

Asymptotic analysis for the multiscale modeling of defects in mechanical structures

Eduard Marenić, Delphine Brancherie, Marc Bonnet

▶ To cite this version:

Eduard Marenić, Delphine Brancherie, Marc Bonnet. Asymptotic analysis for the multiscale modeling of defects in mechanical structures. 12e Colloque national en calcul des structures, CSMA, May 2015, Giens, France. hal-01516460

HAL Id: hal-01516460 https://hal.science/hal-01516460v1

Submitted on 1 May 2017 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Public Domain

Asymptotic analysis for the multiscale modeling of defects in mechanical structures

E. Marenić¹, D. Brancherie¹, M. Bonnet²

¹ Laboratoire Roberval, Université de Compiegne, {eduard.marenic, delphine.brancherie}@utc.fr ² POems, ENSTA, Palaiseau, mbonnet@ensta.fr

Abstract — This research is a first step towards designing a numerical strategy capable of assessing the nocivity of a small defect in terms of its size and position in the structure with low computational cost, using only a mesh of the defect-free reference structure. The proposed strategy aims at taking into account the modification induced by the presence of a small defect through displacement field correction using an asymptotic analysis. Such an approach would allow to assess the criticality of defects by introducing trial micro-defects with varying positions, sizes and mechanical properties.

Key words — defect, asymptotic analysis, elastic moment tensor.

Introduction 1

The role played by defects in the initiation and development of rupture is crucial and has to be taken into account in order to realistically describe the behavior till complete failure. The difficulties in that context revolve around (i) the fact that the defect length scale is much smaller than the structure length scale, and (ii) the random nature of their position and size. Even in a purely deterministic approach, taking those defects into consideration by standard models imposes to resort to geometrical discretisations at the defect scale, leading to very costly computations and hindering parametric studies in terms of defect location and characteristics.

Our current goal is to design an efficient two-scale numerical strategy which can accurately predict the perturbation (in terms of displacement or stress concentration) caused by an inhomogeneity in elastic (background) material given by elasticity tensor (C). To make it computationally efficient, the analysis uses only a mesh for the defect-free structure, i.e. the mesh size does not depend on the (small) defect scale. The latter is instead taken into account by means of a multiscale asymptotic expansion (see e.g. [1,2]), in which the concept of elastic moment tensor (EMT) [3,4] plays an important role.

Problem definition 2

We consider an elastic body occupying a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ characterized by elasticity tensor \mathcal{C} . The background solution in terms of displacement field u arising in the reference solid Ω due to prescribed excitation $(\mathbf{f}, \overline{\mathbf{t}}, \overline{\mathbf{u}})$, is defined by the problem

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{C}:\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}[\mathbf{u}]) + \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{t}[\mathbf{u}] = \bar{\mathbf{t}} \text{ on } \Gamma_N, \quad \mathbf{u} = \bar{\mathbf{u}} \text{ on } \Gamma_D, \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}[\mathbf{u}] = \nabla_{\text{sym}}\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{t}[\mathbf{u}] = (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}:\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}[\mathbf{u}]) \cdot \mathbf{n}$.



Figure 1: Model scheme showing the unperturbed a) and perturbed b) domains, Ω and Ω_a , respectively. The inhomogeneity B_a located at z is the shaded subdomain in (b).

The transmission problem for a small trial inhomogeneity involves a small elastic (\mathcal{C}^*) inhomogeneity located at $\mathbf{z} \in \Omega$, of characteristic linear size a, occupying the domain $B_a := \mathbf{z} + a\mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth fixed domain centered at the origin and defines the inhomogeneity shape. The elastic properties of the whole solid are defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_a := \mathcal{C}\chi_{\Omega \setminus B_a} + \mathcal{C}^*\chi_{B_a},\tag{2}$$

where χ_D is the characteristic function of a domain D. Material contrast, or elastic tensor perturbation, is given by $\Delta \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^* - \mathcal{C}$. The displacement field \mathbf{u}_a arising in the solid containing the small inhomogeneity due to prescribed excitation $(\mathbf{f}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}, \bar{\mathbf{u}})$, solves the transmission problem

(a) div
$$(\mathcal{C}_a : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}[\mathbf{u}_a]) + \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}$$
 in Ω , (b) $\mathbf{t}[\mathbf{u}_a] = \overline{\mathbf{t}}$ on Γ_N , (c) $\mathbf{u} = \overline{\mathbf{u}}_a$ on Γ_D . (3)

(where the perfect-bonding transmission conditions are implicitly enforced via (3a) written in the distributional sense and the usual smoothness assumption $\mathbf{u}_a \in H^1(\Omega)$).

Furthermore, let the displacement perturbation $\mathbf{v}_a := \mathbf{u}_a - \mathbf{u}$ be given as the difference of the total and unperturbed displacement. Two distinct asymptotic expansions of \mathbf{v}_a arise, namely a near-field expansion and a far-field expansion.

The near-field expansion is given by

$$\mathbf{v}_a(\mathbf{x}) = a\mathbf{v}_B(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) + o(a),\tag{4}$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{x}} := (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z})/a$ are coordinates at the defect scale and \mathbf{v}_B is the solution (in terms of displacement perturbation) of the auxiliary problem of a perfectly bonded inhomogeneity \mathcal{B} embedded in an infinite elastic medium and subjected to the constant remote stress $\mathcal{C} : \nabla \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$. Such solutions \mathbf{v}_B are known analytically for simple inhomogeneity shapes (in which case they correspond to the famous Eshelby inclusion problem), see [5]. Expansion (4) is valid for finite $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$, i.e. within a neighbourhood of B_a whose linear size is O(a).

The far field expansion is given by

$$\mathbf{v}_a(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla G(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}) : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \Delta \mathcal{C}) : \nabla \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{z})a^3 + o(a^3), \qquad \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{z}, \tag{5}$$

where $G(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})$ denotes Green's tensor for the domain Ω , and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \Delta \mathcal{C})$ is the elastic moment tensor (EMT), both entities being described thereafter. In this work, as a first step, we only address the computation of the far-field approximation (5), which is valid at a finite (independent on *a*) distance from the inhomogeneity.

Elastostatic Green's tensor and elastic moment tensor. The quantities in (5) are now briefly introduced. Starting with the elastostatic Green's tensor $G(\xi, \mathbf{x})$ which is defined as a solution of

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{C}:\varepsilon[\mathbf{G}(\cdot,\mathbf{x})]) + \delta(\cdot-\mathbf{x})\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{t}[\mathbf{G}(\cdot,\mathbf{x})] = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \Gamma_N, \quad \mathbf{G}(\cdot,\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \Gamma_D.$$
(6)

The Green's tensor gathers the three linearly independent elastostatic displacement fields $G^k(\cdot, \mathbf{x})$ resulting from unit point forces $\delta(\cdot - \mathbf{x})\mathbf{e}_k$ applied at $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ along direction k. We further introduce a decomposition of G as

$$G(\cdot, \mathbf{x}) = G_{\infty}(\cdot - \mathbf{x}) + G_c(\cdot, \mathbf{x}), \tag{7}$$

where G_{∞} is the singular, infinite-space Green's tensor, such that

$$\operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}:\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}[\boldsymbol{G}_{\infty}]) + \delta \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad |\boldsymbol{G}_{\infty}(\boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{x})| \to 0 \text{ as } |\boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{x}| \to \infty,$$
(8)

and the complementary Green's tensor G_c is bounded at $\xi = \mathbf{x}$ and represents a correction of G_{∞} due to the finite size of Ω . More precisely, by a superposition argument, G_c solves the elastostatic boundary-value problem (BVP) with regular boundary data and zero body force density:

$$\operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}:\varepsilon[\nabla\boldsymbol{G}_c]) = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \nabla\boldsymbol{G}_c = -\nabla\boldsymbol{G}_{\infty} \text{ on } \Gamma_D, \quad \mathbf{t}[\nabla\boldsymbol{G}_c] = -\mathbf{t}[\nabla\boldsymbol{G}_{\infty}] \text{ on } \Gamma_N, \tag{9}$$

where the boundary data is related to the trace of ∇G_{∞} on $\partial \Omega$, treated columnwise as displacement fields, and the traction vectors associated with those displacements. Note that problem (9) in fact involves 9 loading cases for 3D conditions (and 4 for 2D conditions).

We continue with the EMT, which as shown in e.g. [4] is the key mathematical concept in the asymptotic expansion of \mathbf{v}_a , and is also involved for formulating accurate effective elastic properties of composite materials. The EMT carries important microstructural information, namely the material contrast ΔC , the inhomogeneity shape \mathcal{B} and its orientation (see e.g. Definition 2.3. in [3] for details and properties of the EMT). We focus here on the EMT \mathcal{A} associated with an *ellipsoidal* inhomogeneity ($\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} + \Delta C$) embedded in a medium with elasticity tensor \mathcal{C} , which is given by [3]

$$\mathcal{A} = |\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{C} : (\mathcal{C} + \Delta \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{S})^{-1} : \Delta \mathcal{C},$$
(10)

where S = S(B, C) denotes the (fourth-order) Eshelby tensor of the normalized inclusion B [5]. We now focus on the special case of plane strain, corresponding to an ellipsoidal inclusion B infinitely elongated in the x_3 direction, assuming C and C^* to be both isotropic. In this case, the nonzero components of the Eshelby tensor S are given explicitly as

$$S_{1111} = A(1-m)(3+\gamma+m), \qquad S_{1122} = A(1-m)(1-\gamma-m),$$

$$S_{2222} = A(1-m)(3+\gamma-m), \qquad S_{2211} = A(1+m)(1-\gamma+m), \qquad (11)$$

$$S_{1212} = A(1+m^2+\gamma),$$

where $A = 1/[8(1 - \nu)]$, $\gamma = 2(1 - 2\nu)$, and $m = (a_1 - a_2)/(a_1 + a_2)$, with a_1 and a_2 denoting the semi-axes of the ellipse \mathcal{B} in principal directions x_1 and x_2 , respectively (while $a_3 \to \infty$).

3 Computational steps and numerical example

The capabilities of the proposed strategy are illustrated on a preliminary and academic example involving the uniaxial stretch of a membrane clamped on its upper and lower edges (Fig. 2a). The steps of the proposed strategy for accurate evaluation of the perturbation caused by an inhomogeneity are as follows.

We first compute the background solution **u** defined by (1) using a coarse mesh (Fig. 2b). The goal is, as described above, to correct this unperturbed solution using (5) in order to achieve results comparable with the reference solution performed on a fine mesh (Fig. 2c).

Next, for a inhomogeneity with given location, shape and properties ($\mathbf{z} \in \Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}^*$), we extract the gradient of the background displacement $\nabla \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{z})$ and compute the EMT $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \Delta \mathcal{C})$ using (10).

Having these results in hand, we then proceed to the calculation of the gradient of the Green's tensor $\nabla G = \nabla G_{\infty} + \nabla G_c$. The part ∇G_{∞} is a simple derivative of the textbook fundamental solution (usually called Kelvin solution), see *e.g.* [6]. The complementary part ∇G_c is then computed numerically by solving problem (9).

All the required ingredients for evaluating the far-field asymptotic approximation (5) of v_a are now available, and that solution may afterwards be post-processed for evaluating the defect criticality.



Figure 2: Scheme of the geometry and boundary conditions of the uniaxial stretch of the membrane clamped on upper and lower edges a). The position of the inhomogeneity is given with z. FE mesh of unperturbed, background b) and reference models c). Unperturbed consists of 289, and reference of 2346 nodes.



Figure 3: Mesh and BCss used to solve (9) (left). The upper and lower edges are Dirichlet boundaries, the others being Neumman boundaries. The corresponding solution (component 1) is shown on the right with a large amplification factor.

4 Conclusion and perspectives

A numerical strategy for predicting the perturbation caused by an inhomogeneity in an elastic solid is outlined. We focus on the evaluation of the far field displacement correction. Thanks to the use of an asymptotic expansion, the defect scale is not meshed. The computations involved are straightforward. Special attention is given to the computation of the complementary part of Green's tensor, i.e. the direct calculation of its gradient and the correct imposition of the BC's, a step that also does not require any meshing at the defect scale.

The next steps of this work include (i) matching the near and far-field asymptotic expansions to obtain uniform expansions, and (ii) applying the developed strategy to assessing the criticality of defects by considering virtual micro-defects and varying their positions, sizes and mechanical properties.

Acknowledgement. The authors are funded by ANR through project ARAMIS (ANR 12 BS01-0021).

References

- [1] D. Brancherie, M. Dambrine, G. Vial, and P. Villon. Effect of surface defects on structure failure: a two-scale approach. *Eur. J. Comput. Mech.*, 17:613–624, 2008.
- [2] M. Dambrine and G. Vial. A multiscale correction method for local singular perturbations of the boundary. *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 41(1):111–127, 2007.
- [3] M. Bonnet and G. Delgado. The topological derivative in anisotropic elasticity. *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.*, 66:557–586, 2013.
- [4] H. Ammari. *Polarization and moment tensors. With applications to inverse problems and effective medium theory.* Springer-Verlag, New York, Applied Mathematical Sciences Series Vol. 162s, 2007.
- [5] T. Mura. Micromechanics of Defects in Solids. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 1987.
- [6] M. Bonnet. Boundary Integral Equation Methods for Solids and Fluids. John Wiley and Sons, 1999.