



HAL
open science

Compact phase space, cosmological constant, discrete time

Carlo Rovelli, Francesca Vidotto

► **To cite this version:**

Carlo Rovelli, Francesca Vidotto. Compact phase space, cosmological constant, discrete time. *Physical Review D*, 2016, 91 (8). hal-01476562

HAL Id: hal-01476562

<https://hal.science/hal-01476562>

Submitted on 25 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Compact phase space, cosmological constant, discrete time

Carlo Rovelli^a and Francesca Vidotto^b

^a *CPT, Aix-Marseille Université, Université de Toulon, CNRS,
Case 907, F-13288 Marseille, France.*

^b *Radboud University, Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics,
Mailbox 79, P.O. Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands.*

(Dated: November 6, 2018)

We study the quantization of geometry in the presence of a cosmological constant, using a discretization with constant-curvature simplices. Phase space turns out to be compact and the Hilbert space finite dimensional for each link. Not only the intrinsic, but also the extrinsic geometry turns out to be discrete, pointing to discreteness of time, in addition to space. We work in 2+1 dimensions, but these results may be relevant also for the physical 3+1 case.

I. INTRODUCTION

The presence of the cosmological constant can affect the quantum kinematics of gravity. Here we show that it enters naturally in loop quantum gravity (LQG) by determining the size of a compact phase space and the dimension of the corresponding finite dimensional Hilbert space. This yields the discretization of the extrinsic curvature and can be related to time discreteness.

Recent results indicate that a positive cosmological constant simplifies, rather than complicating, our understanding of quantum gravity. Fairbairn and Meusburger [1] and Han [2–4], building on [5, 6] and [7], have shown that the cosmological constant makes covariant LQG finite. Haggard, Han, Kamiński and Riello [8] have given a straightforward construction of the LQG dynamics in the presence of the cosmological constant, related to the geometry of constant curvature triangulations, a key idea introduced by Bahr and Dittrich [9], which grounds the present work. The LQG kinematics needs to be modified to take into account the presence of a cosmological constant; this was realised long ago by Borisssov, Major and Smolin [10–12] and the problem has been recently explored in detail by Dupius, Girelli, Livine and Bonzom [13–16] for negative cosmological constant.

A discretization of spacetime in terms of flat simplices is not suitable for a theory with cosmological constant because flat geometry solves the field equations only with vanishing cosmological constant. This problem can be solved choosing a discretization with simplices with constant curvature. Here we show with a positive cosmological constant, a constant curvature discretization leads to a modification of the LQG phase space. The phase space turns out to be *compact* for each link. The conventional LQG phase space is modified by curving the conjugate momentum space. Curved momentum space has been repeatedly considered in quantum gravity, for instance in the relative locality framework [17]. Here it is not the momentum space of a particle to be curved, but rather the space of the conjugate momentum of the gravitational field itself. We study the quantization of the resulting phase space, and we write explicitly modified quantum geometrical operators. We show that these

are related to a q deformation of $SU(2)$. A q deformation has been derived in LQG as a way to implement the dynamics of the theory with cosmological constant in [18, 19]. Here we have shown that it is also directly implied by the constant curvature of the individual simplices, and we have given the corresponding form of the geometrical operators of the gravitational theory, in the presence of a cosmological constant.

This result has physical consequences: it is not just the intrinsic geometry, but also the extrinsic geometry to be discrete in quantum gravity (for a hint of this see [20]). This indicates that not just space, but also proper time, is predicted to be discrete in the theory.

We study the quantization of the geometry in 2+1 Euclidean dimensions with positive cosmological constant, that results from building on constant curvature triangulations, but the results that we obtain may be relevant for the physical 3+1 Lorentzian case.

II. CONSTANT CURVATURE GEOMETRY

We start with the geometry of a constant curvature triangle. For this, it is convenient to fix units where the constant curvature has unit value. The small-curvature limit is then the limit where the triangle is small. Consider therefore a metric sphere with unit radius $R = 1$. A constant curvature triangle has three vertices on this sphere. It is a portion of the sphere bounded by three arcs of maximal circles joining two vertices. The geometry of the triangle is determined, up to isometries, by giving the length $L_l, l = 1, 2, 3$, of these three (oriented) arcs. Importantly, these lengths are bounded.

Since the radius of the sphere determines a unit of length, these lengths can be given a-dimensionally: they are determined by the three angles $\alpha_l = L_l/R$ they define at the center of the sphere. There is also an element k_l of $SO(3)$ associated with each arc. This is simply the rotation by an angle α_l around the axis normal to the plane of the great circle of the arc. The intrinsic geometry of the triangle can therefore be given by associating (non independent) $SO(3)$ elements k_l to each of its sides.

Consider a two-dimensional surface Σ immersed in a

three dimensional Riemannian manifold. This surface inherits an intrinsic and an extrinsic geometry from the 3d manifold. Fix a 3d triangulation of the 3d manifold, inducing a 2d triangulation on Σ . The holonomy of the 3d spin connection along each side l of the triangulation is an element h_l of $SO(3)$. The index l runs over all the segments (arcs) of the triangulation. We choose to approximate the intrinsic geometry of each 3-cell with a constant curvature metric, and therefore the geometry of each triangle with a triangle of uniform curvature. The full intrinsic and extrinsic geometry of Σ is defined by a couple of $SO(3)$ elements, (k_l, h_l) , associated to each arc: h_l is the holonomy of the 3d connection, k_l is the rotation associated to the curved arc l .

It is customary in loop quantum gravity (LQG) to consider the trivalent graph dual to the triangulation. In two space dimension, each link l of the graph corresponds to an arc l of the triangulation. The geometrical data are therefore an element (k_l, h_l) , in $SO(3) \times SO(3)$ for each link l of the graph.

In the limit in which the triangles are small compared to the constant curvature scale, the group elements k_l are near the identity and we can approximate them as $k_l = e^{\vec{J}_l \cdot \vec{\tau}} \sim \mathbb{1} + \vec{J}_l = 1 + \vec{J}_l \cdot \vec{\tau}$, where $\vec{\tau}$ is a basis in the $so(3) \sim su(2)$ algebra. In this limit, which we call $R \rightarrow \infty$, the geometry of the surface is approximated by an element of the group, h_l , and an element of the algebra, \vec{J}_l , for each arc l . These are the standard geometrical data of LQG. Let us shift from $SO(3)$ to $SU(2)$ as is conventionally done in LQG. We write the limit in the form

$$\begin{aligned} SU(2) \times SU(2) &\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} su(2) \times SU(2) = T^*SU(2) \\ (k, h) &\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} (J, h) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, what we do here is to modify the usual LQG kinematics by replacing the algebra with the group. The role of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ in Euclidean 3d gravity with positive cosmological constant has been pointed out by Meusburger and Schroers in [21, 22], as the local isometry group, or as the gauge group of the Chern-Simon formulation of the theory.

III. COMPACT PHASE SPACES

The key difference between the algebra and the group is that the second is compact. This has significant consequences in the quantum theory. Their importance for finiteness has been pointed out in [23]. These are the consequences we explore here.

A compact phase space is the classical limit of a quantum system with a finite dimensional Hilbert space. This can be seen in many ways; the simplest is to notice that a compact phase space has a finite (Liouville) volume, and therefore can accommodate a finite number of Planck size cells, and therefore a finite number of orthogonal quantum states. The familiar example of quantum systems

with finite dimensional Hilbert space is given by angular momentum, for systems with fixed total angular momentum, where the quantum state space is the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_j that carries the spin- j representation of $SU(2)$.

In standard LQG, the kinematical data are given by an element of $\Gamma \equiv su(2) \times SU(2)$ on each link. Γ is the phase space of the theory, for each link. Since it is a cotangent space, it carries a natural symplectic structure. The corresponding quantization defines the quantum theory of gravity in the loop representation. This is defined on the Hilbert space $L_2[SU(2)]$, where the group elements act multiplicatively and the algebra elements act as left invariant vector fields. Here we want to modify this structure by replacing the algebra $su(2)$ with the group $SU(2)$. The problem we address is therefore to determine the phase space structure of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and its quantization.

As a preliminary exercise, we address this problem for the simplified case of $U(1) \times U(1)$.

IV. $U(1) \times U(1)$

Here we define and quantize the phase space $U(1) \times U(1)$. We write elements of this space as a couple (h, k) of complex numbers with unit norms, with $(h = e^{i\alpha}, k = e^{i\beta})$. Let us start by determining the phase space structure, namely writing the symplectic two-form. We are guided to do so by the fact that in the limit in which the radius of one of the two circles can be considered large we want to recover the symplectic form of the cotangent space, which is

$$\omega = d\alpha \wedge d\beta. \quad (1)$$

This indicates immediately what we need:

$$\omega = -h^{-1}dh \wedge k^{-1}dk. \quad (2)$$

which locally is just the same as the previous one. The corresponding Poisson brackets are easily computed:

$$\{k, h\} = hk. \quad (3)$$

This defines the phase space. Let us look for a corresponding quantum theory. For this, we want a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and operators h and k with an operator algebra that reduces to the above Poisson algebra in the appropriate limit. The problem is easy to solve.

The Hilbert space is the *finite dimensional* Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with a discrete basis $|n\rangle$ where $n = 1, \dots, N = \dim \mathcal{H}$, and the operators act as follow

$$k|n\rangle = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}n}|n\rangle \quad (4)$$

and

$$h|n\rangle = |n+1\rangle \quad (5)$$

cyclically (that is $k|N\rangle = |1\rangle$). A straightforward calculation gives their commutator algebra

$$[h, k] = \left(e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}} - 1 \right) hk. \quad (6)$$

which gives a representation of the Poisson algebra for large N . In this limit, the Planck constant (determined by $[\hat{a}, \hat{b}] = i\hbar\widehat{\{a, b\}}$) is related to N by

$$\hbar = \frac{2\pi}{N}. \quad (7)$$

To understand the physics, recall that we have a preferred length here: the constant curvature radius, which we have set to unit. Therefore the dimensionless quantity $\frac{2\pi}{N}$ is actually the ratio between two dimensionful quantities: in the physical theory it is the ratio of the Planck length scale to the cosmological constant scale.

The physics of the quantum theory of this simple example is intriguing. Since the phase space is compact, the Hilbert space is finite dimensional and therefore *both* h and k have discrete spectrum. This is like having a particle on a circle, and therefore discrete momentum, but also the circle being actually discrete, and therefore discrete position. Discreteness of the position gives a maximum momentum. So, all physical quantities are discrete, bounded and therefore completely finite.

This is appealing. The mathematics of the continuum is just a useful approximation to a physical reality which is always discrete and finite.

V. $SU(2) \times SU(2)$

Our task is now to repeat the previous exercise for $\Gamma = SU(2) \times SU(2)$. We use the notation

$$(k = e^J, h) \in SU(2) \times SU(2), \quad (8)$$

In the $R \rightarrow \infty$ limit where the length of the arc is small compared to R , we have $k \sim \mathbb{1} + J$. The symplectic structure of $T^*SU(2)$ is defined by the symplectic form $\omega = d\theta$ where

$$\theta = Tr[Jh^{-1}dh]. \quad (9)$$

There are several possibilities for deforming this structure to have it well defined on $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. The simplest possibility is to take

$$\theta = Tr[kh^{-1}dh] \quad (10)$$

which reduces to (9) in the limit. The resulting symplectic form

$$\omega = Tr[dk \wedge h^{-1}dh - kh^{-1}dh \wedge h^{-1}dh] \quad (11)$$

is closed and invariant under $h \rightarrow \lambda h$ with $\lambda \in SU(2)$. (It is not invariant under $k \rightarrow \lambda k$, but this transformation is not a symmetry: it transforms small triangles

into large ones). But there are others and more interesting phase space structures that one can write on the group $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. The different forms of compatibility between phase space structure and group structure are studied under the name of Poisson-Lie groups and quasi Poisson-Lie groups [22, 24–28].

Instead of trying to guess physically interesting symplectic (or Poisson) structures, we go directly to the quantum theory and study the problem there. We can then recover a phase space structure from the classical limit of the quantum operator algebra. After all, in the real world it is the quantum theory to have a classical limit, not the other way around.

What we are seeking is therefore a deformation of the standard LQG operator algebra giving a *finite* Hilbert space. For this, let us start recalling the action of the operators h and $k = e^J$ on the standard LQG representation. This is,

$$h\psi(U) = U\psi(U) \quad (12)$$

and

$$J^i\psi(U) = L^i\psi(U). \quad (13)$$

where L^i is the left invariant derivative operator on the group manifold. Let us transform this to the canonical basis of $L_2[SU(2)] \sim \oplus_{j=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{H}_j \otimes \mathcal{H}_j)$

$$\langle U|jmn\rangle = D_{mn}^j(U) \quad (14)$$

defined by the Wigner matrices D_{mn}^j . The result is

$$J^i|jmn\rangle = \tau_{mk}^{(j)}|jkn\rangle. \quad (15)$$

where $\tau_{mk}^{(j)}$ are the $SU(2)$ generators in the j representation, and

$$h_{AB}|jmn\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & j & j' \\ A & m & m' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & j & j' \\ B & n & n' \end{pmatrix} |j'm'n'\rangle \quad (16)$$

where A, B are the indices of the $SU(2)$ matrix, repeated indices are summed over and the matrices are the Wigner 3j symbols. Equation (16) is obtained from (12) and (14) by noticing that the group elements are the same as their spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ representation and using the standard decomposition of products of representations.

The first of these two equations is analogous to the abelian case: the operator is defined on the finite dimensional Hilbert space formed by a single spin component.

The second equation, however, requires the quantum state space to be infinite dimensional, because there are non-vanishing Wigner 3j symbols anytime $j' = j \pm \frac{1}{2}$. Can this equation be modified to adapt it to a finite dimensional Hilbert space? The answer is well known: let us *define* the action of the h operator in the constant curvature case to be

$$h_{AB}|jmn\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & j & j' \\ A & m & m' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & j & j' \\ B & n & n' \end{pmatrix}_q |j'm'n'\rangle \quad (17)$$

scale of minimum proper time to be Planckian, its full discrete spectrum can depend on the cosmological constant. This is similar to the angle discreteness pointed out by Seth Major [36].

Do these results generalise to 3+1 dimensions? In the Euclidean case, the situation appears very similar. In the presence of a cosmological constant, we cannot choose a discretization of spacetime with flat simplices, because these are not solutions of the field equations. A constant curvature four simplex is bounded by constant curvature tetrahedra, and the geometry of these determines again a compact space, as for the curved triangles considered above. A compact space, in turn, determines a finite Hilbert space for each link.

In the Lorentzian case, the problem is more subtle, because of the hyperbolic geometry. However, it seems reasonable to require single cells of the triangulation to stay within the De Sitter horizon. This again yields a maximal size, determined by the cosmological constant,

and therefore a finite Hilbert space per each link. The situation, however, is still unclear in the Lorentzian 3+1 case.

~~~~

*Note:* We understand that Han, Haggard, Kamiński and Riello have related results in 3+1 dimensions, which will appear soon.

**Acknowledgements.** FV thanks Hal Haggard and Muxin Han for detailed explanations on [8], before publication, which have inspired this work. CR thanks Maité Dupuis, Florian and Ela Girelli, and Aldo Riello, for extensive discussion on the topic.

FV acknowledges support from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) Veni Fellowship Program. CR acknowledges support from Samy Maroun Research Center for Time, Space and the Quantum.

- 
- [1] W. J. Fairbairn and C. Meusburger, “Quantum deformation of two four-dimensional spin foam models,” *J. Math. Phys.* **53** (Dec., 2010) 45, [arXiv:1012.4784](#).
- [2] M. Han, “4-dimensional Spin-foam Model with Quantum Lorentz Group,” *J. Math. Phys.* **52** (2011) 72501, [arXiv:1012.4216](#).
- [3] M. Han, “Cosmological Constant in LQG Vertex Amplitude,” *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 64010, [arXiv:1105.2212](#).
- [4] M. Han, “Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity, Low Energy Perturbation Theory, and Einstein Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 124001, [arXiv:1308.4063](#).
- [5] C. Rovelli, “The basis of the Ponzano-Regge-Turaev-Viro-Ooguri model is the loop representation basis,” *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 2702–2707. <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9304164v1>.
- [6] L. Smolin, “Linking topological quantum field theory and nonperturbative quantum gravity,” *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995) 6417, [arXiv:9505028 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [7] K. Noui and P. Roche, “Cosmological deformation of Lorentzian spin foam models,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 3175–3214, [arXiv:0211109 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [8] H. Haggard, M. Han, W. Kaminski, and A. Riello, “SL(2,C) Chern-Simons Theory, a non-Planar Graph Operator, and 4D Loop Quantum Gravity with a Cosmological Constant: Semiclassical Geometry,” [arXiv:1412.7546](#).
- [9] B. Bahr and B. Dittrich, “Regge calculus from a new angle,” *New J. Phys.* **12** (2010) 33010, [arXiv:0907.4325](#).
- [10] R. Borissov, S. Major, and L. Smolin, “The geometry of quantum spin networks,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **13** (1996) 3183–3196, [arXiv:9512043 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [11] S. Major and L. Smolin, “Quantum deformation of quantum gravity,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B473** (1996) 267–290, [arXiv:9512020 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [12] S. Major, “On the q-quantum gravity loop algebra,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **25** (2008) 65003, [arXiv:0708.0750](#).
- [13] M. Dupuis and F. Girelli, “Quantum hyperbolic geometry in loop quantum gravity with cosmological constant,” *Physical Review D* **87** (2013) 121502, [arXiv:1307.5461](#).
- [14] M. Dupuis, F. Girelli, and E. R. Livine, “Deformed Spinor Networks for Loop Gravity: Towards Hyperbolic Twisted Geometries,” [arXiv:1403.7482](#).
- [15] V. Bonzom, M. Dupuis, and F. Girelli, “Towards the Turaev-Viro amplitudes from a Hamiltonian constraint,” *Physical Review D* **90** (2014) 104038, [arXiv:1403.7121](#).
- [16] V. Bonzom, M. Dupuis, F. Girelli, and E. R. Livine, “Deformed phase space for 3d loop gravity and hyperbolic discrete geometries,” [arXiv:1402.2323](#).
- [17] G. Amelino-Camelia, L. Freidel, J. Kowalski-Glikman, and L. Smolin, “The principle of relative locality,” [arXiv:1101.0931](#).
- [18] K. Noui, A. Perez, and D. Pranzetti, “Canonical quantization of non-commutative holonomies in 2 + 1 loop quantum gravity,” *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2011** no. 10, (2011) 36, [arXiv:1105.0439](#).
- [19] D. Pranzetti, “Turaev-Viro amplitudes from 2+1 loop quantum gravity,” *Physical Review D* **89** no. 8, (2014) 084058, [arXiv:1402.2384](#).
- [20] C. Rovelli and F. Vidotto, “Evidence for Maximal Acceleration and Singularity Resolution in Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity,” *Physical Review Letters* **111** (2013) 091303, [arXiv:1307.3228](#).
- [21] C. Meusburger and B. J. Schroers, “Poisson structure and symmetry in the Chern-Simons formulation of (2+1)-dimensional gravity,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **20** (2003) 2193–2233, [arXiv:0301108 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [22] C. Meusburger and B. J. Schroers, “Quaternionic and Poisson-Lie structures in three-dimensional gravity: The cosmological constant as deformation parameter,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **49** (2008) 083510, [arXiv:0708.1507](#).
- [23] K. Nozari, M. A. Gorji, V. Hosseinzadeh, and B. Vakili, “Natural Cutoffs via Compact Symplectic Manifolds,” [arXiv:1405.4083](#).

- [24] G. Marmo, A. Simoni, and A. Stern, “Poisson Lie Group Symmetries for the Isotropic Rotator,” [arXiv:9310145](https://arxiv.org/abs/9310145) [[hep-th](#)].
- [25] J. H. Lu, *Multiplicative and Affine Poisson Structures on Lie Groups*. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1990.
- [26] A. Alekseev and Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, “Manin Pairs and Moment Maps,” *J. Differential Geom.* **56** (2000) 133–165.
- [27] A. Alekseev, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, and E. Meinrenken, “Quasi-Poisson Manifolds,” *Canad. J. Math.* **54** (2002) 3–29.
- [28] B. J. Schroers, “Quantum gravity and non-commutative spacetimes in three dimensions: a unified approach,” [arXiv:1105.3945](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.3945).
- [29] L. Kauffman and S. Lins, *Temperley-Lieb Recoupling Theory and Invariants of 3-Manifolds*. Princeton University Press, 1994.
- [30] S. Major, “On the q-quantum gravity loop algebra,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **25** (2008) 065003, [arXiv:0708.0750](https://arxiv.org/abs/0708.0750).
- [31] J. Roberts, “Skein theory and Turaev-Viro invariants,” *Topology* **34** (1995) 771–787.
- [32] V. G. Turaev and O. Y. Viro, “State sum invariants of 3 manifolds and quantum 6j symbols,” *Topology* **31** (1992) 865–902.
- [33] S. Mizoguchi and T. Tada, “Three-dimensional gravity from the Turaev-Viro invariant,” *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **68** (1992) 1795–1798, [arXiv:9110057](https://arxiv.org/abs/9110057) [[hep-th](#)].
- [34] C. Rovelli and F. Vidotto, *Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [35] E. Bianchi and C. Rovelli, “A note on the geometrical interpretation of quantum groups and non-commutative spaces in gravity,” *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 27502, [arXiv:1105.1898](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.1898) [[gr-qc](#)].
- [36] S. A. Major, “Quantum Geometry Phenomenology: Angle and Semiclassical States,” [arXiv:1112.4366](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.4366). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.4366>.