



HAL
open science

Lyapounov Functions of closed Cone Fields: from Conley Theory to Time Functions.

Patrick Bernard, Stefan Suhr

► **To cite this version:**

Patrick Bernard, Stefan Suhr. Lyapounov Functions of closed Cone Fields: from Conley Theory to Time Functions.. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 2018, 359 (2), pp.467-498. 10.1007/s00220-018-3127-7 . hal-01487859v3

HAL Id: hal-01487859

<https://hal.science/hal-01487859v3>

Submitted on 21 Feb 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Lyapounov Functions of Closed Cone Fields: From Conley Theory to Time Functions

Patrick Bernard¹ , Stefan Suhr^{1,2}

¹ École Normale Supérieure, DMA (UMR CNRS 8553), PSL Research University, Université Paris-Dauphine, 45, rue d'Ulm, 75230 Paris Cedex 05, France. E-mail: patrick.bernard@ens.fr

² *Current address:* Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstraße 150, 44780 Bochum, Germany. E-mail: stefan.suhr@rub.de

Received: 13 March 2017 / Accepted: 16 December 2017

Published online: 29 March 2018 – © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract: We propose a theory “à la Conley” for cone fields using a notion of relaxed orbits based on cone enlargements, in the spirit of space time geometry. We work in the setting of closed (or equivalently semi-continuous) cone fields with singularities. This setting contains (for questions which are parametrization independent such as the existence of Lyapounov functions) the case of continuous vector-fields on manifolds, of differential inclusions, of Lorentzian metrics, and of continuous cone fields. We generalize to this setting the equivalence between stable causality and the existence of temporal functions. We also generalize the equivalence between global hyperbolicity and the existence of a steep temporal function.

Résumé. On développe une théorie à la Conley pour les champs de cônes, qui utilise une notion d'orbites relaxées basée sur les élargissements de cônes dans l'esprit de la géométrie des espaces temps. On travaille dans le contexte des champs de cônes fermés (ou, ce qui est équivalent, semi-continus), avec des singularités. Ce contexte contient (pour les questions indépendantes de la paramétrisation, comme l'existence de fonctions de Lyapounov) le cas des champs de vecteurs continus, celui des inclusions différentielles, des métriques Lorentziennes, et des champs de cônes continus. On généralise à ce contexte l'équivalence entre la causalité stable et l'existence d'une fonction temporelle. On généralise aussi l'équivalence entre l'hyperbolicité globale et l'existence d'une fonction temporelle uniforme.

Contents

1. Introduction	469
---------------------------	-----

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007–2013)/ERC Grant Agreement 307062. Stefan Suhr is supported by the SFB/TRR 191 ‘Symplectic Structures in Geometry, Algebra and Dynamics’, funded by the DFG.

- 1.1 Hyperbolic cone fields 472
- 1.2 A Lemma of Sullivan 474
- 1.3 Asymptotic stability 475
- 2. Preliminaries 476
 - 2.1 On cone fields 476
 - 2.2 Clarke differential, causal and timelike curves 479
 - 2.3 Limit curve Lemma 481
- 3. Direct Lyapounov Theory 482
- 4. Smoothing 483
 - 4.1 Local properties of trapping domains 484
 - 4.2 De Rham smoothing 486
 - 4.3 Proof of Proposition 4.1 487
- 5. Existence of Lyapounov Functions 489
 - 5.1 Smooth trapping domains and Lyapounov functions 489
 - 5.2 Conley theory for closed cone fields 490
 - 5.3 More existence results of Lyapounov functions 492
 - 5.4 Hyperbolic cone fields 493
- 6. Final Remarks on the Stably Recurrent Set 496
- References 497

Lyapounov functions play an important role in dynamical systems. Their existence is related to basic dynamical behaviors such as stability and recurrence. The second aspect was made precise by Conley, who showed an equivalence between the existence of Lyapounov functions and the absence of chain recurrence. This result was extended by Hurley, see [15, 16], to non compact spaces. See also [25] for a different point of view based on Mather–Fathi theory.

On the other hand the causality theory of space times studies (among other things) time functions on Lorentzian manifold, see [22] for example. The existence of continuous time functions for smooth stably causal space times was proved in [12, 13]. The condition of stable causality of space time is analogous to the absence of chain recurrence in Conley’s theory. Still in the context of smooth space times, the equivalence between stable causality and the existence of a smooth temporal function (a regular Lyapounov function in the terminology of the present paper) was proved in [2]. Motivated by solutions to the Einstein equations with low regularity the problem has been revisited in [5, 6, 28] where continuous metrics are studied. The existence of smooth time functions for continuous stably causal cone fields (hence in particular for continuous, stably causal, Lorentzian metrics) was proved in [10, 11] by methods inspired by weak KAM theory.

In the present paper, we propose a theory “à la Conley” for cone fields. Such a program was already carried out in [23] in the case of Lorentzian metrics, but our approach is different. We use a notion of relaxed orbits based on cone enlargements, in the spirit of space time geometry. This notion has the advantage of not resting on the choice of an auxiliary metric and it bypasses some technical difficulties related to the non continuity of the length. It allows us to work without difficulty in the very general setting of closed (or equivalently semi-continuous) cone fields with singularities. This setting contains (for questions which are parametrization independent such as the existence of Lyapounov functions) the case of continuous vector-fields on manifolds, of differential inclusions, of Lorentzian metrics, and of continuous cone fields. We impose a manifold structure on the phase space, and directly deal with smooth Lyapounov (or time) functions. We generalize to this setting the equivalence between stable causality

and the existence of temporal functions. We also prove that every globally hyperbolic cone field admits a steep Lyapounov function (hence a Cauchy time function). The term *steep temporal function* was introduced in [24], see Sect. 1.1 for the definition and a discussion. We finally recover classical statements on the relation between Lyapounov functions and asymptotic stability in their most general setting, as obtained in [8, 30, 31]. Since our original motivation was to prove the existence of steep temporal functions in a generalized setting, we work with the usual convention of space time geometry and consider Lyapounov functions which are non decreasing along orbits (here called causal curves).

We thank the anonymous referees whose careful reading helped us writing a much better second version of the paper. Just before sending this second version, we received the preprint [21] of Minguzzi, where several of our results are recovered using more traditional constructions.

1. Introduction

We work on a complete Riemannian manifold M .

A *convex cone* in the vector space E is a convex subset $C \subset E$ such that $tx \in C$ for each $t > 0$ and $x \in C$. The convex cone C is called *regular* if it is not empty and it is contained in an open half-space, or equivalently if there exists a linear form p on E such that $p \cdot v > 0$ for each $v \in C$. The full cone $C = E$ will be called the *singular cone*. In order to shorten expressions in the sequel, we make the following definition:

Definition 1.1. We say that $\Omega \subset E$ is an open cone if it is a convex cone which is open as a subset of E .

We say the $C \subset E$ is a closed cone if it is a convex cone which is either singular or regular and if $C \cup \{0\}$ is a closed subset of E .

Note that the empty set is both an open and a closed (regular) cone. The empty set will be referred to as *degenerate*. Note also that regular closed cones do not contain the origin. Given $\Omega \subset E \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by $\hat{\Omega}$ the smallest closed cone containing Ω , and call it the closed hull of Ω . Our definition of closed cones does not include the case of a closed half space, so the closed hull of an open half space is the full space.

A cone field \mathcal{C} on the manifold M is a subset of the tangent bundle TM such that $\mathcal{C}(x) := T_x M \cap \mathcal{C}$ is a convex cone for each x . We shall only use open and closed cone fields:

Definition 1.2. We say that $\mathcal{E} \subset TM$ is an open cone field if it is a cone field which is open as a subset of TM . Then $\mathcal{E}(x)$ is an open cone for each x .

We say the $\mathcal{C} \subset TM$ is a closed cone field if it is a cone field such that $\mathcal{C} \cup T_0 M$ (the zero section) is a closed subset of TM and such that $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is a closed cone for each x .

Given a closed cone field \mathcal{C} , each point $x \in M$ is of one and only one of the following types:

- Regular, which means that $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is a regular cone, or
- singular, which means that $\mathcal{C}(x) = T_x M$, or
- degenerate, which means that $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is empty.

The *domain* of \mathcal{C} is the set of non degenerate points. It is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. The domain of a closed (or open) cone field is closed (or open). A cone field is called *non*

degenerate if all points are non degenerate, i.e. if $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) = M$. The set of singular points of a closed (or open) cone field is closed (or open).

As a first example of a closed cone field, we can associate to each continuous vector field V on M the closed cone field \mathcal{C}_V such that $\mathcal{C}_V(x)$ is the open half line directed by $V(x)$ if $V(x) \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{C}_V(x) = T_x M$ if $V(x) = 0$. With our definitions (and this example motivates them), the singular points of the cone field \mathcal{C}_V are the same as the singular points of the vector field V .

It is easy to see that continuous cone fields as considered for example in [10] are closed, hence our setting is more general. In particular, the cone field of future directed causal vectors associated to a time oriented continuous Lorentzian metric is a closed cone field.

The standard example of open cone field is the cone field of future directed timelike vectors associated to a time oriented Lorentzian metric.

Given an immersion $\phi : N \rightarrow M$ and a closed (or open) cone field \mathcal{C} on M , the pull back $\phi^* \mathcal{C} := (T\phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is a closed (or open) cone field. Note that the pull back may contain degenerate points even if \mathcal{C} does not. This is one motivation to allow degenerate points.

We say that the cone field \mathcal{C}' is *wider* than the cone field \mathcal{C} if $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}'$. We say that \mathcal{C}' is an enlargement of \mathcal{C} (written $\mathcal{C} \prec \mathcal{C}'$) if there exists an open cone field \mathcal{E} and a closed cone field \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{C}'$. An open enlargement of a closed cone field \mathcal{C} is just an open cone field wider than \mathcal{C} .

Note that the intersection of a family of closed cone fields is a closed cone field.

Definition 1.3. Let \mathcal{E} be a cone field. We denote by $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ the smallest closed cone field containing \mathcal{E} , we call it the closed hull of \mathcal{E} .

Given an open cone field \mathcal{E} , we say that the curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ is \mathcal{E} -*timelike* (or just *timelike*) if it is piecewise smooth (we shall see later that this regularity can be relaxed) and if $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma(t))$ for all t in I . At non smooth points, the inclusion is required to hold for left and right differentials. The *chronological future* $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x)$ of x is the set of points $y \in M$ such that there exists a non constant timelike curve $\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(T) = y$. The *chronological past* $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^-(x)$ of x is the set of points $x' \in M$ such that $x \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x')$. Note that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^-(x) = \mathcal{I}_{-\mathcal{E}}^+(x)$. More generally, for each subset $A \subset M$, we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(A) := \cup_{x \in A} \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(x)$ the *chronological future and past of A*. They are open subsets of M by Lemma 2.4. We have the inclusion $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(y) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x)$ if $y \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x)$.

Given a closed cone field \mathcal{C} , we say that the curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ is \mathcal{C} -*causal* (or just *causal*) if it is locally Lipschitz and if the inclusion $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \mathcal{C}(\gamma(t)) \cup T_0 M$ holds for almost all $t \in I$. The *causal future* $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$ of x is the set of points $y \in M$ such that there exists a (possibly constant) causal curve $\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(T) = y$. The *causal past* $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(x)$ of x is the set of points $x' \in M$ such that $x \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x')$. More generally, for each subset $A \subset M$, we denote by $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\pm}(A) := \cup_{x \in A} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\pm}(x)$ the *causal future and past of A*. We have the inclusion $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(y) \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$ if $y \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$.

Definition 1.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a cone field on M . The function $\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a Lyapounov function for the cone field \mathcal{C} if it is smooth, $d\tau_x \cdot v \geq 0$ for each $(x, v) \in \mathcal{C}$, and if, at each regular point x of τ (i.e. $d\tau_x \neq 0$), we have $d\tau_x \cdot v > 0$ for each $v \in \mathcal{C}(x)$.

It could be useful (especially with an eye towards degenerations of Lorentzian metrics) to study Lyapounov functions for cone fields which are closed as subsets of TM and contain half spaces. To a certain extent, this case can be done as follows : Assume

that \mathcal{D} is a cone field that is closed as a subset of TM . Then the set Σ of points $x \in M$ for which $\mathcal{D}(x)$ is a half space is closed. We can modify \mathcal{D} to the cone field \mathcal{C} that is singular on Σ and equal to \mathcal{D} outside of Σ . This is a closed cone field in the sense of Definition 1.2, and the Lyapounov functions for \mathcal{D} are the same as the Lyapounov functions for \mathcal{C} .

If τ is a Lyapounov function for the closed cone field \mathcal{C} on M , and if $\phi : N \rightarrow M$ is an immersion, then $\tau \circ \phi$ is a Lyapounov function for $\phi^*\mathcal{C}$ on N .

When \mathcal{C} is the cone field associated to a vector field V , a Lyapounov function for \mathcal{C} is the same as a Lyapounov function for V .

Note that if the cone field is induced by a time orientable Lorentzian metric, a Lyapounov function without critical points is a temporal function for the Lorentzian metric. In the same vein, time/temporal function were considered in [10] for continuous cone fields.

Given a closed cone field \mathcal{C} , we define

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x) := \{x\} \cup \bigcap_{\mathcal{E} > \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x)$$

where the intersection is taken on all open enlargements \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} . We call $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$ the *stable future* of x . A point x is said to be *stably recurrent* (for \mathcal{C}) if, for each open enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} , there exists a closed \mathcal{E} -timelike curve passing through x . We denote by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$ the set of stably recurrent points. Let us state our first result, which will be proved in Sect. 5.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a closed cone field.*

- (a) *The set $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$ is the set of points $x' \in M$ such that $\tau(x') \geq \tau(x)$ for each (smooth) Lyapounov function τ (it is thus a closed set).*
- (b) *The point x is stably recurrent if and only if all (smooth) Lyapounov functions τ satisfy $d\tau_x = 0$ (hence $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is closed).*

Two points x and x' of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$ are called *stably equivalent* if $x' \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$ and $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x')$. This is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$. The classes of this equivalence relation are called *stable classes*. The following statement is also proved in Sect. 5.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a closed cone field. There exists a (smooth) Lyapounov function τ with the following properties:*

- (a) *The function τ is regular at each point of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$.*
- (b) *Two points x and x' of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$ belong to the same stable class if and only if $\tau(x') = \tau(x)$.*
- (c) *If x and x' are two points of M such that $x' \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$ and $x \notin \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x')$, then $\tau(x') > \tau(x)$.*

This implies that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a closed set, as well as the stable components.

We recover the classical fact that a closed cone field is stably causal (meaning that there is no stably recurrent point) if and only if it admits a smooth temporal function (in our terminology, a Lyapounov function without critical points). This result has a long history and several variants, see [26] for the state of the art in 2005. To our knowledge, the most general known variant before the present paper is due to Fathi and Siconolfi in [10], in the context of continuous cone fields (in this paper, the function that we call smooth temporal functions are called smooth time functions). Our statement is more general, since we allow closed (equivalently : semi-continuous) cone fields with singularities. Our proof is entirely different.

Let us finish with a description of the stably recurrent set in terms of the relation $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+$ (the analogous characterization in the Lorentzian case is given in [14, 19, 29]):

Proposition 1.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a closed cone field. The point $x \in M$ is stably recurrent if and only if x is singular or there exists a point $y \neq x$ such that $y \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x) \cap \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(x)$.*

Proof. Let x be a stably recurrent point which is not singular. Since the set of singular points is closed, we can choose a compact neighborhood K of x which has the property that, for some open enlargement \mathcal{E}_0 of \mathcal{C} , all \mathcal{E}_0 -timelike loops contained in K are constant. Let B be the boundary of K .

It follows from Theorem 1 that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\pm}(x) = \cap_{\mathcal{E} > \mathcal{C}} \overline{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(x)}$. Indeed, if y does not belong to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$, then there exists a Lyapounov function τ such that $\tau(y) < \tau(x)$. Then, the open enlargement $\mathcal{E} := \{d\tau > 0\}$ satisfies $y \notin \overline{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x)} \subset \{\tau \geq \tau(x)\}$.

For each enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} contained in \mathcal{E}_0 , the closed set $\overline{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^-(x)}$ is not empty, connected, and intersects K , but is not contained in K . Hence it intersects the compact set B . We consider the family of non empty closed sets $F(\mathcal{E}) := B \cap \overline{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^-(x)}$ of B , parameterized by open enlargements \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} . This family has the finite intersection property: any finite intersection of these sets is non-empty. By compactness of B , we deduce that the intersection $\cap_{\mathcal{E} > \mathcal{C}} F(\mathcal{E})$ on all open enlargements of \mathcal{C} is not empty. This implies that $B \cap \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x) \cap \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(x)$ is not empty, hence that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x) \cap \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(x)$ contains a point different from x . \square

We now present some more specific applications of our methods:

1.1. Hyperbolic cone fields. Following the terminology of space time geometry, we say that the closed cone field \mathcal{C} on M is *globally hyperbolic* if

- (GH0) \mathcal{C} is non degenerate.
- (GH1) \mathcal{C} is causal, i.e. all closed Lipschitz \mathcal{C} -causal curves are constant, and that \mathcal{C} does not have singular points.
- (GH2) The set $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, K') := \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(K) \cap \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(K')$ is compact for each compact sets K and K' .

We say that the closed cone field \mathcal{C} is *hyperbolic* if it satisfies (GH1) and (GH2). We stress that neither stable causality nor strong causality is assumed here, as it is e.g. in [10] (it will be indirectly proved to be a consequence of hyperbolicity). In the classical context of Lorentzian metrics, the definition was given in a weaker form where (GH2) is replaced by

- (GH3) The set $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x) \cap \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(y)$ is compact for each x and y in M .

Our definition is equivalent in the Lorentzian case, as follows from:

Proposition 1.6. *If the closed cone field \mathcal{C} is wider than a non degenerate open cone field and satisfies (GH3), then it satisfies (GH2).*

Proof. Our assumption is that there exist a non degenerate open cone field $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{C}$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 below that \mathcal{E} contains a smooth vector field $V(x)$. This vector field can be assumed complete by reparameterization. We denote by ϕ^t its flow.

Let K and K' be two compact sets. We consider a sequence $z_n \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, K')$, i.e. there exist $x_n \in K$ and $y_n \in K'$ with $z_n \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(x_n, y_n)$. We can assume that the sequences x_n and y_n have limits x and y in K and K' , respectively. For each $t > 0$, $x \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(\phi^{-t}(x)) \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(\phi^{-t}(x))$ and $y \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^-(\phi^t(y)) \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(\phi^t(y))$. Since $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(\phi^{-t}(x))$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^-(\phi^t(y))$ are

open, $x_n \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(\phi^{-t}(x)) \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(\phi^{-t}(x))$ and $y_n \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^-(\phi^t(y)) \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(\phi^t(y))$ when n is large enough, hence $z_n \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\phi^{-t}(x), \phi^t(y))$, which is a compact set by (GH3). We can thus assume by taking a subsequence that z_n has a limit z which is contained in $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\phi^{-t}(x), \phi^t(y))$ for each $t > 0$. By (GH3), the set $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\phi^{-1}(x), z)$ is compact and it contains $\phi^{-t}(x)$ for each $t \in]0, 1[$, hence it contains x . This implies that $z \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$. We prove similarly that $z \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(y)$. \square

The Lyapounov function τ is said to be *steep* if the inequality

$$d\tau_x \cdot v \geq |v|_x$$

holds for each $(x, v) \in \mathcal{C}$. If τ is a steep temporal function for the closed cone field \mathcal{C} then (GH1) obviously holds, and moreover $\tau \circ \gamma(I) = \mathbb{R}$ for each complete causal curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ (see Definition 1.9). Indeed, such a curve has infinite length in both forward and backward direction, and the steepness of τ then implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \inf I} \tau \circ \gamma = -\infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \sup I} \tau \circ \gamma = +\infty$.

If the cone field is non degenerate, then all inextendible causal curves are complete, see Corollary 2.15. If τ is a steep Lyapounov function, then $\tau \circ \gamma(I) = \mathbb{R}$ for each inextendible curve: steep Lyapounov functions are Cauchy time functions. All their level sets are *Cauchy hypersurfaces* in the sense that every inextendible causal curve intersects them exactly once.

The following statement extends a classical result (see [20, 24]) to our more general setting. It is proved in Sect. 5.4 where some other characterizations of global hyperbolicity are also given.

Theorem 3. *The closed cone field \mathcal{C} is hyperbolic if and only if it admits a (smooth) Lyapounov function which is steep with respect to a complete Riemannian metric. Then, the relations $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}$ are identical.*

As a consequence, each globally hyperbolic cone field has a Cauchy temporal function.

Note that the definition of hyperbolicity does not involve the metric. We deduce that, if \mathcal{C} is hyperbolic and if \tilde{g} is a (not necessarily complete) metric, then there exists a Lyapounov function which is steep with respect to \tilde{g} . This follows from the theorem applied to the complete metric $g + \tilde{g}$ (where g is a complete metric on M). However, a temporal function which is steep with respect to a non complete metric is not necessarily a Cauchy time function, even in the absence of degenerate points. The existence of such a function does not necessarily imply hyperbolicity. As an example, consider a complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) , a globally hyperbolic cone field \mathcal{C} , and a steep temporal function τ . If N is the complement of a point in M , then (N, g) is not complete, τ is a steep time function which is not Cauchy, and \mathcal{C} is not hyperbolic.

Our notion of steep Lyapounov functions is similar to, but different from, the notion of steep temporal function introduced in [24], see also [21], as the sharp criterion for the isometric embeddability of space times into Minkowski space. There a function τ on the space time (M, g_L) is called steep if $d\tau \cdot v \geq \sqrt{|g_L(v, v)|}$ for all future pointing vectors $(x, v) \in TM$. Since we can choose a metric g such that $g(v, v) \geq |g_L(v, v)|$ on all tangent vectors, Theorem 3 implies the existence of a steep temporal functions in the sense of [24] in globally hyperbolic space times. Conversely the existence of a steep temporal functions in the sense of [24] does not imply global hyperbolicity.

The conclusion of Theorem 3 is false if (GH2) is replaced by (GH3) without assuming that \mathcal{C} has non empty interior. Any vector field admitting non trivial recurrence provides a counter-example. We have the following corollaries:

Corollary 1.7. *Each hyperbolic cone field admits a hyperbolic enlargement. Especially globally hyperbolic cone fields have globally hyperbolic enlargements.*

Proof. Let τ be a steep Lyapounov function. The closed cone field \mathcal{C} is contained in the closed cone field $\{(x, v) : x \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) \text{ and } d\tau_x \cdot v \geq |v|_x\}$. Let F be a closed set containing $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ in its interior and disjoint from the critical set of τ . The closed cone field

$$\mathcal{G} := \{(x, v) : x \in F \text{ and } d\tau_x \cdot v \geq |v|_x/2\}$$

is thus an enlargement of \mathcal{C} , and 2τ is a steep temporal function for it, hence it is hyperbolic. If \mathcal{C} is globally hyperbolic $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) = M$ and therefore $F = M$. Then \mathcal{G} is globally hyperbolic. \square

In particular, hyperbolicity implies stable causality and strong causality. The definition of strong causality is analogous to the one in Lorentzian geometry. More precisely, a cone field \mathcal{C} is *strongly causal* if every point has a neighborhood U such that the set $\gamma^{-1}(U)$ is connected set in the interval I for every causal curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$.

Although the notion of steep temporal functions is less intrinsic than the notion of Cauchy temporal function (it depends on the choice of an auxiliary metric), the above proof shows that it is more tractable, compare [27].

The splitting theorem, see [1,2], also holds in our setting:

Corollary 1.8. *Let (M, \mathcal{C}) be globally hyperbolic. Then there exists a manifold N and a diffeomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times N$ whose first component is a steep time function on M .*

Proof. Let τ be a steep time function. We consider the vector field $V(x) = \nabla\tau/|\nabla\tau|^2$, which has the property that $d\tau_x \cdot V(x) = 1$. Note that $|d\tau_x| \geq 1$ hence $|\nabla\tau_x| \geq 1$ hence $|V(x)| \leq 1$. As a consequence, the flow φ^t of V is complete. Setting $N = \tau^{-1}(0)$, the map $\phi : (t, x) \mapsto \varphi^t(x)$ is a diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{R} \times N$ into M . For each point $x \in M$, we have $\varphi^{-\tau(x)}(x) \in N$, hence $x \in \varphi^{\tau(x)}(N)$. This implies that ϕ is onto, and that the first component of the inverse ψ of ϕ is equal to τ . \square

As was noticed in [4], if M is moreover assumed contractible, it is then diffeomorphic to a Euclidean space.

1.2. A Lemma of Sullivan. We start with the definition of complete causal curves, which are the analogs in our setting of maximal solutions of vector fields.

Definition 1.9. The causal curve γ is called complete if it is defined on an open (possibly unbounded) interval $]a, b[$ and if the two following conditions hold:

- (a) Either $\gamma|_{]s, b[}$ has infinite length for each $s \in]a, b[$ or $\lim_{t \rightarrow b} \gamma(t)$ is a singular point of \mathcal{C} (we say that γ is forward complete).
- (b) Either $\gamma|_{]a, s]}$ has infinite length for each $s \in]a, b[$ or $\lim_{t \rightarrow a} \gamma(t)$ is a singular point of \mathcal{C} (we say that γ is backward complete).

Although the notion of complete curve is expressed in terms of an auxiliary complete metric g , it is not hard to see that it does not depend on g , as long as g is complete. We have:

Proposition 1.10. *Let (M, \mathcal{C}) be a closed cone field and let $F \subset M$ be a closed set. Let $Z \subset F$ be the union of all complete causal curves contained in F . Then, there exists a Lyapounov function τ for \mathcal{C} on M which is regular on $F - Z$.*

Proof. We consider the closed cone field \mathcal{C}_F which is equal to \mathcal{C} on F and degenerate outside of F . Each curve which is causal and complete for \mathcal{C}_F is causal and complete for \mathcal{C} . The proposition follows from Theorem 2 and the observation that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_F) \subset Z$, which follows from Corollary 2.16 below, applied to \mathcal{C}_F . \square

In the case where \mathcal{C} is the cone field generated by a continuous vector field X , where F is compact, and where Z is empty, we recover the following famous Lemma of Sullivan, [32]:

If X is a continuous vector field on M , and if K is a compact set which does not contain any full orbit of X , then there exists a Lyapounov function for X which is regular on K , i.e. $d\tau_x \cdot X(x) > 0$ for each $x \in K$.

The proof of Sullivan in [32] was based on the Hahn-Banach Theorem, a more elementary proof was given in [17]. Proposition 1.10 extends this result to the non compact case, and also to the case where some full orbits exist.

1.3. Asymptotic stability. We consider a closed cone field \mathcal{C} . A compact set $Y \subset M$ is called *asymptotically stable* if, for each neighborhood U of Y , there exists a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of Y such that $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}^+(V) \subset U$ and if each forward complete causal curve starting in V converges to Y (which means that the distance to Y converges to zero). If $Y = \{y\}$ is a point, then this requires that y be singular (or degenerate).

In our setting we can recover the following restatements of several known results on converse Lyapounov theory for differential inclusions, see [8] for the case where Y is a singular point, and [30,31] for the general case. Our setting in terms of cone fields is parametrization-invariant, in contrast to the formulation in terms of differential inclusions used in the papers mentioned before. Since both properties of being asymptotically stable and of admitting a Lyapounov function are parametrization invariant, these settings are equivalent. Note that our sign convention for Lyapounov functions is non standard: They increase along orbits.

Proposition 1.11. *Let $Y \subset M$ be a compact set and let \mathcal{C} be a closed cone field which is non degenerate in a neighborhood of Y . The following properties are equivalent:*

1. Y is asymptotically stable.
2. $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}^+(Y) = Y$ and there exists a neighborhood U of Y such that each backward complete causal curve γ contained in U is contained in Y .
3. $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}^+(Y) = Y$ and there exists a compact neighborhood U of Y such that $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{C} \cap U \subset Y$.
4. There exists a Lyapounov function τ which is null on Y , regular on $U - Y$, and negative on $U - Y$, where U is a neighborhood of Y .

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$. The asymptotic stability implies that $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}^+(Y) \subset U$ for each neighborhood U of Y , hence $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}^+(Y) \subset Y$. Let U_0 be a compact neighborhood of Y which has the property that all forward complete curves contained in U_0 converge to Y . This implies in particular that $U_0 - Y$ does not contain singular points. Let us suppose that there exists a backward complete causal curve $\gamma :] - T, 0] \rightarrow U_0$ such that $\gamma(0)$ does not belong to Y . Let U_1 be a compact neighborhood of Y which does not contain $\gamma(0)$. There exists an open neighborhood V_1 of Y such that $\mathcal{J}_\mathcal{C}^+(V_1) \subset U_1$, which implies that γ does not

enter V_1 on $] - T, 0]$. Since $U_0 - V_1$ does not contain singular points of \mathcal{C} , the curve γ has infinite length, we parametrize it by arclength, $\gamma : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow M$. By the Ascoli Arzela Theorem, there exists a sequence $t_n \rightarrow -\infty$ such that the curves $t \mapsto \gamma(t - t_n)$ converge, uniformly on compact intervals, to a Lipschitz curve $\eta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow U_0 - V_1$. By Proposition 2.14, the curve η is causal and forward complete. This implies that η converges to Y , which is a contradiction since $\eta(\mathbb{R}) \subset U_0 - V_1$.

2 \Rightarrow 3. Let U be the neighborhood with property 2, and W be a compact neighborhood of Y contained in U . If $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(Y)$ was not contained in W , then there would exist a backward complete causal curve contained in W but not in Y , by Corollary 2.17. This contradiction implies that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(Y) \subset W$, and, since this holds for each compact neighborhood W of Y contained in U , that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(Y) \subset Y$. The part of the statement concerning $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$ follows immediately from Corollary 2.16.

3 \Rightarrow 4. It is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.8.

4 \Rightarrow 1 Let U be a compact neighborhood of Y such that τ is regular and negative on $U - Y$. For each compact neighborhood W of Y contained in U , we set $a = \max_{\partial W} \tau$ (by compactness, $a < 0$) and $V := \{x \in W, \tau(x) \geq a/2\}$. We have $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(V) \subset V \subset U$. Let $\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow V$ be a complete causal curve parametrized by arclength. The function $\tau \circ \gamma$ is non decreasing, hence it converges to $b \in [a/2, 0]$. We have to prove that $b = 0$. The set $V^b := \{x \in V, \tau(x) \leq b\}$ is compact. If $b < 0$, then τ is regular on V^b , hence there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d\tau_x \cdot v \geq \delta|v|$ for each $(x, v) \in \mathcal{C}, x \in V^b$. This implies that $\tau \circ \gamma(t) \geq \tau \circ \gamma(0) + \delta t$, hence that $T \leq (b - a)/\delta$ is finite. The complete causal curve γ has finite length, hence it converges to a limit $x \in V^b$ which is a singular point of \mathcal{C} hence a critical point of τ , a contradiction. \square

2. Preliminaries

2.1. *On cone fields.* We state here useful results on cone fields.

Lemma 2.1. *If \mathcal{C} is a closed cone field and \mathcal{E} an open cone field, then the set of points $x \in M$ such that $\mathcal{C}(x) \subset \mathcal{E}(x)$ is open.*

Proof. It is the projection on M of the open set $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{C}$. \square

A standard partition of the unity argument implies:

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{E} be an open cone field. Then there exists a smooth vector field V on $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $V(x) \in \mathcal{E}(x)$ for each $x \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$. Moreover, given $(x, v) \in \mathcal{E}$, the vector field V can be chosen such that $V(x) = v$. In particular, there exists a smooth curve $\gamma(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ which is \mathcal{E} -timelike and such that $(\gamma(0), \dot{\gamma}(0)) = (x, v)$.*

Note that $V(x) \neq 0$ at each regular point x of \mathcal{E} . This implies that a non degenerate open cone field on a manifold must admit singular points if the Euler characteristic is not zero.

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathcal{E} be a non degenerate open cone field. Then from each point x starts a forward timelike curve of infinite length, and a backward timelike curve of infinite length.*

Proof. We consider a smooth vector field $V(x)$ contained in \mathcal{E} . We assume that V has a complete flow (this can be achieved by multiplying V by a positive smooth function). Let $\gamma(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ be the forward orbit of x under this flow. Either γ has infinite

length, or it converges to a singular point of \mathcal{E} . In the second case there exists a finite time $T > 0$ such that $\gamma(T)$ is singular, since the singular set is open. We can then extend the curve $\gamma|_{[0,T]}$ by a small loop at $\gamma(T)$ contained in the singular set of \mathcal{E} , and obtain this way a timelike curve of infinite length starting at x . The backward case is analogous. \square

A smooth function τ defined near x is called a *local Lyapounov function at x* (for the closed cone field \mathcal{C}) if $d\tau_x \neq 0$ and $d\tau_x \cdot v > 0$ for each $v \in \mathcal{C}(x)$. This property then holds in a neighborhood of x . Local Lyapounov functions at x exist if and only if x is not a singular point of \mathcal{C} . The cone $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is the set of vectors $v \in T_x M$ such that $d\tau_x \cdot v > 0$ for each local Lyapounov function τ at x . The set of vectors $v \in T_x M$ such that $d\tau_x \cdot v \geq 0$ for each local Lyapounov function τ at x is $\mathcal{C}(x) \cup \{0\}$.

Let C be a closed cone and $\Omega \succ C$ be an open cone. Then there exists an open cone Ω' such that $C \subset \Omega' \subset \hat{\Omega}' \subset \Omega$. Given a diffeomorphism onto its image $\phi : N \rightarrow U \subset M$ and a cone field \mathcal{C} on M , we denote by $\phi^* \mathcal{C} := (T\phi)^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ the preimage of the cone field \mathcal{C} , where $T\phi$ is the tangent map $(x, v) \mapsto (\phi(x), d\phi_x \cdot v)$. Similarly we define the forward image $\phi_* \mathcal{C} := T\phi(\mathcal{C})$ of a cone field on N , this is a cone field on $U = \phi(N)$. We denote by $Q_s, s \geq 0$ the standard open cone

$$Q_s := \{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} : z > s|y|\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d.$$

In the following and later, we denote by $B^d(r)$ the Euclidean open ball of radius r in \mathbb{R}^d , and also set $B^d := B^d(1)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let \mathcal{E} be an open cone field and let x_0 be a point which is non degenerate for \mathcal{E} and regular for the closed hull $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. There exists a chart $\phi : B^{d-1} \times]-1, 1[\rightarrow M$ at x_0 such that*

$$Q_1 \subset \phi^* \mathcal{E}(y, z) \subset \phi^* \hat{\mathcal{E}}(y, z) \subset Q_0$$

for each $(x, y) \in B^{d-1} \times]-1, 1[$.

Proof. Let τ be a local Lyapounov function for $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ such that $\tau(x_0) = 0$. Let V be a vector contained in $\mathcal{E}(x_0)$ and $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be a smooth local map sending x_0 to 0 and such that the kernel of $d\psi_{x_0}$ is $\mathbb{R}V$. For each $a > 0$, the map $\Psi := (a\tau, \psi)$ is a local diffeomorphism, such that $d\Psi_{x_0} \cdot V = (ad\tau_{x_0} \cdot V, 0)$ and $\Psi_* \hat{\mathcal{E}}(0, 0) \subset Q_0$. If $a > 0$ is small enough, we have $Q_{1/2} \subset \Psi_* \hat{\mathcal{E}}(0, 0)$. As a consequence, there exists $s > 0$ such that

$$Q_1 \subset \Psi_* \mathcal{E} \subset \Psi_* \hat{\mathcal{E}} \subset Q_0$$

on $B^{d-1}(s) \times]-s, s[$. The inverse map ϕ of Ψ/s then satisfies the conclusions of the statement. \square

The following classical observation will be useful.

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{E} be an open cone field. For each subset A of M , we have*

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(\bar{A}) = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(A)$$

Proof. Let us consider a point $y \notin \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(A)$. Then the open set $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^-(y)$ is disjoint from A , hence from \bar{A} . We conclude that $y \notin \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(\bar{A})$. \square

In the sequel we will need the notion of sums of convex cones or cone fields. The sum of a family of convex cones is defined as the convex envelop of their union. The sum of cone fields is defined pointwise.

Lemma 2.6. *The sum $\mathcal{E} = \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ of an arbitrary family of open cone fields is an open cone field.*

Proof. Let $(x, v) \in \mathcal{E}$. We can assume that $M = \mathbb{R}^d$ by working in a chart at x . The vector v belongs to the convex closure of the union $\cup_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}(x)$, hence it is a finite sum of elements of this union: There exists a finite set J of indices such that $v = \sum_{i \in J} v_i$ with $v_i \in \mathcal{E}_i(x)$. Let $B_i \subset \mathcal{E}_i(x)$ be a compact neighborhood of v_i in \mathbb{R}^d . For each $i \in J$, there exists a neighborhood of x on which $B_i \subset \mathcal{E}_i(y)$. As a consequence, there exists a neighborhood U of x such that $B_i \subset \mathcal{E}_i(y)$ for each $y \in U$ and each $i \in J$. We conclude that $U \times (\sum_i B_i) \subset \mathcal{E}$. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let Ω be an open cone and let C_i be finitely many closed cones such that $C_i \subset \Omega$. Then there exists an open cone Ω' such that $\sum C_i \subset \Omega' \subset \widehat{\Omega}' \subset \Omega$.*

Proof. In the case where $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, we can take $\Omega' = \Omega$. Otherwise we can assume that $\Omega \subset Q_0$ (the open upper half space). Each of the closed cones C_i then satisfies $C_i \subset Q_{s_i}$ for some $s_i > 0$. We can take $\Omega' = Q_s$ with $s = \min s_i$. \square

Lemma 2.8. *If \mathcal{E} is an open enlargement of the closed cone field \mathcal{C} , then there exists an open cone field \mathcal{E}' such that*

$$\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}' \subset \widehat{\mathcal{E}}' \subset \mathcal{E}.$$

Proof. For each $x_0 \in M$, there exists a chart $\phi : B^{d-1} \times]-1, 1[\rightarrow M$ at x_0 and an open cone $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\phi^* \mathcal{C}(y, z) \subset \Omega \subset \widehat{\Omega} \subset \phi^* \mathcal{E}(y, z)$ for each $(y, z) \in B^{d-1} \times]-1, 1[\rightarrow M$. We take a locally finite covering of M by open sets U_i which are of the form $\phi_i(B^{d-1}(1/2) \times]-1/2, 1/2[)$ for such charts, and denote by Ω_i the corresponding open cones. We consider the open cone fields \mathcal{E}_i which are equal to $\phi_{*} \Omega_i$ on U_i and which are empty outside of U_i . The closed hull $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_i$ is the cone field equal to $\phi_{*} \widehat{\Omega}_i$ on \bar{U}_i and empty outside of \bar{U}_i . Then we consider the open cone field $\mathcal{E}' = \sum_i \mathcal{E}_i$. For each $x \in M$, there exists i such that $x \in U_i$, hence $\mathcal{C}(x) \subset \mathcal{E}_i(x) \subset \mathcal{E}'(x)$.

Let us now prove that $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}'(x) \subset \mathcal{E}(x)$ for each $x \in M$. Let $J(x)$ be the finite set of indices such that x belongs to the closure of U_i . For each $i \in J(x)$, $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_i(x) = \widehat{\Omega}_i \subset \mathcal{E}(x)$. Lemma 2.7 implies the existence of a convex open cone $\Omega' \subset T_x M$ such that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_i(x) \subset \Omega' \subset \widehat{\Omega}' \subset \mathcal{E}(x)$$

for each $i \in J(x)$. We use a chart at x to identify the tangent spaces $T_y M$ with $T_x M$ for y near x . The inclusion

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_i(y) \subset \Omega' \subset \widehat{\Omega}' \subset \mathcal{E}(y)$$

holds for y in an open neighborhood V of x . Let us consider the closed cone field \mathcal{C}' which is equal to $\widehat{\Omega}'$ on V and which is singular on $M - V$. We have $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_i \subset \mathcal{C}'$ for each i , which implies that $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{C}'$, and then that $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}' \subset \mathcal{C}'$. Since $\mathcal{C}'(x) \subset \mathcal{E}(x)$, we deduce that $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}'(x) \subset \mathcal{E}(x)$. \square

Lemma 2.9. *There exists a sequence \mathcal{E}_n of open cone fields which is strictly decreasing to \mathcal{C} , which means that $\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{n+1}} \subset \mathcal{E}_n$ for each n and that $\mathcal{C} = \bigcap \mathcal{E}_n$. Such a sequence has the property that, for each open enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} and each compact set $K \subset M$, there exists n such that $\mathcal{E}_n(x) \subset \mathcal{E}(x)$ for each $x \in K$.*

Proof. For each point $(x, v) \in TM - (\mathcal{C} \cup T_0M)$, there exists an open enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} which is disjoint from a neighborhood U of (x, v) . We can cover the complement of \mathcal{C} in TM by a sequence U_i of open sets such that, for each i , there exists an open enlargement \mathcal{E}'_i of \mathcal{C} disjoint from U_i . We define inductively the open cone field \mathcal{E}_n as an enlargement of \mathcal{C} satisfying

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_n \subset \mathcal{E}'_n \cap \mathcal{E}_{n-1}.$$

It is obvious from the construction that $\mathcal{C} = \bigcap \mathcal{E}_n$. Finally, let $K \subset M$ be compact and \mathcal{E} be an open enlargement of \mathcal{C} . For each $x \in K$, there exists n_x such that $\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{n_x}}(x) \subset \mathcal{E}_{n_x-1}(x) \subset \mathcal{E}(x)$. Then the inclusion $\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{n_x}}(y) \subset \mathcal{E}(y)$ holds on an open neighborhood of x . Using Lemma 2.8 we can cover K by finitely many such open sets, hence $\mathcal{E}_n(y) \subset \mathcal{E}(y)$ for each $y \in K$ when n is large enough. \square

2.2. Clarke differential, causal and timelike curves. We will use the notion of Clarke differential of curves and functions, see [7] for example.

The Clarke differential of a locally Lipschitz function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at a given point x is the compact interval

$$\partial f(x) = \left[\liminf_{y_2 \rightarrow x, y_1 \rightarrow x, y_2 > y_1} \frac{f(y_2) - f(y_1)}{y_2 - y_1}, \limsup_{y_2 \rightarrow x, y_1 \rightarrow x, y_2 > y_1} \frac{f(y_2) - f(y_1)}{y_2 - y_1} \right].$$

The interval $\partial f(x) = [p_-, p_+]$ can be characterized in the following way: for $p < p_-$, the function $t \mapsto f(t) - pt$ is increasing near $t = x$, it is decreasing for $p > p_+$, and it is not monotone in any neighborhood of x for $p \in]p_-, p_+[$.

The Clarke differential of a locally Lipschitz curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ at a given time t is the compact convex subset $\partial\gamma(t) \subset T_{\gamma(t)}M$ defined as the convex hull of limit points of sequences of the form $(\gamma(t_n), \gamma'(t_n))$ in TM , where t_n is a sequence of differentiability points of γ , see [7, Theorem 2.5.1]. It satisfies the equality

$$df_{\gamma(t)} \cdot \partial\gamma(t) = \partial(f \circ \gamma)(t)$$

for each smooth function f , and this characterizes $\partial\gamma(t)$. In other words, $v \in \partial\gamma(t)$ if and only if $df_{\gamma(t)} \cdot v \in \partial(f \circ \gamma)(t)$ for each smooth function f .

Lemma 2.10. *Given a closed cone field \mathcal{C} on M , the following statements are equivalent for a locally Lipschitz curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$:*

- (a) $\gamma'(t) \in \mathcal{C}(\gamma(t))$ for almost every $t \in I$.
- (b) $\partial\gamma(t) \subset \mathcal{C}(\gamma(t))$ for each $t \in I$.
- (c) For each $t \in I$ and each local Lyapounov function τ at $\gamma(t)$, the function $\tau \circ \gamma$ is non decreasing in a neighborhood of t .

Proof. Note that (b) and (c) both hold at t if $\gamma(t)$ is a singular point of \mathcal{C} . In this case the lemma is trivial. Therefore we can assume that $\gamma(t)$ is a regular point of \mathcal{C} .

Property (b) implies (a) since $\gamma'(s)$ exists almost everywhere, and then is contained in $\partial\gamma(s)$.

Assume property (a). For each $t \in I$ and each local time function τ at $\gamma(t)$, we consider a neighborhood of $\gamma(t)$ such that τ is a regular Lyapounov function on U . We have $\gamma(s) \in U$ for s close to t . Then, for almost every point s in a neighborhood of t , the derivative $(\tau \circ \gamma)'(s) = d\tau_{\gamma(s)} \cdot \gamma'(s)$ exists and is non negative. This implies that the Lipschitz function $\tau \circ \gamma$ is non decreasing near t .

If (b) does not hold at some time t , then there exists $w \in \partial\gamma(t)$ and a local time function τ at $\gamma(t)$ such that $d\tau_{\gamma(t)} \cdot w < 0$. This implies that $\partial(\tau \circ \gamma)(t)$ contains a negative value, hence that $\tau \circ \gamma$ is not non decreasing near t , i.e. contradicting (c). \square

Given an open cone field \mathcal{E} , we call a locally Lipschitz curve \mathcal{E} -timelike if it satisfies the inclusion $\partial\gamma(t) \subset \mathcal{E}(\gamma(t))$ for each t . In the case of open cone fields, this is stronger than requiring the inclusion $\gamma'(t) \subset \mathcal{E}(\gamma(t))$ for almost every t . Note however that a piecewise smooth curve is timelike according to the present definition if and only if it satisfies the definition given in the introduction (the Clarke differential at a non smooth point of a piecewise smooth curve is the interval whose endpoints are the left and right derivatives at that point). It is equivalent to define the timelike future $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+$ using smooth, piecewise smooth, or Lipschitz timelike curves :

Lemma 2.11. *Let us consider an open cone field \mathcal{E} . For each Lipschitz timelike curve $\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow M$, there exists a smooth timelike curve $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \gamma(0)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(T) = \gamma(T)$.*

Proof. Let us set $x = \gamma(0)$ and work in a local chart at x . Since $\partial\gamma(0) \subset \mathcal{E}(x)$, there exists a compact neighborhood K of $\partial\gamma(0)$ which is contained in $\mathcal{E}(x)$. In view of the semi-continuity of the Clarke differential, we deduce that $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in K$ almost everywhere in some interval $]0, \epsilon[$. As a consequence, the curve $\gamma(0) + t(\gamma(\epsilon) - \gamma(0))/\epsilon$ is timelike on $[0, \epsilon]$. By using the same procedure at T , we can assume that γ is smooth near the boundaries. We can then smooth γ on the whole interval by a standard convolution to a smooth timelike curve. \square

We will also use the concept of Clarke differential of a locally Lipschitz function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The Clarke differential $\partial f(x) \subset T_x^*M$ at the point x is defined as the convex hull of limit points of sequences of the form $(x_n, df(x_n))$ in T^*M , where x_n is a sequence of differentiability points of f converging to x , see [7, Theorem 2.5.1]. It satisfies the equality

$$\partial f_{\gamma(t)} \cdot \gamma'(t) = \partial(f \circ \gamma)(t)$$

for each smooth curve γ , and this characterizes ∂f . In other words, $p \in \partial f(x)$ if and only if $p \cdot \gamma'(t) \in \partial(f \circ \gamma)(t)$ for each smooth curve γ satisfying $\gamma(t) = x$. If h is a C^1 function, then $\partial h(x) = \{dh(x)\}$, and more generally $\partial(h + f)(x) = dh(x) + \partial f(x)$ for each locally Lipschitz function f .

If γ is a locally Lipschitz curve and f a Lipschitz function, then we have the chain rule (see [7, Theorem 2.3.9])

$$\partial(f \circ \gamma)(t_0) \subset \left[\inf_{p,v} p \cdot v, \sup_{p,v} p \cdot v \right]$$

where the sup and inf are taken on $p \in \partial f(\gamma(t_0))$, $v \in \partial\gamma(t_0)$. This inclusion is an equality if γ or f are smooth, as seen above, but may be strict in general.

2.3. Limit curve Lemma. Recall that we have fixed a complete Riemannian metric on M . We consider a closed cone field \mathcal{C} and a sequence \mathcal{E}_n of open cone fields strictly decreasing to \mathcal{C} in the sense of Lemma 2.9.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\gamma_n : I \rightarrow M$ be an equi-Lipschitz sequence of \mathcal{E}_n -timelike curves converging to $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ uniformly on compact subintervals of I , then γ is \mathcal{C} -causal.*

Proof. Note first that γ is Lipschitz. Let $t \in I$ be given, and let τ be a local Lyapounov function at $\gamma(t)$. In view of Lemma 2.10, it is enough to prove that $\tau \circ \gamma$ is non decreasing near t .

Let U be a compact neighborhood of $\gamma(t)$ such that τ is a regular Lyapounov function on U . Then τ is still a regular Lyapounov function on U for the closed cone field $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_n$ for $n \geq n_0$. There exists a neighborhood J of t and $n_1 \geq n_0$ such that $\gamma_n(s) \in U$ for each $s \in J, n \geq n_1$. These properties imply that $\tau \circ \gamma_n$ is non decreasing on J provided $n \geq n_1$. At the limit, we deduce that $\tau \circ \gamma$ is non decreasing on J . \square

It is useful to control the length of the limit curve:

Lemma 2.13. *Let \mathcal{C} be a closed cone field and $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a \mathcal{C} -causal curve which does not contain any singular point of \mathcal{C} . There exists $L > 0$ such that:*

For each $T \in]0, 1[$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and an open enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} such that each \mathcal{E} -timelike curve $\eta : [0, T] \rightarrow M$ satisfying $d(\gamma(t), \eta(t)) \leq \epsilon$ for each $t \in [0, T]$ has a length less than L .

Proof. Let \mathcal{E}_n be a sequence of open cone fields strictly decreasing to \mathcal{C} , and let γ be as in the statement. We denote by $\ell(\gamma)$ the length of a curve γ .

We cover the image of γ by finitely many bounded open sets U_1, \dots, U_k each of which has the property that there exists a local Lyapounov function τ_i on an open neighborhood V_i of U_i , which satisfies $|v|_x/2\delta_i \geq d(\tau_i)_x \cdot v \geq 2\delta_i|v|_x$ for some $\delta_i > 0$, and for each $v \in \mathcal{C}(x), x \in U_i$. We set $\delta := \min \delta_i$ and prove the statement with $L = (1 + \ell(\gamma)/\delta)/\delta$. We consider a sequence $\eta_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ of \mathcal{E}_n -timelike curves converging, uniformly on compact subsets of $[0, 1[$, to γ . We have to prove that $\ell(\eta_n|_{[0, T]}) \leq L$ for n large enough.

Given $T \in]0, 1[$, there exists a finite increasing sequence of times $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$ such that $\gamma|_{[t_j, t_{j+1}]}$ is contained in one of the open sets U_i for each j . Then for n large enough, this is also true for $\eta_n|_{[t_j, t_{j+1}]}$ and $|\tau_i(\eta(t)) - \tau_i(\gamma(t))| \leq \frac{1}{2N}$. We obtain, for n large enough :

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \ell(\eta_n|_{[t_j, t_{j+1}]}) &\leq \tau_i(\eta_n(t_{j+1})) - \tau_i(\eta_n(t_j)) \leq \tau_i(\gamma(t_{j+1})) - \tau_i(\gamma(t_j)) + 1/N \\ &\leq 1/N + \ell(\gamma|_{[t_j, t_{j+1}]})/\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum, we obtain that the inequality

$$\delta \ell(\eta_n|_{[0, T]}) \leq 1 + \ell(\gamma)/\delta$$

holds for n large enough, which ends the proof. \square

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\gamma_n : [0, a_n[\rightarrow M$ be a sequence of \mathcal{E}_n -timelike curves parametrized by arclength, such that $\gamma_n(0)$ is bounded and $a_n \rightarrow \infty$. Then along a subsequence, the sequence γ_n converges, uniformly on compact intervals of $[0, \infty)$, to a limit $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ which is \mathcal{C} -causal and complete in the sense of Definition 1.9.*

Proof. Since the curves γ_n are 1-Lipschitz, Ascoli Arzela’s Theorem gives, for each $T > 0$, the existence of a subsequence along which γ_n converge uniformly on $[0, T]$. By a diagonal extraction, we get a subsequence along which γ_n converge uniformly on compact intervals. By Lemma 2.12, the limit γ is \mathcal{C} -causal. Let us prove that this limit is complete. If it was not complete, it would have finite length and a regular limit $\gamma(\infty) = y$ at infinity. Since the set of regular points is open, there would exist $T > 0$ such that $\gamma([T, \infty))$ contains only regular points. We could reparameterize γ on $[T, \infty)$ to a curve $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ \lambda : [0, 1[\rightarrow M$, and extend $\tilde{\gamma}$ to a causal curve $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$. Lemma 2.13, applied to the causal curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ and the sequence $\tilde{\gamma}_n = \gamma_n \circ \lambda$, gives $L > 0$ such that, for each $S \in]0, 1[$, the curve $\tilde{\gamma}_n|_{[0, S]}$ has length less than L for n large enough. Observing that $\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_n|_{[0, S]}) = \lambda(S) - T$, this would imply that $\lambda(S) \leq T + L$ for each $S \in]0, 1[$. This is a contradiction since λ maps $[0, 1[$ onto $[T, \infty)$. \square

Corollary 2.15. *If \mathcal{C} is a non degenerate closed cone field, then each point is contained in a complete causal curve. Each causal curve which is not forward complete can be extended to a forward complete causal curve (hence each forward inextendible curve is forward complete).*

Proof. The first point is a consequence of Proposition 2.14 and Lemma 2.3. To prove the second point, we consider a causal curve $\gamma : [0, T[\rightarrow M$ which is not complete, parametrized by arclength. Since γ has finite length, T is finite. We consider the limit y of γ at T , and a forward complete causal curve γ_1 starting from y . The concatenation of γ and γ_1 is a forward complete causal curve. \square

More care is needed in the presence of degenerate points, but we have:

Corollary 2.16. *Let \mathcal{C} be a closed cone field. For each $x \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$, there exists a complete causal curve γ passing through x .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{E}_n be a sequence of open cone fields strictly decreasing to \mathcal{C} . For each n , there exists a closed \mathcal{E}_n -timelike curve passing through x , that we see as a periodic \mathcal{E}_n -timelike curve $\gamma_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\gamma_n(0) = x$. The curve γ_n is periodic and not constant, hence it has infinite length. At the limit, we obtain a complete causal curve passing through x . \square

The same method also yields:

Corollary 2.17. *Let $Y \subset K$ be two compact sets. If $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(Y)$ is contained in the interior of K , and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(Y)$ is not contained in K , then there exists a backward complete causal curve $\gamma :]-T, 0] \rightarrow M$ contained in K and such that $\gamma(0) \in \partial K$.*

Proof. For each n , there exists an \mathcal{E}_n -timelike curve $\gamma_n : [-T_n, 0] \rightarrow K$ such that $\gamma_n(0) \in \partial K$ and $\gamma_n(-T_n) \in Y$, parametrized by arclength. If the sequence T_n was bounded, then at the limit we would obtain a \mathcal{C} -causal curve joining a point of Y to a point of ∂K , which contradicts the hypothesis that $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(Y)$ is contained in the interior of K . We deduce that T_n is unbounded, and at the limit we obtain the desired backward complete causal curve. \square

3. Direct Lyapounov Theory

We consider a closed cone field \mathcal{C} and explain how to deduce information about stable causality from the existence of appropriate (smooth) Lyapounov functions. More precisely we prove the following parts of Theorem 1, and some variations:

- If there exists a Lyapounov function τ such that $\tau(x') < \tau(x)$, then $x' \notin \mathcal{F}_C^+(x)$.
- If there exists a Lyapounov function τ such that $d\tau_x \neq 0$, then $x \notin \mathcal{R}_C$.

Definition 3.1. An open set $A \subset M$ is a *trapping domain* for the open cone field \mathcal{E} if $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{E}^+(A) \subset A$. A is a trapping domain for the closed cone field \mathcal{C} if it is a trapping domain for some open enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} .

In the causality theory of space times such sets are called *future sets*, [22].

Lemma 3.2. *If A is a trapping domain for \mathcal{C} , then there exists an enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{E}^+(A) \subset A$, in particular, $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}^+(\bar{A}) \subset A$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{E} be an open enlargement of \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{E}^+(A) \subset A$. By Lemma 2.5, $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{E}^+(\bar{A}) = \mathcal{I}_\mathcal{E}^+(A) \subset A$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let f be a C^1 function, and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. If the inequality $df_x \cdot v > 0$ holds for each $x \in f^{-1}(a)$ and $v \in \mathcal{C}(x)$, then $\{f > a\}$ is a trapping domain.*

In particular, if a is a regular value of the Lyapounov function τ , then $\{\tau > a\}$ is a trapping domain.

The first part of the statement includes the possibility that $\mathcal{C}(x)$ may be empty, in which case there is no condition on f at x .

Proof. Let us consider the open cone field \mathcal{E} defined by $\mathcal{E}(x) = T_x M$ if $f(x) \neq a$ and $\mathcal{E}(x) = \{v \in T_x M : df_x \cdot v > 0\}$ if $f(x) = a$. Our hypothesis on f is that \mathcal{E} is an open enlargement of \mathcal{C} . If $\gamma(t)$ is an \mathcal{E} -timelike curve, then $f \circ \gamma$ is increasing near each time t such that $f \circ \gamma(t) = a$. As a consequence, if $f \circ \gamma(t) > a$, then $f \circ \gamma(s) > a$ for each $s > t$. This implies that $\{f > a\}$ is a trapping domain. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let τ be a Lyapounov function. If $\tau(x') < \tau(x)$, then $x' \notin \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}^+(x)$.*

Proof. Let $a \in]\tau(x'), \tau(x)[$ be a regular value of τ (there exists one by Sard's theorem). We have $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}^+(x) \subset \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}^+(\{\tau > a\}) \subset \{\tau > a\}$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let τ be a Lyapounov function and x a regular point of τ . Then there exists a Lyapounov function $\tilde{\tau}$ which has the same critical set as τ , and such that $\tilde{\tau}(x)$ is a regular value of $\tilde{\tau}$. This implies that x is not stably recurrent.*

Proof. Given a neighborhood U of x on which τ is regular, let f be a smooth function supported in U and such that $f(x) = 1$. For $\delta > 0$ small enough, the function $\tau + sf$ is a Lyapounov function, which is regular on U for each $s \in]-\delta, \delta[$. The interval $]\tau(x) - \delta, \tau(x) + \delta[$ contains a regular value a of τ . The function $\tilde{\tau} := \tau + (a - \tau(x))f$ is a Lyapounov function which is regular on U . The number $a := \tilde{\tau}(x)$ is a regular value of $\tilde{\tau} : \text{If } \tilde{\tau}(y) = a$, then either $y \in U$ and then $d\tilde{\tau}_y \neq 0$ or y does not belong to the support of f , and then $d\tilde{\tau}_y = d\tau_y \neq 0$ since a is a regular value of τ . \square

4. Smoothing

The goal of the present section is to prove the following regularization statement, which is one of our main technical tools to prove the existence of Lyapounov functions. We work with a closed cone field \mathcal{C} on the manifold M . We say that the open set $A \subset M$ is smooth if its boundary is a smooth submanifold, we say that the open set $A \subset M$ is smooth near the set X if there exists an open set U containing X such that $U \cap A$ is smooth in U .

Proposition 4.1. *Let A_0 be a trapping domain, let F_i be a closed set contained in A_0 , let F_e be a closed set disjoint from \bar{A}_0 , and let θ_0 be a point in the boundary of A_0 .*

Then there exists a smooth (near $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$) trapping domain A'_0 which contains F_i , whose boundary contains θ_0 , and whose closure is disjoint from F_e .

The proof is given in 4.3 after the exposition of preliminary material.

4.1. Local properties of trapping domains. Given an open set $A \subset M$ and $x \in \partial A$, we say that A is *locally trapping at x* if one of the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (i) There exists an open cone field \mathcal{E} which contains $\{x\} \times \mathcal{C}(x)$ and such that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(A) \subset A$.
- (ii) There exists a compact neighborhood K of x such that A is trapping for \mathcal{C}_K (the cone field equal to \mathcal{C} on K and degenerate outside of K).
- (iii) There exists an neighborhood U of x such that $A \cap U$ is trapping for the cone field \mathcal{C} on U .

Lemma 4.2. *The open set A is a trapping domain for \mathcal{C} if and only if it is locally trapping at each point $x \in \partial A$.*

Proof. If A is a trapping domain, then there exists an open enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(A) \subset A$. This implies that \mathcal{C} is locally trapping A at each point of ∂A .

Let us now prove the converse. For each point $x \in \partial A$, there exists an open cone field \mathcal{E}_x such that $\mathcal{C}(x) \subset \mathcal{E}_x(x)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_x}^+(A) \subset A$. The inclusion $\mathcal{C}(y) \subset \mathcal{E}_x(y)$ then holds for all y in an open neighborhood \tilde{U}_x of x in ∂A . We consider a sequence x_i such that the open sets U_{x_i} form a locally finite covering of ∂A . For each $x \in \partial A$, we denote by $J(x)$ the finite set of indices such that $x \in \tilde{U}_{x_i}$. Since the covering is locally finite, there exists a neighborhood V of x in ∂A which is disjoint from U_{x_i} for each $i \notin J(x)$. We define, for each $x \in \partial A$, the open cone $\mathcal{E}(x) := \bigcap_{i \in J(x)} \mathcal{E}_{x_i}(x)$. For $x \notin \partial A$, we set $\mathcal{E}(x) = T_x M$. We claim that $\mathcal{E} := \bigcup_{x \in M} \{x\} \times \mathcal{E}(x)$ is an open cone field. Indeed, for each $x \in A$, the intersection $\bigcap_{i \in J(x)} \mathcal{E}_{x_i}$ is an open cone field which is contained in \mathcal{E} in a neighborhood of x , and equal to \mathcal{E} at x .

By construction, \mathcal{E} is an enlargement of \mathcal{C} . Let us verify that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(A) \subset A$. If not, there exists an \mathcal{E} -timelike curve γ such that $\gamma(t) \in A$ on $[0, T[$ and $\gamma(T) \in \partial A$. We have $\dot{\gamma}(T) \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma(T)) \subset \mathcal{E}_{x_i}(\gamma(T))$ for some i (any i such that $\gamma(T) \in U_{x_i}$). For this fixed i , the curve $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ is then \mathcal{E}_{x_i} -timelike on $[S, T[$ for some $S < T$. This contradicts the inclusion $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{x_i}}^+(A) \subset A$. \square

Let \mathcal{E} be an non degenerate open cone field, and A be a trapping domain for $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(x)$ is regular for all $x \in \partial A$. By Lemma 2.4, at each point $x \in \partial A$, there exists a chart $\phi : B^{d-1}(2) \times]-2, 2[\rightarrow M$ which sends $(0, 0)$ to x and has the property that

$$Q_1 \subset \phi^* \hat{\mathcal{E}}(y, z) \subset Q_0$$

for all $(y, z) \in B^{d-1}(2) \times]-2, 2[$. We recall that $B^d(r)$ is the open ball of radius r centered at 0 in \mathbb{R}^d and that $Q_s, s \geq 0$ is the open cone $Q_s = \{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} : z > s|y|\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a 1-Lipschitz function $g : B^{d-1}(2) \rightarrow]-2, 2[$ such that $\phi^{-1}(A)$ is the open epigraph $\{z > g(y)\}$, hence $\phi^{-1}(\partial A)$ is the graph of g . Note that $g(0) = 0$.*

Proof. Let us define the function $g(y) = \inf\{z \in]-2, 2[: \phi(y, z) \in A\}$. Since $\phi(0, 0) \in \partial A$ and $Q_1 \subset \phi^* \bar{\mathcal{E}}$ there exists for all $y \in B^{d-1}(2)$ a $z < 2$ with $\phi(y, z) \in A$. Then $\phi(y, g(y)) \in \bar{A}$ for each $y \in B^{d-1}(2)$. The curve $t \mapsto \phi(y, z + t)$ is $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ -causal hence the set $\{(y, z) : g(y) < z < 2\}$ is contained in A . Furthermore, since $Q_1 \subset \phi^* \bar{\mathcal{E}}$, the curve $\phi(y + tv, g(y) + t)$ is $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ -causal for each $y \in B^{d-1}(1)$ and $v \in \bar{B}^{d-1}(1)$. This implies that g is 1-Lipschitz. \square

Let \mathcal{C} be a closed cone field on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ be a trapping domain which is the open epigraph of the Lipschitz function $g : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 4.4. *For each point $x = (y, g(y))$ of ∂A , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *The domain A is locally trapping at x .*
- (b) *The inequality $v_z > p \cdot v_y$ holds for each $(v_y, v_z) \in \mathcal{C}(x)$, and each $p \in \partial g(y)$.*

Proof. If (b) does not hold, there exists $p \in \partial g(y)$ and $(v_y, v_z) \in \mathcal{C}(x)$ such that $p \cdot v_y \geq v_z$. Then for each open cone field \mathcal{E} containing $\{x\} \times \mathcal{C}(x)$, there exists $w = (w_y, w_z) \in \mathcal{E}(x)$ such that $w_z < p \cdot w_y$. Then there exists an open interval I containing 0 and a neighborhood V of x such that the curve $t \mapsto x' + tw$ is \mathcal{E} -timelike on I for each $x' \in V$. The inequality $w_z < p \cdot w_y$ implies that the Clarke differential of the function $t \mapsto g(y + tw_y) - tw_z$ contains a positive value. As a consequence, this function is not non increasing in any neighborhood of 0. In other words, there exists $t_1 < t_2$ in I such that

$$g(y + t_1 w_y) - t_1 w_z < g(y + t_2 w_y) - t_2 w_z.$$

We can assume moreover that t_1 is sufficiently small to have $(y, g(y + t_1 w_y) - t_1 w_z) \in V$. This implies that the curve

$$\eta = (\eta_y, \eta_z) : t \mapsto (y + tw_y, g(y + t_1 w_y) + (t - t_1)w_z)$$

is \mathcal{E} -timelike on I . We observe that $\eta_z(t_1) = g(\eta_y(t_1))$ and $\eta_z(t_2) < g(\eta_y(t_2))$. As a consequence, we do not have $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(\bar{A}) \subset A$. We have proved that A is not locally trapping at x .

Conversely, let us assume that (b) holds and consider the cone

$$\Omega = \{(v_y, v_z) : v_z > p \cdot v_y, \forall p \in \partial g(y)\}.$$

Since $\partial g(y)$ is compact, this is an open cone. We consider an open cone Ω_1 such that

$$\mathcal{C}(x) \subset \Omega_1 \subset \hat{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega.$$

In view of the semi-continuity of the Clarke differential, there exists an open neighborhood U of y in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} such that the inequality $v_z > \sup_{p \in \partial g(y')} p \cdot v_y$ holds for each $(v_y, v_z) \in \Omega_1$ and each $y' \in U$. We consider the open cone field \mathcal{E} which is equal to Ω_1 on $U \times \mathbb{R}$ and empty outside, and prove that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(A) \subset A$. Otherwise, there exists a smooth curve $\gamma = (\gamma_y, \gamma_z)$, which is timelike for \mathcal{E} , and such that $\gamma_z(T) = g(\gamma_y(T))$ and $\gamma_z(t) > g(\gamma_y(t))$ for each $t \in [0, T[$. Then, we have $\gamma_y(T) \in U$ and $(\dot{\gamma}_y(T), \dot{\gamma}_z(T)) \in \Omega_1$ hence

$$\dot{\gamma}_z(T) > \sup_{p \in \partial g(\gamma_y(T))} p \cdot \dot{\gamma}_y(T).$$

This implies that the function $\gamma_z(t) - g(\gamma_y(t))$ is increasing near $t = T$, a contradiction. \square

4.2. De Rham smoothing.

Proposition 4.5. *For each Lipschitz function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists a family $g_s, s > 0$ of Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{R}^d which converge uniformly to g as $s \rightarrow 0$ and such that:*

- (a) g_s is smooth on $B^d(1)$ and equal to g outside of this ball for each $s > 0$, and moreover g_s is smooth on any open subset $O \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ where g is already smooth.
- (b) $\limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} (\text{Lip } g_s) \leq \text{Lip } g$.
- (c) If $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ is an open set containing the graph $\partial g := \{(x, p) : x \in B^d(1), p \in \partial g(x)\}$ of the Clarke differential of g , then V contains the graph $\partial g_s := \{(x, p) : x \in B^d(1), p \in \partial g_s(x)\}$ for s small enough.

If y_1, \dots, y_N are finitely many points in $B^d(1)$, then we can assume in addition that $g_s(y_i) = g(y_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, N$ and each $s > 0$.

Proof. We use the de Rham smoothing procedure. We follow the notations of [3, Lemma A.1]. There exists a smooth action $a : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, (y, x) \mapsto a(y, x)$ of \mathbb{R}^d on itself (meaning that $a(y, a(y', x)) = a(y + y', x)$) such that:

- $a(y, x) = x$ for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d - B^d(1)$
- The action of \mathbb{R}^d on $B^d(1)$ is conjugated to the standard action of \mathbb{R}^d on itself by translations (there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : B^d(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\varphi \circ a(y, \varphi(x)) = y + \varphi(x)$).
- The diffeomorphisms $a_y : x \rightarrow a(y, x)$ converge to the identity C^1 -uniformly for $y \rightarrow 0$.

Given a Lipschitz function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$g_s(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} s^{-d} g(a(y, x)) \rho(-y/s) dy$$

where ρ is a mollification kernel supported in $B^d(1)$. Properties (a) and (b) are proved, for example, in [3, Lemma A.1]. Let us now prove property (c).

We denote by $V(x) \subset (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ the set of p such that $(x, p) \in V$. We cover the compact set $\bar{B}^d(1)$ by finitely many balls B_i each of which has the following property: There exists convex open sets W_i and V_i in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ such that $\partial g(x) \subset W_i \subset \bar{W}_i \subset V_i \subset V(x)$ for each $x \in 2B_i$ (the ball of same center and double radius).

For each i , we define $n_i(v) := \sup_{p \in W_i} p \cdot v$ and $m_i(v) = \sup_{p \in V_i} p \cdot v$ which are convex and positively one-homogeneous (hence subadditive) functions. Since $\bar{W}_i \subset V_i$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $m_i(v) \geq n_i(v) + \delta|v|$. Note that V_i (resp. W_i) is precisely the set of linear forms p satisfying $p \cdot v \leq m_i(v)$ (resp. $n_i(v)$) for each v . The function g is n_i -Lipschitz on $2B_i$, which means that

$$g(x') - g(x) \leq n_i(x' - x)$$

for each x and x' in $2B_i$. Since the diffeomorphisms a_y converge to the identity C^1 -uniformly as $y \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |a(y, x') - a(y, x) - x' + x| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 (\partial_x a(y, x + t(x' - x)) - Id) \cdot (x' - x) dt \right| \leq \epsilon(|y|)|x' - x| \end{aligned}$$

with a function ϵ converging to 0 at 0. For s small enough, we have $a(y, x) \in 2B_i$ for each $x \in B_i$ and $|y| \leq s$, and $\epsilon(s) < \delta$. We then obtain, for x and x' in B_i ,

$$\begin{aligned} |g_s(x') - g_s(x)| &\leq \int s^{-d} |g \circ a_y(x') - g \circ a_y(x)| \rho(-y/s) dy \\ &\leq \int s^{-d} n_i(a_y(x') - a_y(x)) \rho(-y/s) dy \\ &\leq \int s^{-d} m_i(x' - x) \rho(-y/s) dy = m_i(x' - x). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $dg_s(x) \cdot v \leq m_i(v)$ for each v , at each point of differentiability x of g_s in B_i , hence that $\partial g_s(x) \subset V_i \subset V(x)$ for each $x \in B_i$. Since the covering B_i is finite, this inclusion holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ provided s is small enough.

The function g_s constructed so far does not necessarily satisfy the additional conditions $g_s(y_i) = g(y_i)$. We thus consider the modified function

$$\tilde{g}_s(x) = g_s(x) + \sum_{i=1}^N (g(y_i) - g_s(y_i)) h_i(x),$$

where $h_i, 1 \leq i \leq N$ are non negative smooth functions supported on $B^d(1)$ and satisfying $h_i(y_i) = 1$ and $h_i(y_j) = 0$ for $j \neq i$. This modified family of functions satisfies the three points of the statements since $g_s(y_i) \rightarrow g(y_i)$ for each i , and

$$\partial \tilde{g}_s(x) = \partial g_s(x) + \sum_i (g(y_i) - g_s(y_i)) dh_x$$

for each x . \square

4.3. Proof of Proposition 4.1. We first give the proof under the assumption that $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) = M$. Since A_0 is also a trapping domain for some open enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} (Lemma 3.2), we can assume without loss of generality that \mathcal{C} is the closed hull of a non degenerate open cone field.

We consider a locally finite covering of ∂A_0 by domains

$$U_k(1) = \phi_k(B^{d-1}(1) \times] - 1, 1[)$$

associated to charts $\phi_k : B^{d-1}(2) \times] - 2, 2[\rightarrow M, k \geq 1$ which have the property that

$$Q_1 \subset \phi_k^* \mathcal{C}(y, z) \subset Q_0$$

for all $(y, z) \in B^{d-1}(2) \times] - 2, 2[$. We denote by x_k the points $\phi_k(0), k \geq 1$ and set $x_0 = \theta_0$. We moreover assume that the open sets $U_k(2) := \phi_k(B^{d-1}(2) \times] - 2, 2[)$ are all disjoint from F_i and F_e .

By Lemma 4.3, the open set $\phi_1^{-1}(A_0)$ is the epigraph of a 1-Lipschitz function $f_1 : B^{d-1}(2) \rightarrow] - 2, 2[$ such that $f_1(0) = 0$. The bounded set $U_1(1)$ contains finitely many of the points x_i . We denote by y_1, \dots, y_N the first component of the preimages of these points. So those of the points x_i which are contained in $U_1(1)$ are $\phi_1(y_1, f_1(y_1)), \dots, \phi_1(y_N, f_1(y_N))$.

For each $y \in B^{d-1}(2)$, the inequality $v_z > p \cdot v_y$ holds for each $(v_y, v_z) \in \phi_1^* \mathcal{C}(y, f_1(y))$ and each $p \in \partial f_1(y)$ by Lemma 4.4. By a compactness argument, we

find an open neighborhood W_1 of $\{(y, f_1(y)), y \in \bar{B}^{d-1}(1)\}$, and an open neighborhood V_1 of

$$\partial f_1 := \{(y, p), y \in \bar{B}^{d-1}(1), p \in \partial f_1(y)\}$$

with the property that the inequality $v_z > p \cdot v_y$ holds for each $x = (y, z) \in W_1$, each $p \in V_1(y)$, and each $(v_y, v_z) \in \phi_1^* \mathcal{C}(x)$. We have denoted by $V_1(y)$ the set of linear forms p such that $(y, p) \in V_1$.

By Proposition 4.5, there exists a function $g_1 : B^{d-1}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is 2-Lipschitz, smooth on $B^{d-1}(1)$, equal to f_1 outside of $B^{d-1}(1)$, and satisfies:

- $\partial g_1 = \{(y, p), y \in \bar{B}^{d-1}(1), p \in \partial g_1(y)\} \subset V_1$,
- $(y, g_1(y)) \in W_1$ for each $y \in B^{d-1}(1)$,
- $g_1(y_j) = f_1(y_j)$ for $j = 0, \dots, N$.

In particular, $g_1(0) = 0$, hence g_1 takes values in $] - 2, 2[$.

Let A_1 be the open set such that $A_1 \cap (M - U_1(1)) = A_0 \cap (M - U_1(1))$ and such that $\phi_1^{-1}(A_1)$ is the open epigraph of g_1 . The domain A_1 is locally trapping at each point of its boundary. Indeed, such a point x either belongs to $\partial A_0 \cap (M - \bar{U}_1(1))$, and then $A_1 = A_0$ near x , or it is of the form $\phi_1(y, g_1(y))$ for some $y \in \bar{B}^{d-1}(1)$. In this second case, we have $\phi_1^{-1}(x) = (y, g_1(y)) \in W_1$, hence the inequality $v_z > p \cdot v_y$ holds for each $(v_y, v_z) \in \phi_1^* \mathcal{C}(x)$ and each $p \in \partial g_1(y) \subset V_1(y)$. The conclusion then follows from Lemma 4.4. We deduce by Lemma 4.2 that A_1 is a trapping domain for \mathcal{C} .

By the same method, we build inductively a sequence $A_m, m \geq 0$ of trapping domains which have the following properties:

- ∂A_m contains all the points x_k (hence the point θ_0), F_i is contained in A_m and F_e is disjoint from \bar{A}_m .
- The boundary ∂A_m is contained in $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} U_k(1)$, and its intersection with $\bigcup_{m \geq k \geq 1} U_k(1)$ is a smooth hypersurface.
- The symmetric difference between A_m and A_{m-1} is contained in $U_m(1)$.

We denote by $A'_0 := \liminf A_m$ the set of points x which belong to all but finitely many of the sets A_m . We claim that A'_0 satisfies the conclusions of Proposition 4.1. Since the covering $U_k(1)$ is locally finite, the intersection $A_m \cap K$ stabilizes to $A'_0 \cap K$ for each compact K , i. e. $K \cap A_m = K \cap A'_0$ for all m large enough. This implies that A'_0 is open, and that $\partial(A'_0) = \liminf \partial(A_m)$. This boundary is smooth, contains all the points x_k , and is contained in $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} U_k(1)$.

To prove that A'_0 is a trapping domain, it is enough to observe that it is locally trapping at each point x of its boundary. Since the sequence A_k stabilizes in a neighborhood of x , this follows from the fact that each of the open sets A_k is trapping. This ends the proof of Proposition 4.1 under the assumption that $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) = M$.

In case that $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) \neq M$ we consider an enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} such that A_0 is a trapping domain for $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ (Lemmas 3.2 and 2.8). We can apply the result just proved on the manifold $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$, to the cone field $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. We deduce the existence of a smooth trapping region A'_0 for $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ which contains $F_i \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$, is disjoint from $F_e \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$, and whose boundary contains θ_0 . Let O be an open subset of M which contains F_i and whose closure is disjoint from F_e , and let $Z \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ be a closed neighborhood of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ in M . The open set $A'_0 \cup ((M - Z) \cap O)$ then satisfies the conclusions of Proposition 4.1. \square

5. Existence of Lyapounov Functions

We consider in this section a closed cone field \mathcal{C} and prove several existence results for Lyapounov functions, in particular Theorems 1, 2 and 3.

5.1. Smooth trapping domains and Lyapounov functions. We associate (smooth) Lyapounov functions to smooth trapping domains:

Proposition 5.1. *Let A be smooth trapping domain, then there exists a (smooth) Lyapounov function $\tau : M \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ such that $A = \{\tau > 0\}$ and all values in $] - 1, 1[$ are regular values of A (hence $\partial A = \{\tau = 0\}$).*

If F_i and F_e are closed sets contained in A and disjoint from \bar{A} , respectively, we can moreover impose that $\tau = 1$ on F_i and $\tau = -1$ on F_e .

Proof. We consider a collar of the hypersurface $H := \partial A$ in the manifold $M - (F_e \cup F_i)$, that is a smooth embedding $\psi : H \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M - (F_e \cup F_i)$ such that $\psi(H \times \{0\}) = \partial A$ and $\psi^{-1}(A) = H \times]0, \infty[$. We will prove the existence of a Lyapounov function $\tilde{\tau} : H \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ for the cone field $\psi^*\mathcal{C}$, which has the following properties:

- $\tilde{\tau} = 0$ on $H \times \{0\}$.
- $\tilde{\tau} = 1$ on $H \times [1, \infty[$ and $\tilde{\tau} = -1$ on $H \times (-\infty, -1]$.
- The values in $] - 1, 1[$ are regular for $\tilde{\tau}$.

Assuming the existence of the function $\tilde{\tau}$, we obtain the Lyapounov function τ on M as follows: $\tau = \tilde{\tau} \circ \psi^{-1}$ on $U = \psi(H \times \mathbb{R})$, $\tau = 1$ on $A - U$, and $\tau = -1$ on $M - (A \cup U)$.

Let us now prove the existence of the Lyapounov function $\tilde{\tau}$ on $H \times \mathbb{R}$. We denote by (y, z) the points of $H \times \mathbb{R}$. The cone field

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(y, z) = \psi^*\mathcal{C}(y, z) = (d\psi_{(y,z)}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{C}(\psi(y, z)))$$

is a closed cone field on $H \times \mathbb{R}$. The cones $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(y, 0)$ satisfy $v_z > 0$ for each $(v_y, v_z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(y, 0)$. Fixing a Riemannian metric on H , there exists a smooth positive function $\delta(y)$ on H such that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(y, 0) \subset \{(v_y, v_z) : v_z \geq 3\delta(y)\|v_y\|\}$$

for each $y \in H$. Then, there exists a smooth positive function ϵ on H such that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(y, z) \subset \{(v_y, v_z) : v_z \geq 2\delta(y)\|v_y\|\}$$

provided $|z| \leq \epsilon(y)$. Let $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth positive function such that $\|df_y\| \leq \delta(y)$ and $f(y) \leq \epsilon(y)$ for all $y \in H$, see Lemma 5.4 below for the existence of such a function. We set

$$\tilde{\tau}(y, z) = \phi(z/f(y)),$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ is a smooth nondecreasing function which has positive derivative on $] - 1, 1[$ and is equal to 1 on $[1, \infty[$ and to -1 on $(-\infty, -1]$. The set of regular points of the function $\tilde{\tau}$ is $\{(y, z) : |z| < f(y)\} = \tilde{\tau}^{-1}(]-1, 1[)$. At such a point (y, z) , we compute

$$d\tilde{\tau}_{(y,z)} \cdot (v_x, v_z) = \frac{\phi'(z/f(y))}{f(y)} \left(v_z - \frac{z}{f(y)} df_y \cdot v_y \right) \geq \frac{\phi'(z/f(y))}{2f(y)} v_z$$

for $(v_y, v_z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(y, z)$ since $|(z/f(y))df_y \cdot v_y| \leq \delta(y)\|v_y\| \leq v_z/2$. \square

We will also need a variant of the above result.

Corollary 5.2. *Let A be a trapping domain which is smooth near $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. Then there exists a Lyapounov function $\tau : M \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ such that $A = \{\tau > 0\}$ and such that τ is regular at each point of $\tau^{-1}(] - 1, 1[) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$.*

If F_i and F_e are closed sets contained in A and disjoint from \bar{A} , respectively, we can moreover impose that $\tau = 1$ on F_i and $\tau = -1$ on F_e .

We recall the classical:

Lemma 5.3. *For $A \subset M$ open there exists a non negative smooth function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $A = \{f > 0\}$.*

Proof. Choose a locally finite open cover $\{B_i\}_i$ of A and a subordinate partition of unity $\{\lambda_i\}_i$. There exists a positive sequence $\{a_i\}_i$ (see [9] for example) such that $f := \sum_i a_i \lambda_i$ is smooth. It satisfies the desired property. \square

Proof of Corollary 5.2. Let U be an open neighborhood of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\partial A \cap U$ is smooth. Let V be the complement of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $T : U \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ be a Lyapounov function of \mathcal{C} on U such that $A \cap U = \{T > 0\}$ and all points in $] - 1, 1[$ are regular values of T . We obtain such a function by applying Proposition 5.1 on the manifold U . Using Lemma 5.3 we can choose a smooth function f on M such that $f = 1$ on F_i , $f > 0$ on A , $f < 0$ outside of \bar{A} , and $f = -1$ on F_e . Let g, h be a partition of unity associated to the open covering (U, V) of M . We set $\tau = gT + hf$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let N be a Riemannian manifold, and let $\epsilon(x)$ be a positive continuous function on N . There exists a smooth function $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(x)| \leq \epsilon(x)$ and $|df(x)| \leq \epsilon(x)$ for each x .*

Proof. We consider a locally finite partition of the unity by smooth compactly supported function g_i , and a function f of the form $f = \sum_i a_i g_i$ for some positive sequence a_i .

We set $h(x) := 1 + \sum_i |dg_i(x)|$. This is a continuous positive function (the sum is locally finite). We claim that the function $f = \sum a_i g_i$ satisfies the desired inequalities provided $0 < a_i < \min_{x \in K_i} \epsilon / h$, where K_i is the support of g_i .

To prove the claim, we define for each x the finite set $I(x)$ of indices i such that $x \in K_i$. For each $i \in I(x)$, we have $a_i < \epsilon(x) / h(x)$, hence

$$f(x) = \sum_{i \in I(x)} a_i g_i(x) < (\epsilon(x) / h(x)) \sum_i g_i(x) < \epsilon(x).$$

Moreover, $|df(x)| \leq \sum_{i \in I(x)} a_i |dg_i(x)| < \epsilon(x)$. \square

5.2. Conley theory for closed cone fields. We prove Theorems 1 and 2. We say that a is a relative regular value of τ if $\tau^{-1}(a) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ consists of regular points of τ .

Proposition 5.5. *If x is not stably recurrent, then there exists a Lyapounov function τ such that $\tau(x)$ is in the interior of the set of relative regular values of τ (in particular, τ is regular at x).*

Proof. There are two cases. Either $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is degenerate, or there exists an enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} such that $x \notin \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x)$ and such that $\mathcal{E}(x) \neq \emptyset$.

In the first case, the point x belongs to the open set $M - \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$. Then there exists a smooth function τ compactly supported inside this open set, and such that $\tau(x)$ is in the interior of the set of regular values of τ . This function τ is a Lyapounov function.

In the second case, the set $A_0 := \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x)$ is a trapping domain for \mathcal{C} whose boundary contains x . Proposition 4.1 gives the existence of a trapping domain which is smooth near $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ and whose boundary contains x . Corollary 5.2 then implies the existence of the desired Lyapounov function. \square

Proposition 5.6. *Let x and x' be two points such that x' does not belong to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$. Then there exists a Lyapounov function $\tau : M \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ such that $\tau(x') = -1$, $\tau(x) = 1$, and all values in $] - 1, 1[$ are relative regular values of τ .*

Proof. We consider two cases. Either $\mathcal{C}(x) = \emptyset$ or there exists an enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} such that $x' \notin \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x) \cup \{x\}$ and $\mathcal{E}(x) \neq \emptyset$.

In the first case, we take a smooth function τ which is equal to 1 in a small neighborhood of x and -1 in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) \cup \{x'\}$.

In the second case, the set $A_0 := \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^+(x)$ is a trapping domain containing x in its closure and not containing x' . Proposition 4.1 then implies the existence of a smooth (near $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$) trapping domain containing x in its closure and not containing x' . Corollary 5.2 implies the existence of a Lyapounov function $\tilde{\tau} : M \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ such that $\tilde{\tau}(x') \leq 0$ and $\tilde{\tau}(x) \geq 0$ and values in $] - 1, 1[$ are relatively regular. By slightly perturbing $\tilde{\tau}$ near x if necessary, we can assume that $\tilde{\tau}(x) > 0$. We then set $\tau = f \circ \tilde{\tau}$, with a non-decreasing smooth function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ which has positive derivative on $] \tilde{\tau}(x'), \tilde{\tau}(x)[$ and sends this interval onto $] - 1, 1[$. \square

Theorem 1 obviously follows from the two propositions above. Let us prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us consider the set \mathcal{L} of Lyapounov functions τ which have the property that they take values in $[-1, 1]$ and that each point of $\tau^{-1}(] - 1, 1]) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ is regular for τ (in other words, values in $] - 1, 1[$ are relative regular values). We endow \mathcal{L} with the topology of C^1 convergence on compact sets. Being a subset of the separable metric space $C_{loc}^1(M, \mathbb{R})$, it is a separable metric space. We consider a dense sequence τ_i in \mathcal{L} . There exists a positive sequence a_i such that $\tau = \sum a_i \tau_i$ converges in C^k for each k on each compact set (see [9] for example). We can moreover assume that $a_{i+1} \leq a_i/5$. We claim that the sum τ then satisfies all the conclusions of Theorem 2.

For each point $x \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$ which is not stably recurrent, there exists a Lyapounov function $f \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $df_x \neq 0$, by Corollary 5.2. As a consequence, there exists i such that $d\tau_i(x) \neq 0$. If $v \in \mathcal{C}(x)$ is not zero, then all terms of the sum $d\tau_x \cdot v = \sum_i a_i d\tau_i(x) \cdot v$ are non negative, and one of them is positive, hence the sum is positive. We deduce that x is a regular point of τ .

Let us then consider two points $x \neq x'$ in M such that $x' \in \mathcal{F}^+(x)$ and $x \notin \mathcal{F}^+(x')$. The first point implies that $\tau(x') \geq \tau(x)$ for each Lyapounov function τ . The second point implies, by Proposition 5.6, the existence of a function $f \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $f(x') = 1$, $f(x) = -1$. By density, there exists j such that $\tau_j(x') > \tau_j(x)$. The difference $\tau(x') - \tau(x)$ is thus the sum of non negative terms one of which is positive, hence $\tau(x') > \tau(x)$.

Finally, if x and x' are two stably recurrent points which do not belong to the same stable class, then there exists i such that $\tau_i(x) \neq \tau_i(x')$. We consider the first index j

with this property. Since x' and x are stably recurrent, we necessarily have that $\tau_j(x') = \pm 1$ and $\tau_j(x) = \pm 1$ (the only critical values of τ_j). We assume for definiteness that $\tau_j(x') = 1, \tau_j(x) = -1$. Then

$$\tau(x') - \tau(x) = \sum_i a_i(\tau_i(x') - \tau_i(x)) \geq a_j - \sum_{i>j} a_i \geq 3a_j/4 > 0$$

since $a_i \leq a_j 5^{i-j}$ for each $i \geq j$. We conclude that $\tau(x') \neq \tau(x)$. \square

5.3. *More existence results of Lyapounov functions.* We will use the following easy Lemma in our next result:

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\tau_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, be finitely many non negative Lyapounov functions, then the product $\tau = \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_k$ is a non negative Lyapounov function. If all the τ_i are regular at some point x_0 , then so is τ .*

Proof. By induction, it is enough to prove the statement for $k = 2$. The expression

$$d\tau(x) = \tau_1(x)d\tau_2(x) + \tau_2(x)d\tau_1(x)$$

implies that $d\tau_x \cdot v \geq 0$ for each $(x, v) \in \mathcal{C}$. Assume now that there exists $(x, v) \in \mathcal{C}, v \neq 0$, such that $d\tau_x \cdot v = 0$. Then each of the terms $\tau_1(x)d\tau_2(x) \cdot v$ and $\tau_2(x)d\tau_1(x) \cdot v$ vanish, which implies that each of the linear forms $\tau_1(x)d\tau_2(x)$ and $\tau_2(x)d\tau_1(x)$ vanish, hence that $d\tau(x) = 0$. We have proved that τ is a Lyapounov function. If the functions τ_1 and τ_2 are regular at x_0 , then $\tau_i(x_0) > 0$ and we see that $d\tau(x_0) \neq 0$. \square

For $K \subset M$, we set $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\pm}(K) := \bigcup_{x \in K} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\pm}(x)$.

Proposition 5.8. *Let $K \subset M$ be a compact set. Then there exists a non negative Lyapounov function τ_+ such that $\tau_+ = 0$ on K (hence on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(K)$) and $\tau_+ > 0$ outside of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(K)$. This implies in particular that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(K)$ is closed. The function τ_+ can be chosen regular on $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) - (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(K) \cup \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}})$.*

There also exists a non positive Lyapounov function τ_- such that $\tau_- = 0$ on K (hence on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(K)$) and $\tau_- < 0$ outside of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(K)$. This implies that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(K)$ is closed. The function τ_- can be chosen regular on $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}) - (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(K) \cup \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}})$.

Proof. The second part of the statement is a consequence of the first part applied to the reversed cone $-\mathcal{C}$. More precisely, we have $\tau_-(\mathcal{C}) = -\tau_+(-\mathcal{C})$.

To prove the first part, we fix a point $x_0 \in M - \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(K)$. For each $y \in K$, there exists a Lyapounov function f such that $f(y) < f(x_0)$. If moreover $x_0 \notin \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$, then the function f can be chosen regular at x_0 . By composing f on the left with a non decreasing function, we deduce the existence of a Lyapounov function τ_y such that $\tau_y \geq 0, \tau_y = 0$ in a neighborhood U_y of y , and $\tau_y(x_0) > 0$. If $x_0 \notin \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$, then in addition τ_y is regular at x_0 .

Since K is compact, there exist finitely many points y_1, \dots, y_k such that the corresponding open sets U_{y_i} cover K . The product $\tau_0 := \tau_{y_1} \tau_{y_2} \cdots \tau_{y_k}$ is a non negative Lyapounov function such that $\tau_0(x_0) > 0$, and, if $x_0 \notin \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}, d\tau_0(x_0) \neq 0$.

For each $x_0 \in M - \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(K)$, we have proved the existence of an open neighborhood V_0 of x_0 and of a non negative Lyapounov function τ which is null on K and positive on V_0 . We can cover the separable metric space $M - \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(K)$ by a sequence V_i of open sets

such that, for each i , there exists a non negative Lyapounov function τ_i which is null on K and positive on V_i . Then there exists a positive sequence a_i such that $\tau := \sum_i a_i \tau_i$ is a smooth non negative function which is positive on $M - \mathcal{F}_C^-(K)$.

By exactly the same method we can also obtain a non negative Lyapounov function τ which is null on K and which has the property that $d\tau_x \cdot v > 0$ for each $x \in M - (\mathcal{F}_C^-(K) \cup \mathcal{R}_C)$ and $v \in \mathcal{C}(x)$. \square

By adding the functions τ_+ and τ_- , we obtain:

Corollary 5.9. *Given a compact $K \subset M$, there exists a Lyapounov function which is null on K and regular on $\mathcal{D}(C) - (\mathcal{F}_C(K, K) \cup \mathcal{R}_C)$, where $\mathcal{F}_C(K, K) := \mathcal{F}_C^+(K) \cap \mathcal{F}_C^-(K)$.*

Let us also state the following :

Proposition 5.10. *Let $A \subset M$ be a trapping domain. There exists a Lyapounov function τ such that $\tau > 0$ on A and $\tau < 0$ outside of \bar{A} . The function τ can be chosen regular on $\mathcal{D}(C) - (\mathcal{R}_C \cup \partial A)$.*

Proof. We consider an enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} such that A is a trapping domain for $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$.

We first fix a point $x_0 \in A$ and prove the existence of a Lyapounov function which is non negative, null outside of A , positive at x_0 and, if x_0 is not stably recurrent, regular at x_0 .

We consider a point $x_1 \in A \cap \mathcal{I}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}}^-(x_0)$. Then the set $A_1 := \mathcal{I}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}}^+(x_1)$ is open, it contains $F_i := \mathcal{F}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}}^+(x_0)$, and its closure is contained in $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}}^+(x_1)$, hence in A . In other words, the closure of A_1 is disjoint from the set $F_e := M - A$. By Proposition 4.1, there exists a smooth (near $\mathcal{D}(C)$) trapping domain A'_1 which contains F_i and whose closure is disjoint from F_e . By Proposition 5.1, there exists a Lyapounov function $\tau : M \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ (for C) which is equal to 1 on F_i and to -1 on F_e . The non negative Lyapounov function $1 + \tau$ is then null outside of A and positive at x_0 .

In the case where x_0 is not stably recurrent and non degenerate, we can take \mathcal{E} in such a way that $x_0 \notin A_2 := \mathcal{I}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}}^+(x_0)$, hence x_0 belongs to the boundary of this trapping domain. The closure of A_2 is disjoint from the complement F_e of A . By Propositions 4.1 and 5.1, we find a non negative Lyapounov function τ which is regular (hence positive) at x_0 and null outside of A .

By considering a convex combination of countably many of the Lyapounov functions we just built, we obtain a non negative Lyapounov function τ_i which is positive on A and regular on $(A \cap \mathcal{D}(C)) - \mathcal{R}_C$.

We can apply the same result to the cone $-\mathcal{C}$ and the trapping domain $M - \bar{A}$, and get a Lyapounov function τ_e (for C) which is non positive, negative outside of \bar{A} , and regular on $(\mathcal{D}(C) - \bar{A}) - \mathcal{R}_C$.

The sum $\tau := \tau_i + \tau_e$ then satisfies the conclusions of the proposition. \square

5.4. Hyperbolic cone fields. We prove Theorem 3 and discuss some alternative characterizations of global hyperbolicity. We start with an easy observation:

Lemma 5.11. *If the closed cone field \mathcal{C} satisfies (GH2), then $\mathcal{J}_C^\pm(x)$ is closed for each $x \in M$.*

The lemma shows that hyperbolic cone fields satisfy the analogous conditions to causal simplicity in [22].

Proof. Let $y_n \in \mathcal{J}_C^+(x)$ be a convergent sequence with limit $y \in M$. Let Y be the compact set $Y := \{y, y_1, y_2, \dots\}$. The set $\mathcal{J}_C(x, Y)$ is compact and it contains y_n for each n , hence it contains the limit y . \square

Let us denote by \mathcal{C}_K the cone field which is equal to \mathcal{C} on K and degenerate outside of K . If \mathcal{C} is a closed cone field and K is a closed set, then \mathcal{C}_K is a closed cone field. If \mathcal{C} is causal, then so is \mathcal{C}_K .

Lemma 5.12. *Let \mathcal{C} be a causal closed cone field and K be a compact set. Then there exists an open enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C}_K and a real number $L > 0$ such that all \mathcal{E} -timelike curves have length less than L .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{E}_n be a decreasing sequence of open cone fields converging to \mathcal{C}_K . We can assume that $U_n := \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_n)$ is bounded for each n . If the conclusion of the Lemma does not hold, there exists a sequence $\gamma_n : [-l_n, l_n] \rightarrow M$ of \mathcal{E}_n -timelike curves parametrized by arclength with l_n unbounded. By Proposition 2.14, there exists a complete \mathcal{C}_K -causal curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$. Since \mathcal{C}_K has no singular points, this curve has infinite length in the forward direction. Let ω be a limit point of γ at $+\infty$. For each $s > t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\gamma(s) \in \mathcal{J}_C^+(\gamma(t))$. Since this set is closed (Lemma 5.11), we deduce that $\omega \in \mathcal{J}_C^+(\gamma(t))$, or in other words that $\gamma(t) \in \mathcal{J}_C^-(\omega)$, and this holds for all t . Since ω is not singular, there exists a local time function, and this implies that γ has another limit point ω' . Since $\mathcal{J}_C^-(\omega)$ is closed, we obtain that $\omega' \in \mathcal{J}_C^-(\omega)$, and similarly $\omega \in \mathcal{J}_C^-(\omega')$. This is in contradiction with \mathcal{C} being causal. \square

Corollary 5.13. *Let \mathcal{C} be a hyperbolic closed cone field and K be a compact set. The stably recurrent set $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_K)$ is empty.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_K)$ is not empty, then \mathcal{C}_K has a complete causal curve, by Corollary 2.16. Since \mathcal{C}_K has no singular point, this curve has infinite length, which contradicts Lemma 5.12. \square

Corollary 5.14. *Let \mathcal{C} be a hyperbolic closed cone field, and K_1, K_2 be two compact sets. Let K be a compact set containing $\mathcal{J}_C(K_1, K_2)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}_K}(K_1, K_2) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}_K}(K_1, K_2) = \mathcal{J}_C(K_1, K_2).$$

Proof. The second equality is clear. To prove the first equality, we consider a sequence \mathcal{E}_n of open enlargements of \mathcal{C}_K decreasing to \mathcal{C}_K . By Lemma 5.12, we can assume that each \mathcal{E}_1 -timelike curve has length less than $L > 0$. This is then true for all \mathcal{E}_n . Given $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}_K}(K_1, K_2)$, there exists a sequence $\gamma_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ of \mathcal{E}_n -timelike curves connecting K_1 to K_2 , parametrized proportionally to arclength, and passing through x . Since the curves γ_n have bounded length, they are equi-Lipschitz. Up to a subsequence, they converge uniformly to a Lipschitz curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ which is \mathcal{C}_K -causal by Lemma 2.13, passes through x , and connects K_1 to K_2 . This implies that $x \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}_K}(K_1, K_2)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. We first prove the existence of a steep Lyapounov function for a hyperbolic cone field \mathcal{C} . Let $K_i, i \geq 0$ be a sequence of compact subsets of M such that $\mathcal{J}_C(K_i, K_i)$ is contained in the interior of K_{i+1} and such that $M = \cup_i K_i$. We set $A_i := K_i \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$.

For each $i \geq 2$, we apply Corollary 5.9 to the cone field \mathcal{C}_{K_i} and the compact set K_{i-2} . Since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}_{K_i}}(K_{i-2}, K_{i-2}) = \mathcal{J}_C(K_{i-2}, K_{i-2}) \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_{i-1}$ by Corollary 5.14 and since $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_{K_i})$ is empty, we obtain a smooth function $\tau_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:

- τ_i is a Lyapounov function on K_i , which means that $d\tau_i(x) \cdot v > 0$ for each $x \in K_i$ such that $d\tau_i(x) \neq 0$ and each $v \in \mathcal{C}(x)$.
- τ_i is regular on $A_i - \mathring{K}_{i-1}$, which means that $d\tau_i(x) \neq 0$ for each $x \in A_i - \mathring{K}_{i-1}$.
- τ_i is null on K_{i-2} .

We also let τ_1 be a smooth function on M which is a Lyapounov function on K_1 and regular on A_1 .

We now prove the existence of a sequence a_i of positive numbers such that the sum $\tau := \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i \tau_i$ is a steep Lyapounov function. Note that this sum is locally finite.

We build the sequence a_i by induction, in such a way that the partial sum $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \tau_i$ is a steep Lyapounov function on K_k for each k .

The function τ_1 is a Lyapounov function on the compact set K_1 , hence there exists $a_1 > 0$ such that $a_1 \tau_1$ is steep on K_1 . The function τ_2 is Lyapounov on K_2 and regular on $A_2 - \mathring{K}_1$. Then there exists $a_2 > 0$ such that $a_1 \tau_1 + a_2 \tau_2$ is a steep Lyapounov function on $A_2 - \mathring{K}_1$, hence on K_2 (being steep is an empty condition outside of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$). Assuming that a_1, \dots, a_k have been constructed, observe that the function τ_{k+1} is Lyapounov on K_k and regular on $A_k - \mathring{K}_{k-1}$. On the other hand the partial sum $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \tau_i$ is a smooth function on M which is a steep Lyapounov function on K_k . There exists $a_{k+1} > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_i \tau_i$ is a steep Lyapounov function on $A_{k+1} - \mathring{K}_k$, hence on K_{k+1} . This ends the proof of the existence of a steep Lyapounov function.

Conversely, let us assume the existence of a steep Lyapounov function τ . It is clear that \mathcal{C} is causal. Let us prove that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\pm}(x) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\pm}(x)$ for each x . We consider a decreasing sequence \mathcal{E}_n of enlargements of \mathcal{C} , which have the property that $d\tau_{\gamma} \cdot v \geq |v|_{\gamma}/2$ for each $(\gamma, v) \in \mathcal{E}_n$. Given $z \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$, there exists a sequence $\gamma_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ of smooth \mathcal{E}_n -timelike curves such that $\gamma_n(0) = x$ and $\gamma_n(1) = z$. We can assume that γ_n is parametrized proportionally to arclength, hence is L_n -Lipschitz, where L_n is the length of γ_n . The hypothesis made on \mathcal{E}_n implies that $L_n \leq 2(\tau(z) - \tau(x))$ is bounded. At the limit, we obtain a Lipschitz causal curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ connecting x to z . We have proved that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x) \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$, hence these sets are equal.

We finally prove (GH2). The set $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, K') = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, K')$ is closed. If γ is a causal curve joining K to K' , then the length of γ is bounded by $\max_{K'} \tau - \min_K \tau$. This means that γ is contained in a bounded set, hence that $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, K')$ is bounded. Being closed and bounded in the complete Riemannian manifold M , the set $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, K')$ is compact. \square

Let us finish this section with some alternative characterizations of global hyperbolicity which generalizes [22, Theorem 3.79] to the present case:

Proposition 5.15. *A closed cone field (M, \mathcal{C}) is hyperbolic if and only if it is regular, and if*

(GH4) *For each compact $K \subset M$, there exists $L > 0$ such that each causal curve $\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow M$ of length more than L satisfying $\gamma(0) \in K$ satisfies $\gamma(T) \notin K$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3 there exists a steep Lyapounov function τ if (M, \mathcal{C}) is hyperbolic. This implies (GH4) with $L = \max_K \tau - \min_K \tau$.

Conversely, assume that \mathcal{C} is a regular closed cone field satisfying (GH4). Then \mathcal{C} is causal (it satisfies (GH1)). Moreover, if $K, K' \subset M$ are compact, there exists an upper bound on the length of causal curves with endpoints in $K \cup K'$, by (GH4). In view of Lemma 2.12, this implies (GH2). \square

Let us discuss the case where V is a smooth vector field without singular points generating a complete flow $\phi^t(x)$. We say that the dynamics is trivial if there exists a submanifold transverse to V and intersecting each orbit in one and only one point. This is equivalent to the existence of a steep Lyapounov function for V .

We say that the action of ϕ is proper if, for each compact $K \subset M$, there exists $L > 0$ such that $\phi^t(x) \notin K$ if $x \in K$ and $t > L$. This is equivalent to (GH4). Proposition 5.15 implies that the dynamics is trivial if and only if the action ϕ of \mathbb{R} on M is proper.

We now give another characterization of global hyperbolicity in the spirit of the one given by Minguzzi for Lorentzian metrics, [18].

Proposition 5.16. *A closed cone field (M, \mathcal{C}) is hyperbolic if and only if*

(GH5) *No complete causal curve is contained in a compact set.*

(GH6) *for all $K, K' \subset M$ compact the set $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, K')$ is bounded.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the existence of a steep Lyapounov function implies (GH5) and (GH6).

Conversely assume that the closed cone field (M, \mathcal{C}) satisfies (GH5) and (GH6). (GH5) implies that there are no singular points. We now prove (GH4). Consider a compact subset K , and assume that (GH4) does not hold: There exists a sequence $\gamma_n : [-b_n, b_n] \rightarrow M$ of causal curves with boundaries in K , parametrized by arclength, with $b_n \rightarrow \infty$. Then by (GH6) γ_n is contained in the bounded set $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, K)$. By Proposition 2.14 a subsequence converges to a complete causal curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ which is contained in the compact set $\overline{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, K)}$. This contradicts (GH5). \square

6. Final Remarks on the Stably Recurrent Set

We propose here some additional remarks on the stably recurrent set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$. We first improve Corollary 2.16:

Proposition 6.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a closed cone field, and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the stably recurrent set. For each $x \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$, there exists a complete causal curve γ which takes values in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and satisfies $\gamma(0) = x$.*

Proof. Let τ_0 be a Lyapounov function which is regular outside of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$. We consider a decreasing sequence \mathcal{E}_n of open enlargements of \mathcal{C} all smaller than $\{d\tau_0 > 0\}$. As in Corollary 2.16, let $\gamma_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be a sequence of \mathcal{E}_n -timelike periodic curves parametrized by arclength and satisfying $\gamma_n(0) = x$. The function τ_0 is non decreasing, hence constant, on γ_n . At the limit, we obtain a complete causal curve γ , and the function τ_0 is constant on it. We deduce that the curve γ takes values in the critical set of τ_0 , i.e. in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$. \square

We finish with a stability property:

Proposition 6.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a closed cone field. We assume that the stably recurrent set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is compact. Then for every neighborhood U of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}}$ there exists a closed enlargement \mathcal{C}_U of \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}_U} \subset U$.*

Proof. We assume, without loss of generality, that U is bounded, hence ∂U is compact. It is enough to prove the existence of an enlargement \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is disjoint from ∂U .

Let us fix a point $z \in \partial U$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists a Lyapounov function τ^z for \mathcal{C} such that $a := \tau^z(z)$ is a regular value of τ^z . Then, there exists a closed enlargement \mathcal{C}^z of \mathcal{C} such that τ^z is a regular Lyapounov function for \mathcal{C}^z in a neighborhood of $\{\tau^z = a\}$. This implies, by Lemma 3.3, that $\{\tau^z > a\}$ is a trapping region for \mathcal{C}^z , hence that $z \notin \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}^z}$.

The open sets $M - \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{C}^z}$, $z \in \partial U$, thus cover the compact set ∂U , hence finitely many of them cover ∂U . By taking the intersection of the corresponding cone fields \mathcal{C}^z , we obtain a closed enlargement of \mathcal{C} whose stably recurrent set is disjoint from ∂U , as was claimed. \square

References

- Bernal, A.N., Sánchez, M.: On smooth Cauchy hypersurfaces and Geroch's splitting theorem. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **243**(3), 461–470 (2003)
- Bernal, A.N., Sánchez, M.: Smoothness of time functions and the metric splitting of globally hyperbolic spacetimes. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **257**, 43–50 (2005)
- Bernard, P., Zavidovique, M.: Regularization of subsolutions in discrete weak KAM theory. *Can. J. Math.* **65**, 740–756 (2013)
- Chernov, V., Nemirovski, S.: Cosmic Censorship of Smooth Structures. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **320**, 469–473 (2013)
- Chruściel, P., Grant, J.: On Lorentzian causality with continuous metrics. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **29**(14), 145001,32 (2012)
- Chruściel, P., Grant, J., Minguzzi, E.: On differentiability of volume time functions. *Ann. Henri Poincaré.* **17**(10), 2801–2824 (2016)
- Clarke, F.: *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*. Pathum Wan, SIAM (1990)
- Clarke, F., Ledyaev, Y., Stern, R.: Asymptotic stability and smooth Lyapunov functions. *J. Differ. Equ.* **149**(1), 69–114 (1998)
- Fathi, A.: Partitions of unity for countable covers. *Am. Math. Monthly* **104**, 720–723 (1997)
- Fathi, A., Siconolfi, A.: On smooth time functions. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **152**, 303–339 (2012)
- Fathi, A.: Time functions revisited. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **12**(08), 12 (2015)
- Geroch, R.: Domain of dependence. *J. Math. Phys.* **11**, 437–449 (1970)
- Hawking, S.: The existence of cosmic time functions. *Proc. R. Soc. Ser. A* **308**(1494), 433–435 (1969)
- Hawking, S.W., Sachs, R.K.: Causally continuous spacetimes. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **35**, 287–296 (1974)
- Hurley, M.: Chain recurrence and attraction in non-compact spaces. *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **11**(4), 709–729 (1991)
- Hurley, M.: Lyapunov functions and attractors in arbitrary metric spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **126**, 245–256 (1998)
- Laudenbach, F., Sikorav, J.-C.: Hamiltonian disjunction and limits of Lagrangian submanifolds. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **4**, 161–168 (1994)
- Minguzzi, E.: The causal ladder and the strength of K-causality. II. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **25**, 015–010 (2008)
- Minguzzi, E.: Characterization of some causality conditions through the continuity of the Lorentzian distance. *J. Geom. Phys.* **59**, 827–833 (2009)
- Minguzzi, E.: On the existence of smooth Cauchy steep time functions. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **33**, 115001 (2016)
- Minguzzi, E.: *Causality Theory for Closed Cone Structures With Applications*. [arXiv:1709.06494](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.06494)
- Minguzzi E., Sánchez M.: The causal hierarchy of spacetimes. In: Alekseevsky, D.V., Baum, H. (eds.) *Recent Developments in Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry*, pp. 299–358. European Mathematical Society, Zürich (2008)
- Monclair, D.: *Attractors in Spacetimes and Time Functions*. [arXiv:1603.06994](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.06994) (2016)
- Müller, O., Sanchez, M.: Lorentzian manifolds isometrically embeddable in L^n . *Trans. AMS* **363**(10), 5367–5379 (2011)
- Pageault, P.: Conley barriers and their applications: chain-recurrence and Lyapunov functions. *Topol. Appl.* **156**(15), 2426–2442 (2009)
- Sánchez, M.: Causal hierarchy of spacetimes, temporal functions and smoothness of Geroch's splitting. A revision. *Mat. Contemp.* **29**, 127–155 (2005)
- Sánchez, M.: A Note on Stability and Cauchy Time Functions. [arxiv:1304.5797](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.5797) (2013)
- Sämman, C.: Global hyperbolicity for spacetimes with continuous metrics. *Ann. Henri Poincaré.* **17**, 1429–1455 (2016)

29. Seifert, H.: The causal boundary of space-times. *Gen. Relat. Gravit.* **1**, 247–259 (1971)
30. Siconolfi, A., Terrone, G.: A metric approach to the converse Lyapunov theorem for continuous multi-valued dynamics. *Nonlinearity* **20**(5), 1077–1093 (2007)
31. Siconolfi, A., Terrone, G.: A metric proof of the converse Lyapunov Theorem for semicontinuous multi-valued dynamics. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A* **32**(12), 4409–4427
32. Sullivan, D.: Cycles for the dynamical study of foliated manifolds and complex manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **36**, 225–255 (1976)

Communicated by P. Chrusciel