

New insights in reactive extraction mechanisms of organic acids: An experimental approach for 3-hydroxypropionic acid extraction with tri-n-octylamine

Florian Chemarin, Marwen Moussa, Morad Chadni, Brigitte Pollet, Pascale Lieben, Florent Allais, Ioan-Cristian Trelea, Violaine Athes-Dutour

▶ To cite this version:

Florian Chemarin, Marwen Moussa, Morad Chadni, Brigitte Pollet, Pascale Lieben, et al.. New insights in reactive extraction mechanisms of organic acids: An experimental approach for 3-hydroxypropionic acid extraction with tri-n-octylamine. Separation and Purification Technology, 2017, 179, pp.523-532. 10.1016/j.seppur.2017.02.018. hal-01530851

HAL Id: hal-01530851 https://hal.science/hal-01530851

Submitted on 4 Jul 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

1 New insights in reactive extraction mechanisms of organic acids: an experimental approach for 3-

2 hydroxypropionic acid extraction with tri-*n*-octylamine

3 F. Chemarin^{a,b}, M. Moussa^b, M. Chadni^b, B. Pollet^b, P. Lieben^b, F. Allais^{a,b}, I.C. Trelea^b, V. Athès^{b*}

^a Chaire Agro-Biotechnologies Industrielles (ABI), AgroParisTech, CEBB, 3 rue des Rouges Terres,
Route de Bazancourt, F-51110 Pomacle, France

6 ^b UMR GMPA, AgroParisTech, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-78850, Thiverval-Grignon, France

- * Corresponding author: UMR GMPA, AgroParisTech, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-78850,
 Thiverval-Grignon, France. E-mail address: violaine.athes-dutour@inra.fr
- 9 Abstract

10 A detailed study of 3-hydroxypropionic acid (3-HP) reactive extraction with tri-n-octylamine (TOA) is 11 proposed for the first time. It aims at uncovering some solvent-solutes interactions and providing 12 global mechanisms to better understand and design the reactive liquid-liquid extraction of 3-HP in a 13 biotechnological process. Eleven solvents of similar molecular sizes and several chemical types 14 (alcohols, esters and alkanes) were investigated to understand their role on the extraction ability. 15 Alcohols were found to be the best solvents thanks to their H-bond donor characteristic and water 16 loading that allowed good solvation of the acid-amine complexes. Further investigations were then 17 undertaken, for n-decanol and oleyl alcohol as solvents, varying acid (0.0028 - 0.56 mol/L corresponding to 0.25 - 50 g/L) and amine (0 - 2.3 mol/L corresponding to 0 - 100% v/v) 18 19 concentrations. At 0.011 mol/L (1 g/L) of 3-HP, maximum extraction yields of 77% for n-decanol and 20 51% for oleyl alcohol were found for 0.46 mol/L TOA (20% v/v). The initial TOA purity proved to have a major impact on the extraction yield at low initial acid concentration (<0.1 mol/L = 10 g/L). 21 22 Impurities from the TOA manufacturing process were identified as *n*-octylamine and di-*n*-octylamine 23 and quantified in the aqueous phase after extraction. Their major effect on the extraction yield has 24 been assessed (up to 86% decrease).

1 Keywords

2 Solvent extraction; organic acid; active solvent; tri-*n*-octylamine impurity; *In Situ* Product Recovery;

1 Abbreviations

- 2 3-HP: 3-hydroxypropionic acid
- 3 AH: acid under non-dissociated form
- 4 [*AH*]_{*HPLC*}: total concentration of 3-HP under all forms in water as determined using HPLC (mol/L)
- 5 ANOVA: analysis of variance
- 6 B: organic base
- 7 BH^+ : conjugate acid of B
- 8 H^+ : hydrogen ion
- 9 K_A^{AH} : dissociation constant of 3-HP in water
- 10 K_A^{OA} : dissociation constant of *n*-octylammonium in water
- 11 K_w : ionic product of water
- [OA]_{LCMS}: total concentration of *n*-octylamine (and di-*n*-octylamine) in water under all forms as
 determined using UHPLC-HRMS (mol/L)
- 14 p: stoichiometric coefficient
- 15 *p*: p-value of ANOVA tests
- 16 pH_{th} : calculated pH according to $[AH]_{HPLC}$ only
- 17 $pH_{th,OA}$: calculated pH according to $[AH]_{HPLC}$ and $[OA]_{LCMS}$
- 18 q: stoichiometric coefficient
- 19 R_3N : tertiary amine
- 20 TOA: tri-*n*-octylamine
- 21 Y: extraction yield
- 22 Subscript and superscript:
- 23 aq: in the aqueous phase
- 24 eq: at the equilibrium
- 25 ini: initially, before extraction
- 26 org: in the organic phase

1 **1. Introduction**

2 It is now recognized that 3-hydroxypropionic acid (3-HP) is a valuable platform molecule that can be 3 used as a building-block for the production of new polymers like polyesters and acrylic acid 4 derivatives such as acrylates or acrylamides [1]. This molecule is currently not widely used due to its 5 exclusive and difficult synthesis via chemical pathways including transformation of petrochemical 6 products such as propylene but is expected to exhibit a significant growth in the next years. Indeed, it 7 has been referred as one of the Top 10 "chemical opportunities from biorefinery carbohydrates" [2]. 8 Lately, research has focused on the production of 3-HP using biotechnologies with natural [3,4] and 9 modified [5,6] micro-organisms able to convert glycerol or glucose into 3-HP [7]. Other substrates 10 like CO₂[8,9] and C5 sugars [10,11] are also currently studied. Biotechnology is considered as a 11 promising route for the sustainable and efficient production of 3HP but one of the main drawbacks of 12 this approach is the inhibitory effect the products can have on the micro-organisms, limiting 13 productivity and final concentrations to quite low amounts, which is particularly true for the 3-HP 14 production [7]. In this context, in-situ product recovery (ISPR) seems to be a promising approach 15 because the simultaneous removal of the product from the bioconversion medium could favor higher 16 yields, productivity and concentrations. Liquid-liquid reactive extraction for organic acids' recovery is 17 known to be particularly efficient and selective for dilute media as an ISPR technique in 18 biotechnological processes and can prevent toxic metabolites and/or products from accumulating 19 [12,13].

Current concentrations of 3-HP in bioconversion media for the best current biotechnological performances found in the literature do not exceed about 70 g/L [6,14]. For short chain carboxylic acids in dilute streams such as 3-HP, their hydrophilic character prevents their direct recovery in an organic solvent and a reagent is needed in the organic phase to trap the compound in a rather hydrophobic complex. In the case of hydrophilic carboxylic acids, these reagents can be hydrophobic basic molecules being able to interact with acidic groups [15]. Among such molecules, long chained aliphatic amines have been widely studied for a large set of carboxylic acids, such as

hydroxycarboxylic acids like citric [16–18], glycolic [19,20], malic [21,22] and tartaric [23–26] acids,
 C3 monocarboxylic acids like acrylic [27], propionic [28–31] and pyruvic [32–34] acids and even lactic
 acid, an α-hydroxylated C3 monocarboxylic acid (positional isomer of 3-HP) [22,23,35].

4 Amine basicity, steric hindrance and water solubility are relevant parameters and have an influence 5 on extraction performances. For example, Kyuchoukov and Yankov [36] tested several amines for the 6 extraction of lactic acid and their results show that, when amines are used in oleyl alcohol, extraction 7 yields decrease when the steric hindrance of the amines increases. The contrary is observed when 8 amines are used without oleyl alcohol as the active diluent. Kaur and Elst [37] studied a wide range of 9 amines and diluents for the extraction of itaconic acid. For all the diluents tested, they found that 10 short chain amines (tributylamine, N,N-dimethyloctylamine) and primary amines (n-octylamine) had 11 the lowest performances. On the contrary, long chain secondary and tertiary amines proved to be 12 very efficient with very high extraction yields, probably due to their higher basicity and very low 13 solubility in water. Secondary amines (di-n-octylamine) had better performances than tertiary 14 amines (tri-n-octylamine) [37], and this could be explained by the lesser extent of the steric 15 hindrance around the reactive amine group, their higher basicity and higher density of amine groups. 16 Similar results were found in a previous study which also demonstrated that primary amines were 17 not to be used in reactive extraction due to moderate extraction efficiency, high water solubility and 18 high interfacial activity causing emulsion [38].

The structure of the aliphatic amines is crucial for their role as extractants, but they cannot be used alone, due to their poor solvation ability and sometimes high viscosity [39]. Consequently, they are often used in mixture with organic solvents to increase their extraction performances. Diluents can be divided into two types: active and inert. Active diluents have chemically active functional groups such as hydroxyl or carbonyl groups that are able to interact with the amphiphilic acid-base complex through hydrogen bonds and dipole-dipole interactions [15,23]. Inert diluents such as hydrocarbons that cannot interact with diluted molecules show lower efficiency since they are not able to stabilize

hydrophilic compounds in the organic phase. Solvent polarity decrease, *e.g.* when the length of the
alkyl chain increases, tends to reduce the extraction efficiency and so does the steric hindrance
around the active group. For example, secondary alcohols have lower solvation capacity than their
primary isomers [37,40].

5 Apart from the effects of amines and diluents' characteristics, the acid hydrophobicity [19] and 6 acidity [39] are key factors to evaluate the degree of extraction: the more hydrophobic and acidic the 7 extracted acid, the higher the extraction yield [41]. Differences in extraction yields even exist when 8 comparing very similar acids like the positional isomers 3-hydroxypropionic acid and 2-9 hydroxypropionic acid (lactic acid) [42]. Moreover, hydrophilic carboxylic acids being polar, they tend 10 to bind together in apolar environments such as organic phases. It is known for example that they 11 can form dimers, trimers, and so on [23,39]. This phenomenon is less likely to occur in more polar 12 active diluents. In particular, protic diluents like alcohols or chloroform are known to prevent such 13 phenomena because of their H-bond donor ability. The competition between the acid and the diluent 14 to form H-bonds with free or complexed acid molecules tends to favor acid extraction through free 15 and complexed acid monomers [39]. All the characteristics of the compounds found in these systems 16 play an important role on the mechanisms of extraction.

Considering all these aspects, should be preferred for 3-HP extraction a water insoluble amine (high molecular weight) providing a high density of non-hindered reactive amine groups (low molecular weight). The optimum stays in the tertiary C8-C10 amines range [43]. Therefore, we selected tri-*n*octylamine (TOA) to perform this study and investigated several diluents according to their physicochemical properties.

Since the purpose of an ISPR strategy is to keep the concentration of a product low to limit inhibition,
 in this study, 3-HP concentration will cover a rather dilute range of 0.0028 to 0.56 mol/L (0.25 to 50
 g/L). The study focuses on phenomena occurring at low concentrations and related mechanisms such
 as the presence of impurities coming from the extractant phase.

1 **2.** Materials and methods

2 **2.1.Theory**

3 2.1.1. Physical extraction

4 Reaction 1 describes the acid partitioning between the aqueous and organic phases:

 $AH_{aq} \rightleftharpoons AH_{org}$ (reaction 1)

5 2.1.2. Reactive extraction

6 The formation of acid-amine complexes is the major reaction involved in acid recovery from aqueous 7 phase. In an aqueous phase, acids and bases can react together through reversible reactions to give 8 the corresponding solvated free conjugated bases and acids. In an organic phase, the weak polarity 9 and dielectric constant can prevent species from forming the corresponding solvated free ions and 10 the acidic and basic molecules can stay bound to each other through acid-base interactions. These 11 interactions can consist in H-bondings and/or ion pair formation according to the polarity of the 12 solvent and the strength of the acid-base interactions. As a general scheme, several acid and amine 13 molecules can form aggregates of different stoichiometries [23]. The acid-amine complex formation 14 can be described by the reaction 2:

$$p AH_{aq} + q R_3 N_{org} \rightleftharpoons \left[(AH)_p (R_3 N)_q \right]_{org}$$
 (reaction 2)

15 **2.2. Chemicals**

16

17 2.2.1. Commercial products

Solutions of 3-hydroxypropionic acid were prepared by diluting a commercial product (28.1%wt solution in water) from TCI Europe, Zwijndrecht, Belgium. The organic phase consisted in tri-*n*octylamine (TOA, 98% purity) diluted either in *n*-hexanol (99% purity), *n*-octanol (99% purity), *n*decanol (99% purity), *n*-dodecanol (98% purity), ethyl octanoate (98% purity), butyl hexanoate (98% purity), *n*-hexane (99% purity), *n*-decane (99% purity), *n*-dodecane (99% purity) from Sigma-aldrich, Saint-Louis, the USA, oleyl alcohol (82.4% purity, with impurities consisting mainly in other fatty
 alcohols like linoleyl and stearyl alcohols [44,45]) from TCI Europe or refined sunflower oil (mainly
 triglycerides of oleic and linoleic acids, Lesieur, France).

In order to further investigate the influence of TOA purity on extraction efficiency, three different organic phases have been made with three different TOA purities. Two TOA solutions were purchased from the same commercial reference but had two lot numbers with different purity levels corresponding to the variations of production quality: 98.1%, solution 1, and 99.6%, solution 2, according to the certificates of analysis given by the supplier (Sigma-Aldrich). Solution 3 was obtained by purification of solution 2 as detailed below.

10 2.2.2. TOA purification

11 Purified TOA (solution 3) was produced as follows. The 99.6% TOA was washed using same volumes 12 of H₂SO₄ 0.1 M. After centrifugation, 3 homogeneous phases were observed. Only the top phase was recovered and further washed with NaOH 0.3 M. Indeed, the phase in-between is suspected to be 13 14 loaded in acid-amine complexes [23] and to be sure that a maximum of impurities were removed 15 from the TOA phase, only the top phase was recovered. These operations were repeated twice and 16 the last TOA top phase was finally washed with deionized water. The pH of the final aqueous phase 17 has been checked to be neutral, proving that no inorganic salts were left in purified TOA and this 18 latter is called solution 3.

19 2.3. Experiments

Several experiments of reactive liquid-liquid extractions were performed for different initial concentrations of TOA and 3-HP. Unless specified, TOA of solution 2 (99.6% purity) was used. For each extraction, 10 mL of the aqueous phase and 10 mL of the organic phase were mixed in centrifuge tubes which were then manually shaken for 3 minutes. The stable emulsion formed was then left at 25 °C for one day before being shaken again for 3 minutes and centrifuged at 15557 *g* for 1 hour. Once the aqueous phase recovered, it was analyzed using High Performance Liquid
 2 Chromatography (HPLC) and the extraction yield was calculated as follows:

$$Y = \frac{[AH]_{HPLC}^{ini} - [AH]_{HPLC}^{eq}}{[AH]_{HPLC}^{ini}}$$
(1)

with $[AH]_{HPLC}^{ini}$ being the total initial acid concentration in the aqueous phase according to HPLC analysis and $[AH]_{HPLC}^{eq}$ the same at equilibrium.

pH of the resulting aqueous phases was measured and compared to the theoretical pH value, based
on the 3-HP dissociation (reaction 3) and calculated as follows:

$$AH \rightleftharpoons A^- + H^+$$
 $K_A^{AH} = 3.09 \ 10^{-5} \ [46]$ (reaction 3)

$$pH_{th} = -\log\left(\frac{-K_A^{AH} + \sqrt{(K_A^{AH})^2 + 4K_A^{AH}[AH]_{HPLC}}}{2}\right)$$
(2)

All the extractions were made in duplicates. For some experiments, organic phases have been back
extracted with NaOH solutions (0.1-0.5 M) in order to confirm that mass balance was satisfied within
3% or less.

Experiments were centered on 0.011 mol/L (1 g/L) 3-HP and the optimal extractant concentration for this condition (0.46 mol/L = 20% v/v TOA) [42]. The initial acid concentration ranged from 0.0028 mol/L to 0.56 mol/L (0.25 to 50 g/L) for 0.46 mol/L (20% v/v) TOA in order to clearly observe limiting factors at low concentrations while having good quantification results in HPLC. Concerning the initial concentrations of amines, the whole range from 0 to 100% v/v (0 – 2.3 mol/L) was studied with steps of 10%v/v (0.23 mol/L) for 0.011 mol/L (1 g/L) 3-HP.

16 2.4. Analytical methods

The 3-HP concentration in aqueous phases was determined using HPLC containing an Aminex HPX87H H⁺ exchange column (300x7.8 mm, Biorad, USA) and an H⁺ Micro-Guard column (30mmx4.6mm,

Biorad, USA). The mobile phase consisted in a 0.01N H_2SO_4 solution circulating at a flowrate of 0.6 mL/min and the column temperature was set to 50 °C. An internal standard consisting in 10 g/L citric acid in water was added (50% v/v) to the samples and 20 µL of each sample were used for injection. Signals were obtained using a UV detector with the specific wavelength of 210 nm for acids. The overall HPLC analysis uncertainty, defined as the coefficient of variation of the internal standard peak area, was evaluated to 1%.

7 Impurities identification and titration in the aqueous phase were performed using Ultra High 8 Performance Liquid Chromatography coupled with High Resolution Mass Spectroscopy (UHPLC-9 HRMS). Separations were performed using a Hypersil Gold C18 column (50X2.1 mM; 1.9 µm particle 10 size; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) with an Ultimate 3000 pump and Thermo 3000 RS autosampler 11 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The oven temperature was set to 25°C. The mobile phase was a 12 mixture of LC/MS grade acetonitrile and water loaded with 0.1% formic acid (Optima, Thermo Fischer 13 Scientific, USA) flowing at 250 µL/min. In a run, it first consisted in 2% acetonitrile for 4 minutes. 14 Then a gradient was applied to reach 98% acetonitrile in 6 minutes and the mixture was then 15 stabilized at 98% acetonitrile for 5 minutes. The mobile phase was finally set back to initial conditions 16 (2% acetonitrile) with a 2 minutes gradient.

Samples were diluted 100-fold in water (Optima LC/MS grade, Thermo Fischer Scientific, USA) and
filtered before assay with syringe filters (nylon membrane, 0.2 μm porosity and 13 mm diameter,
Merck Millipore, USA).

MS analysis was carried out with a Q-EXACTIVE Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) in positive mode with a Heated ElectroSpray Ionization (HESI) probe using a high resolution full scan. The MS resolution was 70000 in a full MS mass range (m/z) from 50 to 700 AMU. The ion transfer tube and vaporizer temperatures were set to 300°C. The electrospray voltage was set to 3 kV and the sheath, auxiliary and sweep gas (nitrogen) flow rates were set to 35, 10 and 0 arbitrary units, respectively.

- 1 IR analyses were performed using a Cary 630 Fourier Transform InfraRed spectrometer of Agilent
- 2 Technologies (California, USA).
- 3 pH measurements were obtained from a SevenCompact pHmeter equipped with the Inlab Micro Pro-
- 4 ISM combined pH electrode with temperature probe (Mettler Toledo, Switzerland).
- 5 Water concentration in the organic phase was determined using Karl-Fischer method with a 756 KF
- 6 Coulometer (Metrohm, Switzerland). Methanol was the solvent for titration. Organic phase samples
- 7 were analyzed in triplicate.
- 8 Statistical significance of differences between data was assessed using ANOVA tests at 0.05 level.

9 **3. Results and discussion**

10 **3.1. Solvents screening**



11

Figure 1: Extraction yields for different solvents with 0.46 mol/L of TOA (20% v/v) and 0.011 mol/L of 3-HP (1
 g/L). Error bars represent standard deviations (n=2).

14 First, a set of 11 solvents were selected for a screening regarding the reactive extraction of 0.011

- 15 mol/L (1 g/L) of 3-HP in aqueous phase using 0.46 mol/L of purified TOA (20% v/v) in the organic
- 16 phase. Figure 1 provides the extraction yields for these solvents.

- Three kinds of solvents were tested: alcohols, esters and alkanes with different lengths of alkyl chain and numbers of carbon atoms. They can be compared according to their extraction ability and physicochemical properties like polarity (dipole moment and relative permittivity) and H-bond ability.
- 4

Solvent	Dipole	Relative	H-bond ability	Water	Number of	Molecular
	moment	permittivity		solubility	carbon atoms	weight
	(Debye)	(25°C)		(mg/L, 25°C)		(g/mol)
Hexane	0	1.9	no	9.5	6	86
Decane	0	2.0	no	0.052	10	142
Dodecane	0	2.0	no	0.0037	12	170
Butylhexanoate	\sim 1.8 a	\sim 4.1 a	Acceptor	\sim 40 ^c	10	172
Ethyloctanoate	\sim 1.8 ^b	\sim 4.2 ^b	Acceptor	70.1	10	172
Oleyl alcohol		3.8	Donor and acceptor	\sim 0.02 ^c	18	268
Dodecanol	1.70	5.7	Donor and acceptor	4	12	186
Decanol	1.62	8.1	Donor and acceptor	37	10	158
Octanol	1.76	10.3	Donor and acceptor	540	8	130
Hexanol	1.55	13.0	Donor and acceptor	5 900	6	102
Water	1.85	78.4	Donor and acceptor		0	18
Trioctylamine	0.8	~ 2.1	Acceptor	0.05 mg/L	24	354
Sunflower oil		~ 3.1	Acceptor		~ 57	~ 882

5 Table 1: Physicochemical properties of the studied solvents [47-50]

6 ^a approximate values from pentylpentanoate

7 ^b approximate values from octylacetate

8 ^c estimated values from EPI SuiteTM estimation program (Syracuse Research Corporation and the U.S. Environmental

- 9 Protection Agency; copyright 2000).
- 10

11	The immediate conclusion from the observation of Figure 1 is that alcohols have the highest
12	extraction yields. Among alcohols, the shorter the chain, the higher the extraction yield: 91% for the
13	C_6 hexanol, 53% for the C_{18} oleyl alcohol. For alkanes and esters, the yield is independent of the chain
14	length (ANOVA test with $p\!=\!$ 0.6 for both), which means that the chain length cannot be used as a
15	good parameter to discriminate solvents. If all the <i>n</i> -alcohols have approximately the same dipole
16	moment (<i>i.e</i> "molecular polarity") and H-bond ability due to the same primary hydroxyl groups (Table
17	1), they differ in their relative permittivity that is more related to the "bulk polarity" and hence to the
18	molecular size and organization. In this case, the difference in solvent activity is given by their
19	relative permittivity so that the smaller the <i>n</i> -alcohol the higher the yield. It is obvious that totally
20	inert solvents like alkanes (apolar and without H-bond ability) are unable to extract the acid

1 efficiently. n-hexane, n-decane and n-dodecane having the same values of relevant parameters 2 (dipole moment, relative permittivity and H-bond ability), all provide the same efficiency as expected 3 (5% yield). The same observations apply to the esters: both esters having the same relevant 4 properties, they show equivalent performances (around 8% yield). This slightly, but significantly 5 higher yield (ANOVA test with p<0.02), can be explained by the slightly higher polarity of the two 6 esters compared to the three alkanes, which makes them a bit more active diluents. It is also 7 interesting to compare esters and alcohols with similar polarities but different H-bond abilities like 8 butylhexanoate and ethyloctanoate vs. oleyl alcohol and n-dodecanol. A much higher yield is 9 obtained for both alcohols (7 times higher for oleyl alcohol than for the esters), which leads to the 10 conclusion that the H-bond donor character of the solvent plays a key role, implying that alcohols 11 stabilize the reactive system through H-bonding interactions. Ricker [43] suggests that the acidic hydrogen of the carboxylic acid being involved in the acid-amine bond, carboxyl becomes a strictly 12 13 acceptor group. This would explain such a difference between H-bond donors and acceptors as 14 solvents of otherwise similar polarities. Similar results in the literature confirm the importance of the 15 solvent polarity and specific H-bond donor character to favor the complex formation and increase 16 the extraction yields [23,31,37,39].

17 Based on these results, n-decanol and oleyl alcohol were chosen for further investigations. n-hexanol 18 and octanol were ruled out due to their excessive solubility in water that is expected to be toxic for 19 microorganisms under integrated extractive bioconversion (ISPR) [51,52]. According to the literature 20 review, some biocompatible vegetable oils, thanks to their low polarity and solubility in water, have 21 been shown to be quite efficient for the removal of similar acids (propionic, pyruvic, lactic) from 22 aqueous phases [47–49]. But in our present results, sunflower oil was found to be inefficient for 3-HP 23 extraction with TOA (less than 7% yield) whatever the proportions used because of its weak solvation 24 properties.

25 3.2. Physical extraction

1 For physical extraction experiments, concentration of 3-HP were varied from 0.0028 to 0.56 mol/L 2 (0.25 to 50 g/L) with no TOA in the organic phase. In *n*-decanol, a linear dependence (R^2 =0.96) of the 3 equilibrium acid concentration in the organic phase with respect to that in the aqueous phase was 4 found (results not shown). The slope, i.e. the partition coefficient (defined as the ratio of the molar 5 concentrations at equilibrium), was evaluated to 0.020±0.001 by linear regression, meaning that 6 physical extraction yield was around 2%. This is in line with Kertes and King's statement that the acid 7 partition coefficient is independent on its concentration in the case of extraction using alcohols [15]. 8 In oleyl alcohol, 3-HP partitioning was not detectable. Physical extraction yields were thus too low for 9 practical purposes, confirming that the efficient liquid-liquid extraction of 3-HP needs to be driven by 10 a chemical reaction.



12



Figure 2: Extractions yields as a function of TOA concentration in decanol (open circles) and oleyl alcohol (filled circles), with 0.011 mol/L (1g/L) of 3-HP initially. Error bars represent standard deviations (n=2).

Figure 2 shows the extraction yield as a function of initial TOA concentration in the organic phase for 0.011 mol/L (1 g/L) of 3-HP initially in water, with *n*-decanol and oleyl alcohol as solvents. For both solvents, a bell-shaped profile can be observed. This profile illutrates the synergistic effect between TOA and these active diluents and thus the major role of the solvent. When used alone, neither TOA nor the solvents are able to extract 3-HP efficiently (less than 10% yield). However, a strong synergistic effect was observed when TOA was mixed with an active diluent. Indeed, TOA has poor solvation abilities to accumulate complexes whereas *n*-decanol and oleyl alcohol are not hydrophilic enough to extract such a hydrophilic compound in substantial proportions. When the amine and the solvent are used together, new hydrophobic properties of the complexed acid and new solvation abilities of the organic phase improve the extraction efficiency.

6



7

11 Figure 3 provides IR spectra obtained from two different solutions: Figure 3A shows organic phases 12 consisting in 20% v/v TOA in n-decanol and Figure 3B shows aqueous phases of the 3-HP commercial 13 solution for comparison. When TOA is present in the organic phase (Figure 7A), characteristic infrared bands between 1300 and 1800 cm⁻¹ prove the existence of a delocalized carboxylate group 14 15 in the organic phase demonstrating strong interactions between the acidic proton of 3-HP and the 16 amine leading to the formation of an ion pair. This observation is related to the formation of complexes involving 3-HP bonded to TOA. The fatty amine provides hydrophobic properties to the 17 18 species formed, enabling a favorable distribution of the acid towards the organic phase. However, the anion, being such a polar part, needs a polar counterparts in the medium to be stabilized. 19

⁸ Figure 3: IR spectra of organic phases after 3-HP extraction (53g/L in the organic phase, bold line) and after
9 simple water contact (thin line) (A) and IR spectra of commercial aqueous phases of 3-HP before (bold line) and
10 after (thin line) neutralization with NaOH (B)

Alcohols, with polar and H-bond donor hydroxyl groups, are thus able to stabilize the hydrophilic
 anionic conjugate in the organic phase.

As a consequence, the extraction yield of 3-HP decreases at high amine concentrations, a behavior that aligns well with reported data for acetic [43], lactic [36,56], malonic [57], propionic [30], succinic [23,57] and tartaric [25] acids in active diluents. This behavior is not observed in the case of inert diluents in which the yield increases along with the amines concentration [23]. The greatest extent of extraction of 3-HP is reached around 0.46 mol/L (20% v/v) TOA in both active diluents at 0.011 mol/L (1 g/L) of 3-HP initially with 68% and 51% yields for *n*-decanol and oleyl alcohol respectively. The maximum of the extraction yield corresponds to the optimal composition of the extracting phase.





Figure 4: Water solubility in the organic phase as a function of TOA concentration (0 - 2.3 mol/L) in decanol at 25°C. Error bars represent standard deviations (n=3) and are masked by the symbols.

Moreover, water solubility appears to play a crucial role. As shown in Figure 4, the more the organic phase is loaded with TOA, the less water is soluble, water being practically insoluble in TOA. Increasing the amount of TOA leads to a decrease of water content in the organic phase which could lead to a lesser stabilization of the complex and hence a lesser extraction efficiency. Tamada et al [57] reported that the amount of acid extracted and the stoichiometry of the complex in the organic phase depended on the water content in the organic phase. The amount of water soluble in the organic phase is supposed to stabilize the hydrophilic part of the complex in a rather apolar environment. Increasing the concentration of alcohol in the organic phase increases the water
solubility and therefore the solvation effect of alcohols could be in fact partially due to the solvation
of water. The balance between the respective contributions in complex stabilisation cannot be
assessed based on the present results because the amounts of water and alcohol are correlated.

5

6

3.4. Effects of initial acid concentration



Figure 5: Extraction yields in decanol (open circles) and oleyl alcohol (filled circles) with 0.46 mol/L TOA (20%
 v/v) as a function of initial acid concentration. Error bars represent standard deviations (n=2).

9 Concerning the influence of the acid concentration, Figure 5 shows that the plot of the extraction 10 yield against initial acid concentration is not monotonic. If we consider only the partitioning of the 11 acid and the complex formation, such a profile is not expected. Indeed, the more diluted is the acid 12 and the more in excess are the amines; this should lead to a higher extent of reaction 2 (acid-amine 13 complex formation) and therefore the increase of extraction yield with the decrease of 3-HP concentration. This behavior was indeed observed for initial acid concentration levels between 0.11 14 15 and 0.56 mol/L (10 – 50 g/L). But for the weakest concentration levels, a sharp decrease is observed 16 and other phenomena are needed to explain such results. For example, in oleyl alcohol the 17 extraction yield decreased from 51% to 40% and in n-decanol from 72% to 51% between 0.023 and 0.0041 mol/L (2 - 0.4 g/L) of 3-HP initially. Deeper investigations on pH effects were undertaken in 18 19 the case of *n*-decanol to understand these phenomena (section 3.5.).



1

Figure 6: water co-extraction in decanol (open circles) and oleyl alcohol (filled circles) with 0.46 mol/L TOA (20%
v/v) as a function of the acid concentration in the organic phase after extraction. Error bars represent standard
deviations (n=3) and are masked by the symbols.

A further look at the water content of the organic phase after extraction proves that water is 5 6 extracted in the organic phase jointly with the acid (Figure 6). Indeed, the more acid extracted, the 7 more water in the organic phase. Indeed, water concentration reached higher amounts than its 8 solubility in *n*-decanol with 20%v/v TOA (1.14 mol H₂O/L, Figure 4) and in oleyl alcohol with 20%v/v 9 TOA (0.50 mol H_2O/L). Figure 6 shows that the amount of water in the organic phase varies linearly 10 with the acid concentration. This tends to prove that, in the range studied, each 3-HP molecule 11 carries the same average number of water molecules in the organic phase. This hydration number 12 (given by the slopes of the regression lines in Figure 6) is around 2 for *n*-decanol and oleyl alcohol as 13 solvents. This tendency of 3-HP to carry water molecules while being extracted in the organic phase 14 could also partly explain why the extraction yield was very low with alkanes (section 3.1).

- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18

3.5. Influence of TOA impurities on extraction performances

2 3.5.1. pH at equilibrium

3 Table 2: amount of amines (OA+DOA) found in the aqueous phase after extraction and associated measured

4 (pH_{eq}) and calculated $(pH_{th} \text{ and } pH_{th.OA})$ pH, as a function of initial 3-HP and TOA concentrations, for

5 solutions 1 (98.1% of TOA purity), 2 (99.6% of TOA purity) and 3 (>99.6%: purified TOA)

Solution 1 (TOA 98.1%)										
[AH] _{ini}	[TOA] _{ini}	$[OA]_{LCMS}$	рН _{еq}	pH_{th}	рН _{th,OA}					
(mol/L)	(mol/L)	(mmol/L)								
0.0028	0.46	2.0	5.4	3.6	5.1					
0.011	0.46	2.6	4.6	3.4	4.5					
0.029	0.46	2.8	4.1	3.3	4.1					
0.058	0.46	2.7	3.8	3.1	3.8					
0.10	0.46	2.5	3.5	3.0	3.5					
0.21	0.46	2.9	3.2	2.9	3.3					
0.31	0.46	2.8	3.0	2.8	3.1					
0.50	0.46	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.8					
0.011	0.23	1.4	4.2	3.4	4.2					
0.011	0.69	3.6	4.9	3.4	4.6					
0.011	0.91	4.6	5.0	3.3	4.7					
0.011	1.4	6.4	5.2	3.3	4.9					
0.011	1.8	8.5	5.4	3.3	5.3					
0.011	2.1	8.7	5.4	3.3	5.3					
	Solution 2 (TOA 99.6%)									
0.0041	0.46	1.0	4.8	3.6	4.5					
0.011	0.46	1.2	4.3	3.5	4.2					
0.023	0.46	1.2	4.1	3.4	3.9					
0.11	0.46	1.2	3.4	3.0	3.3					
0.23	0.46	1.2	3.2	2.8	3.0					
0.35	0.46	1.2	3.2	2.7	2.9					
0.55	0.46	1.3	2.9	2.6	2.7					
0.011	0.23	0.54	4.1	3.5	3.8					
0.011	0.69	1.5	4.5	3.5	4.3					
0.011	0.91	2.3	4.6	3.4	4.5					
0.011	1.4	3.4	4.5	3.4	4.5					
0.011	1.8	4.9	4.5	3.3	4.5					
0.011	2.1	5.4	4.5	3.3	4.5					
Solution 3 (purified TOA)										
0.0027	0.46	0.065	4.1	3.9	4.0					
0.011	0.46	0.039	3.7	3.6	3.6					
0.021	0.46	0.048	3.5	3.4	3.5					
0.053	0.46	0.040	3.2	3.2	3.2					
0.11	0.46	0.039	3.0	3.0	3.0					
0.21	0.46	0.029	2.8	2.9	2.9					
0.32	0.46	0.034	2.7	2.7	2.7					
0.53	0.46	0.031	2.5	2.6	2.6					
0.011	0.23	0.053	3.5	3.5	3.6					
0.011	0.69	0.062	3.6	3.6	3.6					
0.011	0.91	0.134	3.6	3.6	3.6					
0.011	1.4	0.191	3.6	3.5	3.6					
0.011	1.8	0.319	3.4	3.4	3.5					
0.011	2.1	0.318	3.1	2.2	3.1					

⁶ 7

pH of aqueous solutions were measured for after extraction and compared to the calculated values.

8 Results are shown in Table 2 (solution 2). Considering the pH at the equilibrium in the aqueous phase

 (pH_{eg}) , it appears that for the lowest acid concentrations the experimental data are above the 1 2 calculated values from acid concentrations at equilibrium alone (pH_{th} , reaction 3, equation 2). This 3 means that higher amounts of 3-HP molecules are deprotonated compared to what is expected with 4 only 3-HP in water. When performing pH titrations of aqueous phases after acetic acid extraction by 5 TOA in chloroform, Wardell and King [58] also reported pH deviations. They attributed this 6 phenomenon to the transfer of tri-n-octylamine in the aqueous phase in the presence of acid. The 7 same was found for lactic acid extraction at low concentration with Alamine 336 [59]. However, Ricker [43] demonstrated that, even in 10% wt acetic acid in water, TOA solubility would not exceed 8 10 ppm (*i.e.* < 3 10^{-5} mol/L) and suggested that pH deviation may have been due to impurities in 9 10 commercial products like primary and secondary amines, lower-molecular-weight tertiary amines 11 and starting materials for the amine synthesis.

When sodium hydroxide was added to our aqueous samples after extraction, a condensation of organic species occurred and the solution became cloudy as already reported by some authors [57,58]. On the contrary, pure distilled water contacted with commercial TOA solution resulted in a neutral pH without any noticeable visual change when NaOH was added. This illustrates the fact that impurities are extracted in the aqueous phase under protonated form through reactive extraction with acidic species (see reaction 4) and that their partitioning as neutral forms can be neglected:

$$AH_{aq} + B_{org} \rightleftharpoons A_{aq}^- + BH_{aq}^+$$
 (reaction 4)

18 3.5.2. Identification of TOA impurities

Aqueous samples after extraction were analyzed with mass spectroscopy. Two main peaks appeared at m/z=130 and m/z=242 corresponding to both peaks of protonated *n*-octylamine (129 + 1 = 130 g/mol) and protonated di-*n*-octylamine (241 + 1 = 242 g/mol). Commercial samples of *n*-octylamine (OA) and di-*n*-octylamine (DOA) were also analyzed to confirm species identification. Several manufacturing processes exist for the production of fatty amines like nitrile hydrogenation or alcohols amination. For example, TOA has been produced by BASF using *n*-octanol amination with ammonia and OA leading to impurities of around 2% DOA and 1% OA [60]. This tends to confirm the
presence of these impurities in the products in our study.

3 When acidic species are present in the aqueous phase, these TOA impurities (OA and DOA) undergo 4 protonation and both deprotonated acid molecules and protonated amine molecules are released in 5 the aqueous phase increasing the pH (Table 2, solutions 1 and 2). This phenomenon can be neglected 6 at high acid concentration because impurities amount remains relatively low and the protonated 7 form of TOA is practically insoluble in water [43] so that relatively low amount of acid is neutralized 8 in the aqueous phase. Consequently, the increase of the dissociated part of 3-HP is significant only 9 for low concentrations and this phenomenon significantly decreases the extraction yield only for 10 concentrations under 0.1 mol/L (10 g/L, Figure 5).

11 Potentiometric titration with colorimetry is often used to determine extraction yields in reactive 12 extraction with phenolphthalein as a pH indictor [18,20,21,23,29,34]. However, the usual color 13 turning point of phenolphthalein is at pH=8.2 [46] which means that acidic species at pH > 8.2 are not 14 titrated. The pKa of *n*-octylammonium is 10.65 [46] which means that OA remains under its acidic form (n-octylammonium) above pH=8.2 and is not titrated. It implies that acidic species neutralized 15 16 by n-octylamine are not taken into account in this titration method. From our results, it can be 17 concluded that pH colorimetric titration is not appropriate to determine extraction yields when 18 amines are used as extractants because it could lead to underestimated acid concentration in the aqueous phase and hence overestimating the yields, especially for low acid concentration. 19

20 3.5.3

3.5.3. Influence of TOA impurities on the extraction mechanisms

Three TOA solutions with different purity levels have been used in order to evaluate the impact of
TOA impurities on the extraction process.

Analyses of aqueous phases after extraction proved that no significant TOA were released in the aqueous phases. However, OA and DOA were identified and quantified, with the former as the main

amine in the aqueous phase (more than 90% of total amines concentration). Hence, total amount of amines (OA+DOA) in the aqueous phase **is** assimilated to OA to simplify further interpretation. The amount of OA varied between 0.031 and 9.1 mmol/L (Table 2), depending on the following operating conditions: TOA initial concentration in the organic phase, purity of TOA and 3-HP initial concentration in the aqueous phase.

6 Amine transfer into the aqueous phase increases the pH. Accordingly, an amended formula should be 7 used to calculate the pH by taking into account the amines. Knowing the total concentration of 8 amines in the aqueous phase and their dissociation constants, the equilibrium pH of 3-HP solutions 9 can then be calculated. If we consider that amines in the aqueous phase mainly consist in OA, the 10 theoretical pH calculation becomes:

$$pH_{th,OA} = -\log([H^+]) \tag{3}$$

[H⁺] being the physical solution of the following equation (4), based on 3-HP dissociation (reaction 3),
 n-octylammonium dissociation (reaction 5), water autoprotolysis (reaction 6) and the
 electroneutrality principle:

$$OAH^+ \rightleftharpoons OA + H^+$$
 $K_A^{OA} = 2.24 \ 10^{-11} \ [46]$ (reaction 5)

$$H_2 0 \rightleftharpoons H^+ + H0^ K_w = 10^{-14} [46]$$
 (reaction 6)

$$\frac{K_A^{AH}[AH]_{HPLC}}{[H^+] + K_A^{AH}} + \frac{K_w}{[H^+]} - \frac{[H^+][OA]_{LCMS}}{K_A^{OA} + [H^+]} - [H^+] = 0$$
(4)

As can be seen in table 2, values of $pH_{th,OA}$ are much closer to the pH measured experimentally. Accordingly, the deviations observed between pH_{eq} and pH_{th} are directly related to the impurities in commercial TOA. Moreover, pH measurement can give, as a first approximation, reliable information about the amount of impurities (OA) transferred into the aqueous phase.

Since only non-dissociated 3-HP can be extracted by TOA in the organic phase, amines transfer into the aqueous phase and the consequent increase in pH_{eq} reduces the amount of protonated 3-HP 1 available for extraction. The final outcome of this phenomenon is the decrease of the extraction

2 yield.





Figure 7: Extraction yields for different TOA solutions (squares: solution 1 (98.1% purity); circles: solution 2 (99.6% purity); triangles: solution 3 (purified TOA)) in decanol as a function of initial acid concentration at 0.46 mol/L TOA (20% v/v) (A) and initial TOA concentration at 0.011 mol/L 3-HP (1 g/L) (B). Error bars represent standard deviations (n=2).

8 Yield reduction caused by TOA impurities is illustrated in figures 5 and 7A for low acid concentrations. 9 In Figure 7A, the plot is given in logarithmic scale for initial 3-HP concentration in order to clearly 10 observe the discrepancies for the small acid concentrations and the similarities for high acid 11 concentrations. For a given TOA concentration in the organic phase (0.46 mol/L = 20% v/v), the three 12 solutions provide equivalent yields at high acid concentration (>0.11 mol/L = 10 g/L). This was expected since the amount of amines released in the aqueous phase is small compared to the acid 13 concentration, and its influence on the yield becomes negligible. However, for low acid 14 15 concentrations, OA and DOA impurities present in TOA solutions have a strong impact. For example, 16 at around 5mmol/L (0.5 g/L) 3-HP initially, the extraction yield is 23% for solution 1 (2.5 mmol/L OA), 58% for solution 2 (1.0 mmol/L OA) and 74% for solution 3 (0.0058 mmol/L OA). The yield decrease 17 at low acid concentration with commercial TOA (solutions 1 and 2) is dramatic whereas with purified 18 19 TOA (solution 3) the decrease is much smaller. Nevertheless, it still denotes the release of weak 20 amounts of impurities, but with much lower importance than from unpurified TOA. In figure 7B, one can see a much smaller yield decrease for purified TOA (solution 3) than for solution 1 along with the
increase of amine concentration, up to about 50% v/v TOA. This steeper decrease for unpurified TOA
is due to impurities release, whereas for purified TOA only the solvating effect is noticeable.

4 In the case of purified TOA, results shown in Table 2 confirm that the purification of TOA was 5 effective, dividing the amount of amines released in the aqueous phase by a factor 30 when 6 compared to solution 2 and a factor 65 when compared to solution 1. This decrease makes the 7 amount of amines practically negligible in the aqueous phase, as confirmed by pH measurements. 8 Besides the effects described above on the extraction chemistry, the diminution of the released 9 amines by means of the purification step is particularly recommended if microorganisms are used in 10 a biological process due to expected amine toxicity. For example, a similar lactate - long chains alkyl 11 ammonium salt in an aqueous phase proved to be very toxic for several kinds of microorganisms 12 [61]. Purification of fatty tertiary amines can be reached for example with an adsorption process as 13 described in a US patent [62]. In continuous chemical processes, however, the presence of impurities 14 would have less impact because the recycled organic phase would be washed by the continuous 15 fresh aqueous flow and small amines would be readily depleted [43].

16 **4. Conclusion**

17 This paper reports a comprehensive study of the reactive extraction of 3-HP, a valuable platform 18 molecule. The experimental approach was designed in line with a potential application of reactive 19 extraction for the *in situ* product recovery of this acid. It appeared from the solvent screening 20 experiments, performed for the first time on 3-HP, that the type of solvent has a great influence on 21 the extraction yield, with H-bond donor characteristic and polarity being the most important 22 properties to increase the yield. This highlights strong interactions between the solvent and the 23 complexes formed between acids and amines. Water solubility in the organic phase also seems to be a major factor in complex stabilization. In active diluents like n-decanol and oleyl alcohol, a bell-24 25 shaped profile of the extraction yield as a function of amine concentration is observed with very low

1 extraction yields at high amine concentrations. This proves the major role of the solvent in the 2 extraction process. In these solvents, the increase in initial acid concentration from 0.003 up to 0.1 3 mol/L (0.25 – 10 g/L) led to an increase in extraction yield while a further increase from 0.1 to 0.56 4 mol/L (10 – 50 g/L) led to the reduction of the extraction yield. This phenomenon has been shown to 5 be due to amines transfer in the aqueous phase, with significant impact for low acid concentrations. 6 Deviation of equilibrium pH from what should be expected with the remaining acid in the aqueous 7 phase demonstrated the presence of impurities. We identified the release of *n*-octylamine and di-*n*-8 octylamine coming from commercial TOA into the aqueous phase even with 99.6% purity. This 9 transfer reduces extraction yields at low initial acid concentrations and increases equilibrium pH 10 which is, accordingly, a reliable indicator of amines concentration in water. Given the extraction yield 11 reduction and the suspected toxicity for microorganisms performing bioconversions, purification of 12 TOA before use could be necessary. These results give insights in extraction mechanisms of organic 13 acids, further clarifying the role of the organic solvent and water in the stabilization of the acid-amine 14 complex and pointing out the role of the amine impurities in extraction yield reduction and 15 biocompatibility of the extraction process. All this understanding is essential for further mechanistic and predictive modeling. 16

1 Acknowledgements

- 2 The authors would like to thank AgroParisTech students of the 189th promotion and Dr Claire Saulou-
- 3 Bérion for having provided valuable experimental assistance, and the Région Champagne-Ardenne,
- 4 the Conseil départemental de la Marne and Reims Métropole for financial support.

1 References

- [1] T. Werpy, G. Petersen, A. Aden, J. Bozell, J. Holladay, J. White, A. Manheim, D. Elliot, L. Lasure,
 S. Jones, M. Gerber, K. Ibsen, L. Lumberg, S. Kelley, Top Value Added Chemicals from Biomass,
 Volume 1—Results of Screening for Potential Candidates from Sugars and Synthesis Gas, U.S.
 Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, TN, 2004.
- [2] J.J. Bozell, G.R. Petersen, Technology development for the production of biobased products
 from biorefinery carbohydrates—the US Department of Energy's "Top 10" revisited, Green
 Chemistry. 12 (2010) 539.
- [3] T. Dishisha, L.P. Pereyra, S.-H. Pyo, R.A. Britton, R. Hatti-Kaul, Flux analysis of the Lactobacillus
 reuteri propanediol-utilization pathway for production of 3-hydroxypropionaldehyde, 3 hydroxypropionic acid and 1,3-propanediol from glycerol, Microbial Cell Factories. 13 (2014) 1–
 11.
- [4] G. Burgé, C. Saulou-Bérion, M. Moussa, B. Pollet, A. Flourat, F. Allais, V. Athès, H.E. Spinnler,
 Diversity of Lactobacillus reuteri Strains in Converting Glycerol into 3-Hydroxypropionic Acid,
 Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology. 177 (2015) 923–939.
- [5] K. Kim, S.-K. Kim, Y.-C. Park, J.-H. Seo, Enhanced production of 3-hydroxypropionic acid from
 glycerol by modulation of glycerol metabolism in recombinant Escherichia coli, Bioresource
 Technology. 156 (2014) 170–175.
- [6] H.S. Chu, Y.S. Kim, C.M. Lee, J.H. Lee, W.S. Jung, J.-H. Ahn, S.H. Song, I.S. Choi, K.M. Cho,
 Metabolic engineering of 3-hydroxypropionic acid biosynthesis in Escherichia coli,
 Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 112 (2015) 356–364.
- [7] V. Kumar, S. Ashok, S. Park, Recent advances in biological production of 3-hydroxypropionic
 acid, Biotechnology Advances. 31 (2013).
- [8] E.I. Lan, D.S. Chuang, C.R. Shen, A.M. Lee, S.Y. Ro, J.C. Liao, Metabolic engineering of
 cyanobacteria for photosynthetic 3-hydroxypropionic acid production from CO2 using
 Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942, Metabolic Engineering. 31 (2015) 163–170.
- Y. Wang, T. Sun, X. Gao, M. Shi, L. Wu, L. Chen, W. Zhang, Biosynthesis of platform chemical 3 hydroxypropionic acid (3-HP) directly from CO2 in cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803,
 Metabolic Engineering. 34 (2016) 60–70.
- I.-Y. Jung, J.-W. Lee, W.-K. Min, Y.-C. Park, J.-H. Seo, Simultaneous conversion of glucose and
 xylose to 3-hydroxypropionic acid in engineered Escherichia coli by modulation of sugar
 transport and glycerol synthesis, Bioresource Technology. 198 (2015) 709–716.
- [11] K.R. Kildegaard, Z. Wang, Y. Chen, J. Nielsen, I. Borodina, Production of 3-hydroxypropionic acid
 from glucose and xylose by metabolically engineered Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Metabolic
 Engineering Communications. 2 (2015) 132–136.
- [12] Z. Jin, S.-T. Yang, Extractive fermentation for enhanced propionic acid production from lactose
 by Propionibacterium acidipropionici, Biotechnology Progress. 14 (1998) 457–465.
- [13] Z. Wu, S.-T. Yang, Extractive fermentation for butyric acid production from glucose by
 Clostridium tyrobutyricum, Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 82 (2003) 93–102.
- [14] L. Zhao, J. Lin, H. Wang, J. Xie, D. Wei, Development of a two-step process for production of 3 hydroxypropionic acid from glycerol using Klebsiella pneumoniae and Gluconobacter oxydans,
 Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering. 38 (2015) 2487–2495.
- [15] A.S. Kertes, C.J. King, Extraction Chemistry of Fermentation Product Carboxylic Acids,
 Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 28 (1986) 269–282.
- [16] V. Bízek, J. Horáček, M. Koušová, A. Heyberger, J. Procházka, Mathematical model of extraction
 of citric acid with amine, Chemical Engineering Science. 47 (1992) 1433–1440.
- 47 [17] V. Bízek, J. Horáček, M. Koušová, Amine extraction of citric acid: effect of diluent, Chemical
 48 Engineering Science. 48 (1993) 1447–1457.

- [18] A. Keshav, P. Norge, K.L. Wasewar, Reactive Extraction of Citric Acid Using Tri-n-octylamine in
 Nontoxic Natural Diluents: Part 1—Equilibrium Studies from Aqueous Solutions, Applied
 Biochemistry and Biotechnology. 167 (2012) 197–213.
- [19] M. Matsumoto, T. Otono, K. Kondo, Synergistic extraction of organic acids with tri-n-octylamine
 and tri-n-butylphosphate, Separation and Purification Technology. 24 (2001) 337–342.
- [20] D. Datta, S. Kumar, Reactive Extraction of Glycolic Acid Using Tri-n-Butyl Phosphate and Tri-n Octylamine in Six Different Diluents: Experimental Data and Theoretical Predictions, Industrial
 & Engineering Chemistry Research. 50 (2011) 3041–3048.
- 9 [21] H. Uslu, Ş.İ. Kırbaşlar, Extraction of aqueous of malic acid by trioctylamine extractant in various
 diluents, Fluid Phase Equilibria. 287 (2010) 134–140.
- [22] J. Prochazka, A. Heyberger, V. Bizek, M. Kousova, E. Volaufova, Amine extraction of hydroxycarboxylic acids. 2. Comparison of equilibria for lactic, malic, and citric acids, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research. 33 (1994) 1565–1573.
- [23] J.A. Tamada, A.S. Kertes, C.J. King, Extraction of Carboxylic Acids with Amine Extractants. 1.
 Equilibria and Law of Mass Action Modeling, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research. 29
 (1990) 1319–1326.
- [24] R.-S. Juang, R.-H. Huang, Comparison of extraction equilibria of succinic and tartaric acids from
 aqueous solutions with tri-n-octylamine, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research. 35
 (1996) 1944–1950.
- [25] M. Marinova, G. Kyuchoukov, J. Albet, J. Molinier, G. Malmary, Separation of tartaric and lactic
 acids by means of solvent extraction, Separation and Purification Technology. 37 (2004) 199–
 207.
- [26] N. Marchitan, C. Cojocaru, A. Mereuta, G. Duca, I. Cretescu, M. Gonta, Modeling and
 optimization of tartaric acid reactive extraction from aqueous solutions: A comparison between
 response surface methodology and artificial neural network, Separation and Purification
 Technology. 75 (2010) 273–285.
- [27] A.F. Tuyun, H. Uslu, Reactive extraction of acrylic acid using trioctylamine (TOA) in versatile
 diluents, Desalination and Water Treatment. 55 (2015) 193–198.
- [28] K. Wang, Z. Chang, Y. Ma, C. Lei, S. Jin, Y. Wu, I. Mahmood, C. Hua, H. Liu, Equilibrium study on
 reactive extraction of propionic acid with N1923 in different diluents, Fluid Phase Equilibria.
 278 (2009) 103–108.
- [29] S. Kumar, D. Datta, B.V. Babu, Experimental Data and Theoretical (Chemodel Using the
 Differential Evolution Approach and Linear Solvation Energy Relationship Model) Predictions on
 Reactive Extraction of Monocarboxylic Acids Using Tri-n-octylamine, Journal of Chemical &
 Engineering Data. 55 (2010) 4290–4300.
- [30] A. Keshav, K.L. Wasewar, S. Chand, Reactive extraction of propionic acid using tri-n-octylamine,
 Chemical Engineering Communications. 197 (2009) 606–626.
- [31] Y.S. Aşçı, İ. İnci, Extraction equilibria of propionic acid from aqueous solutions by Amberlite LA-2
 in diluent solvents, Chemical Engineering Journal. 155 (2009) 784–788.
- 40 [32] A. Senol, Influence of diluent on amine extraction of pyruvic acid using Alamine system,
 41 Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification. 45 (2006) 755–763.
- M.E. Marti, T. Gurkan, L.K. Doraiswamy, Equilibrium and Kinetic Studies on Reactive Extraction
 of Pyruvic Acid with Trioctylamine in 1-Octanol, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research.
 50 (2011) 13518–13525.
- [34] D. Pal, A. Tripathi, A. Shukla, K.R. Gupta, A. Keshav, Reactive Extraction of Pyruvic Acid Using
 Tri-n-octylamine Diluted in Decanol/Kerosene: Equilibrium and Effect of Temperature, Journal
 of Chemical & Engineering Data. 60 (2015) 860–869.
- [35] R.-S. Juang, R.-H. Huang, Equilibrium studies on reactive extraction of lactic acid with an amine
 extractant, Chemical Engineering Journal. 65 (1997) 47–53.
- 50 [36] G. Kyuchoukov, D. Yankov, Lactic Acid Extraction by Means of Long Chain Tertiary Amines: A
- 51Comparative Theoretical and Experimental Study, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research.5251 (2012) 9117–9122.

- 1 [37] G. Kaur, K. Elst, Development of reactive extraction systems for itaconic acid: a step towards in 2 situ product recovery for itaconic acid fermentation, RSC Advances. 4 (2014) 45029–45039. 3 [38] M. Reschke, K. Schügerl, Reactive Extraction of Penicillin II: Distribution Coefficients and 4 Degrees of Extraction, Chemical Engineering Journal. 28 (1984) B11–B20. 5 [39] J.A. Tamada, C.J. King, Extraction of Carboxylic Acids with Amine Extractants. 2. Chemical 6 Interactions and Interpretations of Data, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research. 29 7 (1990) 1327–1333. 8 [40] H. Uslu, Ş. İsmail Kırbaşlar, K.L. Wasewar, Reactive Extraction of Levulinic Acid by Amberlite LA-9 2 Extractant, Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data. 54 (2009) 712–718. 10 [41] A. Senol, Extraction equilibria of nicotinic acid using Alamine 336 and conventional solvents: 11 effect of diluent, Chemical Engineering Journal. 83 (2001) 155–163. 12 [42] M. Moussa, G. Burgé, F. Chemarin, R. Bounader, C. Saulou-Bérion, F. Allais, H.-E. Spinnler, V. 13 Athès, Reactive extraction of 3-hydroxypropionic acid from model aqueous solutions and real 14 bioconversion media. Comparison with its isomer 2-hydroxypropionic (lactic) acid, Journal of 15 Chemical Technology and Biotechnology. (2015) [43] N.L. Ricker, C.J. King, Solvent Extraction of Wastewaters from Acetic-Acid Manufacture, Robert 16 17 S. Kerr Environmental Research Laboratory (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), Ada, OK, 18 United States, 1980. 19 [44] D. Swern, H.B. Knight, T.W. Findley, Purification of oleic acid, methyl oleate, and oleyl alcohol 20 for use as chemical intermediates, Oil & Soap. 21 (1944) 133–139. 21 [45] M.A. Liebert, Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Stearyl Alcohol, oleyl Alcohol, and Octyl 22 Dodecanol, Journal of the American College of Toxicology. 4 (1985). 23 [46] D.L. Lide, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 84th ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2003. 24 [47] J. Śliwiok, T. Kowalska, Spectroscopic and dielectric examination of the oleyl alcohol-oleic acid 25 system, Microchemical Journal. 18 (1973) 605-612. 26 [48] J.P. Hawranek, A.S. Muszyński, J.Z. Flejszar-Olszewska, Infra-red dispersion of liquid 27 trioctylamine, Journal of Molecular Structure. 436 (1997) 605-612. [49] C.L. Yaws, P.K. Narasimhan, Thermophysical Properties of Chemicals and Hydrocarbons, 28 29 Chapter 19 Dipole moments - Organic compounds, William Andrew Publishing, Norwich, NY, 30 2009. 31 [50] Y. Marcus, Wiley series in solution chemistry: volume 4, The properties of solvents, Wiley, 32 Chichester; New York, 1998. 33 [51] M. Matsumoto, K. Mochiduki, K. Kondo, Toxicity of ionic liquids and organic solvents to lactic 34 acid-producing bacteria, Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering. 98 (2004) 344–347. 35 [52] M. Vermuë, J. Sikkema, A. Verheul, R. Bakker, J. Tramper, Toxicity of homologous series of 36 organic solvents for the gram-positive bacteria Arthrobacter and Nocardia Sp. and the gram-37 negative bacteria Acinetobacter and Pseudomonas Sp., Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 42 38 (1993) 747-758. [53] D. Pal, A. Keshav, Recovery of Pyruvic Acid using Tri-n-butylamine Dissolved in Non-Toxic 39 40 Diluent (Rice Bran Oil), Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series E. (2015). 41 [54] T. Harington, M.M. Hossain, Extraction of lactic acid into sunflower oil and its recovery into an 42 aqueous solution, Desalination. 218 (2008) 287–296. 43 [55] A. Keshav, K.L. Wasewar, S. Chand, Reactive extraction of propionic acid using tri-n-octylamine, 44 tri-n-butyl phosphate and aliquat 336 in sunflower oil as diluent, Journal of Chemical 45 Technology and Biotechnology. 84 (2009) 484–489. 46 [56] B. Choudhury, A. Basha, T. Swaminathan, Study of lactic acid extraction with higher molecular 47 weight aliphatic amines, Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology. 72 (1998) 111– 48 116. 49 [57] J.A. Tamada, C.J. King, Extraction of Carboxylic Acids by Amines Extractant, Lawrence Berkeley 50 Laboratory, CA (USA), United States, 1989. 51 [58] J.M. Wardell, C.J. King, Solvent equilibriums for extraction of carboxylic acids from water,
- 52 Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data. 23 (1978) 144–148.

- [59] L.-K. Ju, A. Verma, Characteristics of lactic acid transport in supported liquid membranes,
 Separation Science and Technology. 29 (1994) 2299–2315.
- [60] Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, Alkanolamines to Antibiotics, 4th edition, Kirk-Othmer,
 1993.
- [61] J. Cybulski, A. Wiśniewska, A. Kulig-Adamiak, L. Lewicka, A. Cieniecka-Rosłonkiewicz, K. Kita, A.
 Fojutowski, J. Nawrot, K. Materna, J. Pernak, Long-Alkyl-Chain Quaternary Ammonium Lactate
 Based Ionic Liquids, Chemistry A European Journal. 14 (2008) 9305–9311.
- 8 [62] A. Coenen, K. Kosswig, F. Von Praun, H.-P. Schüller, Purification of tertiary amines using an
 9 adsorbent, 4255356, 1981.