



Complementation strategies in Kambaata

Yvonne Treis

► To cite this version:

| Yvonne Treis. Complementation strategies in Kambaata. Journée d'étude "La complémentation", CNRS, LACITO, May 2012, Paris, France. hal-01505670

HAL Id: hal-01505670

<https://hal.science/hal-01505670>

Submitted on 22 Jun 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Complementation strategies in Kambaata (Cushitic)

Yvonne Treis (CNRS-LLACAN)

yvoennche@gmail.com

Paper presented at the *Journée d'étude: La complémentation*

LACITO / INALCO, Paris, 14 May 2012

1. Introduction

- Choice of complementation strategy in Kambaata partly dependent on (morpho-)syntactic parameters and the semantic class of the complement-taking verb
- No independent complementisers but syntactic dependence is marked by verbal inflection and by clause-final enclitics
- Considerable overlap between the strategies employed for the encoding of complement, relative and adverbial clauses (purpose and conditional clauses, in particular)

2. Typological overview of Kambaata

- Exclusively suffixing language
- Head- and dependent-marking: elaborate case system, subject agreement on verbs
- Arguments of verbs can freely be omitted if retrievable from the context
- Consistently head-final

	MAIN VERBS		REL VERBS		CONVERBS		PURPOSIVES		VNs
SUBJ AGR	1s	1p	1s	1p	1s/3m	1p	1s/3m	1p	
	2s		2s						
	3m	2p/hon	3m	2p/hon	2s/3f/p	2p/hon	2s/3f/p	2p/hon	–
	3f/p		3f/p						
	3hon		3hon		3hon		3hon		
ASP	Imperfective		Imperfective		Imperfective				
	Progressive		Progressive		–		–		
	<i>e</i> -Perfective		<i>e</i> -Perfective		Perfective				
	<i>o</i> -Perfective								
MOOD	Indicative								
	Imperative/Jussive		–		–		–		–
	Preventive								
SWITCH REF	–		–		+		+		–

Table 1. Inflectional categories on main verbs and dependent verbs in Kambaata

3. Complementation strategies

3.1. Introduction

Complementation strategies categorised acc. to the finiteness of their highest verb

3.2. Verbal noun complements

Non-finite complement clauses contain a case-marked **verbal noun**. Kambaata verbal nouns are categorial hybrids (Treis forthcoming a) that combine verbal and nominal properties:

	CASE ENDINGS
ACCUSATIVE	mar-ú
NOMINATIVE	már-u
GENITIVE	mar-í
DATIVE	mar-íi
ABLATIVE	mar-íichch
INSTRUMENTAL-COMITATIVE-PERLATIVE	mar-íin
LOCATIVE	mar-óon
OBlique	már-o

Table 2. Case paradigm of verbal nouns (*mar-* ‘go’)

Verbal nouns retain their verbal argument structure almost completely: they can govern objects of all kinds as well as (co-)subordinate clauses; the subject of a verbal noun can be encoded in the nominative case and/or by a pronominal suffix (rarely by a genitive modifier).

In object complement clauses, accusative or dative verbal nouns are used:

- (1) {*hugaaxáann-u-s* *qee'rr-ú-ssa*}
 hunters-mNOM-DEF be_far-mACC-3pPOSS
 mooshsh-eemmá=hann-íichch *zakk-íin* [...]
 make_sure-3honPVO.REL=NMZ-mABL after-mICP

‘After he had made sure that the hunters were far, (...).’ (Lit.: ‘After he had made sure the hunters their being far ...’) (Kambaatissata 1989.4: 76)

- (2) [...] {*mexxoomáan* *mar-íi*} *ke'-óo'u*
 together start-3fPVO
 ‘[...] they started to go together.’

In subject complement clauses, nominative verbal nouns are used:

- (3) {*ísi-n* [...] *haqquchch-í* *al-í* *ful-án*
 3mNOM-n tree.SG-mGEN top-mACC climb-1s/3mICO
 re'-ó *ít-u*}
 ripen-3mPVO.REL peach-mACC come_down-CS-1s/3mICO eat-mNOM
 bajig-is-áno-s *íkke*
 enjoy-CS-3mIPV-3mO INACT

‘He enjoyed climbing onto a [...] tree [...], picking and eating ripe peaches.’ (Lit.: ‘... eating ripe peaches pleased him.’) (Kambaatissata 1989.4: 45)

Verbal noun complements are common with

- desiderative verbs (e.g. *has-* ‘want’)
 - modal verbs (*dand-* ‘can, be able; may’)
 - verbs of assistance (e.g. *kaa'll-* ‘help, serve, be useful for, be used for’)
 - phasal (aspectual) verbs (e.g. *ke'*- ‘start’)
 - achievement verbs (*akeek-* ‘try’) and
 - commentative verbs (e.g. *bajig-is-* ‘be pleasant, appealing’).
- (Semantic classes acc. to Noonan 2007.)

Beyond complement clauses, verbal nouns are used in adverbial clauses, e.g. in purpose clauses (if DAT-marked) (4) and in modal clauses (if ICP-marked):

- (4) *Hikkannúichch zakk-iin {oodam-üha}*
 IDEM2.mABL after-mICP argue-mDAT
had-á ameezan-n-á=b-a mar-tóo'u
 outside-fGEN animal-PL-fGEN=PLACE-mACC go-3fPVO

‘After that they went to the wild animals to argue (their cases in court).’ (Kambaatisata 1989.4: 77)

Complement clauses based on verbal nouns tend to be structurally less complex than other types of complement clauses but they are not (syntactically) reduced: subjects and objects can be overtly expressed; (co-)subordinate clauses can be integrated into verbal noun-based complement clauses; cf. (3) and (5):

- (5) *{ma'nn-áta dájj ossa'-ú} háshsh-o*
 bed-fACC weave.1s/3mPCO lie_down-mACC want-3mPVO
 ‘He wants to weave a bed (and/to) sleep on it.’ (Kambaatissata 1989.4: 98)

Unlike relative-based complement clauses, complement clauses based on verbal nouns cannot be marked for aspect and they cannot be negated non-peripherastically.

3.3. Purposive complements

Purposive verbs can be marked for agreement with the subject of the complement clause and for subject (dis-)continuity between the subordinate and the matrix clause (switch reference). (No marking for aspect; only periphrastic negation)

		SS PURPOSIVE	DS PURPOSIVE
1s	3m	<i>-Ø-ó-ta</i>	<i>'Ø-un-ta</i>
2s	3f/p	<i>-t-ó-ta</i>	<i>'t-un-ta</i>
3hon		<i>-een-ó-ta</i>	<i>'een-un-ta</i>
1p		<i>-n-ó-ta</i>	<i>'n-un-ta</i>
2p/hon		<i>-teen-ó-ta</i>	<i>'teen-un-ta</i>

Table 3. Purposive paradigms¹

Purposive verb forms are only used in object (but not subject!) complement clauses.

¹ The multi-morphemic endings in the table subdivide into subject agreement morpheme, purposive morpheme and proximal demonstrative.

Same subject purposive verb form:

- (6) {*kées ze'-o<hé>ta* *íkko he'-is-o<hé>ta*}
 2sACC herd-1s/3mPURP_{SS}<2sO> or live-CS-1s/3mPURP_{SS}<2sO>
has-áam-ba'a
 want-1sIPV-NEG
 'I don't want to herd or support you (any longer).' (Kambaatissata 1989: 6.123)

Different subject purposive verb form:

- (7) {*mát-u cíil-u am-a-sí unuun-á*
 one-mNOM infant-mNOM mother-fGEN-3mPOSS breast-mACC
xá'-unta} *has-eemmá=da* [...]
 wean-1s/3mPURP_{DS} want-3honPVO.REL=COND
 'If one wants that a small child is weaned from his mother's breast, [...].'

Purposive verbs in purpose clauses:

- (8) {*híkka ciil-i-sé san-óón fóoshsh-u*
 DDEM2.mOBL infant-mGEN-3fPOSS nose-fICP breath-mNOM
áag-unta} *gunguushsh-itu'nnáachch ossúis-see'u*
 enter-1s/3mPURP_{DS} cover_one's_head.CS-2s/3fNCO lay_down_to_sleep-3fPVE
 'She laid that child down to sleep without covering his head so that breath would enter through his nose.'

Purposive-based complement clauses are common with:

- desiderative (e.g. *has-* 'want')
- manipulative (e.g. *ass-* 'do, make, cause', *kaa'll-* 'help')
- modal (e.g. *dand-* 'can be able, may')
- commentative verbs (e.g. *makk-* 'be suitable')

Purposive-based complement clauses are not found with:

- verbs of perception (e.g. 'see', 'hear')
- knowledge verbs (e.g. 'know').
- utterance verbs used in a reportative sense (e.g. 'tell that X happened') **but** with utterance verbs with a manipulative sense (e.g. 'tell s.o. to do sth.').

→ The use of purposive verbs was extended from purpose clauses to complement clauses.

3.4. Relative-based complements

Near-finite complements:

- (i) Headless nominalised relative clause
- (ii) Relative clause + 'manner'
- (iii) Relative clause + Conditional morpheme

Some information on relativisation (Treis 2008):

- Relative clauses precede their heads
- Most relative verbs differ only tonally (accentually) from fully finite main verbs
- Relative verbs have a separate negative strategy (Treis forthcoming a)

3.4.1. Headless relative clauses

Relative clauses without head nouns have to be nominalised through final vowel lengthening and the attachment of case-gender morphology:

- Accusative headless relative clauses end in ...VV(-ha) mACC
- Nominative headless relative clauses end in ...VV(-hu) mNOM

Verbs that take a headless relative clause as complements: e.g. *qaagg-* ‘remember’ (+ object complement clause) and *agud-* ‘seem’ (+ subject complement clause).

(9)	[...]	<i>{kan-toonti-nnée}</i>	<i>qáagg-i-bee</i>
		refuse_to_give-2sPVO-1pO.REL.NMZ.mACC	remember-2sIMP-INDIG

‘Remember that you refused to give anything (to us)!’

(10)	<i>{qamál-ch-ut}</i>	<i>sawwitt-á-se</i>	<i>aass-íi</i>	<i>haqq-í</i>	<i>al-í</i>
	monkeys-SG-fNOM	suggestion-mACC	give-mDAT	tree-mGEN	top-mACC
	<i>ful-too’íi}</i>	<i>m-íi</i>	<i>agud-áno-he?</i>		
	climb-3fPVO.REL.NMZ.mNOM	what-mDAT	seem-3mIPV-2sO		

‘What do you think why the monkey climbed on a tree to make his suggestion?’ (Lit. ‘That the monkey climbed on a tree to make his suggestion seems to you for what?’)

Headless relative clauses in adverbial function (as circumstantial adverbial clauses):

(11)	[...]	<i>wo’-á</i>	<i>{méxx-u}</i>	<i>haww-uhúu</i>
		water-mACC	single-mNOM	problem-mNOM.CRD
		<i>yoo-ba’-íi}</i>	<i>daqq-itáa’u</i>	
		be-NEG.REL.NMZ.mACC	find.MID-3fIPV	

‘[...] they find water without any problem.’ (lit. ‘Without there being a problem, they find water.’)

3.4.2. Similative strategy

Most common relative-based complement clause type: relative clause + similative enclitic =g- ‘like’ (< noun ‘manner’)

Similative construction:

(12)	<i>adan-ch-ó=g-a</i>	<i>gá’l-a</i>	<i>agg-óomm</i>
	cats-SG-fGEN=SIM-mOBL	shard-mOBL	drink-1sPVO
‘I drank from a shard like a cat.’			

The =g-morpheme is encliticised to complements of the following verb types:

- utterance verbs (e.g. *xa’mm-* ‘ask’, *kul-* ‘tell’)
- propositional attitude verbs (e.g. *amma’nn-* ‘believe’)
- knowledge verbs (e.g. *dag-* ‘know’)
- perception verbs (e.g. *maccoocc-* ‘hear’)
- manipulative verbs (e.g. *ass-* ‘do, make, cause’)

Object complement clause:

- (13) *wotár-ch-u-s {iséta aass-íha iittam-eemmá=g-a}*
 foal-SG-fNOM-DEF 3fACC give-mDAT decide-3honPVO.REL=SIM-mACC
hattig-ón kaar-íi dand-itoo'íi agud-áno-he
 how-fICP suspect-mDAT can-3fPVO.REL.NMZ.mNOM seem-3mIPV-2sO
 ‘According to you (lit. ‘[it] seems to you’), how could the tiny foal suspect that one had decided to sacrifice (lit. ‘give’) her?’

Subject complement clause:

- (14) [...] *{danaam-ú lal-í sheef-á dóó'rr-u hasis-anó=g-u} dag-ámm-ee-haa*
 good-mACC cow-mGEN race-mACC chose-mNOM
 be_necessary-3mIPV.REL=SIM-mNOM know-PS-3mPVE.REL-mCOP
 ‘It is known that one has to choose a good cow race.’ (Lit. ‘That one has to choose a good cow race is known.’) (Kambaatissata 1989: 8.108)

The =g-morpheme is multifunctional. Among its functions are standard marker in similitive (12) and equative constructions, marker of various adverbial clauses as such similitive clauses, accord clauses, temporal clause of immediate anteriority and purpose clauses.

Similitive-marked purpose clause:

- (15) *{gooc-á aag-úmb-o=gg-a} xúff-eemm*
 door-mACC enter-1s/3mNREL-mOBL=SIM-mOBL close-1sPVE
 ‘I closed the door so that he would not enter.’

3.4.3. Conditional strategy

Conditional clauses in Kambaata are based on relative clauses to which a conditional enclitic =da is attached.

- (16) *ís ga''-ee-'é=da án da'll-í mar-áamm*
 3mNOM call-3M.PVE-1sO.REL=COND 1sNOM do_fast-1s/3mPCO go-1sIPV
 ‘If he calls me, I will go (to him) immediately.’

Indirect yes/no questions: complement clauses that are marked like conditional clauses:

- (17) *{án min-íichch bá'-eemmí=da}*
 1sNOM house-mABL disappear-1sPVE=COND
hiz-ónku-' *dag-áno*
 brother-mNOM<n>-1sPOSS know-3mIPV
 ‘My brother will come to know (i.e. find out) whether I left home (or not).’

The conditional strategy cannot be applied in subject complement clauses.

4. Complementation strategies with perception verbs

The proposition that is dependent on a perception verb is often encoded in a different subject imperfective converb clause (cf. Treis 2010):

(18)	<i>iú</i>	<i>béet-o</i>	{ <i>lank-iú</i>	<i>kánn</i>	<i>haqq-i</i>
	1sGEN	son-mVOC	second-mDAT	DDEM1.mOBL	tree-mGEN
	<i>al-í</i>	<i>ful-táni-yan</i> }		<i>xuud-ókkoon-ke</i>	
	top-mACC	climb-2s/3fICO-DS	see-1sPRV-2sO		

‘My son, don’t let me see a second time that you climb this tree!’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 4. 45)

5. Conclusion and Outlook

- Considerable formal overlap between adverbial, relative and complement clauses
- No clause type / strategy restricted to complementation, however:
 - o If a clause is nominative-marked it has to be a subject complement clause
 - o Accusative-/dative-marked clauses can be used as complement and adverbial clauses.
- The preference of one complementation strategy over another depends partly on formal criteria (aspect, polarity, subject (dis-)continuity), partly on the semantics of the complement-taking verb. The choice of one or the other strategy is, however, often not foreseeable and speakers have a certain degree of freedom to choose among two (or three) strategies for certain complement-taking verbs. Some tendencies can be identified, for example:
 - o For negative complement clauses, the similitive strategy is preferred.
 - o Manipulative verbs apart from verbs of assistance are not used with verbal noun complements.
 - o Desiderative and modal verbs do not take similitive complements.
 - o Utterance, propositional attitude and knowledge verbs take similitive complements.
 - o The proposition dependent on a perception verb can be encoded in a similitive-marked complement clause or a different subject converb clause.

The correlations between the semantic class of the complement-taking verb and the complementation strategies are to be explored in more detail in the future.

Note furthermore that the overview of complementation strategies in Kambaata is not exhaustive, complements (e.g. of ‘believe’) with y- ‘say’ and two ‘whether’-complements (cf. section 3.3) were not discussed.

References

- Dixon, R.M.W. 2006. Complement clauses and complementation strategies in typological perspective. In: Dixon, R.M.W. and A.Y. Aikhenvald (eds.). *Complementation: A cross-linguistic typology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1-48.
- Kambaatissata. Rosaanchi maxaafa.* [Kambaata language. School book.] 1989 E.C. Grade 1-8. Education Bureau, Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples Regional State.
- Noonan, Michael, 2007: Complementation. In: Timothy Shopen (ed.), *Language typology and syntactic description*. Vol. 2: *Complex constructions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 52-150.
- Treis, Yvonne 2008. Relativization in Kambaata from a typological point of view. In: Frajzyngier, Zygmunt and Erin Shay (eds.). *Interaction of morphology and syntax: Case studies in Afroasiatic*. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: Benjamins, 161-206.
- _____ 2010. Perception verbs and taste adjectives in Kambaata and beyond. In: Storch, Anne (ed.). *Perception of the Invisible. Religion, Historical Semantics and the Role of Perceptive Verbs* (SUGIA – Sprache und Geschichte in Afrika, 21) Cologne: Köppe, 313-346.
- _____ 2012. Switch-reference and Omotic-Cushitic language contact in Southwest Ethiopia. *Journal of Language Contact* 5, 1: 80-116.
- _____ forthcoming a. Categorial hybrids in Kambaata. *Journal of African Languages and Linguistics*.
- _____ forthcoming b. Purpose-encoding strategies in Kambaata. *Afrika und Übersee*.

Abbreviations

ABL ablative, ACC accusative, COND conditional, COP copula, CRD coordination, CS causative, DAT dative, DDEM dependent demonstrative, DEF definite, DS different subject, f feminine, GEN genitive, hon honorific, ICO imperfective converb, ICP instrumental-comitative-periative, IDEM independent demonstrative, IMP imperative, INACT inactual, INDIG indignation, IPV imperfective, m masculine, MID middle, n undetermined pragmatically motivated morpheme, NCO negative converb, NEG negative, NMZ nominalization, NOM nominative, NREL negative relative, O object, OBL oblique, p plural, PCO perfective converb, PL plurative, POSS possessive, PRV preventive, PS passive, PURP purposive, PVE *e*-perfective, PVO *o*-perfective, REL relative, s singular, SG singulative, SIM similative, SS same subject, VOC vocative