



Vectors as motors (of virus evolution)

Frédéric Fabre, Benoît Moury

► To cite this version:

Frédéric Fabre, Benoît Moury. Vectors as motors (of virus evolution). Peer Community In Evolutionary Biology, 2017, pp.100021. 10.24072/pci.evolbiol.100021 . hal-01507124

HAL Id: hal-01507124

<https://hal.science/hal-01507124>

Submitted on 12 Apr 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



Vectors as motors (of virus evolution)

Frédéric Fabre¹ and Benoit Moury²

¹ SAVE Santé et Agroécologie du Vignoble (UMR 1065), INRA -- Bordeaux, France

² Pathologie Végétale, INRA -- Avignon, France

Correspondence to Frédéric Fabre (frederic.fabre@inra.fr)

doi: [10.24072/pci.evolbiol.100021](https://doi.org/10.24072/pci.evolbiol.100021)

Cite as: Fabre F and Moury B. 2017. Vectors as motors (of virus evolution). *Peer Community in Evolutionary Biology*. 100021. doi: [10.24072/pci.evolbiol.100021](https://doi.org/10.24072/pci.evolbiol.100021)

Open Access

A recommendation of

Lequime S, Fontaine A, Gouilh MA, Moltini-Conclois I and Lambrechts L. 2016. **Genetic drift, purifying selection and vector genotype shape dengue virus intra-host genetic diversity in mosquitoes.** *PLoS Genetics* 12: e1006111 doi: [10.1371/journal.pgen.1006111](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006111)

Published: 12 April 2017

Copyright: This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/>

Many viruses are transmitted by biological vectors, i.e. organisms that transfer the virus from one host to another. Dengue virus (DENV) is one of them. Dengue is a mosquito-borne viral disease that has rapidly spread around the world since the 1940s. One recent estimate indicates 390 million dengue infections per year [1]. As many arthropod-borne vertebrate viruses, DENV has to cross several anatomical barriers in the vector, to multiply in its body and to invade its salivary glands before getting transmissible. As a consequence, vectors are not passive carriers but genuine hosts of the viruses that potentially have important effects on the composition of virus populations and, ultimately, on virus epidemiology and virulence. Within infected vectors, virus populations are expected to acquire new mutations and to undergo genetic drift and selection effects. However, the intensity of these evolutionary forces and the way they shape virus genetic diversity are poorly known.

In their study, Lequime *et al.* [2] finely disentangled the effects of genetic drift and selection on DENV populations during their infectious cycle within mosquito (*Aedes aegypti*) vectors. They evidenced that the genetic diversity of viruses within their vectors is shaped by genetic drift, selection and vector genotype. The experimental design consisted in artificial acquisition of purified virus by mosquitoes during a blood meal. The authors monitored the diversity of DENV populations in *Ae. aegypti* individuals at different time points by high-throughput sequencing (HTS). They estimated the intensity of genetic drift and selection effects exerted on virus populations by comparing the DENV diversity at these sampling time points with the diversity in the purified virus stock (inoculum).

Disentangling the effects of genetic drift and selection remains a methodological challenge because both evolutionary forces operate concomitantly and both reduce genetic diversity. However, selection reduces diversity in a reproducible manner among experimental replicates (here, mosquito individuals): the fittest variants are favoured at the expense of the weakest ones. In contrast, genetic drift reduces diversity in a stochastic manner among replicates. Genetic drift acts equally on all variants irrespectively of their fitness. The strength of genetic drift is frequently evaluated with the effective population size N_e : the lower N_e , the stronger the genetic drift [3]. The estimation of the effective population size of DENV populations by Lequime *et al.* [2] was based on single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that were (i) present both in the inoculum and in the virus populations sampled at the different time points and (ii) that were neutral (or nearly-neutral) and therefore subjected to genetic drift only and insensitive to selection. As expected for viruses that possess small and constrained genomes, such neutral SNPs are extremely rare. Starting from a set of >1800 SNPs across the DENV genome, only three SNPs complied with the neutrality criteria and were enough represented in the sequence dataset for a precise N_e estimation. Using the method described by Monsion *et al.* [4], Lequime *et al.* [2] estimated N_e values ranging from 5 to 42 viral genomes (95% confidence intervals ranged from 2 to 161 founding viral genomes). Consequently, narrow bottlenecks occurred at the virus acquisition step, since the blood meal had allowed the ingestion of ca. 3000 infectious virus particles, on average. Interestingly, bottleneck sizes did not differ between mosquito genotypes. Monsion *et al.*'s [4] formula provides only an approximation of N_e . A corrected formula has been recently published [5]. We applied this exact N_e formula to the means and variances of the frequencies of the three neutral markers estimated before and after the bottlenecks (Table 1 in [2]), and nearly identical N_e estimates were obtained with both formulas.

Selection intensity was estimated from the dN/dS ratio between the nonsynonymous and synonymous substitution rates using the HTS data on DENV populations. DENV genetic diversity increased following initial infection but was restricted by strong purifying selection during virus expansion in the midgut. Again, no differences were detected between mosquito genotypes. However and importantly, significant differences in DENV genetic diversity were detected among mosquito genotypes. As they could not be related to differences in initial genetic drift or to selection intensity, the authors raise interesting alternative hypotheses, including varying rates of *de novo* mutations due to differences in replicase fidelity or differences in the balancing selection regime. Interestingly, they also suggest that this observation could simply result from a methodological issue linked to the detection threshold of low-frequency SNPs.

References

- [1] Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, Drake JM, et al. 2013. The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature* 496: 504–7 doi: [10.1038/nature12060](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12060)
- [2] Lequime S, Fontaine A, Gouilh MA, Moltini-Conclois I and Lambrechts L. 2016. Genetic drift, purifying selection and vector genotype shape dengue virus intra-host genetic diversity in mosquitoes. *PloS Genetics* 12: e1006111 doi: [10.1371/journal.pgen.1006111](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006111)
- [3] Charlesworth B. 2009. Effective population size and patterns of molecular evolution and variation. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 10: 195–205 doi: [10.1038/nrg2526](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2526)
- [4] Monsion B, Froissart R, Michalakakis Y and Blanc S. 2008. Large bottleneck size in cauliflower mosaic virus populations during host plant colonization. *PloS Pathogens* 4: e1000174 doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1000174](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000174)
- [5] Thébaud G and Michalakakis Y. 2016. Comment on 'Large bottleneck size in cauliflower mosaic virus populations during host plant colonization' by Monsion et al. (2008). *PloS Pathogens* 12: e1005512 doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1005512](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005512)