

Silver nanoparticles and wheat roots: a complex interplay

Ana Elena Pradas del Real, Vladimir Vidal, Marie Carrière, Hiram A. Castillo-Michel, Clément Levard, Perrine Chaurand, Géraldine Sarret

To cite this version:

Ana Elena Pradas del Real, Vladimir Vidal, Marie Carrière, Hiram A. Castillo-Michel, Clément Levard, et al.. Silver nanoparticles and wheat roots: a complex interplay. Environmental Science and Technology, 2017, 51 (10), pp.5774-5782. 10.1021/acs.est.7b00422. hal-01516961

HAL Id: hal-01516961 <https://hal.science/hal-01516961v1>

Submitted on 11 May 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

15 16 17

18 **ABSTRACT**

19 Agricultural soils are major sinks of silver nanoparticles in the environment, and crops are directly 20 exposed to these emerging contaminants. A clear picture of their chemical transformations, uptake 21 and transport mechanisms, and phytotoxic impacts is still lacking. In this work, wheat plants were 22 exposed to pristine metallic (Ag-NPs) and sulfidized (Ag₂S-NPs) silver nanoparticles and ionic Ag. Data 23 on Ag distribution and speciation, phytotoxicity markers and gene expression were studied. A multi-24 technique and multi-scale approach was applied combining innovating tools at both laboratory and 25 synchrotron. Various chemical transformations were observed on the epidermis and inside roots,

26 even for Ag₂S-NPs, leading to an exposure to multiple Ag forms, which likely evolve over time. Genes 27 involved in various functions including oxidative stress, defense against pathogens and metal 28 homeostasis were impacted in different ways depending on the Ag source. This study illustrates the 29 complexity of the toxicity pattern for plants exposed to Ag-NPs, the necessity of monitoring several 30 markers to accurately evaluate the toxicity, and the interest of interpreting the toxicity pattern in 31 light of the distribution and speciation of Ag.

- 32
- 33

35 **1. INTRODUCTION**

36 Agricultural soils are pointed as a major sink for engineered nanoparticles (NPs) due to the 37 application of sewage sludge¹, which contains various types of engineered nanomaterials (ENMS) and 38 their secondary products². Current regulations concerning sewage sludge application focus on their 39 content of certain metals, none of them consider ENMS. The use of nanopesticides creates another, 40 not precisely quantified, input of NPs in soils³. Silver nanoparticles (Ag-NPs) are one of the most 41 \degree prevalent metallic nanoparticles in consumer products due to their biocidal action⁴. Sulfidation is a 42 major transformation of Ag-NPs that starts during the use of the products (e.g., laundry washing for 43 textiles)² and is completed during wastewater treatment³, making silver sulfide (Ag₂S) the main Ag-44 species in sewage sludge⁵. Due to the extremely low solubility of microcrystalline Ag₂S, sulfidation 45 has been considered as a natural antidote against Ag-NPs toxicity⁶. This opinion requires refinement 46 since in sludges and soils Ag₂S precipitate in presence of other compounds (mostly organics) 47 potentially forming nanometric and multiphasic Ag-S forms whose solubility is not known². 48 Moreover, a recent study evidenced the dissolution of Ag₂S NPs in aquatic media⁷.

49 Contrarily to other metals, natural Ag enrichments in soils are rare⁸, so there is no known plant 50 species, which has evolved to cope with Ag toxicity. The toxicity of Ag-NPs is supposed to be primarily 51 due to the release of Ag+ ions. Besides this, it could be a toxicity related with the characteristics of 52 the nanoparticles (size, specific surface area, surface charge) that are not exerted by the bulk Ag.⁹⁻¹¹ 53 As for other ENMs, the transfer of Ag-NPs inside plant tissues has been observed^{9,12}, but it is difficult 54 to conclude on their direct transfer since it could result from $Ag⁺$ reduction inside plants. Various toxicity symptoms and up-regulation of genes linked with oxidative stress have been observed¹³. So 56 far, most studies on the impact and transfer of Ag-NPs in plants have been done using pristine Ag-57 NPs. Recent opinions in nanoecotoxicology point the need of using environmentally realistic 58 experimental conditions¹¹, including the use of low doses (micromolar range or lower)¹¹ and the 59 study of aged NPs, i.e., Ag₂S-NPs. With only three published studies, data on transfer of Ag₂S-NPs in

60 plants and possible phytotoxicity is extremely scarce $14-16$. In a recent work, Wang et al. (2017) have 61 found that Ag₂S-NPs reduce the growth of cucumber and wheat plants, a process that seems to be 62 related with an interference with the ethylene signaling pathway, the plant defense system and 63 upward water transport¹⁶.

64 This study aimed at clarifying the changes in speciation of Ag-NPs and Ag₂S-NPs in wheat and the 65 uptake and transfer pathways, and at evaluating the phytotoxic impacts in light of Ag distribution and 66 speciation. Wheat, a cereal used worldwide for food supply, was exposed to low doses, and a multi-67 technique and multi-scale approach was applied combining X-ray micro and nano-computed 68 tomography (μ and nano-CT), micro X-ray fluorescence (μ -XRF) and micro X-ray absorption 69 spectroscopy (µ-XANES), toxicity markers and gene expression.

70

71 **2. MATHERIALS AND METHODS**

72 **2.1 Nanoparticles and chemicals**

73 Metallic silver nanoparticles (Ag-NPs) were provided by NanoAmor, Nanostructured and Amorphous

74 Materials Inc. (USA). Ag₂S nanoparticles (Ag₂S-NPs) were produced by the sulfidation of the Ag-NPs as

75 stated in Levard et al. $(2011)^{17}$ (detailed information is given in SI).

76 Pristine and sulfidized nanoparticles (Ag-NPs and Ag2S NPs) were thoroughly characterized in powder

77 and in suspension (additional information is provided in SI). AgNO₃ powder was provided by Sigma-

78 Aldrich

79

80

81

83 **2.2 Plant culture**

84 Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) plants were grown in hydroponics as described in SI. They were 85 randomly selected to be treated as follow follow: i) control, no Ag addition; ii) 30 µM Ag-NP; iii) 30 86 μ M Ag₂S-NPs and 4) 30 μ M AgNO₃.

87 Plants were harvested after 3 weeks of treatment. Roots and shoots were separated and thoroughly 88 rinsed with ultrapure water and Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) 20mM and fresh weights 89 were recorded. Samples for phtytotoxicity studies were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and 90 stored at -80°C until analysis. Samples for synchrotron analysis and for X-ray computed-tomography 91 were keep fresh until specific sample preparation.

92

93 **2.3 Silver distribution and speciation in roots cross sections (synchrotron μ-XRF and µ-XANES)**

94 Fresh roots were prepared for synchrotron analyses in cryogenic conditions as stated in Larue et al. 95 (2016) ¹⁸. µ-XRF and Ag L_{III}-edge µ-XANES measurements were performed on root thin cross sections 96 (20-30 µm) on the scanning X-ray microscope at ID21 beamline of the ESRF under cryo-conditions 97 using a vibration-free cryo-stage, passively cooled by a liquid nitrogen dewar. Detectors included a 98 Si₃N₇ diode for I₀ and a Silicon Drift Detector (X-flash Bruker 80mm² active area) for the emitted X-ray 99 fluorescence. Focusing was realized using Kirkpatrick-Baez mirrors system. The photon flux was 100 3.07x10¹⁰ ph·s⁻¹ at 3.45 keV with a beam size of 0.4 x 0.6 µm. µ-XRF maps were recorded with various 101 step sizes (from 0.3 x 0.3 μ m² to 4 x 4 μ m²) with an incident energy of 3.45 keV, and a dwell time of 102 100 ms. Elemental maps presented in this paper were obtained after fitting each pixel XRF spectrum 103 using PyMCA software¹⁹ as described in Castillo-Michel et al. $(2016)^{20}$.

104 In the areas of interest identified in the μ -XRF maps, Ag L_{III} -edge μ -XANES spectra were recorded by 105 single point acquisition method (3.33 to 3.45 keV energy range, 0.5 eV step). When Ag aggregates 106 were too small, the drift of the beam during acquisition did not allow to collect clean spectra. In 107 these areas, μ -XANES mapping was applied. In this case, regions of interest of 3-3.5 μ m x 4.5-5 μ m 108 were scanned with a step size of 0.5 μ m at different energies across the Ag L_{III} -edge. Maps were 109 recorded in fluorescence mode in energy steps of 3eV from 3.32 to 3.34 keV; 0.5 eV from 3.34 to 110 3.38 and 1eV from 3.34 to 3.40 keV. A stack of the obtained 107 XANES maps was performed with 111 MATLAB routines (R2016, version 9.0) in order to get a μ -XANES spectra for each 0.5x0.5 μ m² pixel of 112 the examined area. μ -XANES spectra were extracted by PyMCA software¹⁹. The possibility of 113 averaging all the µ-XANES spectra collected in the scanned area enables to get a good quality XANES 114 spectra of small NP aggregates.

115 Bulk XANES spectra of reference compounds were recorded on unfocused mode during previous experiments²¹ in the same conditions as samples. Ag solid state reference compounds include Ag⁰ 116 117 foil, Ag⁰ nanoparticles (Ag-NPs), Ag chloride (AgCl), Ag nitrate (AgNO₃), Ag phosphate (Ag₃PO₄) and Ag 118 carbonate (Ag₂CO₃). Solution samples include Ag-malate (10 mM AgNO₃ and 100 mM malate, pH 5.5) 119 and Ag-GSH (10 mM AgNO₃ and 100 mM GSH, pH 5.5, prepared in anoxia). 30% glycerol was added 120 to the solutions to avoid formation of ice crystals. Additionally, the spectra of the solid state Ag₂S-121 NPs and of Ag diethyldithiocarbamate $(C_5H_{10}AgNS_2, Ag-DEDTC)$ were recorded for this experiment.

122 μ -XANES and XANES spectra were treated by using ATHENA software²². Principal components 123 Analysis (PCA) and Target Transformations (TT) were used to identify the main Ag species preset in 124 the samples. Then, their proportion in the samples was determined by Least-Squares Combination 125 Fitting (LCF) of the experimental spectra. Details about these analysis are provided in SI.

126 **2.4 Silver concentrations**

127 Immediately after harvest, shoots and roots were separated, fresh weights were recorded and they 128 were frozen in liquid N_2 and lyophilized. After acid digestion Ag concentrations were determined by 129 Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry ICP-MS (Elan DRC II Perkin Elmer). Further details are 130 provided in SI.

131

132 **2.5. 3D distribution of Ag-NPs in the roots using multi-scale X-ray computed-tomography (coupled** 133 **µ and nano-CT)**

134 Before 3D imaging, fresh roots were soaked in successive ethanol solutions (from 30% to 100% vol) 135 and dehydrated by critical point drying consisting in the replacement of ethanol by $CO₂$ in the 136 supercriticial state 20 .

137 3D imaging at the micro-scale (μ -CT) was performed with a Micro XCT-400 X-ray microscope (Zeiss 138 XRadia) using various magnification achieving an isotropic voxel size from 2.9 to 0.62 µm in a field of 139 view of 1024x1024x1024 voxels (3D pixels). Scans were acquired at 40 kV and 250 μA. Between 1601 140 and 2501 projections were collected with an exposure time ranging from 4s to 10s per projection 141 (depending on the magnification) through a 360° rotation.

142 The roots were also scanned in 3D at the nano-scale using an UltraXRM-L200 3D X-ray microscope 143 (Zeiss Xradia) equipped with a copper X-ray source (rotating anode) producing a polychromatic beam 144 with a maximum intensity at energy of 8.048 keV (Cu K α -X ray emission) and Fresnel zone plate 145 providing a spatial resolution of 150 nm. Scans were recorded phase contrast mode with 901 146 projections from −90 to 90° with an angle step of 0.2° and an exposure time of 60 s per projection 147 giving a total scanning time of 17 h. The field of view (FOV) was 65x65x65 μ m³ with an isotropic voxel 148 of 63.5 nm. The FOV position of the nano-CT scan was centered at region of interest previously 149 identified by µ-CT. Reconstruction of the 3D images was performed using a Zeiss XRadia software 150 (XM Reconstructed-Parallel beam-9.0.6445 software) based on a filtered back projection algorithm.

151 Avizo 8.0 software was used for reconstructed dataset visualization.

152

153 As X-ray computed-tomography did not provide direct chemical and speciation information, 2D 154 chemical mapping was performed on the same sample. The goal was to validate the identification of 155 the Ag distribution in the samples by μ -CT and nano-CT. μ -XRF maps of the same roots and the same

- 156 regions were recorded on ID21 as described above. In this case, measurements were not performed
- 157 in cryogenic conditions and the photon flux was $3.1x10^{10}$ ph·s⁻¹ at 3.45 keV.

159 **2.6 Phytotoxicity**

160 **2.6.1 Estimation of lipid peroxidation: malondialdehyde (MDA).**

161 Lipid peroxidation was evaluated on fresh tissues by the quantification of thiobarbituric acid reactive

162 species (TBARS) by a modified method of Reilly and Aust as stated in Pradas del Real. (2014)²³.

163 Additional information is provided in SI.

164

165 **2.6.2. Real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)**

166 Silver and other metals have been reported to impact the expression of genes involved in oxidative 167 stress response, defense against pathogens, metal homeostasis, salt stress and plant growth²⁴⁻²⁶.

168 Quantitative analysis of the gene expression of selected genes was performed by reverse-169 transcription real time PCR (RT-qPCR). Studied genes were selected to screen the potential 170 interference of NPs with main plant vital processes: oxidative balance (catalase, iron superoxidose 171 dismutase, glutathione peroxidase), homeostasis (Phosphoethanolamine N-methyltransferase), 172 pathogen defense (Pathogen-inducible ethylene-responsive element-binding protein) and growth 173 (ETTIN-like auxin response factor). The expression of the Metallothionein-like protein was also 174 studied to shed light on NPs transport processes. Target-specific PCR primers for these genes were 175 designed using Primer-BLAST²⁷. Encoded genes names, accession number in NCBI database (National 176 Center for Biotechnology: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and the list of primers used are given in 177 table S1.

178 RNA was isolated from plant roots by using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to 179 manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration and purity were assessed using a Nanodrop ND-1000 180 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by measuring absorbance at 230, 260 and 280 nm. 181 RNA was reverse transcripted into cDNA using the SuperScript II Reverse first strand kit (Invitrogen). 182 Quantitative PCR was performed in a MX3005P multiplex quantitative PCR system (Stratagene) using 183 MESA Blue qPCR Mastermix for SYBR Assay Low ROX (Eurogentec). We used the following thermal 184 cycling steps: 95°C for 5 min, then 95°C for 15 s, 55°C for 20 s and 72°C for 40 s 40 times and finally 185 95°C for 1 min, 55°C for 30 s and 95°C for 30 s for the dissociation curve. PCR efficiencies were 186 experimentally checked for compliance using a mix of all samples, with a quality criterion of 2 ± 0.3 , 187 and a theoretical value of 2 was used for calculations. Actin, 18sR and GAPDHR were chosen as 188 housekeeping genes for normalization. Cq threshold was determined using the Mx-Pro 3.20 software 189 (Stratagene) with default settings. mRNA expression analysis, normalization and statistical analysis 190 were performed using the ΔΔCq method as described previously9. The RNA level modulation of 191 selected genes was analyzed based on Ct comparison using REST 2009 (Qiagen). Data are expressed 192 as percentages of the corresponding control ± standard deviation.

193

194 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

195 **3.1 Effect of initial Ag form on distribution and speciation within roots**

196 Distribution and speciation of Ag in roots were obtained by combining several highly complementary 197 imaging tools (i.e. µ-CT, nano-CT, µ-XRF and µ-XANES). µ-CT provides the in-situ 3D distribution of 198 dense voxels in intact samples and in relatively large field-of-views (FOV). Virtual cross-sections 199 (various orientation or thickness) can be extracted from the obtained reconstructed volume. 200 However, μ -CT does not provide elemental information, to validate that dense voxels can be 201 attributed to Ag accumulation regions, μ -XRF mapping of the same FOV was performed. The good 202 similarity in Ag-rich pixels (red pixels in Figure 1b or purple pixels in Figure 5b when Ag is co-localized 203 with S) and dense voxels (brilliant voxels in Figure 1a and 5a) distribution contributes to validate the 204 identification of Ag accumulation regions. μ -CT is sensitive to accumulation regions with high local Ag 205 concentration (i.e. such as Ag-NPs aggregates). However, it does not allow to discriminate Ag when it 206 is homogeneously distributed in the plant matrix (i.e. single Ag-NPs or released Ag+). Recent 207 technical developments allow performing 3D imaging with nanometer spatial resolution using X-ray 208 laboratory sources (nano-CT). Coupling μ -CT (spatial resolution at micrometer scale and relatively 209 large FOV) with nano-CT (spatial resolution of 150 nm and small FOV) offers the opportunity to 210 further study the Ag internalization processes. Synchrotron-based µ-XRF provides 2D elemental 211 information with high-sensitivity (low femtogram/ μ m²)²⁰ and can be coupled (as in this study) to μ -212 XANES spectroscopy to obtain speciation information.

213 The surface of plant roots exposed to AgNO₃ (Ag⁺) contained localized accumulation zones as shown 214 by μ -CT (Figure 1a and 1b, animations 1 and 2 in supporting information). These accumulation zones 215 were not present in the control root (Figure S4.a and S5.a in SI). The 3D reconstructed image (Figure 216 1a) and the virtual cross sections (Figure 1b and animation 2 in SI) showed that these accumulation 217 zones had a variety of sizes (a few tens to 100 μ m in diameter). In contrast, μ -XRF revealed an 218 homogenous presence of Ag in root epidermal cells (Figure 1.b d). µ-XRF analyses also revealed the 219 presence of Ag in the cytoplasm of cortex cells, in the endodermis and in the central cylinder (Figure 220 S2 in SI). Ag was also detected in intercellular spaces of the cortex, in accumulation regions of several 221 microns in diameter (Figure 1.e).

222 The speciation of Ag at the cortex region was determined by µ-XANES spectroscopy. In spot 1, Ag was 223 bound to thiol (84%) and O ligands (modelled by $AgNO₃$) (16%) (AgNO₃-cortex 1 in Figure 2). 224 Chelation by thiol ligands is a well-known detoxification mechanism inside cells, but thiol ligands are 225 also present in the apoplast²⁸⁻²⁹. Given the high affinity of Ag(I) for thiols, the formation of Ag-thiols 226 in the extracellular compartment is not surprising. In spot 2, a slight oscillation on the spectra at 227 3670-3380 eV was indicative of the presence of metallic Ag as minor species. Thus, part of Ag(I) was

228 reduced into Ag(0). The reduction of ionic Ag into Ag-NPs in plants exposed to AgNO₃ has been 229 reported previously³⁰⁻³¹, and proposed as a green technology for the production of Ag-NPs¹⁷. In 230 parallel, a significant Ag translocation from roots to shoots was measured (Figure S3.a). The presence 231 of Ag both in the apo-and symplast and in the central cylinder suggests that both types of transport 232 pathways, apo- and symplastic, are used by Ag ions.

233

234 For roots exposed to Ag-NPs, μ -CT and μ -XRF show the presence of localized Ag accumulation regions 235 with a size of 1-4 µm adhering on the epidermis (Figure 4.a, d, e). Metallic Ag was the major Ag 236 species of these accumulation regions (Figure. 2, root 3; Figure S4.e-f in SI, 80% and 97% 237 respectively). At higher spatial resolution, nano-CT revealed that these Ag-NPs accumulated 238 preferentially in discontinuities between root epidermal cells (Figure S5.b, c). In addition, root 239 architecture and morphology were impacted since an absence of secondary roots and a proliferation 240 of root hairs were observed exclusively for this treatment (Figure S5.a). Many Ag-NPs were fixed on 241 root hairs (Figure 4. a, Animations 3 and 4 in supporting information, Figures S6.b and S7.b in SI).

242 A higher Ag root and shoot content was observed for this treatment compared to the AgNO₃ 243 treatment (Figure. S3), suggesting a nano-specific accumulation mechanism different to what was 244 observed for the $AgNO₃$ exposure. Our observations support two points of entry previously 245 suggested in the literature. On one hand, high local concentration of metals in the epidermis was 246 shown to cause rupture of this tissue³². Such ruptures in which Ag-NPs are preferentially 247 accumulated (Figure S4.b, c) might facilitate the transfer of Ag inside roots. On the other hand, root 248 hairs which have a thin cell wall, and take up nutrients by transport, diffusion, and endocytosis³³ are 249 considered as potential points of entry of NPs^{12} .

250 In the epidermis Ag was mostly present as metallic Ag (root 3, Figure S4 in SI). However, a 251 biotransformation of Ag was evidenced inside the roots where Ag was homogeneously distributed in 252 the cell walls of the cortex (purple areas in Figure 4.d), as a mixture of Ag-thiol species and other

253 ionic Ag species (86 and 14% respectively, Figure S2, f). Contrary to what was observed in the 254 epidermis, no Ag(0) was observed inside roots. Similar speciation results were observed in the cell 255 walls of the endodermis (77 and 26% respectively, Figure 4.c). Thus, Ag-NPs were completely 256 dissolved and complexed by organic ligands. Ag was detected inside cells of the cortex, in the 257 endodermis and in the central cylinder. These observations suggest both apoplastic and symplastic 258 transfer of Ag in monovalent form.

259

260 In the case of roots treated with Ag₂S-NPs, µ-XRF shows that Ag is mainly colocalized with S (purple 261 pixels in Figure 5b, resulting in mixing blue (S) and red (Ag)). µ-CT and nano-CT reveals that these Ag 262 accumulation regions, with a size from 3 to 8 μ m are mostly on the root surface (Figure 5.a, c, b and 263 3D animations 5 and 6 in SI). μ -XANES showed that Ag at root surface was mainly present as Ag₂S, 264 with proportions ranging from 62% to 89% depending on the sample (Figure 2). Secondary Ag 265 chemical forms were also identified, including metallic Ag (up to 40%, arrows in Figure 5e). In the 266 secondary root (figure S4 in SI), no metallic Ag was found, but 13% (point 2-2) to 26% (point 2-1) of 267 Ag was bound to thiols. These results provide evidence that $Ag₂S-NPs$ were dissolved, and then $Ag₊$ 268 ions were either complexed to thiol containing molecules or reduced to elemental Ag. These results 269 contradict the general idea that Ag_2S is highly insoluble, which is based on the solubility constant of 270 macrocrystalline Ag₂S (Ksp= 5.92·10^{-51 34}). The partial dissolution of Ag₂S could be favored by the root 271 exudation³⁵. Wheat plants exudate organic acids and phytosiderophores, this process is enhanced in 272 case of nutrient deficiency or metal stress³⁶⁻³⁸. In a recent study with Alfalfa plants exposed to Ag₂S-273 NPs, the authors suggested that Ag₂S could be partially dissolved in the acidic environment of root 274 border cells¹⁵. However, to our knowledge, this is the first work that clearly shows this process. Plants 275 and plant extracts have been used to reduce Ag(I) to Ag(O) for the green synthesis of Ag-NPs³⁹, 276 however this is the first time that the reduction of Ag₂S-NPs is observed in the rhizosphere

277 (endorhizosphere and rhizoplane in this case) of living plants. The identification of the reducing agent 278 would require further investigations.

279 μ -XRF together with μ -CT showed that Ag₂S-NPs were especially concentrated at the base of the 280 lateral roots (Figure 5.a,d). During the development of lateral roots, the newly formed root breaks 281 through the cortex and endodermis. Some authors suggest that this zone may provide a direct access 282 for NPs to the xylem⁴⁰. Nano-CT analysis were also performed in the base of lateral roots (data not 283 shown), however the high disorder of cell layers made impossible the clear discrimination of internal 284 and surface areas. A few Ag-rich spots were detected inside the root only by µ-XRF (Figure 5.e, red 285 arrows). Except these few internal Ag₂S-rich spots, very low Ag signal was detected in the cell walls 286 and cytoplasm of the cells by μ -XRF (Figure S2 in SI) and no signal was detected in the endodermis 287 and the central cylinder. This result is consistent with the low Ag root and shoot content measured 288 for this treatment (Figure S3.a). Thus, despite a partial dissolution of Ag₂S-NPs near roots, Ag transfer 289 remained limited at the present exposition time.

290

291 **3.2.Phytotoxicity and gene expression response**

292 Both Ag uptake in roots and translocation in shoots increased in the order $Ag_2S-NP < AgNO_3 < Ag-NPs$ 293 exposure (Figure S3.a). Plant growth reduction was correlated with Ag accumulation in tissues with 294 the highest effect observed in the Ag-NPs treatment (Figure S3. b). However, finer phytotoxicity 295 markers and impacts on gene expression were specific for each Ag source. Previous studies showed 296 that the phytotoxicity of Ag-NPs was associated with reactive oxygen species production and lipid 297 peroxidation¹³. However, no information is available about plants exposed to Ag₂S-NPs. Lipid 298 peroxidation was evaluated by the quantification of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) 299 (figure S3, c). It was the highest for the AgNO₃ treatment, likely due to the high concentration in Ag⁺. 300 Surprisingly, lipid peroxidation for the Ag-NPs and Ag₂S-NPs treatments was lower than the control it 301 was thus not correlated with growth reduction.

302 As mentioned above, root architecture was impacted in the Ag-NPs treatment. Secondary roots did 303 not develop and a proliferation of roots hairs was observed. The presence of Ag-NPs aggregates on 304 root hairs might have an impact on their functions. Previous studies showed a loss or absence of root 305 hairs for plants exposed to Ag-NPs, but this effect may be due to the high dose used¹². Root hair 306 proliferation is generally related with nutrient or water deficiency. The presence of Ag in the cell wall, 307 as observed in our study (Figure 4.c, d), has been suggested to affect the function of nutrient 308 transporter proteins ¹³. In parallel, exposure to Ag₂S-NPs and AgNO₃ has been shown to down 309 regulate the expression of aquaporins¹⁶. Exposure to Ag-NPs was not tested in this latter study so it 310 could be related to root hair proliferation. Finally, changes in root architecture and morphology, are 311 very likely to influence the entry of Ag in nanoparticulate and ionic form.

312 In order to further investigate the phytotoxicity induced by the different sources of Ag, the 313 expression of selected genes in the roots of wheat plants was studied by real-time polymerase chain 314 reaction (RT-qPCR) (Figure 3). The genes encoding the enzymes catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase 315 (FeSOD) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX) were studied because of their key role in the oxidative 316 stress. Each NP treatment induced changes in the expression of different genes. Ag₂S-NPs lead to the 317 overexpression of CAT and FeSOD whereas Ag-NPs induced a drop in the expression of FeSOD but an 318 increase in that of GPX. Previous studies found up-regulated expression of CAT and SOD in *Vigna* 319 radiata²⁴ and *Arabidopsis thaliana*²⁵⁻²⁶ exposed to pristine Ag-NPs. Taken together with the TBARS 320 results and the localization and speciation of Ag, our results suggest that plants exposed to Ag-NPs 321 and Ag₂S-NPs are able to control the oxidative stress by upregulating the enzymes related with 322 radical scavenging, possibly due to the slow release of $Ag⁺$ from the nanoparticles. Exposure to 323 AgNO₃, which induced a strong lipid peroxidation, did not induce any modulation of the expression of 324 these genes. A possible explanation could be that the oxidative stress exceeds to capability of the 325 enzymatic machinery, which is then not induced.

326 We investigated the expression of the gene encoding metallothionein (Wali). Metallothioneins (MTs) 327 regulate metal homeostasis, and are also involved in the scavenging of reactive oxygen species $(328 \text{ (ROS})^{41}$. Literature regarding the production of MT's in plants exposed to Ag is scarce, with only one 329 publication reporting the overexpression of MT in wheat plants exposed to Ag⁺ and Ag-NPs⁹. In the 330 present study, however, we found overexpression of Wali for AgNO₃ and Ag₂S-NPs treatments while 331 it was moderately but significantly down-regulated for the Ag-NPs treatment. It is suggested that the 332 synthesis of MTs in plants could be related with the detoxification of sulfide (HS-), which is 333 phytotoxic, although it has not been clearly demonstrated yet⁴². Since the speciation study 334 evidenced some dissolution of Ag₂S-NPs, the overexpression of MT found for the Ag₂S-NPs treatment 335 may be a response to a release of Ag+, but also of sulfide.

336 The three treatments also induced a down-regulation of the Phosphoethanolamine N-337 methyltransferase (PEAMT), encoding a protein related with the salt stress, and an up-regulation of 338 the Pathogen-inducible ethylene-responsive element-binding protein (PIEP), encoding a protein 339 involved in the response to pathogens. Both results are opposite to that found for genes with similar 340 functions in a previous study with A. thaliana²⁵.

341 We also investigated the effect of the different treatments in the auxin-like response factor (ETT1). 342 Auxins are hormones that control cell proliferation and growth in plants, and are involved in root 343 branching. A crosstalk between ROS and auxin production in plant response to metal stress has been 344 evidenced⁴³. In the present work, we did find an overexpression of the EET1 in the roots of AgNO₃ 345 treated plants but no impacts were found for the two NP treatments. Thus, the absence of root 346 branching in the Ag-NPs treatment is not related to the expression of this gene.

347

348 **4. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

349 It has been generally hypothesized that Ag-NPs may act as "Trojan Horses" entering living organisms 350 and then releasing $Ag⁺$ over time causing toxicity⁴⁴. This has been recently proposed as the 351 mechanism by which Ag₂S-NPs could be toxic to wheat and cowpea. In the present work, although 352 the Trojan horse scenario is very likely to take place, a more complex scheme was evidenced. As 353 illustrated in the synthetic Figure 6, interconversions of Ag forms outside and inside the plant, 354 leading to a mixture of Ag species, were observed. The distribution of Ag species probably evolves 355 over time, leading to a complex exposition pattern for plants, also exposed to HS in the case of Ag₂S-356 NPs. The conflicting results usually seen in the literature concerning the toxicity of silver 357 nanoparticles are generally ascribed to differences in exposure conditions, structural properties of 358 nanoparticles and plant species. This evolving Ag speciation may represent an additional source of 359 variability and conflicting results.

360

361 Data from this study showed drastic different responses of the plant depending on the starting 362 nanoparticles, highlighting the importance to include transformed nanoparticles in ecotoxicological 363 studies.

364 Although the uptake and translocation of Ag was lower for the Ag₂S-NPs exposure compared to the 365 Ag-NPs exposure, phytotoxicity symptoms were observed. These results show that the sulfidation of 366 Ag-NPs is not a perfect antidote to toxicity and that $Ag₂S-NPs$ are not as stable as expected when 367 exposed to plant roots. In agricultural soils, the rhizospheric activity of plants might partly dissolve 368 Ag₂S causing some impacts on crop quality and yield, and more generally on ecological services.

369

370 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

371 We thank the French program LabEx Serenade (11-LABX-0064) and has been carried out thanks to 372 the support of the A*MIDEX project « CREER » (n° ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02). for providing a post doc 373 fellowship, CNRS (PEPS project NANOPLANTE) and EquipEx NanoID (ANR-10-EQPX-39) for funding

- 374 equipments available for the project. ISTerre is also part of Labex OSUG@2020 (ANR10 LABX56).We
- 375 thank the ESRF for providing PRC and "in house" beam time.

- 377
-
- 378
- 379

380 **REFERENCES**

381

382 1. Gottschalk, F.; Sonderer, T.; Scholz, R. W.; Nowack, B., Modeled environmental 383 concentrations of engineered nanomaterials (TiO₂, ZnO, Ag, CNT, fullerenes) for different regions. 384 *[Environ. Sci. Technol.](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?system=10.1021%2Fes9015553&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADC%252BD1MXhtlyhtL%252FP)* **2009,** *43*, 9216-9222.

385 2. Pradas del Real, A. E.; Castillo-Michel, H. A.; Kaegi, R.; Sinnet, B.; Magnin, V.; Findling, N.; 386 Villanova, J.; Carriere, M.; Santaella, C.; Fernandez-Martinez, A., Fate of Ag-NPs in sewage sludge 387 after application on agricultural soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**.

388 3. Kah, M.; Hofmann, T., Nanopesticide research: current trends and future priorities. 389 *[Environment International](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=24333990&crossref=10.1016%2Fj.envint.2013.11.015)* **2014,** *63*, 224-235.

390 4. Ma, X. M.; Geiser-Lee, J.; Deng, Y.; Kolmakov, A., Interactions between engineered 391 nanoparticles (ENPs) and plants: Phytotoxicity, uptake and accumulation. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2010,** 392 *408* (16), 3053-3061.

393 5. Gottschalk, F.; Nowack, B., The release of engineered nanomaterials to the environment. 394 *[Journal of Environmental Monitoring](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=21387066&crossref=10.1039%2Fc0em00547a&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADC%252BC3MXlsFShu7k%253D)* **2011,** *13* (5), 1145-1155.

395 6. Levard, C.; Hotze, E. M.; Colman, B. P.; Dale, A. L.; Truong, L.; Yang, X. Y.; Bone, A. J.; Brown, 396 G. E.; Tanguay, R. L.; Di Giulio, R. T.; Bernhardt, E. S.; Meyer, J. N.; Wiesner, M. R.; Lowry, G. V., 397 Sulfidation of Silver Nanoparticles: Natural Antidote to Their Toxicity. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013,** *47* 398 (23), 13440-13448.

399 7. Li, L.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Q.; Jiang, G., Rethinking Stability of Silver Sulfide Nanoparticles (Ag2S-400 NPs) in the Aquatic Environment: Photoinduced Transformation of Ag2S-NPs in the Presence of Fe 401 (III). *[Environ. Sci. Technol.](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=26606372)* **2015,** *50* (1), 188-196.

402 8. Anjum, N. A.; Gill, S. S.; Duarte, A. C.; Pereira, E.; Ahmad, I., Silver nanoparticles in soil-plant 403 systems. *J. Nanopart. Res.* **2013,** *15* (9).

404 9. Dimkpa, C. O.; McLean, J. E.; Martineau, N.; Britt, D. W.; Haverkamp, R.; Anderson, A. J., Silver 405 Nanoparticles Disrupt Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) Growth in a Sand Matrix. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 406 **2013,** *47* (2), 1082-1090.

407 10. Gubbins, E. J.; Batty, L. C.; Lead, J. R., Phytotoxicity of silver nanoparticles to Lemna minor L. 408 *[Environ. Pollut.](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=21450381&crossref=10.1016%2Fj.envpol.2011.03.002&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADC%252BC3MXltFOqtr8%253D)* **2011,** *159* (6), 1551-1559.

409 11. Holden, P. A.; Gardea-Torresdey, J.; Klaessig, F.; Turco, R. F.; Mortimer, M.; Hund-Rinke, K.; 410 Cohen Hubal, E. A.; Avery, D.; Barcelo, D.; Behra, R.; Cohen, Y.; Deydier-Stephan, L.; Ferguson, P. L.; 411 Fernandes, T. F.; Herr Harthorn, B.; Henderson, W. M.; Hoke, R. A.; Hristozov, D.; Johnston, J. M.; 412 Kane, A. B.; Kapustka, L.; Keller, A. A.; Lenihan, H. S.; Lovell, W.; Murphy, C. J.; Nisbet, R. M.; Petersen, 413 E. J.; Salinas, E. R.; Scheringer, M.; Sharma, M.; Speed, D. E.; Sultan, Y.; Westerhoff, P.; White, J. C.; 414 Wiesner, M. R.; Wong, E. M.; Xing, B.; Steele Horan, M.; Godwin, H. A.; Nel, A. E., Considerations of 415 Environmentally Relevant Test Conditions for Improved Evaluation of Ecological Hazards of

416 Engineered Nanomaterials. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**.

417 12. Schwab, F.; Zhai, G.; Kern, M.; Turner, A.; Schnoor, J. L.; Wiesner, M. R., Barriers, pathways 418 and processes for uptake, translocation and accumulation of nanomaterials in plants - Critical review. 419 *[Nanotoxicology](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=26067571&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADC%252BC28XjvFemtro%253D)* **2016,** *10* (3), 257-278.

420 13. Zuverza-Mena, N.; Martínez-Fernández, D.; Du, W.; Hernandez-Viezcas, J. A.; Bonilla-Bird, N.; 421 López-Moreno, M. L.; Komárek, M.; Peralta-Videa, J. R.; Gardea-Torresdey, J. L., Exposure of 422 engineered nanomaterials to plants: Insights into the physiological and biochemical responses-A 423 review. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **2016**.

424 14. Wang, P.; Menzies, N. W.; Lombi, E.; Sekine, R.; Blamey, F. P. C.; Hernandez-Soriano, M. C.; 425 Cheng, M.; Kappen, P.; Peijnenburg, W. J.; Tang, C., Silver sulfide nanoparticles (Ag₂S-NPs) are taken 426 up by plants and are phytotoxic. *[Nanotoxicology](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.3109%2F17435390.2014.994570)* **2015,** (0), 1-9.

427 15. Stegemeier, J. P.; Schwab, F.; Colman, B. P.; Webb, S. M.; Newville, M.; Lanzirotti, A.; Winkler, 428 C.; Wiesner, M. R.; Lowry, G. V., Speciation Matters: Bioavailability of Silver and Silver Sulfide 429 Nanoparticles to Alfalfa (Medicago sativa). *[Environ. Sci. Technol](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?system=10.1021%2Facs.est.5b01147).* **2015,** *49* (14), 8451-8460.

430 16. Wang, P.; Lombi, E.; Sun, S.; Scheckel, K. G.; Malysheva, A.; McKenna, B. A.; Menzies, N. W.; 431 Zhao, F.-J.; Kopittke, P. M., Characterizing the uptake, accumulation and toxicity of silver sulfide 432 nanoparticles in plants. *Environmental Science: Nano* **2017**.

433 17. Levard, C.; Reinsch, B. C.; Michel, F. M.; Oumahi, C.; Lowry, G. V.; Brown, G. E., Sulfidation 434 Processes of PVP-Coated Silver Nanoparticles in Aqueous Solution: Impact on Dissolution Rate. 435 *[Environ. Sci. Technol.](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?system=10.1021%2Fes2007758&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADC%252BC3MXmtlCkuro%253D)* **2011,** *45* (12), 5260-5266.

436 18. Larue, C.; Castillo-Michel, H.; Stein, R. J.; Fayard, B.; Pouyet, E.; Villanova, J.; Magnin, V.; del 437 Real, A.-E. P.; Trcera, N.; Legros, S., Innovative combination of spectroscopic techniques to reveal 438 nanoparticle fate in a crop plant. *[Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1016%2Fj.sab.2016.03.005)* **2016,** *119*, 17-24.

439 19. Solé, V.; Papillon, E.; Cotte, M.; Walter, P.; Susini, J., A multiplatform code for the analysis of 440 energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectra. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy* **2007,** 441 *62* (1), 63-68.

442 20. Castillo-Michel, H. A.; Larue, C.; del Real, A. E. P.; Cotte, M.; Sarret, G., Practical review on the 443 use of synchrotron based micro-and nano-X-ray fluorescence mapping and X-ray absorption 444 spectroscopy to investigate the interactions between plants and engineered nanomaterials. *Plant* 445 *Physiology and Biochemistry* **2016**.

446 21. Larue, C.; Castillo-Michel, H.; Sobanska, S.; Cecillon, L.; Bureau, S.; Barthes, V.; Ouerdane, L.; 447 Carriere, M.; Sarret, G., Foliar exposure of the crop Lactuca sativa to silver nanoparticles: Evidence 448 for internalization and changes in Ag speciation. *[Journal of Hazardous Materials](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=24275476&crossref=10.1016%2Fj.jhazmat.2013.10.053&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADC%252BC3sXitVWjtbrP)* **2014,** *264*, 98-106.

449 22. Ravel, B.; Newville, M., ATHENA, ARTEMIS, HEPHAESTUS: data analysis for X-ray absorption 450 spectroscopy using IFEFFIT. *[Journal of Synchrotron Radiation](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=15968136&crossref=10.1107%2FS0909049505012719)* **2005,** *12* (4), 537-541.

451 23. Pradas del Real, A.; García-Gonzalo, P.; Lobo, M.; Pérez-Sanz, A., Chromium speciation 452 modifies root exudation in two genotypes of Silene vulgaris. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 453 **2014,** *107*, 1-6.

454 24. Nair, P. M. G.; Chung, I. M., Physiological and molecular level studies on the toxicity of silver 455 nanoparticles in germinating seedlings of mung bean (Vigna radiata L.). *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* 456 **2015,** *37* (1).

457 25. Kaveh, R.; Li, Y. S.; Ranjbar, S.; Tehrani, R.; Brueck, C. L.; Van Aken, B., Changes in Arabidopsis 458 thaliana Gene Expression in Response to Silver Nanoparticles and Silver Ions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 459 **2013,** *47* (18), 10637-10644.

460 26. Syu, Y. Y.; Hung, J. H.; Chen, J. C.; Chuang, H. W., Impacts of size and shape of silver 461 nanoparticles on Arabidopsis plant growth and gene expression. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 462 **2014,** *83*, 57-64.

463 27. Ye, J.; Coulouris, G.; Zaretskaya, I.; Cutcutache, I.; Rozen, S.; Madden, T. L., Primer-BLAST: a 464 tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. *BMC bioinformatics* **2012,** *13* (1), 465 134.

466 28. Yang, Y.; Zhang, F.; He, W.; Wang, X.; Zhang, L., Iron-mediated inhibition of H+-ATPase in 467 plasma membrane vesicles isolated from wheat roots. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences CMLS* 468 **2003,** *60* (6), 1249-1257.

469 29. Ferretti, M.; Destro, T.; Tosatto, S.; La Rocca, N.; Rascio, N.; Masi, A., Gamma-glutamyl 470 transferase in the cell wall participates in extracellular glutathione salvage from the root apoplast. 471 *[New Phytologist](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1111%2Fj.1469-8137.2008.02653.x)* **2009,** *181* (1), 115-126. 472 30. Berumen, J. P.; Gallegos-Loya, E.; Esparza-Ponce, H.; Gonzalez-Valenzuela, R.; Gonzalez-473 Valenzuela, C.; Duarte-Moller, A., *XAS Study of silver nanoparticles formed in phaseolus vulgaris*. 474 **2009**; p 211-215. 475 31. Gardea-Torresdey, J. L.; Gomez, E.; Peralta-Videa, J. R.; Parsons, J. G.; Troiani, H.; Jose-476 Yacaman, M., Alfalfa sprouts: A natural source for the synthesis of silver nanoparticles. *Langmuir* 477 **2003,** *19* (4), 1357-1361. 478 32. Wang, P.; Menzies, N. W.; Lombi, E.; McKenna, B. A.; de Jonge, M. D.; Donner, E.; Blamey, F. 479 P. C.; Ryan, C. G.; Paterson, D. J.; Howard, D. L., Quantitative determination of metal and metalloid 480 spatial distribution in hydrated and fresh roots of cowpea using synchrotron-based X-ray 481 fluorescence microscopy. *[Sci. Total Environ](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=23792255).* **2013,** *463*, 131-139. 482 33. Ovečka, M.; Lang, I.; Baluška, F.; Ismail, A.; Illeš, P.; Lichtscheidl, I. K., Endocytosis and vesicle 483 trafficking during tip growth of root hairs. *[Protoplasma](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=16231100&crossref=10.1007%2Fs00709-005-0103-9&coi=1%3ACAS%3A280%3ADC%252BD2MrlsVOqsA%253D%253D)* **2005,** *226* (1-2), 39-54. 484 34. Lide, D. R., *CRC handbook of chemistry and physics*. CRC press: **2004**. 485 35. Hinsinger, P., Bioavailability of trace elements as related to root-induced chemical changes in 486 the rhizosphere. *Trace elements in the rhizosphere* **2001**, 25-41. 487 36. Li, Y.; Wang, L.; Yang, L.; Li, H., Dynamics of rhizosphere properties and antioxidative 488 responses in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) under cadmium stress. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe.* **2014,** *102*, 489 55-61. 490 37. Nian, H.; Yang, Z. M.; Ahn, S. J.; Cheng, Z. J.; Matsumoto, H., A comparative study on the 491 aluminium- and copper-induced organic acid exudation from wheat roots. *Physiologia Plantarum* 492 **2002,** *116* (3), 328-335. 493 38. Oburger, E.; Gruber, B.; Schindlegger, Y.; Schenkeveld, W. D.; Hann, S.; Kraemer, S. M.; 494 Wenzel, W. W.; Puschenreiter, M., Root exudation of phytosiderophores from soil-grown wheat. 495 *[New Phytologist](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1111%2Fnph.12868)* **2014,** *203* (4), 1161-1174. 496 39. Kumar, V.; Yadav, S. K., Plant-mediated synthesis of silver and gold nanoparticles and their 497 applications. *[Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?crossref=10.1002%2Fjctb.2023)* **2009,** *84* (2), 151-157. 498 40. Dietz, K.-J.; Herth, S., Plant nanotoxicology. *[Trends in Plant Science](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=21906987&crossref=10.1016%2Fj.tplants.2011.08.003&coi=1%3ACAS%3A528%3ADC%252BC3MXhsVaqtLjK)* **2011,** *16* (11), 582-589. 499 41. Hassinen, V. H.; Tervahauta, A. I.; Schat, H.; Karenlampi, S. O., Plant metallothioneins - metal 500 chelators with ROS scavenging activity? *Plant Biology* **2011,** *13* (2), 225-232. 501 42. Lamers, L. P. M.; Govers, L. L.; Janssen, I. C.; Geurts, J. J.; Van der Welle, M. E.; Van Katwijk, 502 M. M.; Van der Heide, T.; Roelofs, J. G.; Smolders, A. J., Sulfide as a soil phytotoxin - A review. 503 *[Frontiers in Plant Science](http://pubs.acs.org/action/showLinks?pmid=23355843)* **2013,** *4*. 504 43. Yuan, H.-M.; Liu, W.-C.; Jin, Y.; Lu, Y.-T., Role of ROS and auxin in plant response to metal-505 mediated stress. *Plant signaling & behavior* **2013,** *8* (7), e24671. 506 44. Lubick, N., Nanosilver toxicity: ions, nanoparticles or both? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008,** *42* 507 (23), 8617-8617. 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515

528 **Figure 1**. Roots of wheat plants exposed to AgNO₃: a) 3D reconstructed image by μ -CT (voxel size 1 529 μ m) b) virtual 2D slice (1 μ m thickness) extracted from a). μ -XRF tricolor maps of entire root (1 px = 1 530 µm) (c), of cross section (1 px = 1 µm) (Ep=epidermis, Cx=cortex, Ed= endodermis, Pe=pericycle, 531 Xy=xylem) (d) and of a zoom in the cortex $(1 px = 0.5 µm)$ (e). Extremity of white arrows in e) 532 indicate points where µ-XANES spectra were collected.

-
-
-
-

539 **Figure 2**: Linear combination Fitting (LCF) of the µ-XANES spectra collected in the different points of 540 the samples (dotted lines), experimental spectra (soild lines). Results for the Linear Combination 541 Fitting (LCF) of all samples are given in table S2 of SI. And Ag references used (solid lines) and 542 reconstruction of these references by Target Transformation (dotted lines) for the three components 543 determined by Principal Components Analysis (PCA). Reference of AgNO₃ is a proxy for Ag⁺ species 544 ligated to O ligands. Ag-GSH (Ag-glutathione) and Ag-DEDTC (Ag- diethyldithiocarbamate) were 545 indistinguishable and were used as proxy of Ag-Thiol. The spectra of Ag0 foil and Ag-NPs were also 546 indistinguishable and were used as references for metallic Ag.

- 547
- 548
- 549
- 550
- 551
- 552
- 553

557 **Figure 3**. Gene expression quantified by qPCR in roots of plants exposed to AgNO₃ (blue), Ag₂S-NPs 558 (green) and Ag-NPs (pink). Results are expressed as fold change regards control. They represent the 559 average of 3 analytical replicates ± standard deviation, (*p < 0.05). CAT (catalase), FeSOD (iron 560 superoxidose dismutase), GPX (glutathione peroxidase), Wali (Metallothionein-like protein) PEAMP 561 (Phosphoethanolamine N-methyltransferase), PIEP (Pathogen-inducible ethylene-responsive 562 element-binding protein), ETT1 (ETTIN-like auxin response factor).

563

564

565

568 **Figure 4.** Roots of wheat plants exposed to Ag-NPs, µ-XRF tricolor maps of a) entire root (1 px = 1 µm) 569 (1 px = 1 µm), (b) root cross section (Sf=surface, Ep=epidermis, Cx=cortex, Ed= endodermis, 570 Pe=pericycle, Xy=xylem) (b), and of a zoom in the endodermis (c) and cortex (d) (1px = 0.5µm). Red 571 arrows show preferential accumulation sites for Ag-NPs. Extremity of white arrows in c) and d) 572 indicate points where µ-XANES spectra were collected.

- 573
- 574
- 575
- 576
- 577

579 **Figure 5.** Roots of wheat plants exposed to Ag2S-NPs: a) 3D reconstructed image by µ-CT (voxel size 580 0.9 μ m), b) virtual μ -CT slice (1 μ m thickness) extracted from a), c) nano-CT reconstructed image of 581 the primary root surface (white square in a)) (voxel size of 63.5 nm). µ-XRF maps of entire root (1 px 582 = 1 µm) d), of a cross section (e) (Sf=surface, Ep=epidermis, Cx=cortex, Ed= endodermis, Pe=pericycle, 583 Xy=xylem) . Red arrows indicate equivalent Ag accumulation regions in images. White arrows in e) 584 indicate points where µ-XANES spectra were collected.

585 586

587

588 **Figure 6**. Synthesis of the observed changes in Ag speciation that take place inside and outside wheat 589 roots depending on the starting materials, proposed transfer pathways and induced effects on 590 plants.

591