

# SCCS OPINION ON Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB)- Submission III

Ulrike Bernauer, Laurent Bodin, Leonardo Celleno, Qasim Mohammad Chaudhry, Pieter-Jan Coenraads, Maria Dusinska, Jeanne Duus-Johansen, Janine Ezendam, Eric Gaffet, Lodovico Corrado Galli, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Ulrike Bernauer, Laurent Bodin, Leonardo Celleno, Qasim Mohammad Chaudhry, Pieter-Jan Coenraads, et al.. SCCS OPINION ON Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB)- Submission III. 2016. hal-01493478

# HAL Id: hal-01493478 https://hal.science/hal-01493478

Submitted on 27 Mar 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	European Commission
8	
9	
10	Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety
11 12	SCCS
13	5005
14	
15	
	OPINION ON
16	OF INION ON
17	
18	
19	
20	Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB)
20	i olyannopi opyi biguanac (i in b)
21	- Submission III -
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
28 29	
30	The SCCS adopted this Opinion by written procedure
31 32 33	On 23 December 2016
33	
34	

### 1 About the Scientific Committees

2 Two independent non-food Scientific Committees provide the Commission with the scientific 3 advice it needs when preparing policy and proposals relating to consumer safety, public health 4 and the environment. The Committees also draw the Commission's attention to the new or 5 emerging problems which may pose an actual or potential threat. 6 They are: the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) and the Scientific Committee 7 on Health, Environmental and Emerging Risks (SCHEER) and are made up of independent 8 experts. 9 In addition, the Commission relies upon the work of the European Food Safety Authority 10 (EFSA), the European Medicines Agency (EMA), the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA). 11 12 SCCS 13 The Committee shall provide Opinions on questions concerning all types of health and safety 14 risks (notably chemical, biological, mechanical and other physical risks) of non-food consumer 15 products (for example: cosmetic products and their ingredients, toys, textiles, clothing, 16 personal care and household products such as detergents, etc.) and services (for example: 17 tattooing, artificial sun tanning, etc.). 18 19 20 Scientific Committee members 21 Ulrike Bernauer, Laurent Bodin, Leonardo Celleno, Qasim Chaudhry, Pieter Jan Coenraads, 22 Maria Dusinska, Jeanne Duus-Johansen, Janine Ezendam, Eric Gaffet, Corrado Lodovico Galli, 23 Berit Granum, Eirini Panteri, Vera Rogiers, Christophe Rousselle, Maciej Stepnik, Tamara 24 Vanhaecke, Susan Wijnhoven 25 26 27 Contact 28 European Commission 29 Health and Food Safety 30 Directorate C: Public Health, Country Knowledge and Crisis Management 31 Unit C2 – Country Knowledge and Scientific Committees 32 Office: HTC 03/073 33 L-2920 Luxembourg SANTE-C2-SCCS@ec.europa.eu 34 35 36 <sup>©</sup> European Union, 2016 37 ISSN ISBN ND-38 Doi: 39 40 The Opinions of the Scientific Committees present the views of the independent scientists who are members of the committees. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the European 41 42 Commission. The opinions are published by the European Commission in their original language 43 only. 44 http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific committees/consumer safety/index en.htm 45

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 1

2 3 4	SCCS Members
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Dr U. Bernauer Dr L. Bodin Dr L. Celleno Prof. Q. Chaudhry Prof. P.J. Coenraads (Chairperson) Prof. M. Dusinska Prof. J. Duus-Johansen Dr J. Ezendam
14 15	Prof. C. L. Galli Dr B. Granum
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Prof. E. Panteri Prof. V. Rogiers (Rapporteur) Dr Ch. Rousselle Dr M. Stepnik Prof. T. Vanhaecke Dr S. Wijnhoven
24 25	External experts
26	/
27 29	
28 29 30	Keywords: SCCS, scientific opinion, Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB), Regulation 1223/2009, CAS 32289-58-0 / 27083-27-8 / 28757-47-3 / 133029-32-0, EC: 608-723-9 and 608-042-7
31 32	
33	
34 35 36 37 38	Opinion to be cited as: SCCS (Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety), Opinion on Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) - Submission III, SCCS/1581/16, preliminary version of 23 December 2016

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS** 1

2	ACKN	NOWLEDGMENTS		
3	1.	BACKGROUND	5	
4	2.	Terms of reference	6	
5	3.	OPINION	7	
6	3.1	1 Chemical and Physical Specifications	7	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	3.2	3.1.1Chemical identity3.1.2Physical form3.1.3Molecular weight3.1.4Purity, composition and substance codes.3.1.5Impurities / accompanying contaminants3.1.6Solubility3.1.7Partition coefficient (Log Pow)3.1.8Additional physical and chemical specifications.3.1.9Stability		
18	3.3	3 Toxicological Evaluation	13	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	3.4	3.3.1Acute toxicity3.3.2Irritation and corrosivity3.3.3Skin sensitisation3.3.4Toxicokinetics3.3.5Repeated dose toxicity3.3.6Reproductive toxicity3.3.7Mutagenicity / Genotoxicity3.3.8Carcinogenicity3.3.9Photo-induced toxicity3.3.10Human data3.3.11Special investigations	18 22 27 40 50 53 60 64 65 69	
32	3.5			
33	3.6	6 Discussion		
34	4.	CONCLUSION		
35	5.	MINORITY OPINION	77	
36	6.	REFERENCES	78	
37		4		

# 2 1. BACKGROUND

3

Poly(hexamethylene) biguanide hydrochloride (PHMB) (CAS 32289-58-0 / 27083-27-8 / 2875747-3 / 133029-32-0) with INCI name Polyaminopropyl Biguanide, is currently listed in Annex V
(entry 28) of the Regulation (EC) No. 1223/2009<sup>1</sup> (Cosmetics Regulation) as preservative to
be used in all cosmetic products up to a maximum concentration of 0.3%.

8

Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) is classified as CMR 2 (Carc. 2) according to the
Commission Regulation (EU) No. 944/2013<sup>2</sup> of 2 October 2013 amending for the purposes of its
adaptation to technical and scientific progress the Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008<sup>3</sup>. The
classification applies from 1st January 2015 and according to Art. 15 (1) of the Cosmetics
Regulation, PHMB is considered prohibited as cosmetic ingredient from 1st January 2015.

14

However, Art. 15 (1) of the Cosmetics Regulation states that 'a substance classified in category 2 may be used in cosmetic products where the substance has been evaluated by the SCCS and found safe for use in cosmetic products. To these ends the Commission shall adopt the necessary measures in accordance with the regulatory procedure with scrutiny referred to in Article 32(3) of this Regulation'.

The SCCS published an opinion on the safety of PHMB in June 2014 successively revised in July 2015 (SCCS/1535/14)<sup>4</sup> in which they concluded that:

"Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) is not safe for consumers when used as a preservative inall cosmetic products up to the maximum concentration of 0.3%.

The safe use could be based on a lower use concentration and/or restrictions with regard to cosmetic products' categories. Dermal absorption studies on additional representative cosmetic formulations are needed.

27 On the basis of the data available, the SCCS concludes that Polyaminopropyl Biguanid (PHMB) 28 is not safe for consumers when used as a preservative in cosmetic spray formulations up to 29 concentration of 0.3%.

30

PHMB is used in a variety of applications other than cosmetics. General exposure data from sources others than cosmetics should be submitted for the assessment of the aggregate exposure of PHMB."

34

In May 2016, Cosmetics Europe transmitted a new safety dossier on PHMB that addresses the major issues raised by the SCCS notably i) a lower maximum concentration of 0.1%, ii) new dermal absorption studies on representative formulations and iii) aggregate exposure data.

<sup>4</sup> http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific committees/consumer safety/docs/sccs o 157.pdf

<sup>38</sup> 

http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2009:342:0059:0209:en:PDF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2013:261:0005:0022:EN:PDF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2008:353:0001:1355:en:PDF</u>

### 1 2. Terms of reference

2

- 3 (1) In light of the new data provided, does the SCCS consider Polyaminopropyl Biguanide
   4 (PHMB) safe when used as preservative in all cosmetic products up to a maximum
   5 concentration of 0.1%?
- 6 (2) Alternatively, taking into account the EU market data available, does the SCCS consider
  7 Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) safe when used as preservative up to a maximum
  8 concentration of 0.1% in all cosmetic products with the exclusion of those product categories
  9 (body lotion, hand cream and oral care) in which this ingredient is seldom used?
- (3) According to the new data provided, does the SCCS consider Polyaminopropyl Biguanide
   (PHMB) safe for use in sprayable formulations up to a maximum concentration of 0.1%?
- 12 (4) Does the SCCS have any further scientific concerns with regard to the use of
   13 Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) in cosmetic products?

**Chemical and Physical Specifications** 

**Chemical identity** 

1

# 2 **3. OPINION**

3.1

3.1.1

3
4
5
4 5 6 7
7
, 0
0
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 12 23 24 25 26 27 28 9 30 1 32 33 4 35 36 37 38 39 40
36
37
38
39
40

3.1.1.1 Primary name and/or INCI name Chemical name: Polyhexamethylene biguanide hydrochloride (PHMB)\* INCI Name: Polyaminopropyl Biguanide \*Abbreviation PHMB has been used throughout this Opinion 3.1.1.2 Chemical names IUPAC Name: Homopolymer of N-(3-Aminopropyl)-Imidodicarbonimidic Diamide Other chemical names: Poly(hexamethylenebiguanide hydrochloride) Poly(iminocarbonimidoyliminocarbonimidoylimino-1,6-hexanediyl), hydrochloride Poly(iminoimidocarbonyl-iminoimidocarbonyl-iminohexamethylene), hydrochloride Poly(iminoimidocarbonyliminoimidocarbonyliminohexamethylene) hydrochloride 3.1.1.3 Trade names and abbreviations Baguacil Caswell No. 676 Cosmocil CQ EPA Pesticide Chemical Code 111801 PHMB Polihexanide Polihexanido Polihexanidum Polyhexanide PP 073 UNII-322U039GMF Vantocil IB Vantocil TG Reference: Submission file, therein cited: TOXNET 2013 3.1.1.4 CAS / EC number

43	
44	CAS: 32289-58-0 / 27083-27-8 / 28757-47-3 / 133029-32-0

45

Ref.: 124

### Opinion on Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) - Submission III

- 1 Two equivalent CAS numbers can be allocated depending on how the polymer is described.
- 2 CAS-No 27083-27-8 expresses the PHMB in terms of its starting monomers (N,N"'-1,6-
- 3 hexanediylbis(N'-cyanoguanidine) and 1,6-hexanediamine).
- 4 CAS-No 32289-58-0 expresses the PHMB as the resultant polymer. 5 6


# EC: 608-723-9 and 608-042-7

7 8 9


10

3.1.1.5 Structural formula





Where n = 1 to 40 and average molecular weight corresponds to n = 10 - 13



1	3.1.3 Molecular weight
2	
3	Molecular weight:
4	> 700 g/mol (submission)
5	2670 – 2960 (average molecular weight) Da (Ref. 5)
6	3686 – 4216 (average molecular weight) Da (Ref.22)
7	For Vantocil P, it is described that on average (5 samples tested) 5.3 % is present as
8	monomers, i.e. as constituent with a molecular weight below 500 g/mol.
9	
10	Submission III
11 12	Composition of a commercially used mixture:
12	<ul> <li>Molecular weight fraction &lt; 500 dalton: 6% - made out of two</li> </ul>
14	monomers:Hexamethylenediamine (HMD): CAS 124-09-4, and
15	Hexamethylenebisdicyandiamide (HMBDA): EC 240-032-4, CAS 15894-70-9
16	
17	<ul> <li>Molecular weight fraction 500 to 1000 dalton: 14.1%</li> </ul>
18	<ul> <li>Molecular weight fraction &gt; 1000 dalton: 75.8%</li> </ul>
19	
20	
21	Ref.:35, 121
22	
23	3.1.4 Purity, composition and substance codes
24	
25	> 94.2 % (w/w in dry weight)
26	Ref.: 5; 22; 30; 35; 36
27	
28	3.1.5 Impurities / accompanying contaminants
29	
30	Table 1. Terrowiting was acted for DUMD
31	Table 1: Impurities reported for PHMB
32	

Impurity [%	PHBM,	Vantocil P,	Vantocil P,	PHMB,	Five different
(w/w)]	DS6261	(Ref. 35)	(Ref. 36)	DS 6274	batches of technical
	(Ref. 5)			(Ref.: 23- 26)	grade PHMB (Solid PHMB)
		Samples:	Samples:		(Ref. 22)
	Samples: ASG 10320307, ASG 10320308, ASG 10320309, ASG	DR4529; DR4532; DR4535; DR4538; DR4541	DR4529		

	10320310 ASG 10320311				
HMD	0.26 - 0.32	0.09 - 0.12	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
HMDA	0.6 - 1.2	0.13 - 0.16	0.16	0.5	0.07 - 0.29
Impurity A	1.67 - 3.26	2.3 - 2.8	2.8	1.2	0.07- 0.29
Impurity B + C	0.9 – 1.08 (C only)	1.1 - 1.3	1.3	0.6	0.5 - 1.4

1 2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9

11

15

19

21

24 25 26 HMD: polymerization starting material Hexamethylenediamine  $[H_2N(CH_2)_6-NH_2]$ 

HMDA: polymerization starting material Hexamethylenebisdicyandiamide

Impurity A: possibly N-(6-aminohexyl)-N'-(6-quanidinohexyl)quanidine (Ref. 35); according to Ref. 36, impurity A can be accounted for in part by HMBDA but ca 0.2 % is due to other components present (proposed impurity C, N-cyano N'-(6-N-cyanoaminohexyl)guanidine and 10 N-Cyano N'-(6-aminohexyl)guanidine).

12 Impurity B: possibly 1,6-diquanidinohexane dihydrochloride (Ref. 35) 13

14 Impurity C: possibly N-cyano-N'-(6-guanidinohexyl)guanidine hydrochloride (Ref. 35)

16 Nine other impurities in concentrations 0.01 -0.9 % (w/w), total 1.2 -2.7% (w/w) were found 17 in 5 technical grade PHMB by HPLC/MS analysis. On the basis of mass spectra, these impurities were considered to be "active substance related" (Ref. 22). 18

20 Water content in 5 batches of technical grade PHMB: 3 – 5 % (Ref. 22).

22 Trace metal contents (in ppm, w/w) in five different batches of PHBM: Cd: < 0.25; Cr: < 0.2523 - 0.7; Co: < 0.25; Fe: 14 - 40; Pb < 2; Zn: 370 - 540; As <2 and Hg < 0.2.

Ref.: 5, 2

#### 3.1.6 Solubility

28 29

- 30 Water solubility:
- 41 ± 1 % [w/w] at 25° C (Ref. 2) 31
- 32 39.0 - 43.4 % at 23.4° C (Ref. 5)
- 33 426 g/l (Toner, 2014)
- 34 Solubility in organic solvents
- 35 Methanol:  $41 \pm 1$  % w/w at  $25 \pm 1$  °C
- Ethanol: 0.5 ± 0.08 % w/w at 25 ± 1°C 36

- 1 Acetone: 2.7 ppm at 22°C
- 2 Dichloromethane: 0.2 ppm at 22°C
- 3 Ethyl Acetate: 0.1 ppm at 22°C
- 4 Toluene: 0.2 ppm at 22°C
- 5 n-hexane: 0.1 ppm at 22°C
- 6 Acetonitrile: 0.8 ppm at 22°C 7
- 7 8

# SCCS Comment

10 The method used for the determination of water solubility was not reported.

Additional physical and chemical specifications

11

9

### 12 13

14

# 3.1.7 Partition coefficient (Log P<sub>ow</sub>)

Log  $P_{ow}$ : -2.3 at 25 ± 1° C; pH: 7.4 (determined as approx. 20 % aqueous solution according to OECD TG 107 (shake-flask method))

Ref.:6

Ref.:30

17 18 19

20

3.1.8

Melting point:	a) 78.9- 136.3° C (Ref.: 2)
	b) decomposes without melting at 205 – 210 °C (Ref. 30)
Boiling point:	decomposes at 205-210°C before boiling (Ref.: 30)
Flash point:	
Vapour pressure:	a) 1.32 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> Pa (20°C) and 4.11 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> Pa (25°C)
	(estimated according to OECD TG 104) (Ref. 16)
	b) 6.0 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> Pa (20°C) and 2.0 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> Pa (25°C)
	(estimated according to OECD TG 104) (Ref. 69)
Relative Density:	$1.20 \pm 0.0025$ (at $20 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C) (Ref.: 30)
Viscosity:	/
pKa:	4.19 at 25°C (Ref.: 30)
Refractive index:	/
pH:	4.36 at 21.7° C (Ref.:5)
UV_Vis spectrum:	wavelength maximum at 236 nm (Ref.:5)
-	-

21 22

# 23 SCCS comment

The SCCS notes that the melting point as given in Ref. 2 (which gives reference to a nonavailable report [Bannon 2008]) spans a large range. This might be due to the heterogenous nature of the polymer. Differing information is available from Ref. 30 and 5 where it is mentioned that the substance decomposes without melting at 205 – 210 °C.

3.1.9 Stability

30 31 In an attempt to accelerate the ageing of the solid substance by heating at  $54 \pm 2^{\circ}$  C for 14 32 days, the substance has been shown to be stable and there was no significant degradation. An 33 ambient shelf-life of at least 2 years was derived from this study result.

11

34

1 2			Ref.:5
2 3 4	The stat	bility of PHMB in deionised water has been established for at least 6 weeks.	
5 6		R	ef. 72
7 8 9		27, it was stated that nominal concentrations (expressed as Vantocil, i.e. 20 $\%$ F 35 and 80 mg/ml would be stable for the duration of the test.	РНМВ)
10 11 12		Re	ef.: 27
13 14 15		y analysis revealed that PHMB over a concentration range of 0.02 to 7.0 mg/l was ing water for a period of 7 days.	stable
16 17 18		Re	ef.: 47
19 20 21		I SCCS comments on physico-chemical characterisation known whether the water solubility of PHMB was determined by EU Method A.6.	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	available nature	CS notes that the melting point as given in Ref. 2 (which gives reference to a e report (Bannon 2008)) spans a large range. This might be due to the heterog of the polymer. Differing information is available from Ref. 30 and 5 where ned that the substance decomposes without melting at 205 – 210 °C.	enous
29	3.2	Function and uses	
30 31 32	PHMB is	s supported under Directive 98/8/EC for uses as a disinfectant.	
32 33 34		Ref.:	:2
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43		s used as a preservative and as an antimicrobial agent. As a preservative, PHMB is letics, personal care products, fabric softeners, contact lens solutions, hand washes	
	therefor growth. bacteria	netics, PHMB is used as a broad spectrum preservative. It is freely water solubl re widely used in water-based products which are most susceptible to microorg It has an excellent activity against a wide range of Gram positive and Gram ne a, fungi and yeasts and is particularly effective against microorganisms such as th udomanas species, which are difficult to control.	anism gative
44 45 46 47 48	contami trays, fa	s also used to preserve wet wipes; to control odour in textiles; to prevent mic ination in wound irrigation and sterile dressings; to disinfect medical/dental utens arm equipment, animal drinking water, and hard surfaces for food handling instit spitals; and to deodorize vacuums and toilets. PHMB is used in antimicrobial	sil and utions

washes and rubs and air filter treatments as an alternative to ozone. PHMB is also used as an
active ingredient for recreational water treatment, as a chlorine-free polymeric sanitiser, which
is effective against a wide variety of microorganisms.

Further reported uses of PHMB are purification of swimming pool water, beer glass sanitisation,
solid surface disinfection in breweries and short-term preservation of hides and skins.

7 8 9

4

Ref.: submission dossier; 63, 28

# 10 SCCS comments

Recently PHMB has been assessed for biocidal uses by ECHA. The use of PHMB as a biocide has been approved in product types TP 2,3,4,11 for which exposure to the consumers is limited but not in product types TP1, 5, 6, 9 for which exposure may be significant. Therefore the exposure of consumer to PHMB as a biocide will be significantly reduced due to these new foreseen regulations.

16

# 17 **3.3 Toxicological Evaluation**

18 19

20

22

# 3.3.1 Acute toxicity

21 Submission II

23 3.3.1.1 Acute oral toxicity

25					
26	Guideline:	OECD TG 425			
28	Species/strain:	rat, Sprague-Dawley			
30	Group size:	/			
31	Test substance:	PHMB DS6274			
34	Batch:	PC 100-02			
35	Purity:	certificate of analysis was not attached; submission states 96.0 %			
36	Vehicle:	distilled water			
38	Dose levels:	550 and 2000 mg/kg bw			
40	Dose volume:	20 ml/kg bw			
41	Administration:	gavage			
43	GLP:	yes			
44	Study period:	2002			
45					
46	A total of 6 female	e animals were dosed individually in sequence with at least 48 hours between			
47	the animals at dos	se levels of 2000 or 550 mg/kg bw. All surviving animals were observed for			
48	14 days post-dose				
50		treated with 2000 mg/kg were found dead during the day of dosing or one			
52	day after dosing. No deaths were noted at a dose level of 550 mg/kg.				
53	Hunched posture and pilo-erection were noted in 2 animals treated with 2000 mg/kg just after				
54	dosing. Signs of systemic toxicity also noted 1 day after dosing in 1 animal treated with 2000				
55	mg/kg were lethar	gy, ataxia, decreased respiratory rate, laboured respiration, ptosis and tiptoe			
59	gait.				

1 There were no signs of systemic toxicity noted in animals treated with 550 mg/kg. The 2 surviving animals showed expected gains in bodyweight over the study period. Abnormalities 3 noted at necropsy of animals that died during the study were haemorrhagic or abnormally red 4 lung, dark liver, dark kidneys, haemorrhage or sloughing of the gastric mucosa, sloughing of 5 the non-glandular epithelium of the stomach and haemorrhage of the small intestine. No 6 abnormalities were noted at necropsy in animals that survived through the 14-day observation 7 period. 8 An acute oral  $LD_{50}$  of 1049 mg/kg bw was derived from the study. 9 Ref.: 23 10 11 12 13 14 Guideline: Considered equivalent to OECD 401 but without gross necropsy 16 Species/strain: Rat, Alderley Park 18 Group size: 5 / sex/ dose 19 Test substance: Vantocil P (20 % aqueous solution of PHMB) 20 BX 791/2[ADGM 1021/79] Batch: 22 no information given Purity: 22 Vehicle: deionised water 26 Dose levels: 700, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500, 3000, 3500 and 5000 mg/kg bw 27 stomach tube Administration: 28 GLP: yes 30 Study period: 1979 31 32 Animals were fasted for 16 – 20 hrs and then given various doses of an aqueous solution of 33 PHMB by stomach tube at a standard volume of 10 ml/kg. Animals were observed for 14 days. 34 No deaths occurred in animals dosed at 700, 1000 or 1500 mg/kg bw. Signs of toxicity could 35 be observed in all dose groups and consisted of salivation, lacrimation, piloerection and in 36 subdued appearance for some animals. At 1500 mg/kg bw, further signs of toxicity were wheezing and staining around the mouth. Toxic signs did not persist beyond day 7 or 8 of the 37 38 study. Cumulative mortalities in male rats were 1 at 2000 mg/kg bw, 2 at 2500 mg/kg bw, and 39 4 at 3000, 3500 and 5000 mg/kg bw, respectively. In female rats, cumulative mortalities were 1 at 2000 mg/kg bw, 2 at 2500 mg/kg bw, and 5 at 3000 mg/kg bw, 4 at 3500 mg/kg bw and 40 41 5 at 5000 mg/kg bw. LD50 values of 2747 mg/kg and 2504 mg/kg were derived for male and 42 female rats, respectively corresponding to approximately 549 and 501 mg/kg bw a.i. in male 43 and female animals, respectively. 44 45 Ref.: 55 46 SCCS comment 47 According to Annex VI of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008 a harmonised classification as Acute 48 Tox 4 H302 (harmful if swallowed) has been assigned. 49 50 51 3.3.1.2 Acute dermal toxicity 52 OECD TG 402 (EU B.3) 52 Guideline: Rat, Sprague-Dawley 56 Species/strain:

2	Group size:	5 males and 5 females
3	Test substance:	PHMB DS6274
<del>\$</del>	Batch:	PC 100-02
6	Purity:	certificate of analysis was not attached; submission states 96.0 %
8	Vehicle:	distilled water
9	Dose levels:	5000 mg/kg bw
10	Administration:	dermal, semi-occlusive
13	GLP:	yes
14	Study period:	2002

16 Clipped skin (10 % of the total body surface area of the dorsal and dorso-lateral parts of the 17 trunk) of animals was treated with a single dose of 5000 mg/kg bw of PHMB (moistened with distilled water). The application area was covered by semi-occlusive dressing for 24 hours. 18 19 Body weights were determined shortly before administration and on days 7 and 14. Clinical signs were recorded several times on the day of administration and at least once daily 20 21 thereafter. The skin was examined and the findings were scored according to Draize 0.5, 1, 2 22 and 4 hr after removal of the semi-occlusive dressing and subsequently once per week for 14 23 days. Animals were sacrificed after the observation period and gross pathological examinations were performed. 24

No mortality occurred, no signs of systemic toxicity were observed and body weight gain was not affected. Very slight to well-defined erythema was noted at the treatment sites of all animals one and two days after dosing. Very slight erythema persisted at the treatment sites of two animals three days after dosing. Heamorrhage of dermal capillaries was noted at the treatment sites of eight animals one and two days after dosing. Small superficial scattered scabs were noted at the treatment sites of all animals. No abnormalities were noted at necropsy.

- 33 An acute dermal toxicity > 5000 mg/kg bw was derived from this study.
- 34
- 35 36

Guideline: precedes OECD guideline but considered mainly in accordance with OECD TG 402 Rabbit, White New Zealand Species/strain: Group size: 2 males and females Test substance: Vantocil P (20 % aqueous solution of PHMB) Batch: BX 791/2[ADGM 1021/79] Purity: no information Vehicle: / Dose levels: 2 ml of a 20 % aqueous solution Administration: dermal, occlusive GLP: yes Study period: 1979

- 41 42
- 43

38

20

- 44
- 45
- 46

Ref. 55

Ref.:24

9

11

### 3.3.1.3 Acute inhalation toxicity

Two acute inhalation toxicity studies have been performed with PHMB. The studies are not available for evaluation by the SCCS. The studies have been evaluated by ANSES and RAC and have been described in a French proposal for classification and labelling of PHBM (Ref.: 2) as well as in the RAC background document to the opinion proposing harmonised classification and labelling of PHBM (Ref. 30) and presented here as citations from Ref. 2.

10 Study 1:

12 "In an acute inhalation study on a formulation containing 20.6 (% w/w) PHMB (but with no 13 information on the non -active ingredients), Alpk:APfSC rats (five/sex) were exposed by nose-14 only for 4 hours to a single dose of 1.76 mg/l of the formulation, which corresponds to 0.36 15 mg/l of PHMB (mass medium aerodynamic diameters were 1.8-2.0 µm with a geometric standard deviation of 2 µm). (Arch Chemicals, subsequently submitted some unclear 16 17 information on the non-active ingredients: 14% EDTA, 27% propylene (?) and water). Three hours after the exposure one male died (out of ten animals in total). All females and most 18 19 males demonstrated respiratory stress including breathing irregularities and abnormal 20 respiratory noise. Red mottled lungs were found in the dead male, as well as two other males 21 on day 15. It is not possible to establish an LC50 for the formulation or for PHMB based on this 22 study, but it could be estimated to be higher than 0.36 mg/l for PHMB."

23

24

25 Study 2: 26

<sup>27</sup> "Wistar CRL:(WI) rats (n=5/sex/concentration in the main study) were exposed nose-only to <sup>28</sup> an aerosol of PHMB (purity 99.6%) in aqueous solution in a GLP, OECD 403-compliant acute <sup>29</sup> inhalation toxicity study (confidential reference, 2012). Mass medium aerodynamic diameters <sup>30</sup> were in the range of 1.49-2.20  $\mu$ m with geometric standard deviation (GSD) in the range of <sup>31</sup> 1.84-2.29  $\mu$ m.

32 In the preliminary study, both animals exposed at 1.0 mg/L died after 1 and 2 hours of 33 exposure respectively. Severely laboured respiration was observed and dark red, diffuse 34 discoloration of enlarged or non-collapsed lungs with foamy white content in trachea was 35 observed at necropsy. At 0.1 mg/L, no lethality occurred, slight to moderate clinical signs were observed (laboured respiration, rhonchus, partial ptosis, decreased activity, increased 36 37 respiratory rate, sneezing). Transient body weight decrease was observed but no test-item 38 related macroscopic findings. Exposure levels in the main study were 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 mg/l 39 PHMB for 4 hours. 40

41 Mortality is summarised in Table 2 below.

42

- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49

#### 1 Table 2: mortality of Wistar rats after inhalation of 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 mg/l PHMB for 4 hr.

$\sim$
•
/

Concentration in PHMB	Mortality in males	Mortality in females	Comments
0.1 mg/L	0/5	0/5	1
0.3 mg/L	3/5	0/5	One male died at the end of the exposure and two males were found dead on the day following the exposure on Day 1.
0.5 mg/L	5/5	3/5	Male animals died immediately following the end of exposure (two males) or 1 hour following the end of exposure (two males) while one male and three female rats were found dead approximately 7 hours following the end of exposure.

3 4

# Clinical signs:

6 7 At a concentration of 0.1 mg/L, the main clinical signs were observed on Day 0 and included: 8 slight to moderately laboured respiration (all males and 3 of 5 females), rhonchus (2 of 5 males 9 and 3 of 5 female), decreased activity (all males), hunched back (4 of 5 females) and increased 10 respiratory rate (all females). Following the exposure on Day 1, the respiratory signs ceased 11 and slightly laboured respiration and/or rhonchus (noisy respiration) was noted in two males 12 only, with sneezing observed in all males for 3-4 days (up to Day 5). In all males and in 2 of 5 14 females weak body condition was noted during Days 1 and 2.

15 In all animals exposed to a concentration of 0.3 mg/L, slight to moderately laboured respiration was noted during the exposure. Following the exposure on Day 0, severely laboured respiration 16 with rhonchus was noted in 4 of 4 males. In all females, a moderately laboured respiration was 17 18 accompanied by slightly increased respiratory rate and noisy respiration was noted for 3 of 5 19 females. Slight to severe decreased activity was observed in 4 of 4 males and in 4 of 5 20 females. In addition, in a single animal, partially closed eyelids and moderate ataxia were observed. One male which displayed severe signs like severe decreased activity and prostration 21 22 on Day 0, had severe laboured respiration with gasping and rhonchus, decreased activity and 23 ruffled coat before death in the afternoon on Day 1. On the following days the clinical signs 24 ceased in surviving males and only slightly laboured respiration, slightly increased respiratory 25 rate and weak condition were observed up to Day 3. In females, weak body condition was 26 noted in 4 of 5 females on Days 1 and 2. In addition, in one female ruffled coat was observed on Days 2-3 and sneezing on Day 3. 28

At 0.5 mg/L the main clinical signs included: moderately to severely laboured respiration with 29 30 noisy respiration up to gasping, increased respiratory rate and decreased activity. The clinical 31 signs were observed following the exposure on Day 0. In two females, laboured respiration was 32 observed up to Day 7. The respiration was moderately/severely laboured for 2-3 days after the 33 exposure and in one animal accompanied by gasping and noisy respiration up to Day 6. In

34 addition, hunched back and weak condition were noted up to Day 6. Sneezing was observed up

35 to necropsy on Day 14.

36 Effect on body weight:

38 At 0.1 mg/L, approximately 8-11% body weight loss was observed in all males following the 39 exposure on Day 1. The body weight returned to the initial values mostly on Day 7, in 2 of 5

- animals between Days 7-14. In females slight body weight loss (about 4-6%) was recorded for
- 2 3 of 5 animals on Day 1. The body weight returned to the initial values approximately on Day 3
- 3 (in one female on Day 7).
- At 0.3 mg/L, body weight loss was observed in surviving males (approximately 8-13%) and in all females (approximately 4-9%) following the exposure on Day 1. The body weight returned to the initial values between Days 3 and 7. At 0.5 mg/L, approximately 10-12% body weight loss was observed in surviving females following the exposure on Day 1 and 3. The body weight returned to the initial values between Days 7 and 14.
- 9
- 10 Necropsy:
- 12 Enlargement of dark/red discoloured lungs and/or dark/red discoloration of the fur at the 13 perinasal and/or white foamy material in the trachea were seen in all animals found dead, 14 which were those animals exposed at 0.3 and 0.5 mg/L of PHMB, respectively. No test item 15 related macroscopic observations were noted in animals exposed to concentrations up to 0.5
- 16 mg/L at terminal sacrifice (Day 14).
- 18 On the basis of this study,  $LC_{50}$  were determined to be 0.29 mg/l for males, 0.48 mg/l for 19 females and 0.37 mg/l for males and females combined (1.85 mg/L for 20% PHMB solution)."
  - remates and 0.57 mg/1 or mates and remates combined (1.05 mg/2 for 20 /0 mmb solution).
- 20 21
- 22
- 23

29

# Ref.:5, 30

24 SCCS comment

According to the harmonised classification and labelling (ATP09) approved by the European
Union, PHMB is fatal if inhaled (H330).

Ref.: SCCS Ref. V

According to the rules on classification of mixtures (see ECHA Guidance on the application of CLP criteria (SCCS Ref. III)) and under the assumption that all other ingredients present in the finished cosmetics products can be considered as being not acutely toxic, classification for a mixture containing 0.1 % PHMB would not be warranted.

- At a concentration of 0.1 mg/l no mortalities of Wistar rats are observed after inhalation for 4 hours.
- 37 38

34

# 3.3.2 Irritation and corrosivity

- 41 42
- 3.3.2.1 Skin irritation
- 43 44
- 45 Guideline: OECD TG 404; EU B.4
- 46 Species/strain: Rabbit / White New Zealand
- 47 Group size: 3 male animals
- 48 Test substance: PHMB, DS6274
- 49 Batch: PC 100-02
- 50 Purity: reference is given to a non-attached appendix; submission states: 96 %

1	Vehicle:	distilled water (to moisten neat substance powder)	
2	Dose level:	0.5 g neat substance	
3 4	Dose volume:	0.5 g neat substance moistened with 0.5 ml water	
5	Observation:	7 days	
6	GLP:	yes	
7 8	Study pariod	2002, report dated 2003	
9		nitially treated with the moistened test substance on three sites of the back;	
10	treated sites wer	e then covered with a cotton gauze patch held in place by adhesive tape.	
11		cessively removed after 3 minutes, 1 hr and 4 hr. After inspection of skin	
12 13		animal, two further animals were treated with 0.5 g of moistened test was held in place for 4 hr. After treatment periods, patches and residual	
14		emoved. Test sites were examined at the following time points after patch	
15	removal: 1, 24, 4	8 and 72 hr for evidence of primary irritation and scored according the Draize	
16	scheme.		
17 <b>18</b>	Results		
±9 20		dence of skin irritation following exposures of 3 minutes or 1 hr. After the 4-hr	
21		nary irritation index was 1.0. The mean value of 24, 48 and 72 hr for either	
22 23		char formation or oedema formation calculated for each animal tested was 1 the 4-hr exposure, well-defined erythema was noted at one treated skin site	
23 24		rythema at 2 treated skin sites 1 and 24 hr after patch removal. Very slight	
25	erythema was not	ted at all treated skin sites at the 48-hr observation and persisted at 1 treated	
26	skin site at the 72		
27 28		as noted at 1 treated skin site 1 hr after patch removal with very slight - and 48-hr observation. There was no skin reaction after 7 days.	
29			
30	Conclusion	and of this study. DUDM was cancidered mildly invitating to uphit skin	
31 32	Under the condition	ons of this study, PHBM was considered mildly irritating to rabbit skin.	
33		Ref.:25; SCCS Ref. II	
34 35	Guideline:	preceded OECD test guideline	
36 37	Species/strain:	preceded OECD test guideline Rabbit, White New Zealand	
38	Group size:	3 males, 6 females	
40	Test substance:	Vantocil P (20 % aqueous solution of PHMB)	
<b>4⊉</b> 43	Batch: Purity:	BX 791/2[ADGM 1021/79] no information	
4 <u>5</u>	Vehicle:		
49	Dose level:		
48	Dose volume:	0.5 ml	
<del>9</del> 0 52	Observation: GLP:	72 hrs / 21 day	
∍ <u>≠</u> 53	Study period:	1980	
54			
55 56		as applied to an area of approximately 6.25 cm <sup>2</sup> intact and abraded skin of	
56 57		male rabbits and kept for 24 hr under occlusive dressing. After patch removal,	

56 the flanks of 6 female rabbits and kept for 24 hr under occlusive dressing. After patch removal, 57 the skin was examined at 24 and 72 hr for erythema and oedema and the skin irritation was 1 assessed using the Draize method. In a separate section of the study, three male animals 2 received the same treatment but were killed 48 (1 animal) and 72 hr (2 animals) after 3 substance administration and skin samples were taken for histopathological examination.

- <del>9</del> ø Results
- 8 Female animals: well defined to moderate erythema was observed in each animal at each skin 9 site at 24 hr. This had subsided slightly at 72 hr. Average score was 2.3 for intact skin and 2 10 for abraded skin. Slight to moderate oedema was observed in all animals except one at 24 hr, but all signs of oedema subsided by 72 hr. By day 21 of the study there were signs of scabbing 11
- 12 and healing at the site of the abrasions.
- 13 Male animals: histopathological examination of intact and abraded skin sites indicated 14 moderate to marked acute inflammation characterised by epidermal acanthosis and a 15 polymorphonuclear cell infiltrate in the superficial corium. There were also areas of focal 16 necrosis extending slightly into the corium.
- 18

#### 10 Conclusion

- 21 The study authors concluded that the test substance was moderately irritating to intact rabbit skin, but severe irritation was observed in abraded skin. 23
- 24
- 25 26

27 In a barely readable test report on a skin corrosivity test performed with Vantocil P (Batch BX 28 791/2[ADGM 1021/79]; 20 % aqueous formulation) in White New Zealand rabbits it was stated 29 that application of the material to the intact and abraded skin of six animals led to superficial 30 scabbing and ervthema around the abrasions but there were no signs of necrosis to the intact 31 skin of any of the animals. Therefore it was concluded that a 20 % solution of Vantocil P is not 33 corrosive to the rabbit skin

34 35

36 In references not available to the SCCS, the application of solid PHMB (96 %) to the skin of 37 rabbits according to OECD 404 induced no signs of skin irritation. After dermal application of a 38 20 % aqueous PHMB solution moderate erythema was recorded 24 hr after application on the 39 treated area of all three animals. The reaction was completely reversible between days 6 and 8. No oedema was observed with the 20 % aqueous solution. 40

Ref.: 30

#### SCCS comment on skin irritation 46

47 Skin irritation was also observed in a 30-d repeat-dose study in rats (Ref.: 65) with a 48 NOAEL of 20 mg/kg bw/d and in a dermal carcinogenicity study in mice (Ref. 17).

49 50 51

42

43 44

3.3.2.2 Mucous membrane irritation / Eye irritation

OECD TG 405 (EU B.5)

53 54 55

52

56

Guideline:

20

Ref.: 56

Ref.: 57

3 4 5 7 8 10 12 14 15 15 18 19	Species/strain: Group size: Test substance: Batch: Purity: Vehicle: Dose levels: Administration: GLP: Study period:	Rabbit, White New Zealand 1 male animal PHMB DS6274 PC 100-02 reference is given to a non-attached appendix; submission states: 96 % / 0.1 ml neat substance instillation in the conjunctival sac of the right eye yes 2002, test report 2003				
20 21 22 23 24 25	untreated eye ser 48 and 72 hr as v and conjunctivae	ice was instilled into the conjunctival sac of one eye of a male rabbit, the ved as control. The eyes were not rinsed. Both eyes were examined at 1, 24, vell as on days 7, 14 and 21 after instillation. The effects on the cornea, iris were scored according to the OECD guideline method. Due to the severity of se, no additional animal was treated for animal welfare reasons.				
29 29 30 31 32 33 34	iridial inflammatic form of dulling c nictitating memb	ation to the non-rinsed eye of the animal led to opalescent corneal opacity, on and severe conjunctival irritation. Other ocular effects were noted in the of the normal corneal lustre, vascularisation and pale appearance of the orane. Translucent corneal opacity, minimal conjunctival irritation and ere noted at the 21-day observation and were considered as irreversible.				
36 37 38		ions of the study, neat PHMB induced irreversible ocular damage and was rosive to the rabbit eye.				
39 40 41 42		Ref.:26				
42 44 45 46 48 46 51 51 53 56 59 59 60	Guideline: Species/strain: Group size: Test substance: Batch: Purity: Vehicle: Dose volume: Observation: GLP: Study period:	/ Rabbit, White New Zealand 9 females Vantocil IB (20 % aqueous solution of PHMB) ADGM 1021/79 no information / 0.1 ml up to 35 days after instillation yes 1981				
61 62		s supplied was instilled in the conjunctival sac of one eye; the other eye ed and served as control. The eyes of six animals were not irrigated after				

- 63 instillation. The eyes of the other three animals were irrigated for one minute with lukewarm
- 64 water about 20 30 seconds after the instillation. The animals were examined and the grade

1 of ocular reaction was recorded at 1 – 2 hr and 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 15, 25, 26, 28 and 35 days 2 after instillation according to the method of Draize (1959). Most of the animals showed signs 3 of slight to moderate initial pain following instillation. The animals whose eyes were not rinsed 4 showed iritis and conjunctivitis and 4/6 animals showed corneal opacity but recovered by day 5 25. The animals with the rinsed eyes showed conjunctivitis and one had slight iritis, but none 6 of them showed any corneal reaction. From the results of the study, the authors concluded 8 that Vantocil IB is a moderate eye irritant without irrigation and a mild irritant with irrigation.

Ref.: 75

ğ 10

11

12 In a recent study not available to the SCCS and performed according to OECD, TG 405 PHMB supplied as powder (purity 99.6%) was instilled into the eye of one New Zealand rabbit at the 13 dose of 0.1 g. At the conjunctival level, a moderate redness was noted 1 hr after instillation 14 15 and still noted at the end of the observation at day 7. It was associated with an important chemosis noted 24 hr after instillation and still noted at the end of the observation. At the 16 17 corneal level, a moderate opacity was registered 1 hr after instillation and still present at the 18 end of the observation. At the iris level, congestion was registered from the 2nd day of the test and persisted until the end of the observation. 10

An ulceration of the nictating membrane and the cornea was noted from the 1st day of the 21 22 test. This lesion persisted for at least 72 h. Taking into account the severity of the reactions, 23 the study was stopped at day 7 in accordance with the principles of animal welfare and 24 additional animals were not treated. 26

Ref.:30

#### 28 SCCS comment

29 According to Annex VI of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008, a harmonised classification as Eye 30 Dam 1 H318 (causes serious eye damage) has been assigned based on the above studies. 31

32 33

27

### 3.3.3 Skin sensitisation

34 35 36

37 Guinea pig maximisation test

38

Guideline:	OECD TG 406
Species/strain:	Guinea pig/ Alpk: Dunkin Hartley
Group size:	20 females in test group, 10 females in control group
Test substance:	PHMB, 20.2 % solution
Batch:	D4097
Purity:	not stated
Vehicle: Concentration:	deionised water intradermal induction: 0.3 % of material as delivered (0.06 % a.i.) dermal induction: material as delivered (20.2 % a.i.) challenge: material as delivered (20.2 % a.i.) and 30 % of material as delivered (6 % a.i.)

- 1 Positive control: 3 % [w/v] 2-mercaptobenzothiazole
- 3 GLP: 4 Study

GLP: yes Study period: 1992, report dated 1993

5

6 Based on the results of range-finding studies, animals were intradermally injected with 0.1 ml 7 of a 0.06 % a.i. aqueous solution with or without Freund's complete adjuvant into the shaven 8 shoulder region of test group animals. One week later, dermal induction was performed by 9 occlusively applying neat substance (20.2 % a.i.) in the induction sites for 48 hr. Challenge was 10 performed by occlusive epicutaneous application of the undiluted material (20.2 % a.i.) and a 11 30 % solution in deionized water (6 % a.i.) to a previously untreated site for 24 hr. The 12 application sites were scored 24 and 48 hr after removal of the patch according to the scheme. 14 Results:

Following challenge with 20.2 % a.i., scattered mild redness or moderate diffuse redness was observed in 18/20 of test animals at 24 hr and 16/20 test animals at 48 hr (average scores of 1.4 at 24 hr and 1.2 at 48 hr). Scattered mild redness was observed in 4/10 of the control animals at 24h and 2/10 at 48h. The net frequency of response at 24 hr was 50 %.

Following challenge with 6 % a.i., scattered mild redness or moderate diffuse redness was observed in 5/20 test animals at 24 hr and 2/20 at 48 hr (average scores of 0.3 at 24 hr and 0.1 at 48 hr) and scattered mild redness was observed only in 1 of the ten control animals at 24 hr. A strong sensitisation response was observed for the positive control.

The study authors concluded that PHMB should be considered as a moderate sensitiser.

Ref.: 27

# **30** SCCS Comment

Under the conditions of challenge with 20.2 % a.i. (50 % net frequency of response at 24 hr) the substance should be considered as a strong sensitiser according to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP regulation).

34 35

27

28

- 36
- 37

A further guinea pig maximisation test performed according to OECD TG 406 and GLP principles was not available for evaluation to the SCCS. The study has been evaluated by RAC and reported in Ref. 30, from where the study description is cited:

42 "Another Guinea Pig Maximisation Test was performed according to guideline OECD 406 and 43 GLP (Richeux, 2002c) on 20% agueous PHMB diluted in physiological saline. Intradermal 44 induction was performed with 0.15% PHMB and topical induction with 20% PHMB. Challenge 45 was performed with 20% or 10% PHMB. 24 hours after challenge moderate erythema was 46 observed in one animal out of 10 at the 20% challenge treatment site (net frequency of 47 response of 10%) and for one animal out of 10 at the 10% concentration site in the test group 48 (net frequency of response of 10%). No reactions were evident in the control group. 48 hours 49 after challenge, moderate erythema was observed in one animal at the 10% treatment site in the test group (net frequency of response of 10%). No reactions were evident in the control 50 51 group. Under the conditions of this study, PHMB is not considered as a dermal sensitiser 52 according to classification criteria." 53

1 Two older guinea pig maximisation tests did not strictly adhere to OECD TG 406: 2

2		
3 4	Guideline:	considered comparable to OECD TG 406
5		
6	Species/strain:	Guinea pigs, Alderley Park
8	Group size:	20 test animals, 8 control animals, females
10	Test substance:	Vantocil IB (20.2 % aqueous solution of PHMB)
11	Batch:	[BX 791/2 (ADGM 1021)]
13	Purity:	no information
14	Vehicle:	deionised water
15 17 19	Concentration:	intradermal induction: 1% of material as delivered (0.2 % a.i.) dermal induction: material as delivered (20.2 % a.i.) challenge: material as delivered (20.2 % a.i.)
±9 20	Positive control:	
23	GLP:	, Ves
≝∍ 24 25	Study period:	report dated 1980

26 Animals received intradermal inductions to the clipped scapular region with 0.2 % PHMB in 27 deionised water and Freund's complete adjuvant (FCA), 0.2 %PHMB in water and FCA plus water. 7 days later, animals received topical induction with a neat preparation of the test 28 29 article (20.2 % PHMB) to the same area which was held in place for 48 hr. Challenge was performed with the neat test sample (20.2 % PHMB). Challenge of test and control guinea pigs 30 31 resulted in signs of mild to moderate erythema in 14 out of 20 test animals and mild erythema 32 in 1 out of 8 controls at 24 hr (net frequency of response of 57.5 %). At 48 hr, mild to 33 moderate erythema was present in 15 out of 20 test animals and mild erythema was still present in 1 control animal (net frequency of response of 62.5 %). Although 1 control showed 34 35 signs of skin irritation, the test material should be considered as having caused moderate to strong skin sensitisation under the conditions of this study. 36 38

Ref.:58

41 The possible cross-reactivity of PHMB (Vantocil IB, batch: ADGM 1021, 20 % agueous PHMB 42 solution) with chlorohexidine gluconate was examined in a study according to the Magnusson 43 and Kligman method using female Dunkin Hartley guinea pigs (20 test and 8 control animals). The design was comparable to OECD 406 with the exception that no SLS was applied during 44 45 the induction period. Intradermal induction was performed with 0.25 % PHMB in water and 46 0.25 % PHMB in water and FCA. Topical induction (one week later, 48 hr occlusive dressing) 47 was performed with 20 % PHMB. Challenge was performed with 20 % PHMB or 0.05 %, 0.5 % 48 and 4 % of chlorohexidine gluconate. The challenge of test and control guinea pigs with 20 % 49 PHMB resulted in skin findings in 8 out of 20 test animals and in 3 out of 8 control animals 50 yielding a net frequency of response of 2.5 %. No cross reactivity with chlorohexidine 51 aluconate was observed. Rechallenge with 20 % PHMB resulted in positive skin reactions in 3 52 out of 20 test animals. The study authors concluded that PHMB was a mild sensitiser under the 54 conditions of this study.

55 56

39 40

- 57
- 58

Ref.:59

### SCCS comment

<u>⊉</u> 3 According to CLP classification criteria, PHMB is not considered as a sensitiser based on the

- 4 outcome of this study.
- 5
- 6

7 Buehler test

8

Guideline: Species/strain:	considered comparable to OECD TG 406 Guinea pigs, Alderley Park
Group size:	10 test animals, 10 control animals, females
Test substance:	Vantocil IB (20 % aqueous solution of PHMB)
Batch:	[BX 791/2 (ADGM 1021)]
Purity: Vehicle:	no information
Concentration:	topical induction and challenge: $10 \%$ of material as delivered ( $2 \%$ a.i.) Rechallenge: $20 \%$ , $10 \%$ and $1 \%$ of material as delivered (4, 2 and 0.2
	% a.i.)
Positive control:	/
GLP:	yes

12 GLP:

- 13 Study period: report dated 1980
- 14

10

15 For induction, animals received topical application of 0.4 ml of test substance (2 % a.i.) or vehicle to the clipped scapular region. The induction site was occlusively covered and the 16 dressing was held in place for 6 hr. Induction with 2 % a.i. was repeated for 10 6-day 17 18 treatments within 3 weeks. Challenge exposures (2 % a.i.) of 6 hr were performed two weeks after the last induction exposure and reactions were scored 24 and 48 hr after patch removal. 20

Challenge of test and control animals resulted in signs of faint erythema in 6/10 test animals 21 22 and no erythema in control animals at 48 hr. Rechallenge with 4 % a.i. resulted in faint to 23 moderate erythema in 8/9 test animals and faint erythema in 3/10 controls. Rechallenge with 2 24 % a.i. resulted in faint erythema in 3/10 test animals and no erythema in controls. Rechallenge 25 with 0.2 % a.i. resulted in no response in test and control animals. The study authors 26 considered the test substance as 2 % a.i. as a moderate sensitiser under the conditions of this 27 study. A challenge dose-response was postulated. 28

Ref.: 58

#### 30 SCCS comment

32 According to CLP classification criteria, PHMB should be considered as a moderate sensitiser 33 based on the outcome of this study.

considered comparable to OECD TG 406

34 35 36

- 37
- 38 Guideline:
- 40 Species/strain: Guinea pigs, Alderley Park
- 10 males and 10 females per study group Group size: 43
- 44 Test substance: Vantocil IB (20 % aqueous solution of PHMB)
- Batch: [BX 791/2 (ADGM 1021)] 46
- Purity: no information 47
- Vehicle: water 48

- 1 Concentration: see Table **3 4 5 6 7 8** Positive control: / GLP: yes
- Study period: report dated 1983

9 The effect of variation of induction and challenge concentrations of PHMB as postulated in the 10 earlier study was further investigated in a study investigating a variety of induction and challenge concentration by using the Buehler protocol. 12

The concentrations used for induction and challenge exposures as well as the results are given 13 14 in table 3.

- 15
- 16
- 17

Table 3: overview on responses to PHMB under various induction and challenge conditions

1	8
1	9

Induction concentration [%]	Challenge concentration [%]	Re- challenge concentra tion [%]	Response in test animals	Response in control animals	Net response [%]	Sensitiser [Potency CLP criteria]
0.3	0.3/0.15/ 0.075/0.03	/	/	1	0	no
0.8	0.8/0.4/	/	/	/	0	no
1.3	1.3/0.65/ 0.325/0.13	/	/	/	0	no
1.8	1.8/0.9/ 0.45/0.18	/	/	/	0	no
2.0	2.0	/ 2.0	1/10 0/10	0/10 0/10	10 0	no

1.2	1.2	/	0/10	0/10	0	no
		1.2	0/10	0/10	0	no
		15	3/10	0/10	30	moderate
5	15	/	6/8	0/10	75	strong
		2	6/8	0/10	75	strong
		1.2	4/8	0/10	50	moderate

11 12 Ref.: 60; 30

**SCCS comment** Under the conditions of this study, PHMB is a moderate to strong sensitiser at concentrations above 1.2 %.

The study authors concluded that the threshold for eliciting sensitisations in guinea pigs is

# **<u>1</u>2** General SCCS comment on sensitisation

Based on various guinea pig maximisation- and Buehler tests, PHMB can be considered as a moderate to strong sensitiser in animals. The threshold for eliciting skin reactions OR for elicitation in guinea pigs is approximately 1 %. The SCCS notes that a harmonised classification as Skin Sens 1B H317 has been assigned according to According to Annex VI of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008).

# 3.3.4 Toxicokinetics

approximately 1 %.

25				
26	3.3.4.1 Dermal / percutaneous absorption			
27				
28				
29	Guideline:	OECD TG 428		
30	Species/strain:	Human skin		
31	Number of donors:	Abdomen skin from 7 donors (33-49 years)		
32	Test system:	Split-thickness skin samples (380 – 400 $\mu$ m), static diffusion		
33		cells, exposure area 3.14cm <sup>2</sup> , Receptor chamber volume 10 ml		
34	Membrane integrity:	membranes with a resistance < 10 k $\Omega$ were excluded (electrical		
35		barrier resistance test)		
36	Test substance:	PHMB		
37	Batch			

1	(labelled test substance):	99BDR99, [14C]-PHMB, specific activity: 38.9 mCi/g
2	Purity	
3	(labelled test substance):	9.84 % radiochemical purity (HPLC)
4	Batch	
5	(unlabelled test substance):	09GR24243581, 19.2% aqueous PHMB
6	Purity	
7	(unlabelled test substance):	no information
8	Test item:	Oil/Water emulsion with labelled and non-labelled PHMB
9	Dose level:	2.06 mg/cm <sub>2</sub> , Total PHMB applied 18.8 μg
10	Receptor fluid:	phosphate buffered saline (PBS)
11	Method of Analysis:	liquid scintillation counting
12	GLP:	yes (report not signed by the study QA responsible person)
13	Study period:	2014

Split-thickness skin samples (380 – 400 µm) from 7 donors prepared from frozen, full thickness 15 16 skin samples were used for the study. Split-thickness skin samples were stored at -20°C in a 17 freezer before use. Samples were mounted onto a static diffusion cell and - after exclusion of skin samples not fulfilling integrity requirements - dosed with [14C]-PHMB at about 0.3 % 18 [w/w] in a representative cosmetic formulation. A temperature of 32 ± 1°C was maintained in 19 20 the system: the application rate was 2.0 and 2.11 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> (target: 2.0 mg/cm2). Receptor fluid was collected prior to dosing and 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 24 hr after dosing. At the last 21 22 sampling point, skin samples were washed, dried and removed from the apparatus in order to 23 remove stratum corneum by 20 tape strippings. Epidermis and dermis were then heat 24 separated and all skin samples were analysed by liquid scintillation counting. 25

26 Results

27 Only 12 samples from 5 donors (from a total of 19 samples from 7 donors) could be used for 28 evaluation due to processing errors and one sample being considered as an outlier. The mean mass balance was 97.60 % of the applied dose at 24 hr post dose. At the end of the 24 hr 29 30 exposure period, 49.15 % was washed off. A further 0.54 % of the applied dose was removed 31 from the donor chamber wash leading to a total dislodgeable dose of 49.69 % of the applied 32 dose. The mean total unabsorbed dose was 94.10 % of the applied dose. This consisted of the 33 dislodgeable dose, unexposed skin (0.02 %) and the radioactivity associated with the stratum 34 corneum (44.40 %). The first two tape strips contained 21.84% of the applied dose. There was 35 a steady decrease in the recovery of radioactivity associated with the stratum corneum. Tapes 36 3-5, 6-10, 11-15 and 16-20 contained 11.69 %, 7.02 %, 2.58 % and 1.26 %, respectively. 37 Those amounts retained by the stratum corneum at 24 h were not considered to be dermally 38 absorbed. The absorbed dose (mean: 0.17 %) was the sum of the receptor fluid (0.171 %) and 39 the receptor wash (0.01 %). Dermal delivery (3.49 %) was the sum of the absorbed dose and the portion in the epidermis (3.18 %) and the dermis (0.14 %). The mass balance, 40 dislodgeable dose, unabsorbed dose, absorbed dose and dermal delivery were 5866, 2983, 41 42 5658, 10.3 and 208 ng equiv./cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Due to the relatively low level of absorption, 43 the steady state flux could not be determined. An overview on the results of the dermal 44 absorption study is given in Table 4.

- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49

Table 4: results of the in vitro dermal absorption study performed by Toner, 2014

Results as percent of applied dose	Mean ± SD	Range
Total dislodgeable dose	49.69 ± 15.64	22.74 - 74.33
(skin wash + tissue swab + pipette tip + donor chamber wash) <i>Unabsorbed dose</i> (total dislodgeable dose + stratum corneum + unexposed skin)	94.10 ± 5.99	79.83 – 102.4
Absorbed dose	$0.17 \pm 0.05$	0.1 - 0.24
(receptor fluid + receptor rinse + receptor wash) Epidermis Dermis Dermal Delivery	$3.18 \pm 2.05$ 0.14 \pm 0.10 3.49 \pm 2.08	0.39 - 6.52 0.03 - 0.31 0.66 - 6.95
(epidermis+ dermis absorbed dose) Mass Balance	97.60 ± 6.38	86.78 - 107.53
Results in ng equiv./cm <sup>2</sup>	Mean ± SD	Range
Total dislodgeable dose (skin wash + tissue swab + pipette tip + donor chamber wash)	2982.56 ± 976.05	1403.35 - 4585.98
Unabsorbed dose	5657.61 ± 202.79	5254.77 - 6001.88
(total dislodgeable dose + stratum corneum + unexposed skin)		
<i>Absorbed dose</i> (receptor fluid + receptor rinse + receptor wash)	10.33 ± 3.06	6.05 - 14.56
Epidermis	$189.40 \pm 120.93$	23.84 - 402.51
Dermis	$8.21 \pm 6.08$	1.61 - 20.34
Dermal Delivery	207.94 ± 123.77	40.93 - 428.89

Mass balance		
	$5865.55 \pm 226.29$	5535.71 - 6302.29
SCCS comment		
		d SCCS basic requirements for derma Despite some processing errors and ar
		state of a draft report, it is considered
		PHMB. The SCCS notes that 20 tape
		is a high number. Normally, not more
		corneum. Thus, it cannot be excluded
		by the high number of tape strippings ven on the position of radiolabel within
the molecule.	notes that no information was give	ven on the position of radiolaber within
	hat the applicant intends to defer	nd the safety of PHMB for all types of
		y investigated in one type of cosmetic
		y observed in the study, the unusua
		mal penetration was only investigated will be taken for MOS calculation, i.e.
	% or 207.94 + 247.54 ng equiv./c	
5115 1 110 /0 /105		
		Ref.: Toner, 2014
Guideline: /		
,	luman skin	
Membrane integrity: n	nembranes with a calculated resist	cance < 10 kΩ were
excluded		
	not stated	
Method: a Test substance	inalysis of receptor fluid in glass di	inusion cells
	HMB from Zeneca Inc. Dighton, M	ass., USA; 20.2 % aqueous solution
	io data	
,	o information	
Test substance	1401 0000	
	<sup>14</sup> C] PHMB	
Radiochemical reference no.: 4	602	
	85 GBq/732 mg	
• •	.0 and 200 µl/cm <sup>2</sup>	
	listilled water	
Method of Analysis: li	quid scintillation counting	
· ·		
GLP: y	res .996	

45 location, number of individual donors) were used for the study. Skin samples were mounted on

1 glass diffusion cells (exposure area: 2.54 cm2) and placed in a water bath maintained at 30 ± 1 °C. Only membranes with a calculated resistance > 10 k $\Omega$  were used.

Admixture of labelled compound to unlabelled compound was performed to achieve activities between 3 x 106 and 4 x 109 dpm/ml. Nominal concentrations of 200.0, 20.0 and 2.0 g/l were applied at 10  $\mu$ l/cm<sup>2</sup> and left unoccluded whereas the concentration of 200 g/l was also applied at 200  $\mu$ l/cm<sup>2</sup> and kept occluded. At recorded time intervals, 250  $\mu$ l samples of receptor fluid were taken for analysis; the volume removed from the receptor chamber was replaced by addition of the same volume of receptor fluid. The total observation period was 96 hrs.

9

13

10 11 Results:

12 Table 4: *in vitro* dermal absorption of [14C] PHMB in human skin

Test condition [concentration, applied rate, occlusion]	Time period [h]	Absorption rate [µg/cm²/hr]	Absorption percentage [%]
201 g a.i./l	0- 24	$0.110 \pm 0.044$	0.001
200 µl/cm <sup>2</sup>	0- 96	0.088 ± 0.033	0.021
occluded [n=4]			
197 g a.i./l	0- 24	0.009 ± 0.003	0.012
10 µl/cm <sup>2</sup>	0- 96	0.007 ± 0.002	0.036
unoccluded [n=5] 20.9 g a.i./l	0- 24	$0.005 \pm 0.001$	0.059
10 µl/cm <sup>2</sup>	0- 96	$0.003 \pm 0.001$	0.146
unoccluded [n=8] 1.93 g a.i./l	0- 24	$0.001 \pm 0.001$	0.088
10 µl/cm <sup>2</sup>	0- 96	< 0.001 ± < 0.001	0.129
unoccluded [n=8]			

14

15

# 16 SCCS comment

17 There was no information on skin donors, number of individual skin donors, location from which 18 skin sample was taken, skin thickness. Recovery was not reported and only results from 19 receptor fluid but not from other compartments (e.g. skin wash, amounts remaining in 20 epidermis) are reported. Thus realistic dermal absorption rate of PHMB cannot be derived from

21 this study.

### Opinion on Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) - Submission III

1 2 3		Ref.:
3 4	Guideline:	/
5	Species/strain:	, Human skin
6	Membrane	
7 8	integrity:	membranes with a calculated resistance < 10 k $\Omega$ were excluded
9	Number of donors:	not stated
10	Method:	analysis of receptor fluid in glass diffusion cells
11	Test substance	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12	(unlabelled):	PHMB from Zeneca Inc. Dighton, Mass., USA; 20.2 % aqueous solution
13	Batch:	no data
14	Purity:	no information
15	Test substance	
16	(labelled):	[14C] PHMB
17	Radiochemical	
18	reference no.:	1581
19	Specific activity:	1.4 MBq/mg
20	Dose volume:	200 µl/cm <sup>2</sup>
21	Receptor fluid:	distilled water
22	Method of	
23	Analysis:	liquid scintillation counting
24	GLP:	yes
25	Study period:	1998

26

27 Epidermal membranes from human skins stored frozen (no further information on donors, skin location, number of individual donors) were used for the study intended to investigate skin 28 29 penetration at elevated temperature (spa conditions). Skin samples were mounted on glass 30 diffusion cells (exposure area: 2.54 cm<sup>2</sup>) and placed in a water bath maintained at 40  $\pm$  1 °C. 31 Only membranes with a calculated resistance > 10 k $\Omega$  were used. Admixture of labelled compound to unlabelled compound was performed to achieve activities between 3 x 108 32 dpm/ml. A 20 % dosing solution was warmed to 40°C and applied at 200  $\mu$ /cm<sup>2</sup> to two groups 33 34 of skin membranes. After application, one group was occluded for 0.5 hrs and then washed 35 with 3 % Teepol® and distilled water and the kept unoccluded until 24 hr after application. The 36 other group of membranes was kept occluded for 24 hr after substance application. At recorded time intervals, 250 µl samples of receptor fluid were taken for analysis; the volume removed 37 from the receptor chamber was replaced by the addition of the same volume of receptor fluid. 38 39 The total observation period was 96 hrs.

- 41 Results
- 42

40

Table 5: *in vitro* dermal absorption of [14C] PHMB in human skin

Test condition [concentration, applied rate, occlusion]		Absorption rate [µg/cm <sup>2</sup> /hr]	Absorption Percentage [%]
200 g a.i./l	0 - 24	< 0.002 ± < 0.001	< LOQ

200 µl/cm <sup>2</sup> occluded for 0.5 hr, then unoccluded for 23.5. hrs [n=6]			
200 g a.i./l	0 - 24	0.118 ± 0.012	0.007
200 µl/cm <sup>2</sup>			
occluded [n=5]			

There was no information on skin donors, numbers of individual skin donors, location from which skin sample was taken, skin thickness. The volume of test solution applied i.e. 200  $\mu$ /cm<sup>2</sup> was much higher than the recommended 10  $\mu$ /cm<sup>2</sup>. Recovery was not reported and only results from receptor fluid but not from other compartments (e.g. skin wash, amounts remaining in epidermis) are reported. The temperature was higher than recommended. Thus realistic dermal absorption rate of PHMB cannot be derived from this study.

Ref.: 20
/
epidermis from human abdominal skin
whole skin from flank and dorsum of Wistar-derived Alderley-Park rats (male and female)
permeability constants (tritiated water) > 1.3 x $10^{-3}$ cm/hr (human skin) and > 1.5 x $10^{-3}$ cm/hr (rat skin) excluded
/
, wet and "dried on" absorption experiments
20 % aqueous PHMB
test substance code Y0156/001/002
no information given
<sup>14</sup> C- PHMB, slightly higher than 20% aqueous solution
CTL Radiochemical Card 878
Specific activity: 0.88 mCi/ml
1 ml
sterile physiological saline
LSC
yes
1982

Absorption from aqueous solutions could not be measured below 0.4 % <sup>14</sup>C PHMB.

Human and rat skin samples were mounted on glass diffusion cells. After checking integrity, 1 ml of PHBM solution (17.6  $\mu$ Ci) was added to the donor chamber in the wet experiments. At least 2 x 25  $\mu$ l receptor fluid samples were taken daily for up to 15 days. In dried-on experiments, skin was exposed to atmosphere overnight before the addition of 36  $\mu$ l 20 % <sup>14</sup>C PHMB (31.7 $\mu$ Ci). 25  $\mu$ l samples were taken daily until slow absorption rate became apparent.

PHMB (31.7µCi). 25 µl samples were taken daily until slow absorption rate became apparent.
 Furthermore, an uptake experiment was performed in which 2 cm<sup>2</sup> skin disks were taken into
 "bathing" solutions of different PHMB concentrations. After an equilibration phase of 5 days,
 skin samples were weighed and dissolved and partition coefficients were determined.

10 Under dried-on conditions, absorption of PHBM through human skin was not measurable, 11 which might in part be due to the limits of detection (absorption from aqueous solutions could 12 not be measured below 0.4 % <sup>14</sup>C PHMB). Steady state of absorption rates achieved under 13 wet conditions are presented in the Table 6. The LOQ and the low absorption rate complicated 14 the determination of a permeability constant; however, a value of 5 x 10<sup>-6</sup> cm hr<sup>-1</sup> was 15 suggested by the study authors.

16 17

19 20 22

18 Table 6: *in vitro* dermal absorption of [14C] PHMB in rat and human skin.

Skin sample	PHBM concentration [%]	Absorption rate [ng cm-2 hr-1]
Human epidermis	0.4	8.13
	1.4	22.8
	5	350.0
	20	1005.0
Rat whole skin	0.4	131.0
	20 (early phase)	3695.0
	20 (late phase)	11940.0

23 24 25

# 26

**SCCS comment** 

Skin samples are poorly described; there is no information on the number of donors. The number of skin samples analysed per donor is also not stated. The surface area exposed to the test item is not known. Recovery was not reported. The study was not performed according to current guidelines. Results of this study cannot be considered to derive a realistic dermal absorption rate of PHMB.

Ref.:28

- 35 36
- 37
- 38

1 There also exists a previously performed comparative penetration study of lower reliability. Radiolabelled PHMB ([<sup>14</sup>C]-Vantocil, no further information) was applied to skin biopsies of 2 newborn, hairless rats. The skin biopsy samples were punched and placed in a chamber filled 3 with the medium on a perforated plate of stainless steel placed on filter paper. PHMB was 4 5 applied as 5 % solution and samples were taken during the first 12 hr at one-hr intervals and 6 thereafter 3 samples were taken daily during an 8-hr period for the following 4 consecutive 7 days. In comparison, four biopsies of human skin were punched, the epidermis was separated 8 and treated as in the part with rat skin biopsies with the exception that after 48 hr, 1 ml DMSO 9 was added. The radioactivity was measured by means of a liquid scintillation counter. In the 10 study part using rat skin biopsies, no skin absorption was detected up to day 5 of exposure. In contrast, in human epidermal skin biopsies a low rate of penetration of about 0.09 % was 11 13 noted after 24 hr and this penetration rate was from 0.11 % up to 0.81 % after adding DMSO. 14 Ref.: 61

15 17

# 18 SCCS comments

This study preceded OECD test guidelines and GLP. Human skin samples were not properly described and only 4 skin samples were used. Skin integrity was not tested. The standard deviations of the absorption rates were not reported. The dermal absorption rate obtained from this study cannot be considered for the calculation of MoS.

24 25

27

### 26 New study, Submission III

28 24- and 72-hours *in vitro* percutaneous absorption

29		
30	Guideline:	OECD 428 (2004); SCCS/1358/10 adopted 22 June 2010; COLIPA
31		Guidelines for Percutaneous Absorption/Penetration (1997)
32	Test system:	frozen dermatomed human skin
33	Membrane	
34	integrity:	transcutaneous electrical resistance>4 k $\Omega$
35	Replicates:	12 for each study (4 donors)
36		: liquid scintillation counting
37	Test substance	
38	(unlabelled):	PHMB (Polyhexamethylene Biguanide)
39	Batch:	14GR177464 (non-radiolabelled), CFQ42591 (radiolabelled)
40	Purity:	> 94.2 % (w/w ), non-radiolabelled
41	Test item:	0.1% (w/w) [14C]-PHMB in Test preparation I (aqueous micellar solution)
42		and in Test Preparation 2 (oil in water emulsion) for 24-h study;
43		0.3% (w/w) [14C]-PHMB in Test preparation I (aqueous micellar solution)
44		and in Test Preparation 2 (oil in water emulsion) for 72-h study;
45		
46	Dose volume:	200 µl/cm <sup>2</sup>
47		
48	Exposed area:	3.14 cm <sup>2</sup>
49	Receptor fluid:	phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with sodium azide ( $0.01\%$ , w/v)
50	Stability in	,
51	Receptor fluid:	
52	Tape stripping:	5 tape strips

1 GLP: in compliance 2 Study period: December 2015- March 2016 3 4 5 6 Human abdominal skin samples were obtained from four different donors. The skin was 7 dermatomed (370 - 400 µm) and then the split-thickness membranes stored frozen, at 8 approximately -20° C, wrapped in aluminium foil until use. Dermatomed skin membranes (12 9 skin membranes from 4 donors) were thawed and checked for integrity by the transcoutaneus 10 electrical resistance method prior to use. The skin samples exhibiting a resistance less than 4 11  $k\Omega$  were excluded. 12 [14C]-PHMB was applied in two test preparations in Test preparation I (aqueous micellar 13 solution) and in Test Preparation 2 (oil in water emulsion) to human split-thickness skin membranes (3.14 cm<sup>2</sup>) mounted in static diffusion cells in vitro. The test preparation was 14 15 applied at a target application rate of  $ca \ 2 \ mg/cm^2$  for 24 h and in the second study for 24 h with an extended post exposure monitoring period of 72 h. Absorption of PHMB was evaluated 16 17 by collecting receptor fluid aliquots (300  $\mu$ L) at predose, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 12 h post dose. An 18 additional sample at 24 and 48 h post dose was taken for an extended observation study. At 24 19 h post dose, the skin was washed with an aqueous solution of polysorbate 20 (2%, w/v) and 20 water. The skin was then dried with tissue paper swabs. The skin was then removed from the 21 cells, dried and the upper stratum corneum removed by tape stripping (5 tape strips). The 22 remaining skin was divided into exposed and unexposed skin. Then the exposed epidermis was 23 separated from the dermis by heat separation. All samples were analysed by liquid scintillation 24 counting. 25

#### 26 Results 27

# 28

29 30

Test Preparation	Test Preparation 1	Test Preparation 2
	Aqueous micellar solution	Oil/water emulsion
Target [ <sup>14</sup> C]-PHMB Concentration in Test Preparation (%, w/w)	0.1	0.1
Actual [ <sup>14</sup> C]-PHMB Concentration in Test Preparation (%, w/w)	0.11	0.10
Target Application Rate of Test Preparation (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	2	2
Actual Application Rate of Test Preparation (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	1.97	1.97
Total Number of Donors	4	4
Total Number of Replicates Dosed	12	12
Total Number of Replicates Contributing to Mean $\pm$ SD Data	12	11
(% Applied Dose)	(Mean ± SD)	$(Mean \pm SD)$
Total Dislodgeable Dose*	48.43 ± 8.32	$52.35 \pm 24.18$
Upper Stratum Corneum (Tapes 1-5)	$29.33 \pm 5.46$	$31.27 \pm 16.19$
Unabsorbed Dose **	$77.88 \pm 6.58$	$83.70 \pm 11.11$
Epidermis + Lower Layers of Stratum Corneum	$11.47 \pm 5.69$	$14.20 \pm 8.07$
Dermis	$1.56 \pm 2.49$	$1.02 \pm 0.84$
Receptor Fluid	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.03$
Receptor Chamber Wash	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$
Absorbed Dose ***	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.04$
Mass Balance	90.93 ± 2.11	$98.96 \pm 5.44$
(ng equiv./cm <sup>2</sup> )	(Mean ± SD)	(Mean $\pm$ SD)
Total Dislodgeable Dose*	1007 ± 173	$1073 \pm 496$

A summary of the mean results obtained in the 24-h study is provided in the Table below.

Upper Stratum Corneum (Tapes 1-5)	610 ± 113	641 ± 332
Unabsorbed Dose **	$1620\ \pm 137$	$1716 \pm 228$
Epidermis + Lower Layers of Stratum Corneum****	$238 \pm 118$	$291 \pm 165$
Dermis	$32.3 \pm 51.9$	$20.9 \pm 17.2$
Receptor Fluid	$0.25 \pm 0.12$	$0.37 \pm 0.54$
Receptor Chamber Wash	$0.33 \pm 0.20$	$0.35 \pm 0.30$
Absorbed Dose ***	$0.58 \pm 0.25$	$0.72 \pm 0.81$
Mass Balance	$1891 \pm 43.9$	$2028 \pm 111$

\* Total dislodegeable dose = skin wash + tissue swab + pipette tip + donor chamber wash

\*\* Unabsorbed dose = total dislodgeable dose + upper stratum corneum (Tapes 1-5) + unexposed skin

\*\*\* Absorbed dose = receptor fluid + receptor wash

\*\*\*\* Epidermis + Lower Layers of Stratum Corneum = Epidermis + Lower Layers of Stratum Corneum + cling film <u>Notes</u>

Receptor Chamber Wash = all data was below the Limit of Reliable Measurement (LoRM).

Test Preparation 1 = one out of twelve samples of 24 h Receptor Fluid was above LoRM. All other samples were below LoRM. Mean and SD were calculated from all samples (n=12) for each time point.

Lokin. Mean and SD were calculated from all samples (n=12) for each time point.

Test Preparation 2 = four out of twelve samples of 24 h Receptor Fluid were above LoRM. All other samples were below

11 LoRM. Mean and SD were calculated from 11 samples for each time point.

12 13

# A summary of the mean results obtained in the 72h (extended monitoring time) study is provided in the Table below.

14 15

Test Preparation	Test Preparation 1	Test Preparation 2
	Aqueous micellar solution	Oil/water emulsion
Target [ <sup>14</sup> C]-PHMB Concentration in Test Preparation (%, w/w)	0.3	0.3
Actual [ <sup>14</sup> C]-PHMB Concentration in Test Preparation (%, w/w)	0.34	0.32
Target Application Rate of Test Preparation (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	2	2
Actual Application Rate of Test Preparation (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	1.96	2.00
Total Number of Donors	4	4
Total Number of Replicates Dosed	12	12
Total Number of Replicates Contributing to Mean $\pm$ SD Data	12	12
(% Applied Dose)	$(Mean \pm SD)$	$(Mean \pm SD)$
Dislodgeable Dose 24 h	$53.33 \pm 7.70$	$58.10 \pm 18.33$
Total Dislodgeable Dose*	$54.23 \pm 7.85$	$59.90 \pm 17.47$
Upper Stratum Corneum (Tapes 1-5)	$22.58 \pm 3.98$	$23.24 \pm 11.84$
Unabsorbed Dose **	$76.92 \pm 7.44$	$83.31 \pm 7.70$
Epidermis + Lower Layers of Stratum Corneum	$14.54\pm8.18$	$14.45 \pm 6.63$
Dermis	$1.23\pm0.85$	$1.46 \pm 1.67$
Receptor Fluid	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$
Receptor Chamber Wash	<0.01 ± <0.01	$0.01 \pm 0.01$
Absorbed Dose ***	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$
Mass Balance	$92.71 \pm 1.64$	$99.25 \pm 5.89$
(ng equiv./cm <sup>2</sup> )	$(Mean \pm SD)$	$(Mean \pm SD)$
Dislodgeable Dose 24 h	$3566 \pm 515$	$3706 \pm 1169$
Total Dislodgeable Dose*	$3626 \pm 525$	$3821 \pm 1114$
Upper Stratum Corneum (Tapes 1-5)	$1510 \pm 266$	$1482\pm755$
Unabsorbed Dose **	$5143 \pm 497$	$5314 \pm 491$
Epidermis + Lower Layers of Stratum Corneum****	972± 547	921 ± 423
Dermis	$82.0 \pm 57.0$	$93.4 \pm 107$
Receptor Fluid	$0.97 \pm 0.50$	$1.13 \pm 0.90$
Receptor Chamber Wash	$0.31 \pm 0.22$	$0.81\pm0.95$
Absorbed Dose ***	$1.29\pm0.60$	$1.94 \pm 1.52$
Mass Balance	$6199 \pm 110$	$6331 \pm 375$

16 17

Total dislodegeable dose = skin wash + tissue swab + pipette tip + donor chamber wash

12345678 \*\* Unabsorbed dose = total dislodgeable dose + upper stratum corneum (Tapes 1-5) + unexposed skin \*\*\* Absorbed dose = receptor fluid + receptor wash \*\*\*\* Epidermis + Lower Layers of Stratum Corneum = Epidermis + Lower Layers of Stratum Corneum + cling film Notes Receptor Chamber Wash = all data was below the Limit of Reliable Measurement (LoRM). Test Preparation 1 = nine out of twelve samples of 72 h Receptor Fluid was above LoRM. All other samples were below LoRM. Mean and SD were calculated from all samples (n=12) for each time point. Test Preparation 2 = ten out of twelve samples of 72 h Receptor Fluid were above LoRM. All other samples were below 9 LoRM. Mean and SD were calculated from all samples (n=12) for each time point. 10 11 Conclusion 12 13 24h study 14 15 [48.43% and 52.35% of 14C PHMB-derived radioactivity, respectively, was removed during the washing procedure (total dislodgeable dose). At 24 h post dose, the absorbed 16 17 dose was 0.03% (0.58 ng equiv/cm<sup>2</sup>) and 0.04% (0.72 ng equiv/cm<sup>2</sup>) of the applied dose, respectively. Of these values only 1 of 12 and 4 of 12 were above the LoRM, 18 respectively. The epidermis + lower layers of stratum corneum contained 11.47% (238 19 20 ng equiv/cm<sup>2</sup>) and 14.20% (291 ng equiv/cm<sup>2</sup>) of the applied dose, respectively. The dermis contained 1.56% (32.3 ng equiv/cm2) and 1.02% (20.9 ng equiv/cm<sup>2</sup>) of the 21 22 applied dose, respectively. The mass balance was complete (90.93% and 98.96% of the 23 applied dose, respectively).

24

25 Extended monitoring time study (72h)

26

27 53.33% and 58.10% of 14C PHMB-derived radioactivity, respectively, was removed 28 during the washing procedure (dislodgeable dose 24 h). At 72 h post dose, the absorbed 29 dose was 0.02% (1.29 ng equiv/cm<sup>2</sup>) and 0.03% (1.94 ng equiv/cm<sup>2</sup>) of the applied 30 dose, respectively. The epidermis + lower layers of stratum corneum contained 14.54% (972 ng equiv/cm<sup>2</sup>) and 14.45% (921 ng equiv/cm<sup>2</sup>) of the applied dose, respectively. 31 The dermis contained 1.23% (82.0 ng equiv/cm<sup>2</sup>) and 1.46% (93.4 ng equiv/cm<sup>2</sup>) of the 32 33 applied dose, respectively. The mass balance was complete (92.71% and 99.25% of the 34 applied dose, respectively). There was negligible increase (0.01% of applied dose) in 35 PHMB concentration observed in the receptor fluid between 24 and 72 h.

This observation is relevant to the SCCS Notes of Guidance (2015), in the case of substances with very low dermal absorption and limited permeation, the epidermis may be excluded when it is demonstrated that no movement from the skin reservoir to the receptor fluid occurs.

40

41

42

Ref. 2, 116

#### 43 SCCS comments

44 The cut-off value of 4 k $\Omega$  used to assess the barrier integrity of the skin samples by TER

45 measurements is below the commonly used threshold of 10 k $\Omega$ . So values obtained may 46 lead to an overestimation of the dermal penetration. 1 As no movement of PHMB from the skin reservoir to the receptor fluid occurred between

2 24 and 72 hours, SCCS is willing to accept that the amount in the epidermis may be

excluded as dermally absorbed. The amount present in the living epidermis after 5
 strippings still represents 11-15%.

5

### 6 **General SCCS comment on percutaneous penetration**

#### 7 <u>From previous submissions</u> 8

9 Four studies on percutaneous penetration of PHMB were not in compliance with SCCS 10 requirements, qualities of the studies were compromised by poor sample description and the 11 studies have not been performed with representative cosmetic formulations containing 0.3 % 12 PHMB. After the commenting period which followed the publication of SCCS/1535/14 on 18 13 June 2014, a draft of a new *in vitro* percutaneous absorption study was provided by the 14 applicant.

15

16 The latter dermal penetration study was performed according to OECD TG 428 and SCCS basic 17 requirements for dermal penetration studies and was in line with GLP principles. Despite some 18 processing errors and an outlier and despite the fact the study was still in the state of a draft 19 report it was considered acceptable for determination of dermal absorption of PHMB.

The SCCS noted that 20 tape strippings were, however, used to remove stratum corneum, which is a high number. Normally, not more than 5 tape strippings should be used to remove the stratum corneum. Thus, it cannot be excluded that some absorbable amounts of PHMB were removed by the high number of tape strippings used. The SCCS further noted that no information was given on the position of radiolabel within the molecule.

The SCCS also noted that the applicant intends to defend the safety of PHMB for all types of cosmetics products, whereas dermal penetration was only investigated in one type of formulation (oil/water emulsion). Based on the variability observed in the study, the unusual high number of tape strippings used and the fact that dermal penetration was only investigated in one type of cosmetic formulation, the mean + 2 SD was be taken for the MOS calculation, i.e. 3.49 + 4.16 % = 7.65 % or 207.94 + 247.54 ng equiv./cm2 = 455.48 ng equiv./cm2.

31 32

# 33 Submission III

34

In the new submission, dermal absorption studies for 0.1% and 0.3% PHMB in two different representative cosmetic formulations (aqueous micellar solution, o/w emulsion) were submitted. Measurements of penetration into receptor fluid were done at 24 h (0.1%) up to 72 h (0.3%). Additionally, the number of tape strips was diminished from 20 to 5 in response to previous comments by the SCCS on submission II.

40 The quality of the barrier function of the skin samples was assessed by TER measurement. The 41 chosen cut-off value of 4 k $\Omega$  is not common practice (threshold of 10 k $\Omega$  is normally used) and 42 may lead to an overestimation of the results.

42 43

After 72h, 0.01% of PHMB-derived radioactivity migrated to the receptor fluid. Therefore the SCCS agrees that the amount found in the epidermis may be excluded from the total absorbed dose, according to SCCS/1564/15 ('In the case of substances with very low dermal absorption and limited permeation (e.g. colourants or UV-filters with high molecular weight and low solubility), the epidermis may be excluded when it is demonstrated that no movement of the

1 chemicals from the skin reservoir to the receptor fluid occurs (Yourick et al., 2004; WHO, 2 2006). Adequate detection of substances poorly soluble in water is important in the receptor 3 fluid of in vitro dermal absorption study to ascertain that the dermal absorption concerns the 4 active substance and not the impurities').

6 Since the new absorption studies were performed according to the SCCS guidelines, one SD 7 was added for the final calculation of the dermal absorption value. Consequently, the value of 8 4.09 % will be used for the MoS calculation (1.56% dermis + 0.03% total absorbed + 2.5% 1 9 SD).

10

5

11 The value found using the new dermal absorption study, being 4.09%, is in line with information received via ECHA. According to the cited guidance (Guidance on Dermal 12 Absorption, EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR), EFSA 2012), a 13 rough estimation of dermal absorption of around 8.5% could be proposed. 14

From RAC information (Committee on Risk Assessment RAC, background Document to the 15 16 Opinion proposing harmonised classification and labelling at Community level of Polyhexamethylene biguanide or Poly(hexamethylene) biguanide hydrochloride or PHMB, 17 18 2011), the dermal absorption was estimated to be 4 %.

19

20 21

22

26 27

28 29

31 32 33

23 24 25 /

#### 3.3.5 Repeated dose toxicity

3.3.4.2 Other studies on toxicokinetics

30 3.3.5.1 Repeated Dose (28 days) oral / dermal / inhalation toxicity

ORAL

35 36

34

36	Guideline:	/ (range-finding study for 2-year drinking water study)
38	Species/strain:	Rat, Alpk:APfSD
<b>4</b> 0	Group size:	8/sex/dose
42	Test substance:	PHMB, 20 % aqueous solution
44	Batch:	D4097
45	Purity:	no details given
49	Vehicle:	sterilised water
48	Dose levels:	0, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0 mg/ml
<del>5</del> 0	Route:	oral
51	Administration:	drinking water
53	Duration:	28 days
55	GLP:	no statement
56	Study period:	1991, report dated 1992

1 2

22 23

24

The stability of the test sample in drinking water was determined prior to start. Clinical observations were recorded daily, bodyweights and food and water consumption were recorded throughout the study. After the 28-day treatment period, surviving animals were killed, subjected to a gross post-mortem examination; liver and kidney weights were recorded and blood samples were taken.

#### **8** 10 Results

12 One male and one female animal receiving the highest dose were killed on day 4 due to 13 bodyweight loss and deterioration of clinical condition. Dose-related loss in bodyweight/body weight gain and reduced water and/or food consumption predominantly occurring during the 14 15 first days of treatment (and recovery thereafter) were considered as a palatability effect. Further treatment-related findings consisted of increases in plasma cholesterol levels and ALP 16 and AST activities at the highest dose tested, increased liver weight at 1 mg/ml and decreased 17 18 liver weight at 2 mg/ml and a dose-related increase in kidney weight at all dose levels. No 19 NOAEL could be derived from the study. A highest dose level of 1.0 mg/ml was recommended 20 for the 2-year drinking water study. 0.1 mg/ml should be considered as the LOAEL based on 21 the results from this study.

Ref.: 47

- 25 26 Guideline: / (range-finding study for 2-year drinking water study) 28 Species/strain: Mouse, C57Bl/10JfAP/Alpk 29 Group size: 10/sex/dose 30 Test substance: PHMB, 20 % aqueous solution 32 Batch: D4097 32 Purity: no details given 36 Vehicle: sterilised water 37 Dose levels: 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.6, 1.2 mg/ml 38 Route: oral 40 Administration: drinking water 42 Duration: 28 days GLP: 44 no statement 45 Study period: 1991
- 46 C

The stability of the test sample in drinking water was determined prior to start. Individual clinical observations were recorded daily. Individual bodyweights and food consumption per cage (5 animals/ cage) were recorded daily for the first week and weekly thereafter. After 28 days of treatment, all surviving animals were killed and subjected to gross post mortem examination. Liver and kidney weights were recorded and blood samples were obtained from each animal.

53 54 Results

55 One male animal of the 0.3 mg/ml group was found dead on day 13. Dose-related initial loss of 56 body weight, reduction in food and water consumption and continued reduction in body weight 57 and water consumption were considered to be due to palatability of the substance. Changes 58 considered to be treatment-related included a decrease in plasma ALT activity and a decrease

1 in liver weight for males given 0.6 and 1.2 mg/ml and were most probably associated with the 2 poor nutritional status. As effects on bodyweights and water consumption were present at all 3 dose levels, a NOAEL could not be derived. A highest dose level of 0.3 mg/ml was 4 recommended for the 2-year drinking water study. 0.1 mg/ml should be considered as the 5 LOAEL based on the results from this study. 6

Ref.: 48

9 Dermal

7 8

10		
12	Guideline:	/ (similar to OECD TG 410)
14	Species/strain:	Rat, Alpk: APfSD (Wistar-derived)
15	Group size:	5/sex/dose
17	Test substance:	Vantocil P (20.2 % aqueous solution of PHMB)
18	Batch:	ASG9112994
20	Purity:	impurities < 0.76 %
21	Vehicle:	/
23	Dose levels:	0, 20, 60, 200 mg PHMB/kg
<u>2</u> 4	Route:	dermal
26	Administration:	occlusive, 6 hr /day
28	Duration:	30 days/ 21 applications
30	GLP:	yes
31	Study period:	1993

32

33 21 occlusive 6-hr test substance applications were made to the shorn back during a period of 34 30 days. On days of dosing, oral uptake was prevented by fitting the animals with plastic 35 collars after removal of the dressing to prevent oral uptake. At termination of treatment, blood samples were taken for haematology and clinical pathology and gross pathology was 36 37 performed; testes, kidneys and livers were weighed, selected organs were preserved. 38

20 Results

There were no mortalities and no overt clinical signs of toxicity up to the highest dose tested. 41 There were no substance-related effects on body weight, food consumption, organ weights, 42 43 haematology or clinical chemistry. Gross pathology and histopathology revealed no evidence of 44 systemic toxicity. Signs of skin irritation were dose-dependently noted at 60 or 200 mg/kg 45 bw/day. At 60 mg/kg bw/day there was slight irritation, which in most animals had regressed 46 by the end of the study. At 200 mg/kg bw/day all the animals showed moderate irritation, which in most animals persisted until the end of the study. The irritation noted during the study 47 48 was confirmed microscopically and was indicative of a compound-related effect. The NOAEL for 49 systemic toxicity was 200 mg/kg bw/day, the highest dose level investigated. The NOAEL for 50 local dermal irritation was 20 mg/kg bw/day. Ref.: 65

- 51 52
- 53 54

55

In an earlier study, comparable results were obtained: PHMB (Vantocil IB) was applied to a group of six female albino rabbits daily to their shorn backs for 23 hr in the form of 1.0 ml of a 12,000 ppm solution. The skin was then washed with soap and water and the solution was re-

56 57 applied one hour later for a total of 21 daily applications. The skin was not occluded and oral contamination was prevented by means of a plastic collar. At the end of the experiment, the 58

1 2 3	rabbits were kille kidneys, spleens histopathologically	
4		nic toxicity or skin irritation were noted during the experimental period and
5		d body weight at the same rate as control animals. There were no signs of
6		autopsy and histopathological examination of the tissues did not reveal any
7	5	ble to treatment. Haematological findings indicated no significant differences
8		control animals (reference: Elks and Hope, 1972).
9		
10		Ref.: 32
11		
12		
13		
14	Inhalation	
15		
16	Guideline:	OECD TG 412
18	Species/strain:	Rat, Alpk:APfSD (Wistar-derived)
20 34	Group size: Test substance:	5/sex/dose (group) PHMB, 19.2 % [w/w] aqueous solution
<b>2</b> 2 23	Batch:	BX 6142
24	Purity:	HMD < 0.1%; HMBDA 0.1%
25	Dose levels:	0; 0.025; 0.25; 2.5 µg/l [mg/m <sup>3</sup> ]
26	Vehicle:	deionised water
28	Route:	inhalation
29	Administration:	nose-only: 6 hr/day; 5 days/week
30	Duration:	28 days (13 week recovery for satellite groups at 0; 0.025; 2.5 µg/l)
33	GLP:	yes
34	Study period:	2003 - 2004, report dated 2006
35		
36		eceded by two 5-day range-finding studies in rats. In these studies, dose-
37		athological findings in the lung and larynx were observed, indicative of
36	respiratory irritatio	
40 41		osed as indicated. Target concentrations of aqueous solutions of 0 (control), 5 mg/m <sup>3</sup> corresponded to PHMB concentrations of 0.0239 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (MMAD
42		$\mu$ m), 0.257 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (MMAD range – 0.48-5.06 $\mu$ m) and 2.47 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (MMAD
43		$7 \ \mu\text{m}$ ). Bodyweights were measured weekly and food consumption was
44		busly throughout the study. At the end of the scheduled period, the animals
45		examined by gross pathology. Cardiac blood samples were taken for
46		clinical pathology, selected tissues were weighed (adrenal glands, ovaries,
47	2,	n, kidneys, testes, liver, thymus, lungs (with trachea attached but larynx
48	removed), brain,	heart, uterus and cervix). Tissues were almost completely taken and
49	processed for subs	sequent histopathology.
59 53 54		
53	Results	
54		reatment-attributable deaths and clinical signs up to $2.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$ (clinical
55	observations durin	ng exposure and post-exposure were attributed to the restraint nose-only

56 conditions). Body weights were lower than for controls in males exposed to 0.25 mg/m<sup>3</sup> or 2.5 57 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Following cessation of exposure there was some recovery in body weight for males at

58 2.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Food consumption was slightly low in weeks 2 and 4 for males exposed to 0.25 and

1 2.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. There were no changes in haematology or blood clinical chemistry parameters that 3 were of toxicological significance.

4 Lung weights were slightly elevated for males and females exposed to  $2.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$  and thymus 5 weights were slightly elevated in males only at 2.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. No macroscopic treatment-related 6 findings were observed at post-mortem examination.

7 Treatment-related microscopic findings were recorded in the larynx, trachea and lungs. On completion of the 28-day exposure period, squamous metaplasia was seen in the larynx of 8 9 males and females at 0.25 and 2.5  $mg/m^3$ , and tracheal inflammation for males and females at 10 2.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Comparable findings were absent 13 weeks following cessation of treatment for 11 animals previously exposed to 2.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Pneumonitis and bronchitis in the lung were seen for males and females exposed to 2.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, both at end of the exposure period and at the end 12 13 of the recovery period. The severity of pneumonitis was slightly reduced at the end of the 14 recovery period. The higher thymus weight for males only exposed to 2.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, in the absence of any histopathological changes, was considered to be of unknown toxicological 15 16 significance.

PHMB exposure to concentrations of 0.257 or 2.47 mg/m<sup>3</sup> resulted in some transient 18 19 histopathological changes in the larynx and trachea that were characteristic of exposure to a respiratory tract irritant. These changes were clearly reversible following cessation of exposure. 20 21 Some bodyweight changes were also present at these exposure concentrations. Some non-22 resolving histopathology changes in the lungs (pneumonitis and bronchitis) were limited to the 23 highest exposure concentration of 2.47 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. A NOAEL of 0.0239 mg/m<sup>3</sup> was derived from

- 24 the study. 26
  - Ref.: 76, 77, 78

- 27
- 28

Guideline:	/ (precedes guideline program)
Species/strain:	Rat, Alderley Park SPF albino strain
Group size:	4/sex/dose
Test substance:	Vantocil IB (20 % aqueous solution of PHMB)
Batch:	Std Sample BX 16, 17, 18, 19 ADOH. 4848/73
Purity:	not stated
Vehicle:	2
Dose levels:	0.025; 0.25; 2.75, 12.5 and 26 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Route:	inhalation
Administration:	snout-only: 6 hr/day; 5 days/week
Duration:	3 weeks
GLP:	/
Study period:	1976

- 29
- 30

31 Animals were exposed as indicated to atmospheres of respirable particles (MMAD < 7  $\mu$ m). Body weight, food and water intake and signs of gross toxicity were checked daily. After the 32 33 last exposure, blood and urine samples were obtained, animals were killed and selected tissues 34 (lung, trachea, thymus, liver, kidneys, adrenals, spleen, heart, gonads, epididymes, uteri) were 35 taken for histopathological examination.

36

37 Results:

There were no signs of toxicity at the lowest dose tested. At  $0.25 \text{ mg/m}^3$  one animal died after 38 the 13<sup>th</sup> exposure and animals did not gain weight or even lost weight. The experiment was 39

1 terminated after 13 exposures. Animals showed signs of moderate nasal irritation and 2 tachypnoea. There were significant amounts of methaemoglobin in all animals (5, 4 and 4% in 3 males and 3, 7, 5 and 3% in females). Histopathological examination of stained sections 4 revealed slight to moderately severe pneumonitis. There was also evidence of accompanying 5 resolution of the lung lesions in all the affected animals. The thymuses of 3 male and 3 female rats from the test group showed reduction in the cortical thickness and depletion of 6 7 lymphocytes. Patchy loss of cilia in the tracheal epithelium was observed in three animals. The testis of one male showed degeneration of a few seminiferous tubules. At 2.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> animals 8 showed signs of nasal irritation and dyspnoea and dramatic body weight loss after the 4<sup>th</sup> 9 exposure. The experiment was terminated after the 6<sup>th</sup> exposure. There were significant 10 increases in methaemoglobin in all animals. Histopathological examination of tissues revealed a 11 moderate to severe pneumonitis. The thymus glands showed severe depletion of lymphocytes 12 13 and loss of normal architecture. Exposure to 12.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> resulted in severe nasal irritation and dyspnoea. During the first three days of exposure, all animals lost weight and their intake of 14 15 food and water was very low. One female rat died towards the end of the fourth exposure and the remainder died overnight. Exposure of rats to 26.0 mg/m<sup>3</sup> resulted in severe nasal 16 irritation and dysphoea. During the first three days of exposure all animals lost weight and their 17 intake of food and water was very low. As in the test with 12.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> exposure, one female 18 rat died towards the end of the fourth exposure and the remainder died overnight. A NOAEL of 19  $0.025 \text{ mg/m}^3$  was derived from this study. ₹Q

Ref.: 15

23 24 25

22

#### 26 SCCS Comments on repeat-dose inhalation studies

Based on the severity of the effects caused by inhalation of PHMB, the absence of reversibility of inflammation in the respiratory tract and the very low doses causing these effects, a harmonised classification as STOT RE 1 H372 (causes damage to the respiratory tract through prolonged or repeated exposure by inhalation) has been assigned according to Annex VI of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008

According to the procedures of classification of mixtures, classification with respect to STOT-RE is not warranted if the substance classified as STOT-RE 1 is present at concentrations below 1% in the mixture. See ECHA Guidance on the application of CLP criteria (SCCS Ref. III).

37 38

39

3.3.5.2 Sub-chronic (90 days) oral / dermal / inhalation toxicity

40 41

42

43 ORAL 44

The subchronic toxicity of Vantocil P (20.2 % aqueous PHMB solution, Batch D4097) was investigated in 90 d dietary studies in mice (C57Bl/10JfCD- 1) and rats (Alpk:APfSD, Wistar derived; 12/sex/dose with 4 animals/sex/group used for interim sacrifices at 29 days) intended as range-finders for chronic toxicity studies. The studies were in accordance with GLP but not strictly in accordance with OECD TG 408. Dose levels in rats were 0, 1000, 2000, 4000, 6000 ppm a.i. (corresponding to about 0, 83.9, 171.5, 373.0, 556.1 mg/kg bw/day a.i. in males and 92.3, 192.9, 409.8, 617.4 mg/kg bw/day a.i. in females). In mice, dose levels were 0, 1000, 1 2000, 4000 ppm a.i. (corresponding to about 0, 162, 328, 736 nmg/kg bw/day a.i. in males 2 and 0, 224, 445, 963 mg/kg bw/day a.i. in females) and 6000 ppm a.i. (terminated due to high 3 mortality).

4

Results in rats:Dietary adminis

Dietary administration of PHMB led to a treatment-related reduction in body weight at  $\geq$  2000 8 ppm accompanied by clinical-chemical indication of poor nutritional state. From 2000 ppm 9 there were findings in some haematological parameters, notably increased haemoglobin and 10 haematocrit in males. The kidney was identified as a target organ. Renal functional change in 11 the form of decreased urine volume and increased specific gravity was noted at doses of 2000, 12 4000 or 6000 ppm for interim and terminal kill animals, being more marked in males. 13 Histopathological changes were recorded at day 29 at the highest dose. At terminal kill, a clear treatment-related increase in kidney weight was apparent for males at 4000 or 6000 ppm, but 14 15 histopathology of kidneys to assess the toxicological significance of these weight changes was not performed at terminal kill. Treatment-related increases in plasma alkaline phosphatase, 16 17 alanine transaminase and/or aspartate transaminase activities were seen at all dose levels for males and for females which received 1000, 2000, 4000 or 6000 ppm at interim and/or 18 19 terminal kill. As only limited histopathological examination was performed, the toxicological 20 significance of macroscopic changes in the gastrointestinal tract, liver and hepatic lymph node in some terminal kill animals at 6000 ppm could not be assessed. It was concluded that a dose 21 22 level of 2000 ppm would be suitable for use as the high-dose level in a long-term dietary study. 23 The authors considered 1000 ppm (corresponding to 83.9 mg/kg bw/d in males and 92.3 24 mg/kg bw/d in females) as NOAEL.

25 26

Results in mice:

29 There were treatment-related effects on initial food consumption in males. Reduced body 30 weights were noted in males at 2000 ppm and marked effects on body weight gain were observed in males and females at 4000 ppm. The highest dose caused marked toxicity leading 31 32 to termination of this group by day 11. There were no treatment-related effects on liver and kidney weights and no gross or histopathological findings. 4000 ppm was considered as the 33 34 highest dose to be applied in a long-term feeding study. The authors considered 1000 ppm 35 (corresponding to 162 mg/kg bw/d in males and 224 mg/kg bw/d in females) as the NOAEL of 36 this study.

37 38 39

40

Ref.: 50, 51

An older, non-guideline compliant 90-day dietary study was performed with antibacterial 9073 (25 % aqueous solution of PHMB; Batch WEM/G/680) in Alderley Park Wistar Rats at dose levels of 0, 625 and 1250 ppm a.i. There was no mortality at any dose level. The dietary administration of 1250 ppm led to a retardation of body weight gain most likely due to reduced food consumption due to decreased palatability. At this dose level, deposits of an iron-pigment in the liver (in liver cells and in Kupffer cells) was noted in individual female rats. The dose level of 625 ppm was tolerated without any finding.

49

50 51 Ref.: 41

1 A non-guideline compliant 90-day dietary study as a range-finder was performed with 2 antibacterial 9073 (25 % aqueous solution of PHMB; Batch WEM/G/680) in Beagle dogs (inbred 3 strain from Alderley Park, Cheshire). Groups of 4 animals/sex/dose received 0, 1375 or 2750 4 ppm a.i. as dietary admixture. Animals were weighed weekly. At the end of the study, clinical-5 chemical parameters were determined, a full post mortem examination was performed and the 6 weight of selected tissues was determined. There was no mortality or any sign of clear 7 systemic toxicity at any dose level. The only finding observed was a slight reduction in 8 neutrophils of questionable relevance.

Ref.: 42

13 In a poorly reported 90-d drinking water study performed with a 20 % aqueous solution of PHMB (batch D4097) in mice (C57BL/10JfAP/Alpk), only the effect on body weight and 14 15 macroscopy was investigated. A control and a dose group were used consisting of 10 males and females, respectively. The treatment group received 0.1 mg/ml test substance in the first 16 17 week, 0.3 mg/ml in the second week and 0.3 mg/ml from the third week until study 18 termination. Administration of PHMB caused a reduction in bodyweight gain of treated animals 19 and a dose-related reduction in water consumption. There were no treatment-related 20 macroscopic post-mortem findings.

Ref.: 49

3.3.5.3 Chronic toxicity

25 26 27

22

23 24

10

11 12

- 28 Guideline: considered mainly in accordance with OECD TG 452
- 29 Species/strain: Dog/Beagle
- 30 Group size: 4/sex/dose
- 31 Test substance: PHMB ASG9112994, 20.2 % aqueous solution
- 32 Batch: D40987
- 33 Purity: impurities < 0.76 %
- 34 Dose levels: 0, 300, 1500, 4500 ppm up to weeks 11/12 and reduced to 3000 ppm
- 35 thereafter (corresponding to about 0, 11, 54, 169/108 mg/kg bw/day)
- 36 Route: oral
- 37 Administration: diet
- 38 Exposure: daily for 1 year
- 39 GLP: no statement
- 40 Study period: 1993 1994, report dated 1995
- 41
- 42 Dose levels were selected based on the findings of two short-term range-finding studies.
- 43 Animals received PHMB as dietary admixture. The high dose of 4500 ppm was reduced to 3000
- 44 ppm from weeks 11/12 onward due to unexpectedly severe reactions (see results) leading to
- 45 the intercurrent killing of 3 high dose males. The animals were examined for mortality and
- 46 clinical signs including unusual behaviour. Body weight and food consumption were determined
- 47 at regular intervals. Blood samples for haematology and clinical pathology were taken in weeks
- -1, 4, 13, 26 and 52 and from intercurrent high dose males. Urine samples were taken prior to
  the start of the study and in weeks 26 and 52. All dogs surviving to termination and those
- 50 killed intercurrently were subjected to a complete necropsy. Adrenal glands, brain, epididymis,

1 kidneys, liver, testes and thyroid glands were weighed. A comprehensive number of organs and

- 2 tissues were processed and examined by histopathology.
- 3 4 Doci
- 4 Results

High-dose males exhibited unexpected signs of toxicity including marked reddening/peeling of
scrotal skin, loss of appetite, body weight loss and/or indications of liver impairment in the
form of elevated plasma alanine transaminase and/or aspartate transaminase activities.

8 Following reduction of the high-dose level to 3000 ppm, one female was killed after showing 9 clinical signs of toxicity. For animals surviving to termination, treatment related red/brown 10 staining of coat, predominantly paws and hocks, present in one female receiving 300 ppm and 11 in all animals at 1500 or 3000 ppm were considered of no toxicological relevance as there were no associated histopathological changes. Plasma cholesterol levels were reduced for the 12 surviving animals receiving 3000 ppm whereas plasma alanine transaminase and/or aspartate 13 transaminase activities were elevated for the surviving high dose male, for 2/3 females 14 15 receiving 3000 ppm and for one female each at 300 or 1500 ppm. Low testes weight was also

16 apparent for the surviving male at 3000 ppm.

17 Treatment-related histopathological findings were present in the skin (dermatitis of the 18 scrotum, chin and limbs) as well as in the liver, kidney (males only) and testes of animals that 19 received 4500/3000 ppm.

19 received 4500/3000 ppm.

There were no histopathological changes in animals receiving 300 or 1500 ppm that were considered attributable to treatment. The elevated plasma alanine transaminase and aspartate transaminase activities in isolated animals receiving 300 or 1500 ppm, in the absence of any associated histopathological changes, were considered to be of no toxicological importance. The

- associated histopathological changes, were considered to be of no toxicological importance. In
- study authors considered 1500 ppm (54 mg/kg bw/d) as the NOAEL of the study. Ref.: 5

Ref.: 52, 81, 82

#### 27 SCCS comment

28 SCCS is aware that RAC and ANSES agreed to this NOAEL.

29

26

30 31

32	Guideline:	Considered comparable to OECD TG 453
22	Charles /strain,	Dat/Alaly ADECD (Wistar dariyod)

- 33 Species/strain: Rat/Alpk:APfSD (Wistar derived)
- 34 Group size: 64/sex/dose (12 animals/sex/dose used for interim kill)
- 35 Test substance: Vantocil P ASG9112994, 20.2 % aqueous solution
- 36 Batch: D4097
- 37 Purity: impurities < 0.76 %
- 38
   Dose levels:
   0, 200, 600, 2000 ppm (corresponding to about 0, 12.1, 36.3, 126.1

   39
   mg/kg bw/day in males, 0, 14.9, 45.3, 162.3 mg/kg bw/day in females)
- 40 Route: oral
- 41 Administration: diet
- 42 Exposure period: 104 weeks
- 43 GLP: yes
- 44 Study period: 1992 1994, report dated 1996
- 45

Animals received diet containing PHMB as indicated and were examined daily for mortality,

- 47 clinical signs and unusual behaviour. Body weight and food consumption were determined at 48 regular intervals, ophthalmology was performed. Blood samples for haematolology and clinical
- 49 pathology were collected in weeks 14, 27, 53, 79 and 105. Urine samples were collected in

weeks 13, 26, 52, 78 and 104. Animals were subjected to complete necropsy when killed, a
 comprehensive number of organs and tissues were processed and examined by histopathology.

4 Results

5 At 2000 ppm there were treatment-related reductions in body weight, which were more 6 pronounced in females. During the initial phase of the study, food consumption was reduced for 7 both sexes at 2000 ppm, although slightly increased food consumption was recorded for females at this dose level during the second year of the study. There were no treatment related 8 9 clinical signs, ophthalmoscopic findings or effects on any haematological or urinalysis 10 parameters throughout the study. Slightly raised plasma alkaline phosphatase activity, 11 predominantly in females receiving 2000 ppm, and a slightly increased incidence of hepatocyte 12 fat and spongiosis hepatis in males at this dose level were considered as mild effects in the 13 liver.

The pathological examination showed no non-neoplastic and no neoplastic findings at any dose 14 15 level in either sex. There was an increased incidence of haemangiosarcoma in females receiving 16 2000 ppm, which was not statistically significant in the Fisher's Exact Test, but gave positive 17 results in the trend test. As this tumour type was not present in control females on this study, 18 and is relatively rare in the Alpk: APfSD strain of rat, an external peer review and a Pathology 19 Working Group (PWG) review was subsequently conducted to confirm the diagnosis of the vascular neoplasms and to provide assistance in the interpretation of this equivocal result. 20 21 Following the PWG review and confirmed by a further independent expert, it was concluded 22 that the overall weight of evidence indicated that the slightly higher number of high dose 23 animals having vascular neoplasms of the liver is not associated with the dietary administration of PHMB. RAC concluded that evidence from this rat study is not sufficient to conclude a clear 24 25 treatment-related effect with respect to vascular tumours.

Based on the findings of this study, a NOAEL of 2000 ppm PHMB in diet corresponding to 36 and 45 mg/kg bw/d was derived for male and female animals, respectively.

Ref.: 53, 13, 21, 30

28 29

30

31 Summary repeat-dose toxicity

Repeat-dose toxicity studies using different durations (3 weeks up to 2 years) and different application routes (oral – drinking water; oral – diet; dermal; inhalation) have been performed in different animal species (rat, mouse, Beagle dog).

Short-term and subchronic oral studies mainly served as range finder for studies of longer duration. Subchronic dietary studies performed in rats and mice caused reductions in body weight and effects on weight gain. In rats, the kidney was identified as target organ; there were changes in renal function as well as changes in some plasma parameters (ALP, ALT, ASP).

However, interpretation of the dietary subchronic studies was hampered by limitedhistopathologic examination.

In a dietary 12-month study in Beagle dogs, a NOAEL of 54 mg/kg bw was derived. At the highest dose tested there were adverse effects on scrotal skin, indications of liver impairment and histopathological findings in skin, liver and – for males only – in kidneys and testes. In a dietary 2-year rat study NOAELs of 36 and 45 mg/kg bw/d were derived for male and female animals, respectively. At the highest dose tested, most prominent findings were reductions in body weight, changes in plasma parameters, the incidence of hepatocyte fat and spongiosis hepatis. Further, the incidence of haemangiosarcomas was increased at the highest dose

48 tested.

1 From the latter study, the SCCS will take the NOAEL of 36 mg/kg bw/d for MoS calculation 2 /safety evaluation.

3.3.6 **Reproductive toxicity** 

- 3.3.6.1 Fertility and reproduction toxicity
- Two generation reproduction toxicity
- 12

- - Guideline:
- 15 Species/strain: Rat/Alpk:APfSD (Wistar derived)
- 16 Group size: 26/sex/dose
- 17 Test substance: Vantocil P, 20.2% aqueous PHMB solution
- 18 Batch: D4097
- 19 0.76 % impurities Purity:
- 20 Dose levels: 0, 200, 600, 2000 ppm
- 21 Route: Oral (diet)
- 22 Exposure period: Two successive generations including 10-week premating period Yes
- 23 GLP:
- 24 Study period: 1993/1994, report dated 1995
- 25
- 26 Groups of animals received diets containing 0, 200, 600 and 2000 ppm Vantocol P 27 (corresponding to about 0, 23 – 24, 70 – 71, 239 – 249 mg/kg bw/day in males, 0, 25 – 26, 77 28 - 79, 258 - 270 mg/kg bw/day in females). After 10 weeks, animals were mated and allowed 29 to rear F1 litters to weaning. Selected F1 animals from F1 offspring were used as parental F1 30 animals for production of F2 offspring after an 11-week premating period.
- 31 Parental animals and offspring were examined for mortality and clinical signs including unusual 32 behaviour. Body weight (all animals) and food consumption (F0 and F1 parental animals) were 33 determined at regular intervals. At termination, gross pathology was performed, weights of kidney, liver, testes and epididymis were determined. Selected organs, grossly abnormal 34 35 tissues and reproductive organs were processed and examined by histopathology.
- All pups surviving to termination and not selected for the next generation were killed on 36 37 approximately day 29 post-partum. Five F1 pups/sex and 10 F2 pups/sex received a full post 38 mortem examination.
- 39

40 Results

41 In adults receiving the highest dose, there was a decrease in body weight and decreased 42 efficiency of food utilisation during the pre-mating period, partly accompanied by reduced food consumption. There was no evidence of an effect of PHMB on reproductive parameters or on 43 offspring growth and development up to the highest dose tested. There were some differences 44 45 in the weights of livers and kidneys in adults receiving 2000 ppm Vantocil P and in F2 offspring at all dose levels. In the absence of related pathological changes these were considered not to 46 47 be biologically significant. There was no evidence of an effect of Vantocil P on any of the reproductive parameters assessed. There was no evidence of an effect of PHMB on any of the 48

#### Opinion on Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) - Submission III

1 pup parameters measured including growth and development. Based on decreased body 2 weights in parental animals, the authors derived a systemic, parental NOAEL of 600 ppm (70 -3 79 mg/kg bw/d). The NOAEL for reproductive and offspring effects was 2000 ppm (239 – 270 73

4 5 6	mg/kg bw/d).	Ref.: 73	
7 8 9	Other data on fert	ility and reproduction toxicity	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Guideline: Species/strain: Group size: Test substance: Batch: Purity: Dose levels: Route: Exposure period: GLP: Study period:	US EPA 83-4 with some deviations Rat, Sprague-Dawley 10 males, 20 females/dose PHBM, 20 % aqueous solution ADGM 5642 / 0, 200, 650, 1300 ppm (dietary levels adjusted for 20 % a.i.) oral, diet 9-week premating period until 3rd generation preceded GLP 1975-1976	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	From these litters a further 10 males and 20 females per group were selected to form the F1 parental generation. This process was repeated until the weaning of the F3 litter although hal of this litter was delivered by Caesarean section for the study of potential teratogenic effects Individual body weights, clinical condition and food consumption were recorded at regular intervals. All pups delivered naturally were examined at birth and weaning and the number and total weight per sex recorded. At weaning, 10 male and 10 females F3 pups were necropsied and representative tissues stored for possible future histopathological examination.		
31 32	and P1 parental g	ications of any adverse effect on body weight or food consumption in the F1 enerations or on food consumption in the P2 generation. Evaluations of the	

F1 the various reproductive indices, sex ratios, and body weight data of the fetuses taken by 33 34 Caesarean section and the offspring maintained through weaning revealed no meaningful 35 differences between the control and treated groups. Necropsy of weanlings did not reveal any compound-related gross pathology. No findings indicative of embryotoxicity or teratogenicity 36 were noted in the fetuses taken by Caesarean section. The dose level of 1300 ppm 37 38 (corresponding to approximately 130 mg/kg bw/d) was concluded to be the NOAEL for general 39 toxicity, reproductive function and fertility as well as for pre- and postnatal development. 40 Ref.: 94 41

42			
43			
44	3.3.6.2	Developmental toxicity	
45			
46			
47	Rats		
48			

<sup>49</sup> Guideline: Comparable to OECD 414

1 2 3 4	Species/strain: Group size: Test substance: Batch:	Rat/Alderly Park strain 20 rats per group evaluated Baquacil SB (20 % aqueous PHMB solution) no data
5 6	Dose levels:	0, 200, 1000, 2000 ppm (expressed as a.i.) (corresponding to about 0, 13, 54, 112 mg/kg bw/day)
7 8 9	Route: Exposure period: Positive control:	Oral (diet) gestation days 1 – 20 (mating day taken as GD 0) Aspirin, 2900 ppm
10	GLP:	/
11 12	Study period:	1976
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1 until GD 20 wher regular intervals. I removed by Caesa uteri were examine	I female animals received diets containing test substance or aspirin from GD n animals were killed. Body weight and food consumption were determined at Dams were examined for mortality, clinical signs and abortion. Fetuses were rian section, sexed, weighed and further investigated for external findings; ed for resorptions. About one half of the fetuses of each litter were examined ngs and the remaining fetuses for skeletal findings.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	was significantly re consumption was a 22 rats/group. Ges implantation losses related effects wer the high dose whic There was no furth skeleton in any of maternal NOAEL of NOAEL of 1000 ppr	ortalities and no adverse clinical effects in any group. Maternal weight gain educed in the groups receiving 1000 or 2000 ppm Baquacil SB and the food also significantly reduced in these groups. Pregnancy was confirmed for 20 - stational parameters such as number of implantation sites, pre- and post- s were not influenced by the test substance at any dose level. No dose- re observed on fetal or litter weights. There was an increase in extra ribs at h was considered to be a consequence of maternal toxicity at this dose level. her substance-related effect on fetal morphology including ossification of the the treated groups. Based on reduced food consumption and body weight, a f 200 ppm (corresponding to about 13 mg/kg bw/d) and a developmental m (corresponding to about 54 mg/kg bw/d) were derived. Ref.: 46
35 36	Mice	
<ol> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> </ol>	Guideline: Species/strain: Group size: Test substance: Batch: Dose levels: Vehicle: Exposure period: GLP: Study period:	Comparable to OECD 414 Mouse/Alderly Park strain 47 - 49 mice in dosed groups; 25 control animals Baquacil SB (20 % aqueous PHMB solution) no data 0, 10, 20, 40 mg/kg bw/d (expressed as a.i.) 0.5 % aqueous Tween 80 gestation days 6 - 15 (mating day taken as GD 0) / 1976
48	Results	

1 In dams at the top dose, the following effects were observed: increased mortality (6 dams), 2 reduced food intake (-23 % compared to controls between GD 8-14, p<0.01) and reduced 3 bodyweight gain. Animals that died during the study were found to have macroscopic changes 4 in the stomach or caecum consistent with irritation and inflammation at site of contact. Signs of 5 recovery were evident post-dosing when this group showed increased food consumption and 6 final body weights similar to controls. In fetuses there was no effect of PHMB on the number, 7 growth or survival in utero except a slight increase in pre-implantation loss observed at 40 mg/kg (21.8  $\pm$  25.6 vs 13.1  $\pm$  15.2 in controls) and a significant increase in postimplantation 8 9 loss at 20 mg/kg (11.4  $\pm$  19.7 % vs 6.1  $\pm$  8.4 % in controls) attributed to an increase in early 10 intrauterine deaths. But the difference in preimplantation loss at 40 mg/kg was not statistically 11 significant and could not be related to PHMB as the dosing period began after implantation. The 12 post-implantation loss at 20 mg/kg was not seen at the highest dose and in the absence of dose-response relationship, this effect was not attributed to treatment. There was no evidence 13 for teratogenicity. The percentage of fetuses with unossified  $5_{th}$  sternebrae or with fused  $4_{th}$  and 14 15 5th sternebrae was increased at the top dose, but in the absence of changes in fetal 16 development associated with PHMB, this finding was considered as unlikely to be substance-17 related. A maternal NOAEL of 20 mg/kg bw/d and a developmental NOAEL of 40 mg/kg bw/d 18 were derived from this study. Ref.: 9, 7, 8

19 20

21 In an older, non GLP- and non-Guideline compliant teratology study, test material IL 780, 22 SDGM 5642 was investigated in groups of 14 – 15 mated female White New Zealand rabbits at 23 dose levels of 0, 10, 40 and 160 mg/kg bw/d after oral (gavage) administration. The 24 administration of 10 and 40 mg/kg bw/day had no effect on pregnancy or on maternal and fetal 25 survival. The number of soft tissue or skeletal abnormalities did not differ from the number in 26 the control group at these dose levels. At 160 mg/kg bw/day maternal toxicity was reported 27 which led to impaired implantation, increased early fetotoxicity and increased resorbed and 28 dead fetuses.

29 30 Ref.: 3

31 Conclusions on reproductive toxicity

In an oral chronic toxicity study in Beagle dogs, histopathologic changes in testes were observed at the highest dose tested (169 mg/kg bw/d, reduced to 108 mg/kg bw/d). In a 2and a 3-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats, no adverse effects on the reproductive parameters addressed were observed. No evidence of fetal/developmental toxicity independent from maternal toxicity was observed in developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits. There were indications for retarded ossification at 20 and 40 mg/kg bw/d in a developmental toxicity study in mice, which was not considered an adverse effect by the SCCS.

39 40

- 41
- 42 3.3.7 Mutagenicity / Genotoxicity
  43
  44
  45 3.3.7.1 Mutagenicity / genotoxicity *in vitro*46
- 47 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test

48

1	Guideline:	OECD TG 471
2	Test system:	Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537,
3		TA1538
4	Replicates:	Triplicate plates
5	Test substance:	Vantocil IB, 19.6 % aqueous solution
6	Batch:	Bx2125
7	Solvent:	DMSO
8	Concentrations:	Range-finding (TA100 only):
9		±S9-mix: 0, 1.6, 8.0, 40, 200, 1000, 5000 μg/plate
10		Experiment I + II:
11		±S9-mix: 0, 0.32, 1.6, 8.0, 40, 200, 500 μg/plate
12	Treatment:	plate incorporation assay with minor modifications
13	GLP:	yes
14	Study period:	1988, report dated 1989

15

PHMB was investigated in the bacterial reverse mutation test with and without metabolic 16 17 activation by S9-mix prepared from Aroclor 1254 induced liver from Alderley Park rats. In a 18 range-finding experiment, significant toxicity was observed at concentrations > 200  $\mu$ g/plate in 19 TA100  $\pm$  S9. Therefore, 500 µg/plate was used as the top concentration in the 2 main 20 experiments. For control purposes, the negative, vehicle (DMSO) and positive controls (+ S9: 21 N-methyl-N-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG) for TA 100 and TA 1535, Daunorubicin (DR) for TA98, Acridine mutagen ICR191 for TA1537 and 4-nitro-O-phenylenediamine (4- NPD) for TA 22 23 1538; -S9: 2-aminoanthracene (2-AA) for all strains) were also investigated.

24 25 Results:

In two separate experiments, PHMB did not induce significant reproducible increases in revertant colonies in TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and TA1538 in the absence or presence of S9 mix. In TA98, there were no increases in revertant colonies without S9 mix, but in the presence of S9 mix, slight responses (2.1 x background) were observed which were not reproducible and were associated with an unusual low negative control for this strain.

Therefore it was concluded that under the conditions of this assay PHMB was non-mutagenic in the bacterial gene mutation test.

Ref.: 14

An earlier bacterial reverse mutation test which preceded OECD test guidelines and GLP has been performed with Vantocil IB (20 % aqueous solution), batch AGDM 2253/77, in *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, TA1538 ± S9 mix. PHMB was toxic at a concentration of 333.3 mg per plate, particularly in strains TA98, TA100, and TA1535, and was shown to be weakly mutagenic in strain TA1538 in the absence of metabolic activation.

Ref.: 43

Ref. 92

40 41

33

34

42 A further bacterial reverse mutation test performed in compliance with GLP also investigated 43 Vantocil IB (20 % aqueous solution), batch AGDM 2253/77, in *Salmonella typhimurium* strains 44 TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, TA1538  $\pm$  S9 mix from livers of Aroclor 1254 induced Sprague-45 Dawley rats. Test substance concentrations were up to 500 µg/plate.

46 Vantocil IB gave a negative response with all strains  $\pm$  S9 mix. All tester strains were sensitive 47 to the appropriate positive controls (N-2-fluorenylacetamide for TA98 and TA1538; 1,3-48 propanesultone for TA100 and TA 1535 and 9-aminoacridine for TA1537).

49

1

#### 2 SCCS comment

In an earlier bacterial reverse mutation test, Vantocil P was toxic in several bacterial strains and showed to be mutagenic. As Vantocil P is a bactericide, the SCCS considers the Ames test as not suitable for mutagenicity testing. This is also stated in a revised version of the Notes of Guidance.

, 8

9

10 In vitro mammalian cell gene mutation test

11		
12	Guideline:	OECD TG 476
13	Species/strain:	L5178Y TK+/- Mouse Lymphoma cells
14	Replicates:	2
15	Test substance:	PHMB P20D
16	Vehicle:	culture medium
17	Batch:	1202
18	Purity:	/
19	Concentrations:	-S9 mix: 0, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 μg/ml
20		+S9 mix: 0, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 μg/ml
21	Positive control:	-S9 mix: methyl methanesulfonate (MMS, 2 and 10 µg/ml)
22	Positive Control:	+S9-mix: cyclophosphamide (2 µg/ml)
23	Negative control:	solvent control
24	Treatment:	3 h and 20 h
25	GLP:	yes
26	Study period:	2002

27

28

L5178Y TK+/- mouse lymphoma cells, suspended in treatment medium, were exposed to the designated concentrations of the test substance for 3 hr (in the presence or absence of a metabolising system (S9 from rat livers of Aroclor 1254 treated Sprague-Dawley rats, at 5 %) and for 20 hr (in the absence of S9). After washing, cells were plated for determination of viability and determination of survival and mutagenesis. Experiments with solvent control and positive controls were carried out under the same conditions.

Results: at 50 and 100  $\mu$ g/ml, cytotoxicity of PHMB was higher than that of the positive controls. No significant increases in mutant frequencies were observed for PHMB in two independent assays at any concentration tested in the presence or absence of S9-mix.

Analysis of the size of colonies did not show any change in small and large colony number at any concentration tested in the presence or absence of S9-mix. MMS on the other hand produced clear increases in mutation frequencies in the absence of S9-mix and cyclophosphamide produced significant increases in mutation frequencies in the presence of S9-mix. Both positive controls produced a clear increase in small colony levels. Thus, positive and negative controls confirm the validity of the assay.

From the results of the study it can be concluded that PHMB is not mutagenic under the conditions of this assay.

Ref.: Harmand (2002)

- 46 47
- 48
- 49

#### 1 SCCS comment

2 SCCS noticed that in all experiments performed, both short-term (with and without metabolic 3 activation) and long-term treatment, at least one of the data points exhibited a statistically 4 significant increase in mutant frequency compared to the concurrent negative control. 5 Moreover, the increase in mutant frequency was concentration-related at least at one sampling 6 time with metabolic activation. 7 However, in the recently adopted last version of the OECD TG on the gene mutation test at the tk locus, a positive result is determined with the global evaluation factor (GEF). If the test is 8 9 performed according to the micro-well method, an increase in the mutant frequency of 126 x 10 10-6 is considered a positive result. Since the increase in the present experiment was lower 11 than this global evaluation factor, SCCS agrees with the conclusion of the authors that the test 12 is negative. 13 14 15 Guideline: similar to OECD TG 476 16 Species/strain: Mouse lymphoma cell line P388 (tk+/-) 17 Replicates: 5 replicate cultures 18 Test substance: Vantocil P (20% aqueous solution) 19 Vehicle: DMSO 20 Batch: ADGM/1021/79 21 Purity: / 22 Concentrations: -S9 mix: 25, 250, 500, 1000, 2000 µg/ml 23 +S9 mix: 25, 250,1000, 2000 µg/ml 24 Positive control:  $\pm$ S9 mix: methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), 32.5 and 65  $\mu$ g/ml 25 Negative control: DMSO 26 Treatment: 30 minutes 27 GLP: ves 28 1980 - 1981 Study period:

29 30

31 PHMB was diluted with DMSO and added to the cell cultures for 30 minutes at indicated 32 concentration levels and the cell suspensions in the test tubes were rotated on a flat-bed test 33 tube roller. S9 was obtained from livers of male Sprague-Dawley rats treated with Aroclor 34 1254. After exposure, the cells were washed and maintained in medium for an expression time 35 between 68 – 72 hr at 37° C. Thereafter, 5-iodo-2'-deoxyuridine (IUdR) as selective agent and molten agar were added to the test tubes. The mixtures were shaken, dispensed into petri 36 37 dishes and placed in a CO2 incubator until colony formation. The colonies were counted and the 38 mean of 5 replicates was determined. Positive and negative controls were tested in parallel.

The concentration of 2000  $\mu$ g/ml was cytolethal and clear cytotoxicity was noted at 1000  $\mu$ g/ml 40 ± S9 mix. A sufficient number of surviving colonies for evaluation was observed at 41 concentrations in the range between 25 – 1000  $\mu$ g/ml ± S9 mix. No increase in mutation 42 frequencies was observed at any PHMB concentration ± S9 mix. The positive control MMS 43 induced a distinct increase in mutant colonies ± S9 mix indicating that the test was sensitive 44 and valid.

The study authors concluded that PHMB was not mutagenic as it did not induce gene mutations at the tk locus in P388 mouse lymphoma cells in the absence and presence of metabolic activation up to cytotoxic concentrations.

- 48
- 49

#### 1 SCCS comment

2 Exposure time is lower than recommended in OECD TG 476. Additionally, only short time 3 exposure was used and no longer exposure as recommended by the recently revised guideline.

- 4 The cell line used does not belong to those recommended in OECD TG 476.
- 5 Therefore, the results have limited value.
- 6 7 8

9

### In vitro Micronucleus Test in human lymphocytes

<i>J</i>		
10	Guideline:	OECD TG 473
11	Species/strain:	Cultured human peripheral blood lymphocytes from two volunteers
12		(male and female)
13	Replicates:	Duplicate cultures, two independent experiments
14	Test substance:	Vantocil IB (19.6% aqueous PHMB solution)
15	Batch:	Bx2125
16	Concentrations:	-S9 mix: 0, 5.0, 25, 50 µg/mL (both volunteers)+S9 mix: 0, 25, 100,
17		187.5 μg/mL (volunteer 1)
18		+S9 mix: 0, 25, 100, 250 μg/mL (volunteer 2)
19	Solvent/negative	
20	control:	0.85% physiological saline
21	Positive Controls:	-S9 mix: Mitomycin C (MMC), 0.5 μg/mL
22		+S9 mix: Cyclophosphamide (CPA), 100 μg/mL
23	GLP:	Yes
24	Study period:	1989

25

26 The potential of PHBM to induce chromosome aberrations was investigated in cultured human 27 peripheral blood lymphocytes from two volunteers in the presence or absence of S9 (from livers of male Alpk:APfSD rats induced with Aroclor 1254). PHMB was administered to the 28 29 cultures approximately 44 hr after initiation and remained in contact with the cells for 2 hr 20 30 minutes to 3 hr 40 minutes. 70 hr after culture initiation, dividing lymphocytes were arrested in 31 metaphase by treatment with 10  $\mu$ g/ml colchicine and 2 hr later the cells were subjected to hypotonic treatment for 12 minutes in 0.075M KCl followed by fixation in glacial acetic 32 acid/methanol and staining in Giemsa. The appropriate concentration levels selected for 33 34 chromosomal analysis were 50, 25 and 5  $\mu$ g/ml for both volunteers -S9 and 250, 100 and 25 35  $\mu$ g/ml for volunteer 2 +S9 and 187.5, 100 and 25  $\mu$ g/ml for volunteer 1 + S9.

#### 36 37 Results

The overall mitotic activity was reduced by approximately 50 - 80 %. In any case, PHMB could be examined for clastogenicity at concentration levels up to and including those causing clear cytotoxic effects. No statistically or biologically significant increases in chromosomal damage were seen in any cultures treated with PHMB. The sensitivity and validity of the test system used was demonstrated as positive controls induced a significant increase in cells with structural aberrations.

The study authors concluded that PHMB did not induce chromosomal aberrations in cultured human lymphocytes under the test conditions applied.

- 46 47
- 47 48
- 40 49

Ref.: 54

#### 1 SCCS comments

For donor 2, there was a depression in the mitotic index recorded as well as a reduction in the overall number of cells present. However, the study authors considered that sufficient cells had been analysed for donor 2 and that the overall results were not compromised. Only short time sexposure time was used and not 24h as recommended by recent guidelines.

In an earlier GLP-compliant study, the potential of Vantocil P (20 % aqueous solution, Batch ADGM/1021/79) to induce chromosomal aberrations was investigated in cultured human lymphocytes from one donor (concentrations used: 1, 5, 10, 20 and 50 µg/ml Vantocil P, no information on treatment time) without an external metabolising system. Mitomycin C was used as positive control, water (vehicle) was used as negative control. PHMB did not induce any concentration-related or statistically significant increase in chromosome damage up to concentrations which led to decreases in the mitotic index.

13 Thus, the study authors concluded that Vantocil P did not exhibit a clastogenic potential in 14 human lymphocytes exposed *in vitro* without a metabolic activation system.

Ref.: 84

- 16 The experiment was not performed according to OECD test guidelines. There is no information 17 on treatment time. The study results have limited value and can be used only as supporting 18 information.
- 21
  22 3.3.7.2 Mutagenicity / genotoxicity *in vivo*

#### 24 In vivo Mammalian Bone Marrow Micronucleus Test

- 25 Guideline:
- 26 Species/strain: Mouse, C57BL/6JfCD-1/Alpk
- 27 Group size: 5 males, 5 females per group
- 28 Test substance: Vantocil IB (19.6 % aqueous PHMB solution)
- 29Batch:BX 2125 Ex. Grangemouth
- 30 Purity: 100 %
- 31 Dose levels: 0, 250, 400 mg/kg bw (no statement on correction for concentration)
- 32 Exposure: Single application
- 33Route:Oral (gavage)
- 34 Vehicle: Double deionised water
- 35 Positive control: Cyclophosphamide (CPA, 65 mg/kg bw)
- 36 GLP: yes
- 37 Study period: 1988 1989
- 38

15

19 20

23

Dose levels were selected based on the findings of oral dosing of 500 and 2500 mg/kg bw followed by a 4-day observation period. Test substance was applied at dose levels of 0, 250 and 400 mg/kg bw. Animals were killed at 24, 48 and 72 hr after dosing (animals treated with positive control were killed at 24 hr only) and bone marrow was obtained, processed, and stained. For each animal, initially 1000 polychromatic erythrocytes were scored for the presence of micronuclei. The portion of polychromatic erythrocytes to total erythrocytes was recorded per 1000 erythrocytes.

- 46
- 47 Results
- No mortality occurred in any male or female mouse at any dose level. The high dose of 400
   mg/kg bw/day led to a reduction in polychromatic erythrocytes as an indication of availability of

1 substance in the bone marrow. No statistically significant increase in the frequency of 2 Micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes (MPE) was observed when the sexes were 3 combined. However, when the sexes were considered separately, a small statistically significant 4 increase in MPE was apparent in male mice at the 400 mg/kg bw/day dose level at the 48 hr 5 sampling time. Therefore, a further 2000 PCE were examined for the male 400 mg/kg bw/day 6 group and the male negative control group at all sampling times. 7 Following the extended counts, there was no difference between the test and control male animals at the 48 hr sampling time and no statistically significant differences were obtained 8 9 whether the extended counts were analysed alone (as 2000 PCE) or combined with the original 10 counts (as 3000 PCE). 11 The positive control caused chromosomal aberrations. 12 Based on these findings, the study authors concluded that under the conditions applied, Vantocil IB is not clastogenic in vivo. 13 14 Ref.: 83 15 16 17 In vivo Unscheduled DNA synthesis 18 Guideline: mainly comparable to OECD 486 19 Species/strain: Rat/Alpk:APfSD (Wistar derived) 20 Two independent experiments Replicates: 21 Group size: 2 - 3 male animals per dose and experiment 22 Test substance: Vantocil IB, 19.6 % aqueous PHMB solution 23 Batch: BX 2125 24 Purity: / 25 Dose levels: 0, 750, 1500 mg/kg bw (no correction for concentration or purity) 26 Exposure: Single application 27 Route: Oral (gavage) 28 Vehicle: for test substance: Double deionised water 29 Positive control: corn oil 30 6-p-dimethylaminophenyylazobenzthiazole (6-BT, 40 mg/kg bw) Positive control: 31 Exposure period: 4, 12 h after application 32 GLP: yes 33 Study period: 1989 34

35 Dose levels were selected based on ref. 55. Vantocil IB was administered by gavage at dose 36 levels of 375 (not evaluated), 750 and 1500 mg/kg bw (application volume: 10 ml/kg bw) for a 37 period of 4 or 12 hr to groups of 2 - 3 male rats per dose and experiment. Two independent 38 experiments were carried out. The application volume was 10 ml/kg bw. After the respective 39 treatment period, animals were sacrificed, liver perfusion was carried out and primary 40 hepatocytes cultures were established from each animal. Hepatocyte cultures were exposed for 41 4 hr to [3H]-thymidine, slides were prepared and net nuclear grain counts were determined by 42 counting 100 cells per animal.

44 Results

43

45 Overt clinical findings in the form of excessive salivation and subdued attitude were observed 46 at 1500 mg/kg bw. Treatment with 750 or 1500 mg/kg bw Vantocil IB revealed no UDS 47 induction in the hepatocytes of the treated animals as compared to the corresponding vehicle 48 controls. The positive control produced the expected response.

1 2 3 4 5		rs concluded that Vantocil IB did not induce DNA repair as me synthesis in hepatocytes from rats exposed in vivo.	Ref.: 93
6	Genotoxicity data	on monomers from which PHMB has been synthetised are:	
7	<ul> <li>Hexamethyle</li> </ul>	enediamine (HMD): CAS 124-09-4	
8	OECD Guideline 42	74 (Mammalian Erythrocyte Micronucleus Test), result negative	
9	OECD 471 (in vitro	o gene mutation study in bacteria), result negative	
10	· ·		Ref.: 122
11 12 13		enebisdicyandiamide (HMBDA): EC 240-032-4, CAS 15894-70-9 o Mammalian Cell Gene Mutation Test, result negative	
14			Ref.: 123
15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	The bacterial reverse mutation test is considered not suitable to be used bactericide. PHMB was negative in a well-performed gene mutation assay in r and in an <i>in vitro</i> chromosome aberration test in human lymphocytes. An <i>in vit</i> test was also negative but only short treatment times were used. The negative <i>in vitro</i> tests were confirmed under <i>in vivo</i> conditions as PHMB did not lead to micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes in mice. These negative results micronucleus test were further supported by a negative <i>in vivo</i> UDS test. Consequently, based on the available data, PHMB can be considered to hav potential and additional tests are unnecessary.		
27	3.3.8 Carcinog	genicity	
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	ORAL Mouse Guideline: Species/strain: Group size: Test substance: Batch: Purity: Vehicle: Dose levels: Route: Administration: GLP:	US EPA 83-2 (comparable to OECD TG 453) Mouse, C57Bl/10J/CD-1 Alpk 55/sex/dose Vantocil P (20.2% aqueous PHMB solution) D4097 impurities < 0.76 % 0, 400, 1200 and 4000 ppm (correction for 20.2 % PHMB conten oral diet, 2 years yes	ıt)
44 45 46	Study period:	995 1992 – 1994, report dated 1996	

1 Dietary levels of PHMB corresponded to about 0, 55, 167, 715 mg/kg bw/day in males and 0, 2 69, 217, 856 mg/kg bw/day in females. The animals were examined for mortality and clinical 3 signs including unusual behaviour. Body weight and food consumption were determined at 4 regular intervals. Blood samples for haematology were collected from all animals at scheduled 5 termination and bone marrow smears were taken from all animals and examined for females in 6 the control and high dose groups only. All animals surviving to termination were anesthetised, 7 killed by exsanguination and subjected to a complete necropsy. Testes, kidneys, adrenal glands, liver and brain were weighed. A comprehensive number of organs and tissues were 8 9 processed, fixed, stained and examined by histopathology.

- 10
- 11
- 12 Results
- 13
- 14 Non-neoplastic findings
- 4000 ppm was considered as a dose exceeding the MTD. Body weights were reduced up to 20
  % (males) and 15 % (females) compared to controls in the second year of the study.
- Compared to controls, the reduction of body weight gain was 35-42 % (males) and 22-33 % (females) during weeks 53-79 of the study. Food consumption and mortalities were increased, food utilisation was decreased. Haemangiosarcoma was the most frequent factor causing death. The main treatment related clinical observation in males and females at the 4000 ppm was anal swelling. The first noted occurrence was in week 18 for males and week 53 for females. At termination, there was an increase in haemoglobin, haematocrit and red cell count in both sexes receiving 4000 ppm. Various non-neoplastic changes were seen in the liver, gall
- 24 bladder and recto-anal junction.
- 25 1200 ppm was considered as MTD. Compared to controls, body weights were 5 -6 % lower 26 during the 2nd year of the study and the reduction of body weight gain was 7 – 14 % (males) 27 and 5 – 10 % (females) during weeks 53-79 of the study. There were non-neoplastic findings of inflammatory nature in the recto-anal junction and non-neoplastic changes in livers (both 28 29 sexes) and gall bladder (females only). In females, haemoglobin, haematocrit and red cell 30 count were increased. There was also an increase in the number of mice with torn ears and hair 31 loss at 400 and 1200 ppm. At 400 ppm, non-neoplastic findings consisted mainly in minimal 32 inflammation of ano-rectal junctions.
- 33
- 34 Neoplastic findings
- 35 Mice receiving 400 or 1200 ppm showed a reduced incidence of lymphosarcoma of the 36 lymphoreticular system in comparison to controls.
- At 4000 ppm there were increases in squamous cell carcinomas of the recto-anal junction in mice of both sexes (5 males and 8 females at 4000 ppm), one adenocarcinoma at the same
- 39 site in a male from this group and a squamous cell carcinoma of the skin adjacent to the anus.
- 40 Gall bladder papillomas occurred in two males at 4000 ppm. None was seen in controls or in
- 41 mice from lower dose groups.
- The highest incidence of treatment-related tumours at 4000 ppm was in neoplasms of vascular origin, i.e. haemangiosarcomas, which are malignant tumours originating from vascular endothelium. As the altered tumour profile was present at a dose exceeding the MTD and as haemangiosarcoma is a common tumour in C57 mice, the findings of the study were reevaluated by a pathology working group. Although no statistical analyses were presented, the incidences of haemangiomas and of haemangiosarcomas (and combined incidences thereof) in the liver and any sites of high dose male and female mice were considered to be clearly above

control incidences. In males at 1200 ppm, the increase of haemangiosarcomas in the liver was
 considered small.

Ref.: 74

5 The study was discussed by several expert groups.

6 As the altered tumor profile was present at a dose exceeding the MTD and as 7 haemangiosarcoma is a common tumor in C57 mice, the findings of the study were first reevaluated by a pathology working group. Although no statistical analyses were presented, the 8 9 incidences of haemangiomas and of haemangiosarcomas (and combined incidences thereof) in 10 the liver and any sites of high dose male and female mice were considered to be clearly above 11 control incidences. In males at 1200 ppm, the increase of haemangiosarcomas in the liver was 12 considered a chance event. After statistical analysis of the same data, it was shown that when the MTD exceeding top-dose (4000 ppm) was excluded from any analyses, no statistically 13 observed in either males or females for haemangioma, 14 significant results were 15 haemangiosarcomas or total incidence of vascular tumors combined.

However, at 4000 ppm the incidence was increased in males and females but with statistically significance only in males. More recently, RAC stated that it would be unlikely to explain higher rates of vascular tumour in the liver by chance and that this study gives some evidence of carcinogenicity.

20

3 4

Ref.: 70, 37, 30

21

22

There exists another, older non-GLP and non-guideline carcinogenicity study in mice with low reliability due to the high fighting-related mortalities during the first 6 months. Groups of 30 male and 60 female Swiss-derived albino mice were fed diets containing 0, 500, 1000 or 5000 ppm PHMB (Baquacil SB (20 % aqueous PHMB solution), batches: SDC/596 and ADGM 5911), equivalent to 0, 100, 200 and 1000 ppm a.i. for one week prior to pairing and during mating. Feeding was continued for the females throughout pregnancy and lactation.

All offspring were weaned at 3 weeks of age. At 5 weeks of age, 50 males and 50 females were selected from each group. The offspring were fed the same diets as the parents throughout the experiment. After a further 80 weeks, 10 males and 10 females per group were killed for pathological examination. The experiment was terminated when the overall mortality had reached 80 %, 97 weeks after selection of offspring.

Mortality was high due to high fighting of the males. Mean body weight gain in females was dose-dependently decreased and absolute liver weights in males and females were increased at 1000 ppm (significant for females only). There were no treatment-related (non-neoplastic or neoplastic) increases in histopathologic findings. However, with respect to vascular tumours of concern, there were some treated animals bearing haemangiomas or haemangiosarcomas in the liver or at other sites.

Ref.: 18

- 40 41
- 42
- 43 Rat
- 44 A combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study performed in rats is described in section 45 3.3.5.3.
- There exists another, older non-GLP and non-guideline compliant long-term feeding study in rats which is of questionable reliability due to infections and less than 50 % survival at the end
- 48 of study. Groups of 60 male and 60 female rats of an unspecified strain were fed PHMB
- 49 (Baquacil SB, batches: SDC/596 and ADGM 5911, 20 % aqueous PHMB solution) at dose levels

1 of 0, 200, 1000 and 2000 ppm. The study was terminated at 124 weeks, when 80 % mortality 2 occurred. There were 2 outbreaks of infection. The respiratory infection at week 70 and week 3 103 caused a rise in the mortality rates. No observable clinical effects were produced by the 4 compound and apart from a slight anaemia in the top dose at 104 weeks, no adverse changes 5 were seen. The administration of Baguacil SB caused some growth depression in treated 6 animals. Long-term exposure to PHMB was not related to toxic or carcinogenic effects. 7 Haemangiomas were found at interim kills at week 52 in 1/12 male rats (mesenteric lymph 8 nodes) at 200 ppm and 1/12 male rats at 200 ppm (cervical lymph nodes), at interim kill at 9 104 weeks in 2/12 males at 1000 ppm (mesenteric lymph nodes) and in 1/8 females at 200 10 ppm (uterus) as well as at the end of study at week 124 in 1/20 males at 1000 ppm 11 (mesenteric lymph nodes), 1/19 males at 2000 ppm (spleen) and one haemangiosarcoma at 12 week 104 in 1/21 males at 2000 ppm (mesenteric lymph nodes). No vascular tumours were 13 seen in controls.

Ref.: 4

- 16 17
- 18 DERMAL
- 19

14 15

20 Guideline: Precedes quideline programmes

ethanol

- 21 Species/strain: Mouse, Alpk:APfCD-1
- 22 Group size: 50/sex/dose
- 23 Test substance: Baguacil SB, (20.0 % aqueous PHMB solution)
- 24 DC/596, ADGM 5911 Batch: S
- 25 Purity:
- 26 Vehicle:
- 27 Dose levels: 0, 0.6, 6.0, 30 mg/mouse/day (corresponding to about 0, 15, 150, 750 mg/kg bw/day)
- 28
- 29 Dose volume : dermal, non-occluded
- 30 Route:
- 31 Administration: daily, 80 weeks
- 32 GLP: precedes GLP
- 33 report dated 1977 Study period:
- 34

35 Aqueous PHMB formulated in ethanol was applied to the shorn backs of groups of mice at dose 36 levels of 0, 0.6, 6.0 or 30 mg/mouse/day (corresponding to approximately 0, 15, 150 or 750 37 mg/kg bw/day), 5 days per week for 80 weeks. Clinical observations (including ophthalmoscopy), bodyweights and food consumption were recorded. All animals were 38 39 subjected to a post-mortem examination. A full range of tissues were taken for 40 histopathological examination.

- 41 42
- 43 Results

44 The highest dose of 30 mg/mouse/day was considered as exceeding the MTD and led to irritant 45 effects on the skin and to a general poor condition, which was accompanied by body weight loss and a high incidence in mortality (76-78 % of animals dying prior to study termination). 46 47 There was only a transitory skin irritant effect on male mice receiving 6.0 mg/mouse/day and

some reduction in body weight gain. There was no effect at 0.6 mg/mouse/day. There was a 48

variety of inflammatory hepatic changes in all groups including controls, but at 30
 mg/mouse/day this was characterised by a severe hepatitis in some animals.

There was a slight increase in the incidence of liver tumors observed at 30 mg/mouse/day (four in the control and ten at 30 mg/mouse/day). This was statistically significant only in the case of liver tumors of endothelial origin (both benign and malignant; two in the control and six at 30 mg/mouse/day), when the data were pooled over the whole study period and both sexes. There was no evidence of such an effect in animals receiving 0.6 or 6.0 mg/mouse/day. An overall NOAEL of 0.6 mg/mouse/day corresponding to 15 mg/kg bw/d was derived from this study.

### 10

11

#### Ref.: 17

#### 12 SCCS conclusion on carcinogenicity

13 In a rat oral chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity assay, an increased incidence of haemangiosarcomas (statistically not significant) was observed at the highest dose of 2000 14 ppm PHMB. Recently it has been concluded (Ref. 30) that evidence from the chronic rat study 15 16 is not sufficient to demonstrate a clear treatment-related effect. In an oral study in mice, PHMB increased the incidence of vascular tumors, mainly in the liver. In a dermal study in mice, a 17 18 statistically significant increase in the incidence of haemangiosarcomas was observed in 19 females at the highest dose (750 mg/kg bw/d; considered to exceed MTD) tested. Based on the outcome of the above studies a harmonized classification as Carc 2 H351 (suspected of causing 20 cancer) has been assigned according to Annex VI of Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008. 21

22 23

24	3.3.9	Photo-induced toxicity
25		
26	3.3.9.1	Phototoxicity / photo-irritation and photosensitisation
27		
28		

The purpose of the study was to determine the safety of the test material following repeated applications to human panellists and exposure of the sites to natural Florida sunlight.

31		
32	Guideline:	Adaptation of the repeat insult patch test (RIPT) procedure of Draize
33	Species:	Human
34	Group size:	26 volunteers (13 males, 13 females, aged <21 - >60 years)
35	Test substance:	Baquacil SB (20 % aqueous PHMB solution)
36	Batch:	ADGM 3429 (4/2/75)
37	Vehicle:	Distilled water; 0.01 % SLS
38	Route:	occlusive epicutaneous application on the upper arm
39	Induction:	three times/week for 3 or 4 weeks for a total of 9 – 12 applications
40	Challenge:	6 weeks after initial application
41	Exposure duration:	24 h
42	Concentrations:	1 % PHMB
43	Light source:	Direct rays of mid-day sun
44	Light exposure:	immediately after patch removal, 1 hr
45	Skin readings:	during induction: prior to 2nd through 9th application and on Monday
46		following 9th application 48, 96 hr after application
47		after challenge: 48, 96 hr after application
48	GLP:	not stated

- 1 Study period: 1976
- 2

3 Volunteers received patch applications of 20 x 20 mm patches of 0.4 ml of an aqueous solution 4 of Baguacil SB corresponding to 1 % a.i., which had been supplemented by SLS (final 5 concentration of SLS: 0.01 %) in order to increase dermal penetration. Patches were applied to 6 the upper arms of the panellists three times per week for 3 or 4 successive weeks and removed 7 24 hr after each application. Immediately after patch removal, test sites were exposed for one hr to mid-day sun rays (panellists of the 3-week treatment started sun exposure in week 2 8 9 only). Reactions to all patches were scored before starting the second and the subsequent 10 applications (until the 9th application).

- 11 In week 6 of the test, challenge applications of the test material were made on fresh and 12 previously patched sites and reactions to challenge applications were scored 48 and 96 hr after 13 treatment.
- 14
- 15 Results

16 During the induction phase, erythema and oedema were observed at certain occasions in 5 17 panelists. After challenge exposure, no reactions were observed.

- 18
- 19

24

25

#### 20 SCCS comment

21 The SCCS does not consider HRIPT studies for determining sensitisation potential to be ethical. 22 From the results it can be concluded that Baguacil SB had some irritating but no 23 photosensitising potential.

Ref.: 44

#### 26 SCCS conclusion on phototoxicity

27 One non-standardised photo-sensitising test is available. No studies on photomutagenicity or 28 photoclastogenicity have been performed. Based on the available date, PHMB cannot be 29 considered as a photosensitising or phototoxic substance.

30 31

32

|--|

33 34 35

36 37

### 3.3.10 Human data

38 39

40 41 HRIPT Tests

/

- 42 43 Guideline: Insult patch test according to standards set by Japanese patch test study 44 group. 45 Species: Human 46 45 volunteers (17 males, 28 females) Group size: Cosmocil CQ (20 % aqueous PHMB solution)
- 47 Test substance: 0237
- 48 Batch:

- 1 Vehicle: Purified water
- 2 Concentrations: 0.3, 0.6 and 1.5 % a.i.

### 3 Route: Topical application to the medial surface of the upper arm

- 4 Exposure duration: 24 h
- 5 GLP: Yes
- 6 Study period: 2001

### 7

8 Finn-chamber plasters dosed with the indicated test concentrations were applied to the skin of 9 the medial part of the arm. The plasters were removed after 24 hr and skin reactions were 10 examined 30 min after exposure (24-hr reading) and 24 hr thereafter (48-hr reading).

- 11 Skin reactions were scored according to the "Standards set by the Japanese Patch Test Study
- 12 Group."
- 13
- 14 Results

Plaster dermatitis was observed in all test groups, including vehicle controls. Only the highest test material concentration caused skin reactions at both time points, whereas lower concentrations and vehicle produced only reactions at the 24 hr time point. Skin irritation indices of 6.6, 5.5, 5.5 and 8.8 were obtained for concentrations of 0 (vehicle control), 0.3, 0.6 and 1.5 % a.i. The study authors considered the test material free of undesirable primary skin irritation property as skin irritation indices of test material solutions were almost the same as the irritation index of vehicle control.

Ref.: 91

applied

### 24 SCCS comment

The test report was the English translation of a Japanese test report. The SCCS does not consider HRIPT studies for determining sensitisation potential to be ethical.

- As the contribution of plaster dermatitis cannot be clearly discerned from test-article induced dermatitis, no clear conclusions can be drawn from this study. It can be assumed that the test material is slightly irritating under the conditions of this test.
- 30

22

23

31 32

	32		
	33	Guideline:	HRIPT according to the method of Shelanski, 1951, Shelanski and
	34		Shelanski, 1953 and Stotts, 1980*)
	35	Species:	Human
	36	Group size:	191 of 209 volunteers completed
	37		49 in panel 1, 114 in panel 2, 28 in panel 3
	38	Test substance:	Vantocil IB (20 % aqueous PHBM solution)
	39	Batch:	Code Y 00156/001/005
,	40	Vehicle:	distilled water
ſ	41	Concentrations:	for induction: 2 % and 4 % a.i.
6	42	For challenge:	0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1 and 2 % a.i.
6	43	Route:	dermal (topical application to the dorsal surface of the upper arm)
ſ	44	Exposure duration:	24 hr
ſ	45	GLP:	not stated
	46	Study period:	1981
	47		
ſ	48	For induction, 2 x 2	cm patches moistened with 0.5 ml aliquots of sample dilutions were

49 to the dorsal surface of the upper arms for 24 hr. Induction patches were applied 3 times per

1 week for a total of 10 applications. Challenge patches were applied on the sixth week of the 2 test schedule (approximately 2 weeks after the last induction). Skin reactions were scored 3 during the induction period prior to the next application and during the challenge period at 48 4 and 96 hr after application on a scale with grades 0 – 5 (no clearly visible reaction – strong 5 reaction spreading well outside the test side, bullous reaction).

6 7 Panel 1

8 Induction was performed with 2 % a.i. for the first 6 patches, concentration was increased to 4 9 % due to low level of irritation. Due to skin reaction symptoms in some of the panellists, levels 10 were reduced again to 2 % afterwards. At challenge, 8 of 49 subjects (16 %) had skin 11 reactions at 2 % a.i., 7 of 49 subjects (14 %) had skin reactions at 1 % and 0.5 % PHMB and 2 12 subjects (4 %) subjects showed weak reactions at 0.1% PHMB.

13 14 Panel 2

15 Induction was performed in 114 subjects with 4 % a.i. as a first result of the irritation level 16 from the preliminary panel. As the number of cases of reactions increased in the preliminary 17 panel, the concentration was decreased to 2 % from the 4th patch until the end of the 18 induction phase. At challenge the subjects received concentrations of 0.05 %, 0.1 %, 0.2 % 19 and 0.5 % a.i. 18 of 114 subjects (16 %) showed skin reactions at 0.5 % a.i. and 7 out of 114 20 subjects (6 %) showed reactions at 0.2 % a.i. No reactions were observed at 0.1 % and 0.05 21 % a.i. The intensity of the reactions was generally lower compared to those observed in panel 22 1. Two other panellists had reactions which appeared during the incubation (rest) period as a 23 result of the 2 % induction but were negative to the four lower challenge concentrations -24 likely to be allergic to the 2 % concentration only. Ten other panellists gave indications of 25 sensitisation (probably weak) during late induction to 2 % and gave no reactions at challenge 26 to the four lower concentrations – probably allergic to the 2 % concentration only.

27 28 Panel 3

29 Induction was performed in 28 subjects with 2 % a.i. for the first 4-5 induction patches, the remaining induction patches were performed with distilled water only due to the results 30 31 obtained for panel 1. At challenge the subjects received concentrations of 0.05 %, 0.1 %, 0.2 32 % and 0.5 % a.i. One of 28 subjects (3.6 %) reacted to the highest challenge concentration of 33 0.5 % a.i., all other subjects gave negative results.

34 The study authors concluded that (a) Vantocil IB with a concentration of 2 % a.i. is not capable 35 of causing primary skin irritation and (b) Vantocil IB with a concentration of 2 % a.i. is capable of causing skin sensitisation in humans, which can be elicited at concentrations starting from 36 37 0.2 % a.i. (\*)Shelanski, H.A. (1951): J. Soc. Chem. 2, 324-331 Shelanski, H.A. and Shelanski, 38 M.V. (1053): Proc. Sci. Sect. Toilet Goods Assoc. 19, 46 Stotts, J. (1980): in "Current Concepts 39 in Cutaneous Toxicity", p. 41. 40

Ref.: 88

41

#### 42 SCCS comment

43 The SCCS does not consider HRIPT studies for determining sensitisation potential to be ethical. 44 PHMB can be considered as a skin sensitiser in humans in concentrations of at least 2 %.

- 45
- 46 47
- 48 Clinical patch tests, case reports and medical surveillance data
  - 49

1 The working group of Schnuch et al. conducted patch tests on a total of 1554 male and female 2 patients suspected to have contact allergies to medications and cosmetics in 1998, in 3 accordance to recommendations of the International Contact Dermatitis Research Group 4 (ICDRG) and the German Contact Dermatitis Research Group (DKG). The patients were 5 exposed to PHMB at 2.5 % in an aqueous solution. 389 patients were exposed for 1 day and 6 1165 for 2 days. The skin reactions were scored on day 3. Six patients (0.4 %) showed a 7 positive skin reaction. One of the reactions in a patient with atopic dermatitis may have been 8 false positive. The authors concluded that PHMB sensitisation can be considered to be 9 extremely rare.

10

11

27

33

34

Ref. 86

The same working group performed a follow-up study. PHMB received as Cosmocil CQ was 12 prepared for patch testing at concentrations of 2.5 % and 5 % PHMB in water. The 13 preparations were tested in parallel in 1975 unselected patients from 1 July to 31 December 14 2005. Frequencies of sensitisation (as % of patients tested) were calculated as crude 15 proportions and additionally standardised for sex and age. Ten patients (0.5 %) showed a 16 17 positive skin reaction to PHMB at 2.5 % and 16 patients (0.8 %) to PHMB at 5 %. However, the 18 authors assumed that probably at least 4 reactions at 2.5 % may be doubtful or irritant, i.e. 19 false positive, as they were not confirmed by simultaneous reactions to higher concentrations. 20 Potential causal exposures were assessed by a case-by-case analysis and by referring to 21 surrogate markers of exposure in terms of concomitant reactions. Occupational exposures were 22 identified as a probable cause of sensitisation. Further risk factors included leg dermatitis and 23 old age. In agreement with the previous study, the frequency of sensitisation remained very 24 low. The authors finally concluded that it is very unlikely that exposure to cosmetics or 25 personal care products may have played a role in the few cases where people were sensitised. 26 Ref.: 87

In agreement to the above studies, in an earlier study (apparently a meeting abstract which was not available to the SCCS), 2 out of 374 patients (0.5 %) reacted positively to PHMB patch tested at 2.5 % PHMB in water. (McFadden, 1998, cited in Ref. 30 and 86).

31 In addition, there are sporadic case reports on sensitisation to PHMB, such as, for example, 32 from wet wipes.

Ref.: SCCS Ref. VI

35 (ref: Leysen J, Goossens A, Lambert J, Aerts O (2014) Polyhexamethylene biguanide is a relevant skin sensitiser in wet wipes. Contact Dermatitis 70:323-325 Based on medical 36 37 surveillance information obtained between 2004 and 2007 from employees working with PHMB, 38 no case of skin sensitisation due to PHMB was reported. All manufacturing and laboratory 39 employees were offered complete medical evaluations on a regular basis depending on their 40 age. These were conducted every one to two years. In addition, employees who work with skin 41 sensitisers participated in the "Skin Sensitizer Medical Surveillance Program". These employees 42 were examined every six months for signs of skin sensitisation. Ref.: 38

43 44

#### 45 SCCS conclusions on human data

From HRIPT tests it can be concluded that a concentration of 2 % PHMB is capable of causing
skin sensitisation in humans which can be elicited at concentrations starting from 0.2 % a.i.
From reports on patch tests, PHMB sensitisation can be considered of low frequency (up to 0.5

49 % in dermatitis patients).

# 3.3.11 1 2 3 4 Mechanistic study in liver haemangiosarcoma induction (in vitro and in vivo) 5 6 In vitro 7 8 Guideline/Method: / 9 Test system: 10 11 12 13 Batch: 14 15 16 Co-cultivation 18 19 20 21 25 34 35

RAW 264.7 mouse macrophage lines Replicates: 2 - 3

Special investigations

Test substance: PHMB (not further specified) /

Concentrations: Cytotoxicity assay in SVEC4-10 cells: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 ppm

SVEC4-10 mouse endothelial +

Cytotoxicity assay in RAW 264.7: 0, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 ppm

17 0, 0.75, 1.0 ppm assays:

Reactive oxygen

species (ROS) assay:

0, 0.5, 0.75, 1 ppm Vehicle: Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM)

22 GLP:

23 2008 Study period:

24

RAW 264.7 mouse macrophages were co-cultured with SVEC-10 mouse liver endothelial cells in 26 experimental various conditions: pre-activation of macrophages with PHMB or 27 lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and/or co-culture in presence of PHMB. Endothelial cell proliferation 28 was analyzed by the incorporation of BrdU. Production of reactive oxygen species in 29 macrophages treated with PHMB was detected by measurement fluorescence intensity after 30 addition of dihydrorhodamine and by evaluation of TNFa and IL-6 in cell culture medium as quantified by ELISA. PHMB had no direct effect on liver endothelial cell proliferation and did not 31 32 activate macrophages and the presence of PHMB did not potentiate cell proliferation induced by 33 LPS-activated macrophages.

In vivo:

36 Guideline/method: /

- 37 Species/strain: Mouse, C57Bl
- 38 Group size: 5 males/ group
- 39 PHMB (not further specified) Test substance: /

no

No

40 Batch:

41 Dose levels: 0, 100, 200, 400, 1200, 4000 ppm

42 Route: oral (diet)

43 Exposure period: 7, 14 or 28 days

44 GLP:

45 Study period: 2008

46

47 Animals received PHMB containing diets as indicated. Immunohistochemical detection of 48 bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) in mouse liver was used to quantify cell proliferation in liver 49 endothelial cells. Liver hepatoxicity was assessed by measuring alanine aminotransferase and

1 aspartate aminotransferase in plasma obtained at sacrifice. Plasma endotoxin levels were 2 quantified using an endotoxin assay kit. Oxidative stress was measured by detection of 8-3 Hydroxydeoxyguanosine (OH8dG) in isolated DNA from livers. PHMB did not induce 4 hepatotoxicity at any concentration or time point. At 4000 ppm body weight was transiently 5 decreased and there was a thinning of the stomach wall but at 28 days of exposure, no effect 6 on body weight or liver weight was observed at any dose. PHMB increased cell proliferation in a 7 dose-related manner at 1200 and 4000 ppm. Cell proliferation was also increased at 1200 ppm 8 PHMB following 14 days exposure. PHMB increased plasmaendotoxin, a known activator of 9 macrophages, at 1200 and 4000 ppm for 28 days and at 100 and 200 ppm for 14 days, but not 10 for longer duration. 11 12 In vitro and in vivo results point to an indirect effect of PHMB on liver endothelial cells. The 13 increase in endothelial cell growth occurred with a threshold at 400 ppm and was dose related. Endotoxin-mediated activation of macrophages might be involved, but other mechanisms 14 15 cannot be excluded. 16 17 Ref.: 64 18 19 20 In vitro Mammalian cell transformation assay 21 22 Guideline: Mammalian cell transformation assay according to Styles, 1977 23 Test system: Baby hamster kidney fibroblasts (BHK21/C13) 24 quadruplicate plates, two independent experiments Replicates: 25 Test substance: Vantocil IB (19.6% aqueous PHMB solution) 26 Batch: ORG/42/78, ADGM 2253/77 27 Experiment I: +S9 mix: 0, 0.25, 2.5, 25, 250, 2500 µg/mL Concentrations: 28 Experiment II: +S9 mix: 0, 25, 250, 750, 1500, 3000 µg/mL 29 Vehicle: DMSO 30 Positive Controls: Experiment I: Benzidine: 0.25, 2.5, 25, 250, 2500 µg/mL 31 Experiment II: Acrylonitrile: 0, 0.23, 2.3, 23, 230, 2300 µg/mL 32 Negative controls: Vehicle +S9 mix, cell suspensions ±S9 mix 33 GLP: Yes 34 Study period: 1978 – 1979, report dated 1980 35 36 Vantocil IB, dissolved in DMSO, was investigated over a dose range of 0.25 - 2500 µg/mL in 37 experiment I and 25 – 3000 µg/mL in experiment II in quadruplicate cultures. All experiments 38 were conducted in the presence of S9 from livers of Aroclor 1254 induced Spraque-Dawley rats. 39 Negative controls consisted of the vehicle DMSO + S9 mix or of cell suspensions  $\pm$ S9 mix. 40 Benzidine and Acrylonitrile were used as positive controls in experiment I and II, respectively. 41 Cell suspensions were incubated at room temperature for 3 hr after addition of a metabolic 42 activation system and test solutions were added and incubated at room temperature for 3 hr. 43 After transfer to petri dishes, survival or transformation of cells was examined after an

- 44 incubation period of either 6 9 or 14 21 days in a humidified atmosphere.
- 45

46 Results

47 Cytotoxicity was observed in the survival and transformation assay at concentrations of 250
 48 μg/mL and above. The number of transformed cell colonies did not differ between plates
 49 containing the test substance and those containing the negative controls. The positive controls

Ref.: 118

#### 21 22 On the basis of the newly generated dermal penetration data, the "residual stratum corneum 23 + epidermis" fractions were not considered as contributing to the SED. The application of this 24 approach to PHMB is supported by the 9th Revision of the Notes of Guidance (SCCS, 2016), 25 stating that in the case of substances with very low dermal absorption and limited permeation, the epidermis may be excluded when it is demonstrated that no movement of the chemicals 26 27 from the skin reservoir to the receptor fluid occurs. With respect to potential contribution of exposure from non-cosmetic use to the overall exposure, the SCCS also takes into 28 29 consideration the EU Biocidal Products Committee (BPC) Opinion on PHMB. On the basis of the 30 final Opinion issued by the BPC on 16/17 June 2015, it is considered that the approval of any consumers' related biocidal application has a very low probability of being accepted. This is 31 32 further supported by the wording within the Biocidal Products Committee Opinion on the various product types. On this basis, the actual future consumer related exposure to PHMB is 33 34 considered in practical terms to be very low. The BPC Opinion has major implications for 35 potential consumer exposures and will result in a significant reduction and potential elimination 36 of such exposures by July 2017. With respect to interim as well as residual exposures, the 37 additional exposure from non-cosmetic use for the present to beyond July 2017 is estimated to

12 13 The applicant proposed assessing aggregate exposure to PHMB using refined aggregate exposure models based on real habits and practices data, probabilistic modelling techniques 14 15 that simulate amount per use data from full distributions and the presence and concentration of 16 PHMB for different product categories. Since a probabilistic approach for aggregate exposure 17 has not yet been agreed upon by the SCCS, a standard deterministic exposure assessment has 18 been carried out.

3.4 Exposure assessment

5 Ref.: 92 6 7 SCCS Comment 8 Only experiments with S9-mix were performed. No conclusion can be drawn from this study.

9 10

19

20

1

2

3

4

concentrations.

## 11

#### 3.5 Safety evaluation (including calculation of the MoS)

#### be 0.00161 mg/kg bw in the worst-case scenerio. Even if this amount is added to the systemic exposure from cosmetic use, the risk assessment will still result in an acceptable Margin of

- 40 Safety (MoS) of 227. The use of PHMB in contact lens care solutions falls under the Medical Device Regulations. PHMB may be present in these solutions at 1-2 ppm (0.0001-0.0002%). 41 PHMB has a small market share in this application and coupled with the very low levels of 42 43 PHMB present, the overall contribution to consumer exposure is considered to be insignificant
- 44 for this product.
- 45

38

39

46

induced transformed colonies demonstrating the sensitivity and suitability of the test system.

The study authors concluded that Vantocil IB did not induce cell transformations in baby

hamster kidney fibroblasts (BHK21/C13) in the presence of S9-mix when tested up to cytotoxic

# 71

1 2	CALCULATION OF THE MARGIN OF SAFETY	
2 3	CALCULATION OF THE MARGIN OF SAFETY	
4	(Dap= dermis+ receptor fluid+ 1SD)	
5	Absorbtion through the skin $\mathbf{DAn}(0)$ –	4 00 %
6 7	Absorption through the skin DAp $(\%) =$	4.09 %
8	Amount of cosmetic product applied daily A (g/d) = Concentration of ingredient in finished product C (%)=	17.4 g/d 0.1%
8 9	Typical body weight of human =	60 kg
.0	Systemic exposure dose (SED) =	OU KY
.0	A $(g/d) \times 1000 \text{ mg/g x C} (\%)/100 \text{ x Dap} (\%)/100 /60 =$	0.012 mg/kg bw/d
.2	No adverse observed effect level NOAEL =	36 mg/kg bw/d
.2	(104 week oral rat study)	So mg/kg bw/u
.4	Corrected NOAEL based on 8.5 % oral absorption =	3.1 mg/kg bw/d
5		5.1 mg/kg bw/u
16	MOS = Corrected NOAEL/SED	
L7		
.8	Margin of Safety adjusted NOAEL/SEI	D = 258
9		
20	+ exposure from non-cosmetic use	
21		
22		
23	Absorption through the skin DAp (%) =	4.09 %
24	Amount of cosmetic product applied daily A (g/d) =	17.4 g/d
25	Concentration of ingredient in finished product C (%)=	0.1%
26	Typical body weight of human =	60 kg
27	Systemic exposure dose (SED) =	
28	A (g/d) x 1000 mg/g x C (%)/100 x Dap (%)/100 /60 =	0.012 mg/kg bw/d
29	+ exposure form non-cosmetic use : 0.00161 mg/kg/d =	0.01361 mg/kg bw/d
30	No adverse observed effect level NOAEL =	36 mg/kg bw/d
81	(104 week oral rat study)	
32	Corrected NOAEL based on 8.5 % oral absorption =	3.1 mg/kg bw/d
33		
34	MOS = Corrected NOAEL/SED	
35		
36		·
37	Margin of Safety adjusted NOAEL/SEI	D = 227
38		
39	3.6 Discussion:	

## 41 *Physico-chemical properties*42

PHMB is a polymer which in neat form represents a solid/powder of > 94.2 % purity. It has a
water solubility of around 40 % and is usually marketed as an appr. 20 % aqueous solution.
Average molecular weights in the range between 2670 and 4216 Da have been reported. Up to
6% of the commercially used mixture is present as monomers, i.e. as constituent with a
molecular weight below 500 g/mol.

#### 1 Function and uses

2

PHMB is supported under Directive 98/8/EC for uses as a disinfectant and it is used as a
preservative and as an antimicrobial agent. As a preservative, PHMB is used in cosmetics,
personal care products, fabric softeners, contact lens solutions, hand washes, and more.

6 In cosmetics, PHMB is used as a broad-spectrum preservative. It is freely water soluble and 7 therefore widely used in water-based products which are most susceptible to microorganism 8 growth. It has an excellent activity against a wide range of Gram positive and Gram negative 9 bacteria, fungi and yeasts and is particularly effective against difficult to control 10 microorganisms such as *Pseudomonas species*.

PHMB is also used to preserve wet wipes; to control odour in textiles; to prevent microbial contamination in wound irrigation and sterile dressings; to disinfect medical/dental utensil and trays, farm equipment, animal drinking water, and hard surfaces for food handling institutions and hospitals; and to deodourise vacuums and toilets. PHMB is used in antimicrobial hand washes and rubs and air filter treatments as an alternative to ozone.

16 PHMB is also used as an active ingredient for recreational water treatment, as a chlorine-free 17 polymeric sanitiser, which is effective against a wide variety of microorganisms.

Further reported uses of PHMB are purification of swimming pool water, beer glass sanitisation,solid surface disinfection in breweries and short-term preservation of hides and skins.

20

#### 21

#### 22 Toxicological Evaluation

23 24

#### 25 Acute toxicity

26

From two acute oral toxicity studies performed with PHMB in rats, an LD<sub>50</sub> value of 1049 mg/kg bw was reported in one of the studies and LD<sub>50</sub> values of 549 mg/kg bw for males and 501 mg/kg bw for females were reported for the other study. Based on these values, PHMB can be considered of moderate acute oral toxicity; classification as Acute Tox 4 H302 (harmful if swallowed) is justified.

Two acute dermal toxicity tests have been performed. No deaths occurred up to dose levels of 5000 mg/kg bw/d. Thus it can be concluded that PHMB is not acutely toxic by the dermal pathway.

35 Two acute inhalation toxicity studies have been performed which were not available to the SCCS for evaluation. No fatal cases in Wistar rats were observed when exposed by inhalation to 36 37 0.1% PHMB. Recently, RAC has identified one of the studies leading to LC50 values of 0.29 38 mg/l for males, 0.48 mg/l for females and 0.37 mg/l for males and females combined as key 39 study thus warranting classification as Acute Tox 2 H330 (fatal if inhaled) and indicating acute 40 inhalation toxicity. According to the rules on classification of mixtures (see ECHA Guidance on 41 the application of CLP criteria (SCCS Ref. III)) and under the assumption that all other 42 ingredients present in the finished cosmetics products can be considered as being not acutely 43 toxic; classification for a mixture containing 0.1 % PHMB would not be warranted.

44 45

#### 46 Irritation and corrosivity

47

PHMB can be considered as irritating to skin. Whereas neat PHMB is corrosive to the eye, 20 %
solutions of PHMB can be considered as moderately irritating to the eye. PHMB can also be

1 considered irritating to the respiratory tract leading to 50 % reduction of respiratory rate at 264 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

3 4

# 5 **Skin sensitisation** 6

Based on various guinea pig maximization- and Buehler tests, PHMB can be considered as a moderate to strong sensitiser in animals. The threshold for eliciting skin reactions or elicitation in guinea pigs is approximately 1 %. From HRIPT tests it can be concluded that a concentration of 2 % PHMB is capable of causing skin sensitisation humans which can be elicited at concentrations starting from 0.2 % a.i. From reports on patch tests PHMB sensitisation in humans can be considered of low frequency (up to 0.5 % in dermatitis patients).

13 The SCCS notes that classification as Skin Sens 1B H317 according to CLP criteria is currently 14 proposed.

- 15
- 16

#### 17 Dermal Absorption

18

The newly submitted studies on percutaneous penetration of PHMB were in compliance with SCCS requirements and GLP principles. The substance was tested in two representative cosmetic formulations: aqueous micellar solution and in oil in water emulsion. An additional extended observation time of 72 h was performed. The study showed that there was no movement of the PHMB from the skin reservoir to the receptor fluid. For that reason the amount found in the epidermis should not be taken into the final calculation of the bioavailable dose. This is in line with SCCS/1564/15.

SCCS notes that tape stripping was performed with 5 tape strips as advised. The lower layers of stratum corneum were left and taken together into calculation with the rest of the epidermis.

28 The chosen cut-off value of 4 k $\Omega$  for barrier function assessment is not of common practice 29 (threshold of 10 k $\Omega$  is normally used) and may lead to an overestimation of the results.

30

#### 31

### 32 **Toxicokinetics**

33

34 From a properly conducted oral (gavage) single dose toxicokinetic study using different 35 molecular weight fractions of PHMB, the low molecular weight fraction might be considered as the most bioavailable fraction of which males excreted 7.8 % via urine and 94.1 % via feces 36 37 and females excreted 2.6 % via urine and 93.5 % via feces. In tissues, highest amounts of 38 radioactivity were found in the livers and kidneys. The residual carcasses contained 0.22 and 39 0.28 % of the dose. From this study, up to 8.5 % of the applied radioactivity might be 40 considered bioavailable (sum of urinary excretion and radioactivity in tissues and residual 41 carcass at study termination).

In a repeated dose dietary study, the principle route of excretion was also feces. Urinary excretion amounted to 2.3 % and bioavailability amounted to 4.7 %. The major parts of radioactivity were excreted during the first 24 hr and excretion was virtually complete within 72 hr. The SCCS does not consider PHMB to belong to substances with low bioavailability as absorption percentages of total radioactivity up to 8.5 % were observed in oral studies.

The SCCS notes, that absorption rates up to 8.5 % of the applied dose are quite high for a polymeric substance. However, as proper structural identification has neither been performed in the oral TK nor in the dermal absorption studies (only total radioactivity has been 1 determined in these studies), high absorption rate for the polymer could be due to its 2 degradation products.

3 4

5

6

### Repeated dose toxicity

Repeated dose toxicity studies using different durations (3 weeks up to 2 years) and different
application routes (oral – drinking water; oral – diet; dermal; inhalation) have been performed
in different animal species (rat, mouse, Beagle dog).

10 Short-term and subchronic oral studies mainly served as range finder for studies of longer 11 duration. Subchronic dietary studies performed in rats and mice caused reductions in body 12 weight and effects on weight gain. In rats, the kidney was identified as the target organ; there 13 were changes in renal function as well as changes in some plasma parameters. However, 14 interpretation of the dietary subchronic studies was hampered by limited histopathologic 15 examination.

16 In a dietary 12-month study in Beagle dogs, a NOAEL of 54 mg/kg bw was derived. At the 17 highest dose tested there were adverse effects on scrotal skin, indications of liver impairment 18 and histopathological findings in skin, liver and – for males only – in kidneys and testes. In a 19 dietary 2-year rat study NOAELs of 36 and 45 mg/kg bw/d were derived for male and female 20 animals, respectively. At the highest dose tested, most prominent findings were reductions in 21 body weight, changes in plasma parameters, the incidence of hepatocyte fat and spongiosis 22 hepatis. Further, the incidence of haemangiosarcomas was increased at the highest dose 23 tested.

24

# 2526 *Reproductive toxicity*

27 28 In an oral chronic study in Beagle dogs, histopathologic changes in testes were observed at the 29 highest dose tested (169 mg/kg bw/d, reduced to 108 mg/kg bw/d). In a 2- and a 3-30 generation reproductive toxicity study in rats, no adverse effects on the reproductive 31 parameters addressed were observed. No evidence of foetal/developmental toxicity 32 independent from maternal toxicity was observed in developmental toxicity studies in rats and 33 rabbits. There were indications for retarded ossification at 20 and 40 mg/kg bw/d in a 34 developmental toxicity study in mice, which was not considered an adverse effect by the SCCS. 35

36

#### 37 *Mutagenicity / genotoxicity*

38

The bacterial reverse mutation test is considered not suitable to be used as PHMB is a bactericide. PHMB was negative in a well performed gene mutation assay in mammalian cells and in an *in vitro* chromosome aberration test in human lymphocytes. An *in vitro* micronucleus test was also negative but only short treatment times were used. The negative results found in *in vitro* tests were confirmed under *in vivo* conditions as PHMB did not lead to the induction of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes in mice. These negative results in the *in vivo* micronucleus test were further supported by a negative *in vivo* UDS test.

Consequently, based on the available data PHMB can be considered to have no genotoxicpotential and additional tests are unnecessary.

- 48
- 49 When the purity of PHMB used is considered, 6% of monomer is present.

1 The exposure to the monomer in a 20% dilution of PHMB with an absorption value of 4.09 % is  $0.00668 \mu g/kg bw/d = 6.68 ng/kg bw/d$ .

Both monomers from which PHMB has been synthetised are present in the mixture < 500 daltons. Worse case assumption would be that only these monomers are present. Both are non-genotoxic. For a substance of Cramer Class III without genotoxicity alerts, a TTC value of 90  $\mu$ g/person/d, corresponding to 1.5  $\mu$ g/kg bw/d, is recommended in the SCCS Notes of Guidance.

8 9

# Carcinogenicity 11

12 rat oral chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity assay, an increased incidence In a of haemangiosarcomas (statistically not significant) was observed at the highest dose of 2000 13 ppm PHMB. Recently it has been concluded by ECHA's RAC committee that evidence from the 14 15 chronic rat study is not sufficient to demonstrate a clear treatment-related effect. In an oral 16 study in mice, PHMB increased the incidence of vascular tumors, mainly in the liver. In a 17 dermal study in mice, a statistically significant increase in the incidence of haemangiosarcomas 18 was observed in females at the highest dose (750 mg/kg bw/d; considered to exceed MTD) 19 tested. Despite the weak evidence of the carcinogenic potential of PHMB, RAC recently concluded that classification as Carc 2 H351 (suspected of causing cancer) according to CLP 20 21 would be appropriate.

22 23

31

33 34 35

### 24 *Photo-induced toxicity*25

No studies on photomutagenicity or photoclastogenicity have been performed. PHMB cannot be
considered as a photosensitiser.

29 30 Human data

32 See sensitisation.

Special investigation

- 36 37 **/**
- 38
- 39 Safety evaluation
- 40
- 41 SCCS used repeated dose toxicity for NOAEL setting and did not make a calculation based on 42 carcinogenic effects as PHMB is not a genotoxic carcinogen.
- 43 44

### 2 4. CONCLUSION

3

4

5

6

1

1. In light of the new data provided, does the SCCS consider Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) safe when used as preservative in all cosmetic products up to a maximum concentration of 0.1%?

In the previous Opinion, the SCCS stated that the Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) is
not safe up to maximal concentration of 0.3% and the safe use could be based on a
lower use concentration and/or restrictions with regard to cosmetic products' categories.
In order to ensure the safe use of PHMB at a concentration lower than 0.3%, the
applicant presented new dermal absorption studies on additional representative
cosmetic formulations.

- Based on the data provided, the SCCS is of the opinion that the use of Polyaminopropyl
  Biguanide (PHMB) as a preservative in all cosmetic products up to 0.1% is safe.
- 15
- Alternatively, taking into account the EU market data available, does the SCCS consider
   Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) safe when used as preservative up to a maximum
   concentration of 0.1% in all cosmetic products with the exclusion of those products
   categories (body lotion, hand cream and oral care) in which this ingredient is seldom
   used?

Not applicable.

22 23 24

25

26

21

- *3. According to the data available, does the SCCS consider Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) safe for use in sprayable formulations up to a maximum concentration of 0.1%?*
- As no new safety data on inhalation is available on PHMB, its use in sprayable formulations is not advised.
- 29
- 304. Does the SCCS have any further scientific concerns with regard to the use of31Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) in cosmetic products?
- 32 The SCCS does not have any further concerns.

33

#### 34 5. MINORITY OPINION

- 35
- 36 37

/

#### 1 6. REFERENCES

2

3 1. Anderson D, Cross MF (1981) Vantocil P: Mutation assay using P388 mouse lymphoma 4 cells, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, 5 Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/622, unpublished data, CBI1, 27 January 6 1981 7 1 CBI = Confidential Business Information 8 9 2. ANSES (2013) CLH report, Proposal for harmonised classification and labelling, Polyaminopropyl biguanide or Poly(hexamethylene) biguanide hydrochloride or PHMB, 10 CAS No. 27083-27-8 or 32289-58-0, ANSES (on behalf of the French MSCA), Maison-11 12 Alfort, France, May 2013 13 14 3. Bailey DE (1976) Teratology evaluation of IL-780 in rabbits, Food & Drug Research 15 Laboratories, Waverley, New York, USA, Report No.: FDRL 5022, unpublished data, 16 CBI, 06 August 1976 17 18 4. Berry DF, Gore CW, Iswaren TJ, Phillips CE, Weight TM (1977) Polyaminopropyl 19 biguanide: Long term feeding study in the rat, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central 20 Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/333, unpublished data, CBI, 27 July 1977 21 22 23 5. Blake J (2003) Product Chemistry and Phys/chemical characteristics study for EPA, 24 Grangemouth solid PHMB, Analytical Science Group, Blackley, Manchester, UK, Project 25 1273537, unpublished data, CBI, 30 January 2003 26 27 6. Bowhill L (2007) PHMB, Determination of n-Octanol:Water Partition Coefficient, 28 InterTek Analytical Science Group, Blackley, Manchester, UK, Study 1304881, 29 unpublished data, CBI, 14 June 2007 30 31 7. Brammer A (1992) Polyaminopropyl biguanide PHMB: Dose range-finding study in the rabbit, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, 32 33 Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/L/5052, unpublished data, CBI, 18 34 December 1992 35 36 8. Brammer A (1993a) Polyaminopropyl biguanide PHMB: Dose range-finding study in the 37 pregnant rabbit, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/T/2821, unpublished data, CBI, 05 38 May 1993 39 40 41 9. Brammer A (1993b) Polyaminopropyl biguanide PHMB: Development Toxicity Study in

the Rabbit, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, 42

1	Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/3997, unpublished data, CBI, 14 July 1993
2 3 4 5 6	10. Bratt H, Hathway DE (1975) Characterisation of the Urinary Polymer-related Material from Rats given Poly[biguanide-1,5-diylhexamethylene hydrochloride, Makromol. Chem., 177, 2591-2605
7 8 9 10 11	11. Brown EA (2002a) Historical control data for occurrence of haemangiosarcoma (angiosarcoma) in Alpk:ApfSD Wistar rats at Syngenta Central Toxicology Laboratory Alderley Park Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Avecia Inc. Report No. AP-5, unpublished data, CBI, 28 June 2002
12 13 14 15 16	12. Brown EA (2002b) Historical control data for occurrence of haemangiosarcoma (angiosarcoma) in C57BL/10J/Alpk mice at Syngenta Central Toxicology Laboratory Alderley Park Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Avecia Inc. Report No. AP-1, unpublished data, CBI, 01 July 2002
17 18 19 20 21 22	13. Busey WM (1996) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: Two Year Feeding Study in rats - Pathology Working Group Peer Review of Proliferative Vascular Lesions in Male and Female Rats, Central Toxicology Laboratory (CTL) Study Number PR0936 and Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. (EPL) Project Number 371-002. EPL, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA, Report No.: CTL/C/3172, unpublished data, CBI, 13 May 1996
23 24 25 26 27	14. Callander RD (1989) Vantocil IB (PHMB): An evaluation in the Salmonella Mutation Assay, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/2406, unpublished data, CBI, 07 June 1989
28 29 30 31	15. Carboni M, Falchi AM, Lampis S, Sinico C, Manca ML, Schmidt J, Talmon Y, Murgia S, Monduzzi M (2013) Physicochemical, Cytotoxic, and Dermal Release Features of a Novel Cationic Liposome Nanocarrier. Adv. Healthcare Mater. , 692–701
31 32 33 34 35 36	16. Carney IF, Gore CW, Iswaran TJ, Murphy JA, Riley RA (1976) Vantocil IB: Sub acute inhalation study, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No.: CTL/T/983, unpublished data, CBI, February 1976
30 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	17. Chang S (2008) Determination of the vapour pressure of Polyaminopropyl biguanide (PHMB), Arch Chemicals Inc., Cheshire, USA, unpublished data, CBI, 25 September 2008 18. Clapp MJL, Iswaran TJ, Major P (1977a) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: 80-week skin painting study in mice, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/331, unpublished data, CBI, March 1977

1 2	19. Clapp MJL, Iswaran TJ, Rowson SM, Major TM (1977b) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: Life-time feeding study in the mouse, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology
2	Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/332,
4	unpublished data, CBI, 07 July 1977
5	unpublished data, CDI, 07 July 1977
6	20. Clowes HM (1996) PHMB: In vitro Absorption through Human Epidermis, Imperical
7	Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield,
8	Cheshire, UK. Report No.: CTL/P/5120, unpublished data, CBI, 06 September 1996
9	Dossier on the Safety of PHMB in Cosmetic Products Page 128 of
10	Dossier on the Safety of I Third in Cosmence I folders I age 128 of
11	21. Clowes HM (1998) PHMB: In vitro Absorption through Human Epidermis at Spa
12	Temperature, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley
13	Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No.: CTL/P/5916, unpublished data, CBI, 04
14	June 1998
15	Jule 1770
16	22. Cohen SM (2009) Summary of carcinogenicity evidence for RAC, unpublished data, CBI,
17	22. Concert Sive (2009) Summary of careniogenerity evidence for Rive, unpublished data, CBF, 22 September 2009
18	22 September 2007
19	23. Creme Global Ltd (2016). PHMB Exposure Project – Aggregate Exposure to PHMB in
20	Cosmetics and Personal Care Products. Dublin, Ireland, 18 February 2016
21	Cosmones and Personal Care Products. Duolin, netand, 10 Petruly 2010
22	24. DeMatteo VA (2008) Preliminary analysis of technical grade Polyaminopropyl biguanide
23	(PHMB), 120-08B10PHMB, unpublished data, CBI, 19 December 2008
24	
25	25. Dey S, Rothe H, Page L, O'Connor R, Farahmand S, Toner F, Marsh R, Wehmeyer K,
26	Zhou S (2015) An <i>in vitro</i> Skin Penetration Model for Compromised Skin: Estimating
27	Penetration of Polyethylene Glycol [14 C]-PEG-7 Phosphate. Skin Pharmacol Physiol 28,
28	12–21
29	
30	26. Driscoll R (2003a) Acute Oral Toxicity in the Rat - Up and Down Procedure using PHMB.
31	SafePharm Laboratories, Ltd. Shardlow Business Park, London Road, Shardlow,
32	Derbyshire, England. Report No: 780/273, unpublished data, CBI, 07 March 2003
33	
34	27. Driscoll R (2003b) Acute Dermal Toxicity (Limit Test) in the Rat. SafePharm
35	Laboratories, Ltd. Shardlow Business Park, London Road, Shardlow, Derbyshire, England.
36	Report No: 780/274, unpublished data, CBI, 07 March 2003
37	
38	28. Driscoll R (2003c) Acute Dermal Irritation in the Rabbit. SafePharm Laboratories, Ltd.
39	Shardlow Business Park, London Road, Shardlow, Derbyshire, England. Report No:
40	780/275, unpublished data, CBI, 07 March 200.
41	-
42	29. Driscoll R (2003d) Acute Eye Irritation in the Rabbit. SafePharm Laboratories, Ltd.
43	Shardlow Business Park, London Road, Shardlow, Derbyshire, England. Report No:

1 2	780/276, unpublished data, CBI, 07 March 2003
3 4 5 6	30. Duerden L (1993) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: Skin sensitisation to the guinea pig of a 20% aqueous solution of PHMB, Zeneca Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK, Report No: CTL/P/3889, unpublished data, CBI, 10 February 1993
7 8 9 10 11 12	31. Dugard PH, Mawdsley SJ (1982) [14C]-Polyaminopropyl biguanide (PHMB): Absorption through human epidermis and rat skin <i>in vitro</i> , Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No.: CTL/R/579, unpublished data, CBI, 15 October 1982
13 14 15 16 17	32. ECHA (2011a) Committee for Risk Assessment, RAC, Opinion proposing harmonised classification and labelling at Community level for Polyaminopropyl biguanide or Polxy(hexamethylene) biguanide hydrochloride or PHMB, European Chemical Agency, ECHA/RAC/CLH-O-0000001973-68-01/F, 09 September 2011
18 19 20 21 22	33. ECHA (2011b) Committee for Risk Assessment, RAC, Annex 1, Background document to the Opinion proposing harmonised classification and labelling at EU level for Polyaminopropyl biguanide or Polxy(hexamethylene) biguanide hydrochloride or PHMB, European Chemical Agency, ECHA/RAC/CLH-O-0000001973-68-01/A1, 09 September 2011
23 24 25 26 27 28	34. ECHA (2011c) Committee for Risk Assessment, RAC, Annex 2, Response to comments document (RCOM) to the Opinion proposing harmonised classification and labelling at EU level for Polyaminopropyl biguanide or Polxy(hexamethylene) biguanide hydrochloride or PHMB, European Chemical Agency, ECHA/RAC/CLH-O-0000001973-68-01/A2, 09 September 2011
29 30 31 32	35. EFSA (2008) European Food Safety Agency. Note for guidance – Food Contact Materials. Updated on 30/07/2008
33 34 35	35'. EFSA, Guidance on Dermal Absorption1 EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR), EFSA Journal 2012;10(4):2665, 2012.
36 37 38 39	36. Elks JM, Hope PA (1972) Vantocil IB: Subacute dermal toxicity study in the rabbit, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No.: CTL/P/22, unpublished data, CBI, 01 May 1972
40 41 42	37. Ellwood M (2016a). Assessment of consumer exposure to Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB) arising from biocidal applications. Lonza, 18th May 2016
43	38. Ellwood M (2016b). Estimate of PHMB EU sales for direct consumer biocidal applications.

1 Lonza, 15th March 2016

2 3 39. Evans DP (1981a) Baquacil SB: Mouse Teratology Study (CTL/P/335): Re-evaluation of 4 skeletal variants incorporating historical control data, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central 5 Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK, Report No: 6 CTL/P/335, unpublished data, CBI, 24 April 1981 7 8 40. Evans DP (1981b) Baquacil SB: Mouse Teratology Study (CTL/P/335): Historical control 9 data and clarification of start date, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/335, 10 11 unpublished data, CBI, 15 May 1981 12 13 41. Field BP (1991) VANTOCIL P, Chemical characterisation of representative material, 14 Analytical Science Group, Blackley, Manchester, UK, Project 0177, unpublished data, 15 CBI, 29 August 1991 16 17 42. Field BP (1994) VANTOCIL P, Chemical characterisation of representative material: 18 ADDENDUM No 1 to project 0177 (1994): Discussion of impurities, Analytical Science 19 Group, Blackley, Manchester, UK, Project 0177, unpublished data, CBI, 12 July 1994 20 21 43. Freemantle MR (2002) Polyaminopropyl biguanide (PHMB): Two year Oncogenic Study in Mice. Statistical analysis of the result from the Pathology Working Group peer review 22 23 of Vascular lesions in male and female mice. Supplemental info for CTL/P/4649, Avecia 24 Ltd., Hexagon House, Blackley, Manchester, UK, Report No.: AP-7, unpublished data, 25 CBI, 28 June 2002 26 27 44. Geimer PN (2007) PHMB – Medical Surveillance Program for Skin Sensitizer, Arch 28 Chemicals, Norwalk, CT, USA, unpublished data, CBI, 23 April 2007 29 30 45. Geis PA (2006) Purpose of Cosmetic Preservation. Pharmaceutical Microbiology Forum Newsletter – Vol. 12 (9), 6, CRP2 31 32 33  $_2$  CRP = Copy right protected 34 46. Greim H (2011) Minority opinion regarding the classification on the Carc. 2 classification 35 (GHS) of PHMB, 18 October 2011 36 37 47. Griffiths D, Hayes MJ, McElligot TF (1966a) Antibacterial 9073: Ninety-day oral toxicity of Antibacterial 9073 – Albino rats, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology 38 39 Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No.: CTL/R/199, 40 unpublished data, CBI, 10 August 1966 41

- 42 48. Griffiths D, Hayes MJ, McElligot TF (1966b) Antibacterial 9073: Ninety-day oral toxicity 43 of Antibacterial 9073 Beagle dogs Imperical Chemical Industries Central Toxicology
- 43 of Antibacterial 9073 Beagle dogs, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology

1 2 2	Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No.: CTL/R/202, unpublished data, CBI, 09 September 1966
3 4	49. Guy RH (2016). Percutaneous Absorption of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB) from
5	Cosmetic Formulations. Expert Opinion, 28th March 2016
6 7	50. Harmand MF (2002) In-vitro mammalian cell gene mutation test, Phycher Bio
8	développement, Cestas, France for Labaratoire Pareva, St Martin De Crau, France, Report
9	No. 2002-DKY543-3/Endorsement No. 1, unpublished data, CBI, 05 September 2002
10	51 Hasterell DM McCasser DD (1070) Testing for motor onic estimites in C 1 11
11 1 2	51. Hastwell RM, McGregor DB (1979) Testing for mutagenic activity in <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> , Compound Y0156/001/002, IRI Project No. 411156, Inveresk Research
12 13	International, Edinburgh, Scotland for Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology
13 14	Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/C/1720,
15	unpublished data, CBI, June 1979
16	52. Hink G (1976) Baquacil SB (PHMB): Photo-reaction Patch Test Using Natural Sunlight.
17	Hill Top Research, Ohio, USA. Report No.: CTL/C/2163, unpublished data, CBI, 10 June
18	1976
19	
20	53. Hodge MCE, Iswaran TJ, Palmer S (1977) Baquacil SB: Teratogenicity study in the
21	mouse, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park,
22	Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/335, unpublished data, CBI, April 1977
23	
24	54. Hodge MCE, Palmer S (1976) Baquacil SB: A Teratology Study in the Rat by Dietary
25	Administration, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley
26	Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/262, unpublished data, CBI, July
27	1976
28	
29	55. Horner SA (1992a) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: 28-day drinking water study in rats,
30	Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park,
31	Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/L/4428, unpublished data, CBI, 28 April
32	1992
33	56 House CA (1002h) Delevering and history idea 20 days deinhing and the in article
34 25	56. Horner SA (1992b) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: 28-day drinking water study in mice,
35	Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/L/4429, unpublished data, CBI, 28 April
36 27	1992
37 38	1372
39	57. Horner SA (1992c) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: An investigation of its palatability to the
40	mouse in drinking water, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory,
41	Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/L4843, unpublished data,
42	CBI, 25 August 1992
43	

58. Horner SA (1993a) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: 90-day oncogenic sighting study in rats, 1 Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, 2 3 Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No.: CTL/T/2824, unpublished data, CBI, 14 May 4 1993 5 6 59. Horner SA (1993b) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: 90-day oncogenic sighting study in mice, 7 Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, 8 Dossier on the Safety of PHMB in Cosmetic Products Page 131 of 135 9 10 60. Horner SA (1995) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: 1-Year Dietary Toxicity Study in the Dog, 11 Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/4488, unpublished data, CBI, 10 February 12 13 1995 14 15 61. Horner SA (1996) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: Two-Year Feeding Study in Rats, 16 Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, 17 Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No.: CTL/P/4663, unpublished data, CBI, 05 June 18 1996 19 20 62. Howard C (1989) Vantocil IB (PHMB): An Evaluation in the in vitro Cytogenetic Assay in 21 Human Lymphocytes, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, 22 Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/2582, unpublished data, 23 CBI, 26 July 1989 24 25 63. Jackson SJ (1979a) Vantocil P: Acute Oral and Dermal Toxicity in the Rat and Rabbit. Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, 26 Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No.: CTL/T/1361, unpublished data, CBI, 19 27 28 September 1979 29 30 64. Jackson SJ (1979b) Vantocil P: Skin corrosivity study, Imperical Chemical Industries, 31 Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No.: 32 CTL/T/1362, unpublished data, CBI, 15 November 1979 33 34 65. Jackson SJ (1980a) Vantocil P: Skin Irritation Study in the Rabbit, Imperical Chemical 35 Industries, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK 36 Report No.: CTL/T/1409, unpublished data, CBI, 21 February 1980 37 38 66. Jackson SJ (1980b) Vantocil IB: Skin Sensitisation Studies, Imperical Chemical Industries, 39 Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No.: 40 CTL/T/1423, unpublished data, CBI, 18 July 1980 41 42 67. Jackson SJ (1983b) Vantocil IB and chlorohexidine gluconate: potential for crossreactivity in a skin sensitization study, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central 43

1 2 2	Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No.: CTL/T/1953, unpublished data, CBI, 15 June 1983
3 4 5 6 7 8	68. Jackson SJ, Oliver GJA (1983a) Vantocil IB: The effect of variation in induction concentration on skin sensitization in the guinea pig, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No.: CTL/T/1952, unpublished data, CBI, 27 January 1983
9 10 11 12	69. Jondahl KB (1976a) Studies of Vantocil [C14] in Rat and Human Skin, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No.: D8/35, unpublished data, CBI, 03 March 1976
12 13 14 15 16 17	70. Jondahl KB (1976b) Whole Body Autoradiography of Mice Treated with Vantocil [C14] Radionuklidlaboratorlot, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No.: 006, unpublished data, CBI, 03 March 1976
18 19	71. Kabara JJ (1984) <i>Cosmetic and Drug Preservation</i> : Orinciples and Practise (Cosmetic Science and Technology Series, Dekker M Inc., New York, NY USA (1984) pp 631
20 21 22 23	72. Kaehn K (2010). Polihexanide: A safe and highly effective biocide. Skin Pharmacol Physiol 23 (suppl 1), 7-16
23 24 25 26 27 28	73. Kamendulis LM (2008) Studies to Elucidate the Potential Involvement of the Kupffer Cell in PHMB Mouse Liver Haemangiosarcomas, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology. Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA, unpublished data, CBI, 15 December 2008
29 30 31 32 33	74. Lademann J, Weigmann HJ, Schanzer S, Richter H, Audring H, Antoniou C, Tsikrikas G (2005). Optical investigations to avoid the disturbing influences of furrows and wrinkles quantifying penetration of drugs and cosmetics into the skin by tape stripping. Journal of Biomedical Optics 10(5), 054015
34 35 36 37 38	75. Lademann J, Richter H, Jacobi U, Patzelt A, Hueber-Becker F, Ribaud C, Benech-Kieffer F, Dufour EK, Sterry W, Schaefer H, Leclaire J, Toutain H, NohynekGJ (2008). Human percutaneous absorption of a direct hair dye comparing <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> results: Implications for safety assessment and animal testing. Food and Chemical Toxicology 46, 2214–2223
39 40 41 42 43	76. Lees D, Leah AM (1993) 21-Day Dermal Toxicity Study in the Rat, Imperical Chemical Industry, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No: CTL/P/4200, unpublished data, CBI, 25 November 1993

1 2 3	77. Lonza (2016). Certificate of Analysis, [14C] PHMB, Lot No. CFQ42591, Amendment 2. Lonza GLP Services, USA, May 12, 2016
4 5 6	78. Lundov MD, Zachariae C (2008) Recalls of microbiologically contaminated cosmetics in EU from 2005 to May 2008, Int. J. Cosmet. Sci., 30, 471-474, CRP
7 8 9 10 11	79. Lythgoe RE, Howard EF (1995b) PHMB: Bioavailability following Dietary Administration in the Rat, Imperical Chemical Industry, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No.: CTL/P/4595, unpublished data, CBI, 22 March 1995
12 13 14 15 16	80. Lythgoe RE, Howard EF, Prescott E (1995a) PHMB: Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion following Single Oral Dosing (20 mg/kg) in the Rat, Imperical Chemical Industry, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No. CTL/P/4537, unpublished data, CBI, 22 February 1995
17 18 19 20	81. MacNab JI (2002) Determination of the vapour pressure of poly(hexamethylene)biguanide, Syngenta Technology and Projects Process Hazards Section, Huddersfield, UK, PC/274, unpublished data, CBI, 16 May 2002
21 22 23 24 25 26	82. Mann P (2002) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: Two Year Oncogenic Study in Mice - Pathology Working Group Peer Review of Proliferative Vascular Lesions in Male and Female Mice, Central Toxicology Laboratory (CTL) Study Number PM0937 and Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. (EPL) Project Number 698-001. EPL, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA, unpublished data, CBI, 27 June 2002
27 28 29 30 31	83. Mann P (2009) Scientific Advisory Panel Review of Polyaminopropyl biguanide (PHMB): Carcinogenicity Studies, Pathology Working Groups, Regulatory Responses And Mode- Of-Action Studies, Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. (EPL) Project Number 880- 001, EPL, Sterling, VA, USA, unpublished data, CBI, 05 August 2009
32 33 34 35 36	84. Marsh DL (1993) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: Gravimetric and homogeneity data to support dietary toxicity studies, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/T/2842, unpublished data, CBI, 20 October 1993
37 38	85. McDonnell G, Russell AD (1999) Antiseptics and Disinfectants: Activity, Action, and Resistance, Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 12(1):147-179
39 40 41 42 43	86. Milburn GM (1995) Polyaminopropyl biguanide (PHMB): Multigeneration Study in the Rat, Imperical Chemical Industry, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No: CTL/P/4455, unpublished data, CBI, 03 March 1995

T	
2 3 4 5	87. Milburn GM (1996) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: Two Year Oncogenic Study in Mice, Imperical Chemical Industry, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No: CTL/P/4649, unpublished data, CBI, 21 June 1996
6 7 8 9	88. Nixon J, Jackson SJ (1981) Vantocil IB: Eye irritation study in rabbits, Imperical Chemical Industry, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No.: CTL/T/1727, unpublished data, CBI, 03 November 1981
10 11 12	89. Noakes JP (2006a) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: 5-Day preliminary inhalation study in the rat, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/MR0218, unpublished data, CBI, 18 December 2006
13 14 15 16	90. Noakes JP (2006b) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: 5-Day preliminary inhalation study in the rat, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/MR0220, unpublished data, CBI, 18 December 2006
17 18 19 20	91. Noakes JP (2006c) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: 28-Day Inhalation Study in the rat with recovery, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/MR0219, unpublished data, CBI, 16 March 2006
21 22 23 24	92. OECD (2008) Draft - OECD guidance document for the performance of chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity studies, supporting TG 451, 452 and 453, 09 December 2008
25 26 27 28	93. Parr-Dobrzanski RJ, Rose P (1993) Baquacil 20% PHMB and Sodium Dichloroisocyanurate: Comparative assessment of sensory irritation potential in the mouse, Zeneca Central Toxicological Laboratory, Macclesfield, UK, CTL/L/5346, unpublished data, CBI, 28 July 1993
29 30 31 32 33 34	94. Pinto PJ (1992) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: Maximum tolerated dose study in the dog, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/L/4870, unpublished data, CBI, 10 November 1992
34 35 36 37 38 39	95. Pinto PJ, Rose P (1993) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: 6 week dietary toxicity study in dogs, Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/L/5227, unpublished data, CBI, 14 May 1993
40 41 42 43	96. Poland CA, Larsen PB, Read SAK, Varet J1, Hankin SM, Lam, HR (2016). Assessment of Nano-enabled Technologies in Cosmetics. Part of the "Better control of nano" initiative 2012-2015. Environmental Project no. 1825, 2016. The Danish Environmental Protection Agency

2 3 4 5	97. Randall V, Beck SL (1989) Vantocil IB (PHMB): An Evaluation in the Mouse Bone Marrow Micronucleus Test, Imperical Chemical Industry, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Macclesfield, UK, Report No: CTL/P/2436, unpublished data, CBI, 14 April 1989
6 7 8 9 10	98. Richardson CR, Anderson D (1981) Vantocil P: Cytogenetic study in human lymphocytes <i>in vitro</i> , Imperical Chemical Industries, Central Toxicology Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK. Report No: CTL/P/613, unpublished data, CBI, 16 January 1981
11 12 13 14	99. SCCS (Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety) (2010). Opinion on reaction products of oxidative hair dye ingredients formed during hair dyeing processes. SCCS/1311/10; adopted at its 8th plenary meeting of 21 September 2010
15 16 17 18	100. SCCS (Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety) (2015). Opinion on the Safety of poly (hexamethylene) Biguanide hydrochloride (PHMB). SCCS/1535/14; adopted at its 6th plenary meeting on 18 June 2014; second revision of 13 July 2015
19 20 21 22 23	101. SCCS (Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety) (2016), SCCS Notes of Guidance for the Testing of Cosmetic Ingredients and their Safety Evaluation 9th revision, 29 September 2015, SCCS/1564/15, revision of 25 April 2016
24 25 26 27	102. Schnuch A, Geier J, Brasch J, Fuchs T, Pirker C, Schulze-Dirks A, Basketter DA (2000) Polyaminopropyl biguanide: a relevant contact allergen? Contact Dermatitis, 42, 302-303, CRP
28 29 30 31	103. Schnuch A, Geier J, Uter W, Basketter DA, Jowsey IR (2007) The biocide Polyaminopropyl biguanide remains an uncommon contact allergen, Contact Dermatitis, 56, 235-239, CRP
32 33 34 35	104. Smith I (1981) Vantocil IB (PHMB): Human sensitisation testing of Vantocil IB, Imperical Chemical Industry, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Macclesfield, UK, Report No.: CTL/C/1109, unpublished data, CBI, 30 November 1981
36 37 38 39 40	105. Sielken RL, Valdez-Flores C, Bretzlaff RS (2010) Statistical review of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB):Carcinogenicity Studies, Pathology working groups, scientific advisory panel report and regulatory responses, Sielken & Associates Consulting, Inc., Bryan, TX, USA, unpublished data, CBI, 19 October 2010
41 42 43	106. Sielken RL (2011) Follow-Up Statistical Review of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide (PHMB): Mouse Liver-Tumor Results from Dietary Studies Performed in 1977, Sielken & Associates Consulting, Inc., Bryan, TX, USA, unpublished data, CBI, 25 May 2011

- 1
- 2 107. Sueki H (2001) Polyaminopropyl biguanide Cosmocil CQ: Skin Irritation in Humans.
- 3 Department of Biochemical Toxicology and Department of Dermatology, Showa
- 4 University, Japan. Report no. APJ-1, unpublished data, CBI, 18 February 2001
- 5
- 6 108. Toner F (2014) The *in vitro* percutaneous absorption of radiolabelled Polyhexamethylene
- 7 Biguanide (PHMB) in a representative cosmetic formulation through human skin, Charles
- 8 River, Tranent, Edinburgh, UK for Lonza, Allendale, NJ, USA, Report No. 35464,
- 9 unpublished data, CBI, 2 October 2014
- 10
- 11 109. Toner F (2016a) The *in vitro* percutaneous absorption of radiolabelled Polyhexamethylene
- 12 Biguanide (PHMB) in two representative cosmetic formulations through human skin with a
- 13 monitoring time of 24 h, Charles River, Tranent, Edinburgh, UK for Cosmetics Europe
- 14 A.I.S.B.L., Brussels, Belgium, Report No. 37308, unpublished data, 18 March 2016
- 15
- 16 110. Toner F (2016b) The *in vitro* percutaneous absorption of radiolabelled Polyhexamethylene
- 17 Biguanide (PHMB) in two representative cosmetic formulations through human skin with an
- 18 extended monitoring time of 72 h, Charles River, Tranent, Edinburgh, UK for Lonza,
- 19 Allendale, NJ, USA, Report No. 37309, unpublished data, CBI, 18 March 2016
- 20
- 21 111. Trueman RW (1980) Vantocil IB: An examination of Vantocil IB for potential
- 22 carcinogenicity using two *in vitro* assays, Imperical Chemical Industry, Central
- 23 Toxicological Labortory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No.:
- 24 CTL/P/492, unpublished data, CBI, 29 January 1981
- 25
- 26 112. Trueman RW (1989) Vantocil IB (PHMB): Assessment for the Induction of Unscheduled
- 27 DNA Synthesis in Rat Hepatocytes *in vivo*, Imperical Chemical Industry, Central
- 28 Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK Report No:
- 29 CTL/P/2603, unpublished data, CBI, 29 August 1989
- 30
- 31 113. Trutter JA, Reno FE (1977) 20% PHMB: 3-generation reproduction study in rats, Hazleton
- 32 Laboratories America, Vienna, VA, USA, Hazleton Project No.: 458-119, unpublished
- data, CBI, 11 January 1977, reformatted by McLean LK (1990) Imperical Chemical
- 34 Industry, Central Toxicological Laboratory, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK
- Report No: CTL/C/2161, unpublished data, CBI, 05 July 1990
- 36
- 114. Varesano A, Vineis C, Aluigi A, Rombaldoni F (2011) Antimicrobial polymers for textile
  products, in: Science against microbial pathogens: communicating current research and
  technological advances, A. Méndez-Vilas (Ed.)
- 40
- 41 115. Wickramaratne GA de S (1988) The Post-natal Fate of Supernumerary Ribs in Rat
- 42 Teratogenicity Studies, J. Appl. Tox., 8, 91-94, CRP
- 43

#### 1 <u>Additional references: submission III</u>

- 2 Data base search for references
- A comprehensive data base research on the toxicological profile of PHMB was carried out using
   SCIFINDER, which searched CAPlus and MEDLINE for relevant references.
- 5 All hits relevant for risk assessment of PHMB were included in the present safety evaluation.
- 6 PHMB data base search until April 2013, unpublished data, CBI

116. Charles River Laboratories Edinburgh Ltd FINAL REPORT Test Facility Study No 798206,
Report No. 37308, The *In vitro* Percutaneous Absorption of Radiolabelled Polyhexamethylene
Biguanide (PHMB) in Two Representative Cosmetic Formulations Through Human Skin with a
Monitoring Time of 24 h.

- 11
- 12 117. Charles River Laboratories Edinburgh Ltd FINAL REPORT Test Facility Study No 798190, 13 Report No. 37309, The *In vitro* Percutaneous Absorption of Radiolabelled Polyhexamethylene 14 Biguanide (PHMB) in Two Representative Cosmetic Formulations Through Human Skin with an 15 Extended Monitoring Time of 72 h.
- 16

22

25

- 17 118. Creme Global Ltd, PHMB Exposure Project, Aggregate Exposure to PHMB in Cosmetics and
  Personal Care Products, February 2016.
  19
- 119. Michael Ellwood: Assessment of consumer exposure to Polyaminopropyl Biguanide (PHMB)
  arising from biocidal applications, March 2016.
- 120. Michael Ellwood: Estimate of PHMB EU sales for direct consumer biocidal applications,March 2016.
- 121. Certificate of analysis, 12 July 2016 (attachment to mailing from 08 Dec: analytical results
   document: 'PHMB COA\_lot 14GR177464\_7Dec2016')
- 29 122 -> ECHA 1:
- 30 <u>https://www.echa.europa.eu/web/guest/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/16081/7/7/2</u>
- 31 123 -> ECHA 2:
- 32 <u>https://www.echa.europa.eu/web/guest/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/16121</u>
- 33
- 34 124 -> ECHA 3: <u>https://echa.europa.eu/search-for-</u>
- 35 <u>chemicals?p\_p\_id=disssimplesearch\_WAR\_disssearchportlet&p\_p\_lifecycle=0&\_disssimplesearch\_WAR\_dis</u>
- 36 <u>ssearchportlet\_searchOccurred=true&\_disssimplesearch\_WAR\_disssearchportlet\_sessionCriteriald=dissSim</u>
- 37 pleSearchSessionParam101401482504188948