



**HAL**  
open science

## Reply to Lenz et al.: Quantifying the smallest microplastics is the challenge for a comprehensive view of their environmental impacts

Arnaud Huvet, Ika Paul-Pont, Caroline Fabioux, Christophe Lambert, Marc Suquet, Yoann Thomas, Johan Robbens, Philippe Soudant, Rossana Sussarellu

### ► To cite this version:

Arnaud Huvet, Ika Paul-Pont, Caroline Fabioux, Christophe Lambert, Marc Suquet, et al.. Reply to Lenz et al.: Quantifying the smallest microplastics is the challenge for a comprehensive view of their environmental impacts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 2016, 113 (29), pp.E4123-E4124. 10.1073/pnas.1607221113. hal-01483204

**HAL Id: hal-01483204**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01483204v1>**

Submitted on 7 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

REPLY TO LENZ ET AL.:

# Quantifying the smallest microplastics is the challenge for a comprehensive view of their environmental impacts

Arnaud Huvet<sup>a,1</sup>, Ika Paul-Pont<sup>a</sup>, Caroline Fabioux<sup>a</sup>, Christophe Lambert<sup>a</sup>, Marc Suquet<sup>a</sup>, Yoann Thomas<sup>a</sup>, Johan Robbens<sup>b</sup>, Philippe Soudant<sup>a</sup>, and Rossana Sussarellu<sup>c</sup>

Studies on impacts of emerging contaminants are challenging, as is the case for studying the smallest sizes (<100  $\mu\text{m}$ ) of microplastics, mainly because there is no clear view of their actual concentration and characteristics in the natural environment (1). Major developments are required to establish standardized procedures for collecting, fractionating, characterizing, and quantifying polymer particles; probably, the best promising method is in a liquid matrix. In our recent article on impacts of microplastics in oysters (2), the microplastic size tested was of 2 and 6  $\mu\text{m}$ , the size range preferentially ingested by filter feeders, which is far below the size robustly characterized and quantified at sea (i.e., >330  $\mu\text{m}$ ) (see, for example, ref. 3). These emerging contaminants need a starting point in assessing their toxicity, even when natural concentrations and behavior (speciation, complexation, and aggregation) are unknown, which is the case for most nanomaterials (4). The use of high concentrations in ecotoxicological studies can be viewed as a proof-of-concept, producing ground-breaking data for assessing the potential risk of a new class of contaminants, such as microplastics, and helping to define biomarkers and phenotypic impairment. Ultimately, exposure studies should be as close to environmentally realistic concentrations as possible to avoid overreaction or misinterpretation of nonenvironmentally realistic data, as Lenz et al. (5) mention.

The realization of dose–response exposure experiments could be recommended as appropriate to assess the extent of microplastic toxicity, tackling the high

spatiotemporal variability of microplastic concentration expected in coastal ecosystems (1) and possible rare accidental events, until methodological barriers preventing the assessment of small microplastic concentrations in natural environments can be knocked down. Once the knockdown of these barriers is achieved, mimicking environment in microcosms and mesocosms will still be challenging. First, we need to statistically consider the probability of encountering microplastics for marine organisms to achieve reproducibility and repeatability in estimating impact in marine life. Second, other criteria are of high relevance for defining an environmental scenario, such as shape, complexion, interaction with organic matter, and biological and chemical loads of microplastics. It is noteworthy that these last criteria, mainly for the adsorbed persistent organic pollutants, were recently debated as possibly negligible (6). As an example of the importance of those criteria, the limited impact of microplastics on fish larvae warrants caution because the smooth and spherical characteristics of exposed polyethylene beads are different from plastic debris found in the environment (7). Authors have hypothesized that the ruggedness of particles of irregular shape could have a longer retention time in the guts of animals and fish, potentially causing inflammation and increasing biological impacts. In many cases, such as these, there is now a need to extend the range of particle types, complexations, and concentrations used in laboratory exposure experiments to recreate environmentally realistic scenarios.

- 1 Filella M (2015) Questions of size and numbers in environmental research on microplastics: Methodological and conceptual aspects. *Environ Chem* 12(5):527–538.
- 2 Sussarellu R, et al. (2016) Oyster reproduction is affected by exposure to polystyrene microplastics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113(9): 2430–2435.
- 3 Gottschalk F, Sun T, Nowack B (2013) Environmental concentrations of engineered nanomaterials: Review of modeling and analytical studies. *Environ Pollut* 181:287–300.

<sup>a</sup>Laboratoire de Sciences de l'Environnement de Marin, UMR 6539 UBO-CNRS Institute Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer–Institute de Recherche pour le Développement, Technopole Brest Iroise, 29280 Plouzané, France; <sup>b</sup>Instituut voor Landbouw en Visserij/Onderzoek, 8400 Ostend, Belgium; and <sup>c</sup>Laboratoire d'Ecotoxicologie, Institute Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer, 44311 Nantes Cedex 03, France  
Author contributions: A.H., I.P.-P., C.F., C.L., M.S., Y.T., J.R., P.S., and R.S. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: arnaud.huvet@ifremer.fr.

- 4 Eriksen M, et al. (2014) Plastic pollution in the world's oceans: More than 5 trillion plastic pieces weighing over 250,000 tons afloat at sea. *PLoS One* 9(12):e111913.
- 5 Lenz R, Enders K, Nielsen TG (2016) Microplastic exposure studies should be environmentally realistic. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:E4121–E4122.
- 6 Koelmans AA, Bakir A, Burton GA, Janssen CR (2016) Microplastic as a vector for chemicals in the aquatic environment: Critical review and model-supported reinterpretation of empirical studies. *Environ Sci Technol* 50(7):3315–3326.
- 7 Mazurais D, et al. (2015) Evaluation of the impact of polyethylene microbeads ingestion in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae. *Mar Environ Res* 112(Pt A):78–85.