



HAL
open science

Do Linear Transport Infrastructures Provide a Potential Corridor for Urban Biodiversity? Case Study in Greater Paris, France

Laura Clevenot, Cédissia de Chastenet, Nathalie Frascaria-Lacoste, Philippe Jacob, Richard Raymond, Laurent Simon, Pierre Pech

► To cite this version:

Laura Clevenot, Cédissia de Chastenet, Nathalie Frascaria-Lacoste, Philippe Jacob, Richard Raymond, et al.. Do Linear Transport Infrastructures Provide a Potential Corridor for Urban Biodiversity? Case Study in Greater Paris, France. *Cybergeo: Revue européenne de géographie / European journal of geography*, 2017, 10.4000/cybergeo.27895 . hal-01471492

HAL Id: hal-01471492

<https://hal.science/hal-01471492>

Submitted on 11 Feb 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Do Linear Transport Infrastructures provide a potential corridor for urban biodiversity? Case study in Greater Paris, France

Les infrastructures linéaires de transport et leurs emprises offrent-elles un corridor potentiel pour la biodiversité urbaine ? Cas d'étude dans le Grand Paris, France

线性交通基础设施是否为城市生物多样性提供了潜在的走廊？以法国大巴黎地区为例

Laura Clevenot, Cédissia De Chastenet, Nathalie Frascaria, Philippe Jacob, Richard Raymond, Laurent Simon and Pierre Pech



Electronic version

URL: <http://journals.openedition.org/cybergeo/27895>

DOI: 10.4000/cybergeo.27895

ISSN: 1278-3366

Publisher

UMR 8504 Géographie-cités

Brought to you by Université Paris Nanterre



Electronic reference

Laura Clevenot, Cédissia De Chastenet, Nathalie Frascaria, Philippe Jacob, Richard Raymond, Laurent Simon and Pierre Pech, « Do Linear Transport Infrastructures provide a potential corridor for urban biodiversity? Case study in Greater Paris, France », *Cybergeo : European Journal of Geography* [Online], Environment, Nature, Landscape, document 803, Online since 09 January 2017, connection on 11 February 2020. URL : <http://journals.openedition.org/cybergeo/27895> ; DOI : 10.4000/cybergeo.27895

This text was automatically generated on 11 February 2020.



La revue *Cybergeo* est mise à disposition selon les termes de la Licence Creative Commons Attribution - Pas d'Utilisation Commerciale - Pas de Modification 3.0 non transposé.

Do Linear Transport Infrastructures provide a potential corridor for urban biodiversity? Case study in Greater Paris, France

Les infrastructures linéaires de transport et leurs emprises offrent-elles un corridor potentiel pour la biodiversité urbaine? Cas d'étude dans le Grand Paris, France

Laura Clevenot, Cédissia De Chastenet, Nathalie Frascaria, Philippe Jacob, Richard Raymond, Laurent Simon and Pierre Pech

The authors would like to thank BEGI foundation - Eiffage Corporation and University Paris 1 Pantheon-Sorbonne - and ITTECOP program for their help with this survey. We thank Eric Bezault and Neil Minkley who provided language help.


Introduction

- 1 Recent studies have emphasised the increasing impact of Linear Transport Infrastructures (LTIs) on biodiversity. LTIs include, among other, railway, roads and highways, waterways and power transmission lines. Both negative (Seiler, 2001; Brown et al., 2006; Jackson and Fahrig, 2011) and positive (Ranta, 2008; Penone et al., 2012; Vergnes et al., 2013) impacts have been highlighted. Numerous authors explore various indices or values in order to identify these negative and positive impacts that this relates to genetic, specific, ecosystemic or functional biodiversity. Most of them especially use ecological assessment approaches, in particular to identify negative impacts (Seiler, 2001; Machado, 2004; Jackson and Fahrig, 2011). Overall, it is more and more established that LTIs may also have positive impacts and contribute to local and regional biodiversity preservation (Ranta, 2008; Penone, 2012; Penone et al., 2012; Vergnes et al., 2013). For Ranta (2008), it is

assumed that there are original habitats along railway and road corridors as well as urban habitats (Hobbs et al., 2006; Baker et al., 2007; Pouyat et al., 2007; Williams and Jackson, 2007; Byrne et al., 2008; Grimm et al., 2008). These LTIs and corridors are integrated into urban ecosystems (De Wet et al., 1998; Savard et al., 2000; Pellissier et al., 2012). On the other hand, a number of recent authors highlight the numerous ways to manage these positive impacts. In order to contribute to manage such more or less natural areas related to LTIs, most researches provide indices (Machado, 2004; Garcia-Garcia et al., 2016). They are useful for nature managers. The indices are developed with systematic analysis for expressing naturalness or biodiversity and also operational management activities (Vergnes et al., 2013; Haaland et al., 2015).

- 2 In urban areas, and especially in the Paris area, in the city and suburbs, the contribution of LTI edges to naturalness is more and more documented (Penone, 2012; Vergnes et al., 2013; Clergeau et Blanc, 2013). Authors highlight the biodiversity along the infrastructures and corridors as abandoned LTIs become vacant (Foster, 2014) or especially along active infrastructures (Penone, 2012). Most public policies aim to develop urban green spaces as a contribution to numerous ecological services such as energy saving and emission reduction (Nielsen et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014), pollution reduction (Blanusa et al., 2015), quality of life and well-being (Shwartz et al., 2014; Foo, 2016).
- 3 In France, ITTECOP (Land Transport Infrastructures, Ecosystems and Landscapes) is the scientific programme led by the French Ministry of Environment, whose purpose is to contribute to a better understanding of the impacts of LTIs on biodiversity. Our research is integrated into the French ITTECOP programme concerning the impacts of LTIs on biodiversity. Our purpose aims to demonstrate how LTIs can promote the spread of a specific biodiversity through a reservoir of biodiversity in the Paris area. In order to explore both such scientific and operational aims, we study patches of naturalness along LTI edges around the city of Paris, using a forest-to-urban gradient (Porter et al., 2001). Our aim is to understand the types of biodiversity developed. In this study, our purpose is to understand the impacts of LTI edges on a suburban forest whose context is particularly original in the Greater Paris area (Fig.1). In this paper we present specific studies in an original area of Greater Paris. We try to understand if a local natural area, a Natura 2000 area, may be a source for biodiversity and if LTI edges facilitate spatial development of biodiversity.

Figure 1 : Location map




Area studied: the Poudrierie Natura 2000 area

- 4 Our work consists in inventorying and analysing the Potential Biodiversity Index on the edges of two Linear Transport Infrastructures crossing a forest park in the northern part of the Greater Paris district (France). The study presented here concerns the Poudrierie Natura 2000 area. Located in the north-eastern part of Greater Paris, it is a forestry reservoir of biodiversity. Two linear transport infrastructures pass through this park: the Ourcq Channel (Canal de l'Ourcq in French) and a section of the suburban train lines.
- 5 One of the characteristics of this site is that it has been granted various natural heritage protection labels due to the availability of biodiversity. For instance it is part of CWA, Classified Wooded Areas (EBC in French), which is regulated by article L.130-1 of the French Urbanism code. The aim of this classification is "*to ensure the preservation of existing woodlands and forests, but also urban green areas, tree-planting projects, isolated veteran trees and any unwooded areas which could be potentially planted*". Thus the classification bans "*any land-use changes which could jeopardize the conservation and protection of afforestation, or the development of tree planting*" (Marcadet *et al.*, 2011).
- 6 The forest park is also established as a natural zone of ecological, floristic and faunistic value (ZNIEFF in French). As "*a sector of high biological and ecological interest*" (MNHN, 2003-2015) it is also classified as "*a vast, rich and little altered natural unit offering major biological potential*" (MNHN, 2003-2015). This status was granted to the park by the Paris regional scientific council of natural heritage. Lastly, the forest park La Poudrierie belongs to the special protection zone called "*sites of Seine-Saint-Denis*" regulated by the European Natura 2000 bird directive (Marcadet *et al.*, 2011). Being the only European site within a

dense urban area it includes 15 parks and forests spread across the departmental district, among which the park forest La Poudrerie (ECOTER, 2013), which has helped the site receive the Natura 2000 label in Seine-Saint-Denis because it harbours six of the twelve bird species listed in the European directive: the black woodpecker (*Dryocopus martius*), the idle spotted woodpecker (*Dendrocopos major*), the honey buzzard (*Pernis apivorus*), the European kingfisher (*Alcedo atthis*) as well as the Montagu's harrier (*Circus pygargus*) and the hen harrier (*Circus cyaneus*). The site is also characterized by a time continuity of afforestation, which is a relevant factor of biodiversity in a forest environment "as it is considered one of the major elements of naturality" (Dupouey et al., 2002; Lidermayer B. and Franklin J-F., 2002; Lundström, 2008), When using the *Géoportail* website to compare old maps with today's aerial photographs, we can clearly see that some of the original forest has been preserved since the 18th century (Fig.2).

Figure 2 : Comparative evolution of Poudrerie Park Natura 200 area (in blue) and land occupations since the 18th century (Greater Paris)



Copyright IGN 2016

- 7 On a local level, studies made by the Ecoter research consultancy applying the Potential Biodiversity Index, PBI (Larrieu and Gonin, 2008; Larrieu et al., 2012; Emberger et al., 2013), have revealed the presence of "a rich and complex forest ecosystem" within the park (ECOTER, 2013). These various elements enable us to claim that the forest park La Poudrerie serves as a reservoir of biodiversity.

Methods

- 8 This study examines the positive role played by the LTI edges in the development of the biodiversity identified in the park. The aim is to understand how and why the linear transport infrastructures edges are corridors that should become part of the green and blue ecological-network projects.

Identifying the forest park as a reservoir of biodiversity

- 9 Studying maps from the Natura 2000 document "*Sites in Seine-Saint-Denis*" (Marcadet et al., 2011) has enabled us to assess the spread of the plant cover across the department area between 1800 and 2002. Moreover, a comparative analysis of various documents ranging from old Cassini maps, military and topographic maps to aerial photographs has been made using the *Geoportail* website. The current outlines of the park acreage have been cut out thanks to the tool called *Polygone* and superposed on each ancient map, using the same scale. This has enabled us to compare the spatial extent of the park at various times so as to assess the alteration of the park surface area from the 18th century up to the present day (fig.2).

Identifying a landscape continuum

- 10 The analysis of a current aerial photograph (Fig. 3) clearly shows that the park has extended eastward, which is confirmed by the photos taken in the field showing similar landscapes (Fig.4). Shot from the eastern tips of the park where the extension lies and facing west, both photos present a view of the Ourcq channel with grassy berms on both sides of the infrastructure, a cycling path along the southern bank and wooded extensions of the berms.

Figure 3 : Landscape structures around Poudrierie Park Nature 2000 area (Greater Paris)





Figure 4 : Two pictures showing the Ourcq channel running below two sloping up berms with vegetation



- 11 The similarities in landscape have reinforced our decision to apply the Potential Biodiversity Index to study the role played by the rail and water ways edges within both the park and its land extensions, so as to compare the collected data.
- 12 The berms, which make up the land extension and on which a new wooded area has grown, are man-made. As illustrated in Fig. 4 and 5, the canal runs below the rail tracks and the sloping up berms. The berms had been artificially created when the canal was built as underlined by Pierre-Simon Girard in charge of the construction under Napoleon Bonaparte: "Our task is to erect, with the utmost regularity and definite slanting angle, the internal berms sloping up from the canal banks, the towpaths and the embankment or hillsides." (Girard, 1831).

Figure 5 : Drawing showing the two infrastructures, the channel below the rail tracks and the artificial berms



Collecting data

- 13 The Potential Biodiversity Index, PBI, from Larrieu and Gonin (2008; Larrieu et al., 2012; Emberger et al., 2013) has been applied to survey the whole area covered by our study so as to focus on the capacity of the rail and water ways edges to harbour any forms of biodiversity. According to Larrieu and Gonin (2008) and Ferris and Humphrey (1999), potential biodiversity is the capacity to harbour biodiversity linked to present and varied characteristics, and in particular functional traits more or less related to environmental constraints (Cornelissen et al., 2003; De Bello et al., 2010; Swoczyna et al., 2015; Di Battista et al., 2016).
- 14 The PBI has been primarily designed for helping forestry management to carry out preliminary diagnoses so as to be able to identify the points to improve for an optimal development of biodiversity (Larrieu and Gonin, 2008; Larrieu et al., 2012). As far as this study is concerned, the index has helped to assess the potential capacity to harbour the

biodiversity developing on the rail and water ways edges or in the area around the LTIs, based on several criteria assessing the forest habitat (Garcia-Garcia et al., 2016; Pellissier et al., 2012).

- 15 The design of this PBI has been based on 10 indices, 7 of which are linked to tree-planting and forestry management and 3 are linked to the local environment (Table 1). Each factor ranges from 0 to 5.

Description and justification of the indices

Index A – Diversity of the native forest tree species

- 16 Larrieu and Gonin (2008) justify the choice of the first factor by the fact that "most of the time species of the same kind tend to present both the same dynamic behaviour and quite similar biological characteristics and potential". Moreover, "the related biodiversity varies according to the species, but on the whole, growth depends on the number of native species" (Gosselin and Laroussinie, 2004; Larrieu and Gonin, 2008). When the index is applied in the field, "a species is included as soon as one individual is detected. The exotic species will not be taken into account since their biological potential is sharply inferior in our countries to that of the native species" (Larrieu and Gonin, 2008).
- 17 The rating scale of this index, that is to say 0 for 1 or 2 species, 2 for 3 or 4 species and 5 for 5 species and more, has been calibrated from the number of tree species observed in various stands of trees such as tree-planted plots which were heavily damaged by the 1999 storm and closed tree-planted plots made up of adult trees.

Index B – Vertical structure of vegetation

- 18 Index B finds its origin in the influence of the number of layers on biodiversity. A stand of trees is classified in 4 levels based on the usual definitions in phytosociology (Delpech et al., 1985; Ferris and Humphrey, 1999. Bowers and Boutin, 2008): herb layers, shrub layers (less than 7 m high), lower arborescence (7 to 20 m), and higher arborescence (more than 20 m). The rating increases according to the number of existing layers: 0 for 1 or 2 layers, 2 for 3 layers, 5 for 4 layers (Larrieu and Gonin, 2008). Therefore, there is a close relationship between the abundance of bird life and the number of layers, linked to the amount of available cavities, all of this in various forest habitats (Larrieu and Gonin, 2008). Moreover, the abundance of nocturnal lepidoptera insects increases with the structural heterogeneity of the tree coverage (Larrieu and Gonin, 2008).

Indices C and D – large standing (C) and down (D) dead wood

- 19 These two indices are included in the potential biodiversity index because dead wood shelters saproxylic processions, thus playing a significant role in biodiversity (Grove, 2002; Bouget, 2007; Brustel 2001). The very nature of the saproxylic processions depends not only on the amount of dead wood but also on its characteristics: wood species, size and position, stage and mode of decomposition, microclimatic conditions (Gosselin and al., 2006; Larrieu and Gonin, 2008). So the rating of these two indices varies according to the position of the trunks, standing or lying on the ground. Indices C and D are used according to the quantity of dead wood with a circumference ranging from 120 cm to 130 cm (a 40 cm diameter). For these two indices, the Larrieu and Gonin (2008) rating scale

has been adapted to our field of study. Given the massive quantity of wood identified in the field and its high potential capacity to harbour some form of taxonomic biodiversity, two more indices have been added to assess the small dead wood on the ground (index value: 0.5) and the stumps and trunks with a circumference less than 120 cm (index value: 1).

Indices E and F – Large living wood (E) and living trees bearing micro habitats (F)

- 20 Microhabitats are relevant indicators of biodiversity (Yang et al., 2015). Living trees bearing microhabitats play a significant role in biodiversity because they harbour specific taxons (Ferris and Humphrey, 1999; Gosselin et al., 2006; Winter and Moller, 2008; Larrieu and Gonin, 2008; Yang et al., 2015). Trees with large diameters are crucial for biodiversity since "they represent very heterogeneous habitats which can harbour many various specialised species living side by side" (Kollstrom and Lumatjarvi, 2000). Paganova et al. (2015) show the role played by the shape of trees. Moreover, as underlined by Larrieu and Gonin (2008), some saproxylic groups like the Syrphid diptera are more easily found in microhabitats associated with older trees than in those associated with dead wood.

Index H – Age and condition of the forested area

- 21 As mentioned previously, the time continuity of the tree coverage is a relevant factor of bioersivity (Dupouey and Dambrine, 2010; Vallauri et al., 2012). According to Larrieu and Gonin (2008) and Lundström (2008), it is clearly admitted that the age of the forest cover has an influence on the floristic diversity: several studies conducted in the mesophilic beech and oak forests in Western Europe have helped to set out a list of specific species which can be found in old-growth forests (areas which have been forested for more than 200 years), and "whose occurrence is much less significant, though not totally absent, in more recent forests" (Dupouey et al., 2002). Lastly, even if the age does not seem to affect the abundance of the specific vascular flora on a global scale (Lundström, 2008), it does increase the richness locally as it has been shown in recent research carried out in the Champagne alluvial forests (Larrieu and Gonin, 2008).

Index I – Wetland habitats

- 22 According to authors (Larrieu and Gonin, 2008; Le Viol et al., 2009; Sheffers and Paszkowski, 2013; Sokol et al., 2015), wetland environments, due to their specific composition, offer a different type of taxonomic diversity for trees growing there. They also contribute to increasing the diversity of the ecosystems. These environments are taken into account thanks to index I as soon as water bodies are larger than 100 m². The ecological diversity being a significant element for the structuring of wetland environments, the rating of this index is based on the diversity of these environments rather than the size of their total surface area. As a result 0 corresponds to no wetland environment, 2 for one type of environment only, and 5 for diversified wetland environments.


Index J – Rocky environments

- 23 Rocky environments – screes, cliffs, rock plates – have site-specific characteristics which account for the development of a specific vegetation including many endemic species

(Pech, 2013). As stated by Gonin and Larrieu (2008), when they cover a significant surface area (a minimum of 1% of the studied surface area), rocky environments increase biodiversity, all the more so when they are diversified. The rating is thus the same as for factor I.

- 24 The potential biodiversity index has been applied every 200 metres along both sides of the linear transport infrastructures with a margin error of a few meters due to the field measurement conditions. The study fields are situated within 20 meters from the infrastructures, a distance which corresponds to the width of the slopes bordering the sides of the rail and water ways (Fig. 5 and 6). The distances have been calculated with a Bushnell laser and the collected data have been entered into a spreadsheet in order to be processed statistically. A total of 84 surveys have been carried out corresponding to the 33 hectares surveyed.

Figure 6 : PBI method explanation schema applied to linear infrastructures edges and forest park.



Studying the biological potentialities

- 25 The potential capacity to harbour biodiversity in a forest stand largely depends on the type of tree species. Trees are the most influential elements in a forest ecosystem. Their characteristics have a major influence on the species found in the forest (Emberger and, 2013; Swoczyna et al., 2015). Consequently, the data collected in the field have been used to study the biological potential of each recorded tree species based on the rating table designed by Branquard and Liegeois (2005). Tree species have then been classified after applying the Potential Biodiversity Index.

Results

- 26 The PBI surveys conducted in this study have helped to supplement those led by Ecoter in 2013 (ECOTER, 2013), but they also compare the results found around the linear transport infrastructures with those found in the forest park La Poudrierie itself. The PBI indices (which have been assigned letters) are set out in Table 1.

Table : Synthetic table of indices

Factors (per categories)		Rating		
		0	2	5
Dependent on forest management factors				
Vegetation				
A	Indigenous tree species richness	1 or 2 species	3 or 4 species	5 species and more
B	Vertical vegetation structure	1 or 2 layers	3 or 4 layers	5 layers et plus
Microhabitats associated with trees				
C	Deadwood up big circumference	Almost absent:	1 or 2 plant/ha	3 plants/ha et plus
		<1 plant/ha		
D	Deadwood big circumference on the floor	Almost absent:	1 or 2 trunks/ha	3 trunks/ha et plus
		<1 trunk/ha		
E	Very large living wood	Almost absent:	1 à 4 plants/ha	5 plants/ha et plus
		<1 plant/ha		
F	Live trees holders of microhabitats	Almost absent:	1 à 5 plants/ha	6 plants/ha et plus
		<1 plant/ha		
Associated Habitats				
G	Open areas	< 1 area /10 ha	1 à 2 area /10 ha	3 à 4 area /10 ha
Contextual factors				
Continuity of forest ecosystem				
H	Age of the wooded area	The settlement is part of a recent forest	The settlement adjoins an ancient forest	The settlement is clearly part of an ancient forest
Associated habitats				
I	Associated habitats	None	Homogeneous: 1 type	Diversified: 2 types and more
J	Rocky environments	None	Homogeneous: 1 type	Diversified: 2 types and more

Clevenot L., 2015 ; from Porter et al., 2001; Larrieu and Gonin, 2008; Nielsen et al., 2014 ; Paganova et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015

Index A – Native forest tree species

- 27 More than half of the surveys carried out over the whole study area have obtained the maximum score (5). Though 50% of the surveys carried out on the infrastructures themselves have received the average score with no minimum score (0) given, the scores obtained in the area situated in the land extension of the infrastructures tend to vary more. The average score has been obtained by 40.5% of the surveys and the minimum score by 4.5% of the surveys. Most of the surveys which have received the average or minimum scores were conducted either at the far ends of the study area or near bridges. While the maple tree is very present all over the study area, the hornbeam (*Carpinus sp.*) and the hazel tree (*Corylus sp.*) are the most common species found on the sections of the infrastructures passing through the park, namely in 50% of the surveys. The ash tree (*Fraxinus sp.*) and the hazel tree (*Corylus sp.*) are the most common species found on the land extension of the park, namely in 75% of the surveys.

Index B – Vertical structuring of the vegetation

- 28 The vertical structuring of the vegetation is very similar on both the infrastructures and the land extension. The maximum score was given to most of the surveys, that is to say 95% of the surveys in the park and 97.5% in the land extension. Over the whole study area only two surveys, which were conducted in the park, have received an average score. Similarly, only one survey, conducted in the land extension, has obtained the minimum

score. It can be explained by the fact that the surveys were conducted either near a road bridge or at the far ends of the study area.

Index C – Amount of standing dead wood

- 29 Standing dead wood of wide circumference (more than 40 cm in diameter) has not been found on the infrastructures passing through the park but little of it has also been found on the sections of the infrastructures beyond the park. Over the whole study area, the minimum score has been obtained by 98.2% of the surveys. Standing dead wood of large circumference has only been found in one survey in the southern part of the rail tracks. This is probably due to the cleaning done by the rail management team for safety reasons.

Index D – Amount of dead wood on the ground

- 30 Though the surveys, which have been conducted on the infrastructure sections crossing the park, show the significant presence of stumps and trunks with a circumference less than 120 cm which have been indexed 1 (78.5%), the size and the quantity of down dead wood vary more across the land extension. Though there is a significant presence of medium-size dead wood, namely branches and small dead wood indexed 0.5 in 52% of the surveys conducted in the land extension of the park, 31% of the same surveys show the presence of stumps and trunks indexed 1. However, the number of surveys showing the presence of at least one trunk or stump of more than 120 cm in circumference is the same all over the whole study area. Lastly, there are 4 surveys conducted across the land extension showing a total absence of down dead wood, which can be explained by the fact that they were conducted near highly frequented areas at the far end of the extension.

Index E – Amount of large living wood

- 31 Little very large living wood has been found over the whole study area, the minimum score having been obtained by 88% of the surveys while no maximum score has been given. However, the average score, mainly corresponding to the presence of 1 or 2 large living wood items, has been given to 23% of the surveys in the park, as compared to only 2% of those conducted in the land extension. The fact that the park is an old-growth plantation while, conversely, the one in the land extension is more recent can account for the score.

Index F – Number of microhabitats within the living trees

- 32 Trees bearing microhabitats can be largely found over the whole study area. 78% of the surveys have obtained the maximum score, 16.5% the average score and 4.5% the minimum score. It is important to mention that ivy, *Hedera helix* L., has also been taken account.


Index G – Presence of open environments

- 33 There are few open environments found over the whole study area. 72.5% of the surveys have obtained the minimum score, 19% the average score, and 9.5% the maximum score.

These scores can be accounted for by the fact that there are no trees along the infrastructures and very few clearings across the area.

- 34 The study of the PBI surveys conducted on the impacts of the linear transport infrastructures over the whole study area has helped to show similar potential capacity to harbour biodiversity for the sections of the infrastructures passing through the park and those passing through the land extension, the former accounting for a 42%-12% PBI and the latter accounting for a 41%-13% PBI. We can find similar results when comparing the sum of all PBIs for each survey, ranging from 11 to 14.5 for the sections of the infrastructures passing through the park, and from 7 to 23 for those passing through the land extension. Thus Fig.7 shows that, over the whole study area, the potential capacity to harbour biodiversity has scored average.

Figure 7. Map of results with the PBI categories and ecological complexity along the two infrastructures (blue and grey lines) near Poudrierie Park



Clevenot L., 2015 ; from ECOTER, 2013

- 35 According to Branquard and Liegeois (2005) and Morgenroth et al. (2016), the biological potential of a woody species varies directly with the number of organisms with which it is directly associated through trophic and/or functional links (recycling of the nutrients, mycorrhiza, pollination, regulation of pest populations, etc.). Furthermore, as shown on Table 2, tree species affect more or less the germination of ancient forest species and the development of biodiversity (Thomaes et al., 2011). Table 2 is an illustration of the different species with high biological potential.


Table 2 : Main forest species and their biological potential

Species	Biological potential				
	Very high	High	Medium	Low	Uninformed
Birch	X				
Hornbeam				X	
Oak	X				
Maple		X			
Ash		X			
Beech	X				
Chestnut					X
Cherry	X				
Hazel				X	
Poplar		X			
Robinia					X
Aspen		X			

Clevenot L., 2015 from Larrieu and Gonin, 2008; Thomaes et al., 2011; Penone et al., 2012; Nielsen et al., 2014 ; Utorovia et al., 2014; Paganova et al., 2015; Swoczyna et al., 2015

- 36 The plant association of the whole study area mainly includes species with high biological potential, namely the maple tree (*Acer sp.*), the ash tree (*Fraxinus sp.*), the poplar (*Populus sp.*), and the aspen (*Populus tremula*), as well as very high biological potential such as the silver birch tree (*Betula sp.*), the oak tree (*Quercus sp.*), the beech tree (*Fagus sp.*), and the wild cherry tree (*Prunus sp.*). Though no species with average biological potential has been recorded over the whole study area, on the other hand the plant association does include two species with low biological potential, the hornbeam (*Carpinus sp.*) and the hazel tree (*Corylus sp.*). The chestnut tree and the locust tree are not classified according to their biological potential due to their absence from Branquart's and Liegeois's book (2005).
- 37 The analysis of the data collected by the PBI surveys shows that most of the species found in each survey have a high biological potential, the maple tree (*Acer sp.*) or the ash tree (*Fraxinus sp.*) being for instance present in respectively 83% and 54% of the surveys. Most often, *Acer campestre* L. is more or less typical of pioneer or ruderal lands (Utorovia et al., 2014). Functional traits of this kind of tree are characteristic of anthropogenic land covers. The oak tree (*Quercus sp.*) and the wild cherry tree (*Prunus sp.*), which have a high biological potential, are present in respectively 40% and 31% of the surveys. The results show a balance between the sections of the infrastructures passing through the land extension and those passing through the grounds of the park.
- 38 The data from the PBI surveys is also used for comparing the distribution of the forest species identified on the rail and water infrastructures of the study area in terms of biological potential (Fig. 8). The aim of this study being to show if there is a positive role of the linear transport infrastructures on biodiversity, we will only focus on the species with a high and very high biological potential.

Figure 8 : Map of biological potential values related to woody species identified along the study area



Clevenot L., 2015 ; from ECOTER, 2013

Conclusion/Discussion

- 39 Although included in a important departmental network of greenways, the forest park La Poudrierie is located in a dense urban environment. It is an established reservoir of biodiversity owing to the time continuity of its tree plantation and the various natural heritage protection labels that it has received. Both transport infrastructures run for 2.5 km through the park offering a structural continuity of landscape, which the study of aerial and on-site photographs tends to show. Data to which the Potential Biodiversity Index has been applied, and resulting from the surveys conducted along the linear transport infrastructures edges, both within the grounds of the park and beyond the park across the land extension, show that, in this case, these infrastructures edges have the capacity to harbour average biodiversity. Thereby, a comparison with other similar sites is necessary in order to be able to completely affirm the positive role that LTI edges can have on biodiversity. The tree plantation of the forest park being older than that studied along both transport infrastructures passing through the land extension, the various elements resulting from the study tend to confirm the hypothesis that the edges of these linear transport infrastructures play a positive role in the development of biodiversity. So, these infrastructures and their edges can be seen as potential corridors which can be integrated into the blue and green ecological-network projects. And the denser the urban fabric, the more important the role played by infrastructures.
- 40 If we answer to the question: why choose the Potential Biodiversity Index ? It is well known that various managers of LTIs need awareness in order to apply best practices in green areas of their networks (Tomaes et al., 2011). As mentioned by Larrieu and Gonin (2008), studies concerning biodiversity are necessarily multi-disciplinary since

biodiversity implies the study of "genes, individuals, demes, metapopulation, species, communities, ecosystems, and the interactions between the various entities." (Lidermayer and Franklin, 2002). As explained by Machado (2004), a study based on a single criterion would make the results incomplete. While the study of biodiversity requires a multicriteria approach (Du Bus de Warnaffe and Devillez, 2002), the evaluation based on the comparison of the natural value with the reference value, as suggested by Du Bus de Warnaffe and Devillez (2002), requires using floristic inventories with recovering of the vegetative strata as well as volume inventories, but which were not available in the study area. Given that this study focuses on the habitats favourable to biodiversity, a study of the landscape diversity would have led to incomplete results. The use of a specific or generic indicator of biodiversity would have been impossible due to the limited time and funding allotted for this study and the expertise that it requires. Besides, this method includes "nostalgic references" in its evaluation criteria, namely "hypothetical ecosystem which would have developed had there been no human interaction restraining its development" (Du Bus de Warnaffe and Devillez, 2002). This reference cannot be taken into account in an area such as that studied, due to the juxtaposition in time and space of the various modes of management. We provide another argument that local patches and fragmented habitats may have positive effects on biodiversity (Ethier and Fahrig, 2011; Pellissier et al., 2012; Nielsen et al., 2014; Potter, 2015).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Baker L., Brazel, A., Byrne L., Felson A., Grove M., Hill H., Nelson K.C., Walker J., Shandas V., 2007, "Effects of human choices on characteristics of urban ecosystems", *Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America*, Vol.88, 404-409

Blanusa T., Fantozzi F., Monaci F., Bargagli R., 2015, "Leaf trapping and retention of particles by holm oak and other common tree species in Mediterranean urban environments", *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, Vol. 14, 1095-1101

Bouget C., 2007, "Enjeux du bois mort pour la conservation de la biodiversité et la gestion des forêts", *Rendez-Vous Techniques*, Office National des Forêts, Vol. 16, 55-59

Bowers K., Boutin C., 2008, "Evaluating the relationship between floristic quality and measures of plant biodiversity along stream bank habitats", *Ecological Indicators*, Vol. 8, 466-475

Brown G.P., Phillips B.L., Webb J.K., Shine R., 2006, "Toad on the road: use of roads as dispersal corridors by cane toads (*Bufo marinus*) at an invasion front in tropical Australia", *Biology Conservation*, Vol. 133, 88-94.

Brustel H., 2001, "Coléoptères saproxyliques et valeur biologique des forêts françaises. Perspectives pour la conservation du patrimoine naturel". PHD Thesis, Toulouse, Institut national polytechnique, 327 p.

Byrne L.B., Bruns M.A., Chung Kim K., 2008, "Ecosystem properties of urban land covers at the aboveground-belowground interface", *Ecosystems*, Vol.11, 1065-1077.

- Clergeau, P., & Blanc, N., 2013, *Trames vertes urbaines : De la recherche scientifique au projet urbain*, Paris, Éditions du Moniteur. 339p.
- Cornelissen J.H.C., Lavorel S., Garnier E., Diaz E., Buchman N., Gurvich D.E., Reich P.B., Ter Steege H., Morgan H.D., Van derHeijden M.G.A., Pausas J.G., Poorter H., 2003, "A handbook of protocols for standardised and easy measurement of plant functional traits worldwide", *Australian Journal of Botany*, Vol.51, 335-380.
- De Bello F., Lavorel S., Diaz S., Harrington R., Cornelissen H.C., Bardgett R.D., Berg M.P., Cipriotti P., Feld C.K., Hering D., Martins da Silva P., Potts S.G., Sandin L., Sousa J.P., Storkey J., Wardle D.A., Harrison P.A., 2010, "Towards an assessment of multiple ecosystem processes and services via functional traits", *Biodiversity Conservation*, Vol.19, 2873-2893.
- De Wet A.P., Richardson J., Olympia C., 1998, "Interactions of land-use history and current ecology in a recovering urban wildland", *Urban Ecosystems*, Vol.2, 237-262.
- Di Battista T., Fortuna F., Maturo F., 2016, "Environmental monitoring through functional biodiversity tools", *Ecological Indicators*, Vol. 60, 237-247.
- Du Bus de Warnaffe G., Devillez F., 2002, "Quantifier la valeur écologique des milieux pour intégrer la conservation de la nature dans l'aménagement des forêts : une démarche multicritère". *Annals of Forest Science*, Vol. 59, 369-387.
- Dupouey J-L., Dambrine E., Laffite J.D., Moares C., 2002, "Irreversible impact of past land use on forest soils and biodiversity", *Ecology*, Vol.88, 2978-2984.
- ECOTER, 2013, *Parc départemental du Sausset - Plan de gestion 2012-2022*. Conseil général de Seine-Saint-Denis, 120p, URL : http://parcsinfo.seine-saint-denis.fr/IMG/pdf/Liste_PlanGestionOk/4_9_1.pdf
- Emberger C., Larrieu L., Gonin P., 2013, *Dix facteurs clés pour la diversité des espèces en forêt. Comprendre l'Indice de Biodiversité Potentielle (IBP)*. Technical document, Paris.
- Ethier K., Fahrig L., 2011, "Positive effects of forest fragmentation, independent of forest amount, on bat abundance in eastern Ontario, Canada", *Landscape Ecology*, Vol.26, 865-876.
- Ferris R., Humphrey J.W., 1999, "A review of potential biodiversity indicators for application in British forests", *Forestry*, Vol.72, 313-328.
- Foo C.H., 2016, "Linking forest naturalness and human wellbeing-A study on public's experiential connection to remnant forests within a highly urbanized region in Malaysia", *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, Vol.16, 13-24.
- Foster J., 2014, "Hiding in plain view: vacancy and prospect in Paris' Petite Ceinture", *Cities*, Vol.40, 124-132.
- Garcia-Garcia M-J., Sanchez-Medina A., Alfonso-Corzo E., Gonzalez Garcia C., 2016, "An index to identify suitable species in urban green areas", *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, Vol.16, 43-49.
- Girard P-S., 1831, *Mémoires sur le canal de l'Ourcq & la distribution de ses eaux*. Paris, Carillan-Goeury.
- Gosselin M., Laroussinie O., 2004, *Biodiversité et gestion forestière : connaître pour préserver. Synthèse bibliographique*. Antony, Cemagref.
- Gosselin M., Valadon A., Berges L., Dumas Y., Baltzinger C., Archaux F., 2006, *Prise en compte de la biodiversité dans la gestion forestière : état des connaissances et recommandations*, Nogent-sur-Vernisson, Cemagref.
- Grimm N.B., Faeth S.H., Golubiewski N.E., Redman C.L., Wu J., Bai X., Briggs J.M., 2008, "Global change and the ecology of cities", *Science*, Vol.319, 756-760.

- Grove S.J., 2002, "Saproxylic insect ecology and the sustainable management of forests", *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, Vol.33, 1-23.
- Haaland C., Van den Bosch C.K., 2015, "Challenges and strategies for urban green-space planning in cities undergoing densification: a review", *Urban forestry & urban greening*, Vol.14, 760-771.
- Hobbs R.J., Arico S., Aronson J., Baron J.S., Bridgewater P., Cramer V.A., Epstein P.R., Ewel J.J., Klink C.A., Lugo A.E., Norton D., Ojima D., Richardson D.M., Sanderson E.W., Vallares F., Villa M., Zamora R., Zobel M., 2006, "Novel ecosystems. Theoretical and management aspects of the new ecological world order", *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, Vol.15, 1-7.
- Jackson N.D., Fahrig L., 2011, "Relative effects of road mortality and decreased connectivity on population genetic diversity", *Biology Conservation*, Vol.144, 3143-3148.
- Kolström M., Lumatjärvi J., 2000, "Saproxylic beetles on aspen in commercial forests: a simulation approach to species richness", *Forest Ecology and Management*, Vol.126, 113-120.
- Larrieu L., Gonin P., 2008, "L'Indice de Biodiversité Potentielle (IBP) : une méthode simple et rapide pour évaluer la biodiversité potentielle des peuplements forestiers". *Revue Forestière Française*, Vol.06, 727-748.
- Larrieu L., Gonin P., Deconchat M., 2012, "Preliminary results of implementation at wide scale of a taxonomic biodiversity indicator: the potential biodiversity index (PBI)". Presented at 2. *International conference on biodiversity in forest ecosystems and landscapes*, IUFRO, 2012, Cork, URL: <http://prodinra.inra.fr/record/167874>
- Lidermayer B., Franklin J-F., 2002, *Conserving forest biodiversity; a comprehensive multiscaled approach*. Reykjavik, Island Press.
- Lundström J., 2008, *Biodiversity in young versus old forest*. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences Uppsala, No.6, URL : <http://www.slu.se/pagefiles/7441/introduktionsuppsats.pdf>
- Machado A., 2004, "An index of naturalness", *Journal of Nature Conservation*, Vol. 12, 95-110.
- Marcadet C., Roulet A., Delmas V., Chafiol L., Deniaud G., Marques E., 2011, *Document d'objectifs Natura 2000 FR1112013-Sites de la Seine-Saint-Denis*. Conseil General de Seine-Saint-Denis, Bobigny. URL: http://parcsinfo.seine-saint-denis.fr/IMG/pdf/docob_reves.pdf
- Museum national d'Histoire naturelle (MNHN) [Ed]. 2015, "L'inventaire ZNIEFF" in *Inventaire National du Patrimoine Naturel*, URL : <https://inpn.mnhn.fr/programme/inventaire-znieff/presentation>
- Morgenroth J., Östberg J., Konijnendijk van den Bosch C., Nielsen A.B., Hauer R., Sjörmann H., Chen W., Jansson M., 2016, "Urban tree diversity – Taking stock and looking ahead", *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, Vol.15, 1-5.
- Nielsen A.B., van den Bosch M., Maruthaveeran S., van den Bosch C.K., 2014, "Species richness in urban parks and its drivers: a review of empirical evidence", *Urban Ecosystems*, Vol.17, 305-327.
- Paganova V., Macekova M., Bakay L., 2015, "A quantitative analysis of dendrometric data on *Sorbus domestica* L. phenotypes for urban greenery", *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, Vol. 14, 599-606.
- Pech P., 2013, *Les milieux rupicoles*. Versailles, Quae.

Pellissier V., Cohen M., Boulay A., Clergeau P., 2012, "Birds are also sensitive to landscape composition and configuration within the city centre", *Landscape and Urban Planning*, Vol. 104, 181-188.

Penone C., 2012, *Fonctionnement de la biodiversité en ville : contribution des dépendances vertes ferroviaires*. Ph.D. Thesis, Paris, Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle.

Penone C., Machon N., Julliard R., Le Viol I., 2012, "Do railway edges provide functional connectivity for plant communities in an urban context?", *Biological Conservation*, Vol. 148, 126-133.

Porter E., Forschner B., Blair R., 2001, "Woody vegetation and canopy fragmentation along a forest-to-urban gradient", *Urban Ecosystems*, Vol.5, 131-151.

Potter C., 2015, "A case study of forest and woodland habitat loss to disturbance and development in an ex-urban landscape: Santa Clara County, California 1999-2009", *Current Urban Studies*, Vol.3, 18-24, URL:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/cus.2015.31003>

Pouyat R.V., Pataki D.E., Belt K. T., Groffman P.M., Hom J., Band L.E., 2007, "Effects of urban land-use change on biogeochemical cycles" in: Canadell J.G., Pataki D.E., Pitelka L.F. (ed.), *Terrestrial ecosystems in a changing world*. Berlin, Springer, 55-78.

Ranta P., 2008, "The importance of traffic corridors as urban habitats for plants in Finland", *Urban Ecosystems*, Vol.11, 149-159.

Savard J-P., Clergeau P., Mennechez G., 2000, "Biodiversity concepts and urban ecosystems". *Landscape and Urban Planning*, Vol.48, 131-142.

Scheffers B.R., Paszkowski C.A., 2013, "Amphibian use of urban stormwater wetlands: The role of natural habitat features". *Landscape and Urban Planning*, Vol. 113, 139-149.

Schwartz A., Turbé A., Julliard R., Simon L., Prévot A-C., 2014, "Outstanding challenges for urban conservation research and action", *Global Environmental Change*, Vol. 28, 39-49.

Seiler A., 2001, *Ecological effects of roads. A review*. Uppsala, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.

Sokol E.R., Brown B.L., Carey C.C., Tornwall B.M., Swan C.M., Barrett J.E., 2015, "Linking management to biodiversity in built ponds using metacommunity simulations", *Ecological Modelling*, Vol. 296, 36-45.

Swoczyna T., Kalaji H.M., Pietkiewicz S., Borowski J., 2015, "Ability of various tree species to acclimation in urban environments probed with the JIP-test", *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, Vol.14, 544-553.

Thomaes A., De Keersmaecker L., De Schrijver A., Vandekerckhove K., Verschelde P., Verheyen K., 2011, "Can tree species choice influence recruitment of ancient forest species in post-agricultural forest?", *Plant Ecology*, Vol. 212, 573-584.

Utorovia Y.N., Khapugin A.A., Silaeva T.B., 2014, "About ecology of *Acer campestre* L. (Aceraceae) on North-Eastern limit of the range". *Environment and Ecology Research*, Vol. 2, 8-13.

Vallauri D., Grel A., Granier E., Dupouey J.L., 2012, *Les forêts de Cassini. Analyse quantitative et comparaison avec les forêts actuelles*, Rapport WWF/INRA, Marseille, URL: http://www.foretsanciennes.fr/wp-content/uploads/Vallauri_et_al_2012.pdf

Vergnes A., Kerbirou C., Clergeau P., 2013, "Ecological corridors also operate in an urban matrix: a test case with garden shrews", *Urban Ecosystems*, Vol. 16, 511-525.

Williams J.W., Jackson S.T., 2007, "Novel climates, no-analog communities and ecological surprises", *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, Vol. 5, 475-482.

Winter S., Möller G.C., 2008, "Microhabitats in lowland beech forests as monitoring tool for nature conservation", *Forest Ecology and Management*, Vol.255, 1251-1261.

Yang G., Xu J., Wang Y., Wang X., Pei E., Yuan X., Li H., Ding Y., Wang Z., 2015, "Evaluation of microhabitats for wild birds in a Shanghai urban area park", *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, Vol.14, 246-254.

Zhang B., Xie G-D., Gao J-X., Yang Y., 2014, "The cooling effect of urban green spaces as contribution to energy-saving and emission-reduction: a case study in Beijing, China". *Building and Environment*, Vol.76, 37-43.

ABSTRACTS

Recent studies have emphasised the increasing impact, both negative and positive, of Linear Transport Infrastructures (LTIs) on biodiversity. A significant body of scientific and technical knowledge is more and more available to help guide restoration practices concerning especially urban areas. This study explores a comprehensive approach to estimating restoration potential along the LTI edges in the Greater Paris region (in France) in terms of potential biodiversity. Our work consists in inventorying and analysing the Potential Biodiversity Index, PBI, on sites along two LTIs crossing a forest in the northern part of this district. The study concerns a Natura 2000 area, La Poudrerie Park. A total of 84 surveys were carried out corresponding to the 33 hectares surveyed. We studied sites along the LTIs. For this purpose, we chose 84 sites in the traffic corridors. Biodiversity parameter evaluations were performed for each site. These parameters are related to functional traits that make it possible to determine the Potential Biodiversity Index (PBI). These site assessments by PBI may reveal the positive impact of the LTI edges in developing biodiversity. We may establish that there is a positive impact of LTIs edges for ecological restoration and revegetation in an urban context.

Des travaux scientifiques récents démontrent l'impact croissant, à la fois négatif et positif des Infrastructures Linéaires de Transport (ILT) sur la biodiversité. Un ensemble de connaissances scientifiques et techniques significatives permettent d'offrir les moyens pour améliorer les pratiques de renaturation en particulier en contexte urbain. Cet article présente un indicateur permettant d'évaluer le niveau potentiel de renaturation le long des emprises des ILT dans l'aire du Grand Paris (France) en termes de biodiversité potentielle. Notre travail consiste à analyser les composantes d'un Indice de Biodiversité Potentielle (IBP) sur des sites étudiés le long de deux ILT - ferroviaire et voie d'eau - qui traversent la forêt de la Poudrerie, située au nord-est du Grand Paris, forêt appartenant au site Natura 2000 du département de Seine-Saint-Denis. Un total de 84 relevés ont été effectués sur les 33 ha concernés le long des ILT. Les paramètres étudiés sur ces 84 sites visent à connaître la biodiversité potentielle à travers des traits fonctionnels en vue de définir l'IBP. Ces évaluations permettent de révéler les impacts positifs sur la biodiversité par les emprises des ILT. Ceci permet d'émettre l'hypothèse que les emprises des ILT peuvent avoir un effet positif en matière de renaturation en contexte urbain.

INDEX

Keywords: biodiversity indicator, nature conservation, environmental assessment, greenway, urban transportation

Mots-clés: indicateur de biodiversité, conservation de la nature, évaluation environnementale, trames vertes, transport urbain

AUTHORS

LAURA CLEVENOT

Ladyss, Laboratoire des dynamiques sociales et recomposition des espaces, UMR 7533, France

Mail : lauraclevenot@gmail.com

CÉDISSIA DE CHASTENET

Ville de Paris, France

NATHALIE FRASCARIA

ESE, Laboratoire d'Ecologie Systématique et Evolution, UMR 8079, France

PHILIPPE JACOB

Ville de Paris, France

RICHARD RAYMOND

Ladyss, Laboratoire des dynamiques sociales et recomposition des espaces, UMR 7533, France

LAURENT SIMON

Ladyss, Laboratoire des dynamiques sociales et recomposition des espaces, UMR 7533, France

PIERRE PECH

Ladyss, Laboratoire des dynamiques sociales et recomposition des espaces, UMR 7533, France