



**HAL**  
open science

## Evidence of fine-scale genetic structure for the endangered Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) in the French Pyrenees

François Gillet, Maria Teresa Cabria Garrido, Frédéric Blanc, Christine Fournier-Chambrillon, Mélanie Nemoz, Eric Sourp, Corinne Vial-Novella, Rafael Zardoya, Stéphane Aulagnier, Johan R. Michaux

### ► To cite this version:

François Gillet, Maria Teresa Cabria Garrido, Frédéric Blanc, Christine Fournier-Chambrillon, Mélanie Nemoz, et al.. Evidence of fine-scale genetic structure for the endangered Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) in the French Pyrenees. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 2016, 98 (2), pp.523-532. 10.1093/jmammal/gyx002 . hal-01518570

**HAL Id: hal-01518570**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01518570>**

Submitted on 4 May 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 François Gillet; Laboratoire de Biologie Evolutive, Unité de Génétique de la Conservation,  
2 Université de Liège, Institut de Botanique B22, Quartier Vallée 1, Chemin de la Vallée 4,  
3 4000 Liège, Belgium; [f.gillet@hotmail.com](mailto:f.gillet@hotmail.com); [f.gillet@alumni.ulg.ac.be](mailto:f.gillet@alumni.ulg.ac.be); Tel : 003243662130

4  
5 Genetic structure for the Pyrenean desman

6 **Evidence of fine-scale genetic structure for the endangered Pyrenean desman (*Galemys***  
7 ***pyrenaicus*) in the French Pyrenees**

8 F. Gillet\*, M. T. Cabria Garrido, F. Blanc, C. Fournier-Chambrillon, M. Némoz, E. Sourp, C.  
9 Vial-Novella, R. Zardoya, S. Aulagnier, and J. R. Michaux

10

11 *Laboratoire de Génétique de la Conservation, Université de Liège, Institut de Botanique B22,*  
12 *Quartier Vallée 1, Chemin de la Vallée 4, 4000 Liège, Belgium (FG, MTCG, JRM)*

13 *Comportement et Ecologie de la Faune Sauvage (CEFS), Institut National de la Recherche*  
14 *Agronomique, 24 Chemin de Borde Rouge, Auzeville, CS 52627, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan*  
15 *Cedex, France (FG, SA)*

16 *Conservatoire d'Espaces Naturels de Midi-Pyrénées, 75 voie du Toec, BP 57611, 31076*  
17 *Toulouse Cedex 3, France (FB, MN)*

18 *Groupe de Recherche et d'Etudes pour la Gestion de l'Environnement (GREGE), Route de*  
19 *Préchac, 33730 Villandraut, France (CF-C)*

20 *Parc national des Pyrénées, 2 rue du IV Septembre, 65 007 Tarbes, France (ES)*

21 *Département Biologie Vétérinaire, Laboratoires des Pyrénées et des Landes, rue des Ecoles -*  
22 *64150 Lagor, France (CV-N)*

23 *Departamento de Biodiversidad y Biología Evolutiva, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales,*  
24 *CSIC; José Gutiérrez Abascal, 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain (RZ)*

25 *CIRAD, Agirs Unit, TA C- 22/E- Campus international de Baillarguet, 34398 Montpellier*  
26 *Cedex 5, France (JRM)*

Johan Michaux 5/2/17 05:01

Mis en forme: Justifié

27

28 The Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) is a small, semi-aquatic mammal endemic to the  
29 Pyrenean Mountains and the northern half of the Iberian Peninsula where it lives in cold and  
30 well-oxygenated flowing mountain streams. This species is currently classified as vulnerable  
31 on the IUCN Red List and has been undergoing habitat loss and fragmentation for decades,  
32 inevitably impacting its distribution. A recent genetic study, based on mitochondrial and  
33 intronic sequences, showed that the genetic variability of the Pyrenean desman is very low in  
34 the Pyrenees. In this study, we investigated the potential existence of genetic structure and  
35 gene flow at a smaller scale using 24 polymorphic microsatellite loci. As the Pyrenean  
36 desman is a very elusive species, we supplemented our tissue sample collection with samples  
37 of feces collected in the French range of this species. We successfully identified 70  
38 individuals based on 355 fecal samples. Bayesian analyses revealed 3 genetic and geographic  
39 clusters (1 eastern, 1 central, and 1 western, including 3 genetic sub-clusters), with origins  
40 tracing back only 200 years. These clusters were characterized by low levels of genetic  
41 diversity and high inbreeding coefficients. Although gene flow among clusters appeared to be  
42 limited, populations seem to have exchanged alleles recently. Therefore, connectivity between  
43 watersheds should be enhanced to maintain genetic diversity and potentially improve the  
44 long-term survival of the Pyrenean desman in France.

45 Key words: conservation genetics, *Galemys pyrenaicus*, genetic structure, microsatellites

46 \*Correspondent: [f.gillet@hotmail.com](mailto:f.gillet@hotmail.com)

47

48 Conservation of endangered species is dependent on knowledge of the genetic structure and  
49 diversity of individual populations (Frankham 2003). This diversity is often spatially  
50 structured because natural habitat is not always continuous or can vary across the species  
51 range. Anthropogenic activities, resulting in fragmentation and habitat loss, also play a role in  
52 shaping the structure of wildlife populations. At the individual level, fragmentation can alter  
53 spatial and dispersal movement patterns or disrupt the social structure and increase inbreeding  
54 (Gerlach and Musolf 2000; Coster and Kovach 2012; Mona et al. 2014). At the population  
55 level, fragmentation can reduce suitable habitat, restricting gene flow and augmenting genetic  
56 drift (Frankham 2005). Knowledge of species' population dynamics along with their genetics  
57 and basic ecology is essential to designing and implementing conservation plans and  
58 appropriate management measures, especially in the case of habitat specialists with small  
59 ranges that may be particularly sensitive to environmental change.

60 The Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*, Soricomorpha, Talpidae) is a small, semi-  
61 aquatic mammal endemic to the Pyrenean Mountains and to the northern half of the Iberian  
62 Peninsula (Queiroz 1999). This species lives in montane rivers with cold and well-oxygenated  
63 flowing waters and is well adapted to aquatic life. The Pyrenean desman is characterized by  
64 large webbed hindfeet, double-layered fur, a long tail, and a mobile prehensile snout, which  
65 make it a specialist in finding and feeding on larvae of benthic macroinvertebrates (Palmeirim  
66 and Hoffmann 1983; Richard 1986). The Pyrenean desman is an endangered species. It is  
67 currently classified as vulnerable on the IUCN Red List (Fernandes et al. 2008) and is legally  
68 protected in the 4 countries encompassing its range (Andorra, France, Portugal, and Spain). It  
69 has been undergoing habitat loss and fragmentation for decades, inevitably impacting its  
70 distribution (Nores et al. 2007; Némóz and Bertrand 2008).

71 The elusive behavior and nocturnal activity of this species make it hard to study. Some  
72 information is known about its ecology and biology (Stone 1987; Bertrand 1994; Melero et al.  
73 2012, 2014), but no data on the genetic structure of the Pyrenean desman was available until a  
74 recent study based on mitochondrial and nuclear markers (Igea et al. 2013). This study  
75 revealed that the Pyrenean desman was characterized by very low genetic diversity compared  
76 to other mammals. Its evolutionary history seems to have been highly influenced by  
77 Pleistocene glaciations, leading to a phylogeographic structure encompassing 4 mitochondrial  
78 lineages with parapatric distributions. More specifically, Igea et al. (2013) obtained evidence  
79 that the desman's Pyrenean populations are genetically homogeneous and that they likely  
80 originated from a distant refuge, probably located in the Basque Mountains, after a severe  
81 bottleneck.

82 However, these hypotheses were based on a small number of specimens as well as on  
83 the use of genetic markers with relatively low rates of evolutionary change, so they did not  
84 provide fine-scale information concerning the studied populations. In order to gain further  
85 insight into the evolutionary history of the French Pyrenean populations, we conducted a  
86 genetic analysis of fecal samples collected throughout the region using microsatellite markers  
87 developed in our laboratory (Gillet et al. 2015a). Fecal sampling is the easiest way to detect  
88 the presence of the Pyrenean desman and obtain DNA for genetic analyses, as previously  
89 demonstrated (Gillet et al. 2015b). We sought to determine the spatial distribution of genetic  
90 diversity in desman populations and to quantify gene flow across the French Pyrenees. The  
91 ultimate goal of this study was to enhance our general knowledge of this endangered and  
92 elusive species to better inform its conservation.

## 93 **Material and methods**

94            *Sampling and DNA extraction.*— A total of 38 tissue and 355 fecal samples derived  
95 from the entire French range of this species were used in this study. The tissue samples came  
96 from specimens found dead and collected by our research team. Samples were collected from  
97 2011 to 2014. The license numbers from the French departments used to collect this material  
98 are available upon request. Fecal samples from Pyrenean desmans were identified by  
99 amplification of a small mitochondrial cytochrome b fragment (Gillet et al. 2015b). Genomic  
100 DNA from tissue and fecal samples preserved in ethanol was extracted using the DNeasy  
101 Tissue Kit (Qiagen Inc., Hilden, Germany) and the Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc.), respectively,  
102 according to the manufacturer's instructions. To avoid cross-contamination, DNA extractions  
103 from feces were conducted in a separate room with an UV-sterilized platform where no  
104 Pyrenean desman tissue samples had been previously treated.    *DNA amplification.*— The  
105 393 samples used in this study were genotyped at 24 variable microsatellite loci using the  
106 multiplex sets and PCR conditions reported in Gillet et al. (2015a), with slightly modified  
107 conditions for fecal samples, where PCRs were carried out in a 10- $\mu$ l volume containing 0.15  
108 of each 20- $\mu$ M primer, 7.5  $\mu$ l of Multiplex PCR kit (Qiagen Inc.), and 5  $\mu$ l of DNA.  
109 Amplified DNA was analyzed for length variations on an ABI 3700 sequencer using  
110 GeneScan 500LIZ<sup>®</sup> size standard, and alleles were scored with GENEMAPPER 4.0 (Applied  
111 Biosystems, Foster City, California). Consensus genotypes were constructed for fecal samples  
112 to prevent genotyping errors in our dataset. For this, we used a modified multitube PCR  
113 approach (Taberlet et al. 1996) and repeated each PCR 4 times. Allele scores were accepted if  
114 they appeared at least 3 times in 4 PCRs.

115            *Statistical analyses.*— Each replicate genotype was compared with the consensus  
116 genotype to quantify the error rates. The consensus genotype construction and error rate  
117 quantification—such as false alleles (FA) and allelic dropouts (ADO)—were both performed

118 using GIMLET v1.3.3 (Valiere 2002). The probability of identity among siblings (PIDsibs),  
119 i.e., the probability that 2 related individuals have the same genotype (Waits et al. 2001), was  
120 estimated using GIMLET v1.3.3. We used MICRO-CHECKER 2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout et al.  
121 2004) to estimate the proportion of null alleles (NA). Genetic diversity was quantified by  
122 estimating observed ( $H_O$ ) and expected ( $H_E$ ) heterozygosities with GENETIX (Belkhir et al.  
123 2004). Hardy–Weinberg (HW) equilibrium was tested using the exact test implemented in  
124 GENEPOP 4.1.0 (Rousset 2008) for each locus separately and over all loci for each cluster  
125 (see below). Tests for linkage disequilibrium between loci for each cluster were performed  
126 using GENEPOP 4.1.0. Allelic richness (AR) was calculated using the rarefaction procedure  
127 implemented in FSTAT 2.9.3.2 (Goudet 2001). Multi-locus  $F_{IS}$  was calculated for each cluster  
128 and adjusted for multiple tests using Bonferroni’s correction with FSTAT 2.9.3.2.

129 *Population structure.*— We used STRUCTURE 2.3.1 (Pritchard et al. 2000) to detect  
130 genetic structure in our dataset. We used the model-based Bayesian clustering method, with  
131 no prior identification of populations, to infer the number of genetic clusters (K) and assign  
132 individuals to these clusters according to allele frequencies at each locus. For each K-value  
133 from 1 to 10, the program was run 10 times using an admixture model with a burn-in of  $10^5$   
134 and MCMC values of  $10^6$ . The  $\Delta K$  method (Evanno et al. 2005) was implemented with  
135 STRUCTURE HARVESTER (Earl and vonHoldt 2011) to find the most likely K-value  
136 present in the dataset and a visual output of the STRUCTURE results was generated using  
137 DISTRUCT (Rosenberg 2003) and CLUMPAK (Kopelman et al. 2015).

138 We used ARLEQUIN (Excoffier et al. 2005) to estimate pairwise genetic  
139 differentiation among populations using  $F_{ST}$  statistics, and the online SMOGD application  
140 (<http://www.ngcrawford.com/django/jost/>) was used to estimate Dest statistics (Jost 2008)  
141 using 1,000 bootstrap replicates.

142 Isolation by distance (IBD) analyses among and within clusters defined with  
143 STRUCTURE 2.3.1 were performed with GENEPOP 4.1.2. The signal strength was estimated  
144 by calculating  $D\sigma^2$  (i.e., product of the population density and axial mean square parent-  
145 offspring distance as defined by Rousset (1997)), according to  $b = 1/(4\pi D\sigma^2)$ . The value  
146 obtained ( $D\sigma^2$ ) was inversely correlated with the IBD strength. The logarithm of the  
147 Euclidean distance on GPS coordinates was used to calculate the geographic distance and  $\hat{a}r$   
148 statistics were used to represent the genetic distance between pairs of individuals (Rousset  
149 2000). A Mantel's test with 10,000 permutations was used to test the significance of the  
150 correlation.

151 Finally, we used BOTTLENECK 1.2 (Cornuet and Luikart 1996) to perform a  
152 Wilcoxon test under a 2-phase model (TPM) to investigate recent demographic bottlenecks  
153 with estimations based on 1,000 replications.

154 *Demographic history.*— The evolutionary history of *G. pyrenaicus* in France was  
155 investigated using approximate Bayesian computation as implemented in DIYABC  
156 1.0.4.45beta software (Cornuet et al. 2010). This coalescent-based approach allows estimation  
157 of the effective population size as well as the splitting time in generations for each tested  
158 genetic cluster. A number of biogeographic scenarios were tested and compared to determine  
159 whether the observed clusters originated from fragmentation of an ancestral common  
160 population or if any cluster resulted from an admixture of the others. Specifically, the type 1  
161 scenarios explored 2 consecutive divergences with a 1st divergence between 2 of the 3  
162 populations, followed by divergence of the 3rd population from 1 of the 2 others (6 alternative  
163 scenarios; Fig. 1). The type 2 scenarios displayed an admixture event between 2 populations,  
164 leading to formation of the 3rd population (3 alternative scenarios; Fig. 1), while the type 3  
165 scenario showed a radiation process, where the 3 populations would have split at the same



166 time from a common ancestor (Fig. 1). Two runs were performed, in the 1st one, we  
167 considered all alternative scenarios, whereas only those having the highest posterior  
168 probabilities (PP) were considered in the 2nd run. The distribution and range of priors for the  
169 parameters used to describe these alternative scenarios (effective population size, time of  
170 splitting or merging events in generations, and admixture rates) are given in Table 1. A total  
171 of  $10^7$  and  $2 \times 10^6$  datasets were simulated for each alternative scenario in the 1st and 2nd runs,  
172 respectively, in order to build a reference table from a set of prior parameter distributions. To  
173 check if the combination of these distributions of prior parameters and alternative scenarios  
174 could generate datasets similar to the observed ones, a principal component analysis (PCA)  
175 was performed on the first  $10^5$  simulated datasets in the 1st run and on the first  $2 \times 10^5$  datasets  
176 in the 2nd run. Inspection of PCAs helped us choose the most adequate timeframe  
177 corresponding to our data (maximum of 500 generations backwards in time). We used  
178 microsatellite mutation rates generally used for mammalian species (i.e.,  $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-5}$ ; Dallas  
179 1992; Weber and Wong 1993; Ellegren 1995). Software default values were chosen for  
180 admixture rates. To determine the most likely alternative scenarios, we used normalized  
181 Euclidean distances between each simulated dataset and our observed dataset and 1% of the  
182 closest simulated datasets were used to estimate the relative posterior probability (with 95%  
183 confidence intervals) of each alternative scenario with a logistic regression (Cornuet et al.  
184 2008). The most likely alternative scenario was that with the highest posterior probability  
185 with a non-overlapping 95% confidence interval.

186 To assess the level of confidence of these analyses, new datasets were simulated with  
187 each alternative scenario and the same procedure to estimate their respective posterior  
188 probabilities was applied, and the proportion of times the right alternative scenario had the  
189 highest posterior probability was measured. According to Cornuet et al. (2010), the type-I

190 error was estimated for 10,000 simulated datasets generated under the best-supported  
191 alternative scenario. The type-II error was estimated by simulating 10,000 datasets generated  
192 for each alternative scenario and counting decisions in favor of the selected alternative  
193 scenario.

194 We also estimated historical demographic events and genetic parameters including  
195 interactions between clusters (i.e., migration rate ( $M$ )) using MIGRATE 3.4.4 (Beerli and  
196 Felsenstein 1999, 2001; Beerli 2004, 2006; Beerli and Palczewski 2010). This software is able  
197 to search through genealogies and obtain estimates of theta ( $\Theta$ ) and  $M$  by employing a  
198 Metropolis-Hastings Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm and a likelihood ratio  
199 test, respectively. It assumes a constant  $\Theta$  for each population but a variable  $\Theta$  between them  
200 (pairwise migration rate estimates).

201 We first used MIGRATE 3.4.4 with default parameters, with  $F_{ST}$ - based statistics of  
202  $\Theta$  and  $M$ , 10 short chains of 10,000 sampled genealogies, and 3 long chains of 100,000  
203 sampled genealogies. The parameter estimates of  $\Theta$  and  $M$  from the previous run were used as  
204 starting values to perform a second analysis. The formula  $xNem = M*\Theta$  was used to calculate  
205 the headcount of immigrants per generation, with  $x$  being the inheritance scalar (set at 4 for  
206 diploid species),  $N_e$  the effective population size, and  $m$  the mutation rate per generation and  
207 per locus.

## 209 **Results**

210 *Microsatellite genotyping.*— A total of 70 individuals were identified out of the 355  
211 fecal samples analyzed with a PIDsibs of  $2.24e^{-03}$ . The mean proportion of positive PCRs was  
212 67%, ranging from 53% to 76% among loci. No significant allelic dropout or false allele  
213 errors could be found in our data (all loci  $<0.001$ ). MICRO-CHECKER did not detect any

214 significant bias in our dataset that could be attributed to null alleles. Therefore, the total  
215 number of individuals used in our analyses was 108 (38 tissue samples and 70 individuals  
216 identified from fecal samples). It is important to note that the results of the genotyping of  
217 fecal samples were highly dependent on the freshness and size of the feces at the time of  
218 collection as feces of Pyrenean desmans are generally small (10 to 15 mm long and 4 to 8 mm  
219 wide, Bertrand 1993) and their DNA content rapidly degrades due to contact with water and  
220 UV radiation (Lindahl 1993). In our study, only 20% of the collected feces could be attributed  
221 to distinct individuals. The percentage of detected individuals also was dependent on the  
222 threshold rule of the conservative multitube approach that we used, i.e., allele scores were  
223 accepted if they appeared at least 3 times in 4 PCRs. This step was nonetheless necessary to  
224 ensure reliable results

225 *Population structure and genetic diversity.*— After using the  $\Delta K$  method on our  
226 STRUCTURE results, the highest  $\Delta K$ -value was found at  $K=3$  (Fig. 2). The 1st cluster (C),  
227 which appeared to have the largest geographic distribution (Fig. 2), mainly included samples  
228 from the Garonne watershed in the central Pyrenees. The 2nd cluster (E) mainly included  
229 samples from the Tet–Tech–Aude watershed in the eastern Pyrenees. Finally, the 3rd cluster  
230 (W) mainly included samples from the Adour–Nive watershed in the western Pyrenees.

231 The mean  $H_O$  ranged from 0.19 in the eastern cluster to 0.23 in the central cluster,  
232 while the mean  $H_E$  ranged from 0.26 in the eastern cluster to 0.37 in the western cluster (see  
233 Supplementary Data S1, S2, S3). The mean allelic richness ranged from 1.4 to 1.8 (Table 2).  
234 Tests for HWE showed significant deviations for the eastern and western clusters. Four pairs  
235 of loci (GpyrGS22 versus GpyrGS33, GpyrGS30 versus GpyrGS74, GpyrGS33 versus  
236 GpyrGS18, and GpyrGS11 versus GpyrGS20) showed significant linkage disequilibrium for  
237 the central cluster and 1 pair (GpyrGS33 versus GpyrGS82) for the eastern cluster after

238 Bonferroni correction. The inbreeding coefficient ( $F_{IS}$ ) was significant for all 3 clusters (Table  
239 2) and all pairwise  $F_{ST}$  were significant (Table 3). Moreover, the results of the Wilcoxon test  
240 under a 2-phase model (TPM) performed using the BOTTLENECK 1.2 software package  
241 indicated that both the central and eastern clusters had undergone a recent bottleneck ( $P <$   
242 0.001).

243 The high observed  $F_{IS}$ -values, especially in the western cluster (Table 2), could also  
244 indicate a Wahlund effect in the genetic clusters. To investigate this possibility, we conducted  
245 an additional clustering analysis within each cluster, under the same conditions as previously  
246 described. The analyses for clusters C and E did not give any evidence of substructure (all  
247 individuals admixed beyond  $K = 1$ ), whereas that for cluster W supported the existence of 3  
248 sub-clusters (Fig. 3). This sub-structuring could explain the higher inbreeding coefficient  
249 (0.434) in the western cluster, even though these sub-clusters did not seem to exhibit any clear  
250 geographical distribution (Fig. 3).

251 *Demographic history.*— After using DIYABC software to investigate 10 distinct  
252 demographic alternate scenarios, a 2nd analysis was performed on the 2 most probable  
253 alternate scenarios (those with the highest posterior probabilities) obtained in the 1st run.  
254 These 2 alternate scenarios (2 and 9, Fig. 4) exhibited 2 different evolutionary demographic  
255 patterns. Alternate scenario 2 reflected the separation of cluster W from more eastern  
256 Pyrenean desmans, followed by the separation of cluster C from cluster E. In contrast,  
257 alternate scenario 9 reflected the origin of the central cluster following a merging event  
258 between the other 2 clusters. The logistic regressions performed on 1% of the closest  
259 simulated datasets revealed that the most likely of all of the tested alternate scenarios was  
260 alternate scenario 2, with a PP of 0.582 and a confidence interval (95% CI) of 0.475–0.689.  
261 However, the confidence intervals of alternate scenarios 2 and 9 overlapped (PP of 0.418 and

262 95% CI of 0.310-0.525). Analysis of confidence in alternate scenario 2 resulted in type I and  
263 type II errors of 0.266 and 0.238, respectively, and inversely for alternate scenario 9.  
264 Therefore, the 2 alternate scenarios had similar probability of being correct, with alternate  
265 scenario 2 having a slight better probability (76.2%) than alternate scenario 9 (73.4%).  
266 However, the divergence times ( $t_{2a}$ ,  $t_{2b}$ ,  $t_{9a}$ , and  $t_{9b}$ ) and estimates of effective population  
267 size ( $N_C$ ,  $N_E$ , and  $N_W$ ) were all within the same order of magnitude when comparing the  
268 posterior distribution of parameters of the 2 alternate scenarios (Fig. 4). Assuming a minimum  
269 generation time of 1 year, the median divergence times  $t_{2a}$  and  $t_{2b}$  of alternate scenario 2  
270 were estimated at 80 (95% CI: 25 – 190) and 230 years ago (95% CI: 80 – 440), respectively.  
271 For alternate scenario 9, the median admixture and divergence times  $t_{9a}$  and  $t_{9b}$  were  
272 estimated at 60 (95% CI: 18 – 160) and 240 years ago (95% CI: 80 – 450), respectively (Fig.  
273 4). The effective population size estimates for clusters C, E, and W had a mean of 370 (95%  
274 CI: 90 – 490), 100 (95% CI:30 – 220), and 330 (95% CI:140 – 480) individuals, respectively,  
275 for alternate scenario 2, and 290 (95% CI:100 – 470), 100 (95% CI:30 – 220) and 320 (95%  
276 CI:130 – 480), respectively, for alternate scenario 9 (Fig. 4).

277 We also conducted another DIYABC analysis on the 3 western sub-clusters with the  
278 same alternate scenarios as for the 3 main clusters, but with slightly modified conditions  
279 (effective population sizes and time of events set at max. 300). After the 1st run with 10  
280 alternate scenarios, 2 were well-supported and thus a 2nd run with these 2 alternate scenarios  
281 was launched. Finally, the software unambiguously chose 1 alternate scenario from the 2,  
282 with a PP of 0.585 (95% CI of 0.565 – 0.605). This alternative scenario displayed the same  
283 evolutionary demographic pattern as alternate scenario 2 from Fig. 4. The median times of  
284 divergence among these clusters (populations  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ , and  $N_3$ ; Fig. 3) were quite similar to

285 those found for the 3 main groups (100 and 200 years ago). The mean effective population  
286 sizes were estimated at 185, 160, and 120 individuals for N1, N2, and N3, respectively.

287 We used MIGRATE 3.4.4 on the 3 main clusters to calculate the migration rate per  
288 generation according to  $N_{em} = (M_{ij} * \Theta_j) / 4$ , with  $\Theta_C = 2.86$ ,  $\Theta_E = 1.14$ ,  $\Theta_W = 1.14$  and  $M_{EC} =$   
289  $0.83$ ,  $M_{WC} = 0.46$ ,  $M_{CE} = 1.38$ ,  $M_{WE} = 0.39$ ,  $M_{CW} = 0.84$ ,  $M_{EW} = 0.87$ . The effective number  
290 of migrants per generation among clusters was low, with less than 1 migrant per generation (1  
291 year) with  $N_{mEC} = 0.59$ ,  $N_{mWC} = 0.32$ ,  $N_{mCE} = 0.39$ ,  $N_{mWE} = 0.11$ ,  $N_{mCW} = 0.24$  and  $N_{mE}$   
292  $w = 0.25$ .

293 *Isolation by distance.*— The IBD analyses indicated an absence of isolation by  
294 distance among clusters and within clusters E and W. In contrast, a significant signal was  
295 observed within cluster C (Mantel test  $P < 0.05$ ), as indicated by the relatively low  $D\sigma^2$  value  
296 (0.44). However, the slight regression slope indicated that the genetic distance between pairs  
297 of individuals was weakly correlated with the geographic distance between them ( $r^2 = 0.05$ ).  
298 The absence of significant signal among the 3 clusters suggests that their relationships (e.g., a  
299 closer link between the cluster E and C as compared to the cluster W as suggested by the  
300 DIYABC results) was not driven by geographic distance alone.

301

## 302 **Discussion**

303 The present study generated new insight into the genetic structure of Pyrenean desman  
304 populations in the French Pyrenees. The large area sampled, covering the entire current  
305 distributional area of the Pyrenean desman on the French side of the Pyrenees, and the use of  
306 24 highly variable microsatellite markers provided a more fine-scale view of genetic structure  
307 than previously available.

308 *Population structure and evolutionary history of the French Pyrenean desman.*— The  
309 results showed evidence of 3 genetically and geographically distinct clusters (Fig. 2) situated  
310 in the eastern (E), central (C), and western (W) French Pyrenees. In addition, sub-structuring  
311 seemed to emerge within cluster W, where 3 sub-clusters were detected. These sub-clusters  
312 did not exhibit any clear geographical distribution but this may be the result of low sampling  
313 within each sub-cluster (6-10 individuals). More extensive sampling in this area is needed to  
314 better define this substructure.

315 The existence of eastern, central, and western genetic clusters was not evident in the  
316 study of Igea et al. (2013), which suggested the existence of a single Pyrenean population that  
317 would have recently colonized the Pyrenean region from a putative refuge situated in the  
318 Basque Mountains after a severe bottleneck event. This hypothesis was deduced from the very  
319 low levels of genetic diversity found in both mitochondrial and nuclear (intron) marker  
320 sequences in this region. Our microsatellite markers, characterized by higher mutation rates  
321 than mitochondrial or nuclear intronic sequences (Schlötterer 2000), allowed us to detect finer  
322 genetic structure in the Pyrenean desman. Therefore, according to their different evolutionary  
323 rates, both mitochondrial and nuclear markers gave complementary information concerning  
324 the evolutionary history of the desman in this region. The species probably colonized the  
325 Pyrenean region after the last ice age, and subsequent diversification led to the 3 genetic  
326 clusters, presently distributed throughout the French Pyrenean region. The only other  
327 vertebrate species having a similar reported genetic structure across the French Pyrenees is the  
328 rock ptarmigan (*Lagopus muta*— Bech et al. 2009). However, in contrast to the Pyrenean  
329 desman, the genetic structure of this bird species was associated with a significant isolation-  
330 by-distance effect, likely the result of short dispersal distances, and high natal and breeding  
331 philopatry combined with severe habitat fragmentation.

332 As no isolation by distance was detected, the structure supported by our study is likely  
333 the result of concomitant environmental and anthropogenic factors. The DIYABC analysis  
334 proposed that about 80 years ago clusters C and E diverged from an ancestral population,  
335 which itself had diverged from the W cluster approximately 230 years ago, or that cluster C  
336 was a result of an admixture event between clusters E and W that occurred about 60-80 years  
337 ago, after clusters E and W diverged about 230-240 years ago. However, these estimations  
338 might be underestimated given that the software algorithm does not assume migration within  
339 scenario events. Moreover, these estimations were performed while considering a minimum  
340 generation time of 1 year even though this information is unknown for the Pyrenean desman  
341 and could be higher. Indeed, as *G. pyrenaicus* belongs to the family Talpidae, if we  
342 extrapolate the generation time of the Pyrenean desman from that of the European mole  
343 (*Talpa europea*— 1.72 years, Niethammer 1990), the estimated divergence times become 400  
344 years ago for the separation of the E and W clusters and 100–140 years ago for the divergence  
345 of C and E (or the admixture of E and W in the 2nd alternative scenario).

346 Human population growth over the last century, and therefore the increased human  
347 impact on nature, inevitably led to riverine habitat loss and fragmentation of species'  
348 populations inhabiting mountain streams. The construction and functioning of hydroelectric  
349 power plants is an example of the human impact on rivers. These can lead to physical and  
350 biotic modifications and alter both hydrologic and thermal regimes, thus impacting resources  
351 such as benthic macroinvertebrate larvae (Queiroz et al. 1992; Céréghino and Lavandier  
352 1997). Although little information is available on the Pyrenean desman, these detrimental  
353 effects have been studied and highlighted by various authors for other mammals and birds  
354 (Nilsson and Dynesius 1994; D'Amico et al. 2000). Furthermore, the development of such  
355 infrastructures dates back to the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century in the French Pyrenees, and



356 most were built between 1930 and 1960. These dates could therefore coincide with the  
357 emergence of the 3rd population found in this study (60-80 years ago). However, more  
358 focused studies are needed to further understand the influence of this infrastructure on the  
359 distribution of Pyrenean desman and gene flow among populations.

360 Centennial-scale climatic change could also have played a role in the structuring of  
361 Pyrenean desman populations, notably during the last 100 years of the Little Ice Age (1750-  
362 1850). Cooler temperatures at high elevations could have induced a shift to lower elevations  
363 in the range of the Pyrenean desman. After the Little Ice Age, the Pyrenean desman  
364 populations could have shifted back to higher elevations, which in turn could have restricted  
365 dispersal. Indeed, rivers at high elevations are less connected and this species favors rivers  
366 with high water flows, which are now found at high elevations (Nores et al. 1992;  
367 Ramalhinho and Boa Vida 1993; Queiroz et al. 1996; Charbonnel et al. 2015).

368 *Genetic diversity of the Pyrenean desman populations.*— The 3 main clusters seemed  
369 to be characterized by a heterozygote deficiency, as indicated by the relatively low  
370 heterozygosity values (around 0.2) and significantly high  $F_{IS}$  indices (Table 2). These data  
371 would be associated with recent bottleneck events, at least for clusters C and E, as confirmed  
372 by the BOTTLENECK 1.2 analysis.

373 The very high inbreeding coefficient in the western cluster could also be explained by  
374 a Wahlund effect as 3 sub-clusters were found in this population. As for the 3 main  
375 populations, the impact of both anthropogenic and climatic factors (notably during the Little  
376 Ice Age) along with watershed structure could jointly explain differentiation of the 3 sub-  
377 clusters. This sub-structure in the western population also could be due to the fact that this  
378 region is characterized by a smaller proportion of favorable habitats compared to the more  
379 eastern portion of the distribution (Charbonnel 2015). However, the effective population sizes

380 estimated by ABC were inconsistent with this favorable habitat gradient, with a higher value  
381 in the western than in the eastern population. The sub-structure of the western population  
382 could have biased the effective population size estimation.

383 Gene flow seemed limited among the 3 main clusters, as indicated by pairwise  $F_{ST}$   
384 values that were significantly higher than zero and ranged between 0.345 (between the W and  
385 E cluster) and 0.203 (between the C and W clusters). This trend also was revealed using the  
386 Dest index (Table 3).

387 Despite this apparent low gene flow between clusters, they were not geographically  
388 separated and they overlapped in some areas (Fig. 2). Moreover, individual cluster  
389 assignments from the Bayesian analysis clearly showed that some individuals shared alleles  
390 from different clusters and have admixed genomes. This pattern was observed particularly  
391 between the E and C clusters (Fig. 2), where migrations seemed to have occurred every 2 or 3  
392 generations. Although this result has been viewed as a recent expansion process in other  
393 species such as the European otter (*Lutra lutra*— Janssens et al. 2008; Pigneur et al. 2014),  
394 the general regression of the range of the Pyrenean desman over the last 3 decades did not  
395 allow us to retain this hypothesis. However, this overlapping of clusters could confirm that the  
396 genetics of the Pyrenean desman were not markedly impacted by the river networks (Igea et  
397 al. 2013) and that its dispersal could be complex, as pointed out by Stone (1987a, b) and  
398 Melero et al. (2012, 2014). Our estimates of migration rate also tended to confirm recent but  
399 limited gene flow among clusters.

400 Future studies will be needed to place the patterns found here in a broader spatial  
401 framework that includes the entire Pyrenean distribution of this species. For example, it is  
402 possible that some individuals included in our study were migrants or descendants of migrants  
403 from the Spanish side of the Pyrenees, particularly the 2 green-colored individuals in the

404 central cluster shown in Fig. 2, which could have passed through the Val d’Aran. This may  
405 suggest that Pyrenean desmans could cross the mountains from one side to the other. In  
406 addition, contact zones between both sides of the Pyrenees have been identified for  
407 *Corthippus* grasshoppers (Buño et al. 1994), *Phylloscopus* birds (Helbig et al. 2001), and  
408 viviparous lizards (*Zootoca vivipara*— Milá et al. 2013). These contact zones, which are  
409 situated across the central high Pyrenees and across the southwestern Pyrenees, could also  
410 exist for the Pyrenean desman. Igea et al. (2013) suggested genetic homogeneity across the  
411 distribution of Pyrenean desman using relatively slowly evolving mitochondrial and nuclear  
412 markers, but further analysis of Spanish and French samples using hypervariable markers is  
413 needed to gain further insight concerning the broader-scale genetic structure of the Pyrenean  
414 desman.

415 *Implications for conservation of the Pyrenean desman in the French Pyrenees.—*

416 Classified as “Vulnerable” on the IUCN Red List (Fernandes et al. 2008), the Pyrenean  
417 desman is legally protected in France and is the focus of a LIFE + project  
418 (LIFE13NAT/FR/000092) under a National Action Plan (Némoz and Bertrand 2008). This  
419 species has been undergoing habitat loss and fragmentation for decades, particularly in France  
420 where its range still requires further investigation (Némoz and Bertrand 2008).

421 The low level of genetic diversity observed in the different French Pyrenean desman  
422 clusters as well as the heterozygote deficiency highlighted by the high inbreeding coefficient  
423 ( $F_{IS}$ ) values, and relatively low effective population sizes within clusters, could increase the  
424 risk of extinction for this species in the future (Frankham 2005).

425 A lack of genetic diversity within the 3 main populations would lead to increased risk  
426 of inbreeding depression. Greater connectivity throughout the Pyrenees should therefore be  
427 fostered to facilitate individual dispersal and gene flow among the 3 main populations. This

428 would favor genetic mixing and a better response to future climatic change. Exchanges  
429 between neighboring watersheds should be promoted by improving water quality, mainly at  
430 lower elevations where rivers merge. Indeed, the Pyrenean desman favors rivers with high  
431 water flows, which are now found at high elevations (Nores et al. 1992; Ramalhinho and Boa  
432 Vida 1993; Queiroz et al. 1996; Charbonnel et al. 2015). This preference for high water flows  
433 at high elevations could contribute to the genetic structure observed in our study as this  
434 species is more inclined to live at elevations where rivers are less connected. In addition,  
435 enhanced management of hydroelectric infrastructures and of winter tourism at high  
436 elevations should be promoted throughout the mountain chain.

437 Another improvement could be achieved by increasing water flow in rivers with low  
438 trophic resources or by restoring suitable habitats for the Pyrenean desman, notably by  
439 placing stones and boulders in rivers to re-create adequate water flow and shelter.  
440 Connectivity between main and tributary rivers should also be favored as tributary rivers can  
441 serve as refugia in case of short and sudden hydrological events (Lake 2000; Charbonnel  
442 2015).

443 Although this study generated new insight into the fine-scale genetic structure of the  
444 Pyrenean desman in France, a larger study, based on sensitive genetic markers such as  
445 microsatellite or SNP markers and encompassing the entire range of the species, particularly  
446 the Spanish side of the Pyrenees, is necessary to broaden overall knowledge on this threatened  
447 species and its worldwide conservation.

448

#### 449 **Acknowledgments**

450 We thank the following people who collected tissue samples: EDF agents, Pyrenees National  
451 Park agents, M. Bayon, A. Bertrand, J-P. Besson, J-P. Quéré, A. Charbonnel, F. Elzear, L.

452 Fabre, P. Fantin, B. Le Roux, V. Lacaze, M. Lagardère, F. Lassère, B. Le Corre, M. Mas, P.  
453 Maunas, G. Nogué, F. Prud'Homme, T. Quintilla, B. Salmeron, T. Tico, S. Torreilles and S.  
454 Vernet. We also thank representatives of the following organisations who collected feces  
455 samples: Association des Naturalistes de l'Ariège, Conservatoire d'Espaces Naturels  
456 d'Aquitaine, Conservatoire d'Espaces Naturels de Midi-Pyrénées, Fédération Aude Claire,  
457 Fédération des Réserves Naturelles Catalanes, Groupe de Recherche et d'Etude pour la  
458 Gestion de l'Environnement, Office National de la Chasse et de la Faune Sauvage, Office  
459 National des Forêts, and Parc National des Pyrénées.

460 This study is part of the "Plan National d'Actions en faveur du Desman des Pyrénées" and the  
461 LIFE+ Desman project (LIFE13NAT/FR/000092) which are coordinated by the  
462 Conservatoire d'Espaces Naturels de Midi-Pyrénées (CEN-MP) and financially supported by  
463 the following structures: European Union Funding Network (ERDF and LIFE+), Agence de  
464 l'eau Adour-Garonne, Agence de l'eau Rhône-Méditerranée-Corse, DREAL Aquitaine, Midi-  
465 Pyrénées, and Languedoc-Roussillon, Conseil Régional Aquitaine, Midi-Pyrénées and  
466 Languedoc-Roussillon, Conseil Général des Pyrénées-Atlantiques, de l'Aude et des Pyrénées-  
467 Orientales, EDF, SHEM, Patagonia, Parc National des Pyrénées, and ANRT (Association  
468 Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie). F. Gillet is supported by a French research  
469 fellowship provided by ANRT (CIFRE N° 2011/1571).

470

471

472

473

473 **Supplementary data**

474 **Supplementary data S1.** Observed ( $H_O$ ) and expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ) per locus for the  
475 central cluster. Only polymorphic loci are shown.

476

477 **Supplementary data S2.** Observed ( $H_O$ ) and expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ) per locus for the  
478 eastern cluster. Only polymorphic loci are shown.

479

480 **Supplementary data S3.** Observed ( $H_O$ ) and expected heterozygosity ( $H_E$ ) per locus for the  
481 western cluster. Only polymorphic loci are shown.

482

482 **Literature cited**

483 Bech, N., Boissier, J., Drovetski, S., Novoa, C., 2009. Population genetic structure of rock  
484 ptarmigan in the “sky islands” of French Pyrenees: Implications for conservation.  
485 *Animal Conservation* 12, 138–146. doi:10.1111/j.1469-1795.2008.00233.x

486 Beerli, P., 2006. Comparison of Bayesian and maximum-likelihood inference of population  
487 genetic parameters. *Bioinformatics* 22, 341–5. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti803

488 Beerli, P., 2004. Effect of unsampled populations on the estimation of population sizes and  
489 migration rates between sampled populations. *Molecular Ecology* 13, 827–836.  
490 doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02101.x

491 Beerli, P., Felsenstein, J., 2001. Maximum likelihood estimation of a migration matrix and  
492 effective population sizes in n subpopulations by using a coalescent approach.  
493 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98,  
494 4563–4568.

495 Beerli, P., Felsenstein, J., 1999. Maximum-Likelihood Estimation of Migration Rates and  
496 Effective Population Numbers in Two Populations Using a Coalescent Approach.  
497 *Genetics* 152, 763–73.

498 Beerli, P., Palczewski, M., 2010. Unified framework to evaluate panmixia and migration  
499 direction among multiple sampling locations. *Genetics* 185, 313–26.  
500 doi:10.1534/genetics.109.112532

501 Belkhir, K., Borsa, P., Chikhi, L., Raufaste, N., Bonhomme, F., 2004. GENETIX 4.05,  
502 logiciel sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations.

- 503 Bertrand, A., 1994. Répartition géographique et écologie alimentaire de desman des pyrénées  
504 *G. pyrenaicus* dans les Pyrénées françaises. Diplôme Universitaire de Recherche,  
505 Toulouse, 217p.
- 506 Bertrand, A., 1993. Découvrir le Desman des Pyrénées, Ed. Association des Naturalistes  
507 d'Ariège, 32 p.
- 508 Buño I., Torroja E., López-Fernández C., Butlin R.K., Hewitt G.M., Gosálvez J., 1994. A  
509 hybrid zone between two subspecies of the grasshopper *Chorthippus parallelus* along the  
510 Pyrenees: the west end. *Heredity* 73:625–634.
- 511 Céréghino, R., Lavandier, P., 1997. Influence des éclusées hydroélectriques sur la distribution  
512 et le développement larvaire des Diptères Simuliidae d'une rivière de moyenne  
513 montagne. *Comptes Rendus l'Académie des Sciences. - Ser. III - Sci. la Vie.*  
514 doi:10.1016/S0764-4469(97)82775-8
- 515 Charbonnel, A., 2015. Multi-scale influence of environmental factors in the distribution of the  
516 Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) in France. PhD thesis, University of Toulouse,  
517 245p.
- 518 Charbonnel, A., Buisson, L., Biffi, M., D'Amico, F., Besnard, A., Aulagnier, S., Blanc, F.,  
519 Gillet, F., Lacaze, V., Michaux, J.R., Némoz, M., Pagé, C., Sanchez-Perez, J.M.,  
520 Sauvage, S., Laffaille, P., 2015. Integrating hydrological features and genetically  
521 validated occurrence data in occupancy modelling of an endemic and endangered semi-  
522 aquatic mammal, *Galemys pyrenaicus*, in a Pyrenean catchment. *Biological*  
523 *Conservation* 184, 182–192. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2015.01.019



- 524 Cornuet, J., Ravigné, V., Estoup, A., 2010. Inference on population history and model  
525 checking using DNA sequence and microsatellite data with the software DIYABC ( v1 .  
526 0 ). BMC Bioinformatics 11, 401.
- 527 Cornuet, J.-M., Luikart, G., 1996. Power Analysis of Two Tests for Detecting Recent  
528 Population Bottlenecks From Allele Frequency Data. Genetics 144, 2001–14.
- 529 Cornuet, J.-M., Santos, F., Beaumont, M. a, Robert, C.P., Marin, J.-M., Balding, D.J.,  
530 Guillemaud, T., Estoup, A., 2008. Inferring population history with DIY ABC: a user-  
531 friendly approach to approximate Bayesian computation. Bioinformatics 24, 2713–9.  
532 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn514
- 533 Coster, S.S., Kovach, A.I., 2012. Anthropogenic influences on the spatial genetic structure of  
534 black bears. Conservation Genetics 13, 1247–1257. doi:10.1007/s10592-012-0368-4
- 535 D’Amico, F., Manel, S., Mouches, C., Ormerod, S.J., 2000. River birds in regulated rivers :  
536 cost or benefit ? Verhandlungen des Internationalen Verein Limnologie 27, 167–170.
- 537 Dallas, J.F., 1992. Estimation of microsatellite mutation rates in recombinant inbred strains of  
538 mouse. Mammalian Genome, 3, 452–456.
- 539 Earl, D. a., vonHoldt, B.M., 2011. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for  
540 visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. Conservation  
541 Genetics Resources 4, 359–361. doi:10.1007/s12686-011-9548-7
- 542 Ellegren, H., 1995. Mutation rates at porcine microsatellite loci. Mammalian Genome, 6,  
543 376–377.

- 544 Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., Goudet, J., 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals  
545 using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology* 14, 2611–20.  
546 doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x
- 547 Excoffier, L., Laval, G., Schneider, S., 2005. Arlequin ( version 3 . 0 ) : An integrated  
548 software package for population genetics data analysis 47–50.
- 549 Fernandes, M., Herrero, J., Aulagnier, S., Amori, G., 2008. *Galemys pyrenaicus*. IUCN Red  
550 List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.2.
- 551 Fournier, M., Mesquita, J., Mangin, A., 2010. Evaluation scientifique de l'impact de  
552 l'hydroélectricité dans le Parc naturel régional des Pyrénées ariégeoises. 163p.
- 553 Frankham, R., 2005. Genetics and extinction. *Biological Conservation* 126, 131–140.  
554 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2005.05.002
- 555 Frankham, R., 2003. Genetics and conservation biology. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* 326,  
556 S22–S29. doi:10.1016/s1631-0691(03)00023-4
- 557 Gerlach, G., Musolf, K., 2000. Fragmentation of Landscape as a Cause for Genetic  
558 Subdivision in Bank Voles 14, 1066–1074.
- 559 Gillet, F., Cabria, M.T., Blanc, F., Fournier-Chambrillon, C., Némoz, M., Sourp, E., Vial-  
560 Novella, C., Aulagnier, S., Michaux, J.R., 2015a. In press. Isolation, characterization and  
561 PCR multiplexing of polymorphic microsatellite markers in the endangered Pyrenean  
562 desman, *Galemys pyrenaicus*. *Conservation Genetics Resources*

- 563 Gillet, F., Cabria, M.T., Némoz, M., Blanc, F., Fournier-Chambrillon, C., Sourp, E., Vial-  
564 Novella, C., Aulagnier, S., Michaux, J.R., 2015b. PCR-RFLP identification of the  
565 endangered Pyrenean desman, *Galemys pyrenaicus* (Soricomorpha, Talpidae), based on  
566 faecal DNA. *Mammalia*. doi:10.1515/mammalia-2014-0093
- 567 Goudet, J., 2001. FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices  
568 (version 2.9.3). Available from <http://www.unil.ch/izea/software/fstat.html>. Updated  
569 from Goudet (1995).
- 570 Helbig, A.J., Salomon, M., Bensch, S., Seibold, I., 2001. Male-biased gene flow across an  
571 avian hybrid zone: evidence from mitochondrial and microsatellite DNA. *Journal of*  
572 *Evolutionary Biology* 14:277–287.
- 573 Igea, J., Aymerich, P., Fernández-González, A., González-Esteban, J., Gómez, A., Alonso, R.,  
574 Gosálbez, J., Castresana, J., 2013. Phylogeography and postglacial expansion of the  
575 endangered semi-aquatic mammal *Galemys pyrenaicus*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 13,  
576 115. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-13-115
- 577 Janssens, X., Fontaine, M.C., Michaux, J.R., Libois, R., de Kermabon, J., Defourny, P., Baret,  
578 P. V., 2008. Genetic pattern of the recent recovery of European otters in southern France.  
579 *Ecography (Cop.)*. 31, 176–186. doi:10.1111/j.0906-7590.2008.4936.x
- 580 Jost, L., 2008. G ST and its relatives do not measure differentiation. *Molecular Ecology* 17,  
581 4015–4026. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03887.x

- 582 Kopelman, N.M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. a, Mayrose, I., 2015. Clumpak: a  
583 program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences  
584 across K. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12387
- 585 Lake, P.S., 2000. Disturbance, patchiness, and diversity in streams. *Journal of the North*  
586 *American Benthological Society* 19, 573–592.
- 587 Lindahl, T., 1993. Instability and decay of the primary structure of DNA. *Nature* 362, 709–15.  
588 doi:10.1038/362709a0
- 589 Melero, Y., Aymerich, P., Luque-Larena, J.J., Gosálbez, J., 2012. New insights into social  
590 and space use behaviour of the endangered Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*).  
591 *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 58, 185–193. doi:10.1007/s10344-011-0561-7
- 592 Melero, Y., Aymerich, P., Santulli, G., Gosálbez, J., 2014. Activity and space patterns of  
593 Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) suggest non-aggressive and non-territorial  
594 behaviour. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 60, 707–715. doi:10.1007/s10344-  
595 014-0838-8
- 596 Milá, B., Surget-Groba, Y., Heulin, B., Gosá, A., Fitze, P.S., 2013. Multilocus  
597 phylogeography of the common lizard *Zootoca vivipara* at the Ibero-Pyrenean suture  
598 zone reveals lowland barriers and high-elevation introgression. *BMC Evolutionary*  
599 *Biology* 13, 192. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-13-192
- 600 Mona, S., Ray, N., Arenas, M., Excoffier, L., 2014. Genetic consequences of habitat  
601 fragmentation during a range expansion. *Heredity (Edinb)*. 112, 291–9.  
602 doi:10.1038/hdy.2013.105

- 603 Némoz, M., Bertrand, A., 2008. Plan National d'Actions en faveur du Desman des Pyrénées  
604 (*Galemys pyrenaicus*), 2009-2014. Société Française pour l'Etude et la Protection des  
605 Mammifères / Ministère de l'Ecologie, de l'Energie, du Développement Durable et de  
606 l'Aménagement du Territoire, 1.
- 607 Niethammer, J., 1990. *Talpa europaea* Linnaeus, 1758 - Maulwurf. in: Handbuch der  
608 Säugetiere Europas. Band 3/I: Insektenfresser - Insectivora, Herrentier - Primates.  
609 AULA-Verlag, Wiesbaden, p. 99-133.
- 610 Nilsson, C., Dynesius, M., 1994. Ecological effects of river regulation on mammals and birds:  
611 A review. *Regul. Rivers Res. Manag.* 9, 45–53. doi:10.1002/rrr.3450090105
- 612 Nores, C., Ojeda, F., Ruano, A., Villate, I., Gonzalez, J., 1992. Aproximación a la  
613 metodología y estudio del área de distribución, estatus de población y selección de  
614 hábitat del desmán (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) en la Península Ibérica. Ministerio de Medio  
615 Ambiente, Oviedo.
- 616 Nores, C., Queiroz, A.I., Gisbert, J., 2007. *Galemys pyrenaicus* (E. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire,  
617 1811), in: Palomo, L., Gisbert, J., Blanco, J. (Eds.), *Atlas Y Libro Rojo de Los*  
618 *Mamíferos Terrestres de España*. Madrid: Dirección General para la Biodiversidad -  
619 SECEM - SECEMU, pp. 92–98.
- 620 Palmeirim, J.M., Hoffmann, S.H., 1983. *Galemys pyrenaicus*. *Mamm. Species* 207, 1–5.
- 621 Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M., Donnelly, P., 2000. Inference of Population Structure Using  
622 Multilocus Genotype Data.

- 623 Queiroz, A.I., 1999. *Galemys pyrenaicus* (E. Geoffroy, 1811). in: A.J. Mitchell-Jones et al.  
624 (eds): The atlas of European Mammals. Poyser Natural History, Academic Press,  
625 London, 78-79.
- 626 Queiroz, A.I., Alves, M.H., Almada, V., 1992. The small hydroplants : predicted impacts on  
627 the Pyrenean desman populations (*Galemys pyrenaicus*. Geoffroy)., in: Proceeding  
628 Meeting on the Pyrenean Desman. Lisboa, pp. 69–77.
- 629 Queiroz, A.I., Bertrand, A., Khakhin, G., 1996. Status and conservation of Desmaninae in  
630 Europe. Council of Europe, Strasbourg.
- 631 Ramalhinho, M.G., Boa Vida, M.J., 1993. Habitat of the Pyrenean Desman: assessment of  
632 running water quality. Monitoring pollution. In: Proceedings of the Meeting on the  
633 Pyrenean Desman, Lisbon, pp. 63–67.
- 634 Richard, P.B., 1986. Les Desman des Pyrénées , un mammifère inconnu à découvrir. Science  
635 et Découvertes, Ed. Le Rocher, Monaco : 118 p.
- 636 Rosenberg, N. a., 2003. Distruct: a Program for the Graphical Display of Population  
637 Structure. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4, 137–138. doi:10.1046/j.1471-8286.2003.00566.x
- 638 Rousset, F., 2008. genepop'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for  
639 Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8, 103–6. doi:10.1111/j.1471-  
640 8286.2007.01931.x
- 641 Rousset, F., 2000. Genetic differentiation between individuals 13, 58–62.

- 642 Rousset, F., 1997. Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under  
643 isolation by distance. *Genetics* 145, 1219–1228.
- 644 Schlötterer, C., 2000. Evolutionary dynamics of microsatellite DNA. *Chromosoma* 109, 365–  
645 371. doi:10.1007/s004120000089
- 646 Stone, R.D., 1987a. The social ecology of the Pyrenean desman as revealed by radiotracking.  
647 *Journal of Zoology* 212, 117–129.
- 648 Stone, R.D., 1987b. The activity pattern of the Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*)  
649 (Insectivora : Talpidae), as determined under natural condition. *Journal of Zoology*.  
650 London 213, 95–106.
- 651 Taberlet, P., Griffin, S., Goossens, B., Questiau, S., Manceau, V., Escaravage, N., Waits, L.P.,  
652 Bouvet, J., 1996. Reliable genotyping of samples with very low DNA quantities using  
653 PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research* 24, 3189–94.
- 654 Valiere, N., 2002. GIMLET: a computer program for analysing genetic individual  
655 identification data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 2, 377–379. doi:10.1046/j.1471-  
656 8286.2002.00228.x
- 657 Van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W.F., Wills, D.P.M., Shipley, P., 2004. Micro-Checker:  
658 Software for Identifying and Correcting Genotyping Errors in Microsatellite Data.  
659 *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4, 535–538. doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00684.x
- 660 Waits, L.P., Luikart, G., Taberlet, P., 2001. Estimating the probability of identity among  
661 genotypes in natural populations: cautions and guidelines. *Molecular Ecology* 10, 249–  
662 56.

663 Weber, J.L., Wong, C., 1993. Mutation of human short tandem repeats. Human Molecular  
664 Genetics, 2, 1123–1128.

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679



680 **Figure legends**

681 **Fig. 1.** Schematic representation of 3 scenarios designed to test the origin of the 3 populations  
682 of Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) in the French Pyrenees found in our study by  
683 approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) analysis. Prior parameters are defined in Table 1.  
684 Colors correspond to the colors in Fig.2.

685 **Fig. 2.** Population structure of the Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) in the French  
686 Pyrenees estimated using STRUCTURE (K = 3). Each individual is represented by a vertical  
687 line partitioned into K color segments, with the length of each color being proportional to the  
688 estimated membership coefficient (inset, lower left). Geographic distribution of the 3 genetic  
689 clusters is shown on the map. The 3 main watersheds of the Pyrenees are, from left to right:  
690 Adour–Nive, Garonne, and Tet–Tech–Aude.

691 **Fig. 3.** Population structure estimated in the western cluster for the Pyrenean desman  
692 (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) in the French Pyrenees using STRUCTURE (K = 3). Each individual is  
693 represented by a vertical line partitioned into K color segments, with the length of each color  
694 being proportional to the estimated membership coefficient (insert, lower left). Geographic  
695 distribution of the 3 Pyrenean desman clusters is shown on the map. Each diagram represents  
696 1 individual with its respective cluster assignments from STRUCTURE.

697 **Fig. 4.** Schematic representations of the 2 most likely alternative scenarios regarding  
698 structuring of the Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) population in the French Pyrenees  
699 based on approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) analysis. NC, NE, and NW are the  
700 effective population sizes for the central, eastern, and western clusters, respectively. Numbers  
701 for NC, NE, and NW correspond to number of individuals included in the analysis.

702 **Table 1.** Prior distribution of parameters used in our approximate Bayesian computation  
 703 (ABC) analysis of the evolutionary history of the Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) in  
 704 the French Pyrenees based on 24 variable microsatellite loci from tissue and fecal samples  
 705 from 2011–2014.

Parameter	Distribution	Min	Max
Effective population size			
N1, N2, N3, Na	Uniform	10	500
Time of events (in generations backward in time)			
Time conditions:	Uniform	10	500
ta2>ta1, tb2>tb1,			
tc2>tc1, td2>td1,			
te2>te1, tf2>tf1,			
tg2>tg1, th2>th1,			
ti2>ti1			
Admixture rate (ra)	Uniform	0.001	0.999
Microsatellite mutation model parameters			
Mean mutation rate	Uniform	10 <sup>-5</sup>	10 <sup>-3</sup>
Mean coefficient p	Uniform	0.1	0.3
Mean SNI rate	Log-uniform	10 <sup>-8</sup>	10 <sup>-4</sup>

706

707

Johan Michaux 5/2/17 05:01

Mis en forme: Justifié

707 **Table 2.** Overview of genetic parameters for each main cluster for the Pyrenean desman  
 708 (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) in the French Pyrenees based on 24 variable microsatellite loci from  
 709 tissue and fecal samples from 2011– 2014. N: Number of samples, H<sub>O</sub>: Mean observed  
 710 heterozygosity, H<sub>E</sub>: Mean expected heterozygosity, HWE: Deviation from Hardy-Weinberg  
 711 equilibrium (significance level = 0.002), AR: Mean allelic richness, F<sub>IS</sub>: Mean inbreeding  
 712 coefficient.

Clusters	N	H <sub>O</sub>	H <sub>E</sub>	HWE	AR	F <sub>IS</sub>
Central	45	0.226	0.274	0.04	1.405	0.179
Eastern	38	0.189	0.258	<0.002	1.803	0.271
Western	25	0.216	0.368	<0.002	1.703	0.434

713

714

Johan Michaux 5/2/17 05:01  
Mis en forme: Justifié

Johan Michaux 5/2/17 05:01  
Mis en forme: Justifié

Johan Michaux 5/2/17 05:01  
Mis en forme: Justifié

Johan Michaux 5/2/17 05:01  
Mis en forme: Justifié

714 **Table3.**  $F_{ST}$  (above diagonal- ARLEQUIN software) and  $D_{EST}$  (below diagonal- SMOGD  
 715 software) for the 3 main clusters ( $P < 0.05$ ) for the Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*) in  
 716 the French Pyrenees based on 24 variable microsatellite loci from tissue and fecal samples  
 717 from 2011– 2014.

Clusters	Central	Eastern	Western
Central	-	0.288	0.203
Eastern	0.037	-	0.345
Western	0.013	0.084	-

Johan Michaux 5/2/17 05:01  
 Mis en forme: Justifié

718