

# Preparative two dimensional separations involving liquid–liquid chromatography

Léa Marlot, Karine Faure

## ▶ To cite this version:

Léa Marlot, Karine Faure. Preparative two dimensional separations involving liquid–liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 2017, 1494, pp.1-17. 10.1016/j.chroma.2017.03.031 . hal-01515553

## HAL Id: hal-01515553 https://hal.science/hal-01515553

Submitted on 23 Jul 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Preparative two dimensional separations involving Liquid-Liquid Chromatography
Léa Marlot and Karine Faure
Université de Lyon, CNRS, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, ENS de Lyon, Institut des Sciences Analytiques, UMR 5280, 5 rue de la Doua, F-69100 VILLEURBANNE, France
karine.faure@isa-lyon.fr
Abstract
The increasing performances of analytical techniques, especially two-dimensional liquid chromatography hyphenated with highly sensitive detectors, allow discovery of new targeted compounds in highly complex samples, whether biomarkers in environmental effluents, natural metabolomes in natural products or unknown impurities in synthetic chemical process. While

17 rocess. While 18 structural identification can usually be achieved through mass spectrometry and databases, it can be 19 useful to confirm the potential structure via NMR analysis and/or to obtain standard reference 20 material for quantitative purposes, incentive for the production of  $\mu$ g to mg of new target compounds. 21 Hence, preparative chromatography, which was initially run for large-scale production, is now facing 22 new challenges, with small volumes of highly complex samples to deal with. Unfortunately, one 23 dimensional chromatographic methods exhibit limited performances when targets are minor 24 compounds in a complex matrix. Moreover, for process intensification or limited amount of sample, it 25 is now a priority to be able to isolate multiple components with the largest yield possible using as few 26 purification steps as possible. With these specifications in mind, a comprehensive multidimensional 27 chromatographic method for preparative purposes is becoming appealing. 28 Liquid-liquid chromatography, also known as countercurrent chromatography or centrifugal partition 29 chromatography, is a technique with a proven high loading capacity, working with multiple choice of 30 solvent systems thus a large range of polarity and selectivity. The liquid nature of the stationary phase 31 prevents sample denaturation, irreversible adsorption resulting in high sample recovery. All these

qualities make the LLC technique highly receivable as a first dimension in a 2D strategy, whether combined with another LLC method or with a more conventional LC method. In this review, both hyphenations are investigated from an instrumentation point of view, detailing systems selection methodology and two dimensional development strategy. Preliminarily, the specific LLC instrumentation is briefly summarized in this review and the nomenclature of two dimensional separation as well as the associated criteria are clearly explained and defined.

38

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

39

#### 40 Introduction

41

In the late 1960s, Ito invented countercurrent chromatography (CCC) [1], a liquid chromatography technique which originality remains in the liquid nature of the stationary phase. The name countercurrent chromatography was derived by analogy to Craig's countercurrent distribution system implemented in 1940s [2-4]. This chromatographic concept led to two different instrumental developments. Ito pursued the development of the original hydrodynamic CCC apparatus (Figure 1a) [5, 6] whereas Nunogaki focus on a hydrostatic version (Figure 1b) called centrifugal partition chromatography (CPC) [6, 7]. Both technologies are based on the use of a liquid stationary phase and a liquid mobile phase between which the solutes partition, their difference being only the way the
 centrifugal field is applied. Hence, for the sake of the reader's understanding, the global technique is
 called Liquid-Liquid Chromatography (LLC) in this review, independently of the instrument used.

52 In hydrodynamic CCC instruments, the stationary phase is retained by centrifugal forces in a planetary

53 spinning coil while in hydrostatic CPC, the stationary phase retention is assisted by the use of tiny

54 oblong or rectangular chambers connected by capillary ducts rotating around a single axis (Figure 1).

55 It should be clear that the basic theory and concepts are the same for both apparatus. Only the

instrumentation and the way the phases intermingle differ.

- 57
- 58 [here Figure 1]
- 59

The main specifications of LLC are related to the liquid nature of the stationary phase [6, 8]. Compared to LC, the LLC technique allows a larger sample loading capacity, with a relatively low number of chromatographic plates [8, 9], which classify it mainly as a preparative technique. Moreover, many solvent systems are available and overlay a wide range of polarity of compounds [10]. Both phases of the solvent systems can be used as mobile or stationary phase, which drastically decreases the cost of the columns as compared to conventional preparative LC columns.

66 The most important advantage is the total sample recovery. Since no supporting matrix is employed,

67 the separation is not distorted by solute adsorption or catalytic change encountered with solid 68 supports. Moreover, the solutes remaining in the column can be easily recovered by extrusion of the 69 liquid stationary phase.

70

71 Liquid-Liquid Chromatography, LLC, has progressed both instrumentally and methodologically in last 72 decades, to be an effective technique for isolation and purification. It has found a large audience in 73 the natural products science area [4, 9, 11-14], which represents a rich source of biologically active 74 compounds, widely used for medical purposes for centuries, driving nowadays pharmaceutical 75 development. More recently, innovative coupling strategies have been carried out in order to develop 76 the separation power and the detection potential. In 2014, Michel et al. have described these coupling 77 strategies in a review [15] highlighting the online coupling strategy in an instrumental point of view. It 78 includes the online hyphenation of LLC with different detectors such as MS and NMR but also with 79 other chromatographic techniques such as LLC, HPLC or GC, with an analytical goal. In 2016, Xie et al. 80 published a review concerning the combinations of prep-HPLC with other chromatographic techniques 81 applied to traditional Chinese medicine [16] mentioning some online and offline couplings of LLC with 82 LC at preparative scale.

83

The goal of the present review is to provide an overview of the two dimensionaltwo dimensional preparative separations involving LLC technique. Under our investigation, 12 publications were found involving the hyphenation of the LLC technique with another LLC apparatus in online and offline modes since 2002 (Table 1). Of these 12 applications, only one application was implemented at analytical scale with a view for a preparative scale transfer. The other applications were implemented directly at preparative scale in order to recover the compounds of interest.

Since 2007, 21 publications were found involving the hyphenation of the LLC technique with LC in two
 dimensionaltwo dimensional separations in online and offline modes (Table 2). Of these 21
 publications, three applications were implemented at analytical scale with a possible preparative scale
 transfer. The other applications were implemented at preparative scale.

94 Some hyphenations of LLC with GC were found in literature as two dimensionaltwo dimensional 95 separations [17, 18] but these hyphenations are outside the research framework presented here due 96 to the obstacle of propagative scale transfer

96 to the obstacle of preparative scale transfer.

97 98

#### 99 Nomenclature and criteria for two dimensional separations

101 In the liquid chromatography field, several studies have been published in order to clearly define the 102 term of two dimensional separation and the associated notions. This is not the case in LLC field and 103 several terms are incorrectly employed in LLC publications without any theoretical background.

104 In 2007 and 2008, Stoll et al. [19], Dugo et al. [20] and Guiochon et al. [21] published three studies 105 introducing the theory and applications of multidimensional liquid chromatography. In 2009, another 106 review has been published by François et al. [22] focusing on the fundamental aspects of 107 multidimensional liquid chromatography. From these reviews, the term "two-dimensional liquid 108 chromatography" refers to the technique in which two independent liquid phase separation systems, 109 noted <sup>1</sup>D and <sup>2</sup>D for first and second dimensions, are applied to the sample. The largest benefit of 110 combining columns in two dimensional configuration is the improvement of peak capacity, which is 111 reflected in the reduction of component overlap. When the selected separation mechanisms provide completely different selectivities, the advantages of the two dimensional approach are fully exploited, 112 113 since the potentiality of co-eluting sample components in both dimensions are severely minimized. 114 Separation potential quickly increases as the correlation between the retention mechanisms 115 decreases. In a preparative sense, this resolution improvement relates to the purity that can be 116 expected.

117

118 Two distinctions have to be done dependent on the way the effluent is transferred from the first 119 dimension column to the second dimension column. The two dimensional separation can be 120 implemented in online or in offline mode. In offline two dimensional separations, the execution is very 121 simple since the fractions of the first dimension effluent are collected, after which they are 122 concentrated if necessary and re-injected on the second column. However, this method can be time 123 consuming, difficult to automate and reproduce, susceptible to sample loss and contamination. In 124 online mode, the columns in the two dimensions are connected via an appropriate interface. This 125 approach is more difficult to implement and to operate, however, faster and more reproducible.

126

127 Two dimensional separations can be done by transferring either only the interesting portion of the first 128 dimension, this is referred to as chromatography in heart-cut mode, or by sequentially transferring the 129 entirety of the first dimension effluent, in many small aliquots, to the second dimension; this is known 130 as comprehensive chromatography. In 2012, Schoenmakers team published an update concerning the 131 nomenclature and the conventions in comprehensive multidimensional chromatography [23]. In this 132 update, the comprehensiveness is clearly defined and the term "comprehensive analysis" does not 133 mean "analyzing everything". Three criteria were established: 1- Every part of the sample is subjected 134 to two different (independent) separations; 2- Equal percentages (either 100% or lower) of all sample 135 components pass through both columns and eventually reach the detector; 3- The separation 136 (resolution) obtained in the first dimension is essentially maintained.

137 In a preparative view, these three criteria can be applied, keeping in mind that the percentage of138 transfer will have a huge influence on the final yield of the product.

139

140 In two dimensional LC, numerous approaches are available to evaluate the separation power of the 141 column combination. In the literature, the measurement of the peak capacity can be found as well as 142 the measurement of the orthogonality between dimensions. Schoenmakers [23] has defined the 143 orthogonality as two instrumental dimensions that possess different mechanisms of separation, where 144 elution times in the two dimensions can be treated as statistically independent. Orthogonal separation 145 mechanisms depends on the sample and the specific physical-chemical interactions that the solutes 146 have with the systems. The orthogonality between two systems exists since the systems provide 147 different selectivities which are the abilities of the chromatographic systems to chemically distinguish 148 the sample components. The higher the orthogonality, the better the separation power. 149

150 In order to evaluate the orthogonality of a two dimensional separation, the retention times of the 151 compounds in first and second dimensions have to be plotted on a two-dimensional map (as presented 152 in Figure 2). For LLC two dimensional separations, the partition coefficients Kd values (and the

- retention factors k values for HPLC separations) can be plotted instead of retention times in order to eliminate the variations of the column dimensions and operating conditions. Obviously, if the
- 155 separations are strongly correlated (Figure 2 a and b), critical pairs in a dimension will remain close in
- 156 the second dimension and hence the loading capacity in preparative chromatography will remain low.
- 157 At the opposite, if no correlation exists between the two dimensions (Figure 2d), it is possible to
- produce highly concentrated fractions of overlapping compounds using the first dimension knowing
- that the second dimension will afterwards separate the coeluting compounds.
- 160
- 161 Several approaches can be found in the literature to measure and optimize orthogonality. These 162 approaches can be thermodynamic approaches which only consider the retention data of the 163 compounds or can be thermodynamic and kinetic models which also include peak broadening.
- 164 The bin-counting method proposed by Gilar et al. [24, 25] is strongly affected by the bins width decision 165 that the user makes to divide the separation space .
- 166 Slonecker et al. proposed to described the orthogonality by information theory [26]. Such mutual 167 information includes peaks which cluster along the upward diagonal of the separation space. The
- proportion of mutual information compared to the total separation space is expected as low as possible. The limitation of this method is the reliance on the assumption that peaks only cluster along
- 170 the upward diagonal.
- 171 Liu et al. developed a geometrical approach based on the factor analysis [27]. This method shares the
- 172 same limitation than the previous one due to the assumption that peaks only cluster along the upward 173 diagonal.
- 174 In the convex-hull approach [28], the retention space of the compounds is defined by an area which is
- delimited by the most distant points on the two dimensional representation. The orthogonality is
- directly linked to this area. Empty parts of the separation space may introduce bias on orthogonalityevaluation.
- 178 The nearest-neighbor distance approach has been proposed by Nowik et al. [29, 30] in order to 179 calculate the distance from a given peak to its closest neighbor. The harmonic mean can be related to
- the degree of the clustering. This method is however highly dependent on the number of the peakswithin the separation space.
- 182 The asterisk equations approach proposed by Schoenmakers [31] is based on the normalized retention
- data of the compounds in each dimension. Despite the easy use of this method, the calculation of the
- orthogonality is based on normalized data which do not represent the effective total separation space.
- While this list may not be exhaustive, these proposed methods for measuring chromatographic orthogonality have their limitations and should be compared and discussed. But when dealing with preparative chromatography, these notions are not taken into account so far and to the best of our
- 189 knowledge, orthogonality evaluation has never been applied to the LLC field, not to mention 190 optimization. In this review, we will focus on the first pre-requisite, i.e. two-independent separation
- 191 mechanism for a preliminary evaluation of orthogonality.
- 192 For this purpose, two parameters can be taken into account. The first parameter is the global 193 correlation coefficient of the 2D-plot  $r^2$ . Orthogonal separation mechanisms by definition should not 194 be correlated, thus the peaks should spread throughout the separation space. As shown in the Figure 195 2, when the correlation coefficient is equal to 1 (Figure 2a), the chromatographic interactions endured 196 by the solutes are similar which means absence of orthogonality between the systems. As explained 197 by Stoll et al. [19] and presented in Figure 2c, the 2D-plot correlation coefficient r<sup>2</sup> can be low without 198 an important utilization of the two dimensional separation space. Thus, to ensure a higher orthogonality of the two dimensional separation, which will relate to better resolution and hence 199 200 better purity in preparative schemes, the coefficient correlation  $r^2$  has to be close to 0 and the 201 separation space has to be the largest as possible (Figure 2d). Moreover, in preparative
- chromatography, a special attention should be brought to clusters (Figure 2c). Indeed, while during
   method development, the compounds may appear resolved with sufficient purity using 2D separation,

the production aim, even set at few mg, provide the incentive for concentration and loading, whichinevitably results in peak broadening and overlapping.

206 207 [here Figure 2]

208 209

#### 210 Two dimensional LLC-LLC separations

211

From 1998 until 2015, the concept of two dimensional LLC-LLC separation have only been investigated on CCC apparatus, meaning coil-based instruments. Table 1 reports the publications found under our investigations, classified according to the selected transfer strategy, either online or offline. The interface, the fractions volume, and the instrumentation are described for comparison. The solutes are indicated in the references but are not the main purpose of the discussion here.

These two-dimensional separations have mainly been developed for preparative purposes of selected solutes, so only heart-cut mode was carried out, meaning that a limited number of fractions from the first dimension were transferred to the second dimension. Up to date, 17% of the applications are implemented in offline mode, thereby 83% of the applications are implemented in online mode. Compared to the offline mode, the online hyphenation needs a special equipment and technical skills. The fraction of interest from the first dimensional LLC has to be transferred in the second dimensional LLC with a special interface such as a switching valve, a sample loop or a solid-phase interface.

224 225

226

## 1- Switching valve interface

227 The very first online LLC-LLC separation using a switching valve was performed by Ito's group in 1998 228 [32] where only one fraction from the first dimension was directly introduced to the second dimension. 229 As shown in Figure 3, the switching valve directly connects the <sup>1</sup>D eluent to the <sup>2</sup>D column. The second 230 column was equilibrated and kept in rotation, while the elution in the first column was running with 231 UV detection and fraction collection. When the peak of interest was eluting out the first column, the 232 valve was switched to introduce the <sup>1</sup>D eluent directly to the second LLC column. After peak transfer, 233 the valve was switched back to loading position, the pump of the second instrument was started and 234 the elution of the selected targets could run in the <sup>2</sup>D column while the <sup>1</sup>D elution was back in process. 235 In the cited reference, the solvent system used in the secondary column was the exact same than the 236 one used in the primary column. Indeed, Ito developed this instrumentation in order to lengthen the 237 column to increase resolution on selected parts of the chromatogram, which implies using the same 238 solvent system in first and second coils. This application cannot then be considered as a two 239 dimensional separation, since the same separation mechanism is implemented in both coils. However, 240 this instrumentation set the stage for further 2D CCC development.

- 241
- 242 [here Figure 3]

243

244 If the first objective was to lengthen the column with this instrumentation, Ito's group developed then 245 three others applications in 2002, 2005 and 2006 using the same HSCCC apparatus and the same 246 interface for 2D separations [33-35]. In each paper, only one fraction of interest was introduced in the 247 second LLC column (heart-cut mode). As a part of the <sup>1</sup>D eluant is directly carried into the second LLC 248 column, the second solvent system has to be stable towards the <sup>1</sup>D mobile phase, to avoid 249 hydrodynamic equilibrium perturbation and <sup>2</sup>D stationary phase loss. In 2002 and 2006, Ito's group 250 used the very same solvents for the two columns or slightly changed the composition of the <sup>2</sup>D solvent 251 system [33, 35], which can be considered once again as a lengthening of the column. In 2005, however, 252 the *n*-hexane/dichloromethane solvent in first dimension was switch to chloroform in the second 253 dimension solvent system which apparently did not perturb the hydrodynamic equilibrium [34]. It can 254 be considered with these two slightly different columns, hence selectivity, that 2D-CCC emerged. With 255 this direct introduction strategy, the solvent compatibility is the main issue and only slight changes of composition are allowed. In terms of orthogonality, this strategy can only provide a weak improvementof the selectivity and peak separation.

258

259 More recently, Englert et al. [36] implemented online two dimensional separations using the same 260 switching valve strategy. Englert et al. used a second dimension to slightly change the selectivity on a 261 critical pair. The Kd values of the compounds in the cited solvent systems were estimated , thus the 2D orthogonality representation can be illustrated as shown in Figure 4. The correlation coefficient is 262 263 found at 0.875. This coefficient being close to 1, the separation mechanisms of the two dimensions are 264 considered highly similar and consequently the orthogonality between the two dimensions is low. 265 Changing *n*-hexane by cyclohexane did not bring selectivity as much as expected and the similar 266 separation mechanisms limited separation improvements.

267 268 [here Figure 4]

269

The main strategy developed in the works using a direct transfer of fractions from one column to another was to establish a first LLC separation with the best peak resolution and selectivity in a reasonable elution time and then to send only the unresolved compounds, usually one critical pair, to the second dimension for further separation. Unfortunately, because of the liquid nature of the <sup>2</sup>D stationary phase, the transfer of a large volume of <sup>1</sup>D mobile phase impacts the <sup>2</sup>D column stability and drastically reduces the choice of the <sup>2</sup>D solvent system. With this kind of interface, the two dimensional potential of the separation cannot be fully exploited.

- 2- Sample loop interface
- 278 279

280 As in 2D-LC, a sample loop can be used as interface. In 2007, Pan's group decided to implement a two 281 dimensional separation using a sample loop for a precise control of the transferred volume. A 146-ml 282 HSCCC column was used as first dimension and one 1500-ml CCC coil was used as second dimension. 283 As shown in Figure 5, a 50-ml sample loop was used as interface with three 3-port valves. In a first step, 284 the <sup>2</sup>D column was equilibrated while the injection of the sample in the first dimension was made. The 285 valves were switched to introduce the peak of interest to the sample loop. When the cut was over, the 286 valves were switched back to transfer the loop content in the second LLC column and the <sup>2</sup>D elution 287 ran while the elution in the first dimension was still in process. With this interface, the solvent 288 compatibility problem is somehow minimized as the transferred volume represents only 3% of the <sup>2</sup>D 289 column volume. However, precautions are still taken in the solvent system selection to avoid 290 hydrodynamic equilibrium perturbation. Indeed, it can be seen that Pan's group selected the same 291 solvents for the two columns (n-hexane-ethyl acetate-methanol-water) and just changed their 292 respective percentages (1:5:1:5 in <sup>1</sup>D to 3:5:3:5 in <sup>2</sup>D). As expected, this choice limited the equilibrium 293 perturbation, but kept the orthogonality low.

In the following years, the same group used this 2D instrumentation with loop interface in various coil
configurations, transferring to the second dimension from 6% column volume [38] to 14% column
volume [39].

- 297
- 298

299 [here Figure 5]

300 301

As for the previous interface, it is regretful that no global two dimensional strategy was employed for the selection of solvent systems. In the three publications, one or two compounds have undergone the full two dimensional separation so the analysis of an orthogonality plot is not relevant.

305 306

307

3- Solid-phase trapping column interface

308 309 In 2010, Pan's group decided to implement a solid-phase trapping interface in the online two 310 dimensional LLC-LLC instrumentation [40]. For this hyphenation, one 6-port switching valve, one 4-311 port switching valve and one trapping column were used with a make-up pump as presented in Figure 312 6. This configuration using two columns (coils) inside a single LLC instrument was named 313 "multichannel". By switching valves, the peak of interest from the first dimension was loaded in the 314 trapping column (Oasis HLB sorbent) after water was added with a make-up pump to increase analyte 315 retention on the trap. Nitrogen was flushed to dry the column and remove any residual solvents. Then 316 the trapped analytes were back-flushed to the second LLC column with the <sup>2</sup>D mobile phase, while the 317 elution was still running in first dimension. The serious advantages of this interface are the avoiding of 318 a large volume injection on the second column by the total elimination of the <sup>1</sup>D mobile phase and the 319 focusing effect of analytes before the second separation. The water and nitrogen flush ensure that 320 absolutely no residual solvent is sent from one dimension to the other. This extra precaution may be 321 too harsh on some analytes, which can be either degraded or irreversibly adsorbed on the trap as 322 mentioned by Pan [40, 41].

323

324 [here Figure 6] 325

326

327 In 2011, Pan's group [41] incremented their 2D instrumentation by setting a solid-phase trapping 328 interface with two trapping columns allowing two fractions to be sent to the second dimension. Once 329 again, the trapping columns were filled with Oasis HLB sorbent type. In this work, two very different 330 solvent systems were used: n-hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/water in first dimension and methyl 331 tert-butyl ether/acetonitrile/water in second dimension. This major change should provide very 332 different selectivities and maximize orthogonality. Unfortunately, as seen on Figure 7, over the four 333 compounds that underwent the two dimensional separation, only the first one seems to be affected 334 by this switch. The overall correlation coefficient is 0.4317 which is globally low, but if we focus on 335 compounds 2, 3 and 4, then it is visible that correlation is high and separation mechanisms are very 336 similar. The improvement brought by the use of a second dimension is alas not obvious for these 337 compounds.

- 338
- 339 [here Figure 7]

340 341

This solid-phase trapping interface allows the use of two chemically different biphasic solvent systems without any phase disturbance. Thus the separation mechanisms can be fully independent and the selectivity and orthogonality can be improved. Unfortunately, in Pan's work, the solvent systems were set up with the same solvent nature in different percentages. While the instrumentation made it possible to overcome a challenge, the selection of the solvent systems in the cited examples was not done as to provide completely different selectivities.

#### 4- Offline mode

349 350

348

To circumvent the difficulties linked to the transfer of fractions to the second dimension, and to be able to use conventional instruments in a timely manner, it is easier to physically collect fractions eluting from the first separation, eventually applying a sample prep, before injection in the second dimension. This is the case for 17% of the papers dealing so far with two dimensional LLC-LLC separations.

356

The first uses of the offline mode for LLC-LLC were implemented by Chen's group in 2013 [42-44] and the objective was to lengthen the column by using the same solvent system in first and second dimension. The recycling mode was also used for this purpose. This mode allowed to prolong the LLC separation course to improve the separation factor. These applications are then not considered as twodimensional separations since the very same separation mechanism was set in the two columns.

362

Currently Chen's group is very active in LLC-LLC two dimensional separations in offline mode [45, 46]. 363 364 In the two publications, the sample has been injected in the first LLC dimension then the fractions of 365 interest were collected and the solvents were evaporated before dissolution of the compounds of interest in the mobile phase of the second dimension. In this mode, several fractions could be sent to 366 367 the second dimension. The transfer is convenient and can be applied more widely. However it needs 368 more manual steps and manipulations which can introduce errors and contaminations. In the two 369 examples shown by Chen et al., the strategy to implement the two dimensional separation was a step-370 by-step strategy. The first biphasic solvent system allowed an efficient separation with suitable Kd 371 values with a satisfactory separation time. The second biphasic system was chosen to improve the 372 selectivity between the unseparated peaks from the first dimension. Since the solvent of the <sup>1</sup>D 373 fraction of interest was eliminated by evaporation, the solvent system in second dimension could be 374 completely different with an important selectivity improvement. In the first publication, targeting 375 flavonoids from tartary buckwheat, two chemically different solvent systems were used: n-376 hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/water in the first dimension and ethyl acetate/n-butanol/water in the 377 second dimension. Unfortunately, as seen on Figure 8a, these two different solvent systems exhibit 378 almost the same interactions with the solutes so separation mechanisms are correlated and 379 orthogonality of the 2D separation is really low. The selectivity is identical and one can question the 380 need of two separations in such conditions.

On the contrary, for the second example from Chen's group, targeting antioxidants from *Semen cassia* [46], the correlation coefficient is close to 0 (Figure 8b) and the two dimensional separation space is largely occupied. Indeed, in the second dimension, an aqueous copper solution was added to the same solvent system than in first dimension, to implement complexation which completely modifies the solutes retention. This induces a higher orthogonality and a better separation efficiency.

386

387 [here Figure 8]

388

389 This issue of solvent system comparison according to their different selectivities towards the targeted 390 compounds is actually scarcely discussed. In 2015, das Neves Costa et al. published a new approach 391 concerning the solvent system selectivities [47]. For a given application, two suitable solvent systems 392 are selected and the Kd values of the compounds of interest in the two selected solvent systems are 393 plotted on a 2D-plot with their peak widths. This is the only representation of an experimental 2D-plot 394 in the LLC literature so far (Figure 9). It highlights the various selectivity correlations depending on the 395 compound chemical nature, for example compounds 1-4 flavonoid glycosides. Then each critical pair 396 selectivity is reported on a scale range helping in the selection of one system or the other according to 397 the selected target. While this approach is not use to develop a 2D methodology, i.e, using both solvent 398 systems for the multi-targeted sample purification, this point of view is very appealing to help the 399 selection of the best solvent system for a specific objective.

400 401

402 [here Figure 9]

403

404

The combination of 2D LLC-LLC have been implemented to improve the separation as compared to only one dimension. While the improvement is sometimes only due to a longer column, an increase in selectivity on a critical pair can most of the time make the difference. It is however regretful that the orthogonal strategy is only exploited at the margin. Online interface has focused a lot of instrumental effort and now with the use of solid-phase interface it can allow real two dimensional strategy for the selection of solvent systems. Offline combination require time-consuming fraction handling but can lead to the same results without the financial investment. The difference between a heart-cutting multi-dimensional chromatographic technique and a comprehensive one is that the first enables the re-injection of a limited number of multi-component effluent fractions from a first to a second column, while in the comprehensive mode the entire sample is subjected to separation in both dimensions. So far in the literature, only heart-cutting strategies have been developed using LLC-LLC. In the case of more complex samples (over 50 compounds as found in natural products for example), a better orthogonality could definitely improve peak capacity and in a comprehensive strategy, allow the purification of a large number of compounds.

419

420 [here Table 1]

421 422

### 423 Two dimensional LLC-HPLC separations

424 425 The major issue restricting the use of one-dimensional solid-phase liquid chromatography (prepLC) is 426 the limited loading capacity that is due to the non-linear adsorption isotherms on solid stationary 427 phase. On the other hand, the chromatographic efficiency of particle-based prepLC results in low band 428 broadening and hence better resolution of closely retained compounds. Liquid-liquid chromatography 429 (either countercurrent chromatography, or centrifugal partition chromatography) is based on the use 430 of a liquid stationary phase. This allows the injection of large amounts of complex sample without the 431 major overloading issues encountered in LC. This LLC fractionation according to partition coefficients 432 provides to the <sup>2</sup>D LC simplified pools of molecules and hence, concentrated amounts of minor 433 compounds can be injected without competition with major compounds.

Up to date, 67% of the applications were made offline. Thereby, 33% of the applications were made
online using different interfaces. The separations were performed in heart-cut mode but also in a
comprehensive mode. Both LLC instrument types, namely CCC and CPC, were used for this purpose.

438

## 1- Offline mode

439 440

To carry out an offline two dimensional LLC-HPLC separation, two ways were used in order to manage
 the solvent compatibility for the transfer of the LLC fractions to HPLC dimension.

443 In eight publications [48-55], the fractions of interest from the LLC dimension were collected and the solvents were evaporated and solubilized in the <sup>2</sup>D mobile phase. However, sample stability upon 444 445 dryness and secondary dissolution in the <sup>2</sup>D remains a delicate step to be considered. In two other 446 publications [56, 57], the collected fractions from LLC dimension were just filtrated and injected in LC 447 dimension. In this case, the mobile phases of both dimensions have to be compatible, either close in 448 composition or generating a focusing effect on the head of the LC column. Indeed, the risk of a direct 449 transfer is that the injection on the secondary LC column of a large volume with high elution strength 450 generates dispersion so that the effective number of plates of the secondary LC column is drastically 451 reduced, impairing the resolution power.

452 For the remaining publications involving LLC and LC in a 2D strategy [58-60], no method for fractions 453 transfer was mentioned.

454

In order to evaluate the orthogonality of the system sets, the 2D representation of the two dimensional separations were investigated by plotting the Kd values of the compounds from the <sup>1</sup>D LLC against the k values of the compounds from the <sup>2</sup>D LC. The 2D representations are presented in Figure 10 for publications providing adequate retention data [49, 53, 57, 61].

In Zhu et al. in 2009 [49], *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/water was used as <sup>1</sup>D solvent system, the

460 recovered fractions were evaporated to dryness and solubilized in methanol to be transferred to a C18

- stationary phase with methanol/water mobile phase. With the elimination of the <sup>1</sup>D mobile phase
   before LC injection, two different dimensions were chosen without any solvent compatibility problems
  - 9

and thus two independent separation mechanisms were carried out, as proven by the low correlationin 2D plot (Figure 10a).

In 2015, Zhu et al. implemented a second 2D LLC-HPLC separation [53] with the same transfer strategy. The 2D representation is shown in Figure 10b. With the elimination of the <sup>1</sup>D mobile phase before LC injection, the solvent compatibility problems cannot arise. The solvent system *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/water was used as <sup>1</sup>D solvent system and an ODS stationary phase was implemented with acetonitrile/water mobile phase for the LC separation. Nevertheless, as shown on the figure, the correlation coefficient is close to 1 meaning that the separation mechanisms in the two dimensions are similar for the injected compounds. In this case, orthogonality is really low despite the

472 elimination of the <sup>1</sup>D mobile phase before LC injection was ensured. These two examples show that

473 even when solvent compatibility has been overcome and separation media seem different, the two

- 474 separation mechanisms need a full investigation to be optimized.
- 475

For some examples, no evaporation of the solvents was operated between the two columns and therecovered LLC fractions were directly injected as such in the secondary LC column.

In Wang's work [61], the aqueous-rich <sup>1</sup>D fraction (composition unknown) is directly sent on <sup>2</sup>D LC working at 32% methanol isocratic mobile phase. The first dimension clearly allows the separation of compound 2 with its impurities from compound 1 and compound 4 (with their respective impurities). On the 2D-plot (Figure 10c), it clearly appears that the LLC dimension is used as a basic fractionation step before LC separation whereas the separation mechanisms could be more different and the compounds could be easier to isolate. One can wonder about the usefulness of such a 2D strategy compared to one-dimensional preparative separation.

In Chen's work [57], the <sup>1</sup>D mobile phase was essentially water (93% water, 7% butanol). Therefore,
the mobile phase of the LLC dimension was highly compatible with the reverse phase LC system. The
separation mechanisms in the two dimensions are different (quite low correlation coefficient on Figure
10d) even if the two dimensional-separation space is not fully exploited. This case can cause issue for
the preparative transfer because of the possible coelution of the peaks.

490 491

#### 492 [here Figure 10]

493

In the reported offline LLC-LC papers, the solvent system of the first LLC dimension was chosen with the same criteria than the implementation of unidimensional LLC: suitable Kd values for satisfactory separation time, separation factor higher than 1.5, satisfactory stationary phase retention and polarity close to the sample polarity if known. Then, the unseparated compounds from the first LLC dimension were injected in HPLC to separate them. No optimization criteria were specifically mentioned for the LC system selection.

500

501 With the 1D mobile phase either discarded or compatible, the conditions are fulfilled to offer a wide 502 range of orthogonality sets. Regrettably, with the available data, only one application exhibited 503 satisfactory orthogonality.

- 504
- 505 506

## 2- Sample loop interface

507

508 Compared to the offline mode, the online hyphenation needs a special equipment and technical skills. 509 However, its automation makes it high reproducible and easier to use. The fraction of interest from 510 the <sup>1</sup>D LLC has to be transferred in the <sup>2</sup>D LC with a special interface such as a sample loop or a solid-511 phase interface.

512

In 2011 and 2012, Elfakir's group published two applications of two dimensional LLC-HPLC separations
 for analytical purposes using CPC devices [62, 63]. A 20 μl-sample loop was used with a 6-port switching

515 valve as interface between both dimensions. When the valve is in load position, the CPC outlet is sent 516 through the sample loop to the detection system. When the valve is in inject position, the effluent from the CPC column present in the sample loop is sent to HPLC, during that time CPC continues to 517 operate with the CPC effluent sent to the detection system. This interface is the simplest way to 518 519 implement an online two dimensional LLC-HPLC separation in an instrumental view since the LLC and 520 HPLC techniques have different backpressure and flow rate values. The LLC technique is a low-pressure chromatography (around 70 bars), whereas the HPLC is a high-pressure chromatography (around 400 521 522 bars). It is hence preferable to avoid direct injection. The techniques also use different flow rates. Small 523 scale CPC can be employed up to 15 ml/min, whereas analytical HPLC is generally set at around 1 ml/min. As the switching valve allows a physical separation between the two systems, physical 524 525 constraints are not a problem anymore.

- 526 However, by using a simple sample loop as interface, the mobile phase of the first dimension is still 527 present in the fraction which is transferred to the second dimension. Thus, the compatibility of the 528 mobile phases of both dimensions has to be studied to implement a satisfactory separation. In the 529 cited references, the mobile phase of the first dimension was composed of ethyl 530 acetate/methanol/water with traces of *n*-heptane whereas the mobile phase of the second dimension was acetonitrile/water. The injection solvent is miscible in the <sup>2</sup>D mobile phase, but the very high 531 532 elution strength should result in a very large contribution of injection process on the <sup>2</sup>D band 533 broadening. Different parameters were investigated to avoid peak deformation in the secondary 534 separation. With a standard mixture, the injection solvent, the injected volume and the injected 535 amount were studied [62]. They concluded that, according to the HPLC column dispersion, any fraction 536 coming from the CPC column could be injected if the transferred volume did not exceed 20 µl (2% LC 537 column volume). This instrumentation is hence perfectly adapted for analytical purposes or for HPLC-538 guided fractionation. It would be interesting to further develop this approach with a larger preparative 539 LC column as second dimension for preparative purposes.
- 540

541 In 2013, Wei et al. also used this interface with an 8ml-sample loop for the hyphenation of CCC and 542 LC devices at semi-preparative scale [64]. In their work, the strategy to avoid the phase compatibility 543 problems was to use similar mobile phases for the two dimensions. The <sup>1</sup>D mobile phase was made 544 of ethyl acetate/methanol/acetonitrile/water (exact composition undefined, but about 30% water) 545 and the <sup>2</sup>D mobile phase was methanol/water 65:35. The transferred volume was equivalent to 15% 546 of the <sup>2</sup>D column volume. The risk of this strategy can be the lack of selectivity between the two 547 dimensions due to the use of the same solvents. Unfortunately, retention data were not available in 548 the publication to discuss this point.

549 550

551

552

#### 3- Solid-phase trapping columns interface

Qiu's group is the only group to have carried out solid-phase trapping columns as interface for online two dimensional LLC-LC separations. This interface allows the complete elimination of the <sup>1</sup>D mobile phase, the focusing of the solutes on the trapping columns and the transfer to HPLC with compatible injection solvent. Four publications have been published in 2014 and 2015 using CCC devices and preparative LC [65-68].

558

559 The interface of the first application of Qiu's group in 2014 [65] is presented in Figure 11. The fractions of interest underwent a mixing step in a dynamic mixer to decrease the solvent strength by adding 560 561 water with a make-up pump. Then, the effluent was sent to a 2-position 10-port valve containing two 562 C18 holding columns allowing the transfer of fractions of interest to second LC dimension. Two trapping columns are implemented in order to allow desorption of the solutes of the LLC fraction 563 564 trapped on the first trapping column towards LC column while the second LLC fraction is sent to the 565 second trapping column. With this strategy, the entire <sup>1</sup>D fractions can be sent to the interface for LC 566 injection without stopping the LLC elution.

#### 568 [here Figure 11]

569

570 By using this interface, the <sup>1</sup>D solvents were eliminated. Moreover, with the use of two holding columns, this interface allowed a comprehensive separation in the sense that the entire sample can 571 572 undergo the two dimensional separation. For this comprehensive mode, the time compatibility issue 573 between both techniques was studied. In the cited reference, the entire LLC peaks were transferred 574 to LC dimension meaning that every LLC peak requires one LC separation run. At preparative scale, the 575 LC time separation reached 60 minutes. Thus, to ensure time compatibility, a flow-programming scheme was considered for the LLC implementation. In the flow-programming mode, the LLC 576 577 dimension was operated at a controlled flow rate to ensure that each LLC fraction eluted within LC 578 separation time frame. The rotation speed was kept the same during the whole LLC separation. This 579 strategy was also applied in 2015 with an instrumental improvement on the water addition before 580 trapping, through the use of a fragmentary dilution and turbulent mixing interface [68].

581

582 In 2014 and 2015, Qiu's group illustrated their development through two new 2D LLC-HPLC separation 583 examples [66, 67]. For these applications, the same instrumentation was enforced with the same two 584 trapping columns. In order to ensure the time compatibility between the two different techniques, a 585 stop-and-go mode was considered for the LLC implementation. While in flow-programming mode, the 586 <sup>1</sup>D flow rate was usually slowed down, in the stop-and-go mode, the <sup>1</sup>D flow rate was punctually 587 stopped (but not the CCC rotation). Indeed, the processing of the transferred fraction by LC requires 588 around 30 min, which is much more than the time required to fill up a trapping column. Thus while the 589 two trapping columns were processed, the flow rate was stopped in the CCC. It is considered that the 590 band broadening that resulted from diffusion during this holding time was not prejudicial to the <sup>1</sup>D 591 separation. 592

593 [here Figure 12]

594 595

596 While developing a 2D method for the isolation of coumarin derivatives from traditional Chinese plant 597 on LLC to avoid irreversible adsorption on silica [66], Qiu's group observed that for some compounds 598 which had close Kd values in LLC, their elution properties, and as first, their selectivity were different 599 in HPLC. After careful investigation concerning these differences, they choose the biphasic LLC solvent 600 system that provided a selectivity as opposite as possible to the LC selectivity. This lead to a very nice 601 multi-targeted separation of 16 compounds (Figure 12) and the isolation for the first time of two minor 602 linear coumarins and one angular coumarin. Here a real two dimensional strategy was carried out in 603 terms of solvent selection and this is the first publication to discuss resolution, efficiency and 604 orthogonality for a two dimensional separation involving LLC two dimensional. For the first time, LLC 605 solvent system was chosen in regards to the complementary selectivity LC provided as well. This 606 resulted in a full usage of the separation space and a globally low correlation coefficient. Looking closer 607 it is however visible that some compounds undergo similar separation mechanisms in the two 608 dimensions (7,8 and 9 in one group, 10,12,13 and 14 in another). Compounds 1 to 5 are separated only 609 thanks to the second dimension. This may cause issue at preparative scale when peaks may coelute 610 due to large loading. On the 2D plot, it is also easy to observe that neither LLC nor LC would have been 611 able to individually perform, as for example compounds 10,12,13,14 coelute in LLC and 3, 8 and 13 612 would not have been resolved in LC. This success clearly highlights the power of 2D separations. 613

614

615 While the common sense would consider set the high loading capacity, low efficiency, high selectivity 616 LLC technique before the high resolution LC, it is worth mentioning that some researchers 617 experimented the other way around. In 2013, Shi et al. presented a combination of preparative HPLC 618 and LLC to separate lignane derivatives [69]. They considered that for their application, neither 619 preparative HPLC nor LLC could purify all the target active compounds with high purity in one-step 620 separation. Thus, they found that the combination of preparative HPLC and LLC was efficient to reach 621 their goal because of their complementary and orthogonal properties. Surprisingly, in their strategy, HPLC allowed the simplification of complex samples into fractions. They considered that HPLC is a 622 623 powerful technology with high efficiency and selectivity but that the resolution in preparative LC is 624 fairly low. Effectively, this is the case in their application and on the chromatogram, the resolution was 625 really poor and the selectivity between peaks of interest was clearly low. Only two fractions could be 626 recovered for seven compounds. This is the claimed reason why they used preparative LC as first 627 dimension. Then the LLC separation gave a better selectivity for the peaks and allowed the isolation of 628 the compounds with a high purity. The sample was injected through a preparative LC with a 500 x 80 629 mm column packed with 5  $\mu$ m octadecylsilane stationary phase. The mobile phase was a gradient 630 composed of ethanol/water. The collected fractions were evaporated to dryness. Only two lyophilized 631 fractions were then sent to LLC separation with two different solvent systems: ethyl acetate-ethanol-632 water (2:1:3) solvent system and petroleum ether-ethyl acetate-methanol-water (2:5:2:5) solvent 633 system were investigated. This strategy is interesting to investigate nevertheless it remains an unusual 634 one. As said previously, LC is a technique with lower loading capacity than LLC. Thus, it could be better 635 to enforce LLC as first dimension to make use of this advantage and to benefit from more 636 chromatographic plates in the second dimension to achieve proper resolution.

- 637
- 638 639

#### 640 Conclusion and outlook

641

642 The two dimensional separations combining LLC technique with LLC or HPLC techniques are interesting 643 at preparative scale in order to isolate and purify compounds from a highly complex sample. From all 644 the investigated publications, including LLC-LLC and LLC-HPLC hyphenations, 90% of the applications 645 are implemented at preparative scale for further NMR identification of isolated compounds and so for 646 the production of high quality standards.

647 The two dimensional separations involve different separation mechanisms meaning different selectivities and high orthogonality between the two dimensions. In order to get these different 648 649 separation mechanisms, a solvent switch should be performed between first and second dimension to 650 generate new column-solutes interactions. Thus, solvents compatibility problems can occur between 651 the dimensions. These issues are more significant when the second dimension possesses a liquid 652 stationary phase as the physical stability issue adds to the band broadening issue. The type of interface 653 between the dimensions has hence a major influence on the success of hyphenation. If there is no 654 compatibility problems between the two dimensions solvents (or if they are minimized by small 655 fraction volumes injection), the injection of the <sup>1</sup>D fraction to the second dimension can be achieved 656 in online mode by a direct transfer using a switching valve or a sample loop to control the injection volume. To avoid any compatibility issue, the removal of the <sup>1</sup>D mobile phase solvents can be achieved 657 either off-line or online mode using solid-phase trapping columns. 658

659

The instrumental evolution shall allow an improvement of the orthogonality due to the possible selectivity difference between both dimensions. Regrettably, this was not often the case in the examples. A slight change in solvent system composition was mostly observed and no global two dimensional strategy was enforced to select the solvent systems which could give the best orthogonality. The LLC-LLC hyphenation was mainly used as a gradient strategy with a slight change in solvent system composition to separate closely related compounds.

666 Beyond the instrumental evolution, the priority to enforce a two dimensional separation using the LLC 667 technique with a high peak capacity (either with another LLC or with LC) must be set on a global two

668 dimensional methodology to select the chromatographic columns offering the best orthogonality.

669 Considering 2D-plot at the first stage of method development is one of the paths that can be taken for

- 670 that purpose. Then multiple-targeted compounds and / or comprehensive purifications could offer
- 671 more to the discovery of new molecules.

#### 674 References

- 675
- [1] Y. Ito, Origin and Evolution of the Coil Planet Centrifuge: A Personal Reflection of My 40 Years ofCCC Research and Development, Separation & Purification Reviews, 34 (2005) 131-154.
- [2] L.C. Craig, O. Post, Apparatus for Countercurrent Distribution, Analytical Chemistry, 21 (1949) 500-504.
- [3] W.D. Conway, Counter-current chromatography: Simple process and confusing terminology,
  Journal of Chromatography A, 1218 (2011) 6015-6023.
- [4] S.M.P. Guido F Pauli, J Brent Friesen, Countercurrent Separation of Natural Products, J. Nat. Prod.,
  71 (2008) 1489-1508.
- [5] Y. Ito, J.-M. Menet, Coil planet centrifuges for High-Speed countercurrent chromatography, in: J. M. Menet, D. Thiébaut (Eds.) Countercurrent Chromatography, Marcel Dekker, 1999, pp. 87-119.
- [6] A. Berthod, T. Maryutina, B. Spivakov, O. Shpigun, I.A. Sutherland, Countercurrent chromatography
  in analytical chemistry: (IUPAC Technical Report), Pure and Applied Chemistry, (2009) 355.
- [7] A. Berthod, K. Talabardon, Centrifugal Partition Chromatography: operating parameters and
   partition coefficient determination, in: J.-M. Menet, D. Thiébaut (Eds.) Countercurrent
   chromatography, Marcel Dekker, 1999, pp. 121-148.
- [8] W.D. Conway, Countercurrent Chromatography: Apparatus, Theory and Applications, VCHPublishers, 1990.
- [9] A. Berthod, Countercurrent Chromatography the support-free liquid stationary phase, in:694 Comprehensive analytical chemistry, Elsevier Science, 2002.
- [10] K. Skalicka-Wozniak, I. Garrard, A comprehensive classification of solvent systems used for natural
   product purifications in countercurrent and centrifugal partition chromatograhy, Natural Product
   Reports, (2015) 1556-1561.
- 698 [11] A. Berthod, M.J. Ruiz-Ángel, S. Carda-Broch, Countercurrent chromatography: People and 699 applications, Journal of Chromatography A, 1216 (2009) 4206-4217.
- [12] R. Hu, Y. Pan, Recent trends in counter-current chromatography, TrAC Trends in AnalyticalChemistry, 40 (2012) 15-27.
- [13] J.B.M. J Brent Friesen, Shao-Nong Chen, Guido F Pauli, Countercurrent separation of natural
   products: an update, journal of natural products, 78 (2015) 1765-1796.
- [14] L. Yin, Y. Li, B. Lu, Y. Jia, J. Peng, Trends in Counter-Current Chromatography: Applications to
   Natural Products Purification, Separation & Purification Reviews, 39 (2010) 33-62.
- T. Michel, E. Destandau, C. Elfakir, New advances in countercurrent chromatography and
   centrifugal partition chromatography: focus on coupling strategy, Analytical & Bioanalytical Chemistry,
   406 (2014) 957-969.
- 709 [16] X.-M. Xie, W.-Y. Sun, J.-Y. Huang, N. Polachi, L. Tong, G.-X. Sun, Preparative High Performance
- Liquid Chromatography-based Multidimensional Chromatography and Its Application in Traditional
   Chinese Medicine, Chinese Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 44 (2016) 1140-1147.
- [17] T. Kapp, W. Vetter, Offline coupling of high-speed counter-current chromatography and gas
  chromatography/mass spectrometry generates a two-dimensional plot of toxaphene components,
  Journal of Chromatography A, 1216 (2009) 8391-8397.
- [18] T. Inui, Y. Wang, S.M. Pro, S.G. Franzblau, G.F. Pauli, Unbiased evaluation of bioactive secondary
   metabolites in complex matrices, Fitoterapia, 83 (2012) 1218-1225.
- [19] D.R. Stoll, X. Li, X. Wang, P.W. Carr, S.E.G. Porter, S.C. Rutan, Fast, comprehensive two-dimensional
  liquid chromatography, Journal of Chromatography A, 1168 (2007) 3-43.
- 719 [20] P. Dugo, F. Cacciola, T. Kumm, G. Dugo, L. Mondello, Comprehensive multidimensional liquid
   720 chromatography: Theory and applications, Journal of Chromatography A, 1184 (2008) 353-368.
- [21] G. Guiochon, N. Marchetti, K. Mriziq, R.A. Shalliker, Implementations of two-dimensional liquid
- chromatography, Journal of Chromatography A, 1189 (2008) 109-168.
- 723 [22] I. François, K. Sandra, P. Sandra, Comprehensive liquid chromatography: Fundamental aspects and
- practical considerations—A review, Analytica Chimica Acta, 641 (2009) 14-31.

- [23] P.J. Marriott, Z.-y. Wu, P. Schoenmakers, Nomenclature and conventions in comprehensive
   multidimensional chromatography An update, LCGC Europe, 25 (2012).
- [24] M. Gilar, P. Olivova, A.E. Daly, J.C. Gebler, Orthogonality of Separation in Two-Dimensional Liquid
   Chromatography, Analytical Chemistry, 77 (2005) 6426-6434.
- [25] M. Gilar, J. Fridrich, M.R. Schure, A. Jaworski, Comparison of Orthogonality Estimation Methods
- for the Two-Dimensional Separations of Peptides, Analytical Chemistry, 84 (2012) 8722-8732.
- [26] P.J. Slonecker, X. Li, T.H. Ridgway, J.G. Dorsey, Informational Orthogonality of Two-Dimensional
   Chromatographic Separations, Analytical Chemistry, 68 (1996) 682-689.
- 733 [27] L.I.U. Zaiyou, D.G.J. Patterson, M.L. Lee, Geometric approach to factor analysis for the estimation
- of orthogonality and practical peak capacity in comprehensive two-dimensional separations (English),
   Analytical chemistry (Washington, DC), 67 (1995) 3840-3845.
- 736 [28] S.C. Rutan, J.M. Davis, P.W. Carr, Fractional coverage metrics based on ecological home range for
- calculation of the effective peak capacity in comprehensive two-dimensional separations, Journal ofChromatography A, 1255 (2012) 267-276.
- 739 [29] W. Nowik, S. Héron, M. Bonose, M. Nowik, A. Tchapla, Assessment of Two-Dimensional Separative
- 740 Systems Using Nearest-Neighbor Distances Approach. Part 1: Orthogonality Aspects, Analytical 741 Chemistry, 85 (2013) 9449-9458.
- 742 [30] W. Nowik, M. Bonose, S. Héron, M. Nowik, A. Tchapla, Assessment of Two-Dimensional Separative
- 743 Systems Using the Nearest Neighbor Distances Approach. Part 2: Separation Quality Aspects, Analytical
   744 Chemistry, 85 (2013) 9459-9468.
- [31] M. Camenzuli, P.J. Schoenmakers, A new measure of orthogonality for multi-dimensionalchromatography, Analytica Chimica Acta, 838 (2014) 93-101.
- [32] F. Yang, J. Quan, T.Y. Zhang, Y. Ito, Multidimensional counter-current chromatographic system and
  its application, Journal of Chromatography A, 803 (1998) 298-301.
- [33] G. Tian, T. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Ito, Separation of tanshinones from *Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge* by
   multidimensional counter-current chromatography, Journal of Chromatography A, 945 (2002) 281 285.
- 752 [34] J. Wei, Z. Tianyou, I. Yoichiro, Preparative Separation of Tripdiolide from Chinese Traditional Herb
- by Multidimensional CCC, Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies, 28 (2005) 1903-1911.
- [35] Y. Wei, Y. Ito, Preparative isolation of imperatorin, oxypeucedanin and isoimperatorin from
  traditional Chinese herb "bai zhi" *Angelica dahurica* (Fisch. ex Hoffm) Benth. et Hook using
  multidimensional high-speed counter-current chromatography, Journal of Chromatography A, 1115
  (2006) 112-117.
- [36] M. Englert, L. Brown, W. Vetter, Heart-Cut Two-Dimensional Countercurrent Chromatographywith a Single Instrument, Analytical Chemistry, 87 (2015) 10172-10177.
- [37] Y. Lu, C. Sun, R. Liu, Y. Pan, Effective two-dimensional counter-current chromatographic method
   for simultaneous isolation and purification of oridonin and ponicidin from the crude extract of
   *Rabdosia rubescens*, Journal of Chromatography A, 1146 (2007) 125-130.
- [38] Y. Lu, R. Hu, Z. Dai, Y. Pan, Preparative separation of anti-oxidative constituents from *Rubia cordifolia* by column-switching counter-current chromatography, Journal of Separation Science, 33
   (2010) 2200-2205.
- [39] Y. Lu, C. Sun, Y. Wang, Y. Pan, Two-dimensional counter-current chromatography for the
  preparative separation of prenylflavonoids from *Artocarpus altilis*, Journal of Chromatography A, 1151
  (2007) 31-36.
- [40] R.H. Yanbin Lu, Yuanjiang Pan, Integrated Countercurrent Extraction of Natural Products: A
   Combination of Liquid and Solid Supports, Analytical Chemistry, 82 (2010) 3081-3085.
- [41] R. Hu, X. Dai, X. Xu, C. Sun, Y. Pan, Two-dimensional counter-current chromatography: 1st
  Traditional counter-current chromatography, 2nd acid–base elution counter-current chromatography,
  Journal of Chromatography A, 1218 (2011) 6085-6091.
- 775 [42] Q. Liu, S. Shi, L. Liu, H. Yang, W. Su, X. Chen, Separation and purification of bovine serum albumin
- binders from Fructus polygoni orientalis using off-line two-dimensional complexation high-speed

- counter-current chromatography target-guided by ligand fishing, Journal of Chromatography A, 1304(2013) 183-193.
- [43] K. Faure, E. Bouju, P. Suchet, A. Berthod, Use of Limonene in Countercurrent Chromatography: A
  Green Alkane Substitute, Analytical chemistry, 85 (2013) 4644-4650.
- [44] Q. Liu, H. Zeng, S. Jiang, L. Zhang, F. Yang, X. Chen, H. Yang, Separation of polyphenols from leaves
  of *Malus hupehensis* (Pamp.) Rehder by off-line two-dimensional High Speed Counter-Current
  Chromatography combined with recycling elution mode, Food Chemistry, 186 (2015) 139-145.
- 784 [45] S. Jiang, Q. Liu, Y. Xie, H. Zeng, L. Zhang, X. Jiang, X. Chen, Separation of five flavonoids from tartary
- buckwheat (*Fagopyrum tataricum (L.) Gaertn*) grains via off-line two dimensional high-speed counter current chromatography, Food Chemistry, 186 (2015) 153-159.
- [46] H. Zeng, Q. Liu, M. Wang, S. Jiang, L. Zhang, X. He, J. Wang, X. Chen, Target-guided separation of
  antioxidants from Semen cassia via off-line two-dimensional high-speed counter-current
  chromatography combined with complexation and extrusion elution mode, Journal of
  Chromatography B, 1001 (2015) 58-65.
- [47] F.d.N. Costa, G. Jerz, F.d.S. Figueiredo, P. Winterhalter, G.G. Leitão, Solvent system selectivities in
- countercurrent chromatography using *Salicornia gaudichaudiana* metabolites as practical example
- with off-line electrospray mass-spectrometry injection profiling, Journal of Chromatography A, 1385(2015) 20-27.
- [48] J.C.T. Silva, G.N. Jham, R.D.a.L. Oliveira, L. Brown, Purification of the seven tetranortriterpenoids
   in neem (*Azadirachta indica*) seed by counter-current chromatography sequentially followed by
   isocratic preparative reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography, Journal of
   Chromatography A, 1151 (2007) 203-210.
- [49] L. Zhu, H. Li, Y. Liang, X. Wang, H. Xie, T. Zhang, Y. Ito, Application of high-speed counter-current
- chromatography and preparative high-performance liquid chromatography mode for rapid isolation of
- anthraquinones from *Morinda officinalis How*, Separation and Purification Technology, 70 (2009) 147-152.
- [50] Z. Hou, J. Luo, J. Wang, L. Kong, Separation of minor coumarins from *Peucedanum praeruptorum*using HSCCC and preparative HPLC guided by HPLC/MS, Separation and Purification Technology, 75
  (2010) 132-137.
- [51] T. Chen, Y. Liu, D. Zou, C. Chen, J. You, G. Zhou, J. Sun, Y. Li, Application of an efficient strategy
   based on liquid–liquid extraction, high-speed counter-current chromatography, and preparative HPLC
   for the rapid enrichment, separation, and purification of four anthraquinones from *Rheum tanguticum*,
- 809 Journal of Separation Science, 37 (2014) 165-170.
- 810 [52] H. Ying, J. Liu, Q. Du, Analysis and determination of oestrogen-active compounds in *fructus amomi*
- by the combination of high-speed counter-current chromatography and high performance liquid chromatography, Journal of Chromatography B, 958 (2014) 36-42.
- 813 [53] L. Zhu, B. Li, X. Liu, G. Huang, X. Meng, Purification of six lignans from the stems of *Schisandra* 814 *chinensis* by using high-speed counter-current chromatography combined with preparative high-815 performance liquid chromatography, Food Chemistry, 186 (2015) 146-152.
- [54] W. Guo, L. Wang, Y. Gao, B. Zhao, D. Wang, W. Duan, Z. Yu, Isolation of isochlorogenic acid isomers
   in flower buds of *Lonicera japonica* by high-speed counter-current chromatography and preparative
- high performance liquid chromatography, Journal of Chromatography B, 981–982 (2015) 27-32.
- [55] T. Chen, H. Li, D. Zou, Y. Liu, C. Chen, G. Zhou, Y. Li, Separation of three anthraquinone glycosides
  including two isomers by preparative high-performance liquid chromatography and high-speed
  countercurrent chromatography from *Rheum tanguticum Maxim ex. Balf*, Journal of Separation
  Science, 39 (2016) 3105-3112.
- 823 [56] D. de Beer, G. Jerz, E. Joubert, V. Wray, P. Winterhalter, Isolation of isomangiferin from honeybush
- 824 (*Cyclopia subternata*) using high-speed counter-current chromatography and high-performance liquid 825 chromatography, Journal of Chromatography A, 1216 (2009) 4282-4289.
- 826 [57] D. Chen, J. Fan, P. Wang, L. Zhu, Y. Jin, Y. Peng, S. Du, Isolation, identification and antioxidative
- 827 capacity of water-soluble phenylpropanoid compounds from *Rhodiola crenulata*, Food Chemistry, 134
- 828 (2012) 2126-2133.

- [58] Q. Du, Z. Jiang, D. Wang, Excellent combination of counter-current chromatography and
   preparative high-performance liquid chromatography to separate galactolipids from pumpkin, Journal
   of Chromatography A, 1216 (2009) 4176-4180.
- [59] Q.-B. Han, Y. Zhou, C. Feng, G. Xu, S.-X. Huang, S.-L. Li, C.-F. Qiao, J.-Z. Song, D.C. Chang, K.Q. Luo,
  H.-X. Xu, Bioassay guided discovery of apoptosis inducers from gamboge by high-speed countercurrent chromatography and high-pressure liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization
  quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry, Journal of Chromatography B, 877 (2009) 401-407.
- [60] G.-j.-s. Cheng, G.-k. Li, X.-h. Xiao, Microwave-assisted extraction coupled with counter-current
   chromatography and preparative liquid chromatography for the preparation of six furocoumarins from
   *Angelica Pubescentis Radix*, Separation and Purification Technology, 141 (2015) 143-149.
- [61] X. Wang, Y. Liang, L. Zhu, H. Xie, H. Li, J. He, M. Pan, T. Zhang, Y. Ito, Preparative isolation and
  purification of flavone C-glycosides from the leaves of ficus microcarpa by medium-pressure liquid
  chromatography, high speed countercurrent chromatography and preparative liquid chromatography,
  Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies, 33 (2010) 462-480.
- 843 [62] T. Michel, E. Destandau, C. Elfakir, On-line hyphenation of centrifugal partition chromatography 844 and high pressure liquid chromatography for the fractionation of flavonoids from *Hippophaë* 845 *rhamnoides L.* berries, Journal of Chromatography A, 1218 (2011) 6173-6178.
- [63] T. Michel, E. Destandau, L. Fougère, C. Elfakir, New 'hyphenated' CPC-HPLC-DAD-MS strategy for
   simultaneous isolation, analysis and identification of phytochemicals: application to xanthones from
   *Garcinia mangostana*, Analytical & Bioanalytical Chemistry, 404 (2012) 2963-2972.
- [64] Y. Wei, W. Huang, Y. Gu, Online isolation and purification of four phthalide compounds from
   *Chuanxiong* rhizoma using high-speed counter-current chromatography coupled with semi preparative liquid chromatography, Journal of Chromatography A, 1284 (2013) 53-58.
- [65] Y.-K. Qiu, X. Yan, M.-J. Fang, L. Chen, Z. Wu, Y.-F. Zhao, Two-dimensional countercurrent
  chromatography × high performance liquid chromatography for preparative isolation of toad venom,
  Journal of Chromatography A, 1331 (2014) 80-89.
- [66] J.-L. Liu, X.-Y. Wang, L.-L. Zhang, M.-J. Fang, Y.-L. Wu, Z. Wu, Y.-K. Qiu, Two-dimensional
   countercurrent chromatography × high performance liquid chromatography with heart-cutting and
   stop-and-go techniques for preparative isolation of coumarin derivatives from *Peucedanum praeruptorum Dunn*, Journal of Chromatography A, 1374 (2014) 156-163.
- [67] W.-B. Chen, S.-Q. Li, L.-J. Chen, M.-J. Fang, Q.-C. Chen, Z. Wu, Y.-L. Wu, Y.-K. Qiu, Online polar two
  phase countercurrent chromatography x high performance liquid chromatography for preparative
  isolation of polar polyphenols from tea extract in a single step, Journal of Chromatography B-Analytical
  Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences, 997 (2015) 179-186.
- [68] D. Wang, L.-J. Chen, J.-L. Liu, X.-Y. Wang, Y.-L. Wu, M.-J. Fang, Z. Wu, Y.-K. Qiu, On-line two dimensional countercurrent chromatography × high performance liquid chromatography system with
   a novel fragmentary dilution and turbulent mixing interface for preparation of coumarins from *Cnidium monnieri*, Journal of Chromatography A, 1406 (2015) 215-223.
- [69] S.-Y. Shi, M.-J. Peng, Y.-P. Zhang, S. Peng, Combination of preparative HPLC and HSCCC methods
  to separate phosphodiesterase inhibitors from *Eucommia ulmoides* bark guided by ultrafiltrationbased ligand screening, Analytical & Bioanalytical Chemistry, 405 (2013) 4213-4223.
- 870 [70] Q. Liu, J. Zhou, J. Yu, Y. Xie, X. Jiang, H. Yang, X. Chen, Systematic and efficient separation of 11
- 871 compounds from *Rhizoma Chuanxiong* via counter-current chromatography–solid phase extraction–
- counter-current chromatography hyphenation, Journal of Chromatography A, 1364 (2014) 204-213.

#### **Figure captions**

Figure 1. Schematic design of (a) Countercurrent chromatography coil and (b) Centrifugal partitionchromatography rotor.

Figure 2. 2D orthogonality representation of two dimensional separations: (a) strong correlation coefficient  $r^2$ ; (b) low correlation coefficient  $r^2$  and low utilization of the 2D space; (c) low correlation coefficient  $r^2$  without optimized utilization of the 2D space; (d) low correlation coefficient  $r^2$  and optimized utilization of the 2D space. Modified from [19].

- Figure 3. First online two dimensional LLC-LLC instrumentation using a 6-port switching valve as
   interface between <sup>1</sup>D LLC and <sup>2</sup>D LLC [32].
- Figure 4. 2D orthogonality representation of a two dimensional LLC-LLC separation using switching valve interface. Data from reference [36]. <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: *n*-hexane/methyl *tert*-butyl ether/methanol/water 5:2:5:3 and <sup>2</sup>D solvent system: cyclohexane/methyl *tert*-butyl ether/methanol/water 5:2:5:3.
- Figure 5. Homemade column switching system interface used by Pan's group between <sup>1</sup>D LLC and <sup>2</sup>D
   LLC. Modified from [37].
- Figure 6. Solid-phase trapping column interface used by Pan's group between <sup>1</sup>D LLC and <sup>2</sup>D LLC.
   Modified from [40].
- Figure 7. 2D orthogonality representation of a two dimensional LLC-LLC separation using solid-phase trapping columns interface. Data from reference [41]. <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/water 1:1:1:1 and <sup>2</sup>D solvent system: methyl *tert*-butyl ether/acetonitrile/water 2:2:3.
- 895 Figure 8. 2D orthogonality representations two dimensional LLC-LLC separations in offline mode: (a) 896 <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: n-hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/water 3:5:3:5 and <sup>2</sup>D solvent system: ethyl acetate/n-butanol/water 7:3:10, data from [45]; (b) <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: petroleum ether/ethyl 897 898 acetate/methanol/water 1:1:1:1 and <sup>2</sup>D solvent system: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate/methanol/water + Cu<sup>2+</sup> 1:1:1:1, data from [46]. 899
- Figure 9. Experimental 2D-plot implemented in das Neves Costa et al. solvent system selectionapproach. Modified from [47].
- 902 Figure 10. 2D orthogonality representations of offline LLC-HPLC two dimensional separations: (a) <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: n-hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/water 6:4:5:5 and <sup>2</sup>D system: C18 in 903 904 methanol/water 60:40 isocratic mobile phase; Data from Zhu et al. [49]: (b) <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: n-905 hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/water 1:1:1:1 and <sup>2</sup>D system: ODS in acetonitrile/water 50:50 isocratic 906 mobile phase; Data from Zhu et al. [53]: (c) <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: methyl tert-butyl ether/ethyl acetate/1-907 butanol/acetonitrile/modified water 1:3:1:1:5 and <sup>2</sup>D system: ODS in methanol/water isocratic mobile 908 phase; Data from Wang et al. [60] and (d)  $^{1}$ D solvent system: ethyl acetate/n-butanol/water 0.5:4.5:5 909 and <sup>2</sup>D system: C18 in methanol/water isocratic mobile phase; Data from Chen et al. [57]
- Figure 11. Solid-phase trapping columns interface between 1<sup>st</sup> dimensional LLC and 2<sup>nd</sup> dimensional
   HPLC. Modified from [65].
- 912 Figure 12. Two dimensional LLC-HPLC separation using solid-phase trapping columns interface and 913 stop-and-go mode [66] and its corresponding 2D orthogonality representation: <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: *n*-
- 914 heptane/acetone/water 31:50:19 and <sup>2</sup>D system: C18 in methanol/water gradient as mobile phase.





916 Figure 1. Schematic design of (a) Countercurrent chromatography coil and (b) Centrifugal partition

917 chromatography rotor.



Figure 2. 2D orthogonality representation of two dimensional separations: (a) strong correlation coefficient  $r^2$ ; (b) low correlation coefficient  $r^2$  and low utilization of the 2D space; (c) low correlation coefficient  $r^2$  without optimized utilization of the 2D space; (d) low correlation coefficient  $r^2$  and optimized utilization of the 2D space. Modified from [19].

924





Figure 3. First online two dimensional LLC-LLC instrumentation using a 6-port switching valve as
 interface between <sup>1</sup>D LLC and <sup>2</sup>D LLC [32].



Kd of 1st dimension

Figure 4. 2D orthogonality representation of a two dimensional LLC-LLC separation using switching
 valve interface. Data from reference [36]. <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: *n*-hexane/methyl *tert*-butyl
 ether/methanol/water 5:2:5:3 and <sup>2</sup>D solvent system: cyclohexane/methyl *tert*-butyl
 ether/methanol/water 5:2:5:3.



Figure 5. Homemade column switching system interface used by Pan's group between <sup>1</sup>D LLC and <sup>2</sup>D
 LLC. Modified from [37].



Figure 6. Solid-phase trapping column interface used by Pan's group between <sup>1</sup>D LLC and <sup>2</sup>D LLC.
 Modified from [40].



Figure 7. 2D orthogonality representation of a two dimensional LLC-LLC separation using solid-phase
 trapping columns interface. Data from reference [41]. <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: *n*-hexane/ethyl
 acetate/methanol/water 1:1:1:1 and <sup>2</sup>D solvent system: methyl *tert*-butyl ether/acetonitrile/water
 2:2:3.

947



954

955 Figure 8. 2D orthogonality representations two dimensional LLC-LLC separations in offline mode: (a) <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: n-hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/water 3:5:3:5 and <sup>2</sup>D solvent system: ethyl 956 957 acetate/n-butanol/water 7:3:10, data from [45]; (b) <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: petroleum ether/ethyl 1:1:1:1 and <sup>2</sup>D 958 acetate/methanol/water solvent system: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate/methanol/water+Cu<sup>2+</sup> 1:1:1:1, data from [46]. 959





961 Figure 9. Experimental 2D-plot implemented in das Neves Costa et al. solvent system selection962 approach. Modified from [47].





Figure 10. 2D orthogonality representations of offline LLC-HPLC two dimensional separations: (a) <sup>1</sup>D 965 solvent system: *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/water 6:4:5:5 and <sup>2</sup>D system: C18 in 966 methanol/water 60:40 isocratic mobile phase; Data from Zhu et al. [49]: (b) <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: n-967 hexane/ethyl acetate/methanol/water 1:1:1:1 and <sup>2</sup>D system: ODS in acetonitrile/water 50:50 isocratic 968 969 mobile phase; Data from Zhu et al. [53]: (c) <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: methyl ter-butyl ether/ethyl acetate/1butanol/acetonitrile/modified water 1:3:1:1:5 and <sup>2</sup>D system: ODS in methanol/water isocratic mobile 970 971 phase; Data from Wang et al. [60] and (d) <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: ethyl acetate/n-butanol/water 0.5:4.5:5 972 and <sup>2</sup>D system: C18 in methanol/water isocratic mobile phase; Data from Chen et al. [57]



974

Figure 11. Solid-phase trapping columns interface between 1<sup>st</sup> dimensional LLC and 2<sup>nd</sup> dimensional
 HPLC. Modified from [65].

977



Figure 12. Two dimensional LLC-HPLC separation using solid-phase trapping columns interface and stop-and-go mode [66] and its corresponding 2D orthogonality representation: <sup>1</sup>D solvent system: n-

heptane/acetone/water 31:50:19 and <sup>2</sup>D system: C18 in methanol/water gradient as mobile phase.