

Variation in the immune state of Gammarus pulex (Crustacea, Amphipoda) according to temperature: are extreme temperatures a stress?

Sophie Labaude, Yannick Moret, Frank Cézilly, Charel Reuland, Thierry

Rigaud

▶ To cite this version:

Sophie Labaude, Yannick Moret, Frank Cézilly, Charel Reuland, Thierry Rigaud. Variation in the immune state of Gammarus pulex (Crustacea, Amphipoda) according to temperature: are extreme temperatures a stress?. Developmental and Comparative Immunology, 2017, 76, pp.25-33. 10.1016/j.dci.2017.05.013 . hal-01525670

HAL Id: hal-01525670 https://hal.science/hal-01525670

Submitted on 28 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Variation in the immune state of <i>Gammarus pulex</i>
2	(Crustacea, Amphipoda) according to temperature:
3	are extreme temperatures a stress?
4	
5	
6	
7	Sophie Labaude ^{*1,2} , Yannick Moret ¹ , Frank Cézilly ¹ , Charel Reuland ¹ & Thierry Rigaud ¹
8	¹ Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, UMR CNRS 6282 Biogéosciences, équipe Ecologie
•	
9	Evolutive, 6 boulevard Gabriel, Dijon, France
9 10	Evolutive, 6 boulevard Gabriel, Dijon, France ² Current address: Department of Biology, Maynooth University, Maynooth, County Kildare,
9 10 11	Evolutive, 6 boulevard Gabriel, Dijon, France ² Current address: Department of Biology, Maynooth University, Maynooth, County Kildare, Ireland
9 10 11 12	Evolutive, 6 boulevard Gabriel, Dijon, France ² Current address: Department of Biology, Maynooth University, Maynooth, County Kildare, Ireland
9 10 11 12 13	Evolutive, 6 boulevard Gabriel, Dijon, France ² Current address: Department of Biology, Maynooth University, Maynooth, County Kildare, Ireland *Corresponding author: s.labaude@gmail.com, Orcid ID: 0000-0001-8718-8883
9 10 11 12 13 14	Evolutive, 6 boulevard Gabriel, Dijon, France ² Current address: Department of Biology, Maynooth University, Maynooth, County Kildare, Ireland *Corresponding author: s.labaude@gmail.com, Orcid ID: 0000-0001-8718-8883
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Evolutive, 6 boulevard Gabriel, Dijon, France ² Current address: Department of Biology, Maynooth University, Maynooth, County Kildare, Ireland *Corresponding author: s.labaude@gmail.com, Orcid ID: 0000-0001-8718-8883

17 Abstract

18 Temperature is known to impact host-parasite interactions in various ways. Such effects are 19 often regarded as the consequence of the increased metabolism of parasites with increasing 20 temperature. However, the effect of temperature on hosts' immune system could also be a 21 determinant. Here we assessed the influence of temperature on the immunocompetence of the crustacean amphipod Gammarus pulex. Amphipods play a key ecological role in 22 23 freshwater ecosystems that can be altered by several parasites. We investigated the consequences of three weeks of acclimatization at four temperatures (from 9°C to 17°C) on 24 25 different immunological parameters. Temperature influenced both hemocyte concentration 26 and active phenoloxidase enzymatic activity, with lower values at intermediate temperatures, while total phenoloxidase activity was not affected. In addition, the ability of 27 gammarids to clear a bacterial infection was at the highest at intermediate temperatures. 28 29 These results suggest a dysregulation of the immune system of gammarids in response to

30 stress induced by extreme temperature.

31

32 Keywords

- 33 Gammarid, Immunocompetence, Environmental conditions, Phenoloxidase, Hemocyte,
- 34 Bacterial resistance

36 **1. Introduction**

Understanding the consequences of human-mediated climate changes on organism physiology or
ecology must take into account the effects that such changes may have on complex biotic
interactions (Gilman et al., 2010), including in particular host-parasite interactions (Morley and
Lewis, 2014). Indeed, temperature is known to be one of the most important factors affecting hostparasite relationships (Murdock et al., 2012a).

42 Temperature may first affect the biology and physiology of parasites (Barber et al., 2016; Gillooly et al., 2001; Harvell et al., 2002), influencing, for example, development time (Olson and 43 44 Pratt, 1971; Tokeson and Holmes, 1982) and infection success in helminths (Mouritsen and Jensen, 45 1997; Okaka, 1989; Studer and Poulin, 2013), or replication rate in micro-organisms (e.g. Dunn et al., 46 2006). However, temperature can also affect hosts. In particular, the immunocompetence of hosts 47 might be of crucial importance for the successful establishment, growth, and survival of parasites 48 within their hosts (Marcogliese, 2001). This is especially true of ectotherm hosts, where a range of 49 behavioral and physiological processes is temperature-dependent. In insects, several studies 50 highlighted that, besides increasing metabolic rate, an increase in temperature has a positive effect 51 on the activity of several immune parameters, such as phenoloxidase enzymes or antibacterial 52 responses (Adamo and Lovett, 2011; Catalán et al., 2012). However, other studies have revealed that 53 such an enhanced immune activity is not the rule. Increased temperature can affect immune 54 parameters in a more complex way, depending on which immune pathway is considered (Murdock et 55 al., 2012b; Silva and Elliot, 2016), with immune responses being dependent on complex interactions 56 between temperature and time (Murdock et al., 2012b).

57 Crustacean amphipods are widespread and abundant in many freshwater and marine 58 ecosystems worldwide. They occupy a central place within food webs, where they constitute both an 59 important prey for many species (Degani et al., 1987; Friberg et al., 1994) and a predator modulating 60 other macroinvertebrate populations (Kelly et al., 2006; MacNeil et al., 1997). In particular, 61 freshwater amphipods feed on leaf litter, participating in their decaying process (Foucreau et al., 2013; Piscart et al., 2009), and, thus, in the maintenance of water quality (Maltby et al., 2002). 62 Amphipods can be infected by various parasitic and pathogenic species, ranging from bacteria to 63 64 macro-parasites such as cestodes, trematodes or acanthocephalans (Thomas et al., 2002; Van Maren, 65 1979). Infection of amphipods can ultimately affect their functional role as predator or shredder 66 species (Fielding et al., 2003; Labaude et al., 2017; Médoc et al., 2011). Understanding how variation 67 in abiotic environment modulates the capacity of gammarids to cope with infections is therefore 68 important to assess the effect of global change on this keystone species group (Labaude et al., 2017).

Amphipod immunocompetence varies among natural populations, and causes of this variation are poorly understood. Levels of circulating immune effectors in amphipods have been found to be negatively related to parasite prevalence (Cornet et al., 2009a), and environmental conditions, in particular food resources, have been shown to modulate the immune system of gammarids (Babin et al., 2015, 2010). However, the effect of other environmental factors on the immune system of amphipods remain undocumented.

75 Temperature is known to affect several components of the physiology of amphipods. 76 Common species in European rivers, such as Gammarus fossarum, G. pulex or G. roeseli, have a high 77 thermal plasticity. For example, the thermal optimum range for adult survival is comprised between 78 3°C and 17°C (Issartel et al., 2005; Maazouzi et al., 2011), but varies between populations (Foucreau 79 et al., 2014). On the other hand, Pöckl & Humpesch (1990) found that the optimal temperature for 80 reproductive success and egg survival is around 12°C for G. fossarum and 15°C in G. roeseli. Several 81 physiological parameters (e.g. oxygen consumption or glycogen content) are impacted by 82 temperature in amphipods, with temperature above 15°C negatively impacting the physiology of 83 Northern French populations of G. pulex (Foucreau et al., 2014). As for insects, temperature is known to influence the immune system of crustaceans (reviewed by Le Moullac & Haffner, 2000), although, 84 85 so far, most studies have focused on crustacean species of economic interest, such as those raised 86 for farming, and mostly tropical ones (e.g. Cheng & Chen, 2000; Cheng et al., 2003, 2005). Overall, 87 these studies suggest that increasing temperature until some value tends to have a positive effect on 88 various immunological parameters, after which a decrease is observed, although this pattern varies 89 between studies and/or crustacean species. To what extent this may apply to crustacean amphipods 90 is unknown.

91 The aim of this study was therefore to measure the immunocompetence baseline of G. pulex 92 amphipods exposed to different temperatures within their natural range, in order to understand if 93 temperature variation may account for the variation in immunity among populations. Both the 94 inactive ProPO enzyme, representing the maintenance of the ProPO system, and its active form, 95 indicative of its activity, were measured. The number of hemocytes was counted, as well as the 96 ability of gammarids to clear a bacterial infection. Because immunocompetence may interact with 97 infection by some helminth parasites (Cornet et al., 2009b), this study was conducted on uninfected 98 gammarids, and the clearance of bacterial infection was investigated using a bacteria that did not, a 99 priori, co-evolve with amphipods. Finally, we relied on field data, collected in various rivers in winter 100 and spring, to compare if variation in phenoloxidase activity observed in our experiments were 101 reflecting those observed in the natural environment of gammarids.

103 **2. Material and methods**

104 *2.1. Sampling and acclimatization*

G. pulex (Linnaeus 1758) individuals were collected in April 2014 from a small tributary of the Suzon
 River (Burgundy, eastern France, 47°24'12.6"N 4°52'58.2"E), using the kick sampling method with a
 hand net. Gammarids were first maintained during one week under standard laboratory conditions
 at 10°C, corresponding to the temperature of the river measured at the time of collection. Only
 males showing no sign of infection with helminth parasites were kept for the experiments to avoid
 any confounding effect of sex or parasitic infection.

111 Gammarids were randomly assigned to one of four temperature regimes: 9, 11, 14 or 17°C 112 for three weeks. This range of temperatures corresponds to naturally fluctuating temperatures 113 experienced by gammarids in their habitat (Pöckl et al., 2003) and current temperatures in Burgundy 114 (Gunn and Crumley, 1991; Rowell, 2005). Gammarids were individually maintained in plastic tubes 115 (1.5 x 4 cm) closed at both ends with fine mesh, allowing water exchanges. All tubes were then placed in a tank, with one different tank being used for each temperature. Tanks were filled with a 116 117 mix of water from the river and dechlorinated, UV-treated tap water. Water from each tank was 118 constantly pumped toward a device (TANK TK-1000 Chiller, Teco®, Ravenna, Italy) controlling its 119 temperature. The tanks were replicated at 9°C but not at other temperatures due to a logistical 120 problem. However, all precautions were taken to avoid a tank effect. All tanks were strictly identical, 121 located next to each other in the same room, and maintenance and measures were made 122 concomitantly for each temperature. The temperature was measured every five minutes during the 123 whole experiment, using automatic thermometer recorders. As the actual temperatures that were 124 measured slightly diverged from the intended ones, we took into account the mean of actual 125 temperatures measured over the total duration of the experiment (respectively 8.8°C, 11.1°C, 14.2°C 126 and 17.0°C). Water temperature oscillated around these average values with a variation of \pm 2°C. 127 Gammarids were fed once a week with elm leaves conditioned at 14°C, thus ensuring that food did 128 not differ between treatments, although the biofilm on leaves surface could develop at different rates after leaves were provided to gammarids. Individuals were maintained under a 12:12 light:dark 129 130 cycle regime.

131

132 2.2. Measures of immune system

Crustaceans have an innate immune system that relies on several components (Söderhäll and
 Cerenius, 1992; Vazquez et al., 2009). The prophenoloxidase (ProPO) cascade, in particular, is
 involved in the response toward non-self (Söderhäll and Cerenius, 1998). The active catalyzing

136 phenoloxidase (PO) enzyme has the capacity to adhere to foreign organisms, ranging from micro-

137 organisms to macro-parasites, and induces the deposition of melanin on their surface (Cerenius and

138 Söderhäll, 2004). The inactive form of the enzyme is stored in the hemolymph and in hemocytes, and

is rapidly activated upon infection. Hemocytes are circulating cells that are also involved in several

defense mechanisms, such as phagocytosis, encapsulation, or coagulation (Johansson et al., 2000;

141 Vazquez et al., 2009).

- 142
- 143

3 2.2.1. <u>Resistance to bacterial challenge</u>

144 To assess the ability of the immune system of gammarids to clear bacterial infection, resistance to a 145 bacterial challenge was tested using the protocol described in Cornet et al. (2009). The day before 146 the experiment, tetracycline-resistant Escherichia coli bacteria (strain CIP 103410, Pasteur Institute, 147 Paris, France) were grown in 10 ml of broth medium (10 g of bactotryptone, 5 g of yeast extract and 148 10 g of NaCl per liter of distilled water, pH 7.0) containing 10 µl of tetracycline (2.5 mg/ml), at 30°C in 149 a shaking incubator. The solution of bacteria was then centrifuged (4°C, 15000 rpm, 30 min), and the 150 bacteria were rinsed twice using PBS buffer before being re-suspended in PBS buffer at a 151 concentration of 1×10^5 bacteria per microliter, using a counting chamber (Neubauer Improved) 152 under a compound microscope (magnification x 400).

153 Gammarids were briefly anesthetized on ice and gently immobilized on sticky gum under a 154 dissecting microscope. Their second or third coxal plate was then laterally perforated with a fine 155 sterile needle. Using a Hamilton syringe with a fine needle, 0.5 μ l of the bacterial solution was 156 injected through the hole. Injected gammarids were kept individually in hermetic glass vials, to avoid 157 water contamination, and placed back at their acclimatization temperature for seven hours and 158 fifteen minutes. After this time, about half of bacteria are expected to have been cleared, and 159 variation between individuals is likely to be visible (Cornet et al., 2009a). A small hole was then 160 pierced again in the cuticle of the individual, and 2 μ l of hemolymph were extracted using a sterile 161 glass capillary, and immediately diluted in 198 µl of PBS buffer. After homogenization, this mixture 162 was divided into two replicates of 100 μ l that were spread on Petri dishes containing 5 μ g/ml of 163 tetracycline. Petri dishes were incubated at 30°C until bacterial colonies were clearly visible, and 164 CFUs (colony-forming units) were counted using an automatic colony counter (Scan® 500 version 165 6.1.2.0, Interscience, Saint Nom, France). For each gammarid, the number of colonies was adjusted 166 to 1 μ l of pure hemolymph and the mean of the two replicates was used. In four cases, no colony 167 developed on the Petri dishes. As we could not exclude that this was due to an experimental error, 168 these samples (n = 4) were removed from the data.

169 The optimal temperature for the growth of *E. coli* is around 37°C. Therefore, the 170 temperatures used in our experiments might not only have an effect on the immune system of 171 gammarids, but also on the survival of the bacteria. To provide information about this effect, a side 172 experiment was done using a similar protocol as described above, but with gammarids being replaced by plastic tubes containing 50 µl of PBS buffer. After the addition of 1 µl of the bacterial 173 174 solution (1 x 10^5 bacteria/µl), five tubes were kept at each temperature during 6.5 hours. Two 175 microliters of the solution were then diluted in 198 µl of PBS buffer and bacteria were spread on 176 Petri dishes and counted as described above. This additional experiment should not be seen as a 177 proper control for the bacterial replication in absence of an immune system, both because a culture 178 medium was not provided and because of low temperatures investigated. It should rather be seen as 179 a test of how E. coli is maintaining itself in a non-favorable habitat at different low temperatures.

180

181 2.2.2. <u>Hemolymph extraction, hemocyte concentration and enzyme activity of the ProPO</u> 182 <u>system</u>

183 Hemolymph extractions to measure immune parameters were performed on different gammarids 184 than those used for the bacterial challenge experiment. Following a brief anesthetization on ice, 185 individuals were immobilized on sticky gum under a dissecting microscope and a hole was dorsally 186 made on their cuticle using a fine sterile needle. Hemolymph was extracted using sterile pre-chilled 187 graduated glass capillaries, and the total volume that was extracted was recorded. Hemolymph was 188 immediately mixed with 20 µl of cold PBS buffer. Ten microliters of the mix were immediately used to assess hemocyte concentration under a phase-contrast microscope (magnification x 400), using a 189 190 Neubauer counting chamber. Three types of hemocytes can be differentiated in crustaceans 191 (Johansson et al., 2000), including in amphipods (Dezfuli et al., 2008). However, because of their 192 large overlapping functions (Johansson et al., 2000), we felt conservatory to count all hemocyte 193 types. The rest of the mix was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for later enzymatic activity 194 assays.

For all individuals, the activity of naturally activated phenoloxidase enzymes (hereafter referred as "active phenoloxidase activity") and the combined activity of PO and its ProPO (prophenoloxidase) proenzyme (hereafter referred as "total phenoloxidase activity") were measured using a spectrometric assay (Cornet et al., 2009b). Hemolymph samples were thawed on ice and 5 µl were added to microplate wells containing 20 µl of PBS buffer and either 140 µl of distilled water to measure active phenoloxidase activity or 140 µl of chymotrypsin solution (0.07 mg per ml of distilled water), used to activate the ProPO into PO, to measure total phenoloxidase activity. The enzymatic reaction started with the addition of 20 µl of cold L-Dopa solution (4 mg per ml of distilled water) in
each well. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 40 min at 30°C, and change in optical density was
followed using a microplate reader (Versamax, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at 490 nm.
Enzymatic activity was analyzed using the SOFT-MaxPro 4.0 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale,
CA, USA), and measured as the maximum slope (Vmax value in OD min⁻¹) of the linear phase of the
reaction curve.

There was a strong linear relationship between gammarid size and hemolymph sampling ($F_{1,216} = 97.18$, P < 0.0001) but the size of gammarids did not vary among temperatures ($F_{3,214} = 0.94$, P = 0.42). Therefore, measures of hemocyte concentration and enzymatic activities were both adjusted for 1 µl of pure hemolymph for all individuals to avoid any effect of hemolymph sampling volume.

212

213 2.3. Measurements and genetic analyses

All the gammarids used in the experiment were measured (from the height of the fourth coxal plate, see Bollache et al., 2000), using a microscope and Lucia G 4.81 software. Although the cryptic species *G. fossarum* can also occur in the river, *G. pulex* is known to be largely dominant (Lagrue et al., 2014). Genetic analyses were therefore conducted on 20% of tested individuals (n = 88), that confirmed the large majority of *G. pulex* (95%). Thus, apart from these *G. fossarum* individuals that were removed, all other individuals were considered as belonging to *G. pulex*.

220

221 2.4. Variation of active phenoloxidase activity in the field

222 To investigate whether temperature-dependent variation in immune parameters could explain 223 natural variations observed between populations in the field, eleven gammarid populations were 224 investigated twice, in winter 2006-2007 (November-February, sampling dates different according to 225 sites) and spring 2007 (early June for all sites). Gammarids were sampled at some sites described in 226 Cornet et al. (2007) in rivers surrounding Dijon: Corberon (river Meuzin downstream), Dijon (river 227 Ouche intermediate location), Grancey le Chateau (river Ruisseau des Tilles), Lusigny sur Ouche (river 228 Ouche upstream), Les Maillys (river Tille downstream), Noiron sur Bèze (river Bèze), Saulon la 229 Chapelle (River Sansfond), Talmay (river Vingeanne), Tarsul (river Tille upstream), Trouhans (river 230 Ouche downstream), and Villy le Brulé (river Meuzin upstream). At each site and on each sampling occasion, temperature was recorded to the nearest 0.1°C. Gammarids were captured with a hand net 231 232 and immediately brought to the laboratory. For each site and for each season (winter or spring), 28

to 48 individuals were measured, and their hemolymph was extracted to measure the activephenoloxidase activity, as described above.

235

236 2.5. Statistical analyses

Data from resistance to bacterial challenge were natural-log transformed to meet homoscedasticity
conditions and analyzed using a linear model with gammarid size and temperature as covariates. A
quadratic effect of temperature was also considered, after visual inspection of the results. Similarly,
bacterial dynamics in tubes under different temperatures were natural-log transformed and analyzed
separately using a linear model, with temperature and its quadratic effect as factors.

242 Data on hemocyte concentration (number of hemocytes per microliter of pure hemolymph) 243 were square-root transformed. Active and total phenoloxidase enzymatic activities were transformed 244 using Box-Cox procedures. As the three parameters were measured on the same individuals, a 245 multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA, Pillai's trace) was conducted, testing for the influence of 246 gammarid size, temperature, temperature's quadratic effect, and their interactions. Linear models 247 were then used for each parameter, with the same factors. Difference between temperatures can be 248 assessed by the degree of overlap of the 95 % confidence intervals (CI), following the 249 recommendations of Cummings and Finch (2005). The difference can be considered significant when 250 the 95% CI did not overlap on more than half of their length (see Fig. 1 and 2 in supplementary 251 materials).

For field data, the active phenoloxidase activity was log-transformed, and a nested ANOVA was conducted testing for the influence of gammarid size, season (winter *vs* spring) nested within sampling site, and sampling site as sources of variation.

Non-significant interactions were removed for all models. Data were analyzed using JMP
 version 10.0.0 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, U.S.A.).

257

3. Results

259 Statistical analyses showed that both hemocyte concentration and total phenoloxidase activity

slightly differed between the two replicates at the lowest temperature, while other parameters did

not (table 1 in supplementary materials). However, using one replicate or the other did not affect the

results of our analyses on the effect of temperature (tables 2 and 3 in supplementary materials).

263 Therefore, data from the two replicates were pooled in the following analyses.

265 3.1. Bacterial survival

The number of bacterial colonies was negatively affected by gammarid size, and showed a convex relationship with temperature (Table 1, Fig. 1A). Examination of 95% CI suggests that clearance at 14.2°C was more efficient than at 8.8°C and 17°C (Fig. 1 in supplementary materials). The number of *in vitro* bacterial colonies was significantly affected by temperature, with a linear increase of surviving bacteria with increased temperature (Table 1, Fig. 1B).

271

272 3.2. Immunological parameters

273 All three immunological parameters were significantly affected by gammarid size, temperature and 274 its quadratic effect (MANOVA, Table 2). The quadratic effect of temperature on the combination of 275 the three immunological parameters (as estimated by the coordinates on the first canonical axis, 276 which were generated using the eigenvectors used to construct multivariate test statistics) is 277 illustrated in Fig. 2A. As suggested by 95% CI, the global immunocompetence of gammarids was 278 higher at low and high temperatures, and lower at intermediate ones (Fig. 2 in supplementary 279 materials). When analyzing these immunological parameters separately with linear models, 280 gammarid size was found to have a significant effect only on active phenoloxidase activity, with 281 larger animals showing a lower active phenoloxidase activity for 1 μ l of hemolymph (Table 2). Active 282 phenoloxidase activity was also close to be significantly affected by the quadratic effect of 283 temperature, with a decrease of activity between 8.8 and 11.1°C, followed by an increase (Table 2, 284 Fig. 2C). The effect of temperature on hemocyte concentration was also marginally non-significant 285 (Table 2, Fig. 2B). For these two parameters, in accordance with the analyses, 95% CI were not able 286 to firmly discriminate between temperatures (Fig. 2 in supplementary materials). No factor was 287 found to significantly influence the total phenoloxidase activity (Table 2, Fig. 2D).

288

289

3.3. Variation of active phenoloxidase activity in the field

In winter, the average temperature among the 11 sites was 8.4°C ± 0.41 (s.e.m.; min: 6.1°C at
Corberon, max: 9.4°C at Lusigny and Noiron). In spring, the average highest temperature was 13.7°C
± 0.49 (min: 11.4°C at Lusigny, max: 15.8°C at Trouhans). These temperatures therefore fall within
the range of our lowest and medium range experimental temperatures, respectively.

The nested ANOVA (Global model: $F_{22, 784} = 4.02$, P < 0.0001; R² = 0.10) showed that, despite variation among populations ($F_{10, 784} = 5.71$, P < 0.0001, Fig. 3A) and a negative effect of gammarid size on the level of active phenoloxidase activity ($F_{1, 784} = 8.05$, P = 0.005), the active phenoloxidase activity, nested within population, was higher at winter temperature than at spring temperature ($F_{11, 784} = 2.28$, P = 0.01, Fig. 3B).

299

300 **4. Discussion**

Our results show that the maintenance of *G. pulex* individuals at different temperatures (around 9,
 11, 14 and 17°C) during three weeks led to differences in several basal components of their immune
 system. Overall, immunological parameters were found to vary according to a quadratic effect of
 temperature. Combination of hemocyte concentration, active phenoloxidase activity and total
 (ProPO + PO) enzymatic activity was lower at intermediate temperatures, but the ability to clear
 bacterial infection was higher at these intermediate temperatures.

307 Variation in temperature affected the ability of gammarids to clear a bacterial infection. 308 Indeed, although bacterial survival in vitro presented a positive linear relationship with increasing 309 temperature, the CFU concentration in the hemolymph of gammarids decreased between 9°C and 310 14°C, before increasing again. Thus, the ability of gammarids to deal with bacteria increased with 311 temperature, but only to a certain extent, in accordance with other results obtained in crustaceans 312 (Cheng et al., 2003) or in insects (Catalán et al., 2012). In the crab Carcinus maenas, for example, Chrisholm & Smith (1994) evidenced a seasonal change in the antibacterial activity by hemocytes 313 314 according to temperature. The antibacterial activity was the lowest at both the lowest and highest 315 water temperatures of the year. Although this result seems contradictory with the other immune 316 parameters measured in our study (see discussion below), defense against E. coli was already found 317 to show no correlation with basal PO activity in G. pulex (Cornet et al., 2009a), suggesting that 318 resistance against bacteria might go through other immune pathways. Some authors even suggested 319 the existence of a trade-off between antibacterial activity and PO activity (Moret and Schmid-320 Hempel, 2009; Siva-Jothy et al., 2005).

The overall lower level of other components of immune activity at intermediate temperatures seemed to be mostly due to the hemocyte concentration and active phenoloxidase activity. Indeed, the two parameters were at their lowest value at intermediate temperatures (respectively 14°C and 9°C), and increased at lower and higher temperatures. This similarity is consistent with the positive correlation already reported between these two parameters in other crustaceans (Cheng et al., 2005). However, the range of temperatures chosen in our study did not induce any effect on the total phenoloxidase activity of gammarids. Active phenoloxidase activity 328 represents the amount of naturally active enzymes, while total phenoloxidase activity also takes into 329 account the inactive ProPO enzymes present in the hemolymph, thus rather measuring the 330 maintenance of the ProPO system (Söderhäll and Cerenius, 1992). It seems therefore that the 331 acclimatization temperature had an effect on the use of the ProPO system, without influencing its 332 maintenance. Consistent with this result, the total phenoloxidase enzymatic activity was found to 333 show low variations between years in several populations of G. pulex, while their PO activity was 334 highly variable (Cornet et al., 2009a), suggesting that investment in the maintenance of the ProPO 335 system resulted from local adaptation, whereas its activation depended on proximate environmental 336 conditions (Cornet et al., 2009a). Our results therefore suggest that one relevant environmental 337 condition could be temperature, and the present field data confirmed a part of the experimental 338 results. Because the tanks were not replicated in our experiment, part of the variation observed 339 could be due to a tank effect. Such pseudo-replication is a recurrent problem in thermal biology 340 literature, and future studies should seek to overcome it when possible. In our study, all possible 341 precautions were taken to avoid a tank effect. In addition, field data confirmed the impact of 342 temperature on gammarids immune system. At each of the eleven sampling sites, lowest 343 temperatures taken in winter and highest taken in spring fell within the frame of our lowest and 344 middle-range experimental temperatures, respectively. The active phenoloxidase activity measured 345 on the animals captured at these sites varied between sites. Even after taking this variation into 346 account, individuals sampled during winter had a higher active phenoloxidase activity than those 347 sampled during spring. These data are therefore compatible with our experimental ones, where 348 gammarids exposed to low temperatures (around 9°C) had higher active phenoloxidase activity than 349 those exposed to medium-range temperatures (around 14°C). Our data nevertheless did not include 350 temperatures higher than 16°C, such that we are not able to extrapolate the effect of higher 351 temperatures at these sites. A long term study with monthly records of rivers temperature and 352 measures of gammarids immune system would bring a better understanding of variations linked to 353 temperature on a finer scale.

354 While it is widely recognized that temperature can alter the immune system of crustaceans, 355 there is no consensus about the direction of these alterations, as many studies have found 356 contradictory results (Le Moullac and Haffner, 2000). However, it is generally accepted that increased 357 temperatures tend to have a positive effect on crustacean immunocompetence, at least to a certain 358 extent (see Le Moullac & Haffner, 2000; Matozzo et al., 2011 and references therein). Here, highest 359 levels of immune defense were found at both the highest and lowest temperatures tested. Contrary 360 to many studies testing the effects of extreme temperatures (e.g. Gomez-Jimenez et al., 2000; 361 Pascual et al., 2003; Brockton & Smith, 2008), the temperature conditions chosen here were within the optimal thermal range of gammarids (Issartel et al., 2005; Pöckl and Humpesch, 1990), and are 362

363 consistent with the temperatures currently recorded in Burgundy (Gunn and Crumley, 1991; Rowell, 364 2005), thus providing information about changes most likely experienced by gammarids following 365 fluctuations of temperatures in their natural habitat (Cornet et al., 2009a; Pöckl et al., 2003). The 366 effects of temperature on different components of the immune system of ectotherms have been 367 found to be dependent on the time of exposure, with rapid modifications occurring early after 368 temperature modification, followed by a stabilization of the different parameters over time (Pan et 369 al., 2008; Raffel et al., 2006). In bivalves transferred from 17°C to 11°C, 23°C or 28°C, hemocyte 370 density reached its highest value at 23°C and its lowest value at 28°C within one hour. However, after 371 three days of acclimatization, the shape of the effect of temperature was similar to the one found in 372 our study, with the highest value being at the lowest temperature (Chen et al., 2007). Thus, the 373 relatively long acclimatization time chosen in our study allowed us to observe temperature effects 374 due to individual plasticity.

375 The highest levels of immune defense associated to low and high temperatures observed in 376 our experiments may a priori suggest that extreme temperatures enhance the immune protection of 377 gammarids. However, this is not consistent with previous findings in Gammarus (e.g. Pöckl & 378 Humpesch, 1990), according to which intermediate temperature values were those for which 379 physiological functions (other than immunity) are the most efficient. This raises the question about 380 how to interpret changes in circulating immune effectors with respect to actual host 381 immunocompetence. In other words, should we consider higher levels of circulating immune defense 382 to be a good estimation of host immunocompetence or, alternatively, to be indicative of a 383 dysregulation of the immune system in response to stress? On the one hand, our results on baseline 384 immune measurements suggest that while highest temperatures reduced gammarids ability to deal 385 with microbial infections, they may, in contrast, improve their resistance to macro-parasites through 386 higher defense potentialities (higher active phenoloxidase activity or hemocyte counts). However, 387 both the prevalence of infection by macro-parasites and parasite growth have been found to be 388 increased with increasing temperatures in amphipods (Dunn et al., 2006; Mouritsen and Jensen, 389 1997; Tokeson and Holmes, 1982). This can be then hardly explained by a positive effect of high 390 temperature on the basal immunocompetence of gammarids. Higher infection parameters at high 391 temperatures could then derive from other effects of temperature on gammarids. First, high 392 temperature, beside any direct effect on immunity, might constitute a general physiological stress. 393 For instance, the effects of ocean acidification on a lobster were more pronounced at high 394 temperatures, while temperature alone did not influence its immune system (Hernroth et al., 2012). 395 Moreover, high temperatures can be linked to other stressors, such as lower water oxygenation. 396 Second, interactions between parasites and their hosts are not restricted to the hosts' immune 397 system, and changes in other traits might have an impact on parasite infection success. For instance,

temperature has been shown to increase food consumption in gammarids (Foucreau et al., 2016;
Labaude et al., 2017; Pellan et al., 2015), thus increasing their probability of consuming parasite eggs,
and, hence, becoming infected. Moreover, temperature is also known to have direct effects on
parasites themselves, affecting several traits linked to infection (Barber et al., 2016; Gillooly et al.,
2001; Harvell et al., 2002).

403 On the other hand, it is often suggested that environmental variation leads to stress-induced 404 immunosuppression in crustaceans (Le Moullac and Haffner, 2000). However, crabs transferred from 405 17°C to 4°C or 30°C for seven days displayed higher PO activity, as well as increased hemocyte 406 proliferation, compared with those which were maintained at intermediate temperature, which is 407 optimal for their physiology (Matozzo et al., 2011). Similar results were found in a mollusk exposed 408 for one day to temperatures ranging from 20 to 32°C, with hemocyte counts being minimal for 409 intermediate temperature values (Cheng et al., 2004). Therefore, one may consider that the optimal 410 level of hemocyte counts or active phenoloxidase activity (in the absence of parasite infection, i.e. 411 without any immune response) may not correspond to highest values, but rather the lowest ones. 412 Since extreme temperatures induce tissue damages in some crustaceans (Madeira et al., 2015), an 413 interpretation of the higher immune parameters at extreme temperatures could be that the immune 414 system is dealing with tissue damages imposed by these temperatures. Whatever the underlying 415 cause, this would mean that extreme temperatures would induce an over-functioning of some 416 immune defenses, with the risk of increasing immune pathologies. Indeed, the release of cytotoxic 417 compounds, resulting from enhanced immune activity, can lead to self-damages on host tissues and 418 organs (Sadd and Siva-Jothy, 2006). In gammarids, between-population variation in the level of PO or 419 ProPO enzymes positively correlates with the level of circulating carotenoids, suggesting that these 420 anti-oxidant molecules are important to limit the negative effects of high levels of toxic compounds 421 delivered through this immune pathway (Cornet et al., 2007). Experimental results verified this 422 hypothesis (Babin et al., 2015, 2010), suggesting that high levels of immunocompetence can be 423 detrimental if not compensated by high levels of carotenoid storage. Therefore one possibility is that 424 extreme temperatures induce higher circulating immune effectors because of the immune system 425 response to stress.

In the context of global change, temperature is predicted to increase in several parts of the world, including temperate areas. Our results suggest that a moderate raise in temperature, within the range studied here, could affect amphipod populations. First, amphipod could show an increased sensitivity to bacterial infection, particularly in the context of an up surging of wildlife pathogens (Johnson and Paull, 2011). Second, dysregulation in the level of basal immunocompetence due to temperature-related stress could further decrease the ability of amphipods to face increased levels of infection. As a secondary consequence, an increase temperature, through its effect on the general

433	condition of amphipods, may alter their role in ecosystems, for example by limiting their shredding
434	ability. However, given the complex effects of multiple stressors on interspecific interactions,
435	communities and ecosystems (e.g. Woodward et al., 2010; Labaude et al., 2015), further
436	investigations are necessary to assess the precise effect of an increase in temperature on the
437	functional role of amphipods within natural ecosystems. Moreover, the consequences of a raise of
438	temperature beyond the range studied here can hardly be extrapolated from our results.
439	Finally, this study investigated the effects of temperature on a short term, at the ecological
440	scale. At longer, evolutionary, time-scales, gammarids might adapt to changes in temperature, and
441	eventually evolve differences in their immune system. It would thus be valuable to assess if such an
442	adaptation does select for higher immunocompetence, in response to the possible increase in
443	infection probability, or rather to a lower level of circulating immune effectors, thus reducing the
444	cost of immunity.
445	
446	
447	Acknowledgments
448	We thank Maria Teixeira Brandao, Sébastien Motreuil, and Xavier Tercier for technical assistance.
449	
450	Competing interests
451	The authors declare no competing interests.
452	
453	Funding
454	This study was funded by the ANR (Grant ANR-13-BSV7-0004-01). SL was supported by a Doctoral
455	grant from the Ministère de l'Education Nationale, de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche.

456 **References**

- Adamo, S.A., Lovett, M.M.E., 2011. Some like it hot: the effects of climate change on reproduction,
 immune function and disease resistance in the cricket *Gryllus texensis*. J. Exp. Biol. 214, 1997–
 2004.
- Babin, A., Biard, C., Moret, Y., 2010. Dietary supplementation with carotenoids improves immunity
 without increasing its cost in a crustacean. Am. Nat. 176, 234–241.
- Babin, A., Saciat, C., Teixeira, M., Troussard, J.P., Motreuil, S., Moreau, J., Moret, Y., 2015. Limiting
 immunopathology: Interaction between carotenoids and enzymatic antioxidant defences. Dev.
 Comp. Immunol. 49, 278–281.
- Barber, I., Berkhout, B.W., Zalina, I., 2016. Thermal change and the dynamics of multi-host parasite
 life cycles in aquatic ecosystems. Integr. Comp. Biol. 56, 561–572.
- Bollache, L., Gambade, G., Cézilly, F., 2000. The influence of micro-habitat segregation on size
 assortative pairing in *Gammarus pulex* (L.) (Crustacea, Amphipoda). Arch. für Hydrobiol. 147,
 547–558.
- Brockton, V., Smith, V.J., 2008. Crustin expression following bacterial injection and temperature
 change in the shore crab, *Carcinus maenas*. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 32, 1027–1033.
- 472 Catalán, T.P., Wozniak, A., Niemeyer, H.M., Kalergis, A.M., Bozinovic, F., 2012. Interplay between
- thermal and immune ecology: effect of environmental temperature on insect immune response
 and energetic costs after an immune challenge. J. Insect Physiol. 58, 310–317.
- 475 Cerenius, L., Söderhäll, K., 2004. The prophenoloxidase-activating system in invertebrates. Immunol.
 476 Rev. 198, 116–126.
- 477 Chen, M., Yang, H., Delaporte, M., Zhao, S., 2007. Immune condition of Chlamys farreri in response to
 478 acute temperature challenge. Aquaculture 271, 479–487.
- Cheng, W., Chen, J.-C., 2000. Effects of pH, temperature and salinity on immune parameters of the
 freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 10, 387–391.
- Cheng, W., Chen, S.M., Wang, F.I., Hsu, P.I., Liu, C.H., Chen, J.-C., 2003. Effects of temperature, pH,
 salinity and ammonia on the phagocytic activity and clearance efficiency of giant freshwater
- 483 prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* to *Lactococcus garvieae*. Aquaculture 219, 111–121.
- 484 Cheng, W., Hsiao, I.S., Hsu, C.-H., Chen, J.-C., 2004. Change in water temperature on the immune
- 485 response of Taiwan abalone *Haliotis diversicolor* supertexta and its susceptibility to *Vibrio*

- 486 *parahaemolyticus*. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 17, 235–243.
- Cheng, W., Wang, L.-U., Chen, J.-C., 2005. Effect of water temperature on the immune response of
 white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* to *Vibrio alginolyticus*. Aquaculture 250, 592–601.
- Chrisholm, J.R.S., Smith, V.J., 1994. Variation of antibacterial activity in the haemocytes of the shore
 crab, *Carcinus maenas*, with temperature. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK 74, 979–982.
- 491 Cornet, S., Biard, C., Moret, Y., 2009a. Variation in immune defence among populations of *Gammarus* 492 *pulex* (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Oecologia 159, 257–269.
- 493 Cornet, S., Biard, C., Moret, Y., 2007. Is there a role for antioxidant carotenoids in limiting self494 harming immune response in invertebrates? Biol. Lett. 3, 284–288.
- 495 Cornet, S., Franceschi, N., Bauer, A., Rigaud, T., Moret, Y., 2009b. Immune depression induced by

496 acanthocephalan parasites in their intermediate crustacean host: Consequences for the risk of

- 497 super-infection and links with host behavioural manipulation. Int. J. Parasitol. 39, 221–229.
- Cumming, G., Finch, S., 2005. Inference by eye: confidence intervals and how to read pictures of
 data. Am. Psychol. 60, 170–80.
- Degani, G., Bromley, H.J., Ortal, R., Netzer, Y., Harari, N., 1987. Diets of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) in a thermally constant stream. Vie Milieu 37, 99–103.
- 502 Dezfuli, B.S., Simoni, E., Duclos, L.M., Rossetti, E., 2008. Crustacean-acanthocephalan interaction and
 503 host cell-mediated immunity: parasite encapsulation and melanization. Folia Parasitol. 55, 53–
 504 59.
- Dunn, A.M., Hogg, J.C., Hatcher, M.J., 2006. Transmission and burden and the impact of temperature
 on two species of vertically transmitted microsporidia. Int. J. Parasitol. 36, 409–414.
- Fielding, N.J., MacNeil, C., Dick, J.T.A., Elwood, R.W., Riddell, G.E., Dunn, A.M., 2003. Effects of the
 acanthocephalan parasite *Echinorhynchus truttae* on the feeding ecology of *Gammarus pulex*(Crustacea: Amphipoda). J. Zool. 261, 321–325.
- Foucreau, N., Cottin, D., Piscart, C., Hervant, F., 2014. Physiological and metabolic responses to rising
 temperature in *Gammarus pulex* (Crustacea) populations living under continental or
 Mediterranean climates. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 168, 69–75.
- 513 Foucreau, N., Piscart, C., Puijalon, S., Hervant, F., 2016. Effects of rising temperature on a functional
- 514 process: consumption and digestion of leaf litter by a freshwater shredder. Fundam. Appl.
- 515 Limnol. / Arch. für Hydrobiol. 187, 295–306.

- Foucreau, N., Puijalon, S., Hervant, F., Piscart, C., 2013. Effect of leaf litter characteristics on leaf
 conditioning and on consumption by *Gammarus pulex*. Freshw. Biol. 58, 1672–1681.
- Friberg, N., Andersen, T.H., Hansen, H., Iversen, T.M., Jacobsen, D., Krojgaard, L., Larsen, S.E., 1994.
 The effect of brown trout (*Salmo Trutta* L.) on stream invertebrate drift, with special reference
 to *Gammarus pulex* L. Hydrobiologia 294, 105–110.
- Gillooly, J.F., Brown, J.H., West, G.B., Savage, V.B., Charnov, E.L., 2001. Effects of size and
 temperature on metabolic rate. Science 293, 2248–2251.
- 523 Gilman, S.E., Urban, M.C., Tewksbury, J., Gilchrist, G.W., Holt, R.D., 2010. A framework for
- 524 community interactions under climate change. Trends Ecol. Evol. 25, 325–331.
- Gomez-Jimenez, S., Uglow, R.F., Gollas-Galvan, T., 2000. The effects of cooling and emersion on total
 haemocyte count and phenoloxidase activity of the spiny lobster *Panulirus interruptus*. Fish
 Shellfish Immunol. 10, 631–635.
- 528 Gunn, J., Crumley, C.L., 1991. Global energy balance and regional hydrology: a Burgundian case
 529 study. Earth Surf. Process. landforms 16, 579–592.
- Harvell, C.D., Mitchell, C.E., Ward, J.R., Altizer, S., Dobson, A.P., Ostfeld, R.S., Samuel, M.D., 2002.
 Climate warming and disease risks for terrestrial and marine biota. Science 296, 2158–2162.
- Hernroth, B., Nilsson, H., Wiklander, K., Jutfelt, F., Baden, S., 2012. Simulated climate change causes
 immune suppression and protein damage in the crustacean *Nephrops norvegicus*. Fish Shellfish
 Immunol. 33, 1095–1101.
- 535 Issartel, J., Hervant, F., Voituron, Y., Renault, D., Vernon, P., 2005. Behavioural, ventilatory and
- respiratory responses of epigean and hypogean crustaceans to different temperatures. Comp.
 Biochem. Physiol. A. Mol. Integr. Physiol. 141, 1–7.
- Johansson, M.W., Keyser, P., Sritunyalucksana, K., Söderhäll, K., 2000. Crustacean haemocytes and
 haematopoiesis. Aquaculture 191, 45–52.
- Johnson, P.T.J., Paull, S.H., 2011. The ecology and emergence of diseases in fresh waters. Freshw.
 Biol. 56, 638–657.
- Kelly, D.W., Bailey, R.J., MacNeil, C., Dick, J.T.A., McDonald, R.A., 2006. Invasion by the amphipod
 Gammarus pulex alters community composition of native freshwater macroinvertebrates.
 Divers. Distrib. 12, 525–534.
- Labaude, S., Rigaud, T., Cézilly, F., 2017. Additive effects of temperature and infection with an

- 546 acanthocephalan parasite on the shredding activity of *Gammarus fossarum* (Crustacea:
- 547 Amphipoda): the importance of social context. Glob. Chang. Biol. 23, 1415–1424.
- Labaude, S., Rigaud, T., Cézilly, F., 2015. Host manipulation in the face of environmental changes:
 Ecological consequences. Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl. 4, 442–451.
- 550 Lagrue, C., Wattier, R., Galipaud, M., Gauthey, Z., Rullmann, J.-P., Dubreuil, C., Rigaud, T., Bollache, L.,
- 2014. Confrontation of cryptic diversity and mate discrimination within *Gammarus pulex* and
 Gammarus fossarum species complexes. Freshw. Biol. 59, 2555–2570.
- Le Moullac, G., Haffner, P., 2000. Environmental factors affecting immune responses in Crustacea.
 Aquaculture 191, 121–131.
- Maazouzi, C., Piscart, C., Legier, F., Hervant, F., 2011. Ecophysiological responses to temperature of
 the "killer shrimp" *Dikerogammarus villosus*: Is the invader really stronger than the native
 Gammarus pulex? Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 159, 268–274.
- MacNeil, C., Dick, J.T.A., Elwood, R.W., 1997. The trophic ecology of freshwater *Gammarus* Spp.
 (crustacea:amphipoda): problems and perspectives concerning the functional feeding group

560 concept. Biol. Rev. 72, 349–364.

- Madeira, D., Mendonça, V., Dias, M., Roma, J., Costa, P.M., Larguinho, M., Vinagre, C., Diniz, M.S.,
 2015. Physiological, cellular and biochemical thermal stress response of intertidal shrimps with
 different vertical distributions: *Palaemon elegans* and *Palaemon serratus*. Comp. Biochem.
- 564 Physiol. -Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 183, 107–115.
- Maltby, L., Clayton, S.A., Wood, R.M., McLoughlin, N., 2002. Evaluation of the *Gammarus pulex* in situ
 feeding assay as a biomonitor of water quality: robustness, responsiveness, and relevance.
 Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 21, 361–368.
- Marcogliese, D.J., 2001. Implications of climate change for parasitism of animals in the aquatic
 environment. Can. J. Zool. 79, 1331–1352.
- 570 Matozzo, V., Gallo, C., Marin, M.G., 2011. Effects of temperature on cellular and biochemical
 571 parameters in the crab *Carcinus aestuarii* (Crustacea, Decapoda). Mar. Environ. Res. 71, 351–
 572 356.
- 573 Médoc, V., Piscart, C., Maazouzi, C., Simon, L., Beisel, J.-N., 2011. Parasite-induced changes in the diet
 574 of a freshwater amphipod: field and laboratory evidence. Parasitology 138, 537–46.
- 575 Moret, Y., Schmid-Hempel, P., 2009. Immune responses of bumblebee workers as a function of

- individual and colony age: Senescence versus plastic adjustment of the immune function. Oikos
 118, 371–378.
- 578 Morley, N.J., Lewis, J.W., 2014. Temperature stress and parasitism of endothermic hosts under 579 climate change. Trends Parasitol. 30, 221–227.
- 580 Mouritsen, K.N., Jensen, K.T., 1997. Parasite transmission between soft-bottom invertebrates:
- 581 Temperature mediated infection rates and mortality in *Corophium volutator*. Mar. Ecol. Prog.
 582 Ser. 151, 123–134.
- Murdock, C.C., Paaijmans, K.P., Cox-foster, D., Read, A.F., Thomas, M.B., 2012a. Rethinking vector
 immunology: the role of environmental temperature in shaping resistance. Nat. Rev. Microbiol.
 10, 869–876.
- 586 Murdock, C.C., Paaijmans, K.P., Bell, A.S., King, J.G., Hillyer, J.F., Read, A.F., Thomas, M.B., 2012b.
- 587 Complex effects of temperature on mosquito immune function. Proc. Biol. Sci. 279, 3357–3366.
- Okaka, C.E., 1989. Studies on the development of the oncosphere and procercoid of *Cyathocephalus truncatus* (Cestoda) in the intermediate host, *Gammarus pulex*. Zool. Scr. 18, 205–209.
- Olson, R.E., Pratt, I., 1971. The life cycle and larval development of *Echinorhynchus lageniformis*Ekbaum, 1938 (Acanthocephala: Echinorhynchidae). J. Parasitol. 57, 143–149.
- Pan, L.Q., Hu, F.W., Jing, F.T., Liu, H.J., 2008. The effect of different acclimation temperatures on the
 prophenoloxidase system and other defence parameters in *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Fish
 Shellfish Immunol. 25, 137–142.
- Pascual, C., Sánchez, A., Sánchez, A., Vargas-Albores, F., LeMoullac, G., Rosas, C., 2003. Haemolymph
 metabolic variables and immune response in *Litopenaeus setiferus* adult males: the effect of an
 extreme temperature. Aquaculture 218, 637–650.
- 598 Pellan, L., Médoc, V., Renault, D., Spataro, T., Piscart, C., 2015. Feeding choice and predation
- pressure of two invasive gammarids, *Gammarus tigrinus* and *Dikerogammarus villosus*, under
 increasing temperature. Hydrobiologia 781, 43–54.
- Piscart, C., Genoel, R., Doledec, S., Chauvet, E., Marmonier, P., 2009. Effects of intense agricultural
 practices on heterotrophic processes in streams. Environ. Pollut. 157, 1011–1018.
- 603 Pöckl, M., Humpesch, U.H., 1990. Intra- and inter-specific variations in egg survival and brood
- development time for Austrian populations of *Gammarus fossarum* and *G. roeseli* (Crustacea:
 Amphipoda). Freshw. Biol. 23, 441–455.

- 606 Pöckl, M., Webb, B.W., Sutcliffe, D.W., 2003. Life history and reproductive capacity of *Gammarus*
- fossarum and G. roeseli (Crustacea: Amphipoda) under naturally fluctuating water
 temperatures: a simulation study. Freshw. Biol. 48, 53–66.
- Raffel, T.R., Rohr, J.R., Kiesecker, J.M., Hudson, P.J., 2006. Negative effects of changing temperature
 on amphibian immunity under field conditions. Funct. Ecol. 20, 819–828.
- Rowell, D.P., 2005. A scenario of European climate change for the late twenty-first century: Seasonal
 means and interannual variability. Clim. Dyn. 25, 837–849.
- Sadd, B.M., Siva-Jothy, M.T., 2006. Self-harm caused by an insect's innate immunity. Proc. Biol. Sci.
 273, 2571–2574.
- 615 Silva, F.W.S., Elliot, S.L., 2016. Temperature and population density: interactional effects of
- environmental factors on phenotypic plasticity, immune defenses, and disease resistance in an
 insect pest. Ecol. Evol. 6, 3672–3683.
- Siva-Jothy, M.T., Moret, Y., Rolff, J., 2005. Insect immunity: an evolutionary ecology perspective. Adv.
 In Insect Phys. 32, 1–48.
- Söderhäll, K., Cerenius, L., 1998. Role of the prophenoloxidase-activating system in invertebrate
 immunity. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 10, 23–28.
- 622 Söderhäll, K., Cerenius, L., 1992. Crustacean immunity. Annu. Rev. Fish Dis. 2, 3–23.
- Studer, A., Poulin, R., 2013. Differential effects of temperature variability on the transmission of a
 marine parasite. Mar. Biol. 160, 2763–2773.
- Thomas, F., Fauchier, J., Lafferty, K.D., 2002. Conflict of interest between a nematode and a
 trematode in an amphipod host: test of the "sabotage" hypothesis. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 51,
 296–301.
- Tokeson, J.P.E., Holmes, J.C., 1982. The effects of temperature and oxygen on the development of
 Polymorphus marilis (Acanthocephala) in *Gammarus lacustris* (Amphipoda). J. Parasitol. 68,
 112–119.
- Van Maren, M., 1979. The amphipod *Gammarus fossarum* Koch (Crustacea) as intermediate host for
 some helminth parasites, with notes on their occurrence in the final host. Bijdr. tot Dierkd. 4,
 97–110.
- 634 Vazquez, L., Alpuche, J., Maldonado, G., Agundis, C., Pereyra-Morales, A., Zenteno, E., 2009.
- 635 Immunity mechanisms in crustaceans. Innate Immun. 15, 179–188.

- 636 Woodward, G., Perkins, D.M., Brown, L.E., 2010. Climate change and freshwater ecosystems: impacts
- 637 across multiple levels of organization. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B Biol. Sci. 365, 2093–2106.

- 639 Table 1.
- 640 Linear model (ANOVA) analyzing the number of bacteria remaining in 1 μl of gammarid hemolymph
- 641 ("bacteria in gammarids"), or remaining in PBS ("bacteria *in vitro*"), as a function of gammarids size,
- 642 temperature and its quadratic effect (temperature²).

Models	Source of variation	num d.f.ª, den d.f.b	F	Р
Bacteria in gammarids	Global Model	3, 167	4.90	0.027
	Size	1, 167	7.56	0.0067
	Temperature	1, 167	2.24	0.14
	Temperature ²	1, 167	6.94	0.0093
Bacteria in vitro	Global Model (temperature)	1, 23	5.01	0.036

- 643 ^a Degrees of freedom of the numerator
- ^b Degrees of freedom of the denominator
- Non-significant interactions were removed from the model. Significant values are presented in bold.

646 Table 2.

647 Multivariate (MANOVA, Pillai's trace) and univariate (ANOVA) analyses of variance for the three

648 immunological parameters (hemocyte concentration, active and total phenoloxidase enzymatic

649 activity) as a function of gammarids size, temperature and its quadratic effect (temperature²).

Models	Source of variation	num d.f.ª, den d.f. ^b	F	Р
MANOVA	Global Model	9, 633	4.83	< 0.0001
	Size	3, 209	9.28	< 0.0001
	Temperature	3, 209	3.21	0.024
	Temperature ²	3, 209	4.69	0.0034
ANOVA hemocyte	Global Model	3, 211	2.22	0.086
concentration	Size	1, 211	2.32	0.13
	Temperature	1, 211	3.24	0.073
	Temperature ²	1, 211	2.02	0.16
ANOVA active PO	Global Model	3, 211	7.36	0.0001
	Size	1, 211	15.49	0.0001
	Temperature	1, 211	3.14	0.078
	Temperature ²	1, 211	3.61	0.059
ANOVA total PO	Global Model	3, 211	0.25	0.86
	Size	1, 211	0.29	0.59
	Temperature	1, 211	0.05	0.82
	Temperature ²	1, 211	0.19	0.66

650 ^a Degrees of freedom of the numerator

^b Degrees of freedom of the denominator

Non-significant interactions were removed from the model. Significant values are presented in bold.

653



656 Fig. 1.

657 Effect of temperature on the number of bacteria. (A) Number of bacteria (colony-forming units)

658 remaining in 1 μ l of gammarid hemolymph; (B) Number of bacteria remaining in 1 μ l of PBS buffer.

Dots are individual data (the transformed data are presented here), the line is the predicted fit and

the grey area stands for its 95% confidence interval.



663 Fig. 2.

664 Effect of acclimatization temperature on the different immunological parameters. (A) Coordinates of

the first canonical axis generated by the MANOVA (combination of the three immunological

666 parameters), (B) hemocyte concentration, (C) active phenoloxidase activity and (D) total

667 phenoloxidase activity. The transformed data are presented here. Dots are individual data (the

transformed data are presented here), the line is the predicted fit and the grey area stands for its

669 95% confidence interval.



671 Fig. 3.

672 Effects of sampling site (A) and season (B) on the active phenoloxidase activity of gammarids

673 captured in the field. The transformed data are presented here. Dots represent mean values, error

bars stand for 95% confidence intervals and sample sizes are indicated.