## The evolution of bicycle mobility in France

## Data sources

- Sales: Chambre syndicale then CNPC since 1890
- Fleet: Impôt sur les vélocipèdes 1893 to 1959, National Travel Surveys: 1966 to 2008
- Traffic: national highways 1903 to 1960, Paris since 1997
- Accidents: ONISR since 1982
- Trips and modal share: national and local travel surveys in urban areas since 1976


## French bicycle market 1992-2006

|  | sales | French supply | imports | 307279 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 2878528 | 728035 | 2150493 | 275600 |
| 1993 | 3199100 | 667600 | 2531500 | 400720 |
| 1994 | 2935569 | 873850 | 2061719 | 381150 |
| 1995 | 2870000 | 1040000 | 1830000 | 342000 |
| 1996 | 2351026 | 992160 | 1358866 | 239400 |
| 1997 | 2242900 | 1092900 | 1150000 | 206000 |
| $1998\left(^{*}\right)$ | 2435000 | 1300000 | 1135000 | 270000 |
| $1999\left(^{*}\right)$ | 2584000 | 1334000 | 1250000 | 330000 |
| $2000\left(^{*}\right)$ | 2690000 | 1580000 | 1110000 |  |
| $2001\left(^{*}\right)$ | 2290000 | 1273000 | 1017000 |  |
| $2002\left(^{*}\right)$ | 2422000 | 1228000 | 1194000 | Source: FIEV |
| $2003\left(^{*}\right)$ | 2747000 | 1242000 | 1505000 |  |
| $2004\left(^{*}\right)$ | 3087000 | 1375000 | 1712000 |  |
| $2005\left(^{*}\right)$ | 3702200 | 1238200 | 2464000 |  |
| $2006\left(^{*}\right)$ | 3250000 | 1060000 | 2190000 |  |

## Bicycle traffic peaked 1935-1955 on French highways



## A decreasing involvement of



## Travel surveys in France

- Over 100 surveys in French cities from 1966 to present (Certu, Dreia) but bicycles only since 1976
- 5 National Travel Surveys: 1966-67, 1973-74, 1981-82, 1993-94, 2007-08 (Insee, Soes, Inrets) home to work trips 1959
- Old surveys only known from publications


## Local travel surveys 1976-1998 and +1999-2007



## A general decline of bicycle trips





## Bicycle narrow share of trips



## Bicycle narrow share in mileage



## Evolution of bicycle mobility in France 1959-2008
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## French transport biographic survey

- Retrospective surveys use people's memory
- Other studies in France outside transport
- Transport biographies in UK, Switzerland, Germany
- In France biographic survey in NTS 2007-2008
- 1150 grids filled
- One line per year
- One column for each variable: dwelling place, household size, number of children, number of motorcycles, number of cars, main activity, activity place, main transport means
- Two aims: historical and longitudinal


## Main home to work means share in Britain 1890-1998

Source: Pooley \& Turnbull, 2000


## The French National Travel Survey 2007-2008



## Comparability with historical cross section surveys (1) Biases in biographic survey Problem Solution

> Mobility definition: Only one main mode to a regular place (work or education), or other trips, per year


Comparison of main transport mode for three groups
-those commuting to work
-those commuting to education
-others

# Comparability (2): <br> Biases in biographic survey 


> Geography: Only 17 regions were surveyed
> Sampling: Over-sampling of multi-car households
> Structure: The structure of

## Solution

Weighting correction for
recovery
of the
sample the population from the biography sample differs from the actual structure that existed then

## Comparability (3): <br> Biases in biographic survey

Problem
> Survival: Only survivors in 2008 from 1907 to 2002 generations were recorded


## Solution

> Survival rate weighting
> Extrapolating backwards previous generations behavior

## Comparison biographies vs cross section surveys

Biographies yield reliable modal share for regular trips

|  | Âge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| En \% | 18-24 |  | 25-34 |  | 35-44 |  | 45-54 |  | 55-64 |  |
| Marche | 13 | 15 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 18 | 11 |
| Vélo | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| Deux roues motorisés | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Voiture conducteur | 52 | 53 | 64 | 59 | 63 | 59 | 63 | 55 | 55 | 64 |
| Voiture passager | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| Transport en commun, autres | 19 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 21 | 17 | 12 |
| Pas de déplacement et ne sais pas |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |

Source: NTS 1993-1994, regular trips, NTS 2007-08, biographies 1988-00

## Biographies: bicycle main means modal share

## Decade vs age (bicycle share in \%)

|  | Âge décennal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Décennie | $0-9$ | $10-19$ | $20-29$ | $30-39$ | $40-49$ | $50-59$ | $60-69$ | $70-79$ | 80 et + | ensemble |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1930-39$ | 1.1 | 12 | ns | ns | . | . | . | . | . | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1940-49$ | 1.8 | 20 | 19 | ns | ns | . | . | . | . | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1950-59$ | 1.3 | 19 | 19 | 14 | ns | ns | . | . | . | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1960-69$ | 0.7 | 13 | 9.6 | 11 | 13 | ns | ns | . | . | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1970-79$ | 3.1 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 7.4 | 9.0 | 5.8 | ns | . | . | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1980-89$ | 0.7 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 6.5 | 3.2 | . | . | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1990-99$ | . | 2.4 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | . | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2000-08$ | ns | 0.3 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ensemble | 1.2 | 9.3 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 5.7 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: NTS 2007-08, biographies

## Biographies: bicycle main means modal share

## Decade vs generation (bicycle share in \%)

|  | Génération décennale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Décennie | $1907-29$ | $1930-39$ | $1940-49$ | $1950-59$ | $1960-69$ | $1970-79$ | $1980-2002$ | ensemble |
| $1930-39$ | 5.5 | 1.3 | . | . | . | . | . | 9.9 |
| $1940-49$ | 25 | 9.4 | 1.4 | . | . | . | . | 13 |
| $1950-59$ | 21 | 21 | 7.7 | 0.7 | . | . | . | 13 |
| $1960-69$ | 16 | 10 | 14 | 3.3 | 0.8 | . | . | 9.7 |
| $1970-79$ | 10 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 1.2 | . | 5.4 |
| $1980-89$ | 4.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 3.9 | . | 3.6 |
| $1990-99$ | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 2.1 |
| $2000-08$ | 3.3 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 2.0 |
| ensemble | 11 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 5.7 |

Source: NTS 2007-08, biographies

## Biographies: bicycle main means modal share

Generation vs age (bicycle share in \%)

|  | Âge décennal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Génération | $0-9$ | $10-19$ | $20-29$ | $30-39$ | $40-49$ | $50-59$ | $60-69$ | $70-79$ | 80 et + | ensemble |
| $1907-29$ | 0.3 | 19 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 7.0 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 11 |
| $1930-39$ | 1.8 | 18 | 15 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 1.0 | . | 7.8 |
| $1940-49$ | 1.6 | 17 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 6.3 | . | . | 6.2 |
| $1950-59$ | 0.7 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | . | . | . | 2.3 |
| $1960-69$ | 2.8 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | . | . | . | . | 2.7 |
| $1970-79$ | 1.2 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 4.7 | . | . | . | . | . | 3.0 |
| $1980-2002$ | . | 0.9 | 0.4 | . | . | . | . | . | . | 0.5 |
| ensemble | 1.2 | 9.3 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 5.7 |

Source: NTS 2007-08, biographies

## Estimation of an age-period model

- Different generalized logistic model tested
- To estimate main means share
- Many variables difficult to know in the past
- Low effect of generation, high effect of period
- Age-period model easiest model to estimate past modal share, taking into account past age groups share
- Walk is reference mode
- Confidence intervals computed for other modes
- Bicycle share highest in 1930's and 1940's

