Non-standard texts: from theoretical positions to Natural Language Processing normalisation

Cédric Lopez, Mathieu Roche, Rachel Panckhurst

Non-standard texts: from theoretical positions to Natural Language Processing normalisation

Cédric Lopez* Mathieu Roche** Rachel Panckhurst***

*R&D, Viseo, Grenoble
cedric.lopez@viseo.com
**UMR TETIS,Cirad, Irstea, AgroParisTech, Montpellier;
LIRMM, UMR 5506 CNRS & Université Montpellier
mathieu.roche@cirad.fr
***Praxiling UMR 5267 CNRS & Université Paul-Valéry Montpellier 3
rachel.panckhurst@univ-montp3.fr

theoretical position

› annotation is not neutral
› annotation is linked to interpretative frameworks
› researchers should not be trapped
› researchers need to conduct own annotation
› full corpus (88,000 sms) & samples available for download

knowledge building

SMS writing (eSMS)

88milSMS corpus

alignment algorithms for transcoding

Case 1		
plus	svt	possible
plus	souvent	possible

Case 2		
Vasi	lâche	moi
Vas-y	lâche-moi	

Case 3		
T'as		eu
Tu	as	eu

'unknown' non-standard items (INSO)

language classification

C1.1: LEFF
C1.2: LEFF no accents

C2.1 (sole letters) a, c, f, j, p, v…

C2.2 (time) 8heures, 10minaperdre, 6-7h

C2.3 (repetition) Mdrrr, Loool, tkkkkt, Huuuummm

C2.4 (special) Conn*rd, désannule, resto+ciné

C2.5 (numbers) numb3rs, mc2, 106ounette, 3615ma-vie

C2.6 (smileys) ^^ :p ;) :d <3 :-) xd :(:/

C3 (INSO)
tkt, jte, cc, voituration, cinglicité, tetrangle

automatic normalisation techniques

› new typology of detected 'mistakes'
› normalisation based on most frequent errors
› confrontation with:
traditional automatic translation,
speech recognition,
spelling/grammatical checker principles
› comparison between different types of instant media (SMS, forums, tweets)

http://88milsms.huma-num.fr/

PLIN Day, Louvain-la-Neuve, 12 May 2016