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# Corrections to "A Global High-Gain Finite-Time Observer" 

Tomas Ménard, Emmanuel Moulay and Wilfrid Perruquetti

## Abstract-This note fix the proof of Theorem 2 in the article [2].

Equations from the original paper will be denoted with a star (for example $\left(1^{*}\right)$ ) whereas equations of the present corrected paper will be denoted without a star (for example (1)).

## I. The ERROR

The function $\tilde{V}_{\alpha}$ used in the proof of Theorem 2 in [2], and derived from Theorem 10 in [3], is not $C^{1}$ with respect to $(e, \alpha)$. Indeed, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial\left(\left\lceil e_{k}\right\rfloor^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{k-1} q}}\right)}{\partial e_{k}}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{k} q}\left\lceil e_{k}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{k} q}-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, when $\alpha \rightarrow 1, \frac{1}{\alpha_{k} q} \rightarrow 1$ and when one of the component of $e$ goes to zero, the limit $\lim _{(\alpha, e) \rightarrow\left(1, e_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{\alpha_{k} q}\left\lceil e_{k}\right\rfloor^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{k} q}-1}$ does not exist. Thus the function $\tilde{V}_{\alpha}$ cannot be used as a candidate Lyapunov function.

## II. THE FIX

Let us first recall Theorem 2 from [2].
Theorem 1. Let us consider system ( $3^{*}$ ) with a bounded input $u$. Then there exists $\theta^{*} \geq 1$ such that for all $\theta>\theta^{*}$ there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that system ( $3^{*}$ ) admits the following global finite-time observer:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\hat{x}}_{1}=\hat{x}_{2}+k_{1}\left(\left\lceil e_{1}\right\rfloor^{\alpha_{1}}+\rho e_{1}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{1, j}\left(\hat{x}_{1}\right) u_{j} \\
\vdots \\
\dot{\hat{x}}_{n}=k_{n}\left(\left\lceil e_{1}\right\rfloor^{\alpha_{n}}+\rho e_{1}\right)+\varphi(\hat{x})+\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{n, j}(\hat{x}) u_{j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $\alpha \in] 1-\epsilon, 1\left[\right.$, where $e_{1}=x_{1}-\hat{x}_{1}$, the powers $\alpha_{i}$ are defined by $\left(5^{*}\right)$, the gains $k_{i}$ by $\left(6^{*}\right)$ and $\rho=\left(\frac{n^{2} \theta^{\frac{2}{3}} S_{1}+1}{2}\right)$ where $S_{1}$ is defined by ( $8^{*}$ ).
In addition, the settling time of the error dynamics is bounded by $T_{1}\left(e_{0}\right)+T_{2}\left(e_{0}\right)$ (with $e_{0}=x_{0}-\hat{x}_{0}$ ), where $T_{1}, T_{2}$ are respectively given by (18*) and (6).

The statement of Theorem 2 in [2] remains correct, except for the settling time which has to be corrected.

We can define the function $V_{1}(e)=e^{T} S_{\infty}(1) e$, for $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $S_{\infty}(1)$ is the solution of $\left(7^{*}\right)$ for $\theta=1$. This choice

[^0]corresponds to the linear case, that is $\alpha=1$. Proceeding as in [4], [5], one can construct a candidate Lyapunov function with properties stated next.

Proposition 1. Let $a \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$
a=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { on }(-\infty, 1]  \tag{2}\\
1 & \text { on }[2,+\infty)
\end{array} \quad \text { and } a^{\prime} \geq 0 \text { on } \mathbb{R}\right.
$$

There exists $\epsilon>0$ such that for all $\alpha \in] 1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon[$, the function $\bar{V}_{\alpha}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{V}_{\alpha}(e)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t^{3}}\left(a \circ V_{1}\right)\left(t^{r_{1}(\alpha)} e_{1}, \ldots, t^{r_{n}(\alpha)} e_{n}\right) d t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\bar{V}_{\alpha}(0)=0$ is well defined, radially unbounded, of class $\mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, and satisfies
a) $\bar{V}_{\alpha}\left(\delta_{\lambda}^{r(\alpha)} e\right)=\lambda^{2} \bar{V}_{\alpha}(e)$, for all $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\lambda>0$.
b) $\left\langle\nabla \bar{V}_{\alpha}(e), A e-F\left(S_{\infty}^{-1}(1) C^{T}, e\right)\right\rangle \leq-\gamma\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha}(e)\right)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}$, for all $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\gamma>0$.
where $F, C$ and $\delta_{\lambda}^{r(\alpha)}$ are defined in [2].
Proof of proposition 1. Let, $\alpha \in] 1-\frac{1}{n},+\infty[$, proceeding as in [4], one directly shows that $\bar{V}_{\alpha}$ is well defined, radially unbounded, $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and homogeneous of degree 2 with respect to the weights $r(\alpha)$. Then, only point b ) remains to prove.
Following the same lines as in [4], there exists $l, L>0$ such that for all $e \in\left\{e \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \bar{V}_{\alpha}(e)=1\right\}$, one has

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\nabla \bar{V}_{\alpha}(e), A e-F\left(S_{\infty}^{-1}(1) C^{T}, e\right)\right\rangle=\int_{l}^{L} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+2}} a^{\prime}\left(V_{1}\left(\delta_{t}^{r(\alpha)} e\right)\right) \times \\
\left\langle\nabla V_{1}\left(\delta_{t}^{r(\alpha)} e\right), A \delta_{t}^{r(\alpha)} e-F\left(S_{\infty}^{-1}(1) C^{T}, \delta_{t}^{r(\alpha)} e\right)\right\rangle d t
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider the function $g(e, t, \alpha)=$ $\left\langle\nabla V_{1}\left(\delta_{t}^{r(\alpha)} e\right), A \delta_{t}^{r(\alpha)} e-F\left(S_{\infty}^{-1}(1) C^{T}, \delta_{t}^{r(\alpha)} e\right)\right\rangle$, where $\left.(e, t, \alpha) \in\left\{e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \bar{V}_{\alpha}(e)=1\right\} \times\{t \in[l, L]\} \times\right] 1-\frac{1}{n},+\infty[$. The function $g$ is continuous, $(e, t)$ belongs to a compact set and there exists $\gamma_{1}>0$ such that the image of $g$ is included in $]-\infty,-\gamma_{1}\left[\right.$ for $(e, t) \in\left\{e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \bar{V}_{\alpha}(e)=1\right\} \times\{t \in[l, L]\}$ and $\alpha=1$ (since it corresponds to the linear case). We can then apply Lemma 26.8 in [1] (tube lemma) which gives the existence of $\epsilon>0$ such that for all $\left.(e, t, \alpha) \in\left\{e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \bar{V}_{\alpha}(e)=1\right\} \times\{t \in[l, L]\} \times\right] 1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon[$, $g(e, t, \alpha) \leq-\gamma_{1}$.
Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\nabla \bar{V}_{\alpha}(e), A e-F\left(S_{\infty}^{-1}(1) C^{T}, e\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & -\gamma_{1} \int_{l}^{L} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+2}} a^{\prime}\left(V_{1}\left(\delta_{t}^{r(\alpha)} e\right)\right) d t \leq-\gamma\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha}(e)\right)^{\frac{2+\alpha-1}{2}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma>0 \quad 0$ is a lower bound of $\gamma_{1} \int_{l}^{L} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+2}} a^{\prime}\left(V_{1}\left(\delta_{t}^{r(\alpha)} e\right)\right) d t$ for $(e, \alpha) \in\left\{e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \bar{V}_{\alpha}(e)=\right.$ $1\} \times] 1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon\left[\right.$. Since $V_{\alpha}$ is homogeneous of degree 2 with respect to the weights $r(\alpha)$, inequality (4) is valid for all $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Now that a new candidate Lyapunov function has been defined, we explain how it will be used to correct the proof
of Theorem 2 in [2]. Please note that part 1 of the proof is correct, then it has already been proved that every trajectory starting from $e_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ enter the ball $\mathcal{B}_{\|\cdot\|_{S_{\infty}(\theta)}}(1)$ after time $T_{1}\left(e_{0}\right)=\log \left(1 / V\left(e_{0}\right)\right) / \kappa(\theta)$ (see equation $\left(18^{*}\right)$ ).
Denote $\bar{e}=\Delta_{\theta} e$, where $\Delta_{\theta}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & \frac{1}{\theta} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\theta^{n-1}}\end{array}\right]$, in the remaining, we will show that for every $\theta \geq \theta_{2} \triangleq \frac{2}{\gamma}\left(M_{1}+2\right)$, there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\bar{V}}_{\alpha}(\bar{e}) \leq-\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} \theta-1\right)\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha}(\bar{e})\right)^{\frac{2+\alpha-1}{2}}+M_{1} \bar{V}_{\alpha}(\bar{e}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $\left.\bar{e} \in \mathcal{B}_{\|\cdot\|_{S_{\infty}(1)}}(1), \alpha \in\right] 1-\epsilon, 1[$, where $M_{1}>0$ is a constant independent of $\theta$. This inequality replaces inequality $\left(19^{*}\right)$. Inequality (5) directly implies that the error system $\left(11^{*}\right)$ is finite time stable on $\mathcal{B}_{\|\cdot\|_{S_{\infty}(\theta)}}(1)$. Thus, after time $T_{1}\left(e_{0}\right)$, the error enters $\mathcal{B}_{\|\cdot\|_{S_{\infty}(\theta)}}(1)$ and after time $T_{1}\left(e_{0}\right)+T_{2}\left(e_{0}\right)$ the error reaches the origin, where the settling time $T_{2}\left(e_{0}\right)$ is bounded as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}\left(e_{0}\right) \leq \frac{\ln \left(1-\frac{M_{1}}{\frac{\gamma}{2} \theta-1} \bar{V}_{\alpha}\left(e_{0}\right)^{1-\frac{2+\alpha-1}{2}}\right)}{M_{1}\left(\frac{2+\alpha-1}{2}-1\right)} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining of the corrected proof is very similar to the original one. The dynamics of $\bar{e}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\bar{e}}= & \theta\left(A \bar{e}-F\left(S_{\infty}^{-1}(1) C^{T}, \bar{e}\right)-\rho S_{\infty}^{-1}(1) C^{T} C \bar{e}\right) \\
& +\Delta_{\theta} D(x, \hat{x}, u)
\end{aligned}
$$

One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\bar{V}}_{\alpha}(\bar{e}) \triangleq \theta \bar{W}_{1}+\bar{W}_{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\bar{W}_{1}=\left\langle\nabla \bar{V}_{\alpha}(\bar{e}), A \bar{e}-F\left(S_{\infty}^{-1}(1) C^{T}, \bar{e}\right)-\rho S_{\infty}^{-1}(1) C^{T} C \bar{e}\right\rangle$ and $\bar{W}_{2}=\left\langle\nabla \bar{V}_{\alpha}(\bar{e}), \Delta_{\theta} D(x, \hat{x}, u)\right\rangle$.
Following the same lines as in [2], one can show that there exists $\theta_{2} \geq 0$ such that for every $\theta \geq \theta_{2}$, there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that for all $\alpha \in] 1-\epsilon, 1[$ inequality (5) holds true.
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