}$  due to coalescences induced by Brownian collisions (see also [36]). After the initial fast increase in the average radii, the distributions flattened, which suggests that the process reached a steady state.




**Figure 8.** The location of the center of the Gaussian (a); and lognormal (b) droplet radii distributions shift initially towards larger values. At some point during the experiment, a large vapor bubble that contains the liquid droplets recedes such that the phase separation line drifted into focus (solid circles). While the best fit is still a lognormal distribution, at later times, the center of the distribution remains constant.

A more detailed picture of the coalescence mechanism emerges when we investigate the evolution of different radii bins. For this purpose, all distributions were binned with 10 pixels ( $\approx 10 \mu\text{m}$ ). To separate images that were entirely inside the vapor bubble (time below 3500 s) from those that contained the phase separation line (after 3500 s), the latter were shaded in Figure 9. Furthermore, to allow more flexibility in eyeballing the data trends, we fitted with a quadratic instead of a straight line like in Figure 5. Presumably, this would be helpful in separating trends in the bulk compared to trends in the images containing the phase separation line.

When we focus on the initial stage of coalescence in the NFOV (for times below 1800 s that correspond to the  $t^{1/3}$  trend shown in Figure 8), then from Figure 9a,b, it seems that for droplets of radii below  $40 \mu\text{m}$ , there is a general descendent trend. At the same time, from Figure 9b,c, the radii larger than  $50 \mu\text{m}$  seem to have an ascendant path (see only the first shaded rectangle at times below 1800 s). This evolution could be the result of coalescence events that deplete the distribution of small droplets in favor of generating larger droplets.

During the steady state plateau when the center of the droplet distribution remains almost fixed in Figure 8 (corresponding to the time interval between 1800 s and 3500 s), it seems that the distribution for droplets smaller than  $40 \mu\text{m}$  continues to decline at a slower rate, whereas the intermediate range of  $50$  and  $60 \mu\text{m}$  remains almost flat. Only the droplets larger than  $70 \mu\text{m}$  seem to continue increasing their relative fraction.

Finally, during the images that contained the phase separation line, the small droplets fraction seems to increase again. However, this could be simply a measurement artifact due to the finite size effect of the observed area since larger droplets could not be accommodated and observed inside a continually decreasing piece of vapor bubble.



**Figure 9.** The evolution of different bins of  $10 \mu\text{m}$  centered at the radii of  $10 \mu\text{m}$  (solid square),  $20 \mu\text{m}$  (solid circle),  $30 \mu\text{m}$  (solid triangle) (a);  $40 \mu\text{m}$  (solid square),  $50 \mu\text{m}$  (solid circle),  $60 \mu\text{m}$  (solid triangle) (b); and  $70 \mu\text{m}$  (solid square),  $80 \mu\text{m}$  (solid circle),  $90 \mu\text{m}$  (solid triangle),  $100 \mu\text{m}$  pixels (solid inverted triangle) (c). The smooth interpolation lines suggest some trends in different ranges of droplet radii. The initial shaded rectangle (time below 1800 s) corresponds to the initial  $t^{1/3}$  power law (see Figure 8). The intermediate range corresponds to the flat droplet distribution observed in Figure 8. The shaded rectangles at the end of the data correspond to distributions measured when the phase separation line was in focus.

Again, the fitting evolution of different radii bins in Figure 9 was solely provided as a visual aid to help the reader eyeball the general trends. The Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm with the parabolic fitting equation  $y = a + bx + cx^2$  was used, and the adjusted  $R^2$  coefficient was provided as a measure of the goodness of fit (see Table 2).


**Table 2.** The fitting parameters ( $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ ) and the corresponding goodness of fit statistics ( $R^2$ ) for the trends shown in Figure 9 with continuous lines.

| Radius ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) | $a$ ( $10^{-2}$ ) | $b$ ( $10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) | $c$ ( $10^{-9} \text{ s}^{-2}$ ) | $R^2$ |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| 10                       | 2.79              | −2.32                            | 5.58                             | 0.39  |
| 20                       | 14.9              | −9.89                            | 18.8                             | 0.38  |
| 30                       | 40.9              | −17.4                            | 27.0                             | 0.41  |
| 40                       | 35.3              | −2.97                            | 1.06                             | 0.28  |
| 50                       | 9.53              | 16.2                             | −31.6                            | 0.43  |
| 60                       | 4.64              | 6.3 0                            | −7.43                            | 0.18  |
| 70                       | −1.76             | 3.99                             | −2.76                            | 0.53  |
| 80                       | 3.19              | −2.26                            | 6.8                              | 0.43  |
| 90                       | 0.10              | 1.10                             | −1.52                            | 0.13  |
| 100                      | 0.46              | −0.06                            | 1.181                            | 0.13  |

### 3.2. Vapor Bubbles Dynamics From NFOV Images

Inside the liquid matrix, a dynamic process of phase separation of vapor bubbles from initial liquid phase takes place. As in the previous subsection, we also fitted the vapor bubbles' NFOV distributions both to Gauss and lognormal functions to gauge possible trends over time (see Figure 10). Both the Gaussian and lognormal fit of the vapor bubbles' NFOV images show similar trends. While the large vapor bubble (with liquid droplets inside) receded from the field of view, we recorded initially vapor bubble distributions in the presence of a moving phase separation line for times below approximately 5000 s. As we discussed in the previous subsection, such distributions (marked with solid circles in Figure 10) are skewed due to the finite size effects near the phase separation line. For this reason, we did not consider them when analyzing the trend of the mean radius versus time.

After the phase separation line is out of the field of view, we recorded images from the bulk of the liquid matrix that contained vapor bubbles undergoing coalescence. The most noticeable result regarding vapor bubbles radii distribution is that the center of the distribution shifts towards larger and larger values. This is consistent with the coalescence mechanism, and the radii increase as  $t^{1/2}$ .




**Figure 10.** The location of the center of the Gaussian (a), respectively lognormal (b); vapor bubble radii distributions shifts towards larger values at times above 5000 s when the phase separation line is no longer in the field of view (solid squares). The radii seem to increase as  $t^{1/2}$  in the bulk of the fluid phase. When the phase separation line is visible, the average radius seems to remain constant (solid circles).

A more detailed picture of the coalescence mechanism emerges when we investigate the evolution of different radii bins. To separate images that contained the phase separation line (time below 5000 s) from those recorded in the bulk of the liquid phase (after 5000 s), the former were shaded in Figure 11. If we focus on the initial stage of coalescence in the NFOV (for times below 5000 s), it seems that the dynamics is similar to the one observed across the phase separation line inside the large vapor bubble (see Figure 11, the last shaded rectangles). During the ascending trend of the average

radius (see Figure 10), the fraction of the small droplets below 30  $\mu\text{m}$  seems to decay over time (see Figure 11a) and slightly increase for intermediate 40–50- $\mu\text{m}$  bubbles. Larger bubbles with radii from 60  $\mu\text{m}$ –90  $\mu\text{m}$  seem to also decrease their relative fraction, whereas large droplets over 100  $\mu\text{m}$  increase their relative contribution to the distributions (see Figure 11c). This evolution could be the result of coalescence events and has a different dynamics than previous measurements in liquid droplets (see the previous subsection).

Similarly, the fitting evolution of different radii bins in Figure 11 was solely provided as a visual aid to help the reader eyeball the general trends. The Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm with the parabolic fitting equation  $y = a + bx + cx^2$  was used, and the adjusted  $R^2$  coefficient was provided as a measure of the goodness of fit (see Table 3).

The temporal evolutions of the transition rates for radii distribution is relevant because, in the future, we would like to derive a phenomenological approach to droplet distribution and their dependence on different thermophysical parameters. It is known that for large droplet diameters and binary coalescence, the rate of change of the number of domains was estimated as  $dN/dt = -N^2 \int_{\Sigma} p(r) \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{n} d\Sigma$ , where  $N$  is the number of domains per unit volume;  $p(r)$  is the pair distribution function of the tubes/droplets;  $\mathbf{V}$  is the relative velocity of the tubes/droplets; and  $\mathbf{n}$  is the outward normal to the collision surface  $\Sigma$  [24,43]. They found that the long tail of the droplet size distribution, such as those found in both our WFOV and NFOV images, can be reasonably modeled mathematically for radii over a natural cutoff with a power law, i.e.,  $dN(r)/dr = Ar^\theta$  [43,44]. Molecular dynamic simulations showed that, for large volume fractions of the minority phase, the distribution of droplets versus their corresponding diameters becomes wider over time (see Figure 10b in [44]).



**Figure 11.** The temporal evolution of different bins of 10  $\mu\text{m}$  centered at the radii of 10  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid square), 20  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid circle), 30  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid triangle) and 40  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid inverted triangle) (a); 50  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid square), 60  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid circle), 70  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid triangle) and 80  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid inverted triangle) (b); and 90  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid square), 100  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid circle), 110  $\mu\text{m}$  (solid triangle), 120  $\mu\text{m}$  pixels (solid inverted triangle) (c). The smooth interpolation lines suggest some trends in different ranges of vapor bubble radii (see Table 3). The initial shaded rectangle (time below 5000 s) corresponds to the images containing the phase separation line.

**Table 3.** The fitting parameters ( $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ ) and the corresponding goodness of fit statistics ( $R^2$ ) for the trends shown in Figure 11 with continuous lines.

| Radius ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) | $a$ ( $10^{-2}$ ) | $b$ ( $10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) | $c$ ( $10^{-9} \text{ s}^{-2}$ ) | $R^2$ |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| 10                       | 8.78              | −2.92                            | 2.67                             | 0.54  |
| 20                       | 36.1              | −9.32                            | 6.72                             | 0.27  |
| 30                       | −41.1             | 22.5                             | −19.5                            | 0.21  |
| 40                       | −13.0             | 10.9                             | −7.05                            | 0.36  |
| 50                       | 75.0              | −21.5                            | 19.1                             | 0.16  |
| 60                       | 46.1              | −8.40                            | 3.94                             | 0.59  |
| 70                       | 41.7              | −11.8                            | 9.48                             | 0.45  |
| 80                       | −5.11             | 3.62                             | −3.46                            | 0.07  |
| 90                       | −16.0             | 8.38                             | −8.08                            | 0.28  |
| 100                      | 5.46              | −1.58                            | 1.98                             | 0.15  |
| 110                      | −19.6             | 5.30                             | −2.76                            | 0.72  |
| 120                      | −10.2             | 3.12                             | −2.03                            | 0.06  |



Our study opens the possibility of modeling liquid droplet and vapor bubble dynamics, respectively, not only in the limit of large radii, but for all radii, and could offer a more complete quantitative view of coalescence processes during phase separation.

#### 4. Discussion


Double phase separation was previously observed both experimentally [45,46] and numerically [47] in fluid mixtures under the influence of surface fields. It has been found that the interface quench could induce spontaneous double phase separation under a geometrical confinement. It must be stressed that the mechanism for double phase separation in binary mixtures is different from the observed double phase separation in pure fluid SF<sub>6</sub> reported here. In binary mixtures, the double phase separation was determined by high fluidity, which leads to rapid geometrical coarsening of domains due to a hydrodynamic process [47]. Because the diffusion process is much slower than the hydrodynamic one, the secondary phase separation is induced by local nonequilibrium processes.

In this study, an initial temperature quench brings the system slightly below its critical temperature and separates it into vapor and liquid phases. The subsequent 0.2-mK temperature quench produces a secondary phase separation inside each of the already separated phases. The droplet radii evolution with  $t^{1/3}$  (see Figure 8) could be explained based on coalescence-induced Brownian collisions [25,41] since the domains are at nearly equilibrium. The Binder and Stauffer (BS) diffusive mechanism [35,48,49] is thus not relevant here. According to Siggia [35], the droplet  $n$  density evolves as  $dn/dt = -Bn^2$ , where  $B$  is the product of the droplet radius  $r$  and the droplet diffusivity  $D(r)$ . However, under the assumptions of the generalized Stokes–Einstein–Sutherland relation [50,51],  $B$  could be considered a constant; with  $n \propto r^{-d}$ , where  $d$  is the space dimension. By substituting the above relationship into Siggia's rate equation, one finds that the solution is  $r \propto t^{1/d}$ . For a 3D space ( $d = 3$ ), the above scaling law gives an exponent of 1/3, which is also compatible with the evaporation-condensation mechanism proposed by Lifshitz and Slyozov [52], but not relevant here as the domains are at near equilibrium. For a more specific discussion regarding the difference between the Lifshitz and Slyozov mechanism [52] and phase separation produced via nucleation of liquid droplets and collisions among them, see Roy and Das [53,54]. A similar  $t^{1/3}$  power law was obtained using molecular dynamics simulations of a single component two-dimensional Lennard–Jones fluid [55].

While Brownian collisions among liquid droplets seems to be the mechanism for liquid droplets' coalescence that explains the  $t^{1/3}$  power law [23,45,47,56–60] observed here (see Figure 8), it results that a different mechanism must be responsible for the observed faster evolution of vapor bubble radii with  $t^{1/2}$  (see Figure 10). Recent numerical simulations [60] showed clear evidence of directional (as opposed to random Brownian) motion of droplets towards a neighboring droplet during phase separation. It has been hypothesized that the faster than  $t^{1/3}$  droplet radii growth laws predicted by the BS mechanism are due to a composition Marangoni force [36,60]. Note that g-jitter and weak gravity are still present (the sample is not at the spacecraft center of mass), then it is difficult to put in clear evidence the Marangoni force.

#### 5. Materials and Methods

The DECLIC experimental setup was described extensively in previous publications [3,61]. Phase transitions near the critical point at room temperature, critical fluids and boiling crisis were studied in microgravity using the ALI insert. The optical pressurized cells (or the direct observation cell (DOC)) contained a heater device as a transparent resistive layer appropriate for light transmission observation. The DOC was filled with SF<sub>6</sub> at its vapor-liquid critical point  $T_c = 318.737$  K, (45.587 °C),  $p = 3.73$  MPa and  $\rho = 742.6$  kg m<sup>-3</sup>. A sketch of the cell is shown in Figure 12a [62,63].




**Figure 12.** Schematic cross-section (not to scale) of the expected microgravity vapor-liquid distribution in the direct observation cell (DOC) dedicated to study the liquid film drying due to boiling phenomena, using a transparent resistive layer as a flat local heating source (a). Wide field and grid shadowgraph image of DOC at equilibrium in the two-phase range (b).

The fluid sample volume observed by light transmission corresponds to a cylindrical volume of  $D = 10.6$  mm inner diameter and inner thickness  $e = 4.115$  mm. The total fluid volume of the cell was  $0.463 \text{ cm}^3$  corresponding to a total  $\text{SF}_6$  mass of  $0.353$  g, leading to the filling mean density  $\rho = \rho_c + 2\%$ .

Three small ( $250 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$  bead diameter) thermistors (THERMOMETRICS B10,  $10 \text{ k}\Omega$  resistance at  $25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) are located inside the fluid volume, so that three local temperatures are measured close to the vapor-liquid interface in the microgravity environment and labeled R5, R6 and R7, respectively (Figure 12b).

The optical system allows interlaced recordings of both wide field of view (WFOV), which covers a circular area with diameter of  $10.6$  mm, and narrow field of view (NFOV), which only covers a  $1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^2$  area located at the center of the DOC [61]. The light source was a  $633\text{-nm}$  He-Ne laser.

The DOC received a temperature quench of  $0.2 \text{ mK}$  from its slightly subcritical coexistence temperature (see Figure 13a) that allowed the supercritical fluid to cross from the slightly phase-separated phase (see Figure 13c) into a double phase-separated system (see Figure 13d).



**Figure 13.** The DOC was slightly below the critical temperature when the temperature quench of  $0.2 \text{ mK}$  brought the system further below its critical temperature and produced a double phase separation (a); the thermistor readings are quite noisy, but the histogram of temperature readings for the images we analyzed is Gaussian with a mean initial value of  $46.0074 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  (b) and a mean of  $46.0072 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  after the  $0.2\text{-mK}$  temperature quench (c).

All data analyzed here belong to ALI Sequence 7 for which the temperature quench started at the image reference index of  $t_{ref} = 1,123,710,576$ . The conversion for the clock is that the camera records  $\Delta = 23$  frames per second. For example, the image shown in Figure 2a has an image index of  $t_{img} = 1,123,868,328$ , which means it was taken at  $(t_{img} - t_{ref}) / \Delta = (1,123,868,328 - 1,123,710,576) / 23 = 6858.78 \text{ s}$  from the temperature quench. The next image in Figure 2b was taken at  $6949.57 \text{ s}$ , and finally, Figure 2c was taken at  $7040.35 \text{ s}$  after the temperature quench.

## 6. Conclusions

We determined the temporal evolution of liquid droplets and vapor bubbles, respectively, distributions during a double phase separation process in pure SF<sub>6</sub> as a result of a 0.2-mK temperature quench in microgravity. Both the WFOV and NFOV image analyses showed that the droplet distributions could be fitted with a lognormal function and that the peak of the distributions shifts towards larger droplet radii over time. The power law exponents for mean radii evolution as measured from WFOV images (see Figure 4) are about 0.2 (Gaussian fit) and, respectively, 0.24 (lognormal fit), which are slightly weaker than the expected 1/3 value for coalescence-induced Brownian collisions. In contrast, the NFOV power law exponents for the liquid droplet evolution (see Figure 8) are  $0.322 \pm 0.028$  (Gaussian fit) and, respectively,  $0.322 \pm 0.025$  (lognormal fit) is in agreement with the above coalescence mechanism. In NFOV, the power law exponents for the vapor bubble evolution (see Figure 10) are  $0.502 \pm 0.092$  (Gaussian fit) and, respectively,  $0.460 \pm 0.095$  (lognormal fit). This power law suggests a faster, directional motion of vapor bubbles due to a weak remaining gravitational field and a possible composition Marangoni force [60].

By following the temporal evolution of narrow bins of droplet radii (one-pixel increment in WFOV and 10-pixel increment in NFOV) it is noticed that some distributions reduce their contribution over time (for small radii), whereas the relative contribution of large radii bins increases over time.

**Acknowledgments:** A.O. acknowledges a research and development grant from the College of Charleston. Y.G., C.L., and D.B. acknowledge a research grant from CNES, and wish to thank the CNES and NASA teams involved in the DECLIC project. We are grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their constructive and pertinent comments.

**Author Contributions:** A.O. conducted image analysis and wrote the manuscript. Y.G., C.L. and D.B. are the PIs for the joint NASA/CNES project. They designed the experiment and collected the experimental data. They also reviewed the manuscript.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; nor in the decision to publish the results.

## References

1. Beysens, D.; Garrabos, Y. The phase transition of gas and liquids. *Physica A* **2000**, *281*, 361–380.
2. Beysens, D.; Forgacs, G.; Glazier, J.A. Cell sorting is analogous to phase ordering in fluids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2000**, *97*, 9467–9471.
3. Pont, G.; Barde, S.; Blonde, D.; Zappoli, B.; Garrabos, Y.; Lecoutre, C.; Beysens, D.; Hicks, M.; Hegde, U.; Hahn, I.; et al. DECLIC, soon two years of successful operations. In Proceedings of the 62nd International Astronautical Congress, Cape Town, South Africa, 3–7 October 2011; pp. 1–12.
4. Oprisan, A.; Oprisan, S.A.; Bayley, B.; Hegseth, J.; Garrabos, Y.; Lecoutre-Chabot, C.; Beysens, D. Dynamic structure factor of density fluctuations from direct imaging very near (both above and below) the critical point of SF<sub>6</sub>. *Phys. Rev. E* **2012**, *86*, 061501.
5. Oprisan, A.; Oprisan, S.A.; Hegseth, J.J.; Garrabos, Y.; Lecoutre-Chabot, C.; Beysens, D. Dimple coalescence and liquid droplets distributions during phase separation in a pure fluid under microgravity. *Eur. Phys. J. E* **2014**, *37*, 85.
6. Abyzov, A.S.; Schmelzer, J.W.P. Nucleation versus spinodal decomposition in confined binary solutions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *127*, 114504.
7. Cumming, A.; Wiltzius, P.; Bates, F.S.; Rosedale, J.H. Light-scattering experiments on phase-separation dynamics in binary fluid mixtures. *Phys. Rev. A* **1992**, *45*, 885.
8. Perrot, F.; Guenoun, P.; Baumberger, T.; Beysens, D.; Garrabos, Y.; Le Neindre, B. Nucleation and growth of tightly packed droplets in fluids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1994**, *73*, 688–691.
9. Schmelzer, J.W.P.; Abyzov, A.S.; Moller, J. Nucleation versus spinodal decomposition in phase formation processes in multicomponent solutions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, *121*, 6900–17.
10. Schmelzer, J.W.P. Comments on the Nucleation Theorem. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2001**, *242*, 354–372.
11. Schmelzer, J.W.P.; Schmelzer, J.J.; Gutzow, I.S. Reconciling Gibbs and van der Waals: A new approach to nucleation theory. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *112*, 3820.

12. Schmelzer, J.W.P.; Schmelzer, J.J. Kinetics of condensation of gases: A new approach. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *114*, 5180.
13. Domb, C.; Lebowitz, J.L.; Lebowitz, J. *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*; Academic Press: London, UK, 2001; p. 320.
14. Oprisan, A. Fluctuations, Phase Separation and Wetting Films near Liquid-Gas Critical Point. Ph.D. Thesis, University of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA, USA, 2006.
15. Oprisan, A.; Oprisan, S.A.; Hegseth, J.J.; Garrabos, Y.; Lecoutre-Chabot, C.; Beysens, D. Universality in early-stage growth of phase-separating domains near the critical point. *Phys. Rev. E* **2008**, *77*, 051118.
16. Bonn, D.; Bertrand, E.; Meunier, J.; Blossey, R. Dynamics of Wetting Layer Formation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2000**, *84*, 4661–4664.
17. Fenistein, D.; Bonn, D.; Rafai, S.; Wegdam, G.; Meunier, J.; Parry, A.; TelodaGama, M. What controls the thickness of wetting layers near bulk criticality? *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2002**, *89*, 096101.
18. Guenoun, P.; Beysens, D.; Robert, M. Dynamics of wetting and phase separation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1990**, *65*, 2406–2409.
19. Hegseth, J.; Garrabos, Y.; Nikolayev, V.S.; Lecoutre-Chabot, C.; Wunenburger, R.; Beysens, D. Gas wets a solid wall in orbit. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **2002**, *23*, 89–101.
20. Lipowsky, R.; Huse, D.A. Diffusion-Limited Growth of Wetting Layers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1986**, *57*, 353.
21. Steiner, U.; Klein, J. Growth of Wetting Layers from Liquid Mixtures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 2526–2529.
22. Tanaka, H. Simple physical model of liquid water. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *112*, 799.
23. Tanaka, H.; Araki, T. Surface effects on spinodal decomposition of incompressible binary fluid mixtures. *Europhys. Lett.* **2000**, *51*, 154–160.
24. Oprisan, A.; Hegseth, J.; Smith, G.; Lecoutre, C.; Garrabos, Y.; Beysens, D.A. Dynamics of wetting layer and Marangoni convection in microgravity. *Phys. Rev. E* **2011**, *84*, 021202.
25. Beysens, D. Kinetics and morphology of phase separation in fluids: The role of droplet coalescence. *Physica A* **1997**, *239*, 329–339.
26. Perrot, F.; Beysens, D.; Garrabos, Y.; Froumlhlich, T.; Guenoun, P.; Bonetti, M.; Bravais, P. Morphology transition observed in a phase separating fluid. *Phys. Rev. E* **1999**, *59*, 3079.
27. Lecoutre, C.; Garrabos, Y.; Georgin, E.; Palencia, F.; Beysens, D. Turbidity Data of Weightless SF6 Near its Liquid? Gas Critical Point. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **2009**, *30*, 810–832.
28. Zappoli, B.; Daniel, B.; Garrabos, Y. *Heat Transfers and Related Effects in Supercritical Fluids*; Springer Netherlands: London, UK, 2015; Volume 108.
29. Gibbs, J.W. On the Equilibrium of Heterogeneous Substances. *Trans. Conn. Acad. Arts Sci.* **1876**, *3*, 108–248.
30. Gibbs, J.W. On the Equilibrium of Heterogeneous Substances. *Trans. Conn. Acad. Arts Sci.* **1878**, *16*, 343–524.
31. van der Waals, J.D. The thermodynamik theory of capillarity under the hypothesis of a continuous variation of density. *Z. Phys. Chem.* **1894**, *13*, 657.
32. Cahn, W.J.; Hilliard, E.J. Free Energy of a Nonuniform System. I. Interfacial Free Energy. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1958**, *29*, 258–267.
33. Cahn, J. On spinodal decomposition. *Acta Metall.* **1961**, *9*, 795–801.
34. Cahn, J.; Hilliard, J. Free energy of a non-uniform system III: Nucleation in a two-component incompressible fluid. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1959**, *31*, 688–699.
35. Siggia, E.D. Late stages of spinodal decomposition in binary mixtures. *Phys. Rev. A* **1979**, *20*, 595–605.
36. Beysens, D.; Garrabos, Y.; Nikolayev, V.; Lecoutre-Chabot, C.; Delville, J.P.; Hegseth, J. Liquid-vapor phase separation in a thermocapillary force field. *Europhys. Lett.* **2002**, *59*, 245.
37. Garrabos, Y.; Le Neindre, B.; Guenoun, P.; Khalil, B.; Beysens, D. Observation of Spinodal Decomposition in a Hypercompressible Fluid under Reduced Gravity. *EPL (Europhys. Lett.)* **1992**, *19*, 491.
38. Guenoun, P.; Gastaud, R.; Perrot, F.; Beysens, D. Spinodal decomposition patterns in an isodensity critical binary fluid: Direct-visualization and light-scattering analyses. *Phys. Rev. A* **1987**, *36*, 4876.
39. Bates, F.S.; Wiltzius, P. Spinodal decomposition of a symmetric critical mixture of deuterated and protonated polymer. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *91*, 3258–3274.
40. Schmelzer, J.W.P.; Boltachev, G.S.; Baidakov, V.G. Classical and generalized Gibbs approaches and the work of critical cluster formation in nucleation theory. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *124*, 194503.
41. Nikolayev, V.S.; Beysens, D.; Guenoun, P. New Hydrodynamic Mechanism for Drop Coarsening. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *76*, 3144.

42. Born, M.; Wolf, E. *Principles of Optics*; Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK, 1980.
43. Beysens, D.; Guenoun, P.; Sibille, P.; Kumar, A. Dimple and nose coalescences in phase-separation processes. *Phys. Rev. E* **1994**, *50*, 1299–1302.
44. Martula, D.; Hasegawa, T.; Lloyd, D.; Bonnecaze, R. Coalescence-induced coalescence of inviscid droplets in a viscous fluid. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2000**, *232*, 241–253.
45. Tanaka, H. New coarsening mechanisms for spinodal decomposition having droplet pattern in binary fluid mixture: Collision-induced collisions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1994**, *72*, 1702.
46. Tanaka, H. Hydrodynamic interface quench effects on spinodal decomposition for symmetric binary fluid mixtures. *Phys. Rev. E* **1995**, *51*, 1313.
47. Tanaka, H.; Araki, T. Spontaneous Double Phase Separation Induced by Rapid Hydrodynamic Coarsening in Two-Dimensional Fluid Mixtures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1998**, *81*, 389–392.
48. Binder, K.; Stauffer, D. Theory for the Slowing Down of the Relaxation and Spinodal Decomposition of Binary Mixtures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1974**, *33*, 1006–1009.
49. Binder, K. Theory for the dynamics of "clusters." II. Critical diffusion in binary systems and the kinetics of phase separation. *Phys. Rev. B* **1977**, *15*, 4425–4447.
50. Hansen, J.P.; McDonald, I.R., Eds. *Theory of Simple Liquids*, 4th ed.; Academic Press: Oxford, UK, 2013.
51. Squires, T.M.; Brady, J.F. A simple paradigm for active and nonlinear microrheology. *Phys. Fluids* **2005**, *17*, 073101.
52. Lifshitz, I.; Slyozov, V. The kinetics of precipitation from supersaturated solid solutions. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1961**, *19*, 35–50.
53. Roy, S.; Das, S.K. Effects of domain morphology on kinetics of fluid phase separation. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *139*, 044911.
54. Roy, S.; Das, S.K. Dynamics and growth of droplets close to the two-phase coexistence curve in fluids. *Soft Matter* **2013**, *9*, 4178–4187.
55. Midya, J.; Das, S.K. Infinite-size scaling study of dynamic critical phenomena in a vapor-liquid transition. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *146*, 044503.
56. Tanaka, H. Critical dynamics and phase-separation kinetics in dynamically asymmetric binary fluids: New dynamic universality class for polymer mixtures or dynamic crossover? *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *100*, 5323.
57. Tanaka, H. A new coarsening mechanism of droplet spinodal decomposition. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *103*, 2361.
58. Tanaka, H. Coarsening mechanisms of droplet spinodal decomposition in binary fluid mixtures. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *105*, 10099.
59. Tanaka, H. New mechanisms of droplet coarsening in phase-separating fluid mixtures. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1997**, *107*, 3734.
60. Shimizu, R.; Tanaka, H. A novel coarsening mechanism of droplets in immiscible fluid mixtures. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 7407.
61. Marcout, R.; Raymond, G.; Martin, B.C.G.; Zappoli, B.; Duclos, F.; Barde, S.; Beysens, D.; Garrabos, Y.; Lecoutre, C.; Billia, B.; et al. DECLIC: A facility to investigate fluids and transparent materials in microgravity conditions in ISS. In Proceedings of the 57th International Astronautical Congress, Valencia, Spain, 2–6 October 2006.
62. Beysens, D.; Pichavant, G.; Chatain, D.; Nikolayev, V.; Lecoutre, C.; Garrabos, Y. Non Marangoni motion of bubble under temperature gradient. In Proceedings of the 62nd International Astronautical Congress, South Africa, 3–7 October 2011.
63. Beysens, D.; Chatain, D.; Nikolayev, V.S.; Ouazzani, J.; Garrabos, Y. Possibility of long-distance heat transport in weightlessness using supercritical fluids. *Phys. Rev. E* **2010**, *82*, 061126.

**Sample Availability:** Samples of the compounds are available from the authors.



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).