

# Almost periodic solution in distribution for stochastic differential equations with Stepanov almost periodic coefficients

Fazia Bedouhene, Nouredine Challali, Omar Mellah, Paul Raynaud de Fitte, Mannal Smaali

## ▶ To cite this version:

Fazia Bedouhene, Nouredine Challali, Omar Mellah, Paul Raynaud de Fitte, Mannal Smaali. Almost periodic solution in distribution for stochastic differential equations with Stepanov almost periodic coefficients. 2017. hal-01478199v2

# HAL Id: hal-01478199 https://hal.science/hal-01478199v2

Preprint submitted on 29 May 2017 (v2), last revised 24 Oct 2017 (v3)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Almost periodic solution in distribution for stochastic differential equations with Stepanov almost periodic coefficients

Fazia Bedouhene, Nouredine Challali, Omar Mellah ;
Paul Raynaud de Fitte ; and Mannal Smaali,

May 29, 2017

#### Abstract

This paper deals with the existence and uniqueness of  $(\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodic mild solution to some evolution equations with Stepanov  $(\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodic coefficients, in both determinist and stochastic cases. After revisiting some known concepts and properties of Stepanov  $(\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodicity in complete metric space, we consider a semilinear stochastic evolution equation on a Hilbert separable space with Stepanov  $(\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodic coefficients. We show existence and uniqueness of the mild solution which is  $(\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodic in 2-distribution. We also generalize a result by Andres and Pennequin, according to which there is no purely Stepanov almost periodic solutions to differential equations with Stepanov almost periodic coefficients.

Keywords: Weighted pseudo almost periodic; Stepanov almost periodic; Stochastic evolution equations; Pseudo almost periodic in 2-distribution

# 1 Introduction

The concept of Stepanov almost periodicity, which is the central issue in this paper, was first introduced in the literature by Stepanov [56], and is a natural generalization of the concept of almost periodicity in Bohr's sense. Important contributions upon such concept where subsequently made by N. Wiener [64], P. Franklin [38], A. S. Besicovitch [11], B. M. Levitan and V. V. Zhikov [45, 46], Amerio and Prouse [1], S. Zaidman [66], A. S. Rao [54], C. Corduneanu [22], L. I. Danilov [26, 27], S. Stoiński [58, 57], J. Andres, A. M. Bersani, G. Grande, K. Lesniak [3, 4, 2, 5]. Outside the realm of harmonic analysis, an important application of Stepanov almost periodicity lies in the theory of differential equations [50]. In this context, the theory of dynamical systems is relevant and, in particular, in the study of various types and extension of almost periodic and (or) almost automorphic motions.

<sup>\*</sup>Mouloud Mammeri University of Tizi-Ouzou, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, Tizi-Ouzou, Algeria E-Mail: fbedouhene@yahoo.fr ORCID ID 0000-0002-2664-2445

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Mouloud Mammeri University of Tizi-Ouzou, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, Tizi-Ouzou, Algeria E-Mail: challalin@yahoo.fr ORCID ID 0000-0002-6965-5086

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Mouloud Mammeri University of Tizi-Ouzou, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, Tizi-Ouzou, Algeria E-Mail: omellah@yahoo.fr ORCID ID 0000-0002-3042-9719

 $<sup>^{\</sup>S}$ Normandie Univ, Laboratoire Raphaël Salem, UMR CNRS 6085, Rouen, France E-Mail: prf@univrouen.fr ORCID ID 0000-0001-5527-9393

<sup>¶</sup>Mouloud Mammeri University of Tizi-Ouzou, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, Tizi-Ouzou, Algeria E-Mail: smaali\_manel@yahoo.fr ORCID ID 0000-0002-4556-8930

This is due mainly to their significance and applications in physical sciences. One can mention e.g. T. Diagana [29, 32, 33, 34], J. Blot et al. [17, 15, 16, 13], G. M. N'Guérékata et al. [51, 52], J. Andres and D. Pennequin [7, 6], Z. Hu and A. B. Mingarelli [40, 41, 39].

Though there has been a significant attention devoted to the theory of Stepanov almost periodicity in the deterministic case, there are few works related to the notion of Stepanov almost periodicity for stochastic processes. To our knowledge, the first work dedicated to Stepanov almost periodically correlated (APC) processes is due to L. H. Hurd and A. Russek [42], where Gladyshev's characterization of APC correlation functions was extended to Stepanov APC processes. In the framework of Stochastic differential equation, Bezandry and Diagana [12] introduced the concept of Stepanov almost periodicity in mean-square. Their aim was to prove, under some conditions, existence and uniqueness of Stepanov (quadratic-mean) almost periodic solution for a class of nonautonomous stochastic evolution equations on a separable real Hilbert space. This paper was the starting point of other works on stochastic differential equations with Stepanov-like ( $\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodic (automorphic) coefficients (see, e.g. [21, 20, 65, 60]). Unfortunately, the claimed results are erroneous [9, 49].

The motivation of this paper has two sources. The first one comes from the papers by Andres and Pennequin [7, 6], who show the nonexistence of purely Stepanov-almost periodic solutions of ordinary differential equations in uniformly convex Banach spaces. The second one comes from our paper [9, Example 3.1], where, with the simple counterexample of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, we have shown that even a one-dimensional linear equation with constant coefficients has no nontrivial solution which is Stepanov almost periodic in mean-square.

In this paper, we revisit the question of existence and uniqueness of Stepanov almost periodic solutions in both deterministic and stochastic cases. More precisely, we consider two semilinear stochastic evolution equations in a Hilbert space. The first one has Stepanov almost periodic coefficients, and the second one has Stepanov  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic coefficients. We show that each equation has a unique mild solution which is almost periodic in 2-distribution in the first case, and  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic in 2-distribution in the second case. Our results generalize and complete those of Da Prato and Tudor [23], and those obtained recently by Kamenskii et al. [43], and Bedouhene et al. [9]. We also show, by mean of a new superposition theorem in the deterministic case, the nonexistence of purely Stepanov  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic solutions to some evolution equations, generalizing a result of Andres and Pennequin [7].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we investigate several notions of Stepanov almost periodicity in Lebesgue measure, and Stepanov ( $\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodicity for metric-valued functions. We see in particular that almost periodicity in Stepanov sense depends on the uniform structure of the state space. Special attention is paid to superposition operators between the spaces of Stepanov ( $\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodic metric-valued functions. Section 3 is the main part of this paper. Therein, we study existence and uniqueness of bounded mild solutions to the semilinear stochastic evolution equation on a Hilbert separable space

$$dX(t) = AX(t)dt + F(t, X(t))dt + G(t, X(t))dW(t),$$

where F and G are Stepanov ( $\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodic, satisfying Lipschitz and growth conditions. In the case of uniqueness, the solution can be ( $\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodic in 2-distribution. Our approach is inspired from Kamenskii et al. [43], Da Prato and Tudor [23], and Bedouhene et al. [9]. The major difficulty is the treatment of the limits  $F^{\infty}$  and  $G^{\infty}$  provided by the Bochner criterion in Stepanov sense applied to F and G respectively. Thanks to an application of Komlós's theorem [44], this difficulty dissipates by showing

that  $F^{\infty}$  and  $G^{\infty}$  inherit the same properties as F and G respectively. Finally, Section 4 is devoted to some remarks and conclusions about the problem of existence of purely Stepanov almost periodic solutions. We show by a simple example in a one-dimensional setting, that one can obtain bounded purely Stepanov almost periodic solutions when the forcing term is purely almost periodic in Lebesgue measure.

# 2 Stepanov Almost periodicity and its variants in metric space

In this section, we present the concept of Stepanov ( $\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodic function and related concepts like almost periodicity in Lebesgue measure. Moreover, we also recall some useful and key results. We begin with some notations.

#### 2.1 Notations

In what follows,  $(\mathbb{E}, d)$  is a complete metric space. If  $\mathbb{X}$  and  $\mathbb{Y}$  are two metric spaces, we indistinctly denote by d a distance on  $\mathbb{X}$  (respectively on  $\mathbb{Y}$ ). When  $\mathbb{X}$  and  $\mathbb{Y}$  are Banach spaces, their norms are denoted by  $\|.\|$ , and d is assumed to result from  $\|.\|$ . We denote by  $C(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  the space of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{X}$  to  $\mathbb{Y}$ . When  $C(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$ , it is denoted by  $C_k(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ . Let  $BC(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  denote the space of bounded continuous functions from  $\mathbb{X}$  to  $\mathbb{Y}$ . If  $\mathbb{Y}$  is Banach space, we denote  $CUB(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Y})$  the space of bounded continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{Y}$ , which we endow with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\varphi(t)\|.$$

#### 2.2 Stepanov and Bohr almost periodicity

Let us recall some definitions of (Stepanov) almost periodic functions and some key results.

Almost periodicity Recall that a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is relatively dense if there exists a real number  $\ell > 0$ , such that  $A \cap [a, a+\ell] \neq \emptyset$ , for all a in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that a continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}$  is Bohr almost periodic (or simply almost periodic) if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set

$$T(f,\varepsilon):=\left\{\tau\in\mathbb{R},\,\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}d\left(f(t),f(t+\tau)\right)<\varepsilon\right\}$$

is relatively dense [1, 11, 22]. The numbers  $\tau \in T(f, \varepsilon)$  are called  $\varepsilon$ -almost periods. We denote by  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  the space of Bohr almost periodic functions. We have the following characterization of Bohr almost periodicity (which is the most useful in its application to differential equations):

**Theorem 2.1** ([19]) Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}$  be a continuous function. The following assertions are equivalent:

- 1.  $f \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ .
- 2. (Bochner criterion) The set of translates  $\{f(t+.), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is relatively compact in  $BC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  with respect to the uniform metric.

3. (Bochner's double sequence criterion) For every pair of sequences  $(\alpha'_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $(\beta'_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$  there are common subsequences  $(\alpha_n) \subset (\alpha'_n)$  and  $(\beta_n) \subset (\beta'_n)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} f(t + \alpha_n + \beta_m) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(t + \alpha_n + \beta_n)$$
 (1)

pointwise.

**Stepanov almost periodicity** In all the sequel, unless stated otherwise, p denotes a real number, with  $p \geq 1$ . Following [25, 28], let  $M(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  be the set of measurable functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{E}$  (we do not distinguish between functions that coincide almost everywhere for Lebesgue's measure). We fix a point  $x_0$  in  $\mathbb{E}$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ , the subset of  $M(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  of locally p-integrable functions, that is,

$$\mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) = \Big\{ f \in M(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}), \text{ for any } a, b \in \mathbb{R}; \int_{[a,b]} d^p(f(t), x_0) dt < +\infty \Big\}.$$

Define  $L^p(0,1;\mathbb{E})$  as the set

$$L^{p}(0,1;\mathbb{E}) = \left\{ f \in M(\mathbb{R}, E), \int_{[0,1]} d^{p}(f(t), x_{0}) dt < +\infty \right\}$$

which is a complete metric space, when it is endowed with the metric

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{L}^p}(f,g) = \left(\int\limits_{[0,1]} d^p(f(t),g(t))dt\right)^{1/p}.$$

We denote by  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  the space of all  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued essentially bounded functions, endowed with essential supremum metric. Obviously, all the previous spaces do not depend on the choice of the point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{E}$ .

We say that a locally p-integrable function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}$  is Stepanov almost periodic of order p or  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -almost periodic, if, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set

$$\mathbb{S}^{p}T(f,\varepsilon) := \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ D^{d}_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}\left(f\left(.+\tau\right), f\left(.\right)\right) \leq \varepsilon \right\}$$

is relatively dense, where, for any locally p-integrable functions  $f,g:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{E},$ 

$$D^d_{\mathbb{S}^p}(f,g) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{P}} \left( \int_x^{x+1} d^p(f(t),g(t)) dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

The space of  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -almost periodic  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued functions is denoted by  $\mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}^{\infty}AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  be the space of functions  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a relatively dense  $T^d_{L^{\infty}}(f, \varepsilon)$  such that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}}^{d}\left(f\left(.+ au\right),f\left(.\right)\right)\leq\varepsilon,\text{ for all }\tau\in T_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}}^{d}(f,\varepsilon).$$

The relation  $\lim_{p\to\infty} D^d_{\mathbb{S}^p}(f,g) = D^d_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}(f,g)$  holds for any functions  $f,g\in M(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E})$ , see [7] for the proof.

As in the case of Bochner-almost periodic functions, we have similar characterizations of Stepanov almost periodic functions. Let  $f \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ ,  $p \in [1, +\infty[$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent (compare with [41, Theorem 1]):

- f is  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -almost periodic.
- f is  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -almost periodic in Bochner sense, that is, from every real sequence  $(\alpha'_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$  one can extract a subsequence  $(\alpha_n)$  of  $(\alpha'_n)$  and there exists a function  $g \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} D^d_{\mathbb{S}_p} \left( f(. + \alpha_n), g(.) \right) = 0.$$

• f satisfies Bochner's type double sequence criterion, that is, for every pair of sequences  $\{\alpha'_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{\beta'_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , there are subsequences  $(\alpha_n) \subset (\alpha'_n)$  and  $(\beta_n) \subset (\beta'_n)$  respectively with same indexes such that, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the limits

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} f(t + \alpha_n + \beta_m) \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} f(t + \alpha_n + \beta_n), \tag{2}$$

exist and are equal, in the sense of the  $L^p$ -metric

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}^p}^d(h(t+.),g(t+.)) = \left(\int_{[0,1]} d^p(h(t+s),g(t+s)) \, ds\right)^{1/p}$$

for  $h, g \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ .

The proof of these equivalences follows from the fact that the concept of Stepanov almost periodicity can be seen as Bohr almost periodicity of some function with values in the Lebesgue space  $L^p(0,1;\mathbb{E})$ . More precisely, one defines the *Bochner transform* [18] of a function  $f \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  as follows

$$f^b: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \to & \mathbb{E}^{[0,1]} \\ t & \mapsto & f(t+.). \end{array} \right.$$

Then  $f \in \mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  if, and only if,  $f^b \in AP(\mathbb{R}, L^p(0, 1; \mathbb{E}))$ , and the previous equivalences become a simple consequence of the fact that  $f_n \to f$  if and only if  $f_n^b \to f^b$  (see e.g. [1, 5, 8, 46]).

Since functions in  $\mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  are bounded with respect to the Stepanov metric, one denotes by  $\mathbb{S}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  (or  $\mathbb{S}^p(\mathbb{R})$  when  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  when p = 1) the set of all  $D^d_{\mathbb{S}^p}$ -bounded functions, that is, for some (or any) fixed  $x_0 \in \mathbb{E}$ 

$$\mathbb{S}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) = \{ f \in M(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}); D^d_{\mathbb{S}^p}(f, x_0) < +\infty \}.$$

So from now on, the space  $\mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  will be seen as a (closed) subset of the complete metric space  $(\mathbb{S}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}), D^d_{\mathbb{S}^p})$ . We have the following inclusions:

$$AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) \subset \mathbb{S}^{\infty}AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) \subset \mathbb{S}^{p}AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) \subset \mathbb{S}^{q}AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) \subset \mathbb{S}^{1}AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) \subset \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$$

for  $p \geq q \geq 1$  and  $AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) = \mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) \cap \mathcal{C}_u(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_u(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  denotes the set of  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued uniformly continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For more properties and details about real and Banach-valued Stepanov almost periodic functions, we refer the reader for instance to the papers and monographs [1, 2, 8, 11, 22, 37, 45, 46].

Beside the previous characterization of the class  $\mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ , there is an other one based on the concept of Stepanov almost periodicity in (Lebesgue) measure, invented by Stepanov [56]. This concept plays a significant role in the proof of our superposition theorem in  $\mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  (Theorem 2.10).

Stepanov almost periodicity in Lebesgue measure: the space  $\mathbb{S}^0$ AP For any measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$\varkappa(A) = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{meas} ([\xi, \xi + 1] \cap A),$$

where meas is the Lebesgue measure. A measurable function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}$  is said to be Stepanov almost periodic in Lebesgue measure or  $\mathbb{S}^0$ -almost periodic if for any  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , the set

$$T_{\varkappa}(f,\varepsilon,\delta) := \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \max \left\{ t \in [\xi,\xi+1], d(f(t+\tau),f(t)) \geq \varepsilon \right\} < \delta \right\}$$

is relatively dense. It should be mentioned that this almost periodicity was introduced as  $\mu$ -almost periodicity [57]. We denote by  $\mathbb{S}^0 AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  ( $\mathbb{S}^0 AP(\mathbb{R})$  when  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}$ ) the space of such fonctions. This space was studied in depth by several authors (in both normed and metric spaces). One can quote Stoinski's works [57, 59], where an approximation property and some compactness criterion are given. Danilov [25, 26, 28] has explored this class in the framework of almost periodic measure-valued functions. The recently published paper [53], that we discovered at the time of writing this paper, completes the previous ones. The authors of this paper investigate some other properties, in particular, they show that in general the mean value of  $\mathbb{S}^0$ -almost periodic functions may not exist, furthermore,  $\mathbb{S}^0$ -almost periodic functions are generally not Stepanov-bounded.

As pointed out by Danilov [26],  $\mathbb{S}^0$ -almost periodicity coincides with classical Stepanov almost periodicity when replacing the metric d by  $d' = \min(d, 1)$ . In other words, we have the following characterization (see [26, 27])

$$\mathbb{S}^{0}AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) = \mathbb{S}^{1}AP(\mathbb{R}, (\mathbb{E}, d')) = \mathbb{S}^{p}AP(\mathbb{R}, (\mathbb{E}, d')), \forall p > 0.$$
(3)

More generally, Stepanov almost periodicity can be seen as  $\varkappa$ -almost periodicity under a uniform integrability condition in Stepanov sense (see [56], [25]). To be more precise, let  $M'_p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  be the set of  $D^d_{\mathbb{S}^p}$ -bounded functions such that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{T \subset [\xi, \xi+1] \atop \text{meas } T \le \delta} \int_T d^p (f(t), x_0) dt = 0. \tag{4}$$

The space  $M'_p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , is a closed subset of  $(\mathbb{S}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}), D^d_{\mathbb{S}^p})$ . In [26, page 1420], Danilov gives an elegant characterization of Stepanov almost periodic functions in terms of  $M'_p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  and  $\mathbb{S}^0 AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ , more precisely:

$$\mathbb{S}^{p} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) = \mathbb{S}^{0} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) \cap M'_{n}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}). \tag{5}$$

A rather interesting result about the space  $\mathbb{S}^0 AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  is reported in the following theorem [27, Theorem 3], which gives a uniform approximation of Stepanov almost periodic functions by Bohr almost periodic functions, in the context of normed space  $\mathbb{E}$ . Before, let us denote by  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  the collection of measurable sets  $T \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathbb{I}_T \in \mathbb{S}^1 AP(\mathbb{R})$ , and by  $T^c$  the complementary set of T.

**Theorem 2.2 (Danilov [27])** Let  $f \in \mathbb{S}^0 AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ , then for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exist a set  $T_{\delta} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and a Bohr almost periodic function  $F_{\delta}$  such that  $\varkappa(T_{\delta}^c) < \delta$  and  $f(t) = F_{\delta}(t)$  for all  $t \in T_{\delta}$ .

As consequence, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.3 Let  $f \in \mathbb{S}^0 AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ . Then, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist a measurable set  $T_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and a compact subset  $K_{\varepsilon}$  of  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $\varkappa(T_{\delta}^c) < \varepsilon$  and  $f(t) \in K_{\varepsilon}, \forall t \in T_{\varepsilon}$ .

Danilov has shown that this property remains valid even in the metric framework [28].

Remark 2.4 1. Unlike almost periodicity in Bohr sense and almost periodicity in Lebesque measure for function with values in a metric space  $(\mathbb{E},d)$ , which depend only on the topological structure of  $\mathbb{E}$  and not on its metric (see e.g., [10] and [26] respectively), Stepanov almost periodicity is a metric property. In fact, as the metrics d and  $d' = \min(d,1)$  are topologically equivalent on  $\mathbb{E}$ , we only need to show that the inclusion  $\mathbb{S}^1AP(\mathbb{R},(\mathbb{E},d)) \subset \mathbb{S}^1AP(\mathbb{R},(\mathbb{E},d'))$  is strict, since in view of (3), we have  $\mathbb{S}^1AP(\mathbb{R},(\mathbb{E},d')) = \mathbb{S}^0AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E})$ . Take for instance the example given in [7, Remark 3.3]. As shown by the authors, the function  $g = \exp\left(\sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} g_n\right)$ , where  $g_n$  is the 4n-periodic function, given by

$$g_n(t) = \beta_n \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\alpha_n} |t - n| \right) \mathbb{1}_{\left[n - \frac{2}{\alpha_n}, n + \frac{2}{\alpha_n}\right]}(t), \quad t \in [-2n, 2n],$$

with  $\alpha_n = 1/n^5$  and  $\beta_n = n^3$ , is not in  $\mathbb{S}^1 AP(\mathbb{R})$ . Using Danilov's Corollary [26], we get that g belongs to  $\mathbb{S}^0 AP(\mathbb{R})$ , as a superposition of a continuous function and a periodic, continuous and bounded function.

- 2. Still in the spirit of the link between the spaces  $\mathbb{S}^1AP(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathbb{S}^0AP(\mathbb{R})$ , an interesting property established by Stoiński says that the inverse of any trigonometric polynomial with constant sign is  $\mathbb{S}^0$ -almost periodic. In particular, the Levitan's function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f(t) = \frac{1}{2+\cos(t)+\cos(2t)}$  is  $\mathbb{S}^0$ -almost periodic but not Stepanov almost periodic (see Example 4.1 and [53] for the second statement).
- 3. Uniform integrability in Stepanov sense is a metric property, that is, the space  $M'_p(\mathbb{R}, (\mathbb{E}, d))$  depends on the metric d.

#### Bohr and Stepanov almost periodic functions depending on a parameter

- 1. We say that a parametric function  $f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$  is almost periodic with respect to the first variable, uniformly with respect to the second variable in bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$  (respectively in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$ ) if, for every bounded (respectively compact) subset B of  $\mathbb{X}$ , the mapping  $f: \mathbb{R} \to C(B, \mathbb{Y})$  is almost periodic. We denote by  $APU_b(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  and  $APU_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  respectively the spaces of such functions.
- 2. We say that a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$  is  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -almost periodic if, for every  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ , the  $\mathbb{Y}$ -valued function f(.,x) is  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -almost periodic. We denote by  $\mathbb{S}^p \to \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{X}$ ,  $\mathbb{Y}$ ) the space of such functions.
- 3. If f(.,x) is  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -almost periodic uniformly with respect to  $x \in K$  (resp.  $x \in B$ ), for any compact (resp. bounded) subset K (resp. B) of  $\mathbb{X}$ , we say that f is  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -almost periodic uniformly with respect to the second variable in compact (resp. bounded) subset of  $\mathbb{X}$ . The space of such functions is denoted by  $\mathbb{S}^p APU_c$  ( $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}$ ) (resp.  $\mathbb{S}^p APU_b$  ( $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}$ )).

Clearly, we have the following inclusions:

$$\mathbb{S}^p APU_b(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) \subset \mathbb{S}^p APU_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) \subset \mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) \subset \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  denotes the set of measurable functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$  such that, for all  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ ;  $f(.,x) \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Y})$ .

The following proposition will be very useful in the sequel.

**Proposition 2.5** Let  $\mathbb{Y}$  be a complete metric space, and let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a complete separable metric space. Let  $f \in \mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  satisfying the following Lipschitz condition:

$$d\left(f(t,x),f(t,y)\right) \le K(t)d\left(x,y\right),\tag{6}$$

where K(.) is a positive function in  $\mathbb{S}^p(\mathbb{R})$ . Then for every real sequence  $(\alpha'_n)$ , there exist a subsequence  $(\alpha_n) \subset (\alpha'_n)$  (independent of x) and a function  $f^{\infty} \in \mathbb{S}^p\mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  such that for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n} \int_{t}^{t+1} d(f(s+\alpha_{n}, x), f^{\infty}(s, x))^{p} ds = 0.$$
 (7)

**Proof** Firstly, let us show that  $f^{\infty}$  is Lipschitz with respect to the second variable in the Stepanov metric sense. Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{X}$ . We consider a real sequence  $(\alpha'_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $f \in \mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ , for every  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ , we can find a subsequence  $(\alpha_n) \subset (\alpha'_n)$  (depending on x) such that

$$\lim_{n} D_{\mathbb{S}_p}^d \left( f(. + \alpha_n, x) - f^{\infty}(., x) \right) = 0.$$
 (8)

For the same reason, for every  $y \in \mathbb{X}$ , there exists a subsequence of  $(\alpha_n)$ , (depending on both x and y still noted  $(\alpha_n)$  for simplicity) such that

$$\lim_{n} D_{\mathbb{S}_{p}}^{d} (f(.+\alpha_{n}, y), f^{\infty}(., y)) = 0.$$
 (9)

Then, by (6), (8) and (9), we get

$$D_{\mathbb{S}_{p}}^{d}(f^{\infty}(.,x),f^{\infty}(.,y)) \leq \lim D_{\mathbb{S}_{p}}^{d}(f^{\infty}(.,x),f(.+\alpha_{n},x)) + \lim D_{\mathbb{S}_{p}}^{d}(f(.+\alpha_{n},x),f(.+\alpha_{n},y)) + \lim D_{\mathbb{S}_{p}}^{d}(f(.+\alpha_{n},y),f^{\infty}(.,y)) \leq \|K\|_{S^{p}}d(x,y).$$
(10)

Secondly, let us show (7). Let  $(\alpha'_n)$  be a real sequence. Since  $\mathbb{X}$  is separable, let D be a dense countable subset of  $\mathbb{X}$ . Using (8) and a diagonal procedure, we can find a subsequence  $(\alpha_n)$  of  $(\alpha'_n)$  such that for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in D$ , we have

$$\lim_{n} \int_{t}^{t+1} \left( d \left( f(s + \alpha_{n}, x), f^{\infty}(s, x) \right) \right)^{p} ds = 0$$
 (11)

Let  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_k) \subset D$  such that  $\lim_k d(x_k, x) = 0$ . From (6), we deduce

$$\lim_{k} \int_{t}^{t+1} \left( d\left( f(s + \alpha_n, x_k), f(s + \alpha_n, x) \right) \right)^p ds = 0, \tag{12}$$

uniformly with respect to  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now from (11), we obtain, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\lim_{n} \int_{t}^{t+1} \left( d \left( f(s + \alpha_n, x_k), f^{\infty}(s, x_k) \right) \right)^p ds = 0.$$
 (13)

Using (12), (13) and by a classical result on interchange of limits, we deduce

$$\lim_{n} f(s + \alpha_n, x) = \lim_{k} f^{\infty}(s, x_k) = f^{\infty}(s, x)$$

in Stepanov metric. The last equality follows from (10).

# 2.3 Bohr and Stepanov weighted pseudo almost periodic functions with values in metric space

The notions of Stepanov-like weighted pseudo almost periodicity and Stepanov pseudo almost periodicity of functions, with values in Banach space X, were introduced by T. Diagana [29, 30, 31] as natural generalizations of the pseudo almost periodicity invented by Zhang [67, 68].

Here we give the definitions of these different notions for functions with values in a complete metric space  $\mathbb{E}$ . Constantin and Maria Tudor [62] have proposed an elegant definition of pseudo almost periodicity in the context of metric spaces, which is slightly restrictive, since it requires compactness of the range of the function instead of its boundedness. A more general definition of weighted pseudo almost periodicity (automorphic) has been introduced in [9], where it is shown that there is no need to assume that  $\mathbb{E}$  is a vector space, nor a metric space, and these notions depend only on the topological structure of  $\mathbb{E}$ . The definition we propose here is intermediate to C. and M. Tudor's definition, and those in the wide sense [9, Proposition 2.5 (i)], and coincides with the one existing in the literature when  $\mathbb{E}$  is a normed space.

Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$\mu(\mathbb{R}) = \infty \text{ and } \mu(I) < \infty \text{ for every bounded interval } I.$$
 (14)

If  $(X; \|.\|)$  is a Banach space, we define the space  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, X, \mu)$  of  $\mu$ -ergodic X-valued functions by

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}, \mu) = \left\{ f \in \mathrm{BC}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}); \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{[-r, r]} \|f(t)\| \ d\mu(t) = 0 \right\}.$$

When  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure, we merely denote this space by  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ .

**Definition 2.6** A continuous and bounded function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}$  is said to be *pseudo almost periodic* if there exists a function  $g \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  such that the mapping  $t \to d(f(t), g(t))$  is in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ , and it's said to be  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic or weighted pseudo almost periodic if there exists a function  $g \in AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  such that the mapping  $t \to d(f(t), g(t))$  is in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mu)$ .

We denote respectively by  $\operatorname{PAP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  and  $\operatorname{PAP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}, \mu)$  the spaces of such functions. Note that g is uniquely determined by f in the first case (see [62]). This is not necessarily the case when considering  $f \in \operatorname{PAP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}, \mu)$ . However, it is easy to see that a sufficient condition of uniqueness of g is that  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}, \mu)$  is translation invariant. This is the case in particular if Condition (**H**) of [15] is satisfied:

(**H**) For every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exist  $\beta > 0$  and a bounded interval I such that  $\mu(A + \tau) \leq \beta \mu(A)$  whenever A is a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $A \cap I = \emptyset$ .

Let  $p \ge 1$ . We use Bochner's transformation to define the Stepanov  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic functions:

**Definition 2.7** 1. We say that a locally *p*-integrable function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}$  is Stepanov-like  $\mu$ -ergodic if  $f^b \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0, 1], dt, \mathbb{E}), \mu)$ . Set

$$\mathbb{S}^{p}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}, \mu) = \left\{ f \in \mathfrak{L}^{p}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}); f^{b} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}^{p}([0, 1], dt, \mathbb{E}), \mu) \right\}.$$

2. We say that  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}$  is  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -weighted pseudo almost periodic, or Stepanov  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic if

$$f^b \in PAP(\mathbb{R}, L^p([0,1]; \mathbb{E}), \mu),$$

that is, if there exists  $g \in \mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  such that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}^p} \left( f^b(t), g^b(t) \right) d\mu(t) = 0, \tag{15}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_0^1 d^p(f(t+s), g(t+s)) ds \right)^{1/p} d\mu(t) = 0.$$
 (16)

We denote by  $\mathbb{S}^p PAP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}, \mu)$  the space of such functions. Note that, in this case, the function g is uniquely determined if  $\mu$  satisfies Condition (**H**). In fact, assume that  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  define the same function f. Then, the mapping  $t \to \mathcal{D}_{L^p}(g_1^b(t), g_2^b(t))$  is in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mu) \cap AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{D}_{L^p}(g_1^b(t), g_2^b(t)) = 0$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consequently  $g_1 = g_2$ , a.e..

We have the following characterization of  $\mathbb{S}^p PAP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}, \mu)$ :

**Proposition 2.8** Eq. (16) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \int_{0}^{1} d^{p}(f(t+s), g(t+s)) ds \, d\mu(t) = 0.$$
 (17)

Our proof is inspired from J. Blot and P. Cieutat [14, Proposition 6.6]. **Proof** The case when p=1 is obvious. Set, for simplicity, d(f(t+s),g(t+s)):=h(t+s) and  $|H|_{\mathbb{S}^p(t)}:=\left(\int\limits_0^1\|H(t+s)\|^p\,ds\right)^{1/p}$ , for any p-locally integrable Banach-space valued function, H. Let us assume that  $t\mapsto |h|_{\mathbb{S}^p(t)}^p\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R},\mu),\,p>1$ . Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{[-r,r]} |h|_{\mathbb{S}^p(t)} \ d\mu(t) \le (\mu([-r,r]))^{1/q} \left\{ \int_{[-r,r]} |h|_{\mathbb{S}^p(t)}^p \ d\mu(t) \right\}^{1/p}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} |h|_{\mathbb{S}^p(t)} \ d\mu(t) \le \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} |h|_{\mathbb{S}^p(t)}^p \ d\mu(t) \right\}^{1/p}$$

which leads to  $|h|_{\mathbb{S}^p(.)} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mu)$ . Conversely, set  $M = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |h|_{\mathbb{S}^p(t)}^{p-1} < \infty$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} |h|_{\mathbb{S}^{p}(t)}^{p} \ d\mu(t) \leq & \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} |h|_{\mathbb{S}^{p}(t)} \ |h|_{\mathbb{S}^{p}(t)}^{p-1} \ d\mu(t) \\ \leq & M \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} |h|_{\mathbb{S}^{p}(t)} \ d\mu(t) \end{split}$$

which means that  $|h|_{\mathbb{S}^p(.)}^p \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mu)$ .

From now on, we only deal with the weighted pseudo almost periodicity since pseudo almost periodicity is a special case.

Weighted pseudo almost periodic and Stepanov-like weighted pseudo almost periodic functions depending on a parameter Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying (14). The definition of  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodicity for functions with parameter is the same as in [9].

- We say that a continuous and bounded function  $f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$  is  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic with respect to the first variable, uniformly with respect to the second variable in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$  if, for every  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ , f(.,x) is  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic (in this case, we write  $f \in \text{PAP}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}, \mu)$ , and there exists  $g \in \text{APU}_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  such that the convergence of  $1/\mu([-r,r]) \int_{-r}^r d(f(t,x),g(t,x)) d\mu(t)$  is uniform with respect to x in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$ . The space of such functions is denoted by  $\text{PAPU}_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}, \mu)$ .
- If  $(\mathbb{Y}; \|.\|)$  is a Banach space, we say that a function  $f \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  is Stepanov-like  $\mu$ -ergodic with respect to the first variable, uniformly with respect to the second variable in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$  if, for every  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ ,  $f^b(.,x)$  is  $\mu$ -ergodic, and the convergence of

 $1/\mu([-r,r])\int_{-r}^{r}|f(.,x)|_{\mathbb{S}^{p}(t)}\ d\mu(t)$ 

is uniform with respect to x in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$ . The space of such functions is denoted by  $\mathbb{S}^p \mathcal{E} U_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}, \mu)$ .

• A function  $f \in \mathfrak{L}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  is said to be Stepanov-like  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic with respect to the first variable, uniformly with respect to the second variable in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$  if there exists  $g \in \mathbb{S}^p APU_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$  such that

$$\left[ (t,x) \mapsto d\left( f(t,x), g(t,x) \right) \right] \in \mathbb{S}^p \mathcal{E} U_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{R}, \mu).$$

The space of such functions is denoted by  $\mathbb{S}^p PAPU_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}, \mu)$ .

# 2.4 A superposition theorem in $\mathbb{S}^p PAP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}, \mu)$

In this section, we study some properties of parametric functions, especially Nemytskii's operators  $\mathcal{N}(f)(x) := [t \mapsto f(t,x(t))]$  built on  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}$  in the space of Stepanov ( $\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodic functions. In the following, we assume that  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$  with p, q and  $r \geq 1$ , and we consider the parametric function  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}$ , which satisfies the Lipschitz condition:

(Lip) There exists a nonnegative function  $L \in \mathbb{S}^r(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$d(f(t,u), f(t,v)) \le L(t)d(u,v), \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \ u,v \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Using compactness property of Stepanov almost periodicity given by Danilov (see Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3), we improve the composition theorem of Stepanov almost periodic functions given in [35, Theorem 2.1]. We show in particular that in order to obtain that  $\mathcal{N}(f)$  maps  $\mathbb{S}^q AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  into  $\mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ , the following compactness condition:

(Com) There exists a subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  with meas(A) = 0 such that  $K := \overline{\{x(t) : t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A\}}$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ ,

is not necessary. Let us mention that Andres and Pennequin [7, Lemma 3.2] have shown that if  $f: \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}$  is a continuous function satisfying, for some a, b > 0 and  $p, q \ge 1$ , the following growth condition:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{E}, \|f(x)\|_{\mathbb{E}} \le a \|x\|_{\mathbb{E}}^{p/q} + b,$$

and  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}$  is an  $\mathbb{S}^p$ AP-function, then the composition  $f \circ g$  is an  $\mathbb{S}^q$ AP-function.

We begin by the following Lemma which identifies the spaces  $\mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$  and  $\mathbb{S}^p APU_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$  under Condition (Lip).

**Lemma 2.9** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}$  be a parametric function satisfying Condition (Lip). Then,  $f \in \mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$  if, and only if,  $f \in \mathbb{S}^p APU_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$ .

The proof of this lemma is very similar to that of Fan et al. [36, Lemma 3.1] which is the analogous result for almost automorphic case.

Now, before giving the superposition theorem in  $\mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$ , we need some more notations. Let  $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{E}$  be a mesurable function, let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable set. We denote by  $u^{\lfloor A, x_0 \rfloor}$  the "truncated" function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{E}$ , defined by

$$u^{\lfloor A, x_0 \rfloor}(t) = \begin{cases} u(t) & \text{if } t \in A \\ x_0 & \text{if } t \notin A. \end{cases}$$

We are now ready to present the superposition theorem in  $\mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$ :

**Theorem 2.10** Let  $f \in \mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$ , and assume that f satisfies Condition (Lip). Then, for every  $u \in \mathbb{S}^q AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ , we have  $f(., u(.)) \in \mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ .

**Proof** Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{E}$ . Let  $u \in \mathbb{S}^q AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ . In view of (5), we have  $u \in M_p'(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}) \cap \mathbb{S}^0 AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ , thus, there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that  $D_{\mathbb{S}^q}^d(u^{\lfloor A, x_0 \rfloor}(.), x_0) \leq \varepsilon$  for all measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\varkappa(A) \leq \eta$ . For such  $\eta$ , using Corollary 2.3, we deduce that there exists a compact subset  $\mathcal{K}_{\eta(\varepsilon)} \subset \mathbb{E}$  such that

$$\varkappa\{t \in \mathbb{R}, \ x(t) \notin \mathcal{K}_{\eta(\varepsilon)}\} < \eta$$

and

$$D_{\mathbb{S}^q}^d \left( u^{\lfloor T_{\varepsilon}^c, x_0 \rfloor}(.), x_0 \right) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{6 \|L\|_{\mathbb{S}^r}}, \tag{18}$$

where  $T_{\varepsilon} := T_{\eta(\varepsilon)}$  is the subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  on which  $u(t) \in \mathcal{K}_{\eta(\varepsilon)}$  (we exclude for simplicity the trivial case when  $||L||_{\mathbb{S}^r} = 0$ ). The compactness of  $\mathcal{K}_{\eta(\varepsilon)}$  implies that there exist a finite sequence  $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  in  $\mathcal{K}_{\eta(\varepsilon)}$  such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\eta(\varepsilon)} \subset \bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} B\left(x_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{6 \|L\|_{\mathbb{S}^r}}\right).$$
 (19)

For  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$\tau(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \notin T_{\varepsilon} \\ \min\left\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}; \ d(u(t), x_i) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{6||L||_{\mathbb{S}^r}} \right\} & \text{if } t \in T_{\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$

and, for  $i = 0, \ldots, n$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$A_{i,\xi} = \{t \in [\xi, \xi + 1]; \ \tau(t) = i\}.$$

By Lemma 2.9, we have  $f \in \mathbb{S}^p APU_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$ . Since  $u \in \mathbb{S}^q AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$ , we can choose a common relatively dense set  $\mathcal{T}(f, u, \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that, for  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(f, u, \varepsilon)$ ,

$$D_{\mathbb{S}^q}^d(u(.+\tau), u(.)) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3 \|L\|_{\mathbb{S}^r}}$$
(20)

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} D_{\mathbb{S}^p}^d \Big( f(.+\tau, x_i), f(., x_i) \Big) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$
(21)

for all  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(f, u, \varepsilon)$ . Let  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(f, u, \varepsilon)$ . We have

$$\begin{split} D^{d}_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}\Big(f\left(.+\tau,u(.+\tau)\right),f(.,u(.))\Big) \\ &\leq & D^{d}_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}\Big(f(.+\tau,u(.+\tau)),f(.+\tau,u(.))\Big) + D^{d}_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}\Big(f(.+\tau,u(.)),f(.,u(.))\Big) \\ &\leq & \|L\|_{\mathbb{S}^{r}} \, D^{d}_{\mathbb{S}^{q}}\Big(u(.+\tau),u(.)\Big) + D^{d}_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}\Big(f(.+\tau,u(.)),f(.,u(.))\Big) \\ &\leq & \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + D^{d}_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}\Big(f(.+\tau,u(.)),f(.,u(.))\Big). \end{split}$$

Now,

$$\begin{split} &D_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}^{d}\Big(f(.+\tau,u(.)),f(.,u(.))\Big) = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\xi}^{\xi+1}d^{p}(f(t+\tau,u(t)),f(t,u(t)))\,dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\xi}^{\xi+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\mathbbm{1}_{A_{i},\xi}(t)d^{p}(f(t+\tau,u(t)),f(t+\tau,x_{i}))\,dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &+\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\xi}^{\xi+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\mathbbm{1}_{A_{i},\xi}(t)d^{p}(f(t+\tau,x_{i}),f(t,x_{i}))\,dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &+\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\xi}^{\xi+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\mathbbm{1}_{A_{i},\xi}(t)d^{p}(f(t,x_{i}),f(t,u(t)))\,dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\xi}^{\xi+1}L(t+\tau)\sum_{i=0}^{n}\mathbbm{1}_{A_{i},\xi}(t)d^{p}(u(t),x_{i})\,dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &+\sum_{i=0}^{n}D_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}^{d}\left(f(.+\tau,x_{i}),f(.,x_{i})\right) \\ &+\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\xi}^{\xi+1}L(t)\sum_{i=0}^{n}\mathbbm{1}_{A_{i},\xi}(t)d^{p}(u(t),x_{i})\,dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\xi}^{\xi+1}L^{r}(t+\tau)\,dt\right)^{1/r}\left(D_{\mathbb{S}^{q}}^{d}\left(u^{\lfloor T_{\varepsilon}^{c},x_{0}\rfloor}(.),x_{0}\right)+\left(\int_{\xi}^{\xi+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbbm{1}_{A_{i},\xi}(t)d^{q}(u(t),x_{i})\,dt\right)^{1/q}\right) \\ &+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}+\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\xi}^{\xi+1}L^{r}(t)\,dt\right)^{1/r}\left(D_{\mathbb{S}^{q}}^{d}\left(u^{\lfloor T_{\varepsilon}^{c},x_{0}\rfloor}(.),x_{0}\right)+\left(\int_{\xi}^{\xi+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbbm{1}_{A_{i,\xi}}(t)d^{q}(u(t),x_{i})\,dt\right)^{1/q}\right) \\ &\leq 2\|L\|_{\mathbb{S}^{r}}\frac{\varepsilon}{6\|L\|_{\mathbb{S}^{r}}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}=\frac{2\varepsilon}{3}. \end{split}$$

Combining the preceding inequalities, we deduce that

$$D^d_{\mathbb{S}^p}\Big(f(.+\tau,u(.+\tau)),f(.,u(.))\Big) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon.$$

**Theorem 2.11** Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying (14) and Condition (H), and let  $p \geq 1$ . Assume that  $F \in \mathbb{S}^p PAPU_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E}, \mu)$ . Let G be in  $\mathbb{S}^p APU_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$  such that

$$\left[ (t,x) \mapsto H(t,x) = d\left(F(t,x), G(t,x)\right) \right] \in \mathbb{S}^p \mathcal{E} U_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{R}, \mu). \tag{22}$$

Assume that Condition (Lip) holds for F and G. If X is  $\mathbb{S}^q$ -weighted pseudo almost periodic, then  $F(.,X(.)) \in \mathbb{S}^p PAP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E},\mu)$ .

**Proof** Since  $X \in \mathbb{S}^q PAP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}, \mu)$ , there exists  $Y \in \mathbb{S}^q AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E})$  such that

$$Z(.) = d(X(.), Y(.)) \in \mathbb{S}^p \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mu).$$
(23)

To show that  $F(.,X(.)) \in \mathbb{S}^p PAP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E},\mu)$ , it is enough to have

$$d(F(.,X(.)),G(.,Y(.))) \in \mathbb{S}^p \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R},\mu),$$

since by Theorem 2.10, the function  $G(.,Y(.)) \in \mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E})$ . We have

$$d\Big(F(t,X(t)),G(t,Y(t))\Big) \leq d\Big(F(t,Y(t)),G(t,Y(t))\Big) + d\Big(F(t,Y(t)),F(t,X(t))\Big).$$

Clearly,  $d(F(.,Y(.)), F(.,X(.)) \in \mathbb{S}^p \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mu)$ . Indeed, for every r > 0,

$$\frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{-r}^{r} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{L}^{p}}^{d} \Big( F^{b}(t,Y^{b}(t)), F^{b}(t,X^{b}(t)) \, d\mu(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{-r}^{r} \|L\|_{\mathbb{S}^{r}} \, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{L}^{q}}^{d} \Big( Y^{b}(t), X^{b}(t) \Big) \, d\mu(t)$$

and hence, using (23), it follows that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{-r}^{r} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{d} \Big( F^{b}(t, Y^{b}(t)), F^{b}(t, X^{b}(t)) \Big) d\mu(t) = 0.$$

Now, we claim that  $d\Big(F(.,Y(.)),G(.,Y(.))\Big) \in \mathbb{S}^p\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R},\mu)$ . In fact, let  $\varepsilon>0$  and  $x_0\in\mathbb{E}$ . Since  $Y\in\mathbb{S}^p\mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E})\subset M_p'(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E})$ , there exists  $\delta:=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$  such that  $D^d_{\mathbb{S}^q}(Y^{\lfloor A,x_0\rfloor}(.),x_0)\leq \varepsilon$  for all measurable set  $A\subset\mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\varkappa(A)\leq \delta$ . Thus, using Corollary 2.3, we deduce that there exists a compact subset  $\mathcal{K}_\delta\subset\mathbb{E}$  such that

$$\varkappa\{t\in\mathbb{R},\ Y(t)\notin\mathcal{K}_{\delta}\}<\delta$$

and

$$D_{\mathbb{S}^q}^d(Y^{\lfloor T_\delta^c, x_0 \rfloor}(.), x_0) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \|L\|_{\mathbb{S}^r}}$$
(24)

where  $T_{\delta} := T_{\delta(\varepsilon)}$  is the subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  on which  $Y(t) \in \mathcal{K}_{\delta}$ . The compactness of  $\mathcal{K}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}$  implies that there exist  $y_1, y_2, ..., y_m \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \subset \bigcup_{1 < i < m} B\left(y_i, \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \|L\|_{\mathbb{S}^r}}\right). \tag{25}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{-r}^{r} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{d} \Big( F^{b}(t,Y^{b}(t)), G^{b}(t,Y^{b}(t)) \, d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{-r}^{r} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{d} \Big( F^{b}(t,Y^{b}(t)), F^{b}(t,y_{i}) \Big) \, d\mu(t) \\ &+ \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{-r}^{r} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{d} \Big( F^{b}(t,y_{i}), G^{b}(t,y_{i}) \Big) \, d\mu(t) \\ &+ \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{-r}^{r} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{d} \Big( G^{b}(t,y_{i}), G^{b}(t,Y^{b}(t)) \Big) \, d\mu(t) \\ &:= J_{1}(r) + J_{2}(r) + J_{3}(r). \end{split}$$

We have from (24) and (25):

$$J_{1}(r) + J_{3}(r) \leq 2 \|L\|_{\mathbb{S}^{r}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} D_{\mathbb{S}^{q}}^{d} \left( Y(.), y_{i} \right)$$
  
$$\leq 2 \|L\|_{\mathbb{S}^{r}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ D_{\mathbb{S}^{q}}^{d} \left( Y^{\lfloor T_{\delta}, y_{i} \rfloor}(.), y_{i} \right) + D_{\mathbb{S}^{q}}^{d} \left( Y^{\lfloor T_{\delta}^{c}, y_{i} \rfloor}(.), y_{i} \right) \right\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let us estimate  $J_2(r)$ . Using the fact that the parametric function H is in  $\mathbb{S}^p \mathcal{E} U_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}, \mathbb{R}, \mu)$ , we have

$$J_2(r) \le \sup_{y \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{-r}^{r} \mathcal{D}_{L^p}^d \Big( F^b(t,y), G^b(t,y) \Big) d\mu(t),$$

from which we deduce that  $\lim_{r\to\infty} J_2(r) = 0$ . Finally, since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])}\int_{-r}^{r}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{L}^{p}}^{d}\Big(F^{b}(t,Y^{b}(t)),G^{b}(t,Y^{b}(t)\Big)\,d\mu(t)=0.$$

This proves that  $F(.,X(.)) \in \mathbb{S}^p PAP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{E},\mu)$ .

### 2.5 Weighted pseudo almost periodicity for stochastic processes

To define weighted pseudo almost periodicity in pth mean, we assume that (X, ||.||) is a separable Banach space.

### 2.5.1 Weighted pseudo almost periodicity in pth mean

Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be a continuous stochastic process with values in  $\mathbb{X}$ , defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying (14).

Let p > 0. We say that X is almost periodic in pth mean (respectively  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic in pth mean) if the mapping  $t \mapsto X(t)$  is in  $AP(\mathbb{R}, L^p(\Omega, P, \mathbb{X}))$  (respectively in  $PAP(\mathbb{R}, L^p(\Omega, P, \mathbb{X}), \mu)$ , i.e., if it has the form X = Y + Z, where  $Y \in AP(\mathbb{R}, L^p(\Omega, P, \mathbb{X}))$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^p(\Omega, P, \mathbb{X}), \mu)$ ). When p = 2, we say that X is square-mean almost periodic (respectively square-mean  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic).

The process X is said to be almost periodic in probability if the mapping  $X:t\to L^0(\Omega,P,\mathbb{X})$  is almost periodic, where  $L^0(\Omega,P,\mathbb{X})$  is the space of measurable mappings from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{X}$ , endowed with the topology of convergence in probability, induced by the distance

$$d_{\text{Prob}}(U, V) = \mathrm{E}(\|U - V\| \wedge 1),$$

for which  $L^0(\Omega, P, X)$  is complete.

The process X is said to be  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic in probability, and we write  $X \in \operatorname{PAP}(\mathbb{R}, \operatorname{L}^0(\Omega, P, \mathbb{X}), \mu)$ , if the mapping  $t \mapsto X(t)$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \to \operatorname{L}^0(\Omega, P, \mathbb{X})$  is  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic, i.e. if it has the form X = Y + Z where  $Y \in \operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \operatorname{L}^0(\Omega, P, \mathbb{X}))$  and Z satisfies

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r, r])} \int_{[-r, r]} \mathbf{E} \left( \| Z(t) \| \wedge 1 \right) d\mu(t) = 0.$$
 (26)

We denote by  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^0(\Omega, P, \mathbb{X}), \mu)$  the set of stochastic processes Z satisfying (26).

#### 2.5.2 Weighted pseudo almost periodicity in p-distribution

Let X and Y be two Polish spaces. In what follows, we recall a useful variant of (weighted pseudo) almost periodicity in distribution that combines the well-known almost periodicity in distribution [61] and a uniform integrability condition. We denote by law(X) the law (or distribution) of a random variable X. For any topological space X, we denote by  $\mathcal{M}^{1,+}(X)$  the set of Borel probability measures on X, endowed with the topology of narrow (or weak) convergence, i.e. the coarsest topology such that the mappings  $\mu \mapsto \mu(\varphi)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}^{1,+}(X) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous for all bounded continuous  $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $\tau: \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$  is a Borel measurable mapping and  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{X}$ , we denote by  $\tau_{\mathsf{H}} \mu$  the Borel measure on  $\mathbb{Y}$  defined by

$$\tau_{\sharp} \mu(B) = \mu(\tau^{-1}(B))$$

for every Borel set of  $\mathbb{Y}$ .

Let  $BC(X, \mathbb{R})$  denote the space of bounded continuous functions from X to  $\mathbb{R}$ , which we endow with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} |\varphi(x)|.$$

For a given distance d on X, and for  $\varphi \in BC(X, \mathbb{R})$  we define

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{L}} = \sup \left\{ \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{d(x, y)}; \ x \neq y \right\}$$
$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{BL}} = \max \{ \|\varphi\|_{\infty}, \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{L}} \}.$$

We denote

$$\mathrm{BL}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{R}) = \{ \varphi \in \mathrm{BC}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{R}); \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{BL}} < \infty \}.$$

The bounded Lipschitz distance  $d_{BL}$  associated with d on  $\mathcal{M}^{1,+}(\mathbb{X})$  is defined by

$$d_{\mathrm{BL}}(\mu,\nu) = \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in \mathrm{BL}(\mathbb{X},\mathbb{R}) \\ \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{RL}} \le 1}} \int_{\mathbb{X}} \varphi \, d(\mu - \nu).$$

This metric generates the narrow (or weak) topology on  $\mathcal{M}^{1,+}(\mathbb{X})$ .

If X is continuous with values in X, we denote by X(t) the random variable X(t+.) with values in  $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ .

Following Tudor's terminology [61], we say that X is almost periodic in one-dimensional distributions if the mapping  $t \mapsto \text{law}(X(t))$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathcal{M}^{1,+}(\mathbb{X})$  is almost periodic.

If X has continuous trajectories, we say that X is almost periodic in distribution, and write  $X \in APD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ , if the mapping  $t \mapsto \text{law}(\widetilde{X}(t))$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathcal{M}^{1,+}(C_k(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}))$  is almost periodic, where  $C_k(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$  is defined in Subsection 2.1.

Let us recall the definition of almost periodicity in p-distribution that we introduced in [9], which takes into account integrability of order p.

**Definition 2.12 ([9])** Let  $p \geq 0$ . A continuous X-valued stochastic process is called almost periodic in p-distribution if

- (i)  $X \in APD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ ,
- (ii) if p > 0, the family  $(\|X(t)\|^p)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is uniformly integrable.

We denote by  $APD^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$  the set of  $\mathbb{X}$ -valued processes which are almost periodic in p-distribution, in particular we have  $APD^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}) = APD(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ .

The previous conditions imply that the mapping  $t \mapsto X(t)$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \to L^p(\Omega, P, \mathbb{X})$ , is continuous. In the same way, for  $p \geq 0$ , one defines the sets  $APD_1^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$  and  $APD_f^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$  of processes which are respectively almost periodic in one-dimensional p-distributions and almost periodic in finite dimensional p-distributions.

The following definition proposed in [9] turns out useful in the context of stochastic differential equations.

**Definition 2.13** ([9]) Assume that X is a vector space. Let  $p \geq 0$ . We say that X is  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic in p-distribution if X can be written

$$X = Y + Z$$
, where  $Y \in APD^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^p(\Omega, P, \mathbb{X}), \mu)$ .

The set of X-valued processes which are  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic in p-distribution is denoted by PAPD $^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ . Similar definitions hold for the spaces PAPD $^p_1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}, \mu)$  and PAPD $^p_f(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}, \mu)$  of processes which are  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic in one-dimensional p-distributions and in finite dimensional p-distributions respectively.

# 3 Stepanov almost periodic solutions to stochastic differential equations

Let  $(\mathbb{H}_1, \|.\|_{\mathbb{H}_1})$  and  $(\mathbb{H}_2, \|.\|_{\mathbb{H}_2})$  be separable Hilbert spaces, and let us denote by  $L(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2)$  (or  $L(\mathbb{H}_1)$  if  $\mathbb{H}_1 = \mathbb{H}_2$ ) the space of all bounded linear operators from  $\mathbb{H}_1$  to  $\mathbb{H}_2$ , and by  $L_2(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2)$  the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from  $\mathbb{H}_1$  to  $\mathbb{H}_2$ .

Recall that  $CUB(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ , the Banach space of square-mean continuous and L<sup>2</sup>-bounded stochastic processes, is endowed with the norm

$$||X||_{\infty}^2 = \sup_{t} E ||X(t)||_{\mathbb{H}_2}^2.$$

We consider the semilinear stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = AX(t) dt + F(t, X(t)) dt + G(t, X(t)) dW(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$$
(27)

where  $A : \text{Dom}(A) \subset \mathbb{H}_2 \to \mathbb{H}_2$  is a densely defined closed (possibly unbounded) linear operator, and  $F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2 \to \mathbb{H}_2$ , and  $G : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2 \to L_2(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2)$  are measurable functions (not necessarily continuous). To discuss the existence and uniqueness of almost periodic in 2-distribution solutions to equation (27), we consider the following hypotheses:

- (H1)  $W(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is an  $\mathbb{H}_1$ -valued Wiener process with nuclear covariance operator Q (we denote by tr Q the trace of Q), defined on a stochastic basis  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, P)$ .
- (H2)  $A : \text{Dom}(A) \to \mathbb{H}_2$  is the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$  such that there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  with

$$||S(t)||_{L(\mathbb{H}_2)} \le e^{-\delta t}, t \ge 0.$$

(H3) There exists a positive constant M such that the mappings  $F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2 \to \mathbb{H}_2$  and  $G : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2 \to \mathbb{L}_2(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2)$  satisfy

$$||F(t,x)||_{\mathbb{H}_2} + ||G(t,x)||_{L_2(\mathbb{H}_1,\mathbb{H}_2)} \le M(1+||x||_{\mathbb{H}_2})$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(H4) The functions F and G are Lipschitz, more precisely there exists a positive function  $K(.) \in \mathbb{S}^p(\mathbb{R}), p > 2$ , such that

$$||F(t,x) - F(t,y)||_{\mathbb{H}_2} + ||G(t,x) - G(t,y)||_{L_2(\mathbb{H}_1,\mathbb{H}_2)} \le K(t)||x - y||_{\mathbb{H}_2}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{H}_2$ .

(H5)  $F \in \mathbb{S}^2 AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, \mathbb{H}_2)$  and  $G \in \mathbb{S}^2 AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, L_2(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2))$ .

#### 3.1 Almost periodic solutions in 2-distribution

In order to study the weighted pseudo almost periodicity of solutions to (27), we need a result on almost periodicity. In what follows, let q > 0 with  $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p}$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Assume the conditions (H1) – (H5) are fulfilled and the constant

$$\theta_{\mathbb{S}} := \left( \frac{2 \|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^2}^2}{\delta (1 - e^{-\delta})} + \frac{2 \|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^2}^2 \operatorname{tr} Q}{1 - e^{-2\delta}} \right) < 1.$$

Then there exists a unique mild solution X to (27) in  $CUB(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ . Furthermore, X has a.e. continuous trajectories, and X(t) can be explicitly expressed as follows, for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} S(t-s)F(s,X(s))ds + \int_{-\infty}^{t} S(t-s)G(s,X(s))dW(s).$$
 (28)

If furthermore

$$\theta_{\mathbb{S}}' := \frac{4}{3q\delta} \left( \left( 3\beta_1 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} + \left( 3\beta_2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) < 1,$$

with

$$\beta_1 := \frac{4}{\delta} \left( \frac{\|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^p}^p}{1 - e^{-\frac{p\delta}{4}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}, \ \beta_2 := 4 \operatorname{tr} Q \left( \frac{\|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^p}^p}{1 - e^{-\frac{p\delta}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

then X is almost periodic in 2-distribution.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need the following lemma and proposition:

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $K : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -bounded (resp. Stepanov almost periodic) function, then the function

$$\kappa(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} K^{p}(s) ds$$

is uniformly bounded (resp. Bohr almost periodic), and we have

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \kappa(t) \le \frac{\|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^p}^p}{1 - e^{-\delta}}.$$

**Proof** The proof is very simple, see for instance [54, 55].

The following proposition is based on the application of Komlós's theorem [44].

**Proposition 3.3** 1. Let  $(\mathbb{U}, \Sigma, \lambda)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, and let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a separable Banach space. Let  $(F'_n)$  be a sequence of mappings from  $\mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{B}$  to  $\mathbb{B}$  satisfying

- (i) each  $F'_n$  is measurable,
- (ii) for every  $u \in \mathbb{U}$ , the sequence  $(F'_n(u,.))$  is equicontinuous,
- (iii) there exists a measurable mapping  $F: \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$ , such that

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{B}) \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} ||F'_n(u, x) - F(u, x)|| d\lambda(u) = 0.$$

Then there exists a subsequence  $(F_n)$  of  $(F'_n)$ , a modification  $\tilde{F}$  of F, and a  $\lambda$ -negligible subset  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathbb{U}$  such that

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{B}) \ (\forall u \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \mathcal{N}) \ \lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(u, x) = \tilde{F}(u, x),$$

and such that, for every  $u \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ , the mapping F(u, .) is continuous.

2. With the same hypothesis as in 1., assume now that  $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{R}$  is the set of real numbers,  $\Sigma$  its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $\lambda$  the Lebesgue-measure. Assume furthermore that there exists a sequence  $(K'_n)$  of measurable mappings from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , and a number  $p \geq 1$ , satisfying

(iv) 
$$(\forall n \ge 1) \ (\forall x, y \in \mathbb{B}) \ (\forall u \in \mathbb{R}) \ \|F'_n(u, x) - F'_n(u, y)\| \le K'_n(u) \|x - y\|,$$

(v) 
$$A := \sup_{n>1} \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{u}^{u+1} \left(K'_{n}\right)^{p}(v) dv < \infty.$$

Then we can extract the subsequence  $(F_n)$  in such a way that there exists a measurable mapping  $K: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  and a  $\lambda$ -negligible subset  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathbb{B}) \ (\forall u \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \mathcal{N}) \ \|F(u, x) - F(u, y)\| \le K(u) \|x - y\|, \tag{29}$$

with

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{u}^{u+1} K^{p}(v) \, dv \le A.$$

### Proof

1. For every  $x \in \mathbb{B}$ , we can find a subsequence  $(F_n^{(x)})$  of  $(F_n')$  and a  $\lambda$ -negligible set  $\mathcal{N}_x$  such that

$$(\forall u \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \mathcal{N}_x) \lim_{n \to \infty} F_n^{(x)}(u, x) = F(u, x).$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a dense countable subset of  $\mathbb{B}$ . Using a diagonal procedure, we can find a subsequence  $(F_n)$  of  $(F'_n)$  and a  $\lambda$ -negligible subset  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathbb{U}$  such that

$$(\forall y \in \mathcal{D}) \ (\forall u \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \mathcal{N}) \ \lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(u, y) = F(u, y).$$

On the other hand, for every  $u \in \mathbb{U}$  and every  $x \in \mathbb{B}$ , we have, by equicontinuity of  $F_n(u, .)$ ,

$$\lim_{y \to x} \sup_{n} ||F_n(u, y) - F_n(u, x)|| = 0.$$
(30)

Let  $u \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ , and let  $x \in \mathbb{B}$ . Using the uniformity in (30), we deduce, by a classical result on interchange of limits, that, for any  $x \in \mathbb{B}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(u, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{\substack{y \to x \\ y \in \mathcal{D}}} F_n(u, y) = \lim_{\substack{y \to x \\ y \in \mathcal{D}}} \lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(u, y) = \lim_{\substack{y \to x \\ y \in \mathcal{D}}} F(u, y). \tag{31}$$

Note that, for  $u \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ , the calculation (31) shows that f(u, .) is continuous on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let us define  $\tilde{F} : \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B}$  by

$$\tilde{F}(u,x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(u,x) = \lim_{\substack{y \to x \\ y \in \mathcal{D}}} F(u,y) & \text{for } u \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \mathcal{N} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{B}, \\ 0 & \text{for } u \in \mathcal{N} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{B}. \end{cases}$$

This definition is consistent, thanks to (31). Furthermore,  $\tilde{F}(u, .)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{B}$  for every  $u \in \mathbb{U}$ . Finally, since  $\tilde{F}(u, y) = F(u, y)$  for all  $(u, y) \in (\mathbb{U} \setminus \mathcal{N}) \times \mathcal{D}$ , we have, for any  $x \in \mathbb{B}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} \left\| \tilde{F}(u,x) - F(u,x) \right\| d\lambda(u)$$

$$\leq \lim_{\substack{y \to x \\ y \in \mathcal{D}}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left\| F_n(u,y) - \tilde{F}(u,x) \right\| d\lambda(u) + \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left\| F_n(u,y) - F(u,x) \right\| d\lambda(u) \right) = 0,$$

which proves that  $\tilde{F}(u,x) = F(u,x)$  for  $\lambda$ -almost every  $u \in \mathbb{U}$ .

2. By an application of Komlós's theorem [44] on each interval [k, k+1], where k is an integer, and using a diagonal procedure, we can extract a subsequence  $(K_n)$  of  $(K'_n)$  and a mapping  $K : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{j}^{p}(u) = K^{p}(u) \quad \text{for $\lambda$-a.e. } u \in \mathbb{R},$$

and such that this almost sure Cesàro convergence holds true for any further subsequence of  $(K_n)$  (the negligible set on which the convergence does not hold depends on the subsequence). We can thus ask for the sequences  $(F_n)$  and  $(K_n)$  to have the same indices. Denote, for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$G_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n F_j, \quad L_n = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K_j^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

There exists a  $\lambda$ -negligible subset  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{B}) \ (\forall u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{N}) \lim_{n \to \infty} G_n(u, x) = F(u, x) \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} L_n(u) = K(u).$$
 (32)

On the other hand, by the triangular inequality, we have also:

$$(\forall n \ge 1) \ (\forall x, y \in \mathbb{B}) \ (\forall u \in \mathbb{R}) \ \|G_n(u, x) - G_n(u, y)\| \le L_n(u) \|x - y\|. \tag{33}$$

We deduce (29) from (32) and (33). Furthermore, by Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{u}^{u+1} K^{p}(v) dv \le \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{u}^{u+1} L_{n}^{p}(v) dv \le A.$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1** Clearly, the process

$$X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)F(s,X(s))ds + \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)G(s,X(s))dW(s)$$

satisfies

$$X(t) = T(t-a)X(a) + \int_a^t T(t-s)F(s,X(s))ds + \int_a^t T(t-s)G(s,X(s))dW(s)$$

for all  $t \geq a$  for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and hence X is a mild solution to (27). We introduce an operator  $\Gamma$  by

$$\Gamma X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)F(s,X(s))ds + \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)G(s,X(s))dW(s).$$

First step. Let us show that Γ has a unique fixed point. For this purpose we need to show that Γ maps  $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$  into  $CUB(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ . Let  $X \in \mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ . Put  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ , where

$$(\Gamma_1 X)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s) F(s, X(s)) ds$$

and

$$(\Gamma_2 X)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s)G(s,X(s))dW(s).$$

Using conditions (H2) and (H4), the functions F and G satisfy the properties  $f(.) := F(., X(.)) \in \mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$  and  $g(.) := G(., X(.)) \in \mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, L_2(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2))$ . Let us introduce the following processes, for each  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$(\Gamma_{1,n}X)(t) = \int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} T(t-s)f(s)ds$$

and

$$(\Gamma_{2,n}X)(t) = \int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} T(t-s)g(s)dW(s).$$

Clearly, for each n,  $\Gamma_{1,n}X \in C(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ . Likewise for  $\Gamma_{2,n}X$ , for which the continuity is a property of the stochastic integral. To show the boundedness of  $\Gamma_{1,n}X$  and  $\Gamma_{2,n}X$  for each fixed  $n \geq 1$ , we use standard arguments. By Hölder's inequality, we have, for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $n \geq 1$ 

$$\mathbb{E} \| (\Gamma_{1,n}X)(t) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} \leq \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} \| T(t-s) \| \| f(s) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}} ds \right)^{2} \\
 \leq \delta^{-1} \int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \| f(s) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} ds \\
 \leq \delta^{-1} e^{-\delta(n-1)} \int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} \mathbb{E} \| f(s) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} ds,$$

which leads to

$$\|\Gamma_{1,n}X\|_{\infty}^2 \le \delta^{-1}e^{-\delta(n-1)} \|f\|_{\mathbb{S}^2}^2$$
.

Since the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\delta(n-1)} \|f\|_{\mathbb{S}^2}^2$  is convergent, it follows that

$$\Gamma_1 X := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{1,n} X \in \text{CUB}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2)).$$
(34)

By Itô's isometry, we have for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \| (\Gamma_{2,n} X)(t) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} = \operatorname{tr} Q \int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} \mathbb{E} \| T(t-s) \|^{2} \| g(s) \|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{H}_{1},\mathbb{H}_{2})}^{2} ds 
 \leq \operatorname{tr} Q \int_{t-n}^{t-n+1} e^{-2\delta(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \| g(s) \|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{H}_{1},\mathbb{H}_{2})}^{2} ds 
 \leq \operatorname{tr} Q e^{-2\delta(n-1)} \| g \|_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}^{2}.$$

This shows that  $\Gamma_{2,n}X \in \text{CUB}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\delta(n-1)} < +\infty$ , the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\Gamma_{2,n}X)(t)$  is uniformly convergent on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus

$$\Gamma_2 X := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{2,n} X \in \text{CUB}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2)).$$
(35)

From (34) and (35), we deduce that  $\Gamma$  maps  $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$  into  $\mathrm{CUB}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ . Let us show that  $\Gamma$  is a contraction operator. We have, for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $X, Y \in \mathrm{CUB}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \| (\Gamma X)(t) - (\Gamma Y)(t) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \| F(s, X(s)) - F(s, Y(s)) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}} ds \right)^{2} \\
+ 2 \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s) [G(s, X(s)) - G(s, Y(s))] dW(s) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} \\
= I_{1}(t) + I_{2}(t).$$

Let us estimate  $I_1(t)$ . Using (H4), Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, and Lemma 3.2, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} I_{1}(t) &\leq 2 \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} ds \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \operatorname{E} \| F(s,X(s)) - F(s,Y(s)) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\delta} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} K^{2}(s) \operatorname{E} \| X(s) - Y(s) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\delta} \left( \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{E} \| X(s) - Y(s) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} K^{2}(s) ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2 \| K \|_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}^{2}}{\delta(1 - \exp(-\delta))} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{E} \| X(s) - Y(s) \|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

For  $I_2(t)$ , using again (H4), Lemma 3.2, and Itô's isometry we get:

$$I_{2}(t) \leq 2 \operatorname{tr} Q \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-2\delta(t-s)} \operatorname{E} \|G(s, X(s)) - G(s, Y(s))\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{H}_{1}, \mathbb{H}_{2})}^{2} ds$$

$$\leq 2 \operatorname{tr} Q \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-2\delta(t-s)} K^{2}(s) \operatorname{E} \|X(s) - Y(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} ds$$

$$\leq 2 \operatorname{tr} Q \left( \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{E} \|X(s) - Y(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-2\delta(t-s)} K^{2}(s) ds \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{2 \|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}^{2} \operatorname{tr} Q}{1 - \exp(-2\delta)} \left( \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{E} \|X(s) - Y(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} \right).$$

We thus have

$$\|\Gamma X - \Gamma Y\|_{\infty}^{2} \le \left(\frac{2\|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}^{2}}{\delta(1 - \exp(-\delta))} + \frac{2\|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}^{2} \operatorname{tr} Q}{1 - \exp(-2\delta)}\right) \|X - Y\|_{\infty}^{2} = \theta_{\mathbb{S}} \|X - Y\|_{\infty}^{2}.$$

Consequently, as  $\theta_{\mathbb{S}} < 1$ , we deduce that  $\Gamma$  is a contraction operator, hence there exists a unique mild solution to (27) in  $CUB(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ . By [24, Theorem 7.2]), almost all trajectories of this solution are continuous.

**Second step.** Let us show that X is almost periodic in distribution. For this purpose, we prove first that X is almost periodic in one distribution. We use Bochner's double sequences criterion. Since  $F \in \mathbb{S}^2 AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, \mathbb{H}_2)$  and  $G \in \mathbb{S}^2 AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, \mathbb{L}_2(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2))$ , we deduce, by Proposition 2.5, that there exist subsequences  $(\alpha'_n) \subset (\alpha''_n)$  and  $(\beta'_n) \subset (\beta''_n)$ with same indexes (and independent of x), and functions  $F^{\infty} \in \mathbb{S}^2 AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, \mathbb{H}_2)$  and  $G^{\infty} \in \mathbb{S}^2 AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, L_2(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2))$  such that for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{H}_2$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{t}^{t+1} \left\| F(s + \alpha'_{n} + \beta'_{n}, x) - F^{\infty}(s, x) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} ds$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{t}^{t+1} \left\| F(s + \alpha'_{n} + \beta'_{m}, x) - F^{\infty}(s, x) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{2}}^{2} ds = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{t}^{t+1} \left\| G(s + \alpha'_{n} + \beta'_{n}, x) - G^{\infty}(s, x) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{H}_{1}, \mathbb{H}_{2})}^{2} ds$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{t}^{t+1} \left\| G(s + \alpha'_{n} + \beta'_{n}, x) - G^{\infty}(s, x) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{H}_{1}, \mathbb{H}_{2})}^{2} ds = 0. \quad (37)$$

These limits exist also uniformly with respect to  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Thanks to Proposition 3.3, we obtain the following interesting properties:

- The functions  $F^{\infty}$  and  $G^{\infty}$  satisfy similar conditions as (H3) and (H4).
- there are subsequences of  $(\alpha_n)$  (resp.  $(\beta_n)$ ), still noted (for simplicity) by  $(\alpha_n)$  (resp.  $(\beta_n)$ ) and Lebesgue-negligible subset  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{N}$  and every  $x \in \mathbb{H}_2$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(s + \alpha_n + \beta_n, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} F(s + \alpha_n + \beta_m, x) = F^{\infty}(s, x),$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G(s + \alpha_n + \beta_n, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} G(s + \alpha_n + \beta_m, x) = G^{\infty}(s, x).$$
(38)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G(s + \alpha_n + \beta_n, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} G(s + \alpha_n + \beta_m, x) = G^{\infty}(s, x).$$
 (39)

We now set  $\gamma_n = \alpha_n + \beta_n$  and consider the sequence of operators, defined, for each  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$(\Gamma^n X)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s)F(s+\gamma_n, X(s))ds + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s)G(s+\gamma_n, X(s))dW(s).$$

Let  $\Gamma^{\infty}$  be the operator defined by

$$(\Gamma^{\infty}X)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)F^{\infty}(s,X(s))ds + \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)G^{\infty}(s,X(s))dW(s).$$

Using the same reasoning as in the first step, we deduce that, for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\Gamma^n$  maps  $\mathbb{S}^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$  into  $CUB(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$  and it is a contraction operator, with contraction constant equal to  $\theta_{\mathbb{S}}$ . It follows, by an application of the fixed point theorem, that there exists a process

$$X^{n}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)F(s+\gamma_{n}, X^{n}(s))ds + \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)G(s+\gamma_{n}, X^{n}(s))dW(s)$$

which is the fixed point of  $\Gamma^n$  and also the mild solution to

$$dX(t) = AX(t)dt + F(t + \gamma_n, X(t))dt + G(t + \gamma_n, X(t))dW(t).$$

Moreover, thanks to Proposition 3.3, the mappings  $F^{\infty}$  and  $G^{\infty}$  satisfy similar conditions as (H3) and (H4). Hence, the fixed point theorem applied on  $\Gamma^{\infty}$  ensures the existence of a process  $X^{\infty}$ , satisfying the integral equation

$$X^{\infty}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)F^{\infty}(s, X^{\infty}(s))ds + \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)G^{\infty}(s, X^{\infty}(s))dW(s),$$

that is,  $X^{\infty}$  is a mild solution to

$$dX(t) = AX(t)dt + F^{\infty}(t, X(t))dt + G^{\infty}(t, X(t))dW(t).$$

Make the change of variable  $\sigma + \gamma_n = s$ , the process

$$X(t+\gamma_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{t+\gamma_n} T(t+\gamma_n-\sigma)F(\sigma,X(\sigma))d\sigma + \int_{-\infty}^{t+\gamma_n} T(t+\gamma_n-\sigma)G(\sigma,X(\sigma))dW(\sigma)$$

becomes

$$X(t+\gamma_n) = \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s)F(s+\gamma_n, X(s+\gamma_n))ds + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s)G(s+\gamma_n, X(s+\gamma_n))d\tilde{W}_n(s),$$

where  $\tilde{W}_n(s) = W(s + \gamma_n) - W(\gamma_n)$  is a Brownian motion with the same distribution as W(s). We deduce that the process  $X(t + \gamma_n)$  has the same distribution as  $X^n(t)$ .

Let us show that  $X^n(t)$  converges in quadratic mean to  $X^{\infty}(t)$  for each fixed  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have, by the triangular inequality,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}\|X^{n}(t) - X^{\infty}(t)\|^{2} &= \mathbf{E}\|\int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)[F(s+\gamma_{n},X^{n}(s)) - F^{\infty}(s,X^{\infty}(s))]ds \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)[G(s+\gamma_{n},X^{n}(s)) - G^{\infty}(s,X^{\infty}(s))]dW(s)\|^{2} \\ &\leq & 4 \mathbf{E}\|\int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)[F(s+\gamma_{n},X^{n}(s)) - F(s+\gamma_{n},X^{\infty}(s))]ds\|^{2} \\ &+ 4 \mathbf{E}\|\int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)[G(s+\gamma_{n},X^{n}(s)) - G(s+\gamma_{n},X^{\infty}(s))]dW(s)\|^{2} \\ &+ 4 \mathbf{E}\|\int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)[F(s+\gamma_{n},X^{\infty}(s)) - F^{\infty}(s,X^{\infty}(s))]ds\|^{2} \\ &+ 4 \mathbf{E}\|\int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)[G(s+\gamma_{n},X^{\infty}(s)) - G^{\infty}(s,X^{\infty}(s))]dW(s)\|^{2} \\ &\leq & I_{1}^{n}(t) + I_{2}^{n}(t) + I_{3}^{n}(t) + I_{4}^{n}(t). \end{split}$$

Now, using (H2), (H4), Hölder's inequality, and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$I_{1}^{n}(t) = 4 \operatorname{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s) [F(s+\gamma_{n}, X^{n}(s)) - F(s+\gamma_{n}, X^{\infty}(s))] ds \right\|^{2}$$

$$\leq 4 \operatorname{E} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|F(s+\gamma_{n}, X^{n}(s)) - F(s+\gamma_{n}, X^{\infty}(s))\| ds \right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{\delta} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} K^{2}(s+\gamma_{n}) \operatorname{E} \|X^{n}(s) - X^{\infty}(s)\|^{2} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{\delta} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\frac{p\delta}{4}(t-s)} K^{p}(s+\gamma_{n}) ds \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\frac{q\delta}{4}(t-s)} \left( \mathbb{E} \|X^{n}(s) - X^{\infty}(s)\|^{2} \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \\
\leq \frac{4}{\delta} \left( \frac{\|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}^{p}}{1 - e^{-\frac{p\delta}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\frac{q\delta}{2}(t-s)} \left( \mathbb{E} \|X^{n}(s) - X^{\infty}(s)\|^{2} \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

For  $I_2^n(t)$ , using Itô's isometry, Hölder's inequality, and Lemma 3.2, we get

$$I_{2}^{n}(t) = 4 \operatorname{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s) [G(s+\gamma_{n}, X^{n}(s)) - G(s+\gamma_{n}, X^{\infty}(s))] dW(s) \right\|^{2}$$

$$\leq 4 \operatorname{tr} Q \operatorname{E} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \|T(t-s)\|^{2} \|G(s+\gamma_{n}, X^{n}(s)) - G(s+\gamma_{n}, X^{\infty}(s))\|^{2} ds$$

$$\leq 4 \operatorname{tr} Q \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-2\delta(t-s)} K^{2}(s+\gamma_{n}) \operatorname{E} \|X^{n}(s) - X^{\infty}(s)\|^{2} ds$$

$$\leq 4 \operatorname{tr} Q \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\frac{p\delta}{2}(t-s)} K^{p}(s+\gamma_{n}) ds \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\frac{q\delta}{2}(t-s)} \left( \operatorname{E} \|X^{n}(s) - X^{\infty}(s)\|^{2} \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}$$

$$\leq 4 \operatorname{tr} Q \left( \frac{\|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}^{p}}{1 - e^{-\frac{p\delta}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\frac{q\delta}{2}(t-s)} \left( \operatorname{E} \|X^{n}(s) - X^{\infty}(s)\|^{2} \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

Let us show that  $I_3^n(t)$  and  $I_4^n(t)$  go to 0 as n goes to infinity.

For any  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $X^{\infty} \in \text{CUB}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ , the family

$$\left(\left\|X^{\infty}(s)\right\|^{2}\right)_{r\leq s\leq r+1}$$

is uniformly integrable, by the converse to Vitali's theorem. By the growth condition satisfied by F and  $F^{\infty}$ , this shows that the family

$$(U_{s,n}) := \left( \|F(s + \gamma_n, X^{\infty}(s)) - F^{\infty}(s, X^{\infty}(s))\|^2 \right)_{r \le s \le r+1, n \ge 1}$$

is uniformly integrable. By La Vallée Poussin's criterion, there exists a non-negative increasing convex function  $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = +\infty$  and  $\sup_{s,n} \mathrm{E}(\Phi(U_{s,n})) < +\infty$ . We thus have

$$\sup_{n} E \int_{r}^{r+1} \Phi(U_{s,n}) ds < +\infty,$$

which prove that the family  $(U_{.,n})_{n\geq 1}$  is uniformly integrable with respect to the probability measure  $P\otimes\lambda$  on  $\Omega\times[r,r+1]$ , where  $\lambda$  denotes Lebesgue's measure. This proves that, for any  $r\in\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \left( E\left( \int_{r}^{r+1} \|F(s + \gamma_n, X^{\infty}(s)) - F^{\infty}(s, X^{\infty}(s))\|^2 ds \right) \right)^{1/2} = 0.$$
 (40)

Let  $t \geq 0$ . Since  $X^{\infty} \in \text{CUB}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ , and thanks to the growth condition satisfied by F, the sequence

$$\left( E \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \|F(s+\gamma_n, X^{\infty}(s)) - F^{\infty}(s, X^{\infty}(s))\|^2 ds \right)_{k \ge 1, n \ge 0}$$

is bounded. We can thus find an integer  $N(t, \eta)$  such that, for any  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\left(\sum_{k>N(t,\eta)} e^{-\delta(k-1)} \operatorname{E} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \|F(s+\gamma_n, X^{\infty}(s)) - F^{\infty}(s, X^{\infty}(s))\|^2 ds\right)^{1/2} \le \eta.$$
(41)

Using (41), we get

$$\sqrt{I_{3}^{n}(t)} \leq 2 \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} \|T(t-s)\| \|F(s+\gamma_{n},X^{\infty}(s)) - F^{\infty}(s,X^{\infty}(s)) \|ds \right)^{2} \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N(t,\eta)} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|F(s+\gamma_{n},X^{\infty}(s)) - F^{\infty}(s,X^{\infty}(s)) \|ds \right)^{2} \right)^{1/2} \\
+ 2 \sum_{N(t,\eta)+1}^{\infty} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|F(s+\gamma_{n},X^{\infty}(s)) - F^{\infty}(s,X^{\infty}(s)) \|ds \right)^{2} \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{N(t,\eta)} e^{-\delta(k-1)} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \|F(s+\gamma_{n},X^{\infty}(s)) - F^{\infty}(s,X^{\infty}(s)) \|ds \right)^{2} \right)^{1/2} + 2\eta. \tag{42}$$

Since the sum in (42) is finite and  $\eta$  is arbitrary, we deduce from (40) that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} I_3^n(t) = 0.$$

For  $I_4^n(t)$ , applying Itô's isometry, we obtain

$$I_4^n(t) = 4 \,\mathrm{E} \| \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s) [G(s+\gamma_n, X^{\infty}(s)) - G^{\infty}(s, X^{\infty}(s))] dW(s) \|^2$$

$$\leq 4 \,\mathrm{tr} \, Q \,\mathrm{E} \left( \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-2\delta(t-s)} \| G(s+\gamma_n, X^{\infty}(s)) - G^{\infty}(s, X^{\infty}(s)) \|^2 ds \right).$$

For the same reason as for  $I_3^n(t)$  and by (39),  $I_4^n(t)$  goes to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ . Now, let us define the following quantities:

$$\alpha_n(t) := I_3^n(t) + I_4^n(t), \ \beta_1 := \frac{4}{\delta} \left( \frac{\|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^p}^p}{1 - e^{-\frac{p\delta}{4}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}, \ \beta_2 := 4 \operatorname{tr} Q \left( \frac{\|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^p}^p}{1 - e^{-\frac{p\delta}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

From the above, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|X^{n}(t) - X^{\infty}(t)\|^{2} \leq \alpha_{n}(t) + \beta_{1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\frac{q\delta}{4}(t-s)} (\mathbb{E}\|X^{n}(s) - X^{\infty}(s)\|^{2})^{\frac{q}{2}} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} + \beta_{2} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\frac{q\delta}{2}(t-s)} (\mathbb{E}\|X^{n}(s) - X^{\infty}(s)\|^{2})^{\frac{q}{2}} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

By convexity of the mapping  $u \mapsto u^{\frac{q}{2}}$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , we get

$$(\mathbf{E} \|X^{n}(t) - X^{\infty}(t)\|^{2})^{\frac{q}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{3} (3\alpha_{n}(t))^{\frac{q}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} (3\beta_{1})^{\frac{q}{2}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\frac{q\delta}{4}(t-s)} (\mathbf{E} \|X^{n}(s) - X^{\infty}(s)\|^{2})^{\frac{q}{2}} ds \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{3} (3\beta_{2})^{\frac{q}{2}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\frac{q\delta}{2}(t-s)} (\mathbf{E} \|X^{n}(s) - X^{\infty}(s)\|^{2})^{\frac{q}{2}} ds \right).$$

Since  $\theta_{\mathbb{S}}' = \frac{4}{3q\delta} \left( \left( 3\beta_1 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} + \left( 3\beta_2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) < 1$ , we obtain, by Gronwall's Lemma as in [43, Lemma 3.3],

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \|X^n(t) - X^{\infty}(t)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \le \frac{1}{3} \left( 3\alpha_n(t) \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} \left( 3\alpha_n(s) \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} ds.$$

Using the dominated convergence theorem and the convergence of  $\alpha_n(t)$  to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ , we obtain the convergence of  $X^n(t)$  to  $X^{\infty}(t)$  in quadratic mean. Hence  $X^n(t)$  converges in distribution to  $X^{\infty}(t)$ . But, since the distribution of  $X^n(t)$  is the same as that of  $X(t + \gamma_n)$ , we deduce that, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \text{law}(X(t + \alpha_n + \beta_n)) = \text{law}(X^{\infty}(t)).$$

By analogy and using (38) and (39), we can easily deduce that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \text{law}(X(t + \alpha_m + \beta_n)) = \text{law}(X^{\infty}(t)).$$

Thus the solution X is almost periodic in one dimensional distributions.

Let us show that  $(\|X(t)\|^2)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$  is uniformly integrable. Using the converse part of Vitali's convergence Theorem, we have that  $(\|X_n(t)\|^2)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly integrable, thus  $(\|X(t+\gamma_n)\|^2)$  is uniformly integrable too. As  $(\gamma''_n)$  is arbitrary, this implies that the family  $(\|X(t)\|^2)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$  is uniformly integrable, because, if not, there would exist a sequence  $(\gamma''_n)$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that no subsequence of  $(\|X(t_0 + \gamma''_n)\|^2)$  is uniformly integrable. We have thus proved that X has almost periodic one-dimensional 2-distribution.

To prove almost periodicity in 2-distribution of the solution to (27), we need a generalization of [23, Proposition 3.1] to the Stepanov context. This allows us to obtain the convergence of the solutions by assuming only the convergence in mean (in Stepanov sense) of the coefficients. Let us mention that a similar result is obtained by Ivo Vrkoč [63], but in another context.

**Proposition 3.4** Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $(\xi_n)_{0 \le n \le \infty}$  be a sequence of square integrable  $\mathbb{H}_2$ -valued random variables. Let  $(F_n)_{0 \le n \le \infty}$  and  $(G_n)_{0 \le n \le \infty}$  be sequences of mappings from  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2$  to  $\mathbb{H}_2$  and  $L_2(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2)$  respectively, which are  $\mathbb{S}^2$ -bounded and satisfy (H3) and (H4), such that the constant M is independent of n and the set of mappings  $\{K_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is  $\mathbb{S}^2$ -bounded, that is,  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|K_n\|_{\mathbb{S}^2} < +\infty$ . Let  $X_n$  denote the solution to

$$X_n(t) = T(t-\tau)\xi_n + \int_{\tau}^t T(t-s)F_n\big(s,X_n(s)\big)ds + \int_{\tau}^t T(t-s)G_n\big(s,X_n(s)\big)dW(s), \quad t \ge \tau.$$

Assume that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||F_n(.,x) - F_\infty(.,x)||_{\mathbb{S}^2} = 0, \ \lim_{n \to \infty} ||G_n(.,x) - G_\infty(.,x)||_{\mathbb{S}^2} = 0,$$

for each x in  $\mathbb{H}_2$ . It follows that

a) There exists a unique mild solution  $X_{\infty}$  to

$$X_{\infty}(t) = T(t-\tau)\xi_{\infty} + \int_{\tau}^{t} T(t-s)F_{\infty}(s, X_{\infty}(s))ds + \int_{\tau}^{t} T(t-s)G_{\infty}(s, X_{\infty}(s))dW(s), \quad t \ge \tau.$$
(43)

b) If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}||\xi_n - \xi_\infty||^2 = 0$ , then, for all  $\sigma \ge \tau$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{E} \left( \sup_{\tau \le t \le \sigma} ||X_n(t) - X_\infty(t)||^2 \right) = 0.$$
 (44)

c) If

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_{\mathrm{BL}}(\mathrm{law}(\xi_n), \mathrm{law}(\xi_\infty)) = 0,$$

then we have in  $C([\tau, \sigma]; \mathbb{H}_2)$ , for all  $\sigma \geq \tau$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_{\text{BL}}(\text{law}(X_n), \text{law}(X_\infty)) = 0.$$
(45)

**Proof** a) By Proposition 3.3,  $F_{\infty}$  and  $G_{\infty}$  satisfy Conditions (H3), (H4), and (H5). We deduce a) as in the first step of the proof of Theorem 3.1.

b) For any subsequence  $(X'_n)$  of  $(X_n)$ , we can find, by Proposition 3.3, a subsequence  $(X''_n)$  of  $(X'_n)$  and versions  $F''_\infty$  and  $G''_\infty$  of  $F_\infty$  and  $G_\infty$  respectively (i.e.,  $F''_\infty(t,.) = F_\infty(t,.)$  and  $G''_\infty(t,.) = G_\infty(t,.)$  for almost every t), such that the corresponding subsequences  $(F''_n)$  and  $(G''_n)$  converge pointwise to  $F''_\infty$  and  $G''_\infty$  respectively. Since the integrals in (43) remain unchanged if we replace  $F_\infty$  by  $F''_\infty$  and  $G_\infty$  by  $G''_\infty$ , we deduce by [23, Proposition 3.1] that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{E} \left( \sup_{\tau < t < \sigma} \|X_n''(t) - X_\infty(t)\|^2 \right) = 0.$  (46)

Thus, for any subsequence  $(X'_n)$  of  $(X_n)$  we can find a subsequence  $(X''_n)$  of  $(X'_n)$  such that (46) holds, which proves (44).

c) Similarly, using [23, Proposition 3.1], we obtain that, for any subsequence  $(X'_n)$  of  $(X_n)$  we can find a subsequence  $(X''_n)$  of  $(X'_n)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_{\mathrm{BL}}(\mathrm{law}(X_n''), \mathrm{law}(X_\infty)) = 0,$$

thus (45) holds.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1 (continued)** To prove that X is almost periodic in distribution, we use the same arguments as in [43], using Proposition 3.4.

## 3.2 $\mu$ -Pseudo almost periodicity of the solution in 2-distribution

Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying (14) and Condition (**H**). Let us start with a useful Lemma:

**Lemma 3.5** Let  $h \in \mathbb{S}^q \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mu)$ , and let K(.) be an  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -bounded function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . The function

$$t \mapsto \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-2\delta(t-s)} K^2(s) h^2(s) \, ds \right)^{1/2}$$

is in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mu)$ .

**Proof** Our proof uses the following result of [15, Theorem 3.5], that ensures that  $\mathbb{S}^q \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mu)$  is translation invariant. We have, for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_0^1 |h(t+u+s)|^q \ ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t) = 0.$$
 (47)

By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, Hölder's inequality and thanks to Remark 2.8, we get

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-2\delta(t-s)} K^{2}(s) h^{2}(s) \, ds \right)^{1/2} d\mu(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2\delta(k-u)} K^{2}(t+u-k) h^{2}(t+u-k) \, du \right)^{1/2} d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{-(k-1)p\delta} \left\| K \right\|_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}^{p} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_{0}^{1} h^{q}(t+u-k) \, du \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t) \end{split}$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{-(k-1)p\delta} \|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^{p}}^{p} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_{0}^{1} h^{q}(t+u-k) \, du \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t).$$

Since the series

$$\sum_{k>1} e^{-(k-1)p\delta} \|K\|_{\mathbb{S}^p}^p \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_0^1 h^q(t+u-k) \, du \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t)$$

is uniformly convergent with respect to r, the claimed result is a consequence of (47).

Before presenting the main result of this subsection, namely, the existence of  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic solution to (27), let us introduce the following condition:

(H5)' 
$$F \in \mathbb{S}^2 PAP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, \mathbb{H}_2, \mu)$$
 and  $G \in \mathbb{S}^2 PAP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, L_2(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu)$ .

Now, we are able to state the main result of the subsection.

**Theorem 3.6** Let the assumptions (H1) - (H4), (H5)' be fulfilled. Let  $(F_1, G_1)$  and  $(F_2, G_2)$  be respectively the decompositions of F and G, namely,

$$F = F_1 + F_2, \quad G = G_1 + G_2,$$

$$F_1 \in \mathbb{S}^2 AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, \mathbb{H}_2), \quad F_2 \in \mathbb{S}^2 \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, \mathbb{H}_2, \mu),$$

$$G_1 \in \mathbb{S}^2 AP(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, L_2(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2)), \quad G_2 \in \mathbb{S}^2 \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_2, L_2(\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu).$$

Assume that  $F_1$  and  $G_1$  satisfy the same growth and Lipschitz conditions<sup>1</sup> (H3) and (H4)' as F and G respectively, with same coefficient M and mapping K(.). Assume furthermore that  $\theta_{\mathbb{S}} < 1$ . Then there exists a unique mild solution X to (27) in the space  $\mathrm{CUB}(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{L}^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$  and X has a.e. continuous trajectories. Moreover, if  $\theta'_{\mathbb{S}} < 1$ , then X is  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic in 2-distribution. More precisely, let  $Y \in \mathrm{CUB}(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{L}^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$  be the unique almost periodic in 2-distribution mild solution to

$$dY(t) = AY(t) dt + F_1(t, Y(t)) dt + G_1(t, Y(t)) dW(t), \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(48)

Then X has the decomposition

$$X = Y + Z, \quad Z \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu).$$

$$\left[t \mapsto H(t,x,y) := \hat{F}(t,x,y) - \hat{F}_1(t,x,y)\right],\,$$

we have  $\hat{F}(.,x,y) \in \text{PAP}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{H}_2,\mu)$ . In view of the uniqueness of the previous decomposition (under Condition (**H**)), we deduce that  $\left\{\hat{F}_1(t,x,y), t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \subset \overline{\left\{\hat{F}(t,x,y), t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}}$  (the closure of the range of  $\hat{F}$ ). Consequently, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\hat{F}_1(t, x, y) \le \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \hat{F}(t, x, y) \le L \|x - y\|$$

from which we conclude that  $F_1$  is L-Lipschitz.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Let us mention here that in the context of  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodicity (resp.  $\mu$ -pseudo almost automorphy), assuming that  $F_1$  and  $G_1$  satisfy the same growth and Lipschitz conditions as F is not necessary, as already done in [9]. Indeed, assume that F is L-Lipschitz. Set, for each fixed  $x,y \in \mathbb{H}_1$ , and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\hat{F}(t,x,y) := ||F(t,x) - F(t,y)||$ . From the following rewrite of  $\hat{F}(t,x,y)$ :  $\hat{F}(t,x,y) = \hat{F}_1(t,x,y) + (\hat{F}(t,x,y) - \hat{F}_1(t,x,y))$ , and the  $\mu$ -ergodicity of the mapping

The proof of this theorem is inspired from [9, Theorem 4.4], which is the analogous result for SDEs with  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic coefficients.

**Proof of Theorem 3.6** The existence and properties of Y are guaranteed by Theorem 3.1.

As in Theorem 3.1, the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution X to (27) are proved using the classical method of the fixed point theorem for the contractive operator  $\Gamma$  on  $CUB(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$  defined by

$$\Gamma X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)F(s,X(s))ds + \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)G(s,X(s))dW(s).$$

The solution X defined by (28) is thus the limit in  $CUB(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$  of a sequence  $(X_n)$  with arbitrary  $X_0$  and, for every n,  $X_{n+1} = \Gamma(X_n)$ . To prove that X is  $\mu$ -pseudo almost periodic in 2-distribution, we choose a special sequence. Set

$$X_0 = Y, \ X_{n+1} = \Gamma(X_n), \ Z_n = X_n - Y, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us prove by induction that each  $Z_n$  is in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu)$ . We use some arguments of the proof of [15, Theorem 5.7].

We have, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{split} Z_{n+1}(t) = & \Gamma X_n(t) - Y(t) \\ = & \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s) \big( F(s,X_n(s)) - F(s,Y(s)) \big) \, ds \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s) \big( G(s,X_n(s)) - G(s,Y(s)) \big) \, dW(s) \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s) \big( F(s,Y(s)) - F_1(s,Y(s)) \big) \, ds \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s) \big( G(s,Y(s)) - G_1(s,Y(s)) \big) \, dW(s) \\ = & \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s) \big( F(s,X_n(s)) - F(s,Y(s)) \big) \, ds \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s) \big( G(s,X_n(s)) - G(s,Y(s)) \big) \, dW(s) \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s) F_2(s,Y(s)) \, ds + \int_{-\infty}^t T(t-s) F_2(s,Y(s)) \, dW(s) \\ = & J_1(t) + J_2(t) + J_3(t). \end{split}$$

Assume that  $Z_n \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu)$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu) \subset \mathbb{S}^q \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu)$ , we have by the Lipschitz condition (H4),

$$(\mathbb{E} \|F(s, X_n(s)) - F(s, Y(s)\|^2)^{1/2} \le K(s) (\mathbb{E} \|Z_n(s)\|^2)^{1/2}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The same inequality holds for G. Thus, using Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.5, we get

$$\frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \mathbb{E} \|J_1(s)\|^2 \right)^{1/2} d\mu(t)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s) \left( F(s, X_n(s)) - F(s, Y(s)) \right) ds \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} d\mu(t) \\
\leq \frac{1}{(\delta)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} K^2(s) \mathbb{E} \| Z_n(s) \|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} d\mu(t) \\
\to 0 \text{ when } r \to +\infty,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \mathbb{E} \|J_{2}(s)\|^{2} \right)^{1/2} d\mu(t) 
\leq \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s) \left( G(s,X_{n}(s)) - G(s,Y(s)) \right) dW(s) \right\|^{2} \right)^{1/2} d\mu(t) 
\leq (\operatorname{tr} Q)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-2\delta(t-s)} K^{2}(s) \mathbb{E} \|Z_{n}(s)\|^{2} ds \right)^{1/2} d\mu(t) 
\to 0 \text{ when } r \to +\infty.$$

Hence,  $J_1(.)$  and  $J_2(.)$  are in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu)$ . To prove that  $Z_{n+1}$  is in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu)$ , there only remains to show that the process  $J_3(.)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu)$ . As Y is almost periodic in distribution, the family  $(Y(u+.))_{u\in\mathbb{R}}$  is uniformly tight in  $C_k(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}_2)$ . In particular, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact subset  $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$  of  $C_k(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}_2)$  such that, for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$P\{Y(u+.) \in \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\} \ge 1 - \varepsilon$$

which implies that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact subset  $K_{\varepsilon}$  of  $\mathbb{H}_2$  such that, for every  $u, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$P\{(\forall s \in [t, t+1]); Y(u+s) \in K_{\varepsilon}\} \ge 1 - \varepsilon.$$

In particular, for u = 0, we obtain, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$P\{(\forall s \in [t, t+1]); \ Y(s) \in K_{\varepsilon}\} \ge 1 - \varepsilon. \tag{49}$$

Let  $\Omega_{\varepsilon,t}$  be the measurable subset of  $\Omega$  on which (49) holds. By compactness of  $K_{\varepsilon}$ , we can find a finite sequence  $y_1, \ldots, y_{n(\varepsilon)}$  such that

$$K_{\varepsilon} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n(\varepsilon)} B(y_i, \varepsilon),$$

and we get, using (49), for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sup_{s \in [t,t+1]} E\left(\min_{1 \le i \le n(\varepsilon)} \left(1_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,t}} \|Y(s) - y_i\|^2\right)\right) < \varepsilon.$$
 (50)

Note that  $F_2 = F - F_1$  and  $G_2 = G - G_1$  satisfy similar conditions as (H4) and (H3). We have then by Itô's isometry

$$\frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \mathbf{E} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s) F_2(s,Y(s)) ds + \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s) G_2(s,Y(s)) dW(s) \right\|^2 d\mu(t) 
\leq \frac{2\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]}^{t} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \mathbf{E} \left\| F_2(s,Y(s)) \right\|^2 ds d\mu(t) 
+ \frac{2 \operatorname{tr} Q}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]}^{t} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-2\delta(t-s)} \mathbf{E} \left\| G_2(s,Y(s)) \right\|^2 ds d\mu(t) 
= J_3^1(r) + J_3^2(r).$$

Let us deal with the term  $J_3^1(r)$ . We have

$$\begin{split} J_{3}^{1}(r) \leq & \frac{2\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \operatorname{E} \|F_{2}(s,Y(s))\|^{2} \, ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ \leq & \frac{4\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\delta(k-1)} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \operatorname{E} \left( \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left( \left\| \prod_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,t}} \left\| F_{2}(s,Y(s)) - F_{2}(s,y_{i}) \right\|^{2} \right) \right) ds d\mu(t) \\ & + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{4\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \operatorname{E} \left( \left\| F_{2}(s,y_{i}) \right\|^{2} \right) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ & + \frac{4\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \operatorname{E} \left( \left\| \prod_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,t}^{c}} \left\| F_{2}(s,Y(s)) \right\|^{2} \right) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ = & I_{1}(r) + I_{2}(r) + I_{3}(r). \end{split}$$

Using the Lipschitz condition (H4) and the estimation (50), we obtain

$$I_{1}(r) \leq \frac{4\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\delta(k-1)} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{t}^{t+1} K^{2}(s) \operatorname{E}\left( \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left( \left\| Y(s) \right) - y_{i} \right\|^{2} \right) \right) ds \right) d\mu(t)$$

$$\leq \frac{4\delta^{-1} \left\| K \right\|_{\mathbb{S}^{2}}^{2}}{1 - e^{-\delta}} \varepsilon.$$

Thanks to the ergodicity of  $F_2$  and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, one obtains, for any r > 0,

$$I_{2}(r) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{4\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \operatorname{E}\left( \|F_{2}(s,y_{i})\|^{2} \right) ds \right) d\mu(t)$$

$$\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{4\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\delta(k-1)} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \operatorname{E}\left( \|F_{2}(s,y_{i})\|^{2} \right) ds \right) d\mu(t)$$

$$= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{4\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\delta(k-1)} \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \operatorname{E}\left( \|F_{2}(s,y_{i})\|^{2} \right) ds \right) d\mu(t)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\delta(k-1)} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{4\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{E}\left( \|F_{2}(t-k+s,y_{i})\|^{2} \right) ds \right) d\mu(t).$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we deduce that  $\lim_{r\to\infty} I_2(r) = 0$ . On the other hand, by Condition (H3) and the uniform integrability of the family  $(\|Y(t)\|^2)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} I_{3}(r) &= \frac{4\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \operatorname{E}\left( \operatorname{1\!\!1}_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,t}^{c}} \left\| F_{2}(s,Y(s)) \right\|^{2} \right) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{4M\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \operatorname{E}\left( \operatorname{1\!\!1}_{\Omega_{(\varepsilon,t)}^{c}} (1 + \left\| Y(s) \right) \right\|^{2} \right) ds \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{8M\delta^{-1}}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\delta(k-1)} \left( \operatorname{P}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon,t}^{c}\right) + \int_{t-k}^{t-k+1} \operatorname{E}\left( \operatorname{1\!\!1}_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,t}^{c}} \left\| Y(s) \right) \right\|^{2} \right) ds \right) \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{8M\delta^{-1}\varepsilon}{1 - e^{-\delta}}. \end{split}$$

As  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we deduce from the previous estimations on  $I_1(r), I_2(r)$  and  $I_3(r)$ , that  $\lim_{r\to\infty} J_3^1(r) = 0$ . In the same way, we can estimate the term  $J_3^2(r)$ . We then easily see that  $\lim_{r\to\infty} J_3^2(r) = 0$ . Consequently,  $Z_{n+1} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu)$ .

So we have shown that the sequence  $(Z_n)$  lies in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu)$ . Now, the sequence  $(X_n)$  converges to X in  $\mathrm{CUB}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ , thus  $(Z_n)$  converges to Z := X - Y in  $\mathrm{CUB}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2))$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \left( \mathbb{E} \|Z(t) - Z_n(t)\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \le \varepsilon.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} (\mathbf{E} \|Z(t)\|^{2})^{1/2} d\mu(t) \leq \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} (\mathbf{E} \|Z(t) - Z_{n}(t)\|^{2})^{1/2} d\mu(t) 
+ \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} (\mathbf{E} \|Z_{n}(t)\|^{2})^{1/2} d\mu(t) 
\leq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{\mu([-r,r])} \int_{[-r,r]} (\mathbf{E} \|Z_{n}(t)\|^{2})^{1/2} d\mu(t).$$

which proves that  $Z \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2), \mu)$ , since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary.

# 4 Comments and concluding remarks

When the function g in (27) is equal to zero, we retrieve a semilinear (deterministic) differential equation in the Banach space  $L^2(P, \mathbb{H}_2)$ :

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t, u(t)), t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$(51)$$

An extensive literature (see for e.g. [35, 48, 47, 69]), is devoted to the problem of the existence and uniqueness of a bounded ( $\mu$ -pseudo) almost periodic mild solution to (51) in a Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ . The adopted approach is based on superposition theorems in the Banach space  $\mathbb{S}^p AP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$  (or  $\mathbb{S}^p PAP(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X}, \mu)$ ) combined with the Banach's fixed-point principle, applied to the nonlinear operator

$$(\Gamma u)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)f(s, u(s))ds.$$

To our knowledge, all existing results use the fact that  $\Gamma$  maps  $\operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$  into itself, but  $\Gamma$  does not map  $\mathbb{S}^p\operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$  into  $\operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$  nor into  $\mathbb{S}^p\operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$  (see in particular [35, 48, 47, 69]). The proposed proofs may be summarized as follows: if  $u \in \operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ , then u satisfies the compactness condition (Com) of Subsection 2.4, and  $u \in \mathbb{S}^p\operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ . From the existing superposition theorems (see e.g. [35, Theorem 2.1]) combined with Condition (Lip) of Subsection 2.4, it follows that  $F(.) := f(., u(.)) \in \mathbb{S}^p\operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ , and then  $(\Gamma u)(.) = \int_{-\infty}^{\cdot} T(.-s)F(s)ds \in \operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ . This obviously shows the existence (and uniqueness) of an almost periodic mild solution to (51), but it does not exclude the possibility of existence of a purely Stepanov almost periodic solution. The main difficulty in showing the nonexistence of a purely Stepanov almost periodic bounded solution with the tools used in the literature (see for example [35]), arises from the imposed compactness condition (Com) in the superposition theorem of Stepanov almost periodic functions, which seems strong enough in  $\mathbb{S}^p\operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ . Thanks to Theorem 2.10, it is easy to see that under Condition (Lip), the operator  $\Gamma$  maps  $\mathbb{S}^p\operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$  into  $\operatorname{AP}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X})$ . This shows that the obtained bounded solution cannot be purely Stepanov almost periodic.

Now, we focus on the following problem: can we expect to have a similar conclusion if we replace the assumption that f is Stepanov almost periodic by the assumption that

f is Stepanov almost periodic in Lebesgue measure? Obviously, the answer depends on the Bohl-Bohr-Amerio Theorem, see e.g. [46, p. 80], for functions which are Stepanov almost periodic in Lebesgue measure. What we need is to solve first another problem, namely, does the boundedness of the indefinite integral of a function which is Stepanov almost periodic in Lebesgue measure imply its Bohr almost-periodicity? The answer to this question is negative as shown by the following example:

**Example 4.1** It is well-known that the Levitan function  $H: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$H(t) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{g(t)}\right),$$

where

$$g(t) = 2 + \cos(t) + \cos(\sqrt{2}t)$$

is a bounded  $\mathbb{S}^p$ -almost periodic function but not Bohr-almost periodic (see e.g. [46]). Let's denote by h its derivative. We have

$$h(t) = \cos\left(\frac{1}{g(t)}\right) \left(\frac{\sin(t) + \sqrt{2}\sin(\sqrt{2}t)}{g^2(t)}\right).$$
 (52)

By the Bohl-Bohr Theorem, the derivative function h cannot be Stepanov almost periodic (see [6]), and consequently, the function 1/g cannot be Stepanov almost periodic. But one can easily observe by taking into account 2. in Remark 2.4, that h is in  $\mathbb{S}^0 AP(\mathbb{R})$  as a product of  $\mathbb{S}^0$ -almost periodic functions.

In order to answer the first problem, we give, in the following, a simple affine scalar equation with purely Stepanov almost periodic in Lebesque measure coefficient. We see that the unique bounded solution is not Bohr-almost periodic (but it is purely Stepanov almost periodic).

**Example 4.2** Consider the affine differential equation

$$x'(t) = -x(t) + h(t), t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{53}$$

where h is given by (52). The unique bounded solution to (53) is given by:

$$x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(s-t)}h(s)ds = \sin\left(\frac{1}{g(t)}\right) + \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(s-t)}\sin\left(\frac{1}{g(s)}\right)ds.$$

The boundedness of x follows from

$$|x(t)| \le \left| \sin\left(\frac{1}{g(t)}\right) \right| + \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(s-t)} \left| \sin\left(\frac{1}{g(s)}\right) \right| ds \le 2, \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

But x is not Bohr-almost periodic, as it is the sum of the purely Stepanov almost periodic function  $H(t) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{g(t)}\right)$  and a Bohr almost periodic function.

To move towards the consequences obtained by Andres and Pennequin [6, Consequence 1, p. 1667 and Consequence 4, p. 1679], this example shows (in addition) that one can obtain the existence and the uniqueness of a bounded purely Stepanov almost periodic solution when the coefficients are purely Stepanov almost periodic in Lebesgue measure.

This simple result can open new directions about the problem of existence of purely Stepanov almost periodic solutions, in both stochastic and deterministic cases.

## References

- [1] Luigi Amerio and Giovanni Prouse. Almost-periodic functions and functional equations. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York-Toronto, Ont.-Melbourne, 1971.
- [2] J. Andres, A. M. Bersani, and R. F. Grande. Hierarchy of almost-periodic function spaces. *Rend. Mat. Appl.*, VII. Ser., 26(2):121–188, 2006.
- [3] Jan Andres. Almost-periodic and bounded solutions of Carathéodory differential inclusions. *Differential Integral Equations*, 12(6):887–912, 1999.
- [4] Jan Andres and Alberto M. Bersani. Almost-periodicity problem as a fixed-point problem for evolution inclusions. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 18(2):337–349, 2001.
- [5] Jan Andres, Alberto M. Bersani, and Krzysztof Leśniak. On some almost-periodicity problems in various metrics. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 65(1-3):35–57, 2001.
- [6] Jan Andres and Denis Pennequin. On Stepanov almost-periodic oscillations and their discretizations. J. Difference Equ. Appl., 18(10):1665–1682, 2012.
- [7] Jan Andres and Denis Pennequin. On the nonexistence of purely Stepanov almost-periodic solutions of ordinary differential equations. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 140(8):2825–2834, 2012.
- [8] A. A. Pankov (auth.). Bounded and Almost Periodic Solutions of Nonlinear Operator Differential Equations. Mathematics and its Applications 55. Springer Netherlands, 1 edition, 1990.
- [9] Fazia Bedouhene, Nouredine Challali, Omar Mellah, Paul Raynaud de Fitte, and Mannal Smaali. Almost automorphy and various extensions for stochastic processes. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 429(2):1113–1152, 2015.
- [10] Fazia Bedouhene, Omar Mellah, and Paul Raynaud de Fitte. Bochner-almost periodicity for stochastic processes. Stoch. Anal. Appl., 30(2):322–342, 2012.
- [11] Abram Samoilovitch Besicovitch. Almost periodic functions. Dover Publications, 1954.
- [12] P. Bezandry and T. Diagana. Existence of  $S^2$ -almost periodic solutions to a class of nonautonomous stochastic evolution equations. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ.* Equ., 2008:19, 2008.
- [13] J. Blot, G. M. Mophou, G. M. N'Guérékata, and D. Pennequin. Weighted pseudo almost automorphic functions and applications to abstract differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71(3-4):903–909, 2009.
- [14] Joel Blot and Philippe Cieutat. Completeness of sums of subspaces of bounded functions and applications. Commun. Math. Anal., 19(2):43–61, 2016.
- [15] Joël Blot, Philippe Cieutat, and Khalil Ezzinbi. Measure theory and pseudo almost automorphic functions: new developments and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75(4):2426 2447, 2012.

- [16] Joël Blot, Philippe Cieutat, and Khalil Ezzinbi. New approach for weighted pseudo-almost periodic functions under the light of measure theory, basic results and applications. *Appl. Anal.*, 92(3):493–526, 2013.
- [17] Joël Blot, Philippe Cieutat, Gaston M. N'Guérékata, and Denis Pennequin. Superposition operators between various almost periodic function spaces and applications. *Commun. Math. Anal.*, 6(1):42–70, 2009.
- [18] S. Bochner. Abstrakte Fastperiodische Funktionen. Acta Math., 61(1):149–184, 1933.
- [19] S. Bochner. A new approach to almost periodicity. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 48:2039–2043, 1962.
- [20] Yong-Kui Chang, Zhuan-Xia Cheng, and Gaston M. N'Guérékata. Stepanov-like pseudo almost automorphic solutions to some stochastic differential equations. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2), 39(1):181–197, 2016.
- [21] Yong-Kui Chang, Zhi-Han Zhao, Gaston M. N'Guerekata, and Ruyun Ma. Stepanov-like almost automorphy for stochastic processes and applications to stochastic differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, *Real World Appl.*, 12(2):1130–1139, 2011.
- [22] C. Corduneanu. Almost periodic functions. With the collaboration of N. Gheorghiu and V. Barbu. Transl. from the Romanian by Gitta Berstein and Eugene Tomer. 2nd Engl. ed. New York: Chelsea Publishing Company, 2nd engl. ed. edition, 1989.
- [23] G. Da Prato and C. Tudor. Periodic and almost periodic solutions for semilinear stochastic equations. *Stochastic Anal. Appl.*, 13(1):13–33, 1995.
- [24] Giuseppe Da Prato and Jerzy Zabczyk. Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions, volume 152 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2014.
- [25] L. I. Danilov. Measure-valued almost periodic functions. *Math. Notes*, 61(1):48–57, 1997.
- [26] L. I. Danilov. Measure-valued almost periodic functions and almost periodic selections of multivalued mappings. *Mat. Sb.*, 188(10):3–24, 1997.
- [27] L. I. Danilov. On the uniform approximation of a function that is almost periodic in the sense of Stepanov. *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.*, 5:10–18, 1998.
- [28] L. I. Danilov. Almost periodic measure-valued functions. Sb. Math., 191(12):1773–1796, 2000.
- [29] Toka Diagana. Weighted pseudo almost periodic functions and applications. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 343(10):643–646, 2006.
- [30] Toka Diagana. Pseudo almost periodic functions in Banach spaces. Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 2007.
- [31] Toka Diagana. Stepanov-like pseudo-almost periodicity and its applications to some nonautonomous differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, *Theory Methods Appl.*, *Ser. A*, *Theory Methods*, 69(12):4277–4285, 2008.
- [32] Toka Diagana. Weighted pseudo-almost periodic solutions to some differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 68(8):2250–2260, 2008.

- [33] Toka Diagana. Existence of pseudo-almost automorphic solutions to some abstract differential equations with  $S^p$ -pseudo-almost automorphic coefficients. Nonlinear Anal.,  $70(11):3781-3790,\ 2009.$
- [34] Toka Diagana. Existence of pseudo-almost automorphic solutions to some abstract differential equations with  $S^p$ -pseudo-almost automorphic coefficients. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods, 70(11):3781–3790, 2009.
- [35] Hui-Sheng Ding, Wei Long, and Gaston M. N'Guérékata. Almost periodic solutions to abstract semilinear evolution equations with Stepanov almost periodic coefficients. J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 13(2):231–242, 2011.
- [36] Zhenbin Fan, Jin Liang, and Ti-Jun Xiao. On Stepanov-like (pseudo) almost automorphic functions. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 74(8):2853 2861, 2011.
- [37] A. M. Fink. Almost periodic differential equations. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 377. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [38] P. Franklin. Almost periodic recurrent motions. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 30:325–331, 1929.
- [39] Zhanrong Hu and Zhen Jin. Stepanov-like pseudo almost periodic mild solutions to nonautonomous neutral partial evolution equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, *Theory Methods Appl.*, *Ser. A*, *Theory Methods*, 75(1):244–252, 2012.
- [40] Zuosheng Hu. Boundeness and Stepanov's almost periodicity of solutions. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.*, 2005:7, 2005.
- [41] Zuosheng Hu and Angelo B. Mingarelli. Bochner's theorem and Stepanov almost periodic functions. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4), 187(4):719–736, 2008.
- [42] H. L. Hurd and A. Russek. Stepanov almost periodically correlated and almost periodically unitary processes. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 41(3):449–467 (1996) and teor. veroyatn. primen. 41, no. 3, 591–611, 1996.
- [43] Mikhail Kamenskii, Omar Mellah, and Paul Raynaud de Fitte. Weak averaging of semilinear stochastic differential equations with almost periodic coefficients. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 427(1):336–364, 2015.
- [44] J. Komlós. A generalization of a problem of Steinhaus. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 18(1-2):217–229, 1967.
- [45] B. M. Levitan. Almost periodic functions. Moskva: Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tehn.-Teor. Lit., 1953.
- [46] B. M. Levitan and V. V. Zhikov. Almost periodic functions and differential equations. CUP Archive, 1982.
- [47] Hong-Xu Li and Li-Li Zhang. Stepanov-like pseudo-almost periodicity and semilinear differential equations with uniform continuity. *Result. Math.*, 59(1-2):43–61, 2011.
- [48] Wei Long and Hui-Sheng Ding. Composition theorems of Stepanov almost periodic functions and Stepanov-like pseudo-almost periodic functions. *Adv. Difference Equ.*, 2011:12, 2011.

- [49] Omar Mellah and Paul Raynaud de Fitte. Counterexamples to mean square almost periodicity of the solutions of some SDEs with almost periodic coefficients. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pages No. 91, 7, 2013.
- [50] V. V. Nemytskii and V. V. Stepanov. Qualitative theory of differential equations. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 22. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1960.
- [51] Gaston M. N'Guérékata. Topics in almost automorphy. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [52] Gaston M. N'Guérékata and Alexander Pankov. Stepanov-like almost automorphic functions and monotone evolution equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 68(9):2658–2667, 2008.
- [53] Justyna Signerska-Rynkowska Piotr Kasprzak, Adam Nawrocki. Integrate-and-fire models with an almost periodic input function. ArXiv e-prints, 1610.04434v1, October 2016.
- [54] Aribindi Satyanarayan Rao. On the almost periodic solution of a second-order infinitesimal generator differential equation. *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, 91(5):391–396, 1999.
- [55] Aribindi Satyanarayan Rao. On a higher-order evolution equation with a Stepanov-bounded solution. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 2004(69-72):3959–3964, 2004.
- [56] W. Stepanoff. Über einige verallgemeinerungen der fast periodischen funktionen. Mathematische Annalen, 95(1):473–498, 1926.
- [57] Stanisław Stoiński. Almost periodic functions in the Lebesgue measure. Ann. Soc. Math. Pol., Ser. I, Commentat. Math., 34:189–198, 1994.
- [58] Stanisław Stoiński. Some remarks on Bohr's almost periodic functions and Stepanov's almost periodic functions. Funct. Approx. Comment. Math., 24:53–58, 1996.
- [59] Stanisław Stoiński. On compactness of almost periodic functions in the Lebesgue measure. Fasc. Math., 30:171–175, 1999.
- [60] Chao Tang and Yong-Kui Chang. Stepanov-like weighted asymptotic behavior of solutions to some stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces. *Appl. Anal.*, 93(12):2625–2646, 2014.
- [61] C. Tudor. Almost periodic stochastic processes. In Qualitative problems for differential equations and control theory, pages 289–300. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1995.
- [62] C. A. Tudor and M. Tudor. Pseudo almost periodic solutions of some stochastic differential equations. *Math. Rep.* (Bucur.), 1(51)(2):305–314, 1999.
- [63] Ivo Vrkoč. Weak averaging of stochastic evolution equations. *Math. Bohem.*, 120(1):91–111, 1995.
- [64] Norbert Wiener. On the representation of functions by trigonometrical integrals. Mathematische Zeitschrift, 24(1):575–616, 1926.
- [65] Zuomao Yan and Hongwu Zhang. Existence of Stepanov-like square-mean pseudo almost periodic solutions to partial stochastic neutral differential equations. *Ann. Funct. Anal. AFA*, 6(1):116–138, 2015.

- [66] S. Zaidman. An existence result for Stepanoff almost-periodic differential equations. *Can. Math. Bull.*, 14:551–554, 1971.
- [67] Chuan Yi Zhang. Pseudo-almost-periodic solutions of some differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 181(1):62–76, 1994.
- [68] Chuan Yi Zhang. Pseudo almost periodic solutions of some differential equations. II. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 192(2):543–561, 1995.
- [69] Zhi-Han Zhao, Yong-Kui Chang, and Gaston M. N'Guérékata. A new composition theorem for S<sup>p</sup>-weighted pseudo almost periodic functions and applications to semi-linear differential equations. *Opusc. Math.*, 31(3):457–474, 2011.