

# Ice chemistry of acetaldehyde reveals competitive reactions in the first step of the Strecker synthesis of alanine: formation of HO-CH(CH3)-NH2 vs. HO-CH(CH3)-CN

Aurélien Fresneau, Grégoire Danger, Albert Rimola, Fabrice Duvernay, Patrice Theulé, Thierry Chiavassa

# ▶ To cite this version:

Aurélien Fresneau, Grégoire Danger, Albert Rimola, Fabrice Duvernay, Patrice Theulé, et al.. Ice chemistry of acetaldehyde reveals competitive reactions in the first step of the Strecker synthesis of alanine: formation of HO-CH(CH3)-NH2 vs. HO-CH(CH3)-CN. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2015, 451, pp.1649 - 1660. 10.1093/mnras/stv1033 . hal-01452290

# HAL Id: hal-01452290 https://hal.science/hal-01452290

Submitted on 1 Feb 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Ice chemistry of acetaldehyde reveals competitive reactions in the first step of the Strecker synthesis of alanine: formation of HO–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–NH<sub>2</sub> vs. HO–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–CN

Aurélien Fresneau,<sup>1</sup> Grégoire Danger,<sup>1</sup>\* Albert Rimola,<sup>2</sup> Fabrice Duvernay,<sup>1</sup> Patrice Theulé<sup>1</sup> and Thierry Chiavassa<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Aix-Marseille Université, PIIM UMR-CNRS 7345, F-13397 Marseille, France,
 <sup>2</sup>Departament de Quimica, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain

Accepted 2015 May 5. Received 2015 April 17; in original form 2015 February 18

# ABSTRACT

The understanding of compound formation in laboratory simulated astrophysical environments is an important challenge in obtaining information on the chemistry occurring in these environments. We here investigate by means of both laboratory experiments and quantum chemical calculations the ice-based reactivity of acetaldehyde (CH<sub>3</sub>CHO) with ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and hydrogen cyanide (HCN) in excess of water (H<sub>2</sub>O) promoted by temperature. A priori, this study should give information on alanine (2HN-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-COOH) formation (the simplest chiral amino acid detected in meteorites), since these reactions concern the first steps of its formation through the Strecker synthesis. However, infrared spectroscopy, mass spectrometry with HC14N or HC15N isotopologues and B3LYP-D3 results converge to indicate that an H<sub>2</sub>O-dominated ice containing CH<sub>3</sub>CHO, NH<sub>3</sub> and HCN not only leads to the formation of  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol (<sub>2</sub>HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH, the product compound of the first step of the Strecker mechanism) and its related polymers  $(_2HN-(CH(CH_3)-O)_n-H)$  due to reaction between  $CH_3CHO$  and  $NH_3$ , but also to the 2-hydroxypropionitrile (HO– $CH(CH_3)$ –CN) and its related polymers  $(H-(O-CH(CH_3))_n-CN)$  from direct reaction between CH<sub>3</sub>CHO and HCN. The ratio between these two species depends on the initial NH<sub>3</sub>/HCN ratio in the ice. Formation of  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol is favoured when the NH<sub>3</sub> concentration is larger than HCN. We also show that the presence of water is essential for the formation of HO-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-CN, contrarily to  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH whose formation also takes place in absence of H<sub>2</sub>O ice. As in astrophysical ices NH<sub>3</sub> is more abundant than HCN, formation of  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol should consequently be favoured compared to 2-hydroxypropionitrile, thus pointing out  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol as a plausible intermediate species for alanine synthesis through the Strecker mechanism in astrophysical ices.

Key words: astrochemistry – molecular data – molecular processes – comets: general.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

Numerous amino acids have been detected in meteorites (Burton et al. 2012). The chemical pathways that lead to their formation are not fully understood (Elsila et al. 2007), although the Strecker synthesis (Strecker 1854; Kendall & McKenzie 1929) is often presented as the main reaction channel (Lerner & Cooper 2005). This synthesis involves the reaction of aldehydes or ketones ( $CR_1R_2=O$ ) with ammonia ( $NH_3$ ) and hydrogen cyanide (HCN). The first step is the addition of  $NH_3$  to an aldehyde/ketone leading to the formation of the corresponding aminoalcohol ( $_2HN-CR_1R_2-OH$ ). This com-

pound is then dehydrated to form an imine (CR<sub>1</sub>R<sub>2</sub>=NH), which subsequently react with HCN/<sup>-</sup>CN to form an aminonitrile (<sub>2</sub>HN-CR<sub>1</sub>R<sub>2</sub>-CN). Finally, the nitrile is hydrated in two steps leading to the formation of the corresponding amino acid (<sub>2</sub>HN-CR<sub>1</sub>R<sub>2</sub>-COOH). These successive reactions can occur inside asteroids if conditions allow the formation of an aqueous liquid phase. Isotopic analyses of meteoritic amino acids have shown that isotopic variations are observed between amino acids (Burton et al. 2012), which suggest that amino acids can be formed from different reservoirs of precursors and/or in different environments.

Laboratory experiments have shown that several steps of the Strecker reaction can occur in conditions simulating interstellar or cometary ices. In such cases, reactions do not occur in liquid phase, but rather in solid phase at low pressure  $(10^{-9} \text{ mbar})$ 

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: gregoire.danger@univ-amu.fr

and at temperatures ranging from 20 to 300 K. It has been experimentally demonstrated that NH<sub>3</sub> reacts at low temperature (50-80 K) with formaldehyde ( $CH_2=O$ ) and acetaldehyde ( $CH_3CHO$ ) to form aminomethanol (2HN-CH2-OH) (Bossa et al. 2009) and  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol (2HN-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-OH) (Duvernay et al. 2010), respectively, both in the presence and absence of H<sub>2</sub>O in the ice mixtures. In contrast, reaction of acetone ((CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO) with NH<sub>3</sub> to form 2-aminoisopropan-2-ol (2HN-C(CH<sub>3</sub>)2-OH) is only possible if H<sub>2</sub>O is present, since it traps NH<sub>3</sub> and acetone allowing them to collect enough thermal energy for the reaction to properly proceed (Fresneau et al. 2014). Larger steric hindrances around the carbonyl of the aldehyde/ketone functionalities induce an increase of the activation energies of these reactions. These aminoalcohols can then be transformed into their corresponding imines in the presence of formic acid (HCOOH) (Vinogradoff et al. 2012b), which catalyses the dehydration process. For CH2O, the imine (CH2NH) reacts with [NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+-</sup>CN] forming aminoacetonitrile (Danger et al. 2011). However, the formation of glycine (2HN-CH2-COOH) from aminoacetonitrile does not occur in conditions simulating astrophysical ices (Rimola, Sodupe & Ugliengo 2010; Borget et al. 2012).

The whole Strecker synthesis from aldehydes/ketones, NH<sub>3</sub>, HCN, H<sub>2</sub>O and HCOOH has not yet been tested experimentally in conditions simulating astrophysical ices. The main problem resides in the characterization of aminonitriles because of the competing reactions that yields the formation of hydroxynitriles (HO–R<sub>1</sub>CR<sub>2</sub>–CN). These compounds are formed by the addition of <sup>–</sup>CN to aldehydes/ketones. In the case of CH<sub>2</sub>O, it has been shown that hydroxyacetonitrile (HO–CH<sub>2</sub>–CN) forms either from an HCN/H<sub>2</sub>O ice (Danger et al. 2014), H<sub>2</sub>O catalysing the reaction or from an NH<sub>3</sub>/HCN ice (Danger et al. 2012), NH<sub>3</sub> here being the catalyst.

In this work, we investigate on the competition between formation of 2-hydroxypropionitrile (HO–HC(CH<sub>3</sub>)–CN) and  $\alpha$ aminoethanol (<sub>2</sub>HN–HC(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH) in simulated astrophysical ices by reaction of acetaldehyde (CH<sub>3</sub>CHO) with HCN and NH<sub>3</sub>, respectively, paying special attention on the role of water. For this purpose, we used infrared spectroscopy and mass spectrometry associated with isotope labelling for product characterization, and quantum chemical calculations to obtain information on the mechanistic and energetic information of these reactions.

# 2 METHODS

# 2.1 Experimental set-up and reactant syntheses

Potassium cyanide (KC<sup>14</sup>N/ KC<sup>15</sup>N) and acetaldehyde (CH<sub>3</sub>CHO) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, stearic acid from Fluka analytical and ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) (99.9 per cent purity) from Air Liquide. Water (H<sub>2</sub>O) was distilled in the laboratory. The hydrogen cyanide (HCN) gas used in these experiments was synthesized directly in a Pyrex line at  $10^{-3}$  mbar using the protocol described by Gerakines, Moore & Hudson (2004).

All experiments were performed with the AHIIA system (Analyses from the Heating of Interstellar Ices Analogs), which consists of a high vacuum chamber presenting a pressure of  $5 \times 10^{-9}$  mbar at 295 K and  $10^{-9}$  mbar at 20 K. The gaseous mixture was deposited at a rate of  $6 \times 10^{-1}$  molecules min<sup>-1</sup> on a copper-plated surface kept at 20 K with a model 21 CTI cold head. The warming of the ice mixture was performed at a heating rate of  $4 \text{ K min}^{-1}$  using a resistive heater along with a Lakeshore model 331 temperature controller. The infrared spectra of the sample were recorded in reflection absorption mode between 4000 and 600 cm<sup>-1</sup> using a Brucker Tensor 27 fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

spectrometer with an Mercury Cadmium Telluride (MCT) detector. Each spectrum was averaged over one hundred scans with a 1 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution. Mass was monitored using an Residual Gas Analyzer (RGA) quadrupole mass spectrometer (MKS Microvision-IP plus) as the products were desorbed during the controlled temperature ramp. The ionization source was a 70 eV impact electronic source and the mass spectra were recorded between 1 and 100 amu in a full scan (Danger et al. 2014).

For the quantitative estimations of the relative amount of H<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub>, HCN or CH<sub>3</sub>CHO in the ice, the following band strengths were used: for HCN  $\nu_{\rm CN}$  at 2085 cm<sup>-1</sup> with 5.1 × 10<sup>-18</sup> cm molecule<sup>-1</sup> (Bernstein, Sandford & Allamandola 1997), for CH<sub>3</sub>CHO  $\delta_{\rm CH}$  at 1494 cm<sup>-1</sup> with 3.9 × 10<sup>-18</sup> cm molecule<sup>-1</sup> (Schutte, Allamandola & Sandford 1993), for H<sub>2</sub>O  $\nu_{\rm OH}$  at 3250 cm<sup>-1</sup> with 2 × 10<sup>-16</sup> cm molecule<sup>-1</sup> (Gerakines et al. 1995) and for NH<sub>3</sub>  $\omega_{\rm NH}$  at 1070 cm<sup>-1</sup> 1.3 × 10<sup>-17</sup> cm molecule<sup>-1</sup>.

As the products formed during the present experiments are more refractory than water, they tend to stick on the sample holder during the water desorption (around 180 K) and remain on it above 180 K. In order to obtain a clear product characterization, we cleaned the sample by warming the sample holder to 185 K to desorb water. The sample holder containing the more refractory compounds was then cooled down to 100 K (sufficiently far from the maximum desorption temperature of the product, while limiting the time for cooling the sample holder). A 4 K min<sup>-1</sup> ramp was then applied while the ion current was recorded to obtain a full temperature programmed desorption (TPD) of the refractory compounds.

## 2.3 Computational details

All calculations devoted to calculate the potential energy surfaces (PESs) of the ice reactions were performed using the GAUSSIAN09 package program (Frisch et al. 2013). The structure of each stationary point was fully optimized using the B3LYP-D3 method, which includes an empirical a posteriori correction term proposed by Grimme (2010) to account for dispersion interactions (missed in the pure B3LYP; Lee, Yang & Parr 1988; Becke 1993) density functional method), with the standard 6-311++G(d,p) basis set. All structures were characterized by the analytical calculation of the harmonic frequencies as minima (reactants, intermediates and products) and saddle points (transition states). For some difficult cases, intrinsic reaction coordinate calculations at the same level of theory were carried out to ensure that a given transition structure connects the expected reactants and products. The free energies calculated at different temperatures were obtained by computing the thermochemical corrections to the energy values using the standard harmonic oscillator formulae (McQuarrie 1986) computed at B3LYP/6-31 + G(d,p).

The theoretical simulation of the infrared spectra of an amorphous ice consisting of a mixture of H–(O–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>))<sub>n</sub>–CN, n = 1-3, was done in a two-step procedure. The first step was the execution of classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of a large cluster model of 46 molecules to obtain a preliminary amorphous structure of the ice. These MD simulations were carried out in an (N, V, T) ensemble at T = 300 K with the MMF94 classical force field as implemented in the CHEMBIO3D ULTRA program. The obtained structure was then converted in a 3D periodic system in a cubic unit system with 15 Å of length and fully optimized (both internal atomic positions and lattice unit cell parameters) using the CRYSTAL09 code (Dovesi et al. 2009). The final optimized structure of this ice model is shown in Fig. 1. This ice was then used to simulate its infrared spectrum. The geometry optimization and the simulation of the



**Figure 1.** PBE-optimized structure of an amorphous ice model consisting of a mixture of  $H-(O-CH(CH_3))_n-CN$ , n = 1-3.

infrared spectra was carried out using the PBE (Perdew, Burke & Ernzerhof 1996) density functional with a double- $\zeta$  basis set.

# **3 RESULTS**

Here, we performed experiments on reactivity between hydrogen cyanide (HCN) and acetaldehyde (CH<sub>3</sub>CHO). Ices containing only CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN or CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:H<sub>2</sub>O do not show reactivity. The reactivity of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO with NH<sub>3</sub> in diluted conditions has already been studied (Duvernay et al. 2010), but here new investigations are presented, in which the CH<sub>3</sub>CHO dilution in the initial ice and its implication on the residue composition is considered.

### 3.1 Reactivity between HCN and CH<sub>3</sub>CHO in an H<sub>2</sub>O matrix

Investigations on reactivity between formaldehyde (CH<sub>2</sub>O) and HCN have shown that the reaction of HCN with CH<sub>2</sub>O can only occur in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O (Fresneau et al. 2014). In this case, H<sub>2</sub>O serves to activate HCN, which then reacts with CH<sub>2</sub>O, leading to the formation of hydroxyacetonitrile (HOCH<sub>2</sub>CN) and its related oligomers (H–(O–CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>–CN).

Based on these results, the reactivity of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO with HCN was investigated. Similarly to the case of CH<sub>2</sub>O, without H<sub>2</sub>O, the reaction of HCN with CH<sub>3</sub>CHO does not occur. Therefore, H<sub>2</sub>O was added to the deposited ice. Fig. 2(A) shows the spectra of two H<sub>2</sub>O:HCN:CH<sub>3</sub>CHO ices deposited at 20 K in a 1:0.5:0.7 ratio, with HC<sup>14</sup>N or HC<sup>15</sup>N. The C<sup>15</sup>N stretching mode presents a shift of 30 cm<sup>-1</sup> towards lower wavenumbers compared to the C<sup>14</sup>N stretching mode at 2090 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The deposit only presents infrared features of H<sub>2</sub>O, HCN and CH<sub>3</sub>CHO, implying that reactivity does not occur during the deposition or in the solid phase at this temperature. These ices are then warmed at 4 K min<sup>-1</sup>. Due to the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O, it is difficult to monitor the appearance of new infrared bands during the warming period. However, when all reactants have desorbed from the sample holder (210 K), new infrared bands are observed (Fig. 2(B) after cooling down the sample holder to 100 K) meaning that a reaction occurs in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O during the warmingup. However, it is currently difficult to estimate the temperature at which this reaction starts due to the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O that masks



**Figure 2.** (A) Infrared spectra of  $H_2O:HC^{14}N:CH_3CHO$  and  $H_2O:HC^{15}N:CH_3CHO$  mixtures deposited at 20 K in a 1:0.5:0.7 ratio and (B) infrared spectra at 210 K of residues coming from the warming of the ices previously formed at 20 K. Some characteristic bands are displayed and the band marked with \* corresponds to CO<sub>2</sub> contamination.

all infrared bands of the new product. In Section 3.3, quantum calculations will give information on reaction constants.

The residue formed from an initial HC14N-containing ice presents a band at 2250 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is shifted to 2220 cm<sup>-1</sup> for an initial ice with HC<sup>15</sup>N as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(B). This means that molecules formed during the warming include a CN moiety, which is consistent with an incorporation of HCN on CH<sub>3</sub>CHO. A large band is also observed at 3250 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which can correspond to the stretching mode of a hydroxyl group. Other bands are present at 1444, 1379, 1330, 1162, 1094, 1053, 963 and 900 cm<sup>-1</sup>. All these features fit well with those of a hydroxynitrile, as those observed in HOCH<sub>2</sub>CN (Danger et al. 2014). To strengthen this infrared attribution, mass spectra of each residue were monitored during their warming from 100 to 250 K. The corresponding mass spectra at 215 K are displayed in Fig. 3 for the <sup>14</sup>N and <sup>15</sup>N residues. In each case, molecular ions are not observed (m/z 71 and 72 for <sup>14</sup>N and <sup>15</sup>N isotopologues, respectively). However, ions presenting a loss of one hydrogen are detected at m/z 70 and 71 for <sup>14</sup>N and <sup>15</sup>N isotopologues, respectively, which is confirmed by the NIST Chemistry Book



Figure 3. Mass spectra obtained at 215 K during the warming of residues from 100 to 250 K, and coming from an ice containing H<sub>2</sub>O:HC<sup>14</sup>N: CH<sub>3</sub>CHO or H<sub>2</sub>O:HC<sup>15</sup>N:CH<sub>3</sub>CHO (infrared spectra of residues are displayed in Fig. 2B). The shift of the various fragments due to <sup>15</sup>N is observed and noted by \*. M-X indicates that the molecular ion lost one X chemical group (see text for fragments labelling).

(http://webbook.nist.gov/cgi/cbook.cgi?ID=C78977&Units=SI&

Mask=200#Mass-Spec). The mass shift between these two residues demonstrates that a CN moiety is present on these residues. Furthermore, other characteristic fragments are observed, including fragments presenting a loss of CH<sub>3</sub> or OH (M<sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub> and M<sup>+</sup>-OH, respectively, see Fig. 3). All these observations firmly point out that the products remaining on the sample holder after the reactant desorption contains chemical moieties specific of hydroxynitrile.

The <sup>14</sup>N-bearing residue was further compared with an HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN standard chemical product and with the simulated at a quantum chemical level (Fig. 4A). In all the spectra, -OH and -CN moieties are present at 3291 and at 2250 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, confirming that the residue incorporates these two chemical functionalities. Both in the residue and in the standard, asymmetric and symmetric stretching modes of the -CH<sub>3</sub> group are found at 2992 and at 2940  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , respectively.

Our residue is thus a combination of -CH3, -OH and -CN chemical groups similar to the HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN standard. By comparing the experimental spectra of the residue with the standard, however, the relative intensities between the -CH<sub>3</sub>, -OH and -CN groups are different. The relative intensities of OH/CH3 and CN/CH3 are lower in the residue than in the standard. These variations can be explained by the presence of a small amount of polymers (see mass spectrum on Fig. 4C). When the polymer length of H-(O-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>))<sub>n</sub>-CN increases, the relative intensity between bands associated with its chain ends (-OH and -CN) and those of its repetitive unit -O- $CH(CH_3)$ - decreases because the number of the chain ends remains constant whereas the number of the repetitive units increases. This was already observed in the reaction between HCN and CH2O in a water ice (Danger et al. 2014), and for CH<sub>2</sub>NH with NH<sub>3</sub>/HCN (Danger et al. 2011). The presence of polymers is also supported by the presence of bands at lower wavenumbers. Although the infrared spectra topology is similar, some bands are present in the residue and not in the standard. This difference is particularly visible in the range 1500–850 cm<sup>-1</sup>. For instance, a band at 963 cm<sup>-1</sup> is only present in the residue (noted by \* on Fig. 4A).



Wavenumber (µm) 2.9 2.5 6.7 3.3 4.0 10.0 5.0 Α Residue Absorbance Theoretical H-(O-CH(CH<sub>2</sub>))<sub>2</sub>-Cl Standard HO-CH(CH )-CN 3500 3000 2500 1000 4000 2000 1500 Wavenumber (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 100 в <sup>14</sup>M = HOCH(CH<sub>2</sub>)C<sup>14</sup>N 80 0.4 Residue 60 0.2 <sup>14</sup>M<sup>+</sup>-CH HO-⁺M\* 0 0 40 72 215K 20 0 32 36 40 44 48 24 28 52 56 60 64 68 72 M⁺-CH, ę 72 215K 52 56 60 64 68 72 m/z Standard Residue m/z 56m/z 70200 220 240 Temperature (K)

**Table 1.** Infrared band positions (cm<sup>-1</sup>) and attributions for residues obtained from  $H_2O:HCN:CH_3CHO 1:0.5:0.7$  ice mixtures at 210 K (Fig. 2B),  $H_2O:NH_3:CH_3CHO 1:0.9:0.5$  at 200 and 250 K (Fig. 6B) and  $H_2O:NH_3:HCN:CH_3CHO 1:0.6:0.7:0.7$  at 220 K (Fig. 8e). Infrared band positions of  $_2HNCH(CH_3)OH$  and  $HO-CH(CH_3)-CN$  are also reported as references. The band positions correspond to the product after water desorption.

Product IR band	expected s (cm <sup>-1</sup> )		Theoretical IR bands (cm <sup>-1</sup> )				
2HN-CH(CH3)OH	HO-CH(CH <sub>3</sub> )-CN	Attributions	H <sub>2</sub> O:HCN:CH <sub>3</sub> CHO 1:0.5:0.7 (210 K)	H <sub>2</sub> O:NH <sub>3</sub> :CH <sub>3</sub> CHO 1:0.9:0.5		H <sub>2</sub> O:NH <sub>3</sub> :HCN:CH <sub>3</sub> CHO 1:0.6:0.7:0.7 (220 K)	$H[OCH(CH_3)]_n - CN$ $n = 1-3$
				200 K	250 K		
3333	_	$v_{\rm NH}$	_	3326	-	-	-
-	3331	VOH	3350	_	-	_	3400-3100
3279	-	ν <sub>OH</sub>	-	3256	3288	3292	-
-	2990	VCHas	2990	_	-	2988	2992
2973	-	$\nu_{CHas}$	-	2971	2970	2973	-
	2940	$\nu_{\rm CHs}$	2940	_	-	2933	2940
2925	-	$\nu_{\rm CHs}$	-	2923	2910	2918	-
-	2250	$\nu_{\rm CN}$	2250	_	-	2247	2279
	-	$\delta_{\rm NH}$	_	1663	-	1666	-
1610	-	$\delta_{\rm NH}$	-	1600	-	1607	-
-	-	$\delta_{\rm OH}$	-	1490	1495	1498	-
-	-	$\delta_{\rm OH}$	-	_	-	1476	-
-	_		_	_	1455	1454	-
1446	1443	$\delta_{OH}$	1444	1440	1433	_	1434
_	1409	δ <sub>OH</sub>	_	_	_	_	1405
_	_		_	1400	_	_	_
_	_		1393	_	_	_	_
1372	1375	$\delta_{\rm CH}$	1377	1371	1371	1375	1370
_	_	бсн	_	_	1354	1356	_
_	_	δ <sub>CH</sub>	_	_	1345	1349	_
_	_	δ <sub>CH</sub>	_	1334	_	1331	_
_	1324	δ <sub>CH</sub>	1329	_	1326	_	1312
_	_	бсн	1305	1309	1304	1306	_
_	1281	бонсн	_	_	_	_	1275
1237	_	onen	_	1236	_	_	_
_	_	VC-O-Cas	_	1189	1178	1183	_
_	_	VC-O-Cas	1162	_	_	1161	_
1136	_	c-o-cas	_	1130	1131	1136	_
_	1111	VC-O-C	1115	_	1109	1113	1101
1094	1095	VC-0	1094	1081	1091	1096	1078
_	_	VC O	_	_	1076	1077	_
_	1047	VC-C	1053	_	1057	1060	1042
_	_	VC-C	_	973	975	977	_
_	_	VC Cr	962	_	_	961	_
916	_	VC-N	_	_	_	_	_
_	_	- C-N	900	_	_	896	_
_	_	NC N	_	865	871	873	_

The comparison of the residue and the standard with a theoretical calculation of a spectrum of a cluster of HO–(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>))<sub>n</sub>–CN polymers confirms the presence of polymers in the residue. First of all, the relative intensities of OH/CH<sub>3</sub> in the residue present a same trend. Furthermore, band number and their positions in the range 1500 to 750 cm<sup>-1</sup> are highly similar between the residue and the theoretical calculation, especially for the bands at 963 cm<sup>-1</sup> that is only present in the residue. Based on the theoretical spectrum, band attributions in the residue are displayed in Table 1. The mass spectrum of the residue was also compared with the mass spectrum of the standard (Fig. 4B). Ions at M<sup>+</sup>–H, M<sup>+</sup>–CH<sub>3</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>–OH and HCO<sup>+</sup> are observed in both cases. Although the relative intensities are not exactly the same, this comparison clearly indicates that our residue comprises H–(O–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>))<sub>n</sub>–CN compounds. With our mass spectrometer sensitivity, no masses are detected above m/z 75.

The TPD profiles of both the residue and the standard are obtained by monitoring the m/z 56 and/or 70 ions (Fig. 4C). The TPDs of the m/z 56 and 70 ions in the residue present a perfect correlation, showing that both ions come from the same compound. The TPD of the HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN standard presents one desorption profile that can be fitted with a zero-order exponential, conveying a desorption energy of 55.5  $\pm$  0.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (6.7  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup> K) ( $\nu_0 = 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). The TPD of the residue presents two different profiles. One profile has a curvature similar to that of the standard when normalized to the second peak of the residue, which presents a similar desorption energy (55.8  $\pm$  0.1 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (6.7  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup> K);  $\nu_0 = 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , calculated from the fit of this profile). This suggests that the first compound desorbing from the residue is the HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN monomer. The second profile gives a desorption energy of 57.7  $\pm$  0.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (6.9  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup> K) ( $\nu_0 = 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), which can correspond to HO–(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>))<sub>n</sub>–CN polymers, as the previous infrared and mass spectrometry results suggest. It has to be noted that the second peak is larger than the monomer peak, suggesting that a polymer distribution may be present.

# 3.2 Reactivity of an H<sub>2</sub>O:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub>:CH<sub>3</sub>CHO ice mixture

Even if water is the most abundant molecule in the solid-phase of interstellar and cometary icy grains, other molecules are also present. Among them, NH<sub>3</sub> plays a central role due to its relative abundance and its active chemistry. The reactivity between NH<sub>3</sub> and aldehydes/ketones is well known and has been studied from



**Figure 5.** Infrared spectra of a CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub> mixture in a 1:0.9:0.4 ratio (A) and of a H<sub>2</sub>O:NH<sub>3</sub>:HCN:CH<sub>3</sub>CHO mixture in a 1:0.5:0.3:0.3 ratio (B) deposited at 20 K and measured at 220 K (50 min later after the standard warming procedure). The band marked with \* corresponds to CO<sub>2</sub> contamination and the ones marked with  $\circ$  refer to HO–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–CN polymers.

laboratory analogue viewpoints (Bossa et al. 2009; Duvernay et al. 2010), concluding that aminoalcohols  $NH_2C(R_1)(R_2)OH$  form. In the case of  $CH_3CHO$ , the condensation of ammonia leads to the formation of  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol  $NH_2CH(CH_3)OH$ . Reactivity of  $CH_2O$  in the presence of  $NH_3$  and HCN has been investigated and has shown a competition between the formation of aminomethanol and hydroxyacetonitrile (Danger et al. 2012). In this section, the reactivity between  $CH_3CHO$  and  $NH_3/HCN$  is studied in the presence and absence of  $H_2O$ .

A dry ice was formed at 20 K with CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub> in a 1:0.9:0.4 ratio (Fig. 5A, up). At 20 K, different bands of the reactants are already observed. The band at 1471 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the broadband at 2757 cm<sup>-1</sup> correspond to the [NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+–</sup>CN] salt, which is probably formed during deposition (Noble et al. 2013). Therefore, at 20 K, this ice is a mixture of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub>:[NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+–</sup>CN]. It was then warmed up at a temperature ramp of 4 K min<sup>-1</sup>. After desorption of the initial compounds, numerous bands are present (shown in Fig. 5A, down). In the infrared spectrum of the residue at 220 K, two bands at 1163 and 961 cm<sup>-1</sup> (inset image of Fig. 5A) and



**Figure 6.** Infrared spectra of an H<sub>2</sub>O:NH<sub>3</sub>:CH<sub>3</sub>CHO mixture deposited in a 1:0.9:0.1 ratio (A) and of an H<sub>2</sub>O:NH<sub>3</sub>:CH<sub>3</sub>CHO mixture deposited in a 1:0.9:0.5 ratio at 20 K and measured at 200 K and finally at 250 K. The features displayed on the spectrum at 200 K in (A) are attributed to  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol. In (B) the bands marked with  $\circ$  correspond to <sub>2</sub>HN– (CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O)<sub>n</sub>–H polymers.

a nitrile band at 2243 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be attributed to HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN. Numerous other bands are also present (refer to Table 1), but some do not match with the HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN compound. Under the conditions described here, the only other reaction that can occur is NH<sub>3</sub> with CH<sub>3</sub>CHO, which in water diluted conditions should lead to the formation of  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH. However, this product desorbs at a temperature lower than 220 K (Duvernay et al. 2010).

Moreover, knowing that the reactivity between  $NH_3$  and  $CH_2O$  also leads to the formation of  $_2HN-(CH_2-O)_n-H$  polymers depending on the dilution conditions (Danger et al. 2012), we suggest that these features may fit with those of  $_2HN-(CH(CH_3)-O)_n-H$  polymers. Remarkably, very similar trends are obtained when  $H_2O$  is present in the initial ice composition (Fig. 5B).

In order to confirm these assumptions, the same experiments were performed with ices without HCN and with various amounts of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO (Figs 6A and B). For low CH<sub>3</sub>CHO concentrations, <sub>2</sub>HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH is detected at 200 K (Fig. 6A) and after its desorption, no products are observed in the infrared spectrum at



**Figure 7.** Profile of the ion m/z 46 as a function of temperature for compounds desorbing during the warming of ices containing H<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>CHO at 20 K. H<sub>2</sub>O:NH<sub>3</sub>:CH<sub>3</sub>CHO comprise these ices in the following ratios: (1) 1:0.9:0.1, (2) 1:0.9:0.2, (3) 1:0.9:0.5 and (4) 1:0.9:0.9 (A). The mass spectra of residue desorbing at 246 K of ice in a 1:0.9:0.9 ratio are displayed in (B) for an ice containing <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> (top) or <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> (bottom). Fragments including N are indicated by \* on spectra for both isotopologues. M–X indicates that the molecular ion lost one X chemical group (see text for fragments labelling).

250 K. In contrast, for larger CH<sub>3</sub>CHO concentrations (Fig. 6B), the most characteristic bands of  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH are also present at 200 K, but are disappearing at 250 K. However, other bands that were observed at 200 K (1184, 970 and 865 cm<sup>-1</sup>) still remain at 250 K. Similar to results for the reaction between CH<sub>2</sub>O and NH<sub>3</sub>, these infrared features can be attributed to polymers of  $_2$ HN–(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O)<sub>n</sub>–H.

Mass spectra were also monitored during the desorption of these residues. Fig. 7(A) displays TPD of m/z 46 of  $_2$ HN–(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O)<sub>n</sub>–H monomer and polymers, which is the most characteristic ion of these compounds, since it is present both in the monomer and polymers. When the CH<sub>3</sub>CHO is highly diluted in the initial ice (Fig. 7A, 1), only ions coming from  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH are observed at 190 K. In contrast, for larger initial concentrations of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO (Fig. 7A, 2–4), in addition of contribution from  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH, other contributions appear at 246 K and at 261 K,



**Figure 8.** Comparison of infrared spectra in the 800—1800 cm<sup>-1</sup> range at 200 K for  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol (a: Fig. 6A), at 210 K for the hydroxypropionitrile polymers (b: Fig. 2B), at 220 K for polymers of  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol (c: Fig. 6B), at 220 K for hydroxypropionitrile and  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol polymers without ice water (d: Fig. 5A), at 220 K for hydroxypropionitrile and  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol polymers obtained from a concentrated ice (e), and at 230 K for hydroxypropionitrile and  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol polymers, while the ones marked with  $\circ$  correspond to  $_2$ HN–(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O)<sub>n</sub>–H polymers, while the ones marked with  $\ast$  correspond to HO–(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>))<sub>n</sub>–CN polymers. For readability, a different factor has been applied to each IR spectrum.

which moreover increase in positive correlation with the CH<sub>3</sub>CHO initial abundance. A comparison between the mass spectra of the residue formed from <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> and <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 7B) shows that these compounds have N-bearing chemical functionalities, since an m/z shift of +1 is observed between these two residues.

Furthermore, their mass spectra present larger m/z ions than the m/z peak associated with the mass of the monomer, confirming the presence of <sub>2</sub>HN–(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O)<sub>n</sub>–H polymers, as previously suggested. Consequently, the ratio between  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol with respect to its polymers depends on the initial abundance of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO in the ice: the larger the initial amount of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO, the larger the probability of polymer formation (Fig. 7B relative to the highest CH<sub>3</sub>CHO abundance).

Fig. 8 displays a comparison of the infrared spectra in the 1800-800 cm<sup>-1</sup> range between the residues formed in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O: <sub>2</sub>HN-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-OH (a, 200 K), H-(O-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-)<sub>n</sub>-CN (b, 210 K),  $_2$ HN–(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O)<sub>n</sub>–H (c, 220 K), the residue formed without H<sub>2</sub>O (d, 220 K) and the residues formed in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O with various amounts of HCN and CH<sub>3</sub>CHO (e and f, 220 K). The 2HN-CH(CH3)-OH compound is not present in the residues or as a trace (see Table 1) because it fully sublimes at temperatures higher than 200 K. However, at least six characteristic bands of its polymers are present at 870, 976, 1075, 1135, 1182 and 1498 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In addition to these polymers, in the residue formed without H<sub>2</sub>O (d), two other bands can be attributed to the H-(O- $CH(CH_3))_n$ -CN polymers at 961 and 1163 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, the stretching mode of CN is also present at 2243 cm<sup>-1</sup>, confirming their presence. In the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O, the same bands are observed for the concentrated CH<sub>3</sub>CHO ice (e), whereas for the diluted ice (f) bands related to the 2HN-(CH(CH3)-O)n-H polymers are observed only. H–(O–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>))<sub>n</sub>–CN polymers present relatively low intensities: the 1131 cm<sup>-1</sup> band is unobservable while the one at 961 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be identified in the shoulder of a band related to the <sub>2</sub>HN–(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O)<sub>n</sub>–H polymers. Furthermore, as described in Fig. 5(B), the presence of H–(O–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>))<sub>n</sub>–CN polymers is confirmed by a  $\nu_{CN}$  band at 2243 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

These results show that from an initial ice containing  $H_2O:NH_3:HCN:CH_3CHO$  a competition between the formation of  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol polymers and hydroxypropionitrile polymers takes place. Larger initial concentrations of NH<sub>3</sub> drive the reaction to formation of  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol and its related polymers.

#### 3.3 Quantum chemical simulations of the reactions

In order to have deeper insights into the formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN and  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH in the experimental conditions, we elucidated the mechanistic steps of these processes by calculating their PESs at a quantum chemical level. These calculations were based on a cluster model approach, in which the initial ices were represented by different compositions depending on the reaction to study. Experimentally, we found that HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN forms from ices with composition of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>O. Here we calculated the formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN considering these three situations. Fig. 9 shows the structures involved in the formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN for an initial ice matrix of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:H<sub>2</sub>O. For this particular case, the cluster model of the reactant consisted of one CH<sub>3</sub>CHO molecule and four HCN molecules embedded in a 12-water molecules matrix (see Fig. 9a, REACT\_1).

Figs 9(B) and (C) show the calculated PES of the formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN adopting this ice cluster model. The first step is a proton transfer from HCN to the adjacent water molecule, in which an H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>/CN<sup>-</sup> ion pair forms (INT0\_1). This intermediate formation is followed by the coupling of the CN moiety to the carbonyl C atom of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO (TS1\_1) to form the negatively charged -OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN intermediate species (see INT1\_1). The INT\_1 structure is still an ion pair, in which the -OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN coexists with the hydronium H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> ion. Interestingly, both INTO\_1 and INT1\_1 are only possible if the positive and negative charges of the ion pair partners are stabilized by hydrogen bond interactions as those established with the surrounding H<sub>2</sub>O molecules. Finally, a proton transfer from the  $H_3O^+$  to the  $-OCH(CH_3)CN$  (TS2\_1) leads to the formation of the final HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN product (PROD). Table 2 reports the calculated relative free energies (with respect to REACT\_1) at 20 and 220 K and the calculated rate constants using the classical Eyring equation and the corresponding half-life times  $(t_{1/2})$ ; namely the time necessary to consume the half amount of reactant. The highest energy barrier step is the second one which corresponds to the attack of CN- on CH3CHO. At 20 K, the reaction does not evolve, as indicated by this calculated rate constant, but it is surmountable at 220 K with an estimated  $t_{1/2}$  of about 1 min.

Formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN by reaction of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO with HCN was also observed in the presence of NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O ices. In both cases, during the ice matrix deposition, the  $[NH_4^+ -CN]$  salt was formed. Fig. 10 shows the PESs of the formation of this salt through a proton transfer from HCN to NH<sub>3</sub>. Fig. 10(A) in the presence of only NH<sub>3</sub> with a cluster model made up by 1 CH<sub>3</sub>CHO molecule and 4 HCN and 12 NH<sub>3</sub> molecules, and Fig. 10(B) in the presence of an NH<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O ice matrix modelled by a cluster consisting of 1 CH<sub>3</sub>CHO molecule and 3 HCN, 3 NH<sub>3</sub> and 10 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules. For both cases, the calculated PESs present very low energy barriers. The very same low values are obtained in terms of



**Figure 9.** (A) B3LYP-D3/6–311++G(d,p) optimized structure of the cluster model used for the formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN from an ice mixture with a CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:H<sub>2</sub>O composition. (B) B3LYP-D3/6–311++G(d,p) energy profile including the zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections (in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN using the cluster model shown in (A). Only the most chemically active part is shown, for the sake of clarity. The zero-energy reference is REACT\_1. Bond lengths are in Å. All free values have been calculated at 0 K.

relative free energies at 20 K (see Table 2), whose calculated k and  $t_{1/2}$  indicate that the formation of this salt is very fast. It is worth mentioning that the very same first process (namely, proton transfer from HCN to the ice matrix) also takes place in the formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN with only H<sub>2</sub>O ice (see Fig. 9B). However, the energies of this step are calculated to be unfavourable at 20 K and accordingly, formation of the  $[H_3O^+ - CN]$  salt is not observed in the experiments. Fig. 11 presents the calculated PESs related to the formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN in the presence of NH<sub>3</sub> (A) and  $NH_3/H_2O(B)$  ices starting from the already formed  $NH_4^+/CN^-$  ion pair. For both systems, the calculated paths adopt the same two-step mechanism: (i) C-C coupling between CN<sup>-</sup> and CH<sub>3</sub>CHO to form the INT4 -OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN anion, and (ii) proton transfer from the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> to the negatively charged oxygen atom of <sup>-</sup>OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN to form HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN. This last step, however, is direct in the presence of only NH<sub>3</sub>, whereas it is assisted by H<sub>2</sub>O with NH<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O. This difference is due to the fact that the  $NH_4^+$  cation is strongly stabilized by H-bond interactions with water molecules, whereas this is not the case with NH<sub>3</sub>, which causes the motion of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> to directly interact with <sup>-</sup>OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN.

These structural differences are probably the reason because the overall energy with only  $NH_3$  is lower than with  $NH_3/H_2O$ .

**Table 2.** B3LYP-D3/6–311++G(d, p) relative free energies ( $\Delta G_{rel}$ , in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> or kK) computed at 20 and 220 K of the stationary points for the formation of HO–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–CN and <sub>2</sub>HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH. Calculated rate constants (in s<sup>-1</sup>) and half-life times (t<sub>1/2</sub>, in s) for the corresponding free energy barriers at these temperatures are also included. These values were obtained using a  $\nu_0 = 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

Ice mixture	Structure		T = 20  K			$T = 220  {\rm K}$		Product
		$\Delta G_{\rm rel}$ (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> /kK)	$k^a$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>t</i> <sub>1/2</sub> (s)	$\Delta G_{\rm rel}$ (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> /kK)	$k^{a}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	<i>t</i> <sub>1/2</sub> (s)	
CH <sub>3</sub> CHO/HCN/H <sub>2</sub> O Fig. 9	REACT_1 TS0_1 INT0_1	0.0 <b>/0.0</b> 21.8 <b>/2.6</b> 15.9 <b>/1.9</b>	$6.2 \times 10^{-46}$	$1.1 \times 10^{45}$	0.0 <b>/0.0</b> 28.5 <b>/3.4</b> 20.5 <b>/2.5</b>	$8.1 \times 10^{5}$	$8.6 \times 10^{-7}$	HOCH(CH <sub>3</sub> )CN
	TS1_1 INT1_1 TS2_1 PROD_1	52.3/ <b>6.3</b> -15.1/- <b>6.9</b> 0.8/ <b>0.1</b> -37.2/- <b>4.5</b>	$1.1 \times 10^{-125}$ $1.2 \times 10^{-30}$	$6.6 \times 10^{124}$ $5.6 \times 10^{29}$	61.9/ <b>7.4</b> 15.1/ <b>1.8</b> 31.0/ <b>3.7</b> -6.7/- <b>0.8</b>	$9.1 \times 10^{-3}$ $2.0 \times 10^{5}$	$7.6 \times 10^{1}$ $3.4 \times 10^{-6}$	
<b>NH</b> <sub>3</sub> / <b>HCN</b> Fig. 10(A)	REACT_2 TS_2 PROD_2	0.0 <b>/0.0</b> 1.7 <b>/0.2</b> -7.1 <b>/-0.8</b>	$1.8 \times 10^{7}$	$3.9  imes 10^{-8}$	0.0/ <b>0.0</b> 5.0/ <b>0.6</b> -1.7/- <b>0.2</b>	$2.9 \times 10^{11}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-12}$	[NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+–</sup> CN]
<b>NH</b> <sub>3</sub> / <b>HCN</b> / <b>H</b> <sub>2</sub> <b>O</b> Fig. 10(B)	REACT_3 TS_3 PROD_3	0.0/ <b>0.0</b> 0.8/ <b>0.1</b> -14.2/- <b>1.7</b>	$2.7 \times 10^{9}$	$2.5 \times 10^{-10}$	0.0/ <b>0.0</b> 4.6/ <b>0.5</b> -7.1/- <b>0.8</b>	$3.7 \times 10^{11}$	$1.9 \times 10^{-12}$	
CH <sub>3</sub> CHO/HCN/NH <sub>3</sub> Fig. 11(A)	PROD_2 TS1_4 INT_4 TS2_4	0.0/ <b>0.0</b> 42.3/ <b>5.0</b> -32.6/- <b>3.9</b> -28.0/- <b>3.4</b>	$1.8 \times 10^{-99}$ $4.0 \times 10^{-1}$	$3.9 \times 10^{98}$ 1.7	0.0/ <b>0.0</b> 60.7/ <b>7.3</b> -9.2/- <b>1.1</b> 2.9/ <b>0.3</b>	$1.8 \times 10^{-2}$ $6.0 \times 10^{9}$	$3.8 \times 10^{1}$ $1.1 \times 10^{-10}$	HOCH(CH <sub>3</sub> )CN
CH <sub>3</sub> CHO/HCN/NH <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O Fig. 11(B)	PROD_4 PROD_3 TS1_5 INT_5 TS2_5 PROD_5	-44.8/-5.4 0.0/0.0 53.1/6.4 -13.4/-1.6 10.0/1.2 -43.1/-5.2	$6.9 \times 10^{-128}$ $2.7 \times 10^{-50}$	$1.0 \times 10^{127}$ $2.6 \times 10^{49}$	-25.9/-3.1 0.0/0.0 67.4/8.1 4.6/0.5 32.2/3.9 -23.8/-2.9	$4.6 \times 10^{-4}$ $1.0 \times 10^{5}$	$1.5 \times 10^{3}$ $6.7 \times 10^{-6}$	
CH <sub>3</sub> CHO/HCN/NH <sub>3</sub> Fig. 12(B)	- REACT_6 TS1_6 INT_6 TS2_6 PROD_6	0.0/ <b>0.0</b> 46.0/ <b>5.5</b> 20.5/ <b>2.5</b> 27.2/ <b>3.3</b> -7.9/- <b>0.1</b>	$2.6 \times 10^{-109}$ $3.9 \times 10^{-60}$	$2.7 \times 10^{108}$ $1.8 \times 10^{59}$	0.0/ <b>0.0</b> 49.8/ <b>6.0</b> 25.1/ <b>3.0</b> 30.5/ <b>3.7</b> -4.6/- <b>0.5</b>	6.9 $2.6 \times 10^{5}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-1}$ $2.7 \times 10^{-6}$	2HNCH(CH <sub>3</sub> )OH
CH <sub>3</sub> CHO/HCN/NH <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O Fig. 13(B)	REACT_7 TS1_7 INT_7 TS2_7 PROD_7	0.0 <b>/0.0</b> 27.6 <b>/3.3</b> 12.6 <b>/1.5</b> 29.3 <b>/3.5</b> -10.5/- <b>1.3</b>	$3.1 \times 10^{-61}$ $1.3 \times 10^{-65}$	$2.2 \times 10^{60}$ $5.2 \times 10^{64}$	0.0/ <b>0.0</b> 19.2/ <b>2.3</b> 12.1/ <b>1.4</b> 33.5/ <b>4.0</b> -7.1/- <b>0.8</b>	$1.2 \times 10^{8}$ $5.2 \times 10^{4}$	$5.6 \times 10^{-9}$ $1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	

*Notes.* <sup>*a*</sup>Rate constants were calculated considering the intrinsic energy barriers, that is, the free energy difference between the transition state and the minimum structure (reactant or intermediate) of lowest energy.

Irrespective of these differences, calculation of k and  $t_{1/2}$  derived from the calculated free energy barriers (Table 2) indicates that formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN is only possible at 220 K, contrarily to 20 K.

In addition to formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN, we found that  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH can also form in our experimental conditions. Formation of this compound occurs both with NH<sub>3</sub>/HCN and with NH<sub>3</sub>/HCN/H<sub>2</sub>O ice mixtures. The cluster models used to simulate the formation of  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH have the same composition as those employed for the formation of HO–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN but differ in the molecule spatial distribution; i.e. the reacting HCN and NH<sub>3</sub> molecules were exchanged in their respective positions. The initial structures are shown in Figs 12(A) and 13(A) for the processes with NH<sub>3</sub>/HCN and NH<sub>3</sub>/HCN/H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. The calculated PES with NH<sub>3</sub>/HCN is shown in Fig. 12(B).

The first step involves an N–C coupling between the reacting  $NH_3$  molecule and  $CH_3CHO$ , which is followed by a proton transfer from the reacting  $NH_3$  to an adjacent  $NH_3$ , which is converted into

a NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> cation, leading to the INT6 <sub>2</sub>HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O<sup>-</sup> anion. The second step is a proton transfer from NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> to <sub>2</sub>HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O<sup>-</sup> forming the <sub>2</sub>HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH final compound. The reaction mechanism is quite similar with NH<sub>3</sub>/HCN/H<sub>2</sub>O, whose PES is shown in Fig. 13(B). The first step is also an N–C coupling between the reactants. However, at variance with NH<sub>3</sub>/HCN, the intermediate species that is formed is the INT7 <sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub>HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O<sup>-</sup> zwitterion, which is stabilized by the hydrogen bonds established with the H<sub>2</sub>O molecules. Formation of <sub>2</sub>HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH is achieved by proton transfer from the –NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> moiety to the negatively charged O atom, which is assisted by the H<sub>2</sub>O ice matrix molecules. The calculated free energy barriers and the corresponding *k* and *t*<sub>1/2</sub> values (Table 2) for both processes, indicate that at 20 K formation of <sub>2</sub>HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH is kinetically hampered, whereas at 220 K the reactions can proceed.

To sum up, the limiting step in these reactions is the nucleophilic attack of  $CN^-$  or  $NH_3$  on  $CH_3CHO$  except if  $H_2O$  is present since  $H_2O$  catalyses the condensation of  $NH_3$  on  $CH_3CHO$ . In this latter



**Figure 10.** B3LYP-D3/6–311++G(d,p) energy profile including the zeropoint energy (ZPE) corrections (in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the formation of the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/CN<sup>-</sup> ion pair from an ice mixture of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub> (A) and CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>O (B). The zero-energy reference is REACT\_2 for panel (A) and REACT\_3 for panel (B). Bond lengths are in Å. All free values have been calculated at 0 K.

case, the limiting step is the proton transfer to obtain the neutral product. It has also to be noted that the reaction kinetic of NH<sub>3</sub> on CH<sub>3</sub>CHO is twelve orders of magnitude faster than CN<sup>-</sup> on CH<sub>3</sub>CHO in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and three orders of magnitude faster without H<sub>2</sub>O. Consequently, from an equal amount of CN<sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>3</sub> in the initial ice, CH<sub>3</sub>CHO will be consumed faster by NH<sub>3</sub>. The aminoalcool <sub>2</sub>HNCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>n</sub>OH is thus the preferred product. Interestingly, in our experiments, not only the HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN and 2HN-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-OH compounds were formed, but the related polymers were also detected. The calculated PESs exposed above are consistent with these findings. That is, all the calculated mechanisms predict the formation of transient negatively charged intermediates. These species, instead of being protonated, can react with other CH<sub>3</sub>CHO molecules available in the ice mixture, in which the negatively O atom can be attached to the C atom of the new CH<sub>3</sub>CHO molecule. This reaction elongates the backbone chain so it can be understood as the first step towards polymer formation. Accordingly, reaction of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO with <sup>-</sup>OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN (INT4 or INT5) leads to the formation of -OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN, whereas with 2HN-CH(CH3)-O<sup>-</sup> (INT6) and the +3HN-CH(CH3)-O<sup>-</sup> (INT7) to 2HN-CH(CH3)-O-CH(CH3)-O- and +3HN-CH(CH3)-



**Figure 11.** B3LYP-D3/6–311++G(d,p) energy profile including the zeropoint energy (ZPE) corrections (in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the formation of HOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CN from an ice mixture of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub> (A) and CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>O (B) The zero-energy reference is PROD\_2 for panel (A) and PROD\_3 for panel (B), which are the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/CN<sup>-</sup> ion paircontaining species shown in Fig. 10. Only the most chemically active part is shown, for the sake of clarity. Bond lengths are in Å. All free values have been calculated at 0 K.

O–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O<sup>–</sup>, respectively. This elongation can further be continued by reaction with other CH<sub>3</sub>CHO molecules and the polymerization can be truncated by protonating the negatively O end, thus leading to the final neutral polymer. Formation of the HO– CH<sub>2</sub>–O–CH<sub>2</sub>–CN dimer was already computed by some of us by reaction of two CH<sub>2</sub>O molecules with HCN in the presence of an H<sub>2</sub>O-dominated ice (Danger et al. 2014).

# 4 THERMAL REACTIVITY OF ACETALDEHYDE IN ASTROPHYSICAL ICES

From the results presented here, as well as those described in Duvernay et al. 2010), we can draw a general scheme of the CH<sub>3</sub>CHO reactivity in astrophysical ices containing H<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub> and/or HCN (sketched in Fig. 14). When an ice mixture consisting of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO and HCN is progressively warmed, no reactivity occurs because HCN is not reactive; i.e. it must be dissociated beforehand. HCN can be activated by H<sub>2</sub>O or NH<sub>3</sub>. H<sub>2</sub>O assists the



**Figure 12.** (A) B3LYP-D3/6–311++G(d,p) optimized structure of the cluster model used for the formation of  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH from an ice mixture with a CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub> composition. (B) B3LYP-D3/6–311++G(d,p) energy profile including the zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections (in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the formation of  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH using the cluster model shown in (A). Only the most chemically active part is shown, for the sake of clarity. The zero-energy reference is REACT\_6. Bond lengths are in Å. All free values have been calculated at 0 K.

dissociation of HCN, yielding a transient CN<sup>-</sup> ion that immediately reacts with acetaldehyde (the free energy barrier at 220 K,  $\Delta G^{\neq}_{220}$ , is 28.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>/3.4 kK, see TS0\_1 of Table 2). The resulting products are the 2-hydroxypropionitrile (HO-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-CN) and its related polymers (H-(O-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>))<sub>n</sub>-CN) (for HO-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-CN,  $\Delta G^{\neq}_{220} = 61.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/7.4 \text{ kK}$ , see TS1\_1 of Table 2). NH<sub>3</sub> also activates the HCN dissociation, but in a different way. NH3 reacts with HCN at low temperature forming the [NH4+-CN] salt  $(\Delta G^{\neq}_{220} = 5.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/0.6 \text{ kK}$ , see TS\_2 of Table 2). In this case, CN<sup>-</sup> is a stable anion that can react with CH<sub>3</sub>CHO, also leading to H–(O–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>))<sub>n</sub>–CN ( $\Delta G^{\neq}_{220} = 60.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/7.3 \text{ kK}$ , see TS1\_4 of Table 2). However, this reaction channel competes with the formation of  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol (<sub>2</sub>HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH), since NH<sub>3</sub> can also directly react with CH<sub>3</sub>CHO ( $\Delta G^{\neq}_{220} = 49.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/6.0 \text{ kK}$ , see TS1\_6 of Table 2). Moreover, in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O, the reaction is catalysed ( $\Delta G^{\neq}_{220} = 19.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/2.3 \text{ kK}$ , see TS1\_7 of Table 2).

Therefore, from an ice containing CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:NH<sub>3</sub>:HCN, irrespective of the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O, both HO–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–CN and 2HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH can be formed. Large initial concentrations of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO also lead to the formation of the related polymers H–(O–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>))<sub>n</sub>–CN and 2HN–(CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–O)<sub>n</sub>–H. However, as already noted in Section 3.3, 2HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH forms faster than HO–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–CN ( $\Delta G^{\neq}_{220} = 19.2$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>/2.3 kK (TS1\_7 of Table 2) and  $\Delta G^{\neq}_{220} = 67.4$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>/8.1 kK (TS1\_5 of Table 2), respectively) and it is thus the preferred product. Furthermore, if realistic



Figure 13. (A) B3LYP-D3/6–311++G(d,p) optimized structure of the cluster model used for the formation of  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH from an ice mixture with a CH<sub>3</sub>CHO:HCN:NH<sub>3</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>O composition. (B) B3LYP-D3/6–311++G(d,p) energy profile including the zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections (in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the formation of  $_2$ HN–CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)–OH using the cluster model shown in (A). Only the most chemically active part is shown, for the sake of clarity. The zero-energy reference is REACT\_7. Bond lengths are in Å. All free values have been calculated at 0 K.



**Figure 14.** Reactivity of acetaldehyde CH<sub>3</sub>CHO as observed in laboratory conditions simulating reactivity in astrophysical ices (high vacuum  $10^{-9}$  mbar, solid phase 20–300 K) containing H<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub> and/or HCN.

astrophysical ice compositions are used; i.e. concentrations of  $NH_3$ higher than the HCN ones, a prevalence of  $_2HN-(CH(CH_3)-O)_n-$ H formation is also observed compared to  $H-(O-CH(CH_3))_n-CN$ , which is only present in trace amounts (see Fig. 5B). This is of great relevance in the astrochemical context because formation of  $_2HN-CH(CH_3)-OH$  is the first step towards alanine formation in the Strecker synthesis. Therefore, it seems that  $CH_3CHO$  and  $CH_2O$ react with both  $NH_3$  and HCN, even if the reaction with  $CH_3CHO$ requires higher activation energy due to the increase of steric hindrance around the carbonyl. At variance with  $CH_2O$ , however, it is worth mentioning that reactions involving  $CH_3CHO$  yield the formation of chiral compounds, so that chiral compounds can be formed in the bulk of icy grains in astrophysical environments if the local energy is sufficient. This has not been explored in detail in our experiments since only racemic mixtures are formed (i.e. no enantiomeric excess), but new experiments specifically devoted to investigate the enantiomeric synthesis of organic compounds in astrophysical ices will certainly contribute to the understanding of this fundamental aspect.

# **5** CONCLUSIONS

In this contribution, the reactivity of acetaldehyde (CH<sub>3</sub>CHO) in astrophysical ice analogues was investigated in the presence of NH<sub>3</sub> and/or HCN, both in the presence and absence of H<sub>2</sub>O, by using infrared and mass spectrometry measurements in combination with quantum chemical calculations. Pure ices consisting of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO and HCN do not show reactivity during the warming. However, in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>3</sub>, or an NH<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O mixture, reaction occurs leading to the formation of 2-hydroxypropionitrile (HO-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-CN). Moreover, in the presence of NH<sub>3</sub>, a competing reaction leading to the formation of  $\alpha$ -aminoethanol (<sub>2</sub>HN-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-OH) also takes place. Therefore, measurements based on infrared spectroscopy and mass spectrometry indicate that from an ice with an initial composition of H2O:NH3:HCN:CH3CHO that is progressively warmed, two different products are formed: HO--CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-CN and <sub>2</sub>HN-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-OH. Characterization of their PESs at the B3LYP-D3 level supports these findings. The relative abundance of NH<sub>3</sub>/HCN in the initial ice determines the final ratio between these two compounds. When this ratio increases, formation of 2HN-CH(CH3)-OH becomes preponderant. Polymers of these two compounds also formed, whose amounts depend on the initial concentrations in the ice; the larger the CH<sub>3</sub>CHO initial concentration, the more polymer formation. These results are of great relevance in elucidating those pathways that can contribute to the formation of amino acid precursors from reactions occurring in the bulk of astrophysical ices. In this work, it is shown that, by adopting realistic compositions of astrophysical ices (i.e. larger concentrations of NH<sub>3</sub> than HCN), formation of <sub>2</sub>HN-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-OH is uniquely more favourable compared to HO-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)-CN formation. This finding is of paramount importance because it points out that the first step of the Strecker synthesis involved in the formation of alanine (2HN-CH(CH3)-COOH), the simplest chiral amino acid, is an operating chemical channel.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been funded by the French national program 'Physique Chimie du Milieu Interstellaire' (P.C.M.I, INSU), 'Environnements Planetaires et Origines de la Vie' (EPOV, CNRS), 'Programme National de Planétologie' (PNP, CNRS), the 'Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales' (C.N.E.S) from its exobiology program and PhD grant from Région Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur (PACA). This work was further supported by the ANR project VAHIIA (Grant ANR-12-JS08–0001–01) of the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche. AR is indebted to Programa Banco de Santander for a UAB distinguished postdoctoral research contract. Financial support from MICINN (projects CTQ2013–40347-ERC and CTQ2011–24847/BQU) and the use of the Catalonia Supercomputer Centre (CESCA) and of Barcelona Supercomputing Center through the QCM-2014–3–0032 project are gratefully acknowledged.

# REFERENCES

- Becke A. D., 1993, J. Chem. Phys., 98, 1372
- Bernstein M. P., Sandford S. A., Allamandola L. J., 1997, AJ, 476, 932
- Borget F., Danger G., Duvernay F., Chomat M., Vinogradoff V., Theulé P., Chiavassa T., 2012, A&A, 541, A114
- Bossa J. B., Theulé P., Duvernay F., Chiavassa T., 2009, AJ, 707, 1524
- Burton A. S., Stern J. C., Elsila J. E., Glavin D. P., Dworkin J. P., 2012, Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 5459
- Danger G., Borget F., Chomat M., Duvernay F., Theulé P., Guillemin J.-C., Le Sergeant D'Hendecourt L., Chiavassa T., 2011, A&A, 535, A47
- Danger G., Duvernay F., Theulé P., Borget F., Chiavassa T., 2012, AJ, 756, 11
- Danger G., Rimola A., Abou Mrad N., Duvernay F., Roussin G., Theulé P., Chiavassa T., 2014, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 16, 3360
- Dovesi R. et al., 2009, CRYSTAL09 User's Manual. Univ. Torino, Torino Duvernay F., Dufauret V., Danger G., Theulé P., Borget F., Chiavassa T., 2010, A&A, 523, A79
- Elsila J. E., Dworkin J. P., Bernstein M. P., Martin M. P., Sandford S. A., 2007, AJ, 660, 911
- Fresneau A., Danger G., Rimola A., Theulé P., Duvernay F., Chiavassa T., 2014, MNRAS, 443, 2991
- Frisch M. J. et al., 2013, GUASSIAN 09. Gaussian Inc, Wallingford, CT
- Gerakines P. A., Moore M. H., Hudson R. L., 2004, Icarus, 170(1), 202
- Gerakines P. A., Schutte W. A., Greenberg J. M., Vandishoeck E. F., 1995, A&A, 296, 810
- Grimme S., 2010, J. Chem. Phys. Chem. Phys., 132, 154104
- Kendall E. C., McKenzie B. F., 1929, Org. Synth., 9, 4
- Lee C., Yang W., Parr R. G., 1988, Phys. Rev. B., 37, 785
- Lerner N. R., Cooper G. W., 2005, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 69, 2901
- McQuarrie D., 1986, Statistical Mechanics. Harper and Row, New York Noble J. A., Theulé P., Borget F., Danger G., Chomat M., Duvernay F.,
- Mispelaer F., Chiavassa T., 2013, MNRAS, 428, 3262
- Perdew J.P., Burke K., Ernzerhof M., 1996, Phys. Rev. Lett., 77, 3865 Rimola A., Sodupe M., Ugliengo P., 2010, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 12,
- 5285
- Schutte W., Allamandola L., Sandford S., 1993, Icarus, 104, 118
- Strecker A., 1854, Ann. Chemie Pharm., 91, 349
- Vinogradoff V., Rimola A., Duvernay F., Danger G., Theulé P., Chiavassa T., 2012a, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 14, 12309
- Vinogradoff V., Duvernay F., Farabet M., Danger G., Theulé P., Borget F., Guillemin J. C., Chiavassa T., 2012b, J. Phys. Chem. A, 116, 2225

This paper has been typeset from a Microsoft Word file prepared by the author.