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# Riesz potentials of Radon measures associated to reflection groups 

Léonard GALLARDO, ${ }^{*}$ Chaabane REJEB ${ }^{\dagger}$ and Mohamed SIFI ${ }^{\ddagger}$


#### Abstract

For a root system $R$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and a nonnegative multiplicity function $k$ on $R$, we consider the heat kernel $p_{k}(t, x, y)$ associated to the Dunkl-Laplacian operator $\Delta_{k}$. For $\beta \in] 0, d+2 \gamma\left[\right.$, where $\gamma=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R} k(\alpha)$, we study the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel of index $\beta$ defined by $R_{k, \beta}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, y) d t$ and the corresponding $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz potential $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ of a Radon measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. According to the values of $\beta$, we study the $\Delta_{k}$-superharmonicity of these functions and we give some applications like the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz measure of $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$, the uniqueness principle and a pointwise Hedberg's inequality.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $R$ be a normalized root system in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. That is, for every $\alpha \in R,\|\alpha\|^{2}=2, R \cap \mathbb{R} \alpha=$ $\{ \pm \alpha\}$ and $\sigma_{\alpha}(R)=R$, where $\sigma_{\alpha}$ is the reflection with respect to the hyperplane $H_{\alpha}$ orthogonal to $\alpha$ (see [15] and [17]). We fix $k \geq 0$ a multiplicity function (i.e. $k: R \rightarrow$ $[0,+\infty[$ invariant under the action of the Coxeter-Weyl group $W$ associated to $R$ ) and we consider the associated Dunkl-Laplacian operator $\Delta_{k}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k} f(x)=\Delta f(x)+2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_{+}} k(\alpha)\left(\frac{\langle\nabla f(x), \alpha\rangle}{\langle x, \alpha\rangle}-\frac{f(x)-f\left(\sigma_{\alpha}(x)\right.}{\langle x, \alpha\rangle^{2}}\right), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]with $R_{+}$a positive subsystem (see [7]).
Acting on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, it is related to the classical Laplacian operator $\Delta$ by means of the so-called Dunkl intertwining operator $V_{k}$ (see [6], [7], [30]) as follows:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k} V_{k}=V_{k} \Delta . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

In [23], M. Rösler has proved that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, there exists a compactly supported probability measure $\mu_{x}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (which we call Rösler's measure at point $x$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \quad V_{k}(f)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(y) d \mu_{x}(y), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} \mu_{x} \subset C(x)=\operatorname{co}\{g x, g \in W\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the convex hull of the orbit of $x$ under the group $W$ ). We note that, according to [9], the support of $\mu_{x}$ contains the point $x$ and it is $W$-invariant under the hypothesis that the multiplicity function is positive.

Let $p_{k}(t, x, y)\left(t>0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be the heat kernel of the Dunkl Laplacian $\Delta_{k}$ which is given by (see [21] and [25])

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}(t, x, y):=\frac{1}{(2 t)^{d / 2+\gamma} c_{k}} e^{-\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right) / 4 t} E_{k}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2 t}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{2 t}}\right), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}(x, y)=V_{k}\left(e^{\langle\cdot, y\rangle}\right)(x) \quad\left(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Dunkl kernel (see [5] and [7]), $c_{k}$ is the Macdonald-Mehta constant (see [19]) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-\frac{\|x\|^{2}}{2}} \omega_{k}(x) d x, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\omega_{k}$ is the Dunkl weight function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{k}(x)=\prod_{\alpha \in R_{+}}|\langle\alpha, x\rangle|^{2 k(\alpha)} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is homogeneous of degree $2 \gamma$.
It is also known (see [21]) that for all fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, the function $p_{k}(t, x,$.$) solves the Dunkl$ heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{k}-\partial_{t}\right) p_{k}(t, x, .)=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\gamma=\sum_{\alpha \in R_{+}} k(\alpha)$ and suppose that $d+2 \gamma>2$. For $\left.\beta \in\right] 0, d+2 \gamma[$, we define the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel of index $\beta$ as follows

$$
R_{k, \beta}(x, y):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, y) d t .
$$

We note that when $\beta=2$, we obtain the Dunkl-Newton kernel which has been introduced and studied in [10].

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, x \neq 0$, be fixed and $W . x$ be its $W$-orbit. If $y \notin W \cdot x, R_{k, \beta}(x, y)$ is finite. But when $y \in W \cdot x$, it seams hard, except in the case $y=x$, to decide in general if $R_{k, \beta}(x, y)$ is finite or infinite. These difficulties are illustrated by the particular case of the root system of type $A_{1} \times A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{1}(m$ times, $1 \leq m \leq d)$, where we manage to give a complete description of the singularities of the function $R_{k, \beta}(x,$.$) .$
The aim of this paper is the study, when $d+2 \gamma>2$, of the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel $R_{k, \beta}$ and the corresponding potential

$$
I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R_{k, \beta}(x, y) d \mu(y)
$$

of a signed Radon measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
In particular, we will study the sub-or-superharmonicity of these functions in the sense of the Dunkl-Laplace operator and we will describe explicitly their $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz measures. This notion of subharmonicity, which generalizes the classical one ${ }^{1}$ has been introduced and studied in some details in [10]. More precisely, let $\Omega$ be a $W$-invariant open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. A function $u: \Omega \longrightarrow\left[-\infty,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ is called $\Delta_{k}$-subharmonic (D-subharmonic) on $\Omega$ if

- $u$ is upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.) on $\Omega$,
- $u$ is not identically $-\infty$ on each connected component of $\Omega$,
- it satisfies the sub-mean volume property: for every closed ball $B(x, r) \subset \Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x) \leq M_{B}^{r}(u)(x):=\frac{1}{m_{k}[B(0, r)]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u(y) h_{k}(r, x, y) \omega_{k}(y) d y \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{k}$ is the measure $\omega_{k}(x) d x$ and $h_{k}(r, x, y)$ is a kernel of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}(r, x, y):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathbf{1}_{[0, r]}\left(\sqrt{\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle}\right) d \mu_{y}(z) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu_{y}$ Rösler's measure at point $y$. The function $y \mapsto h_{k}(r, x, y)$ is a generalized translate of the indicator function $\mathbf{1}_{B(0, r)}$ of the ball $B(0, r)$ called harmonic kernel, introduced and studied in [8] and which properties will be recalled in the next section. Moreover the harmonic kernel is a crucial tool to get quite explicit expressions of the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel (see section 3).
Naturally, a function $u$ is D-superharmonic on $\Omega$ if $-u$ is D-subharmonic on $\Omega$.
Finally, we study some applications. The main one is the following version of the uniqueness principle: if $\mu$ and $\nu$ are finite and nonnegative Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and if $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]=I_{k, \beta}[\nu]$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, then $\mu=\nu$. We also prove a pointwise Hedberg's inequality in the sense of the operator $\Delta_{k}$ and we deduce $L^{p}$-boundedness properties of the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz potentials.

## 2 Generalities in Dunkl Theory

In order to help the reader, we have collected in this section some basics from Dunkl theory which will be used in the sequel.

[^1]Notations: Let us introduce the following functional spaces which are present throughout the paper:

- $\Omega$ a $W$-invariant open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
- $L_{k}^{p}(\Omega)$ (resp. $\left.L_{k, l o c}^{p}(\Omega)\right), 1 \leq p<+\infty$ the space of measurable functions $f: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|f\|_{L_{k}^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}:=\int_{\Omega}|f(x)|^{p} \omega_{k}(x) d x<+\infty$ (resp. $\int_{K}|f(x)|^{p} \omega_{k}(x) d x<+\infty$ for any compact set $K \subset \Omega$ ).
- $L_{k}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the space of measurable and essentially bounded functions on $\Omega$.
- When $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{d}$, the norm of the space $L_{k}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), 1 \leq p \leq+\infty$, will be denoted $\|\cdot\|_{k, p}$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{L_{k}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$.
- $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ the space of $C^{\infty}$-functions on $\Omega$ with compact support.
- $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ the space of distributions on $\Omega$ (i.e. the topological dual of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ carrying the Fréchet topology).
- $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the Schwartz space of $C^{\infty}$-functions on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ which are rapidly decreasing together with their derivatives.
- $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the space of tempered distributions.


### 2.1 The Dunkl transform

The Dunkl transform of a function $f \in L_{k}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is defined by (see [16] and [25])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(\lambda):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) E_{k}(-i \lambda, x) \omega_{k}(x) d x, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{k}(x, y)$ is the Dunkl kernel (1.6) which is analytically extendable to $\mathbb{C}^{d} \times \mathbb{C}^{d}$ and satisfies the following properties (see [5], [7], [16])

1. for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{k}(-i x, y)\right| \leq 1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. for all $a \in \mathbb{C}, x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$ and all $g \in W$, we have

$$
E_{k}(a x, y)=E_{k}(x, a y), \quad E_{k}(x, y)=E_{k}(y, x) \quad \text { and } \quad E_{k}(g x, g y)=E_{k}(x, y) .
$$

It is well known (see [16]) that the Dunkl transform $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ onto itself and its inverse is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{k}^{-1}(f)(x)=c_{k}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(\lambda) E_{k}(i x, \lambda) \omega_{k}(\lambda) d \lambda, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{k}$ is the constant given by (1.7).
We note that for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the following relation holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(x) g(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) \mathcal{F}_{k}(g)(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the transformation $c_{k}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{k}$ extends uniquely to an isometric isomorphism of $L_{k}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ (Plancherel theorem, see [16]).

We will also need the Dunkl transform $\mathcal{F}_{k}(S)$ of a tempered distribution $S \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ which is the distribution defined by

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{F}_{k}(S), \phi\right\rangle:=\left\langle S, \mathcal{F}_{k}(\phi)\right\rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) .
$$

It is known that $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ is a topological isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ onto itself (see [31]).
Note that if $\mu$ is a bounded Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mu \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and its distributional Dunkl transform can be identified to the continuous function $\xi \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} E_{k}(-i x, \xi) d \mu(x) \omega_{k}(\xi)$. In the literature, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{k}(\mu): \xi \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} E_{k}(-i x, \xi) d \mu(x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Dunkl transform of the measure $\mu$. This transformation is injective on the space of bounded Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (see [22]).
We recall also that the Dunkl-Laplace operator $\Delta_{k}$ leaves the spaces $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ invariant where the $\Delta_{k}$-action on $S$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ) (resp. in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ ) is defined as in the classical case by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Delta_{k} S, \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle S, \Delta_{k} \phi\right\rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\left(\text { resp. } \phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Dunkl's translation operators and heat kernel properties

- The Dunkl translation operators $\tau_{x}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, are defined on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by (see [31])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad \tau_{x} f(y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} V_{k} \circ T_{z} \circ V_{k}^{-1}(f)(y) d \mu_{x}(z), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{x}$ is the classical translation operator given by $T_{x} f(y)=f(x+y)$. The operators $\tau_{x}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, satisfy the following properties:

1) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, the operator $\tau_{x}$ is continuous from $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ into itself.
2) For all $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
\tau_{x} f(0)=f(x), \quad \tau_{x} f(y)=\tau_{y} f(x) .
$$

3) The Dunkl-Laplace operator $\Delta_{k}$ commutes with the Dunkl translations, i.e.

$$
\tau_{x}\left(\Delta_{k} f\right)=\Delta_{k}\left(\tau_{x} f\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) .
$$

4) If $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is radial, M. Rösler ([26]) has proved the useful formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad \tau_{x} f(y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \widetilde{f}\left(\sqrt{\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}+2\langle x, z\rangle}\right) d \mu_{y}(z), \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{f}$ is the profile of $f$ and $\mu_{y}$ is the measure defined by (1.3).

In the particular case when $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \tau_{x} f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and using the Dunkl transform we have (see [31]):

$$
\tau_{x} f(y)=\mathcal{F}_{k}^{-1}\left[E_{k}(i x, .) \mathcal{F}_{k}(f)\right](y)=c_{k}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathcal{F}_{k}(f)(\lambda) E_{k}(i x, \lambda) E_{k}(i y, \lambda) \omega_{k}(\lambda) d \lambda, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

- Using (2.8), the Dunkl heat kernel can also be written

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{k}(t, x, y) & =\frac{1}{(2 t)^{d / 2+\gamma} c_{k}} \tau_{-x}\left(e^{-\frac{\|\cdot\|^{2}}{4 t}}\right)(y)  \tag{2.9}\\
& =\frac{1}{(2 t)^{d / 2+\gamma} c_{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-\frac{1}{4 t}\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right)} d \mu_{y}(z) \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

For later use, we record also the following properties of the heat kernel (see [21] and [25])

1. The Dunkl heat kernel is symmetric in $x$ and $y$ i.e. $p_{k}(t, x, y)=p_{k}(t, y, x), t>0$.
2. For every $t>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{k}(t, x, .)\right\|_{k, 1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} p_{k}(t, x, y) \omega_{k}(y) d y=1 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. For every $t>0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{k}(t, x, y) & =\mathcal{F}_{k}^{-1}\left(E_{k}(-i x, .) e^{-t\|\cdot\|^{2}}\right)(y)  \tag{2.12}\\
& =c_{k}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-t\|\xi\|^{2}} E_{k}(-i x, \xi) E_{k}(i y, \xi) \omega_{k}(\xi) d \xi \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

4. For every $t>0$, the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad p_{k}(t, x, y) \leq \frac{1}{(2 t)^{d / 2+\gamma} c_{k}} e^{-\frac{1}{4 t} \min _{g \in W}\|x-g y\|^{2}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. For all $t, s>0$, the Dunkl heat kernel satisfies the semi-group property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad p_{k}(t+s, x, y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} p_{k}(t, x, z) p_{k}(s, y, z) \omega_{k}(z) d z \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3 The harmonic kernel and $\Delta_{k}$-subharmonic functions

For $r>0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $h_{k}(r, x, y)$ be the harmonic kernel defined by (1.11). In the classical case (i.e. $k=0$ ), we have $\mu_{y}=\delta_{y}$ (the Dirac measure at $y$ ) and then $h_{0}(r, x, y)=\mathbf{1}_{[0, r]}(\|x-y\|)=\mathbf{1}_{B(x, r)}(y)$. This implies, in particular, that the Dunklvolume operator defined by (1.10) generalizes the usual one.
The harmonic kernel has the following properties (see [8]):

1) For all $r>0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, 0 \leq h_{k}(r, x, y) \leq 1$.
2) For all fixed $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, the function $r \longmapsto h_{k}(r, x, y)$ is right-continuous and nondecreasing.
3) Let $r>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. If $k(\alpha)>0$ for every $\alpha \in R$, then

$$
\operatorname{supp} h_{k}(r, x, .)=B^{W}(x, r):=\cup_{g \in W} B(g x, r)
$$

and if the function $k$ vanishes somewhere then

$$
B(x, r) \subset \operatorname{supp} h_{k}(r, x, .) \subset B^{W}(x, r)
$$

(see [8] and [9]).
4) For all $r>0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}(r, x, y)=h_{k}(r, y, x) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

5) Let $r>0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then, for all $g \in W$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}(r, g x, g y)=h_{k}(r, x, y) \quad \text { and } \quad h_{k}(r, g x, y)=h_{k}\left(r, x, g^{-1} y\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

6) For all $r>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{k}(r, x, .)\right\|_{k, 1}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} h_{k}(r, x, y) \omega_{k}(y) d y=m_{k}(B(0, r))=\frac{d_{k} r^{d+2 \gamma}}{d+2 \gamma} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we recall that $d m_{k}(y)=\omega_{k}(y) d y$ and $d_{k}$ is the constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}=\int_{S^{d-1}} \omega_{k}(\xi) d \sigma(\xi)=\frac{c_{k}}{2^{d / 2+\gamma-1} \Gamma(d / 2+\gamma)} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $d \sigma(\xi)$ is the surface measure of the unit sphere $S^{d-1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
Finally, we recall that

- a function $u$ of class $C^{2}$ on $\Omega$ is D-subharmonic in the sense of (1.10) if and only if $\Delta_{k} u \geq 0$ on $\Omega$ (see [10]).
- if $u$ is D-subharmonic on $\Omega$, then $u \omega_{k} \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$ (that is $u \in L_{k, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$ ) and its distributional Dunkl-Laplacian $\Delta_{k}\left(u \omega_{k}\right)$ is a nonnegative distribution on $\Omega$ in the sense that for any nonnegative function $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Delta_{k}\left(u \omega_{k}\right), \phi\right\rangle:=\left\langle u \omega_{k}, \Delta_{k} \phi\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u(x) \Delta_{k} \phi(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x \geq 0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nonnegative distribution $\Delta_{k}\left(u \omega_{k}\right)$ is then a nonnegative Radon measure on $\Omega$ called the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz measure of the D-subharmonic function $u$ (see [10]). In particular, if $u \in$ $\mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega)$ its $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz measure is equal to $\Delta_{k} u(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x$.

## 3 The $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel

In this section, we will study some properties of the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel. Recalling that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $0<\beta<d+2 \gamma$, the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k, \beta}(x, y):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, y) d t . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1 1) Since the Dunkl heat kernel is positive, we have $0<R_{k, \beta}(x, y) \leq+\infty$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.
2) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be fixed. From (2.14), we can see that if $y \notin \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W . x$, then for any $\beta \in]-\infty, d+2 \gamma\left[\right.$ the function $t \mapsto t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, y)$ is integrable on $] 0,+\infty[$. Thus, using the properties of the Gamma function, the function $y \mapsto \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, y) d t$ is well defined on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W$.x whenever $\left.\beta \in\right]-\infty, d+2 \gamma \backslash \backslash-2 \mathbb{N}$. In this case, we will continue denoting it $y \mapsto R_{k, \beta}(x, y)$.

In the following result, we will show that the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel can be expressed in terms of the harmonic kernel. This new formula will be a crucial tool in the sequel of the paper.
Proposition 3.1 For every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{k, \beta}(x, y) & =\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right)^{\frac{\beta-(d+2 \gamma)}{2}} d \mu_{y}(z)  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\frac{\kappa}{d+2 \gamma-\beta} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\beta-d-2 \gamma} h_{k}(t, x, y) \frac{d t}{t} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\kappa(d, \gamma, \beta)=\frac{2^{1-\beta} \Gamma\left(\frac{d+2 \gamma-\beta}{2}\right)}{d_{k} \Gamma(\beta / 2) \Gamma(d / 2+\gamma)}=\frac{2^{\frac{d}{2}+\gamma-\beta} \Gamma\left(\frac{d+2 \gamma-\beta}{2}\right)}{c_{k} \Gamma(\beta / 2)}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c_{k}$ and $d_{k}$ being the constants given by (1.7) and (2.19) respectively.
Proof: Using the change of variables $1 / 4 t \leftrightarrow t$, the relation (2.10) can be rewritten

$$
R_{k, \beta}(x, y)=\frac{2^{\frac{d}{2}+\gamma-\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta / 2) c_{k}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{d+2 \gamma-\beta}{2}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-t\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right)} d \mu_{x}(z) d t
$$

Now, by Fubuni's theorem and the identity

$$
\forall a \geq 0, \quad \forall \theta>0, \quad a^{-\theta / 2}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta / 2)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} s^{\frac{\theta}{2}-1} e^{-s a} d s
$$

(notice that if we take $a=0$, the both terms are equal $+\infty$ ), we deduce that (3.2) holds.

- Let us now prove (3.3). Starting from (3.2) and applying again Fubini's theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{k, \beta}(x, y) & =\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right)^{\frac{\beta-(d+2 \gamma)}{2}} d \mu_{y}(z) \\
& =\frac{\kappa}{d+2 \gamma-\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\sqrt{\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle}}^{+\infty} t^{\beta-d-2 \gamma} \frac{d t}{t} d \mu_{y}(z) \\
& =\frac{\kappa}{d+2 \gamma-\beta} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\beta-d-2 \gamma}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}\left(\sqrt{\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle}\right) d \mu_{y}(z)\right) \frac{d t}{t} \\
& =\frac{\kappa}{d+2 \gamma-\beta} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\beta-d-2 \gamma} h_{k}(t, x, y) \frac{d t}{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives the desired relation.
Example 3.1 1) When $k=0$, as $\mu_{y}=\delta_{y}$ we have $R_{0, \beta}(x, y)=\kappa(d, 0, \beta)\|x-y\|^{\beta-d}$ the classical Riesz kernel (see [18]).
2) Since $\mu_{0}=\delta_{0}$, for any choice of the Coxeter-Weyl group and of a nonnegative multiplicity function, we have $R_{k, \beta}(x, 0)=\kappa(d, \gamma, \beta)\|x\|^{\beta-d-2 \gamma}$.
3) We consider $\mathbb{R}^{d}(d \geq 1)$ with the root system $R_{m}:=\left\{ \pm e_{1}, \ldots, \pm e_{m}\right\}$, where $m$ is a fixed integer in $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ and $\left(e_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq d}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we will denote $\xi=\left(\xi^{(m)}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-m}$.
We note that the Coxeter-Weyl group is $W=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$ and the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$-orbit of a point $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is as follows

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m} \cdot \xi:=\left\{\varepsilon . \xi:=\left(\varepsilon_{1} \xi_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m} \xi_{m}, \xi^{\prime}\right), \quad \varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \in\{ \pm 1\}^{m}\right\} .
$$

The multiplicity function can be represented by the m-multidimensional parameter $k=$ $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m}\right)$ with $k_{j}=k\left(e_{j}\right)>0$. Moreover, the Rösler measure is of the form $\mu_{y}=$ $\mu_{\left(y^{(m)}, y^{\prime}\right)}=\mu_{y_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{y_{m}} \otimes \delta_{y^{\prime}}$ with $\mu_{y_{i}}$ the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-Rösler measure at point $y_{i}$. If $y_{i}=0$, we know that $\mu_{0}=\delta_{0}$ and if $y_{i} \neq 0$, we have

$$
\left\langle\mu_{y_{i}}, f\right\rangle:=\int_{-1}^{1} f\left(t y_{i}\right) \phi_{k_{i}}(t) d t, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}),
$$

where $\phi_{k_{i}}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-Dunkl density function of parameter $k_{i}$ given by (see [5] or [25] p.104)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{k_{i}}(t):=\frac{\Gamma\left(k_{i}+1 / 2\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(k_{i}\right)}(1-t)^{k_{i}-1}(1+t)^{k_{i}} \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(t) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{k, \beta}(x, y)=\kappa \int_{[-1,1]^{m}}\left(\left\|x^{(m)}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y^{(m)}\right\|^{2}-2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_{j} x_{j} y_{j}\right. & \left.+\left\|x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \phi_{k_{i}}\left(t_{i}\right) d t_{1} \ldots d t_{m} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 3.2 Suppose that $\gamma>0$. Let $0<\beta<d+2 \gamma$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

1) If $y \notin W \cdot x$, then $R_{k, \beta}(x, y)<+\infty$.
2) Assume that $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in R} H_{\alpha}$. Then $R_{k, \beta}(x, x)=+\infty$ if and only if $d \geq \beta$.
3) If $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in R} H_{\alpha}$ and $\beta \leq d$, then $R_{k, \beta}(x, x)=+\infty$.

Proof: At first we note that

$$
\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad \forall t>0, \quad t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, y) \leq C t^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}-1}
$$

Hence, as $\beta<d+2 \gamma$, the function $t \mapsto t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, y)$ is integrable on $[1,+\infty[$ for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

1) We obtain the result by using (2.14).
2) Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $x$ is not in any hyperplane $H_{\alpha}, \alpha \in R$ (i.e. $x$ lives in a Weyl chamber). We will use the following short-time asymptotic result of the Dunkl type heat kernel which has been established in ([24], Corollary 2): Let $C$ be a fixed Weyl chamber. If $x, y \in C$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}(t, x, y) \sim_{t \rightarrow 0}\left(\omega_{k}(x) \omega_{k}(y)\right)^{-1 / 2}(4 \pi t)^{-d / 2} e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^{2}}{4 t}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $y=x$, we deduce that the function $t \mapsto t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, x)$ is not integrable near 0 if and only if $d \geq \beta$.
3) Let $x \in H_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in R$. One can see that the function $\psi: \xi \longmapsto R_{k, \beta}(\xi, \xi)$ is the increasing limit of the sequence of continuous functions $\xi \longmapsto \int_{1 / n}^{n} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, \xi, \xi) d t$. This implies that $\psi$ is lower semi-continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Consequently, when $\beta \leq d$ we have $R_{k, \beta}(x, x)=\liminf _{\xi \rightarrow x} R_{k, \beta}(\xi, \xi)=+\infty$.

As already mentioned, for $g \neq i d$, it is much more difficult to see if $R_{k, \beta}(x, g x)$ is finite or infinite. This new phenomena will be illustrated by the following complete characterization of the singularities of the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel in the case of the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$-Coxeter-Weyl group acting on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. More precisely, we have:

Proposition 3.3 Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$. Using the same notations of Example 3.1, 3), denoting $H_{i}$ the hyperplane orthogonal to $e_{i}$ and recalling $\varepsilon \cdot x=\left(\varepsilon_{1} x_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m} x_{m}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m} \cdot x$, we have

1. If $x \in \cap_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}$, then $x=\varepsilon . x$ and $R_{k, \beta}(x, x)=+\infty$.
2. Assume that $x \notin \cap_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}$. Set $A:=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, x_{i} \neq 0\right\}$ and $\varepsilon^{(n)}$. $x=$ $\left(\varepsilon_{1} x_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m} x_{m}, x^{\prime}\right)$ the point of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$-orbit of $x$ such that $\left|\left\{j \in A, \varepsilon_{j}=1\right\}\right|=n$ i.e the point $\varepsilon^{(n)}$.x has exactly $n$ among the nonzero coordinates $\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in A}$ that have not been changed under the action of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k, \beta}\left(x, \varepsilon^{(n)} . x\right)=+\infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad d \geq 2\left(|A|-n+\sum_{j \in A} k_{j}-\gamma\right)+\beta . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Assume that $x \notin \cup_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k, \beta}\left(x, \varepsilon^{(n)} \cdot x\right)=+\infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad d \geq 2(m-n)+\beta \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we have $\sum_{n=\max \left(0,\left\lfloor m-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}^{m}\binom{m}{n}$ singularities living in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}$.
Proof: For abbreviation, we will use the following constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}:=2^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}} \kappa, \quad C(k):=\frac{\Gamma(k+1 / 2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(k)} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.6), it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k, \beta}(x, \varepsilon \cdot x)=C_{1} \int_{[-1,1]^{m}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(1-\varepsilon_{j} t_{j}\right) x_{j}^{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \phi_{k_{j}}\left(t_{j}\right) \otimes_{j=1}^{m} d t_{j} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

1) Clearly, from (3.11), the condition $x \in \cap_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}$ i.e. $x^{(m)}=0$ implies that $x=\varepsilon . x=$ ( $0, x^{\prime}$ ) and $R_{k, \beta}(x, \varepsilon . x)=+\infty$.
2) Suppose that $x \notin \cap_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}$. Using the notations of the Proposition, Fubini's theorem and the fact that $\phi_{k_{j}}$ are probability densities, (3.11) can be written in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k, \beta}(x, \varepsilon \cdot x)=C_{1} \int_{[-1,1]^{|A|}}\left(\sum_{j \in A}\left(1-\varepsilon_{j} t_{j}\right) x_{j}^{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}} \prod_{j \in A} \phi_{k_{j}}\left(t_{j}\right) \otimes_{j \in A} d t_{j} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will distinguish two cases:
First case $|A|=1$. Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $x_{i} \neq 0$. In this case, using (3.5) and (3.10), we deduce that (3.12) takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{k, \beta}(x, \varepsilon \cdot x) & =C_{1} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(\left(1-\varepsilon_{i} s\right) x_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}} \phi_{k_{i}}(s) d s \\
& =C\left(k_{i}\right) C_{1}\left|x_{i}\right|^{\beta-d-2 \gamma} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-\varepsilon_{i} s\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}}(1-s)^{k_{i}-1}(1+s)^{k_{i}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $\varepsilon_{i}=1$, then according to our notations, we have $n=|A|=1, \varepsilon \cdot x=\varepsilon^{(1)} \cdot x=x$ and

$$
R_{k, \beta}\left(x, \varepsilon^{(1)} \cdot x\right)=C\left(k_{i}\right) C_{1}\left|x_{i}\right|^{\beta-d-2 \gamma} \int_{-1}^{1}(1-s)^{k_{i}+\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}-1}(1+s)^{k_{i}} d s
$$

Consequently, $R_{k, \beta}\left(x, \varepsilon^{(1)} \cdot x\right)=+\infty$ if and only if $d \geq \beta+2 k_{i}-2 \gamma$. Then, the result is proved in this case.

- When $\varepsilon_{i}=-1$, we have $n=0, \varepsilon \cdot x=\varepsilon^{(0)} \cdot x$ and

$$
R_{k, \beta}\left(x, \varepsilon^{(0)} \cdot x\right)=C\left(k_{i}\right)\left|x_{i}\right|^{\beta-d-2 \gamma} \int_{-1}^{1}(1+s)^{k_{i}+\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}}(1-s)^{k_{i}-1} d s
$$

Thus, as $k_{i}>0$ we have $R_{k, \beta}\left(x, \varepsilon^{(0)} \cdot x\right)=+\infty$ if and only if $d \geq 2\left(1+k_{i}-\gamma\right)+\beta$.
Second case $|A|=r \geq 2$. Using (3.12) and the change of variables $t_{j} \leftrightarrow 1-\varepsilon_{j} t_{j}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{k, \beta}(x, \varepsilon \cdot x) & =C_{1} \int_{] 0,2[|A|}\left(\sum_{j \in A} t_{j} x_{j}^{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}} \prod_{j \in A} \phi_{k_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{j}-\varepsilon_{j} t_{j}\right) \otimes_{j \in A} d t_{j} \\
& =C_{1} \int_{] 0,2\left[|A| \cap B_{r}\right.}+\quad C_{1} \int_{] 0,2\left[|A| \backslash B_{r}\right.} \\
& =C_{1} I(x, \varepsilon \cdot x)+\quad C_{1} J(x, \varepsilon \cdot x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{r}$ is the open unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{|A|}=\mathbb{R}^{r}$.
The singularities of these integrals being at point 0 and thus it is clear that $J(x, \varepsilon . x)<+\infty$. Thus, we need to know when the integral $I(x, \varepsilon . x)$ diverges. To do this, we will identify $\left(t_{j}\right)_{j \in A}$ with $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{r}$ and use the spherical coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{r}$ :

$$
\rho=\|v\|, \quad v_{1}=\rho a_{1}, \quad \ldots, v_{r-1}=\rho a_{r-1} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{r}=\rho a_{r},
$$

where

$$
a_{1}=\cos \theta_{1}, \ldots, a_{r-1}=\prod_{i=1}^{r-2} \sin \theta_{i} \cos \theta_{r-1}, \quad a_{r}=\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \sin \theta_{i} .
$$

Notice that all $a_{j}$ are positive.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x, \varepsilon . x)=\int_{S_{+}^{r-1}} \psi\left(a^{(r)}, x^{(r)}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{1} \prod_{j \in A} \phi_{k_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{j}-\varepsilon_{j} a_{j} \rho\right) \rho^{r+\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}-1} d \rho\right) d \sigma_{r}\left(a^{(r)}\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.S_{+}^{r-1}:=\right] 0,2\left[{ }^{r} \cap S^{r-1}\right.$, $d \sigma_{r}$ is the surface measure of the unit sphere $S^{r-1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{r}$, $a^{(r)}=\left(a_{j}\right)_{j \in A}, x^{(r)}=\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in A}$ and

$$
\psi\left(a^{(r)}, x^{(r)}\right):=\left(\sum_{j \in A} a_{j} x_{j}^{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}} .
$$

We have

$$
\phi_{k_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{j}-\varepsilon_{j} a_{j} \rho\right)=C\left(k_{j}\right)\left(1-\varepsilon_{j}+\varepsilon_{j} a_{j} \rho\right)^{k_{j}-1}\left(1+\varepsilon_{j}-\varepsilon_{j} a_{j} \rho\right)^{k_{j}} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\phi_{k_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{j}-\varepsilon_{j} a_{j} \rho\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
C\left(k_{j}\right) a_{j}^{k_{j}-1} \rho^{k_{j}-1}\left(2-a_{j} \rho\right)^{k_{j}}, & \text { if } & \varepsilon_{j}=1  \tag{3.14}\\
C\left(k_{j}\right) a_{j}^{k_{j}} \rho^{k_{j}}\left(2-a_{j} \rho\right)^{k_{j}-1}, & \text { if } & \varepsilon_{j}=-1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Define

$$
A_{1}:=\left\{j \in A, \quad \varepsilon_{j}=1\right\}, \quad A_{2}=A \backslash A_{1} .
$$

According to our notations, we have $\left|A_{1}\right|=\left|\left\{j, \quad \varepsilon_{j}=1\right\}\right|=n$.
Then, from (3.13), (3.14) and recalling the definition of the vector $\varepsilon^{(n)} . x$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(x, \varepsilon^{(n)} \cdot x\right)=\int_{S_{+}^{r-1}} \psi\left(a^{(r)}, x^{(r)}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{1} f\left(a^{(r)}, \rho\right) \rho^{\lambda+r+\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}-1} d \rho\right) d \sigma_{r}\left(a^{(r)}\right), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
f\left(a^{(r)}, \rho\right):=\prod_{j \in A_{1}} C\left(k_{j}\right) a_{j}^{k_{j}-1}\left(2-a_{j} \rho\right)^{k_{j}} \prod_{j \in A_{2}} C\left(k_{j}\right) a_{j}^{k_{j}}\left(2-a_{j} \rho\right)^{k_{j}-1}
$$

and

$$
\lambda:=\sum_{j \in A_{1}}\left(k_{j}-1\right)+\sum_{j \in A_{2}} k_{j}=\sum_{j \in A} k_{j}-n .
$$

The function $\rho \longmapsto f\left(a^{(r)}, \rho\right)$ is continuous and does not vanish on the compact set $[0,1]$. So that the singularity in the $d \rho$-integral is only in the term of

$$
\rho^{\lambda+r+\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}-1}=\rho^{\left(\sum_{j \in A} k_{j}\right)-n+r+\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}-1} .
$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$
R_{k, \beta}\left(x, \varepsilon^{(n)} \cdot x\right)=+\infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad I\left(x, \varepsilon^{(n)} \cdot x\right)=+\infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d \geq 2\left(|A|-n+\sum_{j \in A} k_{j}-\gamma\right)+\beta
$$

This completes the proof of the assertion 2).
3) When $x \notin \cup_{i=1}^{m} H_{i}$, we have $A=\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and then the result is a particular case of the statement 2).

Proposition 3.4 The Riesz kernel $R_{k, \beta}(.,$.$) satisfies the following properties$

1) For every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $g \in W$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k, \beta}(x, y)=R_{k, \beta}(y, x), \quad R_{k, \beta}(g x, y)=R_{k, \beta}\left(x, g^{-1} y\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) Let $\beta, \theta>0$ such that $\beta+\theta<d+2 \gamma$. Then we have the following generalized Riesz composition formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R_{k, \beta}(x, z) R_{k, \theta}(y, z) \omega_{k}(z) d z=R_{k, \beta+\theta}(x, y) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

3) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then, for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W$. $x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa \min _{g \in W}\left(\|x-g y\|^{\beta-d-2 \gamma}\right) \leq R_{k, \beta}(x, y) \leq \kappa \max _{g \in W}\left(\|x-g y\|^{\beta-d-2 \gamma}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

4) Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then, the function $x \mapsto R_{k, \beta}(x, y)$ is
-lower semi-continuous (l.s.c.) on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
-of class $C^{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W . x$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{j} R_{k, \beta}(x, y)=(\beta-d-2 \gamma) \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(x_{j}-z_{j}\right)\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right)^{\frac{\beta-2-d-2 \gamma}{2}} d \mu_{y}(z) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: 1) The result follows from (3.3), (2.16) and (2.17).
2) The result follows from the Fubini's theorem and the semi-group property of the Dunklheat kernel (2.15).
3) Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. From (1.4) for any $z \in \operatorname{supp} \mu_{y}$, we can write $z=\sum_{g \in W} \lambda_{g}(z) g y$, where $\lambda_{g}(z) \in[0,1]$ are such that $\sum_{g \in W} \lambda_{g}(z)=1$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle=\sum_{g \in W} \lambda_{g}(z)\|x-g y\|^{2} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\psi: t \longmapsto t^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}}$ is a convex function on $] 0,+\infty[$, by (3.20) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}} & =\left(\sum_{g \in W} \lambda_{g}(z)\|x-g y\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}} \\
& \leq \max _{g \in W}\left(\|x-g y\|^{\beta-d-2 \gamma}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the right inequality. Again by convexity, Jensen's inequality and (3.20), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{k, \beta}(x, y) & \geq \kappa\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right) d \mu_{y}(z)\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}} \\
& \geq \kappa\left(\sum_{g \in W}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \lambda_{g}(z) d \mu_{y}(z)\right)\|x-g y\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}} \\
& \geq \kappa\left(\max _{g \in W}\|x-g y\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}}=\kappa \min _{g \in W}\left(\|x-g y\|^{\beta-(d+2 \gamma)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line we have used the fact that $\psi$ is a decreasing function.
4) The function $x \mapsto R_{k, \beta}(x, y)$ is l.s.c. on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ as being the increasing limit of the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ of continuous functions defined by $f_{n}: x \mapsto \int_{1 / n}^{n} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, y) d t$.
Fix $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Using the fact that $\mu_{y}$ is with compact support and the fact that the function

$$
(x, z) \longmapsto\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right)^{\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}}
$$

is of class $C^{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W \cdot y \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we can differentiate under the integral in the relation (3.2) and we obtain the result.

In the following result, we study the $L_{k, l o c}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$-integrability of the function $R_{k, \beta}(x,$.$) ,$ for fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Proposition 3.5 Let $0<\beta<d+2 \gamma$ and $p \in\left[1, \frac{d+2 \gamma}{d+2 \gamma-\beta}[\right.$. Then, for every $R>0$, there exists a positive constant $C=C(R, p, d, \gamma, \beta)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad\left\|R_{k, \beta}(x, .)\right\|_{L_{k}^{p}(B(0, R))} \leq C . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, R_{k, \beta}(x,$.$) is in L_{k, l o c}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Proof: By Jensen's inequality and (3.2), we have

$$
\left(R_{k, \beta}(x, y)\right)^{p} \leq \kappa^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right)^{\frac{p(\beta-d-2 \gamma)}{2}} d \mu_{y}(z)
$$

Using the same idea as in the proof of (3.3), we can write the previous inequality as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(R_{k, \beta}(x, y)\right)^{p} & \leq \frac{\kappa^{p}}{p(d+2 \gamma-\beta)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{p(\beta-d-2 \gamma)} h_{k}(t, x, y) \frac{d t}{t} \\
& =C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} t^{p(\beta-d-2 \gamma)} h_{k}(t, x, y) \frac{d t}{t}+C_{1} \int_{1}^{+\infty} t^{p(\beta-d-2 \gamma)} h_{k}(t, x, y) \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} t^{p(\beta-d-2 \gamma)} h_{k}(t, x, y) \frac{d t}{t}+\frac{C_{1}}{p(d+2 \gamma-\beta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}=\frac{\kappa^{p}}{p(d+2 \gamma-\beta)}$ and we have used the fact that $h_{k}(t, x, y) \leq 1$ in the last inequality. Let then $R>0$. From (2.18), Fubini's theorem and our hypothesis, we deduce that

$$
\int_{B(0, R)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{p(\beta-d-2 \gamma)} h_{k}(t, x, y) \frac{d t}{t} \omega_{k}(y) d y \leq \frac{d_{k}}{d+2 \gamma} \int_{0}^{1} t^{p(\beta-d-2 \gamma)} t^{d+2 \gamma} \frac{d t}{t}:=C_{2}<+\infty
$$

This proves the desired inequality where we can take

$$
C=\left(C_{1} C_{2}+\frac{C_{1} m_{k}[B(0, R)]}{p(d+2 \gamma-\beta)}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Proposition 3.6 Let $0<\beta<d+2 \gamma$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then, the function $R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0},.\right)$ is
i) D-superharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ when $\beta \geq 2$,
ii) D-harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W \cdot x_{0}$ when $\beta=2$,
iii) D-subharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W . x_{0}$ when $\beta \leq 2$

Proof: The case $\beta=2$ (i.e. the case of the Dunkl-Newton kernel) has been done in [10]. So, we will deal with the case $\beta \neq 2$.
i) Suppose that $\beta>2$. We consider the function $S_{x_{0}, \beta, r}$

$$
S_{x_{0}, \beta, r}(x):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{r}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, x\right) d t .
$$

By the monotone convergence theorem, we see that the function $R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0},.\right)$ is the pointwise increasing limit of the sequence $\left(S_{x_{0}, \beta, \frac{1}{n}}\right)_{n}$. Hence, by Proposition 3.3 in [10], it suffices to prove that for every $r>0, S_{x_{0}, \beta, r}$ is D-superharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. To do this, we have only to show that $S_{x_{0}, \beta, r}$ is of class $C^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\Delta_{k} S_{x_{0}, \beta, r} \leq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ (see [10], Proposition 4.1).

The function $p_{k}\left(t, x_{0},.\right)$ is of class $C^{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and we can differentiate under the integral sign in the relation (2.10) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{j} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, .\right)(x)=-\frac{1}{2 t} \frac{1}{(2 t)^{\frac{d}{2}+\gamma} c_{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(x_{j}-z_{j}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{4 t}\left(\|x\|^{2}+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right)} d \mu_{x_{0}}(z) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{i} \partial_{j} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, .\right)(x)=-\delta_{i j} \frac{1}{2 t} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, x\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{4 t^{2}} \frac{1}{(2 t)^{\frac{d}{2}+\gamma} c_{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(x_{j}-z_{j}\right)\left(x_{i}-z_{i}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{4 t}\left(\|x\|^{2}+\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right)} d \mu_{x_{0}}(z), \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker symbol.
Using the fact that supp $\mu_{x_{0}} \subset B\left(0,\left\|x_{0}\right\|\right)$, we deduce from (3.22) and (3.23) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\partial_{j} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, .\right)(x)\right| \leq \frac{\|x\|+\left\|x_{0}\right\|}{(2 t)^{1+\frac{d}{2}+\gamma} c_{k}}, \\
\left|\partial_{i} \partial_{j} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, .\right)(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(2 t)^{1+\frac{d}{2}+\gamma} c_{k}}+\frac{\left(\|x\|+\left\|x_{0}\right\|\right)^{2}}{(2 t)^{2+\frac{d}{2}+\gamma} c_{k}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $R>0$. The previous inequalities and the differentiation theorem under the integral sign imply that $S_{x_{0}, \beta, r}$ is of class $C^{2}$ on the open ball $\stackrel{\circ}{B}(0, R)$ and as $x \mapsto p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, x\right)$ is a solution of the Dunkl-heat equation (1.9), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall x \in \stackrel{\circ}{B}(0, R), \quad \Delta_{k} S_{x_{0}, \beta, r}(x) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{r}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \Delta_{k}\left(p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, .\right)\right)(x) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{r}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \partial_{t} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, x\right) d t \\
& =-\frac{r^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} p_{k}\left(r, x_{0}, x\right)-\frac{\beta-2}{2 \Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{r}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-2} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, x\right) d t<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $S_{x_{0}, \beta, r}$ is D-superharmonic on $\stackrel{\circ}{B}(0, R)$. As $R>0$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $S_{x_{0}, \beta, r}$ is D-superharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ as desired.
iii) Let $\beta \in] 0,2[$. Using (3.22), (3.23) and (3.20), we can see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\partial_{j} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, .\right)(x)\right| \leq \frac{\|x\|+\left\|x_{0}\right\|}{(2 t)^{1+\frac{d}{2}+\gamma} c_{k}} e^{-\frac{\min _{g} \in W\left(\left\|x-g x_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)}{4 t}}, \\
\left|\partial_{i} \partial_{j} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, .\right)(x)\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{(2 t)^{1+\frac{d}{2}+\gamma} c_{k}}+\frac{\left(\|x\|+\left\|x_{0}\right\|\right)^{2}}{(2 t)^{2+\frac{d}{2}+\gamma} c_{k}}\right) e^{-\frac{\min _{g \in W}\left(\left\|x-g x_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)}{4 t}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Fix an arbitrary open Dunkl ball $O^{W}(a, R):=\cup_{g \in W} \stackrel{\circ}{B}(g a, R)$ such that its closure is contained in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W \cdot x_{0}$. The previous inequalities imply that we can differentiate with
respect to $x \in O^{W}(a, R)$ under the integral sign in the relation (3.1). Furthermore, using the heat equation (1.9) and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall x \in O^{W}(a, R), \quad \Delta_{k}\left(R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, .\right)\right)(x) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \partial_{t} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, x\right) d t \\
& =-\frac{\beta-2}{2 \Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-2} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, x\right) d t \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Remark 3.1-2), the above relation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in O^{W}(a, R), \quad \Delta_{k}\left(R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, .\right)\right)(x)=-R_{k, \beta-2}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the function $R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0},.\right)$ is D-subharmonic on $O^{W}(a, R)$ and so on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W \cdot x_{0}$.
Proposition 3.7 Let $\beta \in] 0, d+2 \gamma\left[\right.$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then, the function $x \mapsto R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \omega_{k}(x)$ defines a tempered distribution and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, .\right) \omega_{k}\right)=E_{k}\left(-i x_{0}, .\right)\|\cdot\|^{-\beta} \omega_{k} \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m>d+2 \gamma$. We claim that there exists a constant $C_{m}=$ $C(d, \gamma, \beta, m)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right)^{-m} R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \omega_{k}(x) d x \leq C_{m} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3), we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, x\right) & =\frac{\kappa}{d+2 \gamma-\beta}\left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta-d-2 \gamma-1} h_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, x\right) d t+\int_{1}^{+\infty} t^{\beta-d-2 \gamma-1} h_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, x\right) d t\right) \\
& :=A\left(x_{0}, x\right) \quad+B\left(x_{0}, x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Using Fubini's theorem and the relation (2.18), for any $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right)^{-m} A\left(x_{0}, x\right) \omega_{k}(x) d x & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} A\left(x_{0}, x\right) \omega_{k}(x) d x \\
& =\frac{\kappa}{d+2 \gamma-\beta} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta-d-2 \gamma-1}\left\|h_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, .\right)\right\|_{k, 1} d t \\
& =\frac{d_{k} \kappa}{\beta(d+2 \gamma)(d+2 \gamma-\beta)}:=C_{1, m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Now, using the inequality $h_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, x\right) \leq 1$, we deduce that

$$
\forall x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad B\left(x_{0}, x\right) \leq \frac{\kappa}{(d+2 \gamma-\beta)^{2}} .
$$

This relation and the choice of $m$ imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right)^{-m} B\left(x_{0}, x\right) \omega_{k}(x) d x & \leq \frac{\kappa}{(d+2 \gamma-\beta)^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right)^{-m} \omega_{k}(x) d x \\
& :=C_{2, m}<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (3.26) and this implies that the function $R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0},.\right) \omega_{k}$ defines a tempered distribution (see [27], Theorem VII, p. 242).
Let us now prove (3.25). For $\phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we have

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, .\right) \omega_{k}\right), \phi\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, x\right) d t\right) \mathcal{F}_{k}(\phi)(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x .
$$

Multiplying and dividing by $\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right)^{m}$ (the integer $m$ is chosen as above) and using the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{k}(\phi) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we see that we can use Fubini's theorem in the above relation. Moreover, from (2.4) and (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, .\right) \omega_{k}\right), \phi\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(p_{k}\left(t, x_{0}, .\right)\right)(x) \phi(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x\right) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} E_{k}\left(-i x_{0}, x\right) e^{-t\|x\|^{2}} \phi(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying again Fubini's theorem, we deduce that

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, .\right) \omega_{k}\right), \phi\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} E_{k}\left(-i x_{0}, x\right)\|x\|^{-\beta} \phi(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x .
$$

This completes the proof.
Corollary 3.1 For every $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0} R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, .\right) \omega_{k}=\delta_{x_{0}} \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We can see that for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\|\xi\|^{-\beta} \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash B(0,1)}(\xi)+\|\xi\|^{-d-2 \gamma} \mathbf{1}_{B(0,1)}(\xi) .
$$

Consequently, we can use the dominated convergence theorem to obtain from (3.25)

$$
\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, .\right) \omega_{k}\right)=E_{k}\left(-i x_{0}, .\right) \omega_{k}=\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\delta_{x_{0}}\right) \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) .
$$

Thus, we deduce the result by using the properties of the Dunkl transform on $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
From the formula (3.24), we see that the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz measure related to the D-subharmonic function $R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0},.\right), \beta<2$, is given by $-R_{k, \beta-2}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \omega_{k}(x) d x$. In the following result, we will compute the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz measure of the D -superharmonic function $R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0},.\right)$ with $\beta \in[2, d+2 \gamma[$.

Corollary 3.2 Let $2 \leq \beta<d+2 \gamma$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. If $m \in[1, \beta / 2]$ be an integer, then the function $x \mapsto R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ satisfies

$$
\left(-\Delta_{k}\right)^{m}\left(R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, .\right) \omega_{k}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
R_{k, \beta-2 m}\left(x_{0}, .\right) \omega_{k} & \text { in } \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)  \tag{3.28}\\
\text { if } \beta>2 m \\
\delta_{x_{0}} & \text { in } \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
\end{array} \text { if } \beta=2 m, ~ l\right.
$$

where $\delta_{x_{0}}$ is the Dirac measure at $x_{0}$.

Proof: At first, we remark that if $U \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\Delta_{k} U\right)=-\|\cdot\|^{2} \mathcal{F}_{k}(U) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

as easily follows from the relation $\Delta_{k} \mathcal{F}_{k}(f)=-\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\|\cdot\|^{2} f\right)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
From (3.29) and (3.25), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\left(-\Delta_{k}\right)^{m}\left(R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, .\right) \omega_{k}\right)\right) & =E_{k}\left(-i x_{0}, .\right)\|\cdot\| \|^{\beta-2 m} \omega_{k} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(R_{k, \beta-2 m}\left(x_{0}, .\right) \omega_{k}\right) & \text { in } & \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) & \text { if } & \beta>2 m \\
\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\delta_{x_{0}}\right) & \text { in } & \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) & \text { if } & \beta=2 m .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we deduce the result by the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{k}$ is a topological isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ onto itself.

Remark 3.2 Let $1 \leq m<\gamma+d / 2$ an integer. Taking $x_{0}=0$ in (3.28), we deduce that the function $S: y \mapsto R_{k, 2 m}(0, y) \omega_{k}(y)=\kappa\|y\|^{2 m-d-2 \gamma} \omega_{k}(y)$ is the fundamental solution of the Dunkl-polylaplacian of order $m\left(-\Delta_{k}\right)^{m}$ i.e. $\left(-\Delta_{k}\right)^{m} S=\delta_{0}$ in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

## 4 Riesz potentials of Radon measures

The sets $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ denote respectively the space of signed Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and the convex cone of nonnegative Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Definition 4.1 Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\left.\beta \in\right] 0, d+2 \gamma\left[\right.$. The $\beta-\Delta_{k}$-Riesz potential of $\mu$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R_{k, \beta}(x, y) d \mu(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.1 Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\left.\beta \in\right] 0, d+2 \gamma[$.

1. If $\mu$ is bounded, then $I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \in L_{k, l o c}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ whenever $p \in\left[1, \frac{d+2 \gamma}{d+2 \gamma-\beta}[\right.$. In particular, $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is finite a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
2. The following statements are equivalent
i) $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is finite a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$,
ii) the measure $\mu$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}(1+\|y\|)^{\beta-d-2 \gamma} d \mu(y)<+\infty, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii) $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\left(x_{0}\right)<+\infty$ for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

If ii) holds, then $I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \in L_{k, l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Proof: 1) Assume that $\mu$ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Let $p$ as in the proposition and $R>0$. Using respectively (4.1), Jensen's inequality, Fubini's theorem, the fact that the Riesz kernel is symmetric and (3.21), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(0, R)}\left(I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x)\right)^{p}(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x & \leq \int_{B(0, R)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(R_{k, \beta}(x, y)\right)^{p} d \mu(y)\right) \omega_{k}(x) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\int_{B(0, R)}\left(R_{k, \beta}(x, y)\right)^{p} \omega_{k}(x) d x\right) d \mu(y) \\
& \leq C<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is the constant in (3.21).
2) ii) $\Rightarrow \mathbf{i}$ ) Assume that the condition (4.2) holds. We will prove that $x \mapsto I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x)$ is in $L_{k, l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Let $R>1$. By Fubini's theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{R} & :=\int_{B(0, R)} I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x) \omega_{k}(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{B(0, R)} R_{k, \beta}(x, y) \omega_{k}(x) d x d \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{\|y\| \leq 2 R} \int_{B(0, R)} R_{k, \beta}(x, y) \omega_{k}(x) d x d \mu(y)+\int_{\|y\|>2 R} \int_{B(0, R)} R_{k, \beta}(x, y) \omega_{k}(x) d x d \mu(y) \\
& :=A_{1, R}+A_{2, R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the assertion 1) with the finite measure $\mu_{\mid B(0, R)}$, we get $A_{1, R}<+\infty$.
Now, from (3.18) we deduce that

$$
A_{2, R} \leq \kappa \int_{\|y\|>2 R} \int_{B(0, R)} \max _{g \in W}\left(\|x-g y\|^{\beta-d-2 \gamma}\right) \omega_{k}(x) d x d \mu(y)
$$

But, for every $x \in B(0, R)$ and every $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash B(0,2 R)$, we have $\|x-g y\| \geq\|y\|-\|x\| \geq \frac{\|y\|}{2}$. Moreover, as $R>1$, we see that $\|y\| \geq \frac{1}{2}(1+\|y\|)$ whenever $\|y\| \geq 2 R$. In other words, the inequality

$$
\max _{g \in W}\left(\|x-g y\|^{\beta-d-2 \gamma}\right) \leq 4^{\beta-d-2 \gamma}(1+\|y\|)^{\beta-d-2 \gamma}
$$

holds for every $x \in B(0, R)$ and every $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash B(0,2 R)$. Hence, by our hypothesis we conclude that

$$
A_{2, R} \leq 4^{\beta-d-2 \gamma} \kappa m_{k}[B(0, R)] \int_{\|y\| \geq 2 R}(1+\|y\|)^{\beta-d-2 \gamma} d \mu(y)<+\infty
$$

and thus the function $x \mapsto I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x) \omega_{k}(x)$ is locally integrable on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. In particular, $I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x)<+\infty$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
i) $\Rightarrow$ iii) It is obvious.
iii) $\Rightarrow$ ii) Let $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\left(x_{0}\right)<+\infty$. From (3.18), we can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\left(x_{0}\right) & \geq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \min _{g \in W}\left(\left\|x_{0}-g y\right\|^{\beta-d-2 \gamma}\right) d \mu(y) \\
& \geq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\left\|x_{0}\right\|+\|y\|\right)^{\beta-d-2 \gamma} d \mu(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leq 1$, we deduce immediately from the previous inequality that (4.2) holds.
If $\left\|x_{0}\right\|>1$, using the fact that

$$
\left\|x_{0}\right\|+\|y\| \leq\left\|x_{0}\right\|(1+\|y\|)
$$

and using again the above inequality, we obtain that (4.2) holds.
This finishes the proof.
Remark 4.1 Let $\beta \in] 0, d+2 \gamma[$.

- Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\mu=\mu^{+}-\mu^{-}$its Hahn-Jordan decomposition. If $\mu^{+}$and $\mu^{-}$ satisfy (4.2), then the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz potential of $\mu$ is well defined almost everywhere by setting $I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x)=I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu^{+}\right](x)-I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu^{-}\right](x)$. Moreover, the function $I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \in L_{k, l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
- Let us introduce the following notations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{k, \beta}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right):=\left\{\mu \in \mathcal{M}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \quad \mu \text { satisfies }(4.2)\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{k, \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right):=\left\{\mu=\mu^{+}-\mu^{-} \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \quad \mu^{+}, \mu^{-} \in \mathcal{M}_{k, \beta}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right\} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that if $0<\beta_{1} \leq \beta_{2}<d+2 \gamma$, then $\mathcal{M}_{k, \beta_{2}}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset \mathcal{M}_{k, \beta_{1}}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k, \beta_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset$ $\mathcal{M}_{k, \beta_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

- Let $\beta, \theta>0$ be such that $\beta+\theta<d+2 \gamma$. Then using the generalized Riesz composition formula (3.17) and Fubini's theorem we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{k, \beta+\theta}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \quad I_{k, \beta+\theta}[\mu]=I_{k, \beta}\left[I_{k, \theta}[\mu](y) \omega_{k}(y) d y\right] . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following result we will establish that any measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{k, \beta}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ doesn't charge the singularities of the function $R_{k, \beta}(x,$.$) whenever its \beta$ - $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz potential valued at $x$ is finite. More precisely, we have

Proposition 4.2 Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{k, \beta}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x)<+\infty$. Then $\mu(\{g x\})=0$ whenever the point $g x, g \in W$, is a singularity of $R_{k, \beta}(x,$.$) .$
In particular, if $\beta \leq d$, the condition $I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x)<+\infty$ implies that $\mu(\{x\})=0$.
Proof: Let $g \in W$ such that $R_{k, \beta}(x, g x)=+\infty$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $R_{k, \beta}(x,$.$) is l.s.c. at$ $g x$, there exists $r>0$ such that $R_{k, \beta}(x, y) \geq n$ for all $y \in B(g x, r)$. This implies that

$$
I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x) \geq \int_{B(g x, r)} R_{k, \beta}(x, y) d \mu(y) \geq n \mu(B(g x, r)) \geq n \mu(\{g x\}) .
$$

This proves the first part.
Now, since $\beta \leq d$, we know that $x$ is always a singularity of the function $R_{k, \beta}(x,$.$) . Thus$ the second part follows from the first one.

Now, we establish a boundedness principle for the potential of a compactly supported measure which generalizes the known result in the classical case (i.e. $k=0$ ) (see [18], Theorem 1.5).

Proposition 4.3 Let $0<\beta<d+2 \gamma$ and $\mu$ be a compactly supported nonnegative Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. If $I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \leq M$ holds on $W$.supp $\mu$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \leq 2^{d+2 \gamma-\beta} M \quad \text { on } \quad \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $x \notin W$.supp $\mu$ and $x_{0} \in W$.supp $\mu$ such that $\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|=\operatorname{dist}(x, W$.supp $\mu)$. We have

$$
\forall y \in \operatorname{supp} \mu, \quad \forall g \in W, \quad\left\|x_{0}-g y\right\| \leq\left\|x_{0}-x\right\|+\|x-g y\| \leq 2\|x-g y\| .
$$

Hence, by (3.20) we deduce that

$$
\forall y \in \operatorname{supp} \mu, \quad \forall z \in \operatorname{supp} \mu_{y}, \quad\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{0}, z\right\rangle \leq 4\left(\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, z\rangle\right) .
$$

Now, using (2.10), we obtain

$$
\forall y \in \operatorname{supp} \mu, \quad 4^{-\frac{d}{2}-\gamma} p_{t / 4}(x, y) \leq p_{t}\left(x_{0}, y\right)
$$

From (3.1), the above inequality implies that

$$
\forall y \in \operatorname{supp} \mu, \quad 2^{-d-2 \gamma+\beta} R_{k, \beta}(x, y) \leq R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, y\right) .
$$

Finally, if we integrate with respect to the measure $d \mu(y)$ and use our hypothesis, the inequality (4.6) follows.

In the following result, we will study some continuity properties of the $\beta-\Delta_{k}$-Riesz potentials:

Proposition 4.4 Let $\beta \in] 0, d+2 \gamma\left[\right.$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{k, \beta}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with compact support.

1) The function $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is lower semi-continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W$.supp $\mu$.
2) If the restriction of the function $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ on $W$.supp $\mu$ is continuous on $W$.supp $\mu$, then $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Proof: 1) • Consider the function $F_{n}$ given by

$$
F_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{\operatorname{supp} \mu}\left(\int_{1 / n}^{n} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, y) d t\right) d \mu(y) .
$$

As $t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, y) \leq 2^{-\frac{d}{2}-\gamma} c_{k}^{-1} t^{-\frac{\beta-d-2 \gamma}{2}-1}$, by the continuity theorem under the integral sign, we see that $F_{n}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Moreover, from the monotone convergence theorem, we deduce that the function $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is l.s.c. on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ as being the pointwise increasing limit of the sequence $\left(F_{n}\right)$.

- Let us prove the second part of 1$)$. Fix a closed ball $B\left(x_{0}, R\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W$.supp $\mu$ and set

$$
\eta:=\operatorname{dist}\left(B\left(x_{0}, R\right), W \cdot \operatorname{supp} \mu\right)>0 .
$$

From (2.14), we deduce that

$$
\forall(x, y) \in B\left(x_{0}, R\right) \times \operatorname{supp} \mu, \quad p_{k}(t, x, y) \leq \frac{1}{(2 t)^{\frac{d}{2}+\gamma} c_{k}} e^{-\frac{\eta^{2}}{4 t}} .
$$

Then, writing

$$
I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta / 2)} \int_{\operatorname{supp} \mu}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} p_{k}(t, x, y) d t\right) d \mu(y)
$$

and using the continuity theorem under the integral sign, it follows that $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is continuous on $B\left(x_{0}, R\right)$. As the ball $B\left(x_{0}, R\right)$ is arbitrary, the result follows.
2) Fix $x_{0} \in W$.supp $\mu$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)$ be a sequence which converges to $x_{0}$. For $R>0$ (small), set $\mu_{R}:=\mu_{\mid B\left(x_{0}, R\right)}$ and $\nu_{R}:=\mu-\mu_{R}$. In particular, we note that

$$
I_{k, \beta}[\mu]=I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu_{R}\right]+I_{k, \beta}\left[\nu_{R}\right] .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\left(x_{n}\right)-I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leq I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu_{R}\right]\left(x_{n}\right)+I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu_{R}\right]\left(x_{0}\right)+\left|I_{k, \beta}\left[\nu_{R}\right]\left(x_{n}\right)-I_{k, \beta}\left[\nu_{R}\right]\left(x_{0}\right)\right| . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- As $x_{0} \notin W . \operatorname{supp} \nu_{R}$, by the assertion 1 , the function $I_{k, \beta}\left[\nu_{R}\right]$ is continuous at $x_{0}$. Hence, there exists $N_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \geq N_{1}, \quad\left|I_{k, \beta}\left[\nu_{R}\right]\left(x_{n}\right)-I_{k, \beta}\left[\nu_{R}\right]\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For every $n$, let $x_{n}^{\prime} \in K:=W . \operatorname{supp} \mu_{R}=W .\left(\operatorname{supp} \mu \cap B\left(x_{0}, R\right)\right)$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|=$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n}, K\right)=\inf \left\{\left\|x_{n}-\xi\right\|, \xi \in K\right\}$. As $x_{0} \in K$, we can see that $\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\| \geq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|$. This implies that $\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}-x_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\| \leq 2\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|$ and thus $x_{n}^{\prime} \longrightarrow x_{0}$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Using the inequality (4.6), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu_{R}\right]\left(x_{n}\right) \leq 2^{d+2 \gamma-\beta} I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu_{R}\right]\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)=2^{d+2 \gamma-\beta}\left(I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)-I_{k, \beta}\left[\nu_{R}\right]\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, $x_{n}^{\prime} \in W$.supp $\mu$ and the restriction of $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ on $W$.supp $\mu$ is continuous. Thus, $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)=I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\left(x_{0}\right)$. Again by continuity of $I_{k, \beta}\left[\nu_{R}\right]$ at $x_{0}$, we also get $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} I_{k, \beta}\left[\nu_{R}\right]\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)=I_{k, \beta}\left[\nu_{R}\right]\left(x_{0}\right)$. Therefore, $I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu_{R}\right]\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu_{R}\right]\left(x_{0}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow$ $+\infty$. Let then $N_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \geq N_{2}, \quad I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu_{R}\right]\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu_{R}\right]\left(x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally from (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10), the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\left(x_{n}\right)-I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leq\left(2^{d+2 \gamma-\beta}+1\right)\left(\varepsilon+I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu_{R}\right]\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $n \geq \max \left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$ and every $R>0$.
But, since $x_{0} \in W$.supp $\mu$ and $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is continuous on $W$.supp $\mu$, we must have $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\left(x_{0}\right)<$ $+\infty$. Furthermore, because $x_{0}$ is a singularity of $R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0},.\right)$, Proposition 4.2 imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} I_{k, \beta}\left[\mu_{R}\right]\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \int_{B\left(x_{0}, R\right)} R_{k, \beta}\left(x_{0}, y\right) d \mu(y)=0 . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by the relations (4.11) and (4.12) we deduce that $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is continuous at $x_{0}$.

Theorem 4.1 Let $\beta \in] 0, d+2 \gamma\left[\right.$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{k, \beta}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with compact support. Then, the function $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is
i) D-superharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ if $\beta \geq 2$,
ii) $D$-harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W . \operatorname{supp} \mu$ if $\beta=2$,
iii) $D$-subharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W$.supp $\mu$ if $\beta \leq 2$.

We need the following lemma:
Proof of Theorem 4.1: i) Let $\beta>2$. Using Fubini's theorem and the D-superharmonicty of the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel (see Proposition 3.6), we can easily see that $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ satisfies the super-mean property i.e. for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and all $r>0, M_{B}^{r}\left(I_{k, \beta}[\mu]\right)(x) \leq I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x)$.
Since $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is l.s.c and finite a.e., we deduce that the function $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is D -superharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
ii) If $\beta=2$, we are in the case of the Dunkl-Newton potential and the result has been proved in [10].
iii) Let $\beta<2$. From Lemma 4.4, we know that $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash$ $W$.supp $\mu$. Furthermore, by Proposition 3.6 and Fubini's theorem, the sub-mean property is satisfied by the function $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W$.supp $\mu$. Thus, $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is D-subharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash W . \operatorname{supp} \mu$.

Corollary 4.1 Let $\beta \in\left[2, d+2 \gamma\left[\right.\right.$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{k, \beta}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then the function $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is $D$ superharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Proof: Let $\Phi_{n}$ the function defined by $\Phi_{n}(x)=\int_{B(0, n)} R_{k, \beta}(x, y) d \mu(y)$. From Theorem 4.1, the function $\Phi_{n}$ is D-superharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Thus, as $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is not identically $+\infty$ by hypothesis, the function $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]$ is D-superharmonic on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ as being an increasing pointwise limit of the sequence $\left(\Phi_{n}\right)_{n}$ of D-superharmonic functions (see [10], Proposition 3.3).

Proposition 4.5 Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{k, \beta}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\beta \in[2, d+2 \gamma[$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $1 \leq m \leq$ $\beta / 2$. Then, the function $x \mapsto I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x) \omega_{k}(x)$ satisfies

$$
\left(-\Delta_{k}\right)^{m}\left(I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \omega_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}I_{k, \beta-2 m}[\mu] \omega_{k} & \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)  \tag{4.13}\\ \mu & \text { if } \beta>2 m \\ \mu & \text { in } \quad \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \quad \text { if } \beta=2 m\end{cases}
$$

Proof: Let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. We will only prove the result in the case $\beta>2 m$ and by the same arguments it can be obtained when $\beta=2 m$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(-\Delta_{k}\right)^{m}\left(I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \omega_{k}\right), \phi\right\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R_{k, \beta}(x, y)\left(-\Delta_{k}\right)^{m} \phi(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x\right) d \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R_{k, \beta-2 m}(x, y) \phi(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x\right) d \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} I_{k, \beta-2 m}[\mu](x) \phi(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used
-Fubini's theorem in the first and the last lines (it is possible because $I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \in L_{k, l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and by Remark 4.1, $I_{k, \beta-2 m}[\mu]$ is also in $\left.L_{k, l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$;

- the fact that the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel is symmetric and the relation (3.28) in the second line.

From the previous proposition, we obtain immediately the uniqueness principle for $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz potential of index $2 m$ :

Corollary 4.2 Let $m \in] 0, \frac{d}{2}+\gamma\left[\right.$ be an integer and $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_{k, 2 m}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. If $I_{k, 2 m}[\mu]=$ $I_{k, 2 m}[\nu]$ a.e, then $\mu=\nu$.

For an arbitrary index $\beta \in] 0, d+2 \gamma[$, we have the following version of the uniqueness principle for finite measures:

Theorem 4.2 Let $\beta \in] 0, d+2 \gamma[$ and let $\mu, \nu$ be two finite and nonnegative Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. If $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]=I_{k, \beta}[\nu]$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, then $\mu=\nu$.

We start by the following result
Lemma 4.1 Let $\mu$ be a finite and nonnegative Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then, $I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \omega_{k}$ is a tempered distribution and its distributional Dunkl transform is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \omega_{k}\right)=\|\cdot\|^{-\beta} \mathcal{F}_{k}(\mu) \omega_{k} \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\mathcal{F}_{k}(\mu)$ is the function defined by (2.5).
Proof: Let $m>d+2 \gamma$ an integer and $C_{m}$ as in (3.26). By Fubini's theorem, the symmetric property of the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz kernel and the relation (3.26), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right)^{-m} I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x) \omega_{k}(x) d x & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right)^{-m} R_{k, \beta}(x, y) \omega_{k}(x) d x\right) d \mu(y) \\
& \leq C_{m} \mu\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \omega_{k} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{F}_{k}\left(I_{k, \beta}[\mu] \omega_{k}\right), \phi\right\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R_{k, \beta}(x, y) d \mu(y)\right) \mathcal{F}_{k}(\phi)(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R_{k, \beta}(x, y) \mathcal{F}_{k}(\phi)(x) \omega_{k}(x) d x\right) \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} E_{k}(-i y, x)\|x\|^{-\beta} \omega_{k}(x) \phi(x) d x\right) d \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\|x\|^{-\beta} \mathcal{F}_{k}(\mu)(x) \omega_{k}(x) \phi(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used
-Fubini's theorem in the first second line: it is possible because $\mathcal{F}_{k}(\phi) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and then
the function $x \mapsto\left(1+\|x\|^{2}\right)^{m} \mathcal{F}_{k}(\phi)(x)$ is bounded with $m$ the integer chosen as above;
-the relations (3.25) and $R_{k, \beta}(x, y)=R_{k, \beta}(y, x)$ in the third line;
-the boundedness of the function $(x, y) \mapsto E_{k}(i y, x)$ (see (2.2)), Fubini's theorem and (2.5) in the last line.

Proof of Theorem 4.2: By our hypothesis and Lemma 4.1, we have $I_{k, \beta}[\mu]=I_{k, \beta}[\nu]$ in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Applying Dunkl transform to the both terms and using the relation (4.14), we deduce that

$$
\|\cdot\|^{-\beta} \mathcal{F}_{k}(\mu) \omega_{k}=\|\cdot\|^{-\beta} \mathcal{F}_{k}(\nu) \omega_{k} \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

As the functions $\|\cdot\|^{-\beta} \mathcal{F}_{k}(\mu) \omega_{k}$ and $\|\cdot\|^{-\beta} \mathcal{F}_{k}(\nu) \omega_{k}$ are locally integrable on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we get

$$
\|\cdot\|^{-\beta} \mathcal{F}_{k}(\mu) \omega_{k}=\|\cdot\|^{-\beta} \mathcal{F}_{k}(\nu) \omega_{k} \quad \text { a.e. on } \quad \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

Now, by continuity it follows that the functions $\mathcal{F}_{k}(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{k}(\nu)$ coincide everywhere on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Finally, by the injectivity of the Dunkl transform on the space of finite Radon measures on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we conclude that $\mu=\nu$.

In order to extend the pointwise Hedbreg inequality in Dunkl setting, in the following result we give the link between the $\Delta_{k}$-Riesz potential and the volume mean of a nonnegative Radon measure.

Proposition 4.6 Let $\mu$ be a nonnegative Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then, for all $\left.\beta \in\right] 0, d+$ $2 \gamma[$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k, \beta}[\mu](x)=\frac{d_{k} \kappa}{(d+2 \gamma)(d+2 \gamma-\beta)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{\beta} M_{B}^{t}(\mu)(x) \frac{d t}{t} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{B}^{t}(\mu)(x):=\frac{1}{m_{k}[B(0, t)]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} h_{k}(t, x, y) d \mu(y) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The result follows from (3.3), Fubini's theorem, (2.18) and (4.16).
In the following result, we will extend the pointwise Hedberg inequality (see [13]). We recall that the Dunkl-Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is defined for $f \in L_{k, l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by (see [28])

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{k}(f)(x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{1}{m_{k}[B(0, r)]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(y)| \tau_{-x}\left(\mathbf{1}_{B(0, r)}\right)(y) \omega_{k}(y) d y \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{-x}\left(\mathbf{1}_{B(0, r)}\right)$ denotes the $L_{k}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$-function with Dunkl transform

$$
\xi \mapsto E_{k}(-i x, \xi) \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(\mathbf{1}_{B(0, r)}\right)(\xi)
$$

According to [20], we have $h_{k}(r, x,)=.\tau_{-x}\left(\mathbf{1}_{B(0, r)}\right)$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Thus, we will take this remark into account in the formula (4.17) and in the sequel of the paper.

Moreover, when $d \mu(y)=|f(y)| \omega_{k}(y) d y, f \in L_{k, l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we will use the notation $I_{k, \beta}[|f|]$ instead of $I_{k, \beta}\left[|f(y)| \omega_{k}(y) d y\right]$.

Theorem 4.3 For $0<\beta<d+2 \gamma, 1 \leq p<\frac{d+2 \gamma}{\beta}$, there exists constants $C=C(d, \gamma, \beta, p)>$ 0 such that for any measurable function $f$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k, \beta}[|f|](x) \leq C\|f\|_{k, p}^{\frac{\beta p}{d+2 \gamma}}\left(M_{k}(f)(x)\right)^{1-\frac{\beta p}{d+2 \gamma}} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: For every $A>0$, by (4.15) where we take $d \mu(y)=|f(y)| \omega_{k}(y) d y$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{k, \beta}[|f|](x) & =I_{k, \beta}\left[|f| \omega_{k}\right](x)=C \int_{0}^{A} t^{\beta-1} M_{B}^{t}(|f|)(x) d t+C \int_{A}^{+\infty} t^{\beta-1} M_{B}^{t}(|f|)(x) d t \\
& :=I_{1}(x)+I_{2}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Clearly, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}(x) \leq C A^{\beta} M_{k}(f)(x) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

- We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(x) & =C \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int_{2^{n} A}^{2^{n+1} A} t^{\beta-d-2 \gamma-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(y)| h_{k}(t, x, y) \omega_{k}(y) d y d t \\
& \leq C\|f\|_{k, p} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int_{2^{n^{A}} A}^{2^{n+1} A} t^{\beta-d-2 \gamma-1} t^{d+2 \gamma(1-1 / p)} d t \\
& \leq C\|f\|_{k, p} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left(2^{n} A\right)^{\beta-\frac{d+2 \gamma}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used Hölder's inequality and the relation (2.18) in the second line. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}(x) \leq C A^{\beta-\frac{d+2 \gamma}{p}}\|f\|_{k, p} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (4.19), (4.20) and choosing

$$
A=A(x)=\left(\frac{\|f\|_{k, p}}{M_{k}(f)(x)+\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{p}{d+2 \gamma}}
$$

we obtain

$$
I_{k, \beta}[|f|](x) \leq C\|f\|_{k, p}^{\frac{\beta p}{d+2 \gamma}}\left(M_{k}(f)(x)+\varepsilon\right)^{1-\frac{\beta p}{d+2 \gamma}} .
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$, we get (4.18).
Using the Hedberg inequality (4.18), the $L_{k}^{p}$-boundedness properties of the Dunkl-Hardy-Littlewood maximal function (see [4] or [28]) and following the same proof as in the classical case (see Theorem 3.1.4 in [1]), we obtain the Sobolev inequality:
Corollary 4.3 Let $0<\beta<d+2 \gamma, 1 \leq p<\frac{d+2 \gamma}{\beta}$ and $p^{*}=\frac{p(d+2 \gamma)}{d+2 \gamma-\beta p}$.

1) If $p=1$, then $I_{k, \beta}$ is of weak type $\left(1, p^{*}\right)$ i.e. there exists a constant $C=C(\beta, d, \gamma)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \lambda>0, \quad \forall f \in L_{k}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \quad \int_{\left\{x: I_{k, \beta} \| f| |>\lambda\right\}} \omega_{k}(x) d x \leq C\left(\frac{\|f\|_{k, 1}}{\lambda}\right)^{p^{*}} . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

1) If $p>1$, then $I_{k, \beta}$ is of strong type $\left(p, p^{*}\right)$ i.e. $I_{k, \beta}: L_{k}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \longrightarrow L_{k}^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is bounded.

Remark 4.2 The previous result has been obtain in [11] by another proof using interpolation methods and in the particular case when the Coxeter-Weyl group is $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d}$ in [29].
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