

# Dynamic electronic institutions in agent oriented cloud robotic systems

Vineet Nagrath, Olivier Morel, Aamir Malik, Naufal Saad, Fabrice Meriaudeau

# ► To cite this version:

Vineet Nagrath, Olivier Morel, Aamir Malik, Naufal Saad, Fabrice Meriaudeau. Dynamic electronic institutions in agent oriented cloud robotic systems. SpringerPlus, 2015, 8, pp.3 - 3. 10.1186/s40064-015-0810-4 . hal-01457943

# HAL Id: hal-01457943 https://hal.science/hal-01457943

Submitted on 9 Feb 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# METHODOLOGY

**Open Access** 

# Dynamic electronic institutions in agent oriented cloud robotic systems

Vineet Nagrath<sup>1\*</sup>, Olivier Morel<sup>1</sup>, Aamir Malik<sup>2</sup>, Naufal Saad<sup>2</sup> and Fabrice Meriaudeau<sup>1</sup>

# Abstract

The dot-com bubble bursted in the year 2000 followed by a swift movement towards resource virtualization and cloud computing business model. Cloud computing emerged not as new form of computing or network technology but a mere remoulding of existing technologies to suit a new business model. Cloud robotics is understood as adaptation of cloud computing ideas for robotic applications. Current efforts in cloud robotics stress upon developing robots that utilize computing and service infrastructure of the cloud, without debating on the underlying business model. HTM5 is an OMG's MDA based Meta-model for agent oriented development of cloud robotic systems. The trade-view of HTM5 promotes peer-to-peer trade amongst software agents. HTM5 agents represent various cloud entities and implement their business logic on cloud interactions. Trade in a peer-to-peer cloud robotic system is based on relationships and contracts amongst several agent subsets. Electronic Institutions are associations of heterogeneous intelligent agents which interact with each other following predefined norms. In Dynamic Electronic Institutions, the process of formation, reformation and dissolution of institutions is automated leading to run time adaptations in groups of agents. DEIs in agent oriented cloud robotic ecosystems bring order and group intellect. This article presents DEI implementations through HTM5 methodology.

**Keywords:** Dynamic electronic institutions; Cloud robotics; Model driven engineering; Cloud computing; Peer-to-peer system; Business model

### Introduction

### A note to practitioners

Cloud computing is a business model for the internet. A typical scenario of cloud computing has a serving party that offers its infrastructure, platform or software resources to one or many clients across the network cloud. Cloud service businesses charge their clients based on the quality and volume parameters chosen as and when required by the client. Service contracts, banking and administrative mechanism created the trust envelop that made cloud computing business model a success. When we move the ideas of cloud computing to robotics, there are two kinds of adaptations that will take place. The first kind of adaptation will involve direct modification of cloud services to suit robotic applications while the second kind of adaptation will be on the lines of social and business ideas represented by cloud computing. We

\*Correspondence: vineet.nagrath@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire Le2i, UMR CNRS 6306, Le Creusot 71200, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

believe that this second kind of adaptation will require special tools and development methodologies. Cloud robotic entities include all robotic and non-robotic entities that collectively build a cloud robotic service ecosystem. Using software agents to represent cloud robotic entities will require minimal changes in the way those entities are independently developed by various vendors. Agents are also ideal for implementing social and business concerns of a cloud robotic entity. HTM5 (5 View Hyperactive Transaction Meta Model) is a 5-view meta-model for model driven development of agent oriented cloud robotic systems. The trade view of HTM5 promotes peer-to-peer exchange of services based on relationships and contracts between participating agents. Agents are autonomous entities with personal goals that may make them greedy in their interactions with other agents. Dynamic Electronic Institutions are modelled on the ideas of Institutions in Human societies. Norms based on trade contracts, social relationships and institutions bring a sense of order in multi agent systems. The aim of



© 2015 Nagrath et al.; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

this article is to test feasibility of HTM5 methodology in implementing Dynamic Electronic Institutions.

#### Background

Cloud computing is a relatively new business model for the Internet. NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology- United States) defines cloud computing as "a pay-per-use model for enabling available, convenient, on-demand network access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources that can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management effort or service provider interaction." Cloud computing does not introduces a new computing or network technology but as a business model it remoulds the way existing technologies are used. Decreasing cost of internet connectivity and cheaper internet enabled devices has further improved the feasibility of cloud computing as a business model. Robotic researchers and engineers soon realized the benefits of cloud computing in robotics. Cloud based storage and processing expanded functionalities while carrying a minimal set of hardware on-board. Emergence of cloud robotics from cloud computing can be seen as a twofold development. The more visible development is direct modification of current cloud based services for robotic applications. Cloud robotics is a comprehensive term used to describe infrastructure, platform or software as a service for robots, internet enabled robotics, utilisation of search engines by robots and use of internet for communication between robots. These developments are making an impact in the way robotic systems are designed using cloud based tools but not much is done towards developing cloud robotics as a business model.

Development of cloud robotics as a business model will require new tools and methodologies. It is essential to develop methodologies that are industry and business oriented. The cloud robotic methodologies should go one step further to include models that incorporate concepts like Distributed Artificial Intelligence (DAI) (Stone and Veloso 2000), registry based service discovery and automated matchmaking mechanism. Many of the services offered by a robot to other robots will have a physical world component. A robot's physical reach will determine the scope of the physical services offered by it and any business model for a robotic ecosystem should include provisions to model factors that codify physical world interactions. A cloud robotic ecosystem will also include many non-robotic entities. These entities could range from ambient intelligence to server banks. In theory any device that can communicate through a network could be included as a working component of a cloud robotic system. The communication networks that collectively build the cloud could be of different kinds and visible in selective physical regions. A methodology that allows modelling of these non-robotic devices, networks and interfaces will give a complete design toolset to designers of cloud robotic systems. Figure 1 shows a typical cloud robotic ecosystem with robotic and non-robotic entities. A design methodology for cloud robotic ecosystem should provide tools to model all physical and theoretical aspects of these systems. Key theoretical elements of a cloud robotic ecosystem would be its network structure, event driven behaviour, social interactions, norm driven peer-to-peer trade, micro level competitions and dynamically regulating collaboration (Weiss 1999). A usable agent



oriented cloud robotic methodology could concentrate only on the implementational design aspects and system requirement capture. The reason why a metamodel with tools to include DAI is useful is because as the systems become more robust and extensive, it will require mechanism to implement some level of intelligence. Theoretically such intelligence can be implemented at the running code level irrespective of the methodology used to envision the system. The decision to include DAI friendly elements in all three layers of the design (computation independent, platform independent and platform specific layers) makes the system more suitable for researchers as well as engineers who will develop the DAI concepts on agent oriented cloud robotic system.

An agent oriented approach towards cloud robotics has some distinct advantages. A typical cloud robotic entity will have a manufacturer with a personalized development methodology for its product. The manufacturer would typically like to hide the internal designs of its product and thus the business that deploys that entity may have limited or no access to the internal software framework of the entity. The business will also want to keep its business logic hidden from the outside world and even from sections of their own workforce. "Software Agents are computational entities with specific roles and personal objectives working in a visible environment with other entities which may have dissimilar roles and objectives" (Jennings and Bussmann 2003). Using a software agent to represent cloud entities does not interfere with manufacturer's development methodology. Agents are closed autonomous systems that have an internal logic framework that communicates with the outside world via messages. Unlike objects in an object oriented methodology, Agents do not release details of their functionalities and do not allow direct execution of their functions by other agents. Agents thus are by design ideal for implementing a secure business logic. Multi-agent systems (Luck et al. 2003, 2005; Wooldridge 2002) are also ideal for implementing intelligent concepts like Distributed Artificial Intelligence (DAI) (Stone and Veloso 2000) and digital business ecosystem (Discussed in Section 2, 2). An agent based approach is idea for systems with dynamic participation of entities in an open (Hungate and Gray 1995) peer-to-peer service exchange.

Object Management Group's Model Driven Architecture (OMG-MDA) (OMG 2003) is a prevalent industrial standard for development of software Meta-models for complicated systems. A model is a set of valid comments about a system and a Meta-model is a set of valid comments about a model (Seidewitz 2003). Model Driven Engineering (MDE) develops system models with high level of abstraction, without much emphasis on implementational details. MDE is ideal for Systems where the overall idea of a system (and not its implementation) is more important at initial stages of development. More than one models for the same system may be made to separate system concerns. These multiple models are called views of the system and the practice is known as multi-view methodology (Finkelstein et al. 1992). Software Product Line Engineering (SPLE) (Clements and Northrop 2001; Pohl et al. 2005; Weiss and Lai 1999) is an encouraged practice in software industry to produce reusable system components and models. Methodologies which comply with OMG-MDA and SPLE standards have higher industrial acceptance. OMG-MDA has a three layer architecture where layers vary in their level of abstraction and target audience. Figure 2 shows the three layers of a typical OMG-MDA meta-model with Computation Independent (CIM), Platform Independent (PIM) and Platform Specific (PSM) models at different layers. Computation Independent, Platform Independent and Platform Specific layers of OMG-MDA cater to different stakeholders in the development life cycle. Computation Independent or Platform Independent models may be supported by a Domain Specific language of same layer abstraction. The Domain Specific Language can be executed to generate automated Model to Model and Model To Text (Code) transformations. A Domain Specific Language (DSL) is often built to support a Meta-model. Code written in a DSL is used to codify designs in a programming language that has a domain specific syntax based on a particular Meta-model. DSL code can be made executable by writing compiler functions to generate Model to Model and Model to Text transformations. These transformations are essential as they allow a new Meta-model to be translated into existing Models/Languages.

The 5-view Hyperactive Transaction Meta-Model (HTM5) is a domain specific Meta-model for agent oriented development of cloud robotic systems. HTM5 is based on OMG-MDA and has the prescribed three layer architecture as shown in Figure 2. The 5 views and 4 hyperactivity sub-views in HTM5 (Figure 3) separate view-specific concerns in all three layers. The Platform independent and platform specific layers of HTM5 are component level layers and are developed in two phases. The first phase creates class component templates for individual agent components which are then developed by various entity manufacturers in second phase of development. HTM5 also has a Machine Descriptor Model (HTM5-MDM) that models machine (host hardware or software entity) represented by an agent component. Topmost layer in HTM5 has a set of Computation Independent graphical models named Agent Relation Charts (ARCs). The term Hyperactivity in HTM5 corresponds to provisions that allow deviations from ideal Agency as and when required. Hyperactivity may be used to give certain Agents, an object like characteristics by releasing their autonomy for specific associated Agents. HTM5 follows



multi-view meta-modelling methodology and has 5 separate views to capture structural, relational, trade, hyperactivity and behavioural elements of the design. Some components of HTM5 Meta-model were introduced in earlier publications (Nagrath et al. 2013a, 2013b). The trade-view of HTM5 promotes peer-to-peer trade amongst software agents. HTM5 agents represent various cloud entities and implement their business logic on cloud interactions. Trade in a peer-to-peer cloud robotic system is based on relationships and contracts amongst several agent subsets. Dynamic Electronic Institutions (Section 2) are modelled on the ideas of Institutions in Human societies. Norms based on trade contracts, social relationships and institutions bring a sense of order in multi agent systems. The aim of this article is to test feasibility of HTM5 methodology in implementing Dynamic Electronic Institutions. For the benefit of the reader, we will elaborate aspects of HTM5 relevant to the subject matter of the current article.

A view by definition is a representation of the system with concerns specific to a particular stakeholder. In a

multi view model it is essential that the designer should have a clear idea about the scope of a particular view. Trade view of HTM5 is for modeling the trade logic in a cloud robotic ecosystem. HTM5 proposes a Peer-to-peer service oriented trade mechanism managed by *Relation* agents. For a system designer, it is important to specify the norms and default trade relationships in a cloud robotic system. Agent transactions in cloud robotic systems follow the cloud computing business logic, but unlike current cloud robotic systems, these transactions are driven by decentralized *Relation* agents. The Trade view of HTM5 targets to capture the following design elements of an agent oriented cloud robotic ecosystem:

- 1. **Names** and **Relative Locations** of the following *Trade* elements in a cloud robotic ecosystem.
  - (a) Components (*Agent, Relation* or *Merge*) that are involved in Trade.
  - (b) Items in Trade.
  - (c) Data entities associated with Trade items.



- 2. The following **Information** about the above entities:
  - (a) Associations between Trade items and Components.
  - (b) Nature of association between a Trade item and a Component:
    - (i) Item is a Demand by a Component.
    - (ii) Item is a Service provided by a Component.
  - (c) Entities associated with a Trade item:
    - (i) Components that provide the item as a service.
    - (ii) Components which demand the item.
    - (iii) Data entities which are associated with Trade of the item.
    - (iv) The Components (Generally *Relations*) that are hosting and managing those data entities.
  - (d) Nature of various Data entities:

- (i) Is it a Lookup table?
- (ii) Is it a cost metric?
- (iii) Is it a management variable?
- 3. Following **Functionalities** should exclusively go in the Relational view classes of various components:
  - (a) Localization: Locating one's position in different transactions.
  - (b) Identifying relationships associated with a particular trade item.
  - (c) Implementing relationship norms associated with a trade item.
  - (d) Implementation of Business logic of a Component (Functionality related to business concerns of a particular HTM5 Component).
  - (e) Implementation of Business logic of the system (Functionality related to business concerns of the cloud robotic system).
  - (f) Calculating readjustments in relationship norms based on business logic.
  - (g) Communicating desired readjustments to relational view classes.

- (h) Maintaining data entities associated with a trade item.
- (i) Reading and updating of remotely hosted data entities associated with a trade item.
- (j) Generating triggers for Trade Hyperactivity sub-view class. (Initiation, management or finalization of a Hyperactive link).

## **Dynamic electronic institutions**

Human societies are amalgamation of several norm based institutions that give order to otherwise random interactions. Institutions are structures based on mutual incentives based on predefined contracts. Social, Political and economic institutions represents the norms of a society and interactions of its members. Institutes establish standardization in response from a member entity which in absence of an institution is free to act solely for its own benefit. Institution helps in controlling the greediness of individual entities and brings order to a system (North 1996). Electronic Institutions are a relatively new field where the concept of human institutions is extended to Multi Agent Systems (MAS) (Luck et al. 2003, 2005; Wooldridge 2002) and Distributed Artificial Intelligence (DAI) (Stone and Veloso 2000). Some early attempts towards the use of organizational metaphors for system modelling systems were presented in (Pattison et al. 1987; Werner 1989). The first approach towards electronic institutions was given in (Noriega 1999) where an abstract notion agent-mediated electronic institution was introduced for the first time. These institutions are described as environments where agents are interacting with other agents under predefined restrictions. An institution is specified by a set of pre-defined norms that restrict actions of its member agents. The idea of an electronic institution is very open and various groups (Aldewereld et al. 2005; Dignum 2004; López ) are working on this problem with different perspectives. Electronic institutions require limited human intervention for institution design phase. In open agent systems, it is necessary to automate the design phase of institutions.

The term *Dynamic Electronic Institutions* first appeared in the roadmap for agent technology (Luck et al. 2003). Dynamic Electronic Institutions are Electronic Institutions where formation, reformation and dissolution of institutions are automated processes. The norms and objectives of institutionalization are dynamically adapting to the needs of its member agents. Figure 4 shows the 3-F life cycle of an institution proposed in recent works (Muntaner-Perich and Rosa Esteva 2007; 2008). Re-Formation and Re-Foundation processes are also incorporated in the 3-F life cycle.

• Formation: Agents with similar objectives come together to form a coalition. A coalition is usually not

- Re-Formation: Re-formation is the process of reconfiguring a coalition. A reformation may be triggered by change in coalition membership or a change in parameters responsible for coalition formation.
- Foundation: The member agents in a coalition choose a candidate Institution to form. The norms of the candidate institution are based on collective views of individual members of the coalition. Once the target institution is selected, the coalition goes through institutionalization to form an institute of the selected type. This step presents a lot of challenges as selection of the kind of institution and maintenance of institution-base requires a well-defined strategy. In theory, any strategy that assigns an institute to a group of agents (based on their collective decision parameters) qualifies as a *Foundation Logic*.
- Re-Foundation: Re-foundation is the process of reconfiguring an institution. A reconfiguration may be triggered by a change in environment variables or a foundation-timeout value set by *Foundation logic* (at the time of institution foundation).
- Fulfilment: The member agents in an institute dissolve into individual free agents when the institute completes all its objectives. An institute may also fulfil when triggered by a fulfilment-timeout value set by *Foundation logic* (at the time of institution foundation). Like foundation, fulfilment is also a challenging logic to device. The decision may be based on weighted percentage of collective goals of member agents, or time elapsed since institution foundation / re-foundation. *Fulfilment logic* is usually devised at foundation state when the institute candidate is selected by *Foundation logic*.

## Dynamic electronic institutions in cloud robotics

There are many application domains where Dynamic Electronic Institutions (DEIs) are applicable. In agent oriented cloud robotics, DEIs are applicable in tasks involving a contract based cooperation amongst adhoc teams. Collaboration between agents which were not originally programmed to work together is examples of Ad-Hoc teams. Common scenarios where DEIs are used are Ad-Hoc mobile networks, B2B (Business to Business) electronic commerce and OOTW (operations other than war) scenario. For the current article we will concentrate on B2B electronic commerce as a scenario for agent oriented cloud robotic system. Following the 3F life cycle in B2B electronic commerce,



following analogy was proposed by (Muntaner-Perich and Rosa Esteva 2007, 2008).

- A Digital B2B electronic commerce ecosystem: Agent Community
- A Business Opportunity: *Coalition*
- Digital Business Ecosystem (DBE): Dynamic Institution

The aim of the DEI scenario mentioned above is to find new opportunities to exchange services amongst member agents. Formation of a coalition represents a viable service exchange (a business opportunity). When the member agents agree upon a set of norms to execute the service exchange, it is represented as foundation of an institution. Figure 5 shows an example where DBE is applied to an agent oriented cloud robotic ecosystem. Agents are independent software entities that represent a robotic/nonrobotic entity in cloud robotic ecosystem. Individual cloud entities may have different hardware and software setup. Each of these entities may have a business owner and set of offered services. Some online server banks may have a number of contributors which build up a resource (like algorithms and other internet resources) which is then offered as a service to other entities through cloud. An open registry and matchmaking service allows peer-topeer trade of these services in cloud robotic ecosystem. The network cloud itself may have many private and public networks, some of which may be owned by businesses that allows their use as a service. Banking super agents (Agents with special access norms) allow actual transfer of money between agents. Other super agents may be present to enforce law, quality, communication and trade standard over the agent community. Development life cycle of cloud robotic products may differ from vendor to vendor. Business model of organisations that deploy these products may also differ. Individual entities may enter or exit the ecosystem dynamically and their behaviour may change with time. An approach based on representative agents ensures that heterogeneity in business logic, design methodology and implementation of these products is respected. An approach based on representative agents ensures that heterogeneity in business logic, design methodology and implementation of these



products is respected. In a real life scenario, it may be required to have a minimal human involvement in the 3F life cycle (see Figure 4). A proposal by (Muntaner-Perich and Rosa Esteva 2007; 2008) advocates a 7 step life cycle where human decision makers are involved at two stages to validate business opportunities detected by the DBE system.

Steps of the DBE lifecycle (Muntaner-Perich and Rosa Esteva 2007; 2008):

- 1. Search of opportunities (Formation Logic)
- Analysis of opportunity by business owner (Validation I, Optional)
- 3. Coalition establishment (Formation and Re-Formation Phase, Re-formation will require re-validation)
- 4. DBE selection (Foundation Logic)
- 5. Acceptation by business owner (Validation II, Optional)
- 6. DBE establishment (Foundation and Re-Foundation Phase, Re-foundation will require re-validation)
- 7. DBE Finalization (Fulfilment Phase)

## **Dynamic electronic institutions in HTM5**

In the previous section we saw the steps in a DBE lifecycle and its applicability in the cloud robotic

domain. HTM5 (Nagrath et al. 2013a, 2013b) is OMG-MDA (OMG 2003) based meta-model for development of agent oriented peer-to-peer cloud robotic systems (See Section 2). The meta-model is designed to provide tools to implement advance distributed Artificial Intelligence (DAI) designs in a cloud robotic ecosystem. In this section we discuss the tools and anatomical elements of HTM5 that are utilized to implement a Digital Business Ecosystem based on Dynamic Electronic Institution.

Figure 6 shows an example of a Dynamic Electronic Institution with two institutions. The HTM5 methodology allows for special agents called *Relations* that maintain the relationships between groups of agents in a relationship. The sample project Sandbox is a Digital Business Ecosystem example with two institution seeds. Institutes (Relation Agents) manage trade within an institute and host service and cost lookup tables along with other trade data items. Social and business logic of an Institute is implemented at relation agents. Detailed description of ARCs and HTM5 anatomy can be found at (Nagrath et al. 2012, 2013a, 2013b). The above example locates elements of Digital Business Ecosystem in a HTM5 based implementation. Section 2 of this article presents a complete case study of DBE implemented using HTM5 methodology.

For the example shown in Figure 6, the relations are designed to act as Institution seeds. No anatomical change



is required in HTM5 to use HTM5 relation construct as Institution seeds. An institution between groups of agents can be seen as a special kind of relationship. In HTM5, the norms and relationship variables of a relationship are hosted and managed by the *Relation* agent. When HTM5 is used to implement Dynamic Electronic Institutions, the variables, formation and foundation logic may be hosted in institution seeds (which are relation agents). For ease of implementation, an institution may be implemented as two separate agents. In Figure 6, the Manager agent along with InsA relation and M4 merge are all hosted at one machine. It is possible to implement the logic of all three components (Manager, InsA and M4) onto one agent but separation and placement of machine functionalities into agent, merge and relation specific parts is encouraged in HTM5. In Figure 6 Part I of the figure is a full ARC diagram of the Sandbox system while part II is its normalized version. There is multiple numbers of Miner and Trader agents in the system. Part III models trade dependencies in Sandbox cloud ecosystem. Peer-to-Peer trade relationships exists between members of a relationship (Here relationships are modelled

as Institutions). The Trade-Agent Relation Chart (Trade-ARC) that defines the following trade relationships and dependencies between members of *Sandbox* cloud robotic ecosystem.

- 1. Trade **Search Space** is a service provided by *Trader* to *Manager* and assigns a search space in the mine for the *Manager*.
- Trade Sub Search Space is a service provided by Manager to Miners and assigns a section of the search space (allocated to the Manager) to the Miner.
- 3. Trade **Sub Space Hit** is the notification service from the *Miner* agent when it detects the target mineral. This service is a demand at *Manager* agent.
- 4. Trade **Initial coordinates** locates the found mineral in the mine field. This service is a demand at *Trader* agent.
- 5. Trade **Miner Salary** is the payment that a *Miner* agent receives in exchange of the mineral locations it delivers to the *Trader* agent. The service is a demand at the *Bank* agent which transfers the amount from *Trader's* to *Miner's* account.

- 6. Trade **Cargo** is a service by the *Trader* agent to the *Market* where it sells the acquired mineral locations.
- 7. Trade **Cargo Payment** is the payment that a *Trader* agent receives in exchange of the mineral locations (Cargo) it delivers to the *Market* agent. The service is a demand at the *Bank* agent which transfers the amount from *Market's* to *Trader's* account.
- Demand Lookup Table Miner ID | Salary is a lookup table to get the desired salaries (Trade: Miner Salary) by individual *Miner* agents.
- Demand Lookup Table Mineral | Price is a lookup table to get the prices of different minerals (Trade: Sub Space Hit) by individual *Trader* agents. A *Miner* agent chooses its target mineral based on the current price of minerals.
- Demand Cost Metrice Mined Area Pc. Is a trade variable to check the percentage of mine's area that is already explored. This is a metrice to know when to allocate a new search space (Trade: Sub-Search Space) to individual *Miners*.
- 11. Service Lookup Table **Trader ID** | **Cargo** is for the trade **Cargo Payment** and is used by the *Market* agent to initiate cargo payments.
- 12. Institution InsA is an institution between one Manager agent, one relation InsB, one Bank agent and Nm Miner agents. The institution manages the allocation, reallocation and management of mine spaces for individual Miners and allows for dynamic

```
updating of a Miner's asking salary based on inputs
from Bank (Miner's current bank balance) and
Market Server (updated prices of different minerals).
```

13. Institution InsB is an institution between one Market agent, one relation InsA, one Bank agent and Nt Trader agents. The institution manages the allocation, reallocation and management of Cargo items for individual Traders and allows for dynamic updating of a Trader's asking price for individual minerals based on inputs from Bank (Trader's current bank balance) and President Machine (updated percentage of Mined area).

### **Case study**

The case study for the use of HTM5 methodology in developing a Dynamic Electronic Institution based Digital Business Ecosystem was executed in two phase. In the first phase computer simulations using VisuaBOT (VisualBots Simulator 2014) and VBA (Visual Basic for Applications 2014) were developed for conducting various experiments on the agent colony. Economic comparisons made between agent colonies working with and without dynamic electronic institutions. In the second phase, a scaled down version of the experiments performed on the simulations were implemented on five physical robots (TurtleBOTs ). The principle motivation for these case study experiments is to show HTM5's feasibility in implementation of a Dynamic Electronic Institution based





cloud robotic ecosystem. This section discusses the system design, experiments, results and key observations. HTM5 is proved to be a usable methodology for this implementation since the experiments were performed using anatomical and design constructs prescribed by HTM5. Figure 7 show some instances from the simulated experiments. Figure 7 Part I shows a colony of BOTs (Agents) randomly moving in an environment. There are seed BOTs which are not moving and they act as the open ended joining point for other BOTs to form a coalition or an institution. Figure 7 Part II shows some of the seeds in Formation phase (3F life cycle) while some of the groups have formed a coalition and are now in Foundation phase. Figure 7 Part III shows formation of eight Institutions of different cardinality and type. Some of the groups are in Formation or Re-Formation phase. In going from Part III to Part IV two institutions have moved to Re-Foundation phase while one of the institutes (of Type I in Figure 7 Part III) has finalized freeing its member BOTs. All simulations are run with different experimental conditions and against different Market trends. When institutions are not allowed to be formed, the groups come together without setting up a set of norms and the dissolve as soon as a minimal number of member BOTs are unhappy with the current market direction/trend.

ARC designs, analysis of experimental results and a scaled down version of simulation experiments on physical TurtleBOTs is presented in Figures 8 and 9. Figure 8 Part I, II show the physical TurtleBOT robots on which the scaled down versions of the experiments were performed. The Agent Relation Charts for Simulated and physical experimental setup is shown in Figure 8 Part III, IV. Due to a lower number of physical robots, the physical experiments were based on location based institution seeds. This is unlike the simulated experiments where institution seeds are assigned to agents and not their parking locations. Some run time videos of the simulation experiments and experiments on the physical TurtleBOT robots

are available at (Dynamic Electronic Institutions Digital Business Ecosystem and Peer to Peer Cloud Robotics Simulation Videos 2014).

Institution election and Maintenance of Institution Database: In the foundation phase of the 3F life cycle of a Dynamic Electronic Institution, member agents of a coalition negotiate amongst themselves to choose a type of institution. The mechanism can be implemented in many ways of which Case Based Reasoning and Meta-Institute approach are most common (Muntaner-Perich and Rosa Esteva 2007). For our experiments we have adopted a mixed approach where every Institute in the institute-base (a database of institutions) has a feature vector (a sequence of variables) that specifies the financial and social parameters of a particular institution. When an agent is defined, it has its own feature vector that specifies financial and social parameters that an agent is happy with. In the foundation (or re-foundation) phase (See Figure 4), the feature vectors of all the member agents of a collation are combined to form a mean feature vector. The mean feature vector is compared with the feature vectors



of all the institutes in the institution base and the institute whose feature vector is closest to the mean feature vector is chosen for institution formations. Any institution with high performance is given additional weightage in the institution database. The feature vectors of institutions and the list of institutions in the database is thus dynamically adapting to the market values and profit trends. The mechanism is explained diagrammatically in Figure 10.

Figure 8 Part V shows the variation in control parameters for the 15 experiments. Figure 9 shows the control variables and results of these experiments in a tabular form. The control variables are: (1) Number of BOTs (agents) in the agent colony, (2) number of seed BOTs, (3) the time for which an experiment is allowed to run and (3) variation in market values (Figure 11 Part V shows one of the 15 different market variation patterns used for the experiments). Figure 8 Part VI to XI and Figure 9 are graphical and tabular representations of outputs of these experiments.

colony of which 15 are presented as this case study.

Variability in experiment control variables: We performed a number of different experiments on the agent

#         #BOT         #Each         Fordit         Profit/BOT         Profit/BOT         Profit/BOT         Profit/BOT         Advantage           1         33         20         5000         8         33000         9779:61         6479:51         1963.578         980861.         65066.00         2003.0936         1152           3         33         20         10000         100         33000         97731.1         643191         1949.063         98164.1         65164.00         1974.8604         848           5         66         20         10000         174         33000         97319.1         643199         1131         1349.063         98164.1         65164.00         1974.6694         848           6         66         20         10000         174         6600         97302         11309         274.378         10343.33         2233.21212         5515         12.377           8         66         8         5000         71         66000         97372         -5627         1056.131         3493.35253.257.7         11         99         12         5000         12         912         5000         329920         1302.27         -356.1313         94826         -4174							NO Institute					w			
1       33       20       5000       8       33000       97759.1       64579.1       1956.942       99102.1       66102.0       2003.0936       152         3       32       10000       10       33000       97579.1       64579.1       1956.942       99102.1       66102.0       2003.0936       152         4       33       12       5000       174 33000       9759.1       64319.1       1949.063       9816.1       65164.09       1974.4694       844         5       6       20       5000       63       6600       96172       30754       456.97       98513       32515       492.65112       177         6       66       20       5000       63       6600       9147.1       13147       147.4559       98551.3       343       3.5257.3       551.2147.1         7       66       12       2000       62       6600       79002       13902       210.6364       98739.3       3273.89       493.837.81       124         9       62       5000       12       9000       6374.3       32527.3       356.131       9426       41174       42.16162       3108.38         12       99       12 <t< th=""><th>#</th><th>#BOT</th><th>#Seed</th><th>EoW</th><th>Rand#</th><th>Bnk t0</th><th>Bnk EoW</th><th>Profit</th><th></th><th>Profit/E</th><th>OT</th><th>Bnk EoW</th><th>Profit</th><th>Profit/BOT</th><th>Advantage</th></t<>	#	#BOT	#Seed	EoW	Rand#	Bnk t0	Bnk EoW	Profit		Profit/E	OT	Bnk EoW	Profit	Profit/BOT	Advantage
2       33       12       5000       58       3000       97571.1       64572.1       1956.942       99102.1       66102.09       2000.00.00.00.00.966       113         4       33       12       5000       174       3000       97571.1       64319.1       1940.65       98164.1       65164.09       1974.6694       844         5       66       20       5000       17       6000       97575.1       30736       465.697       98515       32515       492.65152       177.7         6       66       20       5000       76       666.00       941.01       313.14       474.9559       98593.1       3255.90       93.8347       124.47         9       66       12       2000       10       99000       93377       5623       56.79798       98651       -349       -35.25253       52.7         11       99       12       5000       13       99000       63743       -35257       -36.131       94826       4174       42.1616.2       108.42.20       108.20       -38.83       412.9       -43.34434       183.43         14       99       12       5000       72.99000       82.738       -162.62       198.42.6	1	33	20	5000	8	33000	97798.1	6479	98.1	1963.	578	98086.1	65086.09	1972.305	8 288
3       3       20       10000       100       33000       96960.1       63960.1       1938.185       9833.1       6533.00       1974.6644       841         5       66       20       5000       174       33000       97319.1       64319.1       1949.063       98164.1       65164.09       1974.6644       841         6       6       20       5000       17       66000       96756       3075       29675       449.6212       101192       35192       33.21112       5511         7       66       12       20000       82       66000       97947.1       13147.1       474.3758       100344       34343.73       520.35955       162347.7         8       66       8       5000       10       99000       93377       5622       56.79798       98651       349       -35.2523       52.7         11       99       12       5000       13       99000       5324       -3861       -3111       107.89899       436         12       99       12       5000       72       99000       6573       226       22.90909       98570       -332       -3.232323       15942         13       99	2	33	12	5000	58	33000	97579.1	6457	79.1	1956.	942	99102.1	66102.09	2003.093	6 1523
4       33       12       5000       174       33000       97319.1       64319.1       1949.063       98164.1       65164.00       1974.6694       844         5       66       20       10000       17       66000       95675       29675       496.612       101192       35122       533.1212       551.1         7       66       12       25000       63       66000       97347.1       31347.1       474.9559       98593.1       32253.09       493.8347       124.1         9       66       12       20000       82       66000       97347.1       31347.1       474.9559       98593.1       32253.09       493.8347       124.1         9       66       12       20000       10       99000       93377       5523       56.7978       98561       349       3.52573       557.71         11       99       12       50000       13       99000       65742       -2266       -22.90909       9870       4430       4.34343       183         14       99       12       5000       72       99000       82738       -166.262       98800       -320       3.232323       15942       1596       20       1005	3	33	20	10000	100	33000	96960.1	6396	50.1	1938.	185	98335.1	65335.09	1979.851	2 1375
5       66       20       5000       9       66000       9675       29675       449.6212       101192       33519       533.2112       5511         7       66       12       20000       82       66000       97347.1       31347.1       474.9559       9859.1       32593.0       493.8347       1124         9       66       12       20000       82       66000       79902       13002       210.6364       98739.9       32573.98       496.0584       483.78         10       99       12       5000       13       99000       95820       -3180       -32.12121       100781       1781       17.989899       496.0584       483.78         11       99       20       5000       13       99000       65732       -2268       22.9009       98570       -430.44343       183         14       99       12       5000       72       99000       82738       -16262       -164.262       98600       -320.323232       1050.13         1056       220       24405       10000       85 99000       8810       -10150.12       -238.75       -24027.78       10150.13         1056       220       24405       10000	4	33	12	5000	174	33000	97319.1	6431	19.1	1949.	063	98164.1	65164.09	1974.669	4 845
6       66       20       10000       17       66000       95675       29675       449.6212       101192       33122       533.1212       5511         7       66       12       20000       63       66000       97347.1       31347.1       474.9559       98593.1       32593.0       493.8347       1214         9       66       12       20000       82       66000       97347.1       31347.1       474.9559       98593.1       349       352523       557.7         11       99       12       5000       13       9000       63743       35257       356.131       94826       -4174       42.16162       3108         13       99       8       5000       65       9000       8673       -22.20909       98570       -430       -3.3223       1564         14       99       12       5000       27       99000       82738       -16262       164.262       9860.1       -39.875       -0.402778       10150.11         1056       220       24.05        308645       42539.20       -200278       10150.11         1056       220       24.05       569778       134.835.09       0.7150072       <	5	66	20	5000	9	66000	96736	30	736	465.	697	98515	32515	492.6515	2 1779
7       66       12       25000       63       66000       97147.1       118109       274.3788       100344       343437       320.35955       162347       124.74         8       66       8       5000       71       66000       97347.1       31347.1       474.9559       98593.1       32533.09       493.8347       124.74         9       66       12       20000       10       99000       97347.1       31347.1       474.9559       98551       -349       433.837       124.71         10       99       12       5000       13       9900       63743       -35257       -56.131       94826       -4117       44.16162       31081         13       99       8       5000       65       99000       8373       16262       -164.2626       98600       -320       -3.23232       1594         1056       220       26.05       0       308645       425539.2       101801       -39.875       0.40278       101501         1056       220       26.05       0.75101273       102.94       0.631091       44637.79       1851.2       16221       3.107.2       2.708058         1056       220       26.058	6	66	20	10000	17	66000	95675	29	675	449.6	212	101192	35192	533.2121	2 5517
8       66       8       5000       71       66000       97347.1       31347.1       474.9559       98593.1       32539.99       493.8347       124         9       66       12       20000       82       66000       79902       13902       210.6364       98739.9       32739.89       496.05894       18837.85         11       99       12       5000       13       99000       95820       -3180       -32.12121       100781       1781       17.989899       496.         12       99       12       5000       65       99000       66733       -2268       -2209909       9870       -430       -3.232323       1594.         15       99       20       10000       85       99000       88810       -10190       -102.9293       98960.1       -39.875       -0.402778       10150.1         1056       220       2E405       0       308645       420539.2       116893.3         1056       220       2E405       0       0.6781474       423.98       426.4215.99       -0.6781484       425.99       10.6781474       423.434       1301.2       2.708058         1056       220       2E405       0.06781474       1	7	66	12	25000	63	66000	84109	18	109	274.3	788	100344	34343.73	520.3595	5 16234.73
9       66       12       20000       82       66000       79902       13902       210.6364       98739.9       3273.89       96.05894       1883.78         10       99       12       5000       13       99000       93377       -5623       -56.7978       98651       -349       -3.525253       527         11       99       12       5000       32       99000       63743       -35257       -356.1313       94826       -4174       42.16162       3108         13       99       12       5000       72       99000       82738       15626       -164.2626       98680       -320       -3.232323       1594.         15       99       20       10000       85       99000       88810       -10190       -102.9293       98960.1       -3.98.75       -0.402778       10150.1         1056       220       2E405        308645       42539.2       116893.       42539.2       116893.         1056       220       2E405        308645        42539.2       11683.       120.42.748         1056       220       2E405        308645       406.85       4043.13       1301.2	8	66	8	5000	71	66000	97347.1	3134	17.1	474.9	559	98593.1	32593.09	493.834	7 1246
10       99       12       5000       10       99000       93377       -5623       -56.79798       98651       -349       -3.52523       5277         11       99       12       5000       13       99000       63743       -35257       -356.1313       94866       -4174       -42.16162       3108         13       99       12       5000       65       99000       63743       -35257       -356.1313       94866       -4174       -42.16162       3108         14       99       12       5000       72       99000       8278       -16622       -164.2626       96680       -320       -3.23233       1594         15       99       20       0000       85       99000       88810       10190       -102.9293       98960.1       -39.875       -0.402778       116893.3         1056       220       2405       1       308645       4406.85       24034.3       13017.2       2.7080556         45       2007.1       90319.5       1435.09       0.7150072       5       4616.99       23085       126201       2.864279         97       5432.29       526932       659.382       0.12138       122.717 <td< td=""><td>9</td><td>66</td><td>12</td><td>20000</td><td>82</td><td>66000</td><td>79902</td><td>139</td><td>902</td><td>210.6</td><td>364</td><td>98739.9</td><td>32739.89</td><td>496.0589</td><td>4 18837.89</td></td<>	9	66	12	20000	82	66000	79902	139	902	210.6	364	98739.9	32739.89	496.0589	4 18837.89
11       99       20       5000       31       99000       95820      3180       -32.12121       100781       17.98       17.989899       4306         12       99       12       50000       32       99000       63743       35257       -356.1313       9486       -4174       42.16162       3108         14       99       12       5000       72       99000       82738       -16262       -164.2626       98680       -320       -3.232323       1594.         15       99       20       10000       85       99000       88738       -16262       -164.2626       98680       -320       -3.232323       1594.         1056       220       2E+05         1050       -10190       -10190       -102.923       98960.1       -3.9875       -0.402778       10150.17         1056       220       2E+05         1056.220       2E+05        42539.2       -11184.1       10150.12       420539.2       -12183       1060.5       1302.04       2.6832       7.574.087       13017.2       2.7080558       465.92       2005.1       1302.04       0.6351091       4       4637.79       18551.2       15217.6<	10	99	12	5000	10	99000	93377	-50	623	-56.79	798	98651	-349	-3.52525	3 5274
11       99       12       50000       65/73       -53/73       -35/257       -356.131       94826       -41/4       -4.2.16162       3108         13       99       12       5000       65/9000       96732       -2268       -220909       98570       -4330       -4.33434       1813         15       99       20       10000       85       99000       88810       -10190       -102.9293       98960.1       -39.875       -0.402778       10150.1         1056       220       2£405        308645        425539.2       116893.3         Wol histitute       With listitute         #5et       Time/Set       Time       Profit/Set       Profit/Time       #5et       Time/Set       700717       2       708055         45       2007.1       90319.5       1435.09       0.7150072       5       4606.89       22035       13220.4       2.863427       2.708055         45       2007.1       90319.5       1435.09       0.7150072       5       4616.99       23085       13220.4       2.863427       2.708054       13262.4       2.7678       86649       7259.45       0.75401987         63       1607.5	11	99	20	5000	13	99000	95820	-3	180	-32.12	121	100781	1781	17.98989	9 4961
13       99       8       5000       65       99000       86732       -22.028       -22.9099       99870       -3.232323       15942         14       99       12       5000       72       99000       82738       -16262       -164.2626       98680       -320       -3.232323       15942         1056       220       2£+05        308645       425539.2       116893.3         1056       220       2£+05        308645       425539.2       116893.3         30       3184.88       9556.4       2159.94       0.6781845       5       4806.85       24034.3       13017.2       2.7080552         97       5432.29       526332       659.382       0.121382       9       9627.67       86649       7259.45       0.75401983         63       1607.5       101273       1020.94       0.6351091       4       4637.79       18551.2       16291       3.51266925         124       1218.76       151126       247.871       0.2033796       11       4338.83       4822.1       2955.91       0.6742754         200       2580.58       567728       134.886       0.0522698       19       8482.91       16175       <	12	99	12	50000	32	99000	63743	-35	257	-356.1	313	94826	-4174	-42.1616	2 3108:
14       99       12       3000       72       99000       82738       -10190       -102.9293       98860.1       -320       -3.2323.3       10594.1         15       99       20       10000       85       99000       88810       -10190       -102.9293       98860.1       -39.875       -0.402778       10150.11         1056       220       2E+05       Image: Second Sec	13	99	8	5000	65	99000	96/32	-2	268	-22.90	909	98570	-430	-4.34343	4 1838
13       99       20       10000       88       10190       102.5.93       39860.1       233.673       -0.402778       10130.11         1056       220       2E+05       0       308645       425539.2       116893.1         Image Set Time / Fortix/Set Profit/Time if Set Time/Set Time/Set Time/Set Time/Set Time/Set Time/Set Time/Set Profit/Set Profit/Time         45       2007.1       90319.5       1435.09       0.7150072       5       4616.99       23085       13220.4       2.8634275         97       5432.29       526932       659.382       0.121382       9       9627.67       86649       7259.45       0.75401983         63       1607.5       1012.73       1020.94       0.6551091       4       4383.83       48222.1       2955.91       0.67427548         202       2580.58       567728       134.886       0.0522698       19       8482.91       161175       1852.21       0.2134612         803       288.59       231738       22.5517       0.0781444       41       1076.09       441197       837.652       0.07784218         40       296.117       11844.7       783.677       2.6465122       11       1413.73       15551       2963.01       2.0958982	14	99	12	10000	12	99000	82/38	-16	100	-164.2	020	98680	-320	-3.23232	10150.11
1056         220         2445         308645         425539.2         116893.1           1056         220         2445         1         308645         425539.2         116893.1           1056         220         2445         1         Profit/Set         Prof	15	99	20	10000	65	99000	88810	-10.	190	-102.9	295	98960.1	-59.875	-0.40277	10150.13
NO Institute       With Institute         #Set       Time/Set       Time       Profit/Set       Pro		1056	220	2E+05				308	645				425539.2		116893.7
#Set       Time/Set       Time/Set       Time/Set       Time/Set       Time/Set       Time/Set       Time/Set       Profit/Set       Profit/		NO Institute With Institute													
30       3184.88       9546.4       2159.94       0.6781845       5       4806.85       24034.3       13017.2       2.7080558         45       2007.1       90319.5       1435.09       0.7150072       5       4616.99       23085       13220.4       2.8634275         97       5432.29       526932       659.382       0.121382       9       9627.67       86649       7259.45       0.75401983         63       1607.5       101273       1020.94       0.6351091       4       4637.79       18551.2       16291       3.51266922         124       1218.76       151126       247.871       0.2033796       11       4383.83       48222.1       2955.91       0.67427548         200       2580.58       567728       134.886       0.522698       19       8482.91       161175       1852.21       0.21834613         40       296.117       11844.7       783.677       2.6465122       11       1413.73       15551       2963.01       0.2958792         1362       128.332       174788       10.207       0.079536       53       3285.38       174125       617.734       0.18802506         412       24.1437       18318.1       12.7717				#Set	Time/Se	et Time	Prof	it/Set P	Profit	/Time	#Set	Time/Set	Time	Profit/Set P	rofit/Time
45       2007.1       90319.5       1435.09       0.7150072       5       4616.99       23085       13220.4       2.8684274         97       5432.29       526932       659.382       0.121382       9       9627.67       86649       7259.45       0.75401983         63       1607.5       101273       1020.94       0.6351091       4       4637.79       18551.2       16291       3.51266925         120       2580.58       567728       134.886       0.0522698       19       8482.91       161175       1852.21       0.2183611         803       288.59       231738       22.5517       0.0781444       41       10760.9       441197       837.652       0.07784218         40       296.117       11844.7       783.677       2.6465122       11       1413.73       15551       2963.01       2.09587982         1362       128.332       174788       10.207       0.0795363       53       3285.38       174125       617.734       0.18802506         442       41.4437       18318.1       -12.7217       -0.30664       17       82.6364       1404.82       -0.0257988         1297       223.237       289538       -27.1835       -0.12177				30	3184.8	88 955	646.4 21	59.94	0.67	81845	5	4806.85	24034.3	13017.2	2.7080558
37       \$432.29       \$52932       \$659.382       \$0.121382       9       \$9627.67       \$86643       7259.45       \$0.75401983         63       1607.5       101273       1020.94       0.6351091       4       4637.79       18551.2       16291       3.51266925         124       1218.76       151126       247.871       0.2033796       11       4383.83       4822.11       2955.91       0.67427548         200       2580.58       567728       134.886       0.0522698       19       8482.91       161175       1852.21       0.21834612         40       296.117       11844.7       783.677       2.6465122       11       1413.73       15551       2963.01       2.09587982         1362       128.332       174788       10.207       0.0795363       53       3285.38       174125       617.734       0.18802506         442       41.4437       18318.1       -12.7217       -0.306964       17       82.6364       1404.82       -20.5294       -0.24843062         187       590.862       110491       -17.0053       -0.028781       21       3340.16       70143.3       84.8095       0.02539089         1297       223.237       289538       -2				45	2007	.1 903	19.5 14	35.09	0.71	50072	5	4616.99	23085	13220.4	2.8634279
663       1607.5       101273       1020.94       0.6351091       4       4637.79       18551.2       16291       3.51266925         124       1218.76       151126       247.871       0.2033796       11       4383.83       48222.1       2955.91       0.6742754         200       2580.58       567728       134.886       0.0522698       19       8482.91       161175       1852.12       0.21834612         400       296.117       11844.7       783.677       2.6465122       11       1413.73       15551       2963.01       2.09587982         1362       128.332       174788       10.207       0.0795363       53       3285.38       174125       617.734       0.18802506         442       41.4437       18318.1       -12.7217       -0.306964       17       82.6364       1404.82       -0.5294       -0.24843062         187       590.862       110491       -17.0053       -0.028781       21       3340.16       70143.3       84.8095       0.02539089         1297       223.237       289538       -27.1835       -0.12177       79       94826       7491254       -52.8354       -0.0057318         1815       22.671       185.93       120				97	5432.	29 52	6932 659	9.382	0.1	21382	9	9627.67	86649	7259.45	0.75401987
124       1218.70       151126       247.81       0.2033796       11       4383.83       46222.1       2535.51       0.0747794         220       2580.58       567728       134.886       0.0522698       19       8482.91       161175       1852.21       0.21834612         803       288.59       231738       22.5517       0.0781444       41       10760.9       441197       837.62       0.07784218         40       296.117       11844.7       783.677       2.6465122       11       1413.73       15551       2963.01       2.09587982         1362       128.332       174788       10.207       0.0795363       53       3285.38       174125       617.734       0.18802506         442       41.4437       18318.1       -12.7217       -0.306964       17       82.6364       1404.82       -0.5294       -0.24843062         187       590.862       110491       -17.0053       -0.028781       21       3340.16       70143.3       84.8095       0.02539085         1297       223.237       289538       -27.1835       -0.12177       79       94826       7491254       52.8354       -0.0057518         41       180.29       7391.89       55.317				124	1210	.5 10	12/3 10	20.94	0.63	22706	4	4037.79	18551.2	2055.01	3.51266925
220       2580.35       567725       134.866       0.0522036       19       8462.31       161175       1852.21       0.071834614         803       288.59       231738       22.5517       0.0781444       41       10760.9       441197       837.652       0.07784218         40       296.117       11844.7       783.677       2.6465122       11       1413.73       15551       2963.01       2.09587982         1362       128.332       174788       10.207       0.0795363       53       3285.38       174125       617.734       0.18802506         442       41.4437       18318.1       -12.7217       -0.3066964       17       82.6364       1404.82       -20.5294       -0.24843062         187       590.862       110491       -17.0053       -0.028781       21       3340.16       70143.3       84.8095       0.0055718         1297       223.237       289538       -27.1835       -0.12177       79       94826       7491254       -52.8354       -0.0055718         41       180.29       7391.89       -55.3171       -0.306823       13       664.417       8637.42       -33.0769       -0.04978338         1185       22.671       2685.1       <				124	1218.		7720 120	1.8/1	0.20	33796	10	4383.83	48222.1	2955.91	0.01427548
003       280.39       25173       72.3317       0.0761444       41       1010.37       44119       637.532       0.07764216         40       296.117       11844.7       783.677       2.6465122       11       1413.73       15551       2963.01       2.09587982         1362       128.332       174788       10.207       0.0795363       53       3285.38       174125       617.734       0.1802506         442       41.4437       18318.1       -12.7217       -0.306964       17       82.6364       1404.82       -0.25294       -0.24843062         187       590.862       110491       -17.0053       -0.028781       21       3340.16       70143.3       84.8095       0.02539085         1297       223.237       289538       -27.1835       -0.12177       79       94826       7491254       -52.8354       -0.0055718         41       180.29       7391.89       -55.3171       -0.306823       13       664.417       8637.42       -33.0769       -0.04978336         1185       22.671       26865.1       -13.7232       -0.60532       21       224.765       4720.07       -15.2381       -0.06779566         647       185.93       120297 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>220</td><td>2000.</td><td>50 22</td><td>1720 134</td><td>5517</td><td>0.03</td><td>22098</td><td>19</td><td>10760.0</td><td>101175</td><td>937 652</td><td>0.07794012</td></t<>				220	2000.	50 22	1720 134	5517	0.03	22098	19	10760.0	101175	937 652	0.07794012
1362       1203.11       11044.9       103.07       1000111       11       111011       1203.011       11       1203.011       11       1203.011       11044.9       1203.011       11044.9       1203.011       11044.9       1203.011       11044.9       1203.011       11044.9       1203.011       11044.9       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       11044.8       1203.011       1104.8       1203.011       1114.8       1104.011       1103.011       1114       1104.9       1203.011       1114.8       1104.011       1103.011       1114.8       1104.011       1103.011       1114.8       1104.011       1114.8       1104.011       1116.8       1116.8       1116.8       1116.8       1116.8       1116.8       1114.1				40	200.	17 115	244 7 783	3 677	2.64	65122	11	1413 73	15551	2963.01	2 09587982
442       14437       18318.1       -12.7217       -0.306964       17       82.6364       1404.82       -20.5294       -0.24843062         187       590.862       110491       -17.0053       -0.028781       21       3340.16       70143.3       84.8095       0.02539085         1297       223.237       289538       -27.1835       -0.12177       79       94826       7491254       -52.8354       -0.00055718         41       180.29       7391.89       -55.3171       -0.306823       13       664.417       8637.42       -33.0769       -0.04978338         1185       22.671       26865.1       -13.7232       -0.60532       21       224.765       4720.07       -15.2381       -0.06779568         647       185.93       120297       -15.7496       -0.084707       28       6237.08       174638       -1.42411       -0.0022833         6583       17988.6       2524196       6332.84       3.7551611       337       157391       8743388       58976.3       12.7511372         EoW: End Of World (Experiment Length in Time units)       Time: One unit of time in simulation is one iteration cycle for       all the threads of the simulation         Bank t0: Money units in bank at time 0       Bank				1362	128 3	32 17	4788 10	207	0.07	95363	53	3285 38	174125	617 734	0.18802506
187       590.862       110491       17.0053       -0.028781       21       3340.16       70143.3       84.8095       0.02539085         1297       223.237       289538       -27.1835       -0.12177       79       94826       7491254       -52.8354       -0.0005718         41       180.29       7391.89       -55.3171       -0.306823       13       664.417       8637.42       -33.0769       -0.04978338         1185       22.671       26865.1       -13.7232       -0.60532       21       224.765       4720.07       -15.2381       -0.0022833         647       185.93       120297       -15.7496       -0.084707       28       6237.08       174638       -1.42411       -0.0022833         6583       17988.6       2524196       6332.84       3.7551611       337       157391       8743388       58976.3       12.7511372         EoW: End Of World (Experiment Length in Time units)         Time: One unit of time in simulation is one iteration cycle for         all the threads of the simulation         Bank EoW: Money units in bank at time 0         Bank EoW: Money units in bank at EoW         Profit is in Money units         Advan				442	41.44	37 183	18.1 -12	7217	-0.3	06964	17	82.6364	1404.82	-20.5294	-0.24843062
1297       223,237       289538       -27.1835       -0.12177       79       94826       7491254       -52.8354       -0.00055718         41       180.29       7391.89       -55.3171       -0.306823       13       664.417       8637.42       -33.0769       -0.04978338         1185       22.671       26865.1       -13.7232       -0.60532       21       224.765       4720.07       -15.2381       -0.0022833         647       185.93       120297       -15.7496       -0.084707       28       6237.08       174638       -1.42411       -0.0022833         6583       17988.6       2524196       6332.84       3.7551611       337       157391       8743388       58976.3       12.7511372         EoW: End Of World (Experiment Length in Time units)         Time: One unit of time in simulation is one iteration cycle for all the threads of the simulation         Bank t0: Money units in bank at time 0         Bank EoW: Money units in bank at EoW         Profit is in Money units         Advantage: (Profit with Institutions) (Profit with points)				187	590.8	52 11	0491 -17.	0053	-0.0	28781	21	3340.16	70143.3	84.8095	0.02539089
41       180.29       7391.89       -55.3171       -0.306823       13       664.417       8637.42       -33.0769       -0.04978338         1185       22.671       26865.1       -13.7232       -0.60532       21       224.765       4720.07       -15.2381       -0.06779568         647       185.93       120297       -15.7496       -0.084707       28       6237.08       174638       -1.42411       -0.0022833         6583       17988.6       2524196       6332.84       3.7551611       337       157391       8743388       58976.3       12.7511372         EoW: End Of World (Experiment Length in Time units)         Time: One unit of time in simulation is one iteration cycle for all the threads of the simulation         Bank t0: Money units in bank at time 0         Bank EoW: Money units in bank at EoW         Profit is in Money units         Advertage: (Profit with Inctitutions)				1297	223.2	37 28	9538 -27.	1835	-0.	12177	79	94826	7491254	-52.8354	-0.00055718
1185       22.671       26865.1       -13.7232       -0.60532       21       224.765       4720.07       -15.2381       -0.06779568         647       185.93       120297       -15.7496       -0.084707       28       6237.08       174638       -1.42411       -0.0022833         6583       17988.6       2524196       6332.84       3.7551611       337       157391       8743388       58976.3       12.7511372         EoW: End Of World (Experiment Length in Time units)         Time: One unit of time in simulation is one iteration cycle for all the threads of the simulation         Bank t0: Money units in bank at time 0         Bank EoW: Money units in bank at EoW         Profit is in Money units         Advantage: (Profit with Institutions)				41	180.3	29 739	1.89 -55.	3171	-0.3	06823	13	664.417	8637.42	-33.0769	-0.04978338
647185.93120297-15.7496-0.084707286237.08174638-1.42411-0.00022833658317988.625241966332.843.7551611337157391874338858976.312.7511372EoW: End Of World (Experiment Length in Time units)Time: One unit of time in simulation is one iteration cycle forall the threads of the simulationBank t0: Money units in bank at time 0Bank EoW: Money units in bank at EoWProfit is in Money unitsAdvantage: (Brefit with Institutions)				1185	22.6	71 268	865.1 -13.	7232	-0.	60532	21	224.765	4720.07	-15.2381	-0.06779568
6583       17988.6       2524196       6332.84       3.7551611       337       157391       8743388       58976.3       12.7511372         EoW: End Of World (Experiment Length in Time units)         Time: One unit of time in simulation is one iteration cycle for all the threads of the simulation         Bnk t0: Money units in bank at time 0         Bank EoW: Money units in bank at EoW         Profit is in Money units				647	185.9	93 12	0297 -15.	7496	-0.0	84707	28	6237.08	174638	-1.42411	-0.00022833
EoW: End Of World (Experiment Length in Time units) Time: One unit of time in simulation is one iteration cycle for all the threads of the simulation Bnk t0: Money units in bank at time 0 Bank EoW: Money units in bank at EoW Profit is in Money units Advantage: (Profit with Institutions) (Profit with no Institutes)				6583	17988	.6 252	4196 633	32.84	3.75	51611	337	157391	8743388	58976.3	12.7511372
Time: One unit of time in simulation is one iteration cycle for all the threads of the simulation Bnk t0: Money units in bank at time 0 Bank EoW: Money units in bank at EoW Profit is in Money units	Eo	W:	End C	of Wo	rld (E	xperi	iment L	engt	h ir	n Tim	e u	nits)			
all the threads of the simulation Bnk t0: Money units in bank at time 0 Bank EoW: Money units in bank at EoW Profit is in Money units Advantage: (Profit with Institutions) - (Profit with no Institutes)	т:.		One	unit o	ftim	, in c	imulati	onic		o ito	rati	ion aval	o for		
all the threads of the simulation <b>Bnk tO</b> : Money units in bank at time 0 <b>Bank EOW</b> : Money units in bank at EoW <b>Profit</b> is in Money units Advantage: (Profit with Institutions) - (Profit with no Institutes)		ne.	Une i	unit o		e 111 5	iniulati	01115		ente	au	ion cyci	6101		
Bnk t0: Money units in bank at time 0 Bank EoW: Money units in bank at EoW Profit is in Money units	all	the	threa	ads of	the	simul	ation								
Bank EoW: Money units in bank at EoW Profit is in Money units	Bn	k tO	: Mo	ney u	nits i	n ban	k at tir	ne 0							
Profit is in Money units	Ba	nk E	EoW:	Mon	ey ur	nits in	bank a	at Eo	W						
Advantage: (Profit with Institutions) (Profit with no Institutes)	Pre	ofit	is in l	Mone	y uni	ts									
Advantage. (Profit with institutions) - (Profit with no institutes)	Ad	lvan	tage	(Pro	fit wi	th In	stitutio	ons) -	- (P	rofit	wit	h no Ir	stitute	s)	
<b>#Set:</b> Number of Coalitions (No Institutes run)	#S	et: N	Numb	per of	Coali	itions	(No In	stitu	tes	run)					
or Number of Institutes (With Institutes run)		or	Numl	ber of	- Insti	tutes	(With	Instit	tute	es rui	ר)				
ion election and maintenance of institution database	on el	ectio	n and	maint	enanc	e of in	stitution	datal	hase	<b>`</b>	-				

Run time Visualization of Market and Bank balances of agents in simulation exeriments: Figure 11 Part I shows sample graph of market values over a period of 5000 event steps. For every simulation run a unique random market pattern is generated that influences BOT behaviour. A randomiser seed is used to regenerate a particular market pattern. The results of running the experiment without institution formation are matched to the scenario where institutions can be formed. The profits of all BOTs for the market trend shown in Part I can be inspected at the end of the experiment as shown in Part II and III. The key observations from these experiments are explained in Section 2.

### **Key Observations:**

- 1. Figure 8 Part VI shows profit of the agent community is always greater when they operate with institutions. This is also visible by absence of any negative value in the Advantage column in Figure 9.
- 2. Figure 8 Part VII shows average profits of agents are always greater when they operate within institutions irrespective of the size of the agent community.

- 3. Figure 8 Part IX shows that the number of working groups is very high when agents do not operate with institutions. This is due to greedy nature on individual agents which force a working group to dissolve when their personal goals are not fulfilled. Institutions on the other hand are very low in number as they are dissolved when a collective decision is made.
- 4. Another observation from Figure 8 Part IX is that the number of working groups with institutions does not fluctuate a lot with changing market patterns (As institutes are less sensitive to minor fluctuations in market trend).
- 5. Profit per working group is mostly higher in the case of institutions (Figure 8 Part X and columns Profit per Set in Figure 8).
- 6. Figure 8 Part VIII shows that the working groups sustain for longer duration when they operate as institutions (More work hours per working group). The peak in Experiment 12 suggests that the man hours per working group go higher as experiments run for longer duration (Experiment 12 is the longest experiment).



7. With a few exceptions, an institution based ecosystem gives more profit per work hour (Figure 8 Part XI).

#### **Conclusion and future direction**

In this article we presented Dynamic Electronic Institutions (DEI) implementations in HTM5 meta-model for agent oriented development of cloud robotic systems. Digital Business Ecosystem (DBE) is one application domain of dynamic electronic institutions in cloud robotic colonies. HTM5 meta-model is designed for including distributed artificial intelligence designs on cloud robotic ecosystems. Peer to peer trade based on relationships between agents, representing heterogeneous cloud entities in the cloud using agents, an OMG-MDA based three layered design and its domain specificity makes HTM5 an ideal methodology for development of agent oriented cloud robotic systems. The case study, examples and discussions presented in the current article gives sufficient evidence that HTM5 is a feasible methodology for implementing complex trade and institution logics on a cloud robotic system. The complete HTM5 model, a domain specific language supporting HTM5 and a case study specific for peer to peer trade variability in HTM5 is currently submitted to well-known journals. The next step in this direction is to test the methodology in real life industrial projects and to improve the model by industrial feedback. A detailed user guide and a graphical design interface for HTM5 based designing is also currently under development.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was done as a part of VN's PHD studies. The initial idea for the experiment was devices collectively by the teams in France (VN, OM, FM) and Malaysia (AM, NS). The simulations were conducted at Le2i France and UTP Malaysia by VN. For the scaled down experiments on physical robots, the robotics laboratory at Le2i was used and the experiments were conducted by VN in close supervision of OM and FM. The initial draft of the manuscript was written by VN. Sequence alignment and refining was done by all authors collectively. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

The current research is being funded by the Laboratory Le2i (CNRS 6306, Le-Creusot, FRANCE), Bourgogne regional council (Regional French administration) and the Universiti Technologi Petronas (Perak, Malaysia).

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire Le2i, UMR CNRS 6306, Le Creusot 71200, France. <sup>2</sup>Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, 31750 Tronoh, Perak, Malaysia.

#### Received: 31 July 2014 Accepted: 12 January 2015 Published online: 01 March 2015

#### References

Aldewereld H, Vazquez-Salceda J, Dignum F, Meyer JJC (2005) Norm compliance of protocols in electronic institutions. In: Proceedings of the Fourth International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, AAMAS '05, ACM, New York, NY, USA. pp 1291–1292. doi:10.1145/1082473.1082738. http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1082473. 1082738

- Clements PC, Northrop L (2001) Software product lines: practices and patterns. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., Boston, MA, USA
- Dignum V (2004) A model for organizational interaction: based on agents, founded in logic. Ph.D. thesis, Universiteit Utrecht
- Dynamic Electronic Institutions Digital Business Ecosystem and Peer to Peer Cloud Robotics Simulation Videos (2014). https://sites.google.com/site/ deidbep2psimulations/
- Finkelstein A, Kramer J, Nuseibeh B, Finkelstein L, Goedicke M (1992) Viewpoints: a framework for integrating multiple perspectives in system development. Int J Software Eng Knowledge Eng 2(1):31–57. http://dblp. uni-trier.de/db/journals/ijseke/ijseke2.html#FinkelsteinKNFG92
- Hungate JI, Gray MM (1995) Conference report: application portability profile and open system environment users forum gaithersburg, md may 9-10, 1995. J Res Nat Inst Standards Technol 100(6):699–709
- Jennings NR, Bussmann S (2003) Agent-based control systems. Why are they suited to engineering complex systems?. IEEE Control Syst Mag 23(3):61–73
- López FLY (2003) Social power and norms: impact on agent behaviour. Tech.
- Luck M, McBurney P, Preist C (2003) Agent technology: enabling next generation computing (a roadmap for agent based computing). AgentLink
- Luck M, McBurne P, Shehory O, Willmott S (2005) Agent technology: computing as interaction (a roadmap for agent based computing). AgentLink
- Muntaner-Perich E, Rosa Esteva J (2007) Using dynamic electronic institutions to enable digital business ecosystems. In: Noriega P, Vzquez-Salceda J, Boella G, Boissier O, Dignum V, Fornara N, Matson E (eds). Coordination, Organizations, Institutions, and Norms in Agent Systems II, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Germany, Springer Berlin Heidelberg Vol. 4386. pp 259–273
- Muntaner-Perich E, Rosa Esteva J (2008) Towards a formalisation of dynamic electronic institutions. In: Sichman J, Padget J, Ossowski S, Noriega P (eds). Coordination, Organizations, Institutions, and Norms in Agent Systems III, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Berlin, Germany, Springer Berlin Heidelberg Vol. 4870. pp 97–109
- Nagrath V, Meriaudeau F, Malik AS, Morel O (2012) Agent relation charts (ARCs) for modeling cloud based transactions. In: Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Communication Systems and Network Technologies, CSNT '12. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA. pp 704–709. doi:10.1109/CSNT.2012.156. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/CSNT. 2012.156
- Nagrath V, Meriaudeau F, Malik A, Morel O (2013a) Introducing the concept of hyperactivity in multi agent systems. In: Communication Systems and Network Technologies (CSNT), 2013 International Conference on, conference CSNT2013. MIR Labs Thatipur, Gwalior, India. pp 542–546. doi:10.1109/CSNT.2013.117
- Nagrath V, Morel O, Malik A, Saad N, Meriaudeau F (2013b) HTM5-Trade model for relationship based trade modelling in multi agent systems. In: Science and Information Conference (SAI), 2013. pp 188–196
- Noriega P (1999) Agent mediated auctions: the fishmarket metaphor. Institut d'Investigació, en Intel- ligència Artificial
- North DC (1996) Economics and cognitive Science. Economic History 9612002, EconWPA. http://ideas.repec.org/p/wpa/wuwpeh/9612002.html
- OMG (2003) Model driven architecture (MDA) guide. OMG doc. ab/2003-06-01 http://www.omg.org/mda/
- Pattison HE, Corkill DD, Lesser VR (1987) Instantiating descriptions of organizational structures. In: MN Huhns (ed.) Distributed Artificial Intelligence, Research Notes in Artificial Intelligence, chap. 3, Pitman, 6 East 43rd Street, New York, NY, 1001, 7 USA. pp 59–96
- Pohl K, Böckle G, Linden FJvd (2005) Software product line engineering: foundations, principles and techniques. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., Secaucus, NJ, USA

Seidewitz E (2003) What models mean. IEEE Softw 20(5):26–32. doi:10.1109/MS.2003.1231147 http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MS.2003.1231147

- Stone P, Veloso M (2000) Multiagent systems: a survey from a machine learning perspective. Autonomous Robots 8(3):345–383. doi:10.1023/A:1008942012299 http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~mmv/papers/ MASsurvey.pdf
- TurtleBOTs. [Latest access on 06/11/2014 0344 Hrs] http://www.turtlebot.com/ Visual Basic for Applications (2014). [Latest access on 06/11/2014 0344 Hrs] http://excelvbatutor.com

- Weiss DM, Lai CTR (1999) Software product-line engineering: a family-based software development process. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., Boston, MA, USA
- Weiss G (1999) Multiagent Systems: A Modern Approach to Distributed Artificial Intelligence. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA
- Werner E (1989) Cooperating agents: a unified theory of communication and social structure. In: Gasser IL, Huhns MN (eds). Distributed Artificial Intelligence (Vol. II), Kaufmann, San Mateo, CA. pp 3–36
- Wooldridge M (2002) An introduction to multi-agent systems Ames, IA 50010. (Corporate Headquarters of John Wiley & Sons), USA

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup></sup><sup></sup>∂ journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com