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#### Abstract

In this paper we give a necessary and suffcient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions of functional differential equations with n delay $\frac{d}{d t} x(t)=A x(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(t-r_{j}\right)+f(t)$. The conditions are obtained in terms of R-boundedness of operator valued Fourier multipliers.
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## 1 Introduction

Let A and B be two closed linear operators defined on a Banach space X with domains $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{A})$ and $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{B})$, respectively such that $D(A) \subset D(B)$. In this paper we show existence and uniqueness of solutions for the following differential equation with n delay

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{d}{d t} x(t)=A x(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(t-r_{j}\right)+f(t)  \tag{1}\\
x(0)=x(2 \pi)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f \in L^{p}\left(\left[-r_{2 \pi}, 0\right], X\right)$ for some $1 \leq p<\infty, r_{2 \pi}=2 \pi N(N \in \mathbb{N})$ and we suppose B is bounded. The theory of operator-valued Fourier multipliers has attracted the attention of many papers in recent years. For example, this theory was used in [1] to obtain results about equations $\frac{d x(t)}{d t}=A x(t)+f(t)$, and in [11] to obtain results about delay equation $\frac{d x(t)}{d t}=A x(t)+F\left(x_{t}\right)+f(t)$. In [6], $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{Bu}$ studied $L^{p}$-Maximal Regularity of Degenerate delay Equations with Periodic Conditions. We note that in the special case when $B=0$, maximal regularity of Eq. (1) has been studied by Arendt and Bu in $L^{p}$-spaces case and Besov spaces case [[1], [2]], Bu and Kim in TriebelLizorkin spaces case [8]. The corresponding integro-differential equations were treated by Keyantuo
and Lizama [[17], [18]], Bu and Fang [7]. In this paper, we characterize the existence and uniqueness for the n delay equation (1) under the condition that X is a UMD space. Here the operator A is not necessarily the generator of a $C_{0}$-semigroup. We use the operator valued multiplier Fourier method. The organisation of this work is as follows : In section 2, we present preliminary results on UMD spaces and $L^{P}$-multiplier. In section 3, we study the existence of periodic strong solution for Eq.(1) with finite delay. In section 4, we give the main abstract result ( theorem [4.2] ) of this work.

1) for every $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X) ; 1<p<\infty$, there exists a unique $2 \pi$-periodic strong $L^{p}$-solution of Eq. (1).
2) (ikI-A- $\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}$ ) has bounded invertible for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{i k(i k I-$ $\left.\left.A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is R-bounded.

## 2 Preliminary Notes

Let $X$ be a Banach Space. Firstly, we denote By $\mathbb{T}$ the group defined as the quotient $\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$. There is an identification between functions on $\mathbb{T}$ and $2 \pi$-periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}$. We consider the interval $[0,2 \pi)$ as a model for $\mathbb{T}$.

Definition 2.1. A Banach space $X$ is said to be UMD space if the Hilbert transform is bounded on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ for all $1<p<\infty$.

Example 2.2. : [9]
1.Any Hilbert space is an UMD space.
2. $L^{p}(0.1)$ are $U M D$ spaces for every $1<p<\infty$.
3. Any closed subspace of a UMD space is a UMD space.

Definition 2.3. [1]
A family of operators $T=\left(T_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \subset B(X, Y)$ is called $R$-bounded (Rademacher bounded or randomized bounded), if there is a constant $C>0$ and $p \in[1, \infty)$ such that for each $n \in N, T_{j} \in T, x_{j} \in X$ and for all independent, symmetric, $\{-1,1\}$-valued random variables $r_{j}$ on a probability space $(\Omega, M, \mu)$ the inequality

$$
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{j} T_{j} x_{j}\right\|_{L^{p}(0,1 ; Y)} \leq C\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{j} x_{j}\right\|_{L^{p}(0,1 ; X)}
$$

is valid. The smallest $C$ is called $R$-bounded of $\left(T_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$ and it is denoted by $R_{p}(T)$.

Definition 2.4. [11]
For $1 \leq p<\infty$, a sequence $\left\{M_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{B}(X, Y)$ is said to be an $L^{p}$-multiplier if for each $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$, there exists $u \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, Y)$ such that $\hat{u}(k)=M_{k} \hat{f}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 2.5. [1, Proposition 1.11] Let $X$ be a Banach space and $\left\{M_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an $L^{p}$-multiplier, where $1 \leq p<\infty$. Then the set $\left\{M_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $R$-bounded.

Theorem 2.6. (Marcinkiewicz operator-valud multiplier Theorem). Let $X, Y$ be UMD spaces and $\left\{M_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset B(X, Y)$. If the sets $\left\{M_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\left\{k\left(M_{k+1}-M_{k}\right)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are
$R$-bounded, then $\left\{M_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $L^{p}$-multiplier for $1<p<\infty$.
We observe that the condition of R -boundedness for $\left(M_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is necessary.
Remark 2.7. [13]
Let $f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$. If $g(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0$, then

$$
\hat{g}(k)=\frac{i}{k} \hat{f}(0)-\frac{i}{k} \hat{f}(k)
$$

## 3 A criterion for periodic solutions

Notation 3.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $B_{j, k}:=e^{-i k r_{j}} B$, $\Delta_{k}=\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)$ and $\sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta):=\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}: \Delta_{k}\right.$ is not bijective $\}$ $H^{1, p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)=\left\{u \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, X): \exists v \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, X), \hat{v}(k)=i k \hat{u}(k)\right.$ for all $\left.k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$

Definition 3.2. Let $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$. A function $x \in H^{1, p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$ is said to be a $2 \pi$-periodic strong $L^{p}$-solution of $E q$. (1) if $x(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and Eq. (1) holds almost every where.

Lemma 3.3. [1, Lemme 2.1] Let $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $u, v \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} v(s) d s=0$ and there exists $x \in X$ such that $u(t)=x+\int_{0}^{t} v(s) d s$.
(ii) $\hat{v}(k)=i k \hat{u}(k)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 3.4. For $1 \leq p<\infty$, we say that a sequence $\left\{M_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset$ $\mathbf{B}(X, Y)$ is an $\left(L^{p}, H^{1, p}\right)$-multiplier, if for each $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$ there exists $u \in H^{1, p}(\mathbb{T}, Y)$ such that $\hat{u}(k)=M_{k} \hat{f}(k) \quad$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\left(M_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{B}(X) \quad(\mathbf{B}(X)$ is the set of all bounded linear operators from $X$ to $X)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $\left(M_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $\left(L^{p}, H^{1, p}\right)$-multiplier.
(ii) $\left(i k M_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $\left(L^{p}, L^{p}\right)$-multiplier.

Proposition 3.6. Let $A$ be a closed linear operator defined on an UMD space $X$. Suppose that $\sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta)=\phi$. Then the following assertions are equivalent
(i) $\left\{i k\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $L^{p}$-multiplier for $1<p<\infty$
(ii) $\left\{i k\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $R$-bounded.

Proof. By [1, Proposition 1.11] it follows that (i) implies (ii). Conversely, define $M_{k}=i k\left(C_{k}-A\right)^{-1}$, where $C_{k}=i k I-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}$, By Theorem 2.6 is sufficient to prove that the set $\left(k\left(M_{k+1}-M_{k}\right)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is R-bounded. We claim first that the set $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is R -bounded.
since given $x_{j} \in D(A)$ we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{l}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, l}\right) x_{l}\right\|_{L^{p}(0,1 ; X)}^{p} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{l}(t) B\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-i l r_{j}} x_{l}\right)\right\|_{X}^{p} d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left\|B\left(\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{l}(t) \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-i l r_{j}} x_{l}\right)\right\|_{X}^{p} d t \\
& \leq\|B\|^{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{l}(t) \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-i l r_{j}} x_{l}\right\|_{X}^{p} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By (Lemma 1.7, [1]) we obtain that

$$
\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{l}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, l}\right) x_{l}\right\|_{L^{p}(0,1 ; X)}^{p} \leq 2 n^{p}\|B\|^{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{l}(t) x_{l}\right\|_{X}^{p} d t
$$

We conclude that

$$
\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{l}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, l}\right) x_{l}\right\|_{L^{p}(0,1 ; X)} \leq 2^{1 / p} n\|B\| .
$$

and the claim is proved. Next. We note the following identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
k\left[M_{k+1}-M_{k}\right] & =k\left[i(k+1)\left(C_{k+1}-A D\right)^{-1}-i k\left(C_{k}-A D\right)^{-1}\right] \\
& =k\left(C_{k+1}-A D\right)^{-1}\left[i(k+1)\left(C_{k}-A D\right)-i k\left(C_{k+1}-A D\right)\right]\left(C_{k}-A D\right)^{-1} \\
& =k\left(C_{k+1}-A D\right)^{-1}\left[i k\left(C_{k}-C_{k+1}\right)+i\left(C_{k}-A\right)\right]\left(C_{k}-A D\right)^{-1} \\
& =k\left(C_{k+1}-A D\right)^{-1}\left[i k\left(C_{k}-C_{k+1}\right)\left(C_{k}-A D\right)^{-1}+i I\right] \\
& =\frac{-i k}{k+1} M_{k+1}\left(C_{k}-C_{k+1}\right) M_{k}+\frac{k}{k+1} M_{k+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
C_{k}-C_{k+1}=-i I+\sum_{j=1}^{n} B e^{-i k r_{j}}\left(1-e^{-i r_{j}}\right)
$$

Since products and sums of R-bounded sequences is R-bounded [11, Remark 2.2]. Then $\left\{k\left(M_{k+1}-M_{k}\right)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is R -bounded and by theorem $2.6,\left\{M_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $L^{p}$-multiplier.

Theorem 3.7. Let $X$ be a Banach space. Suppose that for every $f \in$ $L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$ there exists a unique strong solution of $E q$. (1) for $1 \leq p<\infty$. Then

1. for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the operator $\Delta_{k}=\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)$ has bounded inverse
2. $\left\{i k \Delta_{k}^{-1}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $R$-bounded.

Before to give the proof of Theorem (3.7), we need the following Lemma.
Lemma 3.8. if $\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}(x)\right)=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $u(t)=e^{i k t} x$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic strong $L^{p}$-solution of the following equation (1) corresponing to the function $f=0$.

Proof. $\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}(x)\right)=0 \Rightarrow i k x=A x+\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k} x$. We have $u(t)=e^{i k t} x$ then

$$
u^{\prime}(t)=i k e^{i k t} x=e^{i k t}(i k x)=e^{i k t}\left[A x+\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k} x\right]=A u(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} B u\left(t-r_{j}\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.71) Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in X$. Then for $f(t)=e^{i k t} y$, there exists $x \in H^{1, p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$ such that:

$$
\frac{d}{d t} x(t)=A x(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(t-r_{j}\right)+f(t)
$$

Taking Fourier transform, by Lemma 3.3 we have :

$$
\hat{x}^{\prime}(k)=i k \hat{x}(k)=A \hat{x}(k)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k} \hat{x}(k)+\hat{f}(k) .
$$

Then we obtain : $\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right) \hat{x}(k)=\hat{f}(k)=y \Rightarrow\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)$ is surjective.
If $\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right) u=0$, then by Lemma $3.8 x(t)=e^{i k t} u$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic strong $L^{p}$-solution of Eq. (1) corresponing to the function $f=0$ Hence $x(t)=0$ and $u=0$ then $\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)$ is injective.
2) Let $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$. By hypothesis, there exists a unique $x \in H^{1 ; p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$ such that the Eq. (1) is valid. Taking Fourier transforms, we deduce that $\hat{x}(k)=\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1} \hat{f}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$
i k \hat{x}(k)=i k\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1} \hat{f}(k)
$$

On the other hand, since $x \in H^{1 ; p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$, there exists $v \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$ such that $\hat{v}(k)=i k \hat{x}(k)=i k\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1} \hat{f}(k)$ i.e $\left\{i k \Delta_{k}^{-1}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $L^{p}$-multiplier. Then $\left\{i k \Delta_{k}^{-1}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is R-bounded.

## 4 Existence of mild solutions of Eq. (1)

It is well known that in many important applications the operator A is the infinitesimal generator of $C_{0}$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on the space X. Let A be a generator of a $C_{0}$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$.

Definition 4.1. Assume that A generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $X$. A function $x$ is called a mild solution of $E q$. (1) if :

$$
x(t)=T(t) \varphi+\int_{0}^{t} T(t-s)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f(s)\right) d s \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi
$$

Remark 4.2. [14, Remark 4.2]
Let $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be the $C_{0}$-semigroup generated by $A$. If $g:[0, a] \rightarrow X$ is a continuous function, then $\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} T(t-\xi) g(\xi) d \xi d s \in D(A)$ and

$$
A \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} T(t-\xi) g(\xi) d \xi d s=\int_{0}^{t}(T(t-s)-I) g(s) d s \text { for all } \quad 0 \leq t \leq a
$$

Lemma 4.3. [10]
Assume that A generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $X$, if $x$ is a mild solution of Eq. (1) then

$$
x(t)=\varphi+A \int_{0}^{t} x(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f(s)\right) d s \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi
$$

Theorem 4.4. Assume that $A$ generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $X$ and $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$ for some $1 \leq p<\infty$, if $x$ is a mild solution of $E q$. (1). Then

$$
\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right) \hat{x}(k)=\hat{f}(k) \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Proof. Let $x$ be a mild solution of Eq. (1). Then by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
x(t)=\varphi+A \int_{0}^{t} x(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f(s)\right) d s
$$

For $t=2 \pi$, we have

$$
x(2 \pi)=\varphi+A \int_{0}^{2 \pi} x(s) d s+\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f(s)\right) d s
$$

Since: $x(2 \pi)=\varphi$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \int_{0}^{2 \pi} x(s) d s+\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f(s)\right) d s=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2 \pi} A \int_{0}^{2 \pi} x(s) d s+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f(s)\right) d s=0 \\
& \left.\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2 \pi} A \int_{0}^{2 \pi} x(s) d s+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right) d s+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(s)\right) d s=0 \\
& \left.\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2 \pi} A \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i 0 s} x(s) d s+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i 0 s} \sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right) d s+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i 0 s} f(s)\right) d s=0 \\
& \Rightarrow\left(0-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, 0}\right) \hat{x}(0)=\hat{f}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that the assertion holds for $k=0$.
Now, define

$$
v(t)=\int_{0}^{t} x(s) d s
$$

and

$$
g(t)=x(t)-\varphi-\int_{0}^{t}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f(s)\right) d s
$$

by Remark 2.7 We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{v}(k) & =\frac{i}{k} \hat{x}(0)-\frac{i}{k} \hat{x}(k) \\
A \hat{v}(k) & =\frac{i}{k} A \hat{x}(0)-\frac{i}{k} A \hat{x}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{g}(k) & =\hat{x}(k)-\left[\frac{i}{k} G_{0} \hat{x}(0)-\frac{i}{k} G_{k} \hat{x}(k)\right]-\left[\frac{i}{k} \hat{f}(0)-\frac{i}{k} \hat{f}(k)\right] \\
& =\hat{x}(k)-\frac{i}{k} G_{0} \hat{x}(0)+\frac{i}{k} G_{k} \hat{x}(k)-\frac{i}{k} \hat{f}(0)+\frac{i}{k} \hat{f}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.5. Assume that $A$ generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $X$ and let $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, X): 1 \leq p<\infty$ and $x$ be a mild solution of $E q$. (1). If (ikI - A- $\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}$ ) has a bounded inverse. Then $\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)$ is an $L^{p}$-multiplier.
Proof. Let $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$ then from Theorem (4.4) we have: $\hat{x}(k)=\left(i k D_{k}-A D_{k}-G_{k}\right)^{-1} \hat{f}(k)$ for all $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$, then (ikI $\left.-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1}$ is an $L^{p}$-multiplier.

## 5 Main Result

Our main result in this work is to establish that the converse of theorem (3.7) and corollary (4.5) is true, provided X is an UMD space.

Theorem 5.1. (Fejer Theorem) : Let $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$. Then

$$
f=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{n}(f)
$$

where $\sigma_{n}(f)=\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} e_{k} \hat{f}(k)$, with $e_{k}(t)=e^{i k t}$.
Theorem 5.2. Let $X$ be an $U M D$ space and $A: D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a closed linear operator. Then the following assertions are equivalent for $1<p<\infty$. 1) for every $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$ there exists a unique strong $L^{p}$-solution of Eq.(1).
2) $\sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta)=\phi$ and $\left\{i k \Delta_{k}^{-1}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $R$-bounded.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$ ) see Theorem 3.7.
$1 \Leftarrow 2)$ Let $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$. Define $\Delta_{k}=\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)$,
By Proposition 3.6, the family $\left\{i k \Delta_{k}^{-1}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $L^{p}$-multiplier it is equivalent to
the family $\left\{\Delta_{k}^{-1}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $L^{p}$-multiplier that maps $L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$ into $H^{1, p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$, namely there exists $x \in H^{1, p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}(k)=\Delta_{k}^{-1} \hat{f}(k)=\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1} \hat{f}(k) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $x \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$ and there exists $v \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$ such that $\hat{v}(k)=i k \hat{x}(k)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{x^{\prime}}(k):=\hat{v}(k)=i k \hat{x}(k) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 5.1 we have for $j \in\{1 \ldots n\}$

$$
x\left(t-r_{j}\right)=\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{l+1} \sum_{m=0}^{l} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} e^{i k t} e^{-i k r_{j}} \hat{x}(k)
$$

Then, since B is bounded linear

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(t-r_{j}\right)=\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{l+1} \sum_{m=0}^{l} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} e^{i k t}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k} \hat{x}(k)\right)
$$

By (2) and (3) we have:

$$
\widehat{x^{\prime}}(k)=i k \hat{x}(k)=A \hat{x}(k)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k} \hat{x}(k)+\hat{f}(k), \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Then using that $A$ and B are closed we conclude that $x(t) \in D(A)$ [[1], Lemma 3.1] and from the uniqueness theorem of Fourier coefficients that

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=A x(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(t-r_{j}\right)+f(t)
$$

We have $x \in H^{1, p}(\mathbb{T}, X)$ then by lemma 3.3, $x(0)=x(2 \pi)$, then the Eq. (1) has a unique $2 \pi$-periodic strong $L^{p}$-solution.

Theorem 5.3. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$. Assume that $A$ generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $X$. If $\sigma_{Z}(\Delta)=\emptyset$ and $\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1}$ is an $L^{p}$-multiplier Then there exists a unique mild solution periodic of Eq. (1).

Proof. For $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$ we define

$$
f_{l}(t)=\frac{1}{l+1} \sum_{m=0}^{l} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} e^{i k t} \hat{f}(k)
$$

By the Fejér Theorem we can assert that $f_{l} \rightarrow f$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ for the norm in $L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$. We have $\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1}$ is an $L^{p}$-multiplier then there exists $x \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; X)$ such that $\hat{x}(k)=\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1} \hat{f}(k)$ put

$$
x_{l}(t)=\frac{1}{l+1} \sum_{m=0}^{l} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} e^{i k t}\left(i k I-A-\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j, k}\right)^{-1} \hat{f}(k)
$$

Using again the Fejér Theorem we obtain that $x_{n}(t) \rightarrow x(t)($ as $n \rightarrow \infty)$ and $x_{n}(t)$ is strong $L^{p}$-solution of Eq. (1) and $x_{n}(t)$ verified

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{l}(t)=T(t) \varphi_{l}+\int_{0}^{t} T(t-s)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x_{l}\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f_{l}(s)\right) d s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $t=2 \pi$ we obtain

$$
x_{l}(2 \pi)=T(2 \pi) \varphi_{l}+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} T(2 \pi-s)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x_{l}\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f_{l}(s)\right) d s
$$

from which we infer that the sequence $\left(\varphi_{l}\right)_{n}$ is convergent to some element $\varphi$ as $l \rightarrow \infty\left(\varphi_{l}=x_{l}(0)=x_{l}(2 \pi)\right)$. Moreover, $\varphi$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=T(2 \pi) \varphi+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} T(2 \pi-s)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f(s)\right) d s \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the limit as $l$ goes to infinity in (4), we can write

$$
x(t)=T(t) \varphi+\int_{0}^{t} T(t-s)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f(s)\right) d s:=g(t)
$$

$g(2 \pi)=T(2 \pi) y+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} T(2 \pi-s)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} B x\left(s-r_{j}\right)+f(s)\right) d s \overbrace{=}^{(5)} \varphi=g(0)$
Then $x(2 \pi)=\varphi \Rightarrow x(2 \pi)=x(0)$, we conclude that $x$ is a $2 \pi$ - periodic mild solution of Eq. (1).
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