

Saving Final or Primary Energy? Lessons from a Flow Approach of the French Energy Balance: Implications on the Value of Energy Standards for Buildings

Ghislaine Destais

▶ To cite this version:

Ghislaine Destais. Saving Final or Primary Energy? Lessons from a Flow Approach of the French Energy Balance: Implications on the Value of Energy Standards for Buildings. IEPEC 2010: Counting on Energy Programs - It's Why Evaluation Matters, poster session, Jun 2010, Paris, France. hal-01476032

HAL Id: hal-01476032

https://hal.science/hal-01476032

Submitted on 24 Feb 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Saving final or primary energy?

Lessons from a flow approach of the French energy balance. Implications on the value of energy standards for buildings.

Ghislaine DESTAIS

Assistant professor, LEPII - CNRS - UPMF / Grenoble / France

In the prospect of limiting not only energy consumption but also entropy increase, it is important to understand the way the energy system operates. This work is an attempt to do so by presenting and analyzing an original flow diagram of the French energy balance. It first provides evaluations of the energy efficiency of the national energy system. And then uses them to discuss the conversion factors from final to primary energy that are used in the French heat regulation. It shows that these conversion factors, especially the electricity one, depart from reality. This leads to a misinterpretation of the 2012 energy standard for new buildings.

From primary sources to electricity final consumption in France 2008 (Mtoe) Nuclear 71.0% net electicity u enrichmen production 1,57 gross electricity production final consumption = primary production nuclear 114.54 76.74 1.82 35.97 1.69 26.44 76.5% 26.94 pumpinggrid bss 910 633 910 633 9 10 927 15 10 hydroelectric from aux. net production grid loss $0.01 \Rightarrow 0.40 \Rightarrow 0.02$ pumping 0.40 m Non thermal renewables 14.8% gross electricity production 12.20% net electicity = primary production consumption hydroelectric conventional 5.53 5,46 **→** 0,36 **→** 5.59 wind, photovoltaic 0,49 Conventional thermal plants 14.2% primary sources gross electricity net electicity final coal, petroleum production production consumption products, gas **→**6.38 **→** 5.08 **→**0.29**→** 4.79 **⇒**0 27 **⇒** 4 50 partial efficiency=41.8% $0.92 \Rightarrow 0.06 \Rightarrow 0.86$ efficiency= 39.23%

2005 thermal regulation

Primary Energy Consumption Limit for new residential buildings (for heating, cooling, and hot water)

(io. iiodiiig, coomig and not mater)						
PEC _{max}	H1 cold	H2 temperate	H3 mediterranean			
(kWh/m²/year)	area	area	area			
Fuels	130	110	80			
Flectricity	250	190	130			

Conventional coefficients from Final to Primary Energy

	Efficiency	Conversion factor			
Fuels	100%	1			
Electricity	38,8%	2,58			
Same implicit threshold for Useful Energy					

UEC max (KWh/m²/year) H1 area H2 H3 Fuels 91 77 56 Electricity 97 74 50


The 2009 Grenelle 1 Law toward the 2012 thermal regulation

A single Primary Energy Consumption Limit for *all* new **buildings** (for heating, cooling, hot water, lighting, ventilation, pomps) and all energy sources except wood:

50 kWh/m²/year

- There is a controversy against this value qualified by some people of « weapon of the crime» against electricity.
- The law also says it has to be modulated according to the location and use of the building and for energies which present a good CO2 balance,
- and that the conversion factor from final to primary energy has to be examined.

International Energy Program Evaluation Conference Counting on Energy Programs - It's Why Evaluation Matters - Paris 2010



The proposals of the Parliamentary Office (december 2009)

- Modulation of the 50 kWh/m²/year limit according to location between -10% and +30% (40 to 65 kWh) for 6 areas, corresponding to the BBC-Effinergie label
- Modulation on heigh ground + 10 kWh above 400 m, + 20 kWh above 800 m
- Modulation according to the size (S) of the building on 40% of the total, for exemple with a coefficient of 0,6 + 80 / (100 + S)
- For non residential buildings: simulated limit of 50 kWh applied only on permanently occuped areas, but activ management of enegy to obtain an effective global performance ■No modulation according to CO2 balance of energy sources, but a maximum CO2 emission of 5kg/m²/year (except for renewables), with the previous modulations
- No change of the conversion factor from final to primary electricity which represents a physical reality (as calculated below) but its value should be reexamined periodically.

Electricity	Nuclear	Photovoltaic Hydraulic, Wind	from Fuels	Average
% in electric consumption	80%	10%	10%	100%
Efficiency	33%	100%	53%	37%
Conversion factor	3	1	1,9	2,7

My own proposals: a guideline for accurate conversion factors

A bigger conversion factor from final to primary electricity

Electricity (21,8% of total final consumption)		Photovoltaic Hydraulic, Wind	From Fuels	Average
% in electric consumption	71%	14.8%	14.2%	100%
Efficiency	28.04%	92.86%	39.23%	32.75%
Conversion factor	3,57	1,08	2,55	3.05

Different conversion factors for fuels

Fuels	Coal		Gas	Biomass, waste
Efficiency	83.0%	92.7%	100%	100%
Conversion factor	1,20	1,08	1	1

No political use of these values; preferably change the consumption limits.











^{*} with an average yield of 70% for the boilers