



HAL
open science

On the discontinuity of the dissipation rate associated with the temperature variance at the fluid-solid interface for cases with conjugate heat transfer

Cédric Flageul, Sofiane Benhamadouche, Eric Lamballais, Dominique Laurence

► To cite this version:

Cédric Flageul, Sofiane Benhamadouche, Eric Lamballais, Dominique Laurence. On the discontinuity of the dissipation rate associated with the temperature variance at the fluid-solid interface for cases with conjugate heat transfer. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 2017, 111, pp.321 - 328. 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2017.04.005 . hal-01504590

HAL Id: hal-01504590

<https://hal.science/hal-01504590v1>

Submitted on 10 Apr 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the discontinuity of the dissipation rate associated with the temperature variance at the fluid-solid interface for cases with conjugate heat transfer.

Flageul Cédric^{a,b,*}, Benhamadouche Sofiane^b, Lamballais Éric^c, Laurence Dominique^{d,b}

^a*Institut Jožef Stefan, R4 Division, Jamova cesta 39, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia*

^b*EDF R&D, Fluid Mechanics, Energy and Environment Dept. 6 Quai Wattier, 78401 Chatou, France*

^c*Institute PPRIME, Department of Fluid Flow, Heat Transfer and Combustion, Université de Poitiers, CNRS, ENSMA, Téléport 2 - Bd. Marie et Pierre Curie B.P. 30179, 86962 Futuroscope Chasseneuil Cedex, France*

^d*School of Mechanical, Aerospace and Civil Engineering, The University of Manchester, Sackville Street, Manchester M13 9PL, UK*

Abstract

In the case of conjugate heat transfer, the dissipation rate associated with the temperature variance is discontinuous at the fluid-solid interface. The discontinuity satisfies a compatibility condition involving the fluid-solid thermal diffusivity and conductivity ratios and the relative contribution to the dissipation rate of its wall-normal part. The present analysis is supported by the Direct Numerical Simulations of an incompressible channel flow at a Reynolds number, based on the friction velocity, of 150, a Prandtl number of 0.71 and several values of fluid-solid thermal diffusivity and conductivity ratios.

Keywords: Conjugate heat transfer, Dissipation rate, RANS, Direct Numerical Simulation, Turbulent channel flow

1. Introduction

Conjugate heat transfer describes the thermal coupling between a fluid and a solid. It is of prime importance in industrial applications where fluctuating

*Corresponding author

Email address: cedric.flageul@gmail.com (Flageul Cédric)

thermal stresses are a concern, e.g. in case of a severe emergency cooling or
5 long-term ageing of materials. For such complex applications, investigations
often rely on experiments, high Reynolds RANS (Reynolds-averaged Navier-
Stokes) or wall-modelled LES (Large Eddy Simulation). However, experimental
data on conjugate heat transfer are scarce. Walls in lab rigs are often made
of plexiglas and the transported scalar studied is often a dye. **These common**
10 **experimental configurations cannot be used to study conjugate heat-transfer as**
the dye does not penetrate into the wall. Analytical analysis and DNS (Direct
Numerical Simulation) are valuable tools for understanding the flow physics of
such complex phenomena and providing reliable data in order to improve RANS
and LES modelling.

15 Numerical study on conjugate heat transfer started with the 2D synthetic
turbulence study of Kasagi et al. ([1]). Some experimental and analytical studies
have been performed prior to this study, in particular Polyakov ([2]) and Geshev
([3]), as documented by [1]. The first DNS with conjugate heat transfer was a
turbulent channel flow, performed by Tiselj et al. ([4]). Following those studies,
20 the authors (Flageul et al. ([5])) have also performed DNS of the turbulent
channel flow with conjugate heat transfer, with a post-processing designed to
produce validation data for RANS models.

The development of RANS approaches for conjugate heat transfer is rela-
tively recent and was pioneered by Craft et al. ([6]). In order to allow an
25 accurate estimation of the fatigue, (U)RANS models adapted to conjugate heat
transfer should enable the simulation of at least a few minutes of operation in
realistic conditions, in order to include as much high stress amplitude events as
possible, knowing they generally are low probability events (Costa Garrido et
al. ([7])).

30 The structure of the paper is as follows. In the second section, it is estab-
lished that in case of conjugate heat transfer, the dissipation rate associated
with the temperature variance is discontinuous at the fluid-solid interface. This
discontinuity satisfies a compatibility condition involving the fluid-solid thermal
diffusivity and conductivity ratios and the relative contribution to the dissipa-

35 tion rate of its wall-normal part. In the third section, the case and numerical
 setup are described: 9 DNS of incompressible channel flow with conjugate heat
 transfer are presented. In the fourth section, the corresponding results are pre-
 sented and the discontinuity of the dissipation rate ε_θ at the fluid-solid interface
 is highlighted. **In the fifth section, our results are further discussed alongside**
 40 **with the consequences for RANS and LES modeling.**

2. Governing equations and discontinuity of ε_θ

In the fluid domain (Ω_f), the mass and momentum equations read:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i u_i &= 0 \\ \partial_t u_i &= -\frac{\partial_j (u_i u_j) + u_j \partial_j u_i}{2} - \frac{\partial_i p}{\rho} + \nu \partial_{jj} u_i + f_i\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where ρ is the density, ν is the kinematic viscosity, the convective term is ex-
 pressed using the skew-symmetric formulation and f_i is a source term.

45 In case of conjugate heat transfer, the energy equations read:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t T_f &= -\partial_j (T_f u_j) + \alpha_f \partial_{jj} T_f + f_{T_f} \text{ in } \Omega_f \\ \partial_t T_s &= \alpha_s \partial_{jj} T_s + f_{T_s} \text{ in } \Omega_s \\ T_f &= T_s \text{ on } \partial\Omega_f \cap \partial\Omega_s \\ \lambda_f \partial_n T_f &= \lambda_s \partial_n T_s \text{ on } \partial\Omega_f \cap \partial\Omega_s\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

where Ω_f (Ω_s), T_f (T_s), α_f (α_s) and λ_f (λ_s) are the fluid (solid) domain,
 temperature, thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity, respectively, f_{T_f} and
 f_{T_s} are source terms and $\partial_n T = \nabla(T) \cdot \mathbf{n}$ is the wall-normal derivative of the
 temperature with \mathbf{n} a unit vector normal to the fluid-solid interface surface
 50 ($\partial\Omega_f \cap \partial\Omega_s$), ∇ being the gradient operator. The last 2 lines in equation (2)
 express the continuity of temperature and heat flux at the fluid-solid interface.

Within this context, the dissipation rate $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ ($\varepsilon_{\theta,s}$) associated with the tem-
 perature variance in the fluid (solid) domain can be defined:

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_{\theta,f} &= 2\alpha_f \overline{\nabla(T'_f) \cdot \nabla(T'_f)} \text{ in } \Omega_f \\ \varepsilon_{\theta,s} &= 2\alpha_s \overline{\nabla(T'_s) \cdot \nabla(T'_s)} \text{ in } \Omega_s\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

where T' and the overline are the fluctuating part of the temperature T and the
55 averaging operator, respectively. Using the continuity of temperature and heat
flux at the fluid-solid interface, it is straightforward to show that the dissipation
rates satisfy the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon_{\theta,f}}{2\alpha_f} - \frac{\varepsilon_{\theta,s}}{2\alpha_s} &= \overline{\partial_n T'_f \partial_n T'_f} - \overline{\partial_n T'_s \partial_n T'_s} \text{ on } \partial\Omega_f \cap \partial\Omega_s \\ &= \overline{\partial_n T'_f \partial_n T'_f} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_f}{\lambda_s} \right)^2 \right] \text{ on } \partial\Omega_f \cap \partial\Omega_s \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Using the thermal properties α and λ , dimensionless numbers can be de-
rived. Following Flageul et al. ([5]), one defines G as the fluid-to-solid thermal
60 diffusivity ratio and G_2 as the solid-to-fluid thermal conductivity ratio:

$$G = \frac{\alpha_f}{\alpha_s} \quad , \quad G_2 = \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda_f} \quad (5)$$

Combining G and G_2 , one may obtain the thermal activity ratio K ($\frac{1}{K} = G_2 \sqrt{G}$)
as defined by Geshev ([3]) and Tiselj et al. ([4]), which is also the fluid-to-
solid thermal effusivity ratio. On this basis, equation (4), combined with the
definition of $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ in equation (3) turns to:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - G \frac{\varepsilon_{\theta,s}}{\varepsilon_{\theta,f}} &= \frac{\overline{\partial_n T'_f \partial_n T'_f}}{\overline{\nabla T'_f \cdot \nabla T'_f}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{G_2^2} \right] \\ \iff \frac{1}{G} - \frac{\varepsilon_{\theta,s}}{\varepsilon_{\theta,f}} &= \frac{\overline{\partial_n T'_f \partial_n T'_f}}{\overline{\nabla T'_f \cdot \nabla T'_f}} \left[\frac{1}{G} - K^2 \right] \\ \iff \frac{\varepsilon_{\theta,s}}{\varepsilon_{\theta,f}} &= \frac{\overline{\partial_n T'_f \partial_n T'_f}}{\overline{\nabla T'_f \cdot \nabla T'_f}} K^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\overline{\partial_n T'_f \partial_n T'_f}}{\overline{\nabla T'_f \cdot \nabla T'_f}} \right) \frac{1}{G} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

65 It is important to stress that \mathbf{n} is locally well-defined as long as the fluid-solid
interface surface is flat or curved but becomes ill-defined for instance at the edge
of a corner. Therefore, in case of conjugate heat transfer, the dissipation rate
 ε_θ at the fluid-solid interface satisfies the compatibility condition (6) for any
smooth interface.

70 In the following, any ratio $\frac{\varepsilon_{\theta,s}}{\varepsilon_{\theta,f}} \neq 1$ corresponds to a discontinuity of the
the dissipation rate ε_θ across the fluid-solid interface. It is important to stress

that the relative contribution of the wall-normal part in $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ is bounded in $[0, 1]$. Therefore, equation (6) is a convex combination between $\frac{1}{G}$ and K^2 .

On the one hand, if the conjugate case is close to an imposed temperature one
75 (conducting solid, $G_2 \gg 1$), then the wall-normal contribution in $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ dominates at the interface and the discontinuity scales with the squared thermal activity ratio K . On the other, if the conjugate case is close to an imposed heat flux one (insulating solid, $G_2 \ll 1$), then the wall-parallel contribution in $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ dominates at the interface and the discontinuity scales with the inverse of the thermal
80 diffusivity ratio G . For the other cases, the discontinuity is bounded by $\frac{1}{G}$ and K^2 . This range may be quite extended, for instance, considering pressurized water as the fluid and steel as the solid, approximate values are $K^2 \approx 0.01$ and $1/G \approx 60$.

3. Case and numerical setup

85 Present simulations are based on the open-source software Incompact3d developed at Université de Poitiers and Imperial College London by Laizet et al. ([8],[9]). Sixth-order compact schemes are used on a Cartesian grid stretched in the wall-normal direction. The pressure is computed with a direct solver on a staggered grid while velocity components and temperature are collocated.

90 In the present study, x , y and z stand for the streamwise, wall-normal and spanwise directions, respectively, as sketched in figure 1. **Periodic boundary conditions are used in the streamwise and spanwise directions.** The source term driving the channel flow is present only in the streamwise direction: it is a constant in space and time fitted so that the averaged bulk velocity is 1. **This source term physically represents the mean pressure gradient compensating the viscous friction at the wall in order to reach a statistically steady state.** The channel half-height is also 1, and the Reynolds number based on those quantities is 2280, while the Prandtl number is 0.71 and the density is 1.

The main simulation parameters are recalled in table 1 and compared with
100 reference ones (Kasagi et al. ([10]) and Tiselj et al. ([4])). As described in




Figure 1: Sketch of the computational domain.

Flageul et al. ([5]), the scalar diffusion scheme used is 4^{th} order accurate in the streamwise direction and 6^{th} order accurate in the others. Compared to the simulation from Kasagi et al. ([10]), our domain is 63 % longer, 35 % wider while we use cells of a similar size. In addition, the duration of our simulation is almost
 105 14 times longer while our time step is 6 times smaller. This point is further discussed in the appendix A. It is the result of a trade-off between the necessity to represent large scale fluctuations, with a long lifetime, which are present deep inside the solid domain and the smaller fluctuations, with a shorter lifetime, which are present in the fluid and are related to the distinctive intermittent
 110 character of the passive scalar, as reported by Galantucci and Quadrio ([11]).

The scalar conservation equation in the fluid domain contains a source term proportional to the streamwise velocity, as defined by Kasagi et al. ([10]). If we consider an infinite channel flow constantly heated, the bulk temperature increases linearly with x . A change of variable is used, to compensate this
 115 linear increase and to allow periodicity in the streamwise direction. This gives rise to the source terms: $f_{T_f} = \alpha_f u_x$ and $f_{T_s} = 0$. The similar case of a channel flow constantly heated at one wall and cooled at the other which does not give rise to a source term was studied firstly by Kim and Moin ([12]). As it was established by Kawamura et al. [13] that both cases present similar statistics
 120 in the near-wall region, this heat source/sink term has no effect on the statistics around the fluid-solid interface.

The case and simulation setup are similar to the ones detailed in [5], except for the thermal properties ratios G and G_2 . The thickness of the top and bottom walls is half the thickness of the fluid domain. Tiselj et al. ([4]) performed similar simulations using solid domains at least 3 times thinner and reported that as the wall get thinner, the conjugate cases get closer to the imposed heat flux one. This is the natural behaviour when the boundary condition at the outer wall is an imposed heat flux, as in their study and herein. In this study, we consider that the solid domain is thick enough for the boundary condition imposed at the outer wall to have no significant impact on the statistics at the fluid-solid interface.

In the present study, the DNS performed with conjugate heat transfer are labelled CHT_{ij} . As indicated in table 2, the index i and j stand for the ratio of thermal diffusivity and conductivity, respectively. The indexes can be equal to 0, 1 or 2, the corresponding thermal properties ratios being 0.5, 1 and 2, respectively. The results obtained with conjugate heat transfer are compared with the non-conjugate cases of locally imposed temperature ($isoT$) and locally imposed heat flux ($isoQ$) at the fluid boundary.

	Present	Kasagi et al. ([10])	Tiselj et al. ([4])
Domain	[25.6,2,8.52]	$[5\pi,2,2\pi]$	$[5\pi,2,\pi]$
Grid	[256,193,256]	[128,97,128]	[128,97,65]
Re_τ	149	150	150
Δy^+	[0.49,4.8]	[0.08,4.9]	[0.08,4.9]
$[\Delta_x^+, \Delta_z^+]$	[14.8,5.1]	[18.4,7.36]	[18.4,7.4]
Δt^+	0.02	0.12	0.12
Duration	29000	2100	6000

Table 1: Simulation parameters.

		G_2		
		0.5	1	2
G	0.5	$CHT_{00}/8$	$CHT_{01}/2$	$CHT_{02}/0.5$
	1	$CHT_{10}/4$	$CHT_{11}/1$	$CHT_{12}/0.25$
	2	$CHT_{20}/2$	$CHT_{21}/0.5$	$CHT_{22}/0.125$

Table 2: Case labels and associated squared thermal activity ratio CHT_{ij}/K^2 depending on the thermal properties ratios G and G_2 .

4. Results

140 For the channel flow configuration, at the present Reynolds and Prandtl numbers, it is established (Tiselj et al. ([4]), Flageul et al. ([5])) that the boundary condition used for the passive scalar has an impact in the fluid domain limited to the vicinity of the wall ($y^+ < 20$). In the following, the fluid-solid interface is located at $y^+ = 0$, the fluid (solid) domain corresponding to $y^+ > 0$ (145 $y^+ < 0$). As we focus on the discontinuity of ε_θ at the fluid-solid interface, the present results are plotted only for $-10 < y^+ < 10$.

In figure 2 (top row), the temperature variance is continuous across the interface. This is a direct consequence of the continuity of the instantaneous temperature at the interface. However, the derivative of the temperature variance can be discontinuous across the interface. Using the continuity of the heat 150 flux across the interface, one obtains:

$$\partial_y T_f'^2 = G_2 \partial_y T_s'^2 \quad (7)$$

For the present results, the discontinuity in the slope of the temperature variance at the fluid-solid interface is clearly visible for the case CHT_{22} . Compared with the $isoT$ and $isoQ$ cases, for the conjugate cases studied, in the fluid domain, 155 *the higher G or G_2 , the closer to the $isoT$ case.*

This simple trend, can not be transposed to the solid domain: one can notice that the temperature variance curves cross each other in the solid domain for some of the cases. For instance, cases CHT_{21} and CHT_{02} cross around $y^+ \approx -2$.

This highlights the complex behaviour of the present conjugate cases where the
160 thermal properties ratio G and G_2 are close to unity.

In the middle row of figure 2, the trend observed for the temperature variance
in the fluid domain (the higher G or G_2 , the closer to the $isoT$ case) is recovered
for the turbulent heat fluxes. As they vanish at the wall, it is less visible, even
using logarithmic axis scaling. In the bottom row of figure 2, the one-point
165 correlation coefficients associated with the turbulent heat fluxes show this trend
in a much more visible way. For the one-point correlation coefficients, this trend
is present only in the upper part of the viscous sublayer: the conjugate cases
studied all collapse towards the $isoQ$ case deep inside the viscous sublayer.
From this integral perspective involving only 2^{nd} order moments, it is clear
170 that conjugate heat transfer can not be easily reduced to a simple imposed
temperature or heat flux, at least for the present conjugate cases with ratios
of thermal properties around unity. Furthermore, the collapse of the one-point
correlation coefficient towards the $isoQ$ case at the wall seems to hold for ratios
of fluid-solid thermal diffusivity further away from unity, according to Orlandi
175 et al. ([14]).

In figure 3, the discontinuity of the dissipation rate ε_θ across the fluid-solid
interface is visible. The case CHT_{11} is the only one with a continuous dissipation
rate, as expected from equation (6). The trend observed in figure 2 for the
temperature variance in the fluid domain (the higher G or G_2 , the closer to the
180 $isoT$ case) is well recovered for the dissipation rate in the fluid domain. The
main trend visible at the interface is for the cases with a relatively low dissipation
 $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$, such as CHT_{*0} , which tend to have a relatively high dissipation $\varepsilon_{\theta,s}$.

In figure 4, the dissipation rate ε_θ around the fluid-solid interface and the
relative contribution of the wall-normal part in $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ is plotted for the conjugate
185 cases with the same thermal activity ratio ($K = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $K = \sqrt{2}$). It is
remarkable that for a given thermal activity ratio K , the present conjugate
cases with different fluid-solid properties ratios lead to similar dissipation rates
in the fluid domain, but not in the solid one. It is also observed that, for a given
thermal activity ratio K , the relative contribution of the wall-normal part in




Figure 2: Top: Temperature variance. Logarithmic scaling for $\overline{T'^2}$ and y^+ , except for $-1 < y^+ < 1$: linear scaling for y^+ . Middle: Turbulent heat fluxes. Bottom: One-point correlation coefficients associated with the turbulent heat fluxes.




Figure 3: Dissipation rate ε_θ around the fluid-solid interface. Lines and symbols as in figure 2.
 Top : $G_2 = 0.5$. Middle : $G_2 = 1$. Bottom : $G_2 = 2$

190 $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ at the wall depends on the fluid-solid thermal properties ratios. Therefore,
for conjugate cases with G and G_2 around unity, it seems that, in the fluid
domain, the amplitude of the fluctuating temperature gradient depends only on
the thermal activity ratio K while the associated anisotropy has a more complex
behaviour. This behaviour, combined with different thermal properties ratios,
195 lead to different dissipation rates in the solid domain for a given thermal activity
ratio K .

In figure 5, the relative contribution of the wall-normal part in $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ is plotted.
For this relative contribution, the global trend observed in figure 2 is well recovered:
in the fluid domain, *the higher G or G_2 , the closer to the $isoT$ case*. At
200 the wall, this relative contribution is above $1/2$, and even above $2/3$ for most of
the conjugate cases considered here. That makes the wall-normal contribution
dominant. Following that trail, one may conclude that the present conjugate
cases are closer to the $isoT$ one. However, this is in contradiction with the dis-
sipation rate plotted in figure 3: for conjugate cases, at the wall, $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ is closer
205 to the $isoQ$ value.

5. Discussion

The DNS performed show an agreement with equation (6) within the sta-
tistical uncertainty, as shown in table 3. The complex behaviour of the present
conjugate cases has its roots in this equation and in the relative contribution
210 of the wall-normal part in $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$. This quantity is related to the anisotropy of
the fluctuating temperature gradient at the wall, a quantity that is not easily
accessible for most of the (U)RANS models and LES wall models. The present
analytical analysis and the accompanying simulations results could provide a
solid ground for building new turbulence models better suited for cases with
215 conjugate heat transfer.

Prospects on RANS models for conjugate heat transfer by the authors and
co-workers have shown that building a model for the temperature variance and
the associated dissipation rate in the solid domain is feasible following Craft et




Figure 4: Dissipation rate ε_θ around the fluid-solid interface (top and middle) and relative contribution of the wall-normal part in $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ (bottom). Lines and symbols as in figure 2. Left: $K = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Right : $K = \sqrt{2}$




Figure 5: Relative contribution of the wall-normal part in the dissipation rate $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$. Lines and symbols as in figure 2.

		G_2		
		0.5	1	2
G	0.5	5.2 (2.99 ‰)	2.0 (1.01 ‰)	0.58 (0.75 ‰)
	1	3.0 (2.24 ‰)	1.0 (1.19 ‰)	0.27 (0.78 ‰)
	2	1.68 (1.68 ‰)	0.50 (1.01 ‰)	0.13 (0.70 ‰)

Table 3: Computed ratio $\varepsilon_{\theta,s}/\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ at the fluid-solid interface accompanied by 10^3 times the relative error between the computed and expected values (expected value obtained using computed $\frac{\partial_n T'_f \partial_n T'_f}{\nabla T'_f \cdot \nabla T'_f}$ and equation (6))

al. [6]. However, much more work remains to be done to model the relative
220 contribution of the wall-normal part in $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$ so as to correctly handle the dis-
continuity of ε_{θ} at the fluid-solid interface. As suggested by Wu et al. [15], a
workaround could reside in scalar integral length scales. Following Donzis et al.
[16], they propose a two-point length scale which is continuous across the fluid-
solid interface. Even though a value for ε_{θ} could be derived from this length
225 scale, this is only a preliminary sketch, and there is currently no RANS model
ready for fluid-solid thermal coupling.

6. Conclusion

An analytical analysis of cases with conjugate heat transfer has been per-
formed and a compatibility condition at the fluid-solid interface have been ex-
230 hibited, as given by equation (6). This compatibility condition is very general
and has been verified herein using DNS in the turbulent channel flow config-
uration ($Re_{\tau} = 150$ and $Pr = 0.71$). It is a step forward towards a better
understanding of fluid-solid heat transfer and new models adapted to conjugate
heat transfer.

235 This compatibility condition involves the relative contribution of the wall-
normal part in $\varepsilon_{\theta,f}$, a quantity directly related to the anisotropy of the tem-
perature gradient. The traditional description of a universal behaviour of the
small-scale velocity (Kolmogorov [17]) does not apply well to passive scalars
for which local isotropy, both at the inertial and dissipation scales, is violated
240 (Sreenivasan [18], Warhaft [19]). This local anisotropy is tightly connected with
the intermittency of the scalar, characterized by a strong coupling between large
and small scales. Those considerations, combined with the discontinuity of ε_{θ}
at the fluid-solid interface established here, sketch a modelling challenge, within
reach of experimentalists and simulationists (Sreenivasan et al. [20], Johansson
245 et al. [21], Germaine et al. [22]).

Regarding subgrid-scale (SGS) models and wall-models for LES: even for
wall-resolved LES, asymptotic analysis shows that the existence of temperature

fluctuations at the wall discards any SGS model based on a constant turbulent Prandtl number for temperature. Thus, simpler SGS models for LES, like
250 the combination of the WALE model for momentum and a constant turbulent Prandtl number around unity for temperature, often encountered in semi-academic and industrial applications, may be flawed for conjugate heat-transfer cases.

This theoretical flaw is not specific to conjugate heat-transfer as the dynamics of a passive scalar differs from the dynamics of velocity (Sagaut, ([23])).
255 It does not prevent LES based on simpler SGS models from providing reasonably good estimations for quantities like the averaged temperature, its variance, the turbulent heat fluxes, or even the spectrum of the temperature. Thus, the ability of LES SGS models and wall-models to investigate configurations with
260 conjugate heat-transfer should be carefully examined.

The thermal properties ratio G and G_2 are close to unity in the present work. A limiting behaviour close to *isoT* or *isoQ* should be reached for ratios further away from unity. However, it must be stressed that for DNS, statistical convergence is difficult to reach when $Pr \ll 1$ or $Pr \gg 1$: very long domains or
265 very fine grids for the temperature equation have to be used. Similar measures may be necessary for simulations with ratios of fluid-solid thermal properties further away from unity.

For the channel flow configuration, it would be very interesting to obtain scalings for the discontinuity: how does it depends on the Reynolds number,
270 on the Prandtl number and on the fluid-solid thermal properties ratios when they are further away from unity? Last but not least, it would be exciting to study the ability of wall-resolved LES to compute that discontinuity. This would allow us to build a database for the discontinuity that includes higher Reynolds number and complex cases, with the hope to help the development of specific
275 (U)RANS models or LES wall-models adapted to conjugate heat-transfer.

Source code and data associated with the present paper are available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/3c7v3cnwvg.1> and <https://repo.ijs.si/CFLAG/incompact3d> under the GNU GPL v3 licence.

7. Acknowledgements

280 The authors thank the French National Research Agency and EDF R&D for funding the present study (CIFRE 2012/0047) and providing computational time on Zumbrota supercomputer (IBM - Blue-geneQ).

Appendix A. Statistical uncertainty

In CFD, the finite extension of computational domains in space and time
285 and the limited number of cells used to discretize the domain lead to statistical uncertainty. This uncertainty depends on the variable considered. A relatively coarse grid often lead to a good estimation of the averaged velocity but to a bad estimation of Reynolds stresses.

For the turbulent channel flow configuration at $Re_\tau = 160$, considering a
290 passive scalar subjected to a Dirichlet boundary condition with $Pr = 1$, using a pseudo-spectral method, Galantucci and Quadrio ([11]) have established that the accurate estimation of ε_θ in the buffer layer ($10 < y^+ < 30$) requires a very fine grid ($\Delta_x^+ = \Delta_z^+ = 1$ and $0.4 < \Delta_y^+ < 2$). Fortunately, near the wall ($y^+ < 7$), the grid requirement is less stringent and their simulation on the very
295 fine grid matches the simulation performed on a more classical grid ($\Delta_x^+ = 10$, $\Delta_z^+ = 5$ and $0.9 < \Delta_y^+ < 4$).

In Flageul et al. ([5]), this mesh requirement was assessed and we showed that the present grid was slightly too coarse for the scalar as we observed an over-estimation of ε_θ in the buffer-layer. We also showed that the present grid,
300 combined with some extra numerical dissipation applied only on the scalar, only in the streamwise direction and only at high frequencies (using implicit Spectral Vanishing Viscosity, see Lamballais et al. ([24])) allowed an accurate estimation of ε_θ . Strictly speaking, this is valid only for the case studied there which uses the same thermal properties in the fluid and solid domains. In the
305 present paper, it is considered that the same strategy can be applied to cases with ratios of thermal properties close to unity providing a good estimation of ε_θ near the fluid-solid interface.

Another source of uncertainty for statistics is the size of the domain in case of homogeneous directions associated with periodic boundary conditions. For the turbulent channel flow configuration, long and large domains are mandatory for developing large-scale fluctuations while keeping 2-point correlations at large separations low. What is specific to conjugate heat transfer with thick solid domains is the existence of very large-scale temperature fluctuations with a long lifetime, even though the amplitude of those fluctuations is relatively low. Those fluctuations were observed in [5] using 2-point correlations of the temperature field in the solid domain.

For a turbulent channel flow, in case of thermal coupling of the fluid with a semi-infinite solid domain, [5] shows that temperature fluctuations decay exponentially in the solid domain, the characteristic penetration depth δ satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\delta^4} \propto (k_x^2 + k_z^2)^2 + \left(\frac{\rho C_p}{\lambda} k_t \right)^2$$

where ρ , C_p and λ are the physical properties of the solid and $[k_x, k_z, k_t]$ are the wavenumbers associated with the Fourier transforms in x , z and t . This relation shows that in the solid domain, spatial and temporal fluctuations of the temperature are tightly connected.

The relatively long and wide domain used in the present study combined with the long duration of our simulation was deemed necessary to obtain statistical convergence deep in the solid domain. This point is illustrated in figure A.6. We have plotted the convergence, during the simulation, of $\overline{T'^2}$ at the outer wall for the conjugate case CHT_{11} . Even though our statistical sample is much larger compared to the reference one, statistical convergence is hardly reached deep inside the solid domain. It seems important to stress that this slow convergence is confined to the outer layers of the solid domains. In addition, the amplitude of those large scale fluctuations is very small compared to the fluctuations in the fluid. They are thus assumed to have a negligible impact on the statistics around the fluid-solid interface.

It must be acknowledged that our simulations were instrumented to obtain the budget of ε_θ but also the budget of the dissipation rates associated with




Figure A.6: Convergence during the simulation of $\overline{T'^2}$ at the outer wall for the case CHT_{11} . Time t and $\overline{T'^2}$ are in computational units.

the turbulent heat fluxes. However, the present grid is probably too coarse
 335 and those budgets are not well balanced. This is why they are not presented
 here. Considering the relatively coarse grid used, the time step may appear
 unnecessary small. It seems important to recall that in our study, the fluid
 and solid solvers are distinct and weakly coupled, as reported in [5]. Verstraete
 and Scholl ([25]) have established that such coupling strategies are subjected to
 340 stability constraints. They showed that the efficiency and the accuracy of the
 coupled solver may be lowered when the stability criterion is hardly met.

Within this framework, the small time step used was a guarantee of tem-
 poral accuracy. While lower accuracy was the drawback of the weak coupling
 developed, it allowed us to build a plug-and-play module for fluid-solid ther-
 345 mal coupling. This module is now used to study more complex semi-academic
 configurations with conjugate heat-transfer like the jet in crossflow (Wu et al.
 ([26])) and the impinging jet (Dairay et al. ([27])).

For further investigations of the turbulent channel flow with conjugate heat-
 transfer, a pseudo-spectral code able to handle several passive scalars with si-
 350 multaneous solving of the fluid and solid temperature, such as the one in [4],
 would probably be better fitted.

References

- [1] N. Kasagi, A. Kuroda, M. Hirata, Numerical investigation of near-wall turbulent heat transfer taking into account the unsteady heat conduction in the solid wall, *Journal of Heat Transfer* 111 (2) (1989) 385–392. doi: 10.1115/1.3250689. 355
- [2] A. Poliakov, Wall effect on temperature fluctuations in the viscous sublayer, *High Temperature Science* 12 (1974) 286–293.
- [3] P. Geshev, Influence of heat conduction of the wall on the turbulent prandtl number in the viscous sublayer, *Journal of engineering physics* 35 (2) (1978) 949–952. doi:10.1007/BF00860218. 360
- [4] I. Tiselj, R. Bergant, B. Mavko, I. Bajsić, G. Hetsroni, Direct numerical simulation of turbulent heat transfer in channel flow with heat conduction in the solid wall, *Journal of heat transfer* 123 (5) (2001) 849–857. doi: 10.1115/1.1389060. 365
- [5] C. Flageul, S. Benhamadouche, É. Lamballais, D. Laurence, Dns of turbulent channel flow with conjugate heat transfer: Effect of thermal boundary conditions on the second moments and budgets, *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow* 55 (2015) 34–44. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatfluidflow.2015.07.009. 370
- [6] T. J. Craft, H. Iacovides, S. Uaipatanakul, Towards the development of rans models for conjugate heat transfer, *Journal of Turbulence* (11) (2010) N26. doi:10.1080/14685248.2010.494608.
- [7] O. C. Garrido, S. El Shawish, L. Cizelj, Uncertainties in the thermal fatigue assessment of pipes under turbulent fluid mixing using an improved spectral loading approach, *International Journal of Fatigue* 82 (2016) 550–560. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2015.09.010. 375
- [8] S. Laizet, E. Lamballais, High-order compact schemes for incompressible flows: A simple and efficient method with quasi-spectral accuracy, *Journal*

- 380 of Computational Physics 228 (16) (2009) 5989 – 6015. doi:10.1016/j.
jcp.2009.05.010.
- [9] S. Laizet, N. Li, Incompact3d: A powerful tool to tackle turbulence prob-
lems with up to $o(10^5)$ computational cores, International Journal for Nu-
merical Methods in Fluids 67 (11) (2011) 1735–1757. doi:10.1002/flid.
385 2480.
- [10] N. Kasagi, Y. Tomita, A. Kuroda, Direct numerical simulation of passive
scalar field in a turbulent channel flow, Journal of heat transfer 114 (3)
(1992) 598–606. doi:10.1115/1.2911323.
- [11] L. Galantucci, M. Quadrio, Very fine near-wall structures in turbulent
390 scalar mixing, International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow 31 (4) (2010)
499–506. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatfluidflow.2010.04.002.
- [12] J. Kim, P. Moin, Transport of passive scalars in a turbulent channel flow,
in: Turbulent Shear Flows 6, Springer, 1989, pp. 85–96. doi:10.1007/
978-3-642-73948-4_9.
- 395 [13] H. Kawamura, H. Abe, K. Shingai, Dns of turbulence and heat transport in
a channel flow with different reynolds and prandtl numbers and boundary
conditions, Turbulence, Heat and Mass Transfer 3 (2000) 15–32.
- [14] P. Orlandi, D. Sassun, S. Leonardi, Dns of conjugate heat transfer in pres-
ence of rough surfaces, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer
400 100 (2016) 250–266. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2016.04.035.
- [15] Z. Wu, D. Laurence, H. Iacovides, I. Afgan, Direct simulation of conjugate
heat transfer of jet in channel crossflow, International Journal of Heat and
Mass Transfer 110 (2017) 193–208. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.
2017.03.027.
- 405 [16] D. Donzis, K. Sreenivasan, P. Yeung, Scalar dissipation rate and dissipative
anomaly in isotropic turbulence, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 532 (2005)
199–216. doi:10.1017/S0022112005004039.

- [17] A. N. Kolmogorov, The local structure of turbulence in incompressible viscous fluid for very large reynolds numbers, in: Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vol. 30, JSTOR, 1941, pp. 301–305. 410
- [18] K. Sreenivasan, On local isotropy of passive scalars in turbulent shear flows, in: Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, Vol. 434, The Royal Society, 1991, pp. 165–182. doi:10.1098/rspa.1991.0087.
- [19] Z. Warhaft, Passive scalars in turbulent flows, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 32 (1) (2000) 203–240. doi:10.1146/annurev.fluid.32.1.203. 415
- [20] K. Sreenivasan, R. Antonia, H. Danh, Temperature dissipation fluctuations in a turbulent boundary layer, The Physics of Fluids 20 (8) (1977) 1238–1249. doi:10.1063/1.862005. 420
- [21] A. V. Johansson, P. M. Wikström, Dns and modelling of passive scalar transport in turbulent channel flow with a focus on scalar dissipation rate modelling, Flow, turbulence and combustion 63 (1-4) (2000) 223. doi:10.1023/A:1009948606944.
- [22] E. Germaine, L. Mydlarski, L. Cortelezzi, Evolution of the scalar dissipation rate downstream of a concentrated line source in turbulent channel flow, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 749 (2014) 227–274. doi:10.1017/jfm.2014.170. 425
- [23] P. Sagaut, Large eddy simulation for incompressible flows: an introduction, Springer Science & Business Media, 2006. 430
- [24] É. Lamballais, V. Fortuné, S. Laizet, Straightforward high-order numerical dissipation via the viscous term for direct and large eddy simulation, Journal of Computational Physics 230 (9) (2011) 3270–3275. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2011.01.040.

- 435 [25] T. Verstraete, S. Scholl, Stability analysis of partitioned methods for predicting conjugate heat transfer, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* 101 (2016) 852–869. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2016.05.041.
- [26] Z. Wu, D. Laurence, I. Afgan, Direct numerical simulation of a low momentum round jet in channel crossflow, *Nuclear Engineering and Design* 440 313 (2017) 273–284. doi:10.1016/j.nucengdes.2016.12.018.
- [27] T. Dairay, V. Fortuné, E. Lamballais, L. Brizzi, Direct numerical simulation of a turbulent jet impinging on a heated wall, *J. Fluid Mech* 764 (2015) 362–394. doi:10.1017/jfm.2014.715.