



HAL
open science

CORR Insights (R): Early Lessons From a Worldwide, Multicenter, Followup Study of the Recalled Articular Surface Replacement Hip System

Jean-Noël Argenson

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Noël Argenson. CORR Insights (R): Early Lessons From a Worldwide, Multicenter, Followup Study of the Recalled Articular Surface Replacement Hip System. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 2016, 474 (1), pp.175-177. 10.1007/s11999-015-4550-0 . hal-01452869

HAL Id: hal-01452869

<https://hal.science/hal-01452869v1>

Submitted on 11 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



CORR Insights

CORR Insights®: Early Lessons From a Worldwide, Multicenter, Followup Study of the Recalled Articular Surface Replacement Hip System

Jean-Noel A. Argenson MD, PhD

Where Are We Now?

The development of adverse local tissue reactions (ALTRs) in patients implanted with metal-on-metal (MoM) bearing sur-

This CORR Insights® is a commentary on the article “Early Lessons From a Worldwide, Multicenter, Followup Study of the Recalled Articular Surface Replacement Hip System” by Madanat and colleagues available at: DOI: 10.1007/s11999-015-4456-x.

The author certifies that he, or a member of his immediate family, has no funding or commercial associations (eg, consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangements, etc.) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article.

All ICMJE Conflict of Interest Forms for authors and *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research®* editors and board members are on file with the publication and can be viewed on request.

The opinions expressed are those of the writers, and do not reflect the opinion or policy of *CORR®* or The Association of Bone and Joint Surgeons®.

This *CORR Insights®* comment refers to the article available at DOI: 10.1007/s11999-015-4456-x.

faces for hip arthroplasty may cause early failure or silent progression of the destructive reaction, making revision even more complex. Because of this early revision rate, the Articular Surface Replacement (ASR) system (DePuy Orthopaedics, Warsaw, IN, USA), both for resurfacing and for THA, was recalled in 2010 after 6 years of commercial use [6].

This raises a few interesting questions: How do we screen nonrevised patients in order to detect ALTRs? Should we treat such lesions by revision based on risk stratification, as recently proposed in the consensus statement of American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons, and the Hip Society [4]? This screening currently includes a combi-

nation of cross-sectional imaging, patient symptomatology and/or reported outcome, and metal ions level. The location and severity of ALTRs are important factors to be considered, as well as the interrelation with the patient outcomes.

In their current study, Malchau and colleagues examined the location of ALTRs, their severity, and the clinical consequences of using metal artifact reduction MRI and patient reported outcome scores on 288 individuals evaluated at a mean time of 6 years of either resurfacing or THA ASR. Nearly one-fourth of the nonrevised patients showed signs of moderate or severe ALTRs (pseudotumors), although they could not intraoperatively confirm this finding. Nevertheless, this incidence should be considered valid since all of the revised ASR implants showed solid pseudotumors on cross-sectional imaging, with MRI as the primary imaging modality [5].

Malchau and colleagues assessed patient MRIs for the presence and

J.-N. A. Argenson MD, PhD (✉)
Aix-Marseille University Hopital Sainte-Marguerite, BP 29, 13274 Marseille
Cedex 09, France
e-mail: jean-noel.argenson@ap-hm.fr

CORR Insights

location of ALTRs (anterior, posterior, or on both sides of the hip in axial images). However, the study authors did not examine patient MRIs for elevated metal ion levels. This is interesting because a previously published study [3] found a correlation between the presence of pseudotumors on MRI and elevated metal ion levels. On the other hand, a recently published study [1] did not find a close correlation between ion levels and the presence of pseudotumors.

Where Do We Need To Go?

The current study describes a clear relation between the location of ALTRs and surgical approach, which could have an impact on onset of symptoms either by neurovascular effect or abductor damage; this may also play a role at the time of revision planning for evaluating the best soft tissue preserving approach according to surgeon practice.

The location and severity of ALTRs found in the present study deal with one single design, therefore, the results may not be generalized to all MoM hip arthroplasty designs. Because ALTRs may lead to catastrophic joint damage, it will be important to get a better understanding of the mechanism producing this adverse reaction in a

variety of implants, as well as identify which patients may be experiencing ALTR, specifically if they are asymptomatic. A number of questions also remain regarding imaging: Which approach, ultrasound or MRI, affords the best balance of sensitivity, specificity, and cost-effectiveness? We know from this study and others [2, 7] that validated outcomes scores sometimes stay the same whether or not ALTR is present. Therefore, what is the best approach to using validated outcomes scores in patient assessment for this problem?

How Do We Get There?

Answering these questions will require large cohort studies with patients who were treated similarly, screened using the same methodological tools, and received the same implant. The authors of the present study do have the potential to drive such a study with the specific implant evaluated since, as of this writing, only 288 of the 1258 patients have been evaluated under their protocol. The involvement of the three other centers provide the possibility of using metal artifact reduction sequence protocol MRI, and will lead into a true worldwide multicenter prospective followup study. This contribution will be helpful, not only for

the specific implant evaluated in the present study, but for all MoM bearing surfaces for hip arthroplasty, which may develop ALTRs.

References

1. Bayley N, Khan H, Grosso P, Hupel T, Stevens D, Snider M, Schemitsch E, Kuzyk P. What are the predictors and prevalence of pseudotumor and elevated metal ions after large-diameter metal-on-metal THA? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2015;473:477–484.
2. Chang EY, McAnally JL, Van Horne JR, Statum S, Wolfson T, Gamst A, Chung CB. Metal-on-metal total hip arthroplasty: Do symptoms correlate with MR imaging findings? *Radiology.* 2012;265:848–857.
3. Hailer NP, Bengtsson M, Lundberg C, Milbrink J. High metal ions levels after use of the ASR device correlate with development of pseudotumors and T cell activation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2014;472:953–961.
4. Kwon YM, Lombardi AV, Jacobs JJ, Fehring TK, Lewis CG, Cabanela ME. Risk stratification algorithm for management of patients with metal-on-metal hip arthroplasty: consensus statement of the American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons, the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, and the Hip Society. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2014;96:e4.
5. Lainiala O, Reito A, Elo P, Pajamaki J, Puolakka T, Eskelinen A. Revision of metal-on-metal hip prostheses results in marked reduction of blood

CORR Insights

- cobalt and chromium ion concentrations. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2015;473:2305–2313.
6. Whitwell GS, Shine A, Young SK. The articular surface replacement implant recall: A United Kingdom district hospital experience. *Hip Int.* 2012;22:362–370.
7. Wynn-Jones H, Macnair R, Wimhurst J, Chirodian N, Derbyshire B, Toms A, Cahir J. Silent soft tissue pathology is common with a modern metal-on-metal hip arthroplasty. *Acta Orthop.* 2011;82:301–307.