



HAL
open science

Semi-classical limit of the Levy-Lieb functional in Density Functional Theory

Mathieu Lewin

► **To cite this version:**

Mathieu Lewin. Semi-classical limit of the Levy-Lieb functional in Density Functional Theory. 2017.
hal-01534079v1

HAL Id: hal-01534079

<https://hal.science/hal-01534079v1>

Preprint submitted on 7 Jun 2017 (v1), last revised 5 Mar 2018 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SEMI-CLASSICAL LIMIT OF THE LEVY-LIEB FUNCTIONAL IN DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY

MATHIEU LEWIN

ABSTRACT. In a recent work, Bindini and De Pascale have introduced a regularization of N -particle symmetric probabilities which preserves their one-particle marginals. In this short note, we extend their construction to mixed quantum fermionic states. This enables us to prove the convergence of the Levy-Lieb functional in Density Functional Theory, to the corresponding multi-marginal optimal transport in the semi-classical limit. Our result holds for mixed states of any particle number N , with or without spin.

1. EXTENDING THE BINDINI-DE PASCALE CONSTRUCTION

Let \mathbb{P} be a symmetric N -particle probability measure over $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ and let

$$\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_1) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{N-1}} d\mathbb{P}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$$

be its one-particle marginal. We assume that $\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, as is appropriate in Density Functional Theory (DFT) [11, 14]. An interesting question, important for applications in DFT, is to approximate \mathbb{P} by a regular N -particle probability density \mathbb{P}_{ε} with the same density $\rho_{\mathbb{P}_{\varepsilon}} = \rho_{\mathbb{P}}$. A more challenging problem, considered first in [6, 1] for $N = 2, 3$ and solved for all $N \geq 2$ in this note, is to find a fermionic quantum state Γ_{ε} with the same density $\rho_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} = \rho_{\mathbb{P}}$ and a controlled kinetic energy.

Consider a radial function $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ with support in the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^d , such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi^2 = 1$, and denote $\chi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-d/2} \chi(x/\varepsilon)$. In [1], Bindini and De Pascale have introduced the following elegant regularization

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_{\varepsilon}(x_1, \dots, x_N) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2dN}} \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_k) \chi_{\varepsilon}(x_k - z_k)^2 \chi_{\varepsilon}(z_k - y_k)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_{\varepsilon}^2(z_k)} d\mathbb{P}(y_1, \dots, y_N) dz_1 \cdots dz_N. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

We assume in the following that \mathbb{P} has its support in

$$D_{\alpha}^c := \left\{ X = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N : |x_i - x_j| \geq \alpha, \forall i \neq j \right\},$$

for some $\alpha > 0$, a condition which is satisfied for minimizers of the Coulomb N -particle energy [2]. Since χ is supported in the unit ball, \mathbb{P}_{ε} is then supported on the set $D_{\alpha-4\varepsilon}^c$ where all the particles are at a distance $\alpha - 4\varepsilon$ to each other. In the following we always assume that $\varepsilon < \alpha/4$. The purpose

Date: June 7, 2017. © 2017 by the author. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

of the integration over the z_k 's in (1.1) is to regularize the probability \mathbb{P} , since the convolution

$$\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon(y_1, \dots, y_N) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} \prod_{k=1}^N \chi_\varepsilon(z_k - y_k)^2 d\mathbb{P}(y_1, \dots, y_N)$$

is now C^∞ . However its density is $\rho_{\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon} = \rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi^2$ and the purpose of the integration over the y_k 's is to map back the density to $\rho_{\mathbb{P}}$. Indeed, integrating (1.1) over x_2, \dots, x_N , we get $\prod_{k=2}^N \rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_\varepsilon^2(y_k)$ in the numerator, which cancels with the denominator. The corresponding integrals over z_2, \dots, z_N give $(\int \chi_\varepsilon^2)^{N-1} = 1$ and we end up with

$$\rho_{\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon}(x_1) = \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\chi_\varepsilon(x_1 - z_1)^2 \chi_\varepsilon(z_1 - y_1)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_\varepsilon^2(z_1)} \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(y_1) dy_1 dz_1 = \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_1).$$

A somewhat different method was introduced in [6] by Cotar, Friesecke and Kluppelberg.

In [1], Bindini and De Pascale prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} |\nabla \sqrt{\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon}|^2 \leq N \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \chi|^2 \right) \quad (1.2)$$

and use this to get some information on the semi-classical limit of the Levy-Lieb functional (to be discussed later in Section 2). Unfortunately, the probability (1.1) is really a classical object. Although for bosons one can use the symmetric wavefunction $\Psi_\varepsilon = \sqrt{\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon}$, for fermions the wavefunction must be anti-symmetric. In [6, 1] the authors use the spin variable to anti-symmetrize $\sqrt{\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon}$ but, in dimension $d = 3$, this has so far limited the results to $N = 2$ and $N = 3$. Note that in dimension $d = 1$ and $d = 2$, one can use the multiplication by

$$\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \frac{x_j - x_k}{|x_j - x_k|}$$

which maps bosons onto fermions and conversely, whatever the value of N (if $d = 2$ we identify \mathbb{R}^2 with \mathbb{C}). By the Borsuk-Ulam theorem, there is no such simple map in three space dimensions.

In this short note we propose the following simple quantum extension of (1.1), for fermions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\varepsilon = & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{dN} \times \mathbb{R}^{dN}} \sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}^{\otimes N} |\chi_{\varepsilon, z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \chi_{\varepsilon, z_N}\rangle \langle \chi_{\varepsilon, z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \chi_{\varepsilon, z_N} | \sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}^{\otimes N} \\ & \times \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\chi_\varepsilon(z_k - y_k)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_\varepsilon^2(z_k)} d\mathbb{P}(y_1, \dots, y_N) dz_1 \dots dz_N. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Here Γ_ε is a non-negative self-adjoint operator which acts on the N -particle fermionic space $L_a^2((\mathbb{R}^d)^N) = \bigwedge_1^N L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For simplicity we do not consider spin here. It is easy to extend the trial state (1.3) to the case of particles with q spin states, for instance by putting all the particles in the same spin state. One can also construct any desired eigenvector of the total spin operator by adding an appropriate spin state to each function χ_{ε, z_k} in (1.3).

In (1.3), we use the notation $\chi_{\varepsilon, z}(x) = \chi_\varepsilon(x - z)$ and recall that $\varepsilon < \alpha/4$ such that the functions $\chi_{\varepsilon, z_1}, \dots, \chi_{\varepsilon, z_N}$ have disjoint supports. We use

the ket-bra notation $|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ for the orthogonal projection on Ψ , defined by $|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|\varphi = \langle\Psi, \varphi\rangle\Psi$. We call

$$\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \varepsilon(\sigma) \varphi_{\sigma(1)}(x_1) \cdots \varphi_{\sigma(N)}(x_N)$$

the Slater determinant. Finally, the N -fold tensor product is defined by

$$\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}^{\otimes N}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \prod_{j=1}^N \sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}(x_j).$$

In (1.3), $\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}^{\otimes N}$ is understood as a multiplication operator on $L_a^2((\mathbb{R}^d)^N)$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}^{\otimes N} |\chi_{\varepsilon, z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \chi_{\varepsilon, z_N}\rangle \langle \chi_{\varepsilon, z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \chi_{\varepsilon, z_N} | \sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}^{\otimes N} \\ = |\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}\chi_{\varepsilon, z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}\chi_{\varepsilon, z_N}\rangle \langle \sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}\chi_{\varepsilon, z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}\chi_{\varepsilon, z_N} |. \end{aligned}$$

The integral kernel of Γ_ε is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_N; x'_1, \dots, x'_N) &= \frac{1}{N!} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{dN} \times \mathbb{R}^{dN}} \det(\chi_{\varepsilon, z_i}(x_j)) \det(\chi_{\varepsilon, z_i}(x'_j)) \\ &\times \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}(x_k) \sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}(x'_k) \chi(z_k - y_k)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi^2(z_k)} d\mathbb{P}(y_1, \dots, y_N) dz_1 \cdots dz_N. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Since the χ_{ε, z_k} have disjoint supports, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\det(\chi_{\varepsilon, z_i}(x_j)) \right)^2 &= \sum_{\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \varepsilon(\sigma) \varepsilon(\sigma') \prod_{k=1}^N \chi_{\varepsilon, z_{\sigma(k)}}(x_k) \chi_{\varepsilon, z_{\sigma'(k)}}(x_k) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \prod_{k=1}^N \chi_\varepsilon(x_k - z_{\sigma(k)})^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Using the symmetry of \mathbb{P} , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \prod_{k=1}^N \chi_\varepsilon(z_k - y_k)^2 d\mathbb{P}(y_1, \dots, y_N) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \prod_{k=1}^N \chi_\varepsilon(z_{\sigma(k)} - y_k)^2 d\mathbb{P}(y_1, \dots, y_N)$$

for every $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N$. Therefore, in (1.5) the terms in the sum over the permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N$ all contribute the same amount. We thus find that the diagonal of Γ_ε coincides with the Bindini-De Pascale probability density:

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_N; x_1, \dots, x_N) = \mathbb{P}_\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_N).$$

From this we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\Gamma_\varepsilon) &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} \Gamma_\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_N; x_1, \dots, x_N) dx_1 \cdots dx_N \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} d\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_N) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

and Γ_ε is a proper fermionic (mixed) state. We recall that the one-particle density of Γ_ε is defined by duality, requiring that $\text{Tr}(\varphi(x_1)\Gamma_\varepsilon) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi \rho_{\Gamma_\varepsilon}$

for every $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For a continuous kernel such as Γ_ε , we have

$$\rho_{\Gamma_\varepsilon}(x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \Gamma_\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_N; x_1, \dots, x_N) dx_2 \cdots dx_N = \rho_{\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon}(x_1) = \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_1).$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} |\nabla \sqrt{\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon}|^2 \leq \text{Tr}(-\Delta)\Gamma_\varepsilon,$$

which is in the wrong direction to conclude anything about the kinetic energy of Γ_ε . But we can prove the following theorem, which implies the Bindini-De Pascale estimate (1.2).

Theorem 1 (Estimates on Γ_ε). *Let \mathbb{P} be a symmetric N -particle density with support in D_α^c for some $\alpha > 0$ and such that $\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let Γ_ε be defined by (1.3). Then, for $\varepsilon < \alpha/4$ we have*

$$\text{Tr}(-\Delta)\Gamma_\varepsilon = N \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \chi|^2 \right). \quad (1.6)$$

In addition, for every symmetric function $\Phi \in C^2(D_{\alpha-4\varepsilon}^c)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \text{Tr}(\Phi\Gamma_\varepsilon) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \Phi d\mathbb{P} \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \Phi d\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \Phi d\mathbb{P} \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \|\nabla_j \Phi\|_{L^\infty(D_{\alpha-4\varepsilon}^c)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \rho_{\mathbb{P}}| \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^2 \chi(u)^2 du \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{j,k=1}^N \|\nabla_j \nabla_k \Phi\|_{L^\infty(D_{\alpha-4\varepsilon}^c)} \right\}. \quad (1.7) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$, as was proved in [1].

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(-\Delta)\Gamma_\varepsilon &= \sum_{\ell=1}^N \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{dN} \times \mathbb{R}^{dN}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} |\nabla_{x_\ell} (\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}^{\otimes N} \chi_{\varepsilon, z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \chi_{\varepsilon, z_N})|^2 \right) \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\chi_\varepsilon(z_k - y_k)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_\varepsilon^2(z_k)} d\mathbb{P}(y_1, \dots, y_N) dz_1 \cdots dz_N. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as in (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla_{x_\ell} (\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}} \chi_{\varepsilon, z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}} \chi_{\varepsilon, z_N})|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \left| \nabla (\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}} \chi_{\varepsilon, z_{\sigma(\ell)}})(x_\ell) \right|^2 \prod_{\substack{k=1, \dots, N \\ k \neq \ell}} \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_k) \chi_{\varepsilon, z_{\sigma(k)}}(x_k)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over x_1, \dots, x_N , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \left| \nabla_{x_\ell} \left(\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}^{\otimes N}} \chi_{\varepsilon, z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \chi_{\varepsilon, z_N} \right) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla(\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}\chi_{\varepsilon, z_j})(x)|^2 dx \right) \prod_{\substack{k=1, \dots, N \\ k \neq j}} \rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_{\varepsilon}^2(z_j). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, integrating over the y_k 's and z_k 's, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(-\Delta)\Gamma_{\varepsilon} &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla(\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}\chi_{\varepsilon, z})(x)|^2 dx dz \\ &= N \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}}(x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla\chi(x)|^2 dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

To prove (1.7), we remark that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2dN}} \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_k)\chi_{\varepsilon}(x_k - z_k)^2\chi_{\varepsilon}(z_k - y_k)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_{\varepsilon}^2(z_k)} dx_1 \dots dx_N dz_1 \dots dz_N = 1.$$

Hence, using the shorter notation $X = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ and $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \Phi d\mathbb{P}_{\varepsilon} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \Phi d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{3dN}} (\Phi(X) - \Phi(Y)) \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_k)\chi_{\varepsilon}(x_k - z_k)^2\chi_{\varepsilon}(z_k - y_k)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_{\varepsilon}^2(z_k)} d\mathbb{P}(Y) dX dZ. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|x_j - y_j| \leq 2\varepsilon$ due to the support of χ , we conclude from the fundamental theorem of calculus that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \Phi d\mathbb{P}_{\varepsilon} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \Phi d\mathbb{P} - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{3dN}} d\mathbb{P}(Y) dX dZ \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^N \nabla_{\ell}\Phi(Y) \cdot (x_{\ell} - y_{\ell}) \right) \right. \\ & \times \left. \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_k)\chi_{\varepsilon}(x_k - z_k)^2\chi_{\varepsilon}(z_k - y_k)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_{\varepsilon}^2(z_k)} \right| \leq 2\varepsilon^2 \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \|\nabla_{x_{\ell}} \nabla_{y_m} \Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(D_{\alpha-4\varepsilon}^c)}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate the term involving $\nabla_{\ell}\Phi$. By symmetry, it is sufficient to look at the case $\ell = 1$, which can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{3dN}} \nabla_1\Phi(Y) \cdot (x_1 - y_1) \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_k)\chi_{\varepsilon}(x_k - z_k)^2\chi_{\varepsilon}(z_k - y_k)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_{\varepsilon}^2(z_k)} d\mathbb{P}(Y) dX dZ \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{dN+2d}} \nabla_1\Phi(Y) \cdot (x_1 - y_1) \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_1)\chi_{\varepsilon}(x_1 - z_1)^2\chi_{\varepsilon}(z_1 - y_1)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_{\varepsilon}^2(z_1)} d\mathbb{P}(Y) dx_1 dz_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we can replace $x_1 - y_1$ by $x_1 - z_1$ since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (z_1 - y_1) \chi_\varepsilon(z_1 - y_1)^2 dz_1 = 0$, due to the symmetry of χ . We then estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{3dN}} \nabla_1 \Phi(Y) \cdot (x_1 - z_1) \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_1) \chi_\varepsilon(x_1 - z_1)^2 \chi_\varepsilon(z_1 - y_1)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_\varepsilon^2(z_1)} d\mathbb{P}(Y) dx_1 dz_1 \right| \\ & \leq \|\nabla_1 \Phi\|_{L^\infty(D_{\alpha-4\varepsilon}^c)} \times \\ & \quad \times \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (x_1 - z_1) \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_1) \chi_\varepsilon(x_1 - z_1)^2 dx_1 \right| \frac{\chi_\varepsilon(z_1 - y_1)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_\varepsilon^2(z_1)} \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(y_1) dz_1 dy_1 \\ & = \|\nabla_1 \Phi\|_{L^\infty(D_{\alpha-4\varepsilon}^c)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (x - z) \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x) \chi_\varepsilon(x - z)^2 dx \right| dz. \end{aligned}$$

By the symmetry of χ and the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (x - z) \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x) \chi_\varepsilon(x - z)^2 dx \right| \\ & = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (x - z) (\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x) - \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(z)) \chi_\varepsilon(x - z)^2 dx \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \rho_{\mathbb{P}}(z + tu)| |u|^2 \chi_\varepsilon(u)^2 du. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over z we find the claimed estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{3dN}} \nabla_1 \Phi(Y) \cdot (x_1 - y_1) \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x_k) \chi_\varepsilon(x_k - z_k)^2 \chi_\varepsilon(z_k - y_k)^2}{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} * \chi_\varepsilon^2(z_k)} d\mathbb{P}(Y) dX dz \right| \\ & \leq \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_1 \Phi\|_{L^\infty(D_{\alpha-4\varepsilon}^c)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \rho_{\mathbb{P}}| \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^2 \chi(u)^2 du \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

2. SEMICLASSICAL LIMIT OF THE LEVY-LIEB FUNCTIONAL

Here we restrict ourselves for simplicity to the physical space \mathbb{R}^3 and the Coulomb potential. Density Functional Theory is based on the following functional [13, 14, 4]

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \min_{\substack{\Gamma = \Gamma^* \geq 0 \\ \text{Tr}(\Gamma) = 1 \\ \rho_\Gamma = \rho}} \text{Tr} \left(- \sum_{j=1}^N \Delta_{x_j} + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_j - x_k|} \right) \Gamma, \quad (2.1)$$

of the density ρ , a given non-negative function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho = N$ and $\sqrt{\rho} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In the minimum Γ is an operator acting on the fermionic space $\bigwedge_1^N L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Motivated by arguments of Hohenberg and Kohn [12], Levy introduced in [13] a functional similar to (2.1) but with the additional constraint that $\Gamma = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ is a rank-one orthogonal projection (pure state). The latter was rigorously studied by Lieb in [14], who proposed to extend the definition to mixed states, as in (2.1). The minimum over mixed states (2.1) has better mathematical properties than with pure states [14]. For instance,

\mathcal{E} is convex and, by the linearity in Γ , we have the dual formulation

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(x) V(x) dx : V \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}), \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{j=1}^N \Delta_{x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^N V(x_j) + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_j - x_k|} \geq 0 \right\},$$

which is the quantum equivalent of the Kantorovich duality used in optimal transport [16]. The last inequality is in the sense of self-adjoint operators.

It is possible to introduce an effective semi-classical parameter $\eta = \hbar^2$ by scaling the density ρ . Namely, for $\rho_\eta(x) = \eta^3 \rho(\eta x)$ we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}(\rho_\eta)}{\eta} = \min_{\substack{\Gamma = \Gamma^* \geq 0 \\ \text{Tr}(\Gamma) = 1 \\ \rho_\Gamma = \rho}} \text{Tr} \left(-\eta \sum_{j=1}^N \Delta_{x_j} + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_j - x_k|} \right) \Gamma. \quad (2.2)$$

In the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$, we prove the convergence to the Coulomb multi-marginal optimal transport problem

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{OT}}(\rho) = \min_{\substack{\mathbb{P} \text{ symmetric} \\ \text{probability on } (\mathbb{R}^3)^N \\ \rho_{\mathbb{P}} = \rho}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^N} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_j - x_k|} d\mathbb{P}(x_1, \dots, x_N), \quad (2.3)$$

which has recently received a lot of attention [3, 6, 7, 5, 8, 15]

Theorem 2 (Semi-classical limit). *Let $N \geq 2$ and let $\rho \geq 0$ be such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho = N$ and $\sqrt{\rho} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then we have for a constant C (depending on N and ρ)*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{OT}}(\rho) \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}(\eta^3 \rho(\eta \cdot))}{\eta} \leq \mathcal{E}_{\text{OT}}(\rho) + C(\sqrt{\eta} + \eta). \quad (2.4)$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}(\eta^3 \rho(\eta \cdot))}{\eta} = \mathcal{E}_{\text{OT}}(\rho).$$

This theorem generalizes the results in [6, 1] for $N = 2, 3$ in the pure state case. Results for $N \geq 3$ have been announced in [9, Ref. 7] but they were not yet available at the time this note was written. It would be interesting to extend our findings to pure states.

Semi-classical analysis suggests that the behavior in $\sqrt{\eta}$ is optimal for small η . The next order (in $\sqrt{\eta} = \hbar$) in the expansion of $\mathcal{E}(\rho_\eta)/\eta$ was predicted in [10].

Proof. Let \mathbb{P} be an optimizer for $\mathcal{E}_{\text{OT}}(\rho)$. It has been shown in [2] that \mathbb{P} has its support on D_α^c for some $\alpha > 0$. Taking then our Γ_ε as a trial state, we find by Theorem 1

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}(\rho_\eta)}{\eta} \leq \eta N \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \chi|^2 \right) + \mathcal{E}_{\text{OT}}(\rho) \\ + C\varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{N^3}{(\alpha - 4\varepsilon)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \rho_{\mathbb{P}}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^2 \chi(u)^2 du + \frac{N^4}{(\alpha - 4\varepsilon)^3} \right).$$

We have used here that $\Phi(X) = \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |x_j - x_k|^{-1}$ is C^∞ on $D_{\alpha-4\varepsilon}^c$. Optimizing in ε gives the result. \square

Remark 1. The convergence of states in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ is proved as in [1].

Acknowledgement. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement MDFT No 725528).

REFERENCES

- [1] U. BINDINI AND L. DE PASCALE, *Optimal transport with Coulomb cost and the semi-classical limit of Density Functional Theory*, ArXiv e-prints, (2017).
- [2] G. BUTTAZZO, T. CHAMPION, AND L. DE PASCALE, *Continuity and estimates for multimarginal optimal transportation problems with singular costs*, Appl. Math. Optim., (2017).
- [3] G. BUTTAZZO, L. DE PASCALE, AND P. GORI-GIORGI, *Optimal-transport formulation of electronic density-functional theory*, Phys. Rev. A, 85 (2012), p. 062502.
- [4] É. CANCÈS, M. DEFRANCESCHI, W. KUTZELNIGG, C. LE BRIS, AND Y. MADAY, *Computational quantum chemistry: a primer*, in Handbook of numerical analysis, Vol. X, Handb. Numer. Anal., X, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003, pp. 3–270.
- [5] M. COLOMBO AND S. DI MARINO, *Equality between Monge and Kantorovich multi-marginal problems with Coulomb cost*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), 194 (2015), pp. 307–320.
- [6] C. COTAR, G. FRIESECKE, AND C. KLÜPPELBERG, *Density functional theory and optimal transportation with Coulomb cost*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 66 (2013), pp. 548–599.
- [7] C. COTAR, G. FRIESECKE, AND B. PASS, *Infinite-body optimal transport with Coulomb cost*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ., 54 (2015), pp. 717–742.
- [8] S. DI MARINO, A. GEROLIN, AND L. NENNA, *Optimal Transportation Theory with Repulsive Costs*, ArXiv e-prints, (2015).
- [9] G. FRIESECKE, C. B. MENDEL, B. PASS, C. COTAR, AND C. KLÜPPELBERG, *N-density representability and the optimal transport limit of the Hohenberg-Kohn functional*, J. Chem. Phys., 139 (2013), p. 164109.
- [10] P. GORI-GIORGI, G. VIGNALE, AND M. SEIDL, *Electronic Zero-Point Oscillations in the Strong-Interaction Limit of Density Functional Theory*, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 5 (2009), pp. 743–753.
- [11] J. E. HARRIMAN, *Orthonormal orbitals for the representation of an arbitrary density*, Phys. Rev. A, 24 (1981), pp. 680–682.
- [12] P. HOHENBERG AND W. KOHN, *Inhomogeneous electron gas*, Phys. Rev., 136 (1964), pp. B864–B871.
- [13] M. LEVY, *Universal variational functionals of electron densities, first-order density matrices, and natural spin-orbitals and solution of the v-representability problem.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 76 (1979), pp. 6062–6065.
- [14] E. H. LIEB, *Density functionals for Coulomb systems*, Int. J. Quantum Chem., 24 (1983), pp. 243–277.
- [15] M. SEIDL, S. DI MARINO, A. GEROLIN, L. NENNA, K. J. H. GIESBERTZ, AND P. GORI-GIORGI, *The strictly-correlated electron functional for spherically symmetric systems revisited*, ArXiv e-prints, (2017).
- [16] C. VILLANI, *Optimal transport. Old and new*, vol. 338 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.

CNRS & CEREMADE, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-DAUPHINE, PSL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY,
F-75016 PARIS, FRANCE

E-mail address: mathieu.lewin@math.cnrs.fr