

The next generation Virgo cluster survey-infrared (NGVS-IR). i. a new near-ultraviolet, optical, and near-infrared globular cluster selection tool

Roberto P. Munoz, Thomas H. Puzia, Ariane Lançon, Eric W. Peng, Patrick Cote, Laura Ferrarese, John P. Blakeslee, Simona Mei, Jean-Charles Cuillandre, Patrick Hudelot, et al.

To cite this version:

Roberto P. Munoz, Thomas H. Puzia, Ariane Lançon, Eric W. Peng, Patrick Cote, et al.. The next generation Virgo cluster survey-infrared (NGVS-IR). i. a new near-ultraviolet, optical, and nearinfrared globular cluster selection tool. 2025 . hal- 01442028

HAL Id: hal-01442028 <https://hal.science/hal-01442028v1>

Preprint submitted on 6 Jan 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

THE NEXT GENERATION VIRGO CLUSTER SURVEY – INFRARED (NGVS-IR)† : I. A NEW NEAR-UV/OPTICAL/NEAR-IR GLOBULAR CLUSTER SELECTION TOOL

ROBERTO P. MUÑOZ^{1,2}, THOMAS H. PUZIA¹, ARIANE LANÇON², ERIC W. PENG^{3,4}, PATRICK CÔTÉ⁵, LAURA FERRARESE⁵, JOHN P. BLAKESLEE⁵, SIMONA MEI^{6,7,8}, JEAN-CHARLES CUILLANDRE⁹, PATRICK HUDELOT¹⁰, STÉPHANE COURTEAU¹¹, PIERRE-ALAIN ${\rm DUC}^{12}$, Michael L. Balogh 13 , Alessandro Boselli 14 , Frédéric Bournaud 12 , Raymond G. Carlberg 15 , Scott C.

 $ChAPMAN^{16}$, PATRICK DURRELL¹⁷, PAUL EIGENTHALER¹, ERIC EMSELLEM^{18,19}, GIUSEPPE GAVAZZI²⁰, STEPHEN GWYN⁵, MARC

HUERTAS-COMPANY^{6,7}, OLIVIER ILBERT¹⁴, ANDRÉS JORDÁN¹, RONALD LÄSKER²¹, ROSSELLA LICITRA⁶, CHENGZE LIU^{22,23},

LAUREN MACARTHUR⁵, ALAN MCCONNACHIE⁵, HENRY JOY MCCRACKEN¹⁰, YANNICK MELLIER¹⁰, CHIEN Y. PENG²⁴, ANAND

RAICHOOR⁷, MATTHEW A. TAYLOR¹, JOHN L. TONRY²⁵, R. BRENT TULLY²⁵, HONGXIN ZHANG⁴
¹ Institute de Astrofísica Escultad de Eísica Pontificia Universided Católica de Chile, Ay Vicuña Mackenna 4860, 7820436 Macul

¹ Instituto de Astrofísica, Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Av. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, 7820436 Macul, Santiago, Chile ²Observatoire astronomique de Strasbourg, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, UMR 7550, 11 rue de l'Universite, F-67000 Strasbourg, France

³Department of Astronomy, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

⁴Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

⁵Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics, National Research Council of Canada, Victoria, BC V9E 2E7, Canada

⁶GEPI, Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, Universite Paris Diderot, 5 Place J. Janssen, F-92190 Meudon Cedex, France ´

⁷Universite Paris Denis Diderot, 75205 Paris Cedex 13, France ´

⁸California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

⁹Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Corporation, Kamuela, HI 96743, USA

¹⁰Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, UMR 7095 CNRS & UPMC, 98 bis Boulevard Arago, F-75014 Paris, France

 11 Department of Physics, Engineering Physics and Astronomy, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

¹²Laboratoire AIM Paris-Saclay, CNRS/INSU, Université Paris Diderot, CEA/IRFU/SAp, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

¹³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada

 14 Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, LAM (Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille) UMR 7326, F-13388 Marseille, France

¹⁵ Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 3H4, Canada

¹⁶Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

¹⁷Department of Physics and Astronomy, Youngstown State University, One University Plaza, Youngstown, OH 44555, USA

¹⁸Université de Lyon 1, CRAL, Observatoire de Lyon, 9 av. Charles André, F-69230 Saint-Genis Laval; CNRS, UMR 5574; ENS de Lyon, France

 19 European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzchild-Str. 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany

²⁰Universita degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Piazza della Scienza 3, 20126, Milano, Italy `

 21 Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

²²Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shangai 200240,

China

²³INPAC, Department of Physics and Astronomy and Shanghai Key Lab for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240,

China

²⁴Giant Magellan Telescope Organization, 251 South Lake Avenue, Suite 300, Pasadena, CA 91101, USA

²⁵Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

To appear in Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series

ABSTRACT

The NGVS-IR project (Next Generation Virgo Survey – Infrared) is a contiguous near-infrared imaging survey of the Virgo cluster of galaxies. It complements the optical wide-field survey of Virgo (NGVS). The current state of NGVS-IR consists of K_s -band imaging of 4 deg^2 centered on M87, and J and K_s -band imaging of \sim 16 deg^2 covering the region between M49 and M87. In this paper, we present the observations of the central 4 $deg²$ centered on Virgo's core region. The data were acquired with WIRCam on the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope and the total integration time was 41 hours distributed in 34 contiguous tiles. A survey-specific strategy was designed to account for extended galaxies while still measuring accurate sky brightness within the survey area. The average 5σ limiting magnitude is $K_s = 24.4$ AB mag and the 50% completeness limit is $K_s = 23.75$ AB mag for point source detections, when using only images with better than 0.7⁰ seeing (median seeing 0.54"). Star clusters are marginally resolved in these image stacks, and Virgo galaxies with $\mu_{K_s} \simeq 24.4$ AB mag arcsec⁻² are detected. Combining the K_s data with optical and ultraviolet data, we build the uiK_s color-color diagram which allows a very clean color-based selection of globular clusters in Virgo. This diagnostic plot will provide reliable globular cluster candidates for spectroscopic follow-up campaigns needed to continue the exploration of Virgo's photometric and kinematic sub-structures, and will help the design of future searches for globular clusters in extragalactic systems. We show that the new uiK_s diagram displays significantly clearer substructure in the distribution of stars, globular clusters, and galaxies than the gzK_s diagram – the NGVS+NGVS-IR-equivalent of the BzK diagram, which is widely used in cosmological surveys. Equipped with this powerful new tool, future NGVS-IR investigations based on the uiK_s diagram will address the mapping and analysis of extended structures and compact stellar systems in and around Virgo galaxies. *Subject headings:* galaxies: clusters: individual (Virgo) – galaxies: distances and redshifts – galaxies: luminosity function, mass function – galaxies: photometry – galaxies: star clusters: general

† Based on observations obtained with WIRCam, a joint project of CFHT, Taiwan, Korea, Canada, France, at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) which is operated by the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada, the "Institute National des Sciences de l'Univers of the Centre Na-

1. INTRODUCTION

The proximity of the Virgo galaxy cluster, located at a distance of 16.5±0.2 Mpc (Mei et al. 2007; Blakeslee et al. 2009), makes it one of the closest and most thoroughly studied laboratories of star and galaxy formation in the nearby universe. With about 2000 known galaxy members (Binggeli et al. 1985; Gavazzi et al. 2003), more than 10^4 globular clusters (GCs) in M 87 and M 49 alone (Tamura et al. 2006a,b; Peng et al. 2008) and a total GC population of $(6.48 \pm 1.44) \times 10^4$ for the entire NGVS region (Durrell et al. 2013, *in prep.*), it offers vast sample statistics to investigate the evolution of stellar populations and the formation and assembly histories of their host galaxies in a relatively dense cluster environment.

The first detailed census of the Virgo galaxy cluster was published by Ames (1930) and consisted of a catalog of 2278 galaxies brighter than 18th magnitude. This survey led to the identification of several background clusters and to the discovery of a Southern extension of the Virgo cluster, which are impressive results given the technical limitations existing at that time. A quarter of a century later, Reaves (1956) published the first comprehensive catalog of dwarf galaxies in the Virgo cluster based on the study of photographic plates taken with the Lick 20-inch astrograph. This work showed the presence of a large number of dwarf elliptical galaxies beyond the local group and started a new phase of the Virgo cluster research. The most important contribution to assessing Virgo cluster membership was published by Binggeli et al. (1985) in the form of the famous Virgo Cluster Catalog (VCC). This catalog consists of 2096 galaxies, covers an area of 140 $deg²$ and is complete down to $B_T \leq 18$ mag.

1.1. *The Next Generation Virgo Cluster Survey*

The VCC has been the reference standard of Virgo for more than a quarter of a century and it is about to be superseded by the Next Generation Virgo Cluster Survey (NGVS, Ferrarese et al. 2012). The NGVS is a multi-passband optical survey conducted with MegaCam at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) on Mauna Kea, Hawaii, a 3.6-meter telescope that benefits from superb seeing and transparency conditions.

The NGVS survey area covers 104 contiguous square degrees out to the virial radius around M 87 and M 49 to unprecedented photometric depths in the five optical filters² u^*, g, r, i and z. With substantially sub-arcsecond seeing, a surface brightness sensitivity better than $\mu_g \simeq 29.0$ mag arcsec⁻² and a point-source detection limit of $g \approx 25.9$ mag (10σ) , this survey will extend our optical view of the galaxy luminosity function and the scaling relations of galaxies to luminosities more than five magnitudes below the faintest VCC galaxies³.

The five optical passbands of NGVS combined with the superior spatial resolution of the images (i-band seeing is better than $0.6'' \simeq 48$ pc at Virgo distance) provide a first classification of the sources, but leave important areas of ambiguity. In particular, the identification and study of compact stellar systems and their stellar population properties is challenged by the age-metallicity-extinction degeneracy of optical colors (Worthey 1994; Arimoto 1996). The difficulties begin already at the level of sample definition: The distribution of old metal-poor GCs merges into the parameter space of dwarf stars in optical color-color diagrams, while metalrich GCs overlap with the locus of remote late-type galaxies. Around selected Virgo galaxies, the pointed observations of the HST/ACS Virgo Cluster Survey (ACSVCS) have allowed a less ambiguous determination of the GC population due to the improved spatial resolution of HST (Côté et al. 2004; Jordán et al. 2009). Spectroscopic surveys are progressively extending the collection of well-classified objects to vaster areas, though with inevitable sparseness and depth limitations (e.g. Park et al. 2012; Romanowsky et al. 2012; Schuberth et al. 2012; Pota et al. 2013).

1.2. *The Near-Infrared Complement: NGVS-IR*

The NGVS near-infrared project (NGVS-IR) is designed to complement the NGVS with deep, spatially well-resolved images at wavelengths longer than the z band to provide wider sampling of the spectral energy distribution (SED). The first part of this project, and the subject of this paper, is a deep K_s band survey conducted with the *Wide-field InfraRed Camera* (WIRCam; Puget et al. 2004) at CFHT that covers the central 2×2 square degrees around the Virgo cluster core, the location of the cD galaxy M 87 and its vicinity. This area was the first fully surveyed in *ugriz* as part of the NGVS pilot survey, and we will refer to this field as the pilot field hereafter. The NGVS-IR observations are currently being expanded beyond the pilot field with the 4-meter class *Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy* (VISTA; Dalton et al. 2006) at the European Southern Observatory (ESO) on Cerro Paranal in Chile. These observations slightly overlap with the pilot field and cover regions around the brightest elliptical galaxy in Virgo, M 49 in the filters J and K_s , as well as a strip of J and K_s -band images that connects the pilot field and the M 49 field, and augments the coverage of the M 86 group. Taken altogether, the current NGVS-IR survey area provides a total contiguous spatial coverage of the central regions in Virgo of more than \sim 20 deg².

1.3. *The Need for NGVS-IR*

The intermediate goals of the NGVS-IR survey are the development of a photometric selection method to identify globular clusters (GCs) in the Virgo core region, the study of the age-metallicity-mass relations of GCs in M87 and the intracluster medium, the characterization of the stellar populations of Ultra-Compact Dwarf galaxies (UCDs) by combining optical/near-IR colors, the measurement of accurate distances to bright Virgo galaxies by using the Surface Brightness Fluctuation (SBF) method (Tonry et al. 1990), the study of the star formation histories and mass profiles of several Virgo galaxies, and lastly, the determination of the galaxy mass function of the Virgo cluster.

Although the Virgo cluster has already been observed at near-IR wavelengths, none of the available near-IR surveys is deep enough to accomplish the NGVS science goals. The Two Micro All Sky Survey (2MASS, Skrutskie et al. 2006) provides uniform, precise photometry and astrometry over the entire celestial sphere in J, H and K_s bandpasses with 10σ limiting magnitudes of 15.8, 15.1 and 14.3 mag, respectively. The 2MASS survey offers the largest coverage of the Virgo cluster in near-IR wavelengths, but its shallow photometry is not well suited for deep extragalactic studies. Deeper photometry is provided by the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS, Lawrence et al. 2007) Large Area Survey (LAS), which has a

² Several designations are used to denote MegaCam filters. For simplicity, we adopt u^* , g, r, i and z , since of all filters only u^* is significantly different from SDSS u.

³ Unless stated otherwise, magnitudes quoted in this paper are given in the AB magnitude system. See Appendix A.1 for the numerical conversions between AB and Vega magnitudes for NGVS and NGVS-IR filters.

FIG. 1. - Illustration of the 2×2 mosaic CFHT/WIRCam detector array. Each chip features a HAWAII2-RG near-infrared HgCdTe detector with 18 μ m pixels. The patrol field has 2040 × 2040 active pixels with a 4-pixel wide border of reference pixels per chip. The field of view of the full detector array is about $21' \times 21'$ with $45''$ wide inter-chip gaps. *Image courtesy of Academia Sinica Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics (ASIAA).*

much smaller area than 2MASS but reaches 5σ limiting magnitudes of 20.5, 20.0, 18.8 and 18.4 mag in Y, J, H and Ks bandpasses, respectively. The deepest near-IR photometry of Virgo galaxies corresponds to the Spectroscopic and H-band Imaging Survey of Virgo cluster galaxies (SHiVir, McDonald et al. 2011), that consists of pointed H -band observations with the UH2.2 meter, UKIRT, and CFHT telescopes in good seeing conditions $(< 0.6'')$. While SHiVir provides deep H band imaging for 286 VCC galaxies, it does not offer the oneto-one contiguous mapping with the optical NGVS data like NGVS-IR does.

One of the most immediate aims of NGVS-IR is to improve source classification in order to obtain the cleanest possible samples of objects of any given type, and of GCs in particular. For this purpose, it was decided to produce a first set of mosaic images and catalogs with a focus on compact sources with small angular sizes. As will be shown below, adding near-IR photometry to the optical data provides an impressive separation of Virgo GCs from foreground stars and background galaxies in near-UV/optical/near-IR color space. The u_iK_s diagram⁴, in particular, proves to be an exceptional tool for future searches of GCs and compact stellar systems, such as Ultra-Compact Dwarfs (UCDs, see Hilker 2011, and references therein) around galaxies in a large variety of environments. With more than 20 deg^2 of near-IR data soon available for Virgo, we will improve the decontamination of the optically-selected samples currently analyzed within the NGVS collaboration and provide extensive studies of the GC distributions in luminosity, color, and space. Those distributions contain a record of GC formation mechanisms and GC system assembly histories. They are also a key test for stellar population models, which still struggle to exploit optical and near-IR photometry jointly (e.g. Pessev et al. 2008; Taylor et al. 2011; Riffel et al. 2011). Finally, the construction of clean GC samples facilitates very efficient spectroscopic follow-up campaigns with little contamination by other sources. This, again, turns GCs into probes of galaxy cluster dynamics out to large scales (e.g. Schuberth et al. 2012; Romanowsky et al.

2012; Pota et al. 2013)

A further motivation for NGVS-IR is the study of UCDs and the dwarf galaxy – globular cluster transition. In the past decade, studies of the central regions of the Virgo and Fornax galaxy clusters have revealed dozens of massive compact objects in the magnitude range $-14 \lesssim M_V \lesssim -11$ mag with half-light radii between 10 and 30 pc (Hasegan et al. 2005; Jones et al. 2006; Misgeld & Hilker 2011). Currently, two popular formation scenarios are that UCDs are the remnant nuclei of dwarf galaxies that were stripped by interactions (e.g. Bekki et al. 2003), or alternatively that they are agglomerates of multiple clusters that merged early in their lifetimes during violent star-formation episodes such as those triggered by galaxy mergers (e.g. Fellhauer & Kroupa 2002). These scenarios can be tested through studies of the mass-metallicity relation (e.g. Harris et al. 2006). NGVS will provide us with a larger sample of massive compact stellar systems within Virgo than ever before, and with NGVS-IR we will be able to quantify the ages, metallicities and masses of these objects to higher accuracy than with optical colors alone (see e.g Puzia et al. 2002; Hempel & Kissler-Patig 2004; Pessev et al. 2008).

The paper is organized as follows. We describe the details of our observations in section 2 and the data reduction steps in section 3. Sections 4 and 5 contain a discussion of the point source photometry and completeness estimates, while section 6 includes the presentation of our first results. We summarize our work in section 7.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. *Instrumental Setup*

The NGVS-IR observations for the pilot field were carried out at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT), using the Wide-field InfraRed Camera (WIRCam; Puget et al. 2004). WIRCam is mounted at the prime focus and consists of four cryogenically cooled 2048×2048 HAWAII2-RG nearinfrared (near-IR: $0.9-2.4 \mu m$) HgCdTe detectors arranged in a 2×2 mosaic, with typical interchip gaps of 45^{$\prime\prime$} and a plate scale of 0.3 " per pixel (see Figure 1). The array is operated at ~ 80 Kelvin with negligible dark current (~ 0.05 e^- /sec) and 30 e^- readout noise. The field of view (FOV) of the full mosaic is about $21' \times 21'$ on the sky. The observations took place over a series of observing programs spanning the time period from December 2009 to July 2010 split over the French and Canadian TAC time allocation (see Table 1). They are arranged in a mosaic of 6×6 WIRCam pointing or tiles, that cover 2×2 degrees of the Virgo cluster around the central giant elliptical galaxy M 87 (see Figure 2), and match four pilot project CFHT/MegaCam pointings of the NGVS program (Ferrarese et al. 2012). All observations were carried out in Queue Service Observing mode (QSO) at an airmass less than 1.2 under clear observing conditions, when the estimated seeing was better than 0.8".

2.2. *The Dithering Pattern*

2.2.1. *General Considerations*

Pointed near-IR observations are usually executed with a dither pattern that sequentially observes the science target followed by a blank-sky region to account for the strongly varying near-IR sky surface brightness. This strategy involves significant overhead and generates many sky observations that have generally no scientific use. In large surveys of noncrowded fields one may use the area of interest itself to estimate the sky, by implementing dithered observations. The

 4 For simplicity, we will indifferently use the letters u and u^* to refer to the MegaCam filter.

TABLE 1

JOURNAL OF ALL CFHT/WIRCAM OBSERVATIONS, GROUPED ACCORDING TO CFHT OBSERVING PROGRAMS.

Program ^a Tile		R.A.	Dec.		Observing date		$\overline{N_{all}}^c$ $\overline{N_{0.7}}^d$
$(T_{\rm exp})$		(J2000)	(J2000)	Month, Year	$MJD (55XXX)^b$		
09BC26	t1			12:33:21 11:40:09 December, 2009	187,188	108	107
(7.6 h)	t2			12:32:04 11:40:13 December, 2009	188,189	72	67
	t3			12:30:48 11:40:14 December, 2009	194,195	109	81
	t7			12:33:21 11:59:07 December, 2009	190,191	106	104
	t8			12:32:05 11:59:08 December, 2009	187,188	109	103
	t9			12:30:48 11:59:13 December, 2009	188,189	72	71
	t10			12:29:33 11:59:09 December, 2009	192,193	108	69
	t11			12:28:17 11:59:14 December, 2009	194,195	100	69
	t14			12:32:05 12:18:09 December, 2009	190,191	108	108
	t16			12:29:33 12:18:15 December, 2009	194,195	101	65
	t22			12:29:33 12:37:09 December, 2009	192,193	108	106
09BF22	t4			12:29:32 11:40:13 December, 2009	191,193	72	71
(1.5 h)	t17			12:28:16 12:18:13 December, 2009	191,193	72	72
	t20			12:32:05 12:37:12 December, 2009	189	36	36
	t31			12:33:21 13:15:12 December, 2009	189	36	32
10AC10	t1			12:33:21 11:40:03 March, 2010	279,280	134	70
(18.6 h)	t2			12:32:05 11:40:12 March-April, 2010 280,281,315		112	39
	t3			12:30:49 11:40:12 April, 2010	288,289,290,291,308,310	112	96
	t7			12:33:21 11:59:08 March, 2010	283	108	108
	t8			12:32:04 11:59:13 March, 2010	279,280	140	90
	t9			12:30:49 11:59:09 March-April, 2010 280,281,315		109	32
	t10			12:29:33 11:59:06 March-April, 2010 283,287		116	71
	t11			12:28:17 11:59:09 April, 2010	288,289,290,291,308,310	108	99
	t13			12:33:21 12:18:10 April-July, 2010	310,315,342,374,377,378,379,380 292		240
	t14			12:32:05 12:18:08 March, 2010	283	108	108
	t15			12:30:49 12:18:10 April-July, 2010	310,315,342,374,377,378,379,380 301		196
	t16			12:29:33 12:18:08 April, 2010	288,289,290,291,308,310	108	86
	t19			12:33:21 12:37:10 April-July, 2010	310, 315, 342, 374, 377, 378, 379, 380 296		247
	t21			12:30:49 12:37:12 April-May, 2010	311,313,315,343	175	86
	t22			12:29:32 12:37:10 March-April, 2010 283,287		112	99
	t25			12:33:21 12:56:12 April-May, 2010	311, 313, 315, 343	169	131
	t26			12:32:05 12:56:12 April-May, 2010	311, 313, 315, 343	172	121
10AF03	t4			12:29:33 11:40:08 April, 2010	287,288,289	113	108
(13.4 h)	t12			12:27:00 11:59:15 April, 2010	289,290	158	88
	t17			12:28:17 12:18:08 April, 2010	287,288,289	112	108
	t18			12:27:01 12:18:10 May, 2010	318,320,322,323	117	87
	t20			12:32:04 12:37:11 April-May, 2010	315,316,317	130	97
	t23			12:28:17 12:37:11 May-July, 2010	323,383	106	101
	t24			12:27:01 12:37:15 April, 2010	289,290	153	117
	t27			12:30:49 12:56:06 April, 2010	290,291	112	91
	t28			12:29:33 12:56:08 April, 2010	290,291	108	95
	t29			12:28:17 12:56:12 May-July, 2010	323,383	109	91
	t30			12:27:01 12:56:07 May, 2010	318,320,322,323	122	77
	t31			12:33:21 13:15:07 April-May, 2010	315,316,317	131	79
	t32			12:32:05 13:15:17 May-July, 2010	341,343,382,383	81	60
	t33			12:30:49 13:15:07 May-July, 2010	341, 343, 382, 383	78	60
	t34			12:29:33 13:15:10 May-July, 2010	341, 343, 382, 383	78	57
	t35			12:28:17 13:15:08 May-July, 2010	323,383	109	99
	t36			12:27:01 13:15:05 May, 2010	318,320,322,323	116	78

^aThe total exposure time for the corresponding semester in hours is given below the program identifier.

^cNumber of observed frames. Note that the given number of frames refers to all science observations. In particular, there are no separate "sky" observations with our pipeline reduction technique (see Section 3.2.3).

^dNumber of frames with seeing lower than 0.7".

dithering pattern also serves to fill the gaps between individual detector chips and defect areas of the detectors (of which WIRCam has quite a few). The NGVS-IR field, however, targets an area containing very extended galaxies. Surface brightness profile analyses of M87 found a $D_{25} \approx 10'$ (King 1978; de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991; Liu et al. 2005), which is similar to the FOV of a single WIRCam chip. We developed a dedicated dithering strategy to obtain sky estimates within the survey area despite the presence of large galaxies. We use an individual integration time of 25 seconds to avoid saturation on the sky (the near-IR sky surface brightness on Mauna Kea⁵

⁵ For a detailed description see the CFHT/WIRCam web pages at http://www.cfht.hawaii.edu.

bModified Julian date.

FIG. 2.— Illustration of the central $2.14^{\circ} \times 2.14^{\circ}$ core region of the Virgo Cluster that was imaged by the NGVS-IR pilot project with CFHT/WIRCam in a 6×6 pointing mosaic (red pointings) and with CFHT/MegaCam in a 2×2 pointing mosaic (blue pointings). The bottom-left tile of the WIRCam mosaic corresponds to tile 1 in Figure 3 and shows the coverage achieved with 27 visits (see Section 2.2.4 for details), while tile 15, overlapping with the central giant elliptical M 87 (NGC 4486), shows the coverage of an individual 4-exposure dither (see Section 2.2.2). Note that four of the (red) WIRCam pointings in the lower left quadrant of the image that correspond to tiles 2, 7, 9, and 14 in Figure 3 have been deliberately left out for illustration purposes of the tile-to-tile overlap regions produced by the two interlocking dither types. All other (red) WIRCam tiles show the sky coverage of one individual exposure. The most prominent galaxies are labeled with their corresponding NGC or IC numbers. The underlying image is a 2MASS K-band rendering of the field.

varies around $\mu_{K_s} \approx 16$ AB mag/arcsec⁻²). A combination of small and large offsets, the latter of which are defined based on archival images of the field, are used to sample the sky on a timescale shorter than the typical timescales of variations in its brightness.

2.2.2. *The Exposure Dither*

Each visit to one of the 36 pointings, or tiles, of the survey area is implemented as a sequence of 4 dithered 25 second exposures. This exposure dither is illustrated in Figure 2 by the

WIRCam tile footprint that overlaps NGC 4486 (M87). The exposure dither pattern has a square geometry but this square is tilted with respect to edges of the detector. This facilitates the process of neutralizing smaller chip defects and covering the 45" inter-chip gap, while keeping each sub-integration safely in the linear regime of the detector. It also keeps individual visits to a given pointing reasonably short compared to usual timescales of sky surface brightness variations ($\sim 10\%$ in 10 minutes), so a given 4-exposure visit to one tile may be used to estimate the sky in tiles visited just before or just after.

FIG. 3.— The CFHT/WIRCam pointing mosaic of the NGVS-IR pilot field that corresponds to Figure 2. The mosaic covers the inner $2 \times 2 \text{ deg}^2$ around M87 with photometrically selected globular clusters (E. W. Peng, in preparation) shown as red dots on top of a 2MASS image. The arrows indicate the (A_1-C-A_2) and (A-B) tile-to-tile sequencing of the observations.

2.2.3. *Tile-to-Tile Sequencing*

After one 4×25 seconds visit is completed we always move the telescope to a new pointing in the 36-tile mosaic of the WIRcam survey. How these large offsets are chosen is based on the relative crowding of the tiles. Figure 3 shows the mosaic overplotted on a 2MASS archive image, together with a sample of photometrically selected GCs indicated as red dots (Peng et al. *in preparation*). Each tile is assigned a category A, B or C based on the crowding and spatial extent of objects that it covers. We use two types of sequences: the $(A_1$ -C-A₂) observing sequence combines the most crowded tiles (C-type) with two non-crowded tiles (A-type), while the shorter (A-B) sequence combines one of the moderately-crowded tiles (B-

type) with one non-crowded tile (A-type). The arrows in Figure 3 illustrate which crowded (B) and (C) tiles are observed together in sequence with (A) tiles which are relatively devoid of crowded regions and extended objects. This technique minimizes the required telescope slewing time and will allow accurate modeling of galaxy surface brightness profiles.

2.2.4. *The Pointing Dither*

Each (A-B) and (A_1-C-A_2) sequence is executed 27 times to reach the nominal exposure time of 45 minutes per pointing. We optimize the telescope nodding to simulate an ontile dithering pattern. In other words, subsequent repeats of a given $(A-B)$ or (A_1-C-A_2) are offset. In contrast to the exposure dither (see above), we call this pattern the pointing dither.

FIG. 4.— *(Left panel)*: Footprint of the FERMAT-spiral pointing dither pattern. The dimensions of the dotted box are (ΔRA , ΔDEC) = (4.779', 4.614'). The mean (median) distance between individual pointings is 0.842' (0.845'), while the standard deviation along the RA and DEC axis is $\sigma = 1.07'$ in both cases. The compactness of the pattern is mean distance $\times \sigma = 0.02'$. *(Right panel)*: Sky coverage map of the full F2D27 exposure sequence, including the exposure and pointing dither pattern. Dark green regions have the maximum number of $27 \times 4 = 108$ overlapping single exposures, while the number of sub-integrations decreases towards the lighter-green areas. The maximum variation in SNR in the critical inter-chip region is only 14%.

It guarantees that each time all pixels cover a different section of the sky while the extent of the pointing dither allows us to combat the chip gaps and larger chip defects. The outer regions of the pointing dither pattern are covered by overlap regions between individual tiles of the entire 6×6 WIRCam mosaic.

An optimal dither pattern needs to be compact, be scalable, and prevent redundant pointings. Such a pattern is realized in nature by the arrangement of flower leaves (phyllotaxis) and seeds on flower heads, a prominent example, for instance, being the sunflower head. Mathematically these patterns are described by a FERMAT spiral (Vogel 1979). This is a special type of the Archimedean spiral and can be analytically expressed as $r = c n^{1/\gamma}$ where $\gamma = 2$ and $n = \theta/137.508^{\circ}$, while n is the index of the individual pointing. The angle 137.508 $^{\circ}$ is the *Golden Angle*. Figure 4 shows the arrangement of the 27 pointings we use for moving between repeated (A_1-C-A_2) or (A-B) sequences. We tested several Archimedean spirals with different scalings and found that the FERMAT spiral yields the least pixel-to-pixel variance in terms of x-y coordinate coverage (see the histograms in the left panel of Figure 4). In fact, the FERMAT spiral pattern is the most compact and homogeneous coverage of a 2-D surface without x-y pointing redundancy. We scale it to the dimensions (Δ RA, Δ DEC) = $(7.605', 7.851')$ so that the central WIRCam inter-chip gap introduces the least variance on the final sky coverage map, which is illustrated in the right panel of Figure 4.

The pointing dither and the exposure dither $(4 \times 25 \text{ sec}$ onds) work together to homogeneously fill the entire survey area and we refer, in the following, to the full dither sequence as F2D27. The individual components of F2D27 are illustrated in Figure 2 where the exposure dither is shown for tile #15 (center of the field), while the pointing dither is depicted for tile #1 (lower left corner of the mosaic). Each tile in the WIRCam mosaic is offset by 19' from its neighbour in ∆RA and ∆DEC to assure enough overlap between the individual

mosaic tiles, so that the sampling of the WIRCam inter-chip gap remains the most critical for homogeneous sky modeling and similarly for the sampling of extended surface brightness structures, such as those of large galaxies. A coverage analysis of this WIRCam inter-chip gap region shows that our F2D27 pattern covers all sky locations more than 64 out of $4\times27 = 108$ times, while the vast majority of the inter-chip gap is covered at least 80 times. This translates into a SNR fluctuation of only 14% and is fully propagated by our pipeline to the variance maps.

In summary, the observing strategy includes the following exposure time outline for the two types of sequences:

$$
(A1-C-A2) \rightarrow 3 \cdot 27 \times (4 \times 25) \text{ sec} = 8100 \text{ sec}
$$

$$
(A - B) \rightarrow 2 \cdot 27 \times (4 \times 25) \text{ sec} = 5400 \text{ sec}
$$

and contains a total of six (A_1-C-A_2) sequences and nine $(A-$ B) sequences that cover the entire field. Due to observing scheduling constraints, sequence (A6-B5) could not be observed and thus will not be discussed in this work. On the other hand, some of the observed sequences benefited from more than the requested 27 visits.

3. DATA REDUCTION

The data reduction process was divided into three major parts: the pre-processing stage, the main image processing, and the image post-processing.

3.1. *Pre-Processing*

The processing of the raw data was done using the `Γiwi pipeline v2.0⁶. The pipeline involves the following steps: flagging saturated pixels, non-linearity correction, dark subtraction, flat fielding and bad-pixel masking.

The saturated pixels were identified in the raw images using a threshold value of 36000 ADU. A record of these pixels was

⁶ http://www.cfht.hawaii.edu/Instruments/Imaging/WIRCam/ IiwiVersion2Doc.html

kept and they were flagged with the value 65535 in the final pre-processed image. The non-linearity of the WIRCAM detectors is about 5% at 30000 ADU and it was corrected at the beginning of the pre-processing. Dark frames were obtained at the beginning and the end of each observing night, and a master dark frame was computed by taking the median of 15 dark frames. The dark subtraction has a negligible impact on the pre-processed images, since the dark current of WIRCam detectors is significantly less than $1 e^-$ /sec.

Twilight flat fielding was applied by the `Γiwi pipeline: Twilight flats are obtained at the beginning of each observing night and a daily twilight flat is built by taking the median of 15 consecutive frames. The pipeline divides the semester into several sets of observing nights and then computes a master twilight flat on each set. All science images were corrected by dividing by the corresponding normalized master flat.

The master bad pixel masks were built by analyzing the normalized master flats previously computed. Bad pixels were identified by applying a sigma threshold algorithm. The bad pixel masks were constructed to have a value of 1 for good pixels and 0 for bad pixels.

We refer to the pre-processed images in the following as science images.

3.2. *Main Image Processing*

The processing consisted of masking cosmic-rays and satellite-trails in the science images, removing the residuals left by saturated stars, subtracting the sky from the images, computing the astrometric solution, applying the photometric calibration and producing the final stacked images.

3.2.1. *Cosmic-Ray and Satellite-Trail Removal*

The cosmic rays were identified by running the Laplacian cosmic ray identification algorithm (LACOSMIC; van Dokkum 2001) on the science images. This algorithm is based on a variation of the Laplacian edge detection method and cosmic rays are identified by the sharpness of their edges. The code convolves the image with a laplacian kernel, then identifies cosmic rays as sharp borders in the image and creates a cosmic-ray mask for each science image. The best LACOS-MIC parameters were obtained by performing a visual inspection of the masks and verifying that the peaks of non-saturated bright stars were not miss-identified as cosmic rays.

The detection of satellite tracks is not possible in the preprocessed images, since the sky brightness dominates the image while a typical satellite track is hundred times fainter than the sky. We run a quick sky removal on each image (target) that consisted of identifying the 10 closest images in observing time (sky), then taking the median of the sky images pixel by pixel and subtracting it from the target image. The sky subtracted images were smoothed with a boxcar average of width 20 pixels and the coordinates of pixels with signal-tonoise ratio greater than 1.2 were registered. Satellite tracks were identified as straight lines in the XY detector plane of the registered coordinates.

3.2.2. *Saturation Correction*

Bright and saturated stars leave residuals in the science images that last for about six subsequent images. First, the saturated bright stars were identified as those regions of at least four connected pixels with counts higher than 65535 and not masked as bad pixels in the master bad pixel mask. For chips 1 and 3, it was necessary to mask the subsequent three images, while for chips 2 and 4 it was necessary to mask the

subsequent six images due to the different chip and detector characteristics. The science images were sorted according to their observing time, the pixels belonging to a saturated star were identified and the six (or three) consecutive images after saturation were masked at the corresponding coordinates.

3.2.3. *Sky Subtraction*

One motivation for the (A_1-C-A_2) or $(A-B)$ observational strategy presented in Section 2.2 was to design a high-quality sky subtraction method. The observations in each (A_1-C-A_2) or (A-B) sequence were declared as target or sky tiles, and for each target image there was a set of sky images defined for computing and subtracting the sky in the corresponding target image. The sky images from A-type tiles are generally less crowded regions than target images, but do still contain a significant number of point-like and extended sources that need to be masked before computing the final sky image. The sky subtraction method is a two-step iteration process: the first iteration consists of computing a median sky image for each target image, then subtracting it from the target image and finally building a stacked target image from all such treated frames in a sequence; the second iteration consists of identifying the sources in the stacked target image, masking these sources in the each individual sky image, computing a new median sky and finally subtracting it from the target image.

The selection of the set of sky images to model and subtract the sky contribution in each target image depends on the amplitude and variance of the sky surface brightness and is based on the following three criteria:

- a) the category of the (A_1-C-A_2) or $(A-B)$ observing sequence that the target image is part of,
- b) the time difference between sky images and the target image time stamp,
- c) the need for a sufficient number of sky images to compute a reliable median sky image.

The first criterion is related to the sky-target dithering sequence explained in Section 2.2.3, and consists of the following set of rules: 1) if the science image belongs to type-A tile, then sky images are selected from type-A tiles of the same sequence; 2) if the science image belongs to a type-B tile, then sky images can be selected from type-A and type-B tiles; 3) if the science image belongs to a type-C tile, then sky images can be selected only from type-A tiles.

The second criterion consists of choosing sky images close enough in time to the target image. We used only those sky images taken within equal or less than 15 minutes before and after the corresponding target image observation. In particular, at the beginning and the end of each observing run there were sets of sky images containing just 8 images, and as it will be explained by the third criterion, that number of images is below the recommend number of frames to model the sky.

The third criterion consists of defining the sufficient number of sky images that is necessary to compute a reliable median sky image that robustly captures the sky variations. We ran several quality tests on the sky images and the conclusion was that the minimum number of frames for this survey is ten sky images given the variability of the near-IR sky throughout our observing campaign. This criterion was applied as follows: we sorted the sky images according to their time stamp with respect to the target image, then we computed the maximum between 10 and the number of sky frames that fulfilled the

first and second criterion, and the final set of sky images was defined by applying that maximum number.

Once the set of sky images is defined for each target image, we compute the pixel-to-pixel median sky image and subtract it from the corresponding target image.

3.3. *Post-Processing*

We applied post-processing routines to the images because some of the processed images were still showing visible systematics. These post-processing steps consist of removing the slope from each amplifier detector and subtracting large-scale variations of the sky background.

3.3.1. *Residual Amplifier Differences*

Each WIRCam detector has 32 amplifiers with different gains that result in background level offsets that differ by $\sim 10\%$ in the twilight flat-field images. Most of the signal of the amplifiers disappears after flat-field correction and removing the sky during the pre-processing pass, but there is still a non-negligible contribution that appears as horizontal bands through the image. To model and subtract this residual, we start with sky-subtracted images obtained from the processing pass and select the pixels belonging to each amplifier, taking the median along the x-axis of the detector. Then we fit the variation with a linear relation as a function of the y-axis coordinate and finally subtract this model from each horizontal band in each of the sky-subtracted images.

3.3.2. *Large-Scale Variations*

After close examination of the gain corrected and sky subtracted images, we found large-scale variations of the image background still present after the sky removal, with an amplitude of ∼0.3% of the typical sky. These feature are likely to be produced by a variation in the thermal stability of the detector and/or internal reflections within the instrument due to the presence of large galaxies. They have a non-negligible effect on the stacked the images.

In order to improve the background flatness, we mask the sources and model the large-scale background structure in each frame. For this purpose, we first use SWARP (Bertin et al. 2002) to build a stacked image using all the sky-subtracted frames computed in the processing stage. We run SEXTRAC-TOR on the stacked images (v2.5.0, Bertin & Arnouts 1996) and obtain the segmentation maps with pixels associated with the sources. To remove the outer low-surface brightness parts of galaxies, we increase the sizes of the masked regions by 50 to 800 pixels, depending on the size of the galaxy, and project the source mask back into the individual pre-stack skysubtracted images. The large-scale variations are computed on each of the four WIRCam detectors independently. Each detector is divided into a grid of 16×16 cells. Those cells in which more than 50% of the pixels are masked are discarded. In all other cells a median background value is computed. A large-scale variation image is then computed using the KRIG-ING linear interpolation algorithm as implemented in IDL (v8). This background model is then subtracted to produce the final science-grade images. This method has some limitations when dealing with galaxies with extremely extended surface brightness profiles. However, these limitations have no impact on the analyses and results presented in this paper.

3.4. *Astrometric Calibration*

TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF THE AVERAGE ZERO POINTS OF PHOTOMETRIC NIGHTS.

Program	MJD	$\overline{N^a}$ phot	zp_K
09BC26	55187	71	23.16 ± 0.01
	55190	138	23.14 ± 0.02
	55191	71	23.14 ± 0.02
	55192	55	23.12 ± 0.01
	55194	123	23.13 ± 0.02
	55195		159 23.14 \pm 0.01
09BF22	55191		71 23.12 ± 0.01
10AC10	55280		128 23.14 \pm 0.02
	55283	44	23.11 ± 0.02
	55287	129	23.14 ± 0.01
	55288	60	23.10 ± 0.02
	55290	3	23.13 ± 0.01
	55291	33	23.13 ± 0.02
	55308	59	23.12 ± 0.02
	55310		256 23.15 \pm 0.03
	55311	78	23.15 ± 0.02
	55342	84	23.14 ± 0.02
	55343	111	23.15 ± 0.01
	55374	56	23.11 ± 0.03
	55379	37	23.13 ± 0.03
	55380	70	23.11 ± 0.02
10AF03	55287	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	23.15 ± 0.01
	55288	144	23.11 ± 0.01
	55290	38	23.14 ± 0.02
	55291		132 23.11 \pm 0.02
	55316	143	23.12 ± 0.02
	55317	32	23.13 ± 0.02
	55320	36	23.12 ± 0.02
	55322		114 23.11 \pm 0.02
	55341		54 23.15 \pm 0.01
	55343		46 23.15 \pm 0.02
	55382		57 23.12 \pm 0.02

NOTE. - ^aNumber of photometric frames

We calculate the relative astrometric solution with the SCAMP software package (v1.7, Bertin 2006), which reads the catalogs produced by SEXTRACTOR and cross-matches the source positions against an astrometric reference catalog, such as 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006). We run SCAMP using a maximum search range of $\sim 0.15'$ (POSI-TION_MAXERR=0.15) and a minimum signal-to-noise ratio of 40 (SN_THRESHOLDS = 40 , 80) to match reference stars.

We compute the distortion maps for each of the WIRCam detector chips and find that the pixel scale varies in a radially symmetric way by at most 0.5% between the center of the 2×2 detector mosaic and the outer radius of the WIRCam FOV. The astrometric calibration against the 2MASS reference frame is based on \sim 10³ stars and the resulting astrometric world coordinate solution accuracy has an approximately gaussian distribution with a FWHM smaller than 0.02 ".

3.5. *Photometric Calibration*

We use the 2MASS point source catalog (2MASS-PSC; Cutri et al. 2003) to perform the preliminary photometric calibration of the sky subtracted images. We select 2MASS pointlike sources with photometric quality flags equal to A and B (i.e. reliable photometry) that correspond to sources with S/N ratio greater than 7 and magnitude error less than 0.16 mag.

The photometric calibration was done using SCAMP as for the astrometric calibration. SCAMP distinguishes between two types of exposures: those observed under photometric condi-

FIG. 5.— Distribution of the difference between the zero-points of detector 1 and detectors 2, 3 and 4. CHIPS 2 and 4 show a peaked distribution and CHIP 3 a broader distribution. The average zero-point offsets of CHIP- j with respect to CHIP 1 are 0.12, 0.08 and 0.03 for CHIPS 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

tions and the others. The information about the photometric nights was obtained from the QSO weather reports and the images observed during those nights were visually inspected to define a clean sample of exposures taken in photometric conditions. The zero-point of the photometric calibration was computed using

$$
m_{\text{std}} = m_{\text{inst}} + zp - kX,\tag{1}
$$

where m_{std} is the 2MASS magnitude, m_{inst} is the instrumental magnitude measured on the sky-subtracted images, zp is the zero-point of the photometric calibration, k is the airmass term, and X is the airmass of the observation.

The four WIRCam detectors have different gains and in principle a zp should be computed per exposure and detector, but the number of reliable 2MASS stars per detector can be as low as ten. We opt for computing the zp offsets between the WIRCam detectors using groups of exposures, and then keep these offsets fixed to assess zp variations between exposures in the group. The zp offsets are computed by grouping the exposures every 15 minutes, then crossmatching the detected sources with reliable 2MASS point-like sources and computing the median value of $(m_{\text{std}}-m_{\text{inst}})$ for all the stars on each detector. Figure 5 shows the distribution of the difference between the zp values of chip 1 and and those of chips 2, 3 and 4 (zp offset), where the distribution for chip 2 is found to be the most concentrated. The zp offsets for detectors 2, 3 and 4 are 0.12, 0.08 and 0.03 mag, respectively.

For computing the airmass term (k) in Equation 1, we first compute the mean value of $(m_{\text{std}}-m_{\text{inst}})$ on each exposure and then apply a linear regression analysis using only photometric nights. The estimated value of k for this survey is $k = 0.041$. We use Equation 1 to estimate the zp for exposures observed in photometric nights and add the value as PHOT ZP to the header.

For exposures observed in non-photometric nights, SCAMP runs an internal crossmatch of point-like sources and then adjusts the zp for those exposures by minimizing Equation 2. We adopt the same parameters as in the previous section, but this time we use a S/N ratio of 20 or higher in order to have a larger sample of stars for doing the photometric calibration

FIG. 6.— The seeing quality distributions of all images (*hatched histograms*) for each NGVS-IR pilot field tile that contributed to the final mosaic (*solid histograms*). The tile number is given in the upper right corner of each panel, as well as the mean (upper value) and median value of each distribution (lower value), considering all frames available for each tile. The mean and median values are marked by blue and red vertical dotted lines, respectively. Note that only images with a seeing better than 0.7" were used in this work, marked by the solid histograms. In the bottom right corner of the panel (we recall that no data were obtained for tiles 5 and 6), we illustrate the seeing distribution of all images of the NGVS-IR pilot field, and provide the corresponding mean and median values of only the images with a seeing better than 0.7 ^{$\prime\prime$} which are rendered as solid vertical lines.

(SN THRESHOLDS=20). We compute

$$
\chi^2 = \sum_{s} \sum_{a} \sum_{b > a} w_{ab} (zp_a + m_{\text{inst},a} - zp_b + m_{\text{inst},b})^2
$$
 (2)

where a and b refer to exposures that contain the point-like source s in the overlapping region, and w_{ab} is the non-zero weight for the pair of detections in exposures a and b , which are computed via $w_{ab} = 1/\sigma_{\text{phot}}^2$ where σ_{phot}^2 is the square sum of all contributing photometric variances.

The instrumental magnitude, m_{inst} , in Equation 1 is measured on the sky-subtracted images with a circular aperture photometry of radius $4 \times$ FWHM, where FWHM is the mean value of the full-width-at-half-maximum of the bright stars in each exposure. Figure 6 shows the distribution of the FWHM of point-like sources of all the images of the survey. The FWHM distribution is not homogeneous and covers the range between 0.4 ["] and 1.0 ". Thus, the photometry aperture is large enough to include more than 95% of the total light. The photometric zero points adopted in this work are shown in Table 2. The \overline{zp}_{K} refers to the mean zero point of science frames observed in the respective night and the quoted uncertainty to its standard deviation.

3.6. *Stacking and Data Quality Assessment*

The sky-subtracted images were stacked using the SWARP software (v2.19, Bertin et al. 2002) after the astrometric and photometric calibrations were completed. SWARP uses the astrometric solution calculated by SCAMP and combines several frames to produce a final image of a given size and pixel scale. We use the *i*-band quadrants of the NGVS pilot field area as

a reference for building the same size field-of-view K_s -band stacked images, since it helps the subsequent analysis. We note that the pixel scale of WIRCam images is 0.3 ", while the pixel size of our final stacked images is $0.186''$ and that we build the stacked images using only images with FWHM smaller than 0.7 ^{$\prime\prime$} (see Figure 6). The median seeing of all selected data is 0.54". The NGVS-IR pilot field covers a total area of 3.98 degrees², and consists of 34 slightly overlapping tiles with coverage of about $26.5 \times 26.5'$. For data handling purposes, we sub-divide the pilot field area into four quadrants roughly corresponding to about 1 degrees² each.

The large majority of the sky subtracted images in the survey are super-critically sampled (above the Nyquist limit). We use the LANCZOS-2 resampling algorithm which offers a good compromise between improving the image resolution and minimizing the number of artifacts introduced by the resampling routine. The images are combined using a sigma clipping algorithm that computes the dispersion on a pixel-bypixel basis and rejects pixels beyond $\pm 3\sigma$ of the mean value.

The NGVS-IR image stacks, which this paper is based on, reach K_s -band surface brightnesses fainter than $\mu_{K_s} \approx 24 \text{ AB}$ mag/arcsec[−]² . In some of our NGVS-IR stacks we can make out dwarf galaxies with $\mu_K \approx 24.4$ AB mag/arcsec⁻². The extended emission of 94 % of the known VCC galaxies can be visually seen in the final images. However, we emphasize that the data products discussed here were not obtained with the purpose of optimizing surface brightness sensitivity and we, therefore, postpone any study of luminosity profiles to future work. Specific data reduction procedures will also be required for the study of near-IR surface brightness fluctuations in Virgo galaxies. In Figure 7 we illustrate the data quality with a color stack of an $11' \times 11'$ cutout around the interacting galaxy pair NGC 4435+4438, which includes five of the faintest VCC dwarf galaxies and even fainter low-surface brightness dwarfs all of which are detected on the K_s stacks.

Before performing detailed completeness test, we note that visual inspection of the images shows that our NGVS-IR WIRCam survey achieves a K_s point-source sensitivity of \sim 23 mag, thus reaching about 2.9 mag deeper in this passband than the UKIDSS Large Area Survey, the most extensive and deepest recent survey covering the NGVS pilot field (Lawrence et al. 2007, 2012). NGVS-IR compares in surface brightness sensitivity with the pointed H -band observations of the SHiVir survey⁷ of bright Virgo galaxies of (McDonald et al. 2011). These, however, are not contiguous images and were taken with several instruments in varying observing conditions.

4. PHOTOMETRY

Source detection in the K_s stacks and photometric measurements were performed with SEXTRACTOR (v2.5.0 Bertin & Arnouts 1996) and PSFEX (Bertin 2011). After a first SEX-TRACTOR run for source detection, PSFEX produces local models of the point spread function (PSF), that can then be used in a second run of SEXTRACTOR to obtain integrated PSF magnitudes of stars. As input for PSFEX we use a clean sample of stellar sources, obtained by combining a compactness and a color criterion (see Sect. 6.3), and by rejecting any star that saturated the detector in one or more individual images that entered the stack. The PSF was modeled separately

across the four individual quadrants of the pilot field (see Figure 8). Each of these quadrants consists of 3×3 WIRCam pointings, and PSF variations are dominated by pointing-topointing variations in the corresponding FWHM distribution functions (see Figure 6). The spatial variations of the PSF are modeled with polynomials of degree seven to allow for changes on the typical scale resulting from this pattern.

With the SEXTRACTOR parameters DETECT_THRESH=1.4, ANALYSIS THRESH=2.0, DETECT MINAREA=6 and DE-BLEND_MINCONT= 0.000001 , a total of ~450,000 sources are detected and about 17,800 of these are identified as stars. The accuracy of our measurements are best described by focusing on these point sources. In Figure 9 we compare the WIRCam K_s photometry with the corresponding 2MASS and UKIDSS data. The dispersion in both panels is predominantly due to the photometric errors in 2MASS and UKIDSS, respectively. The UKIDSS data (DR8, Lawrence et al. 2007) provides a tighter calibration relation than 2MASS. Both panels exhibit a significant color term. The left panel of Figure 10 shows that the color term relating WIRCam and UKIDSS magnitudes seems to depend on the K_s -band magnitude. At the bright end, we see the actual effect of the different shapes of the K_s filters of WIRCam and UKIDSS, while at the faint end the slope mostly reflects large uncertainties in the UKIDSS K_s photometry. For the UKIDSS native magnitudes (in the Vega photometric system, see Appendix A.1) we have:

$$
(K - KUKIDS)Vega = -0.09 + 0.27 \cdot (H - K)UKIDS
$$

for $K < 17.0$ mag

The right panel of Figure 10 illustrates the external photometric accuracy after this simple color-term correction. The photometric comparison between UKIDSS and WIRCam data is affected by a statistical uncertainty of 0.05 mag down to about $K_s = 17$ Vega mag, which corresponds to ~ 18.82 AB mag.

5. COMPLETENESS ESTIMATES

The photometric completeness of point-sources in the final image stacks was computed by adding artificial stars with a range of magnitudes at randomly selected positions into the image. We use a set of IDL and PYTHON scripts to generate a list with the positions and magnitudes of artificial stars, avoiding areas with the presence of saturated stars and very extended galaxies, e.g. the central regions of M87.

The first step consists of generating the positions of artificial stars. We run SEXTRACTOR on the final images and generate the segmentation maps. We use these maps to avoid adding stars on top of a galaxy or other high density regions. Then, the image is divided into a 2D grid of 200-pixel wide bins and we randomly generate 10 positions in each bin. For each one of these positions we check that there is no collision with other sources (real or artificial) in a radius of 16 pixels, thereby avoiding artificial crowding effects. The final list consists of about 100,000 sources for each final quadrant image.

In the second step we generate the magnitudes of the artificial stars. First, we run PSFEX on the final images and compute a position-dependent PSF model. We use this PSF model to properly add a point-like source in different positions on the image. Then, we generate a homogeneous distribution of magnitudes in the range $18.0 < K_{\text{Vega}} < 22.0$ mag and assign them to the stars generated in the previous step (K_{input}) .

The third step adds the artificial stars to the image at the corresponding positions, with the generated magnitudes using the appropriate PSF model. We use a highly efficient multithread PYTHON script for reading the list of coordinates and

⁷ http://www.astro.queensu.ca/virgo/

FIG. 7.— Sample color composite from the combined NGVS+NGVS-IR dataset of a $11' \times 11'$ (53×53 kpc²) sample field of view around the merger remnant NGC 4438 (VCC 1043, center of the image) and its bright companion NGC 4435 (VCC 1030, see also Figure 2). The image is an RGB composite using the u^* (blue), i (green), and K_s -band (red) data and a logarithmic luminosity stretch. Besides the impressively detailed substructure in the merger remnant, numerous low-surface brightness dwarf galaxies are visible. We labeled five VCC dwarf galaxies, which are among the faintest members of the VCC catalog and are all clearly detected in our K_s -band images. Note that the vast majority of sources with small angular sizes are red background galaxies. This extremely deep near-UV/optical/near-IR photometry is well suited for finding galaxy clusters in the redshift range $0.5 \le z \le 1$ that are in the process of formation.

building the point-like sources according to the PSF model computed with PSFEX using all available CPU cores.

We run 200 realizations of this procedure for each NGVS-IR quadrant ending up with 2×10^7 artificial objects per quadrant. We run SEXTRACTOR with the same parameters used for the original stacks (see Section 4) and cross-match the positions of the detected sources with the artificial stars generated in step one, hereafter called recovered stars. The top panel of Figure 11 shows the difference between the magnitude of artificial stars in tile +0+0 as measured with

SEXTRACTOR (K_{output}) and assigned in the mock catalog (K_{input}) . This figures illustrates the internal photometric errors of the NGVS-IR survey and demonstrate the excellent quality of the stacked images. In order to estimate the completeness, we adopt a magnitude bin of 0.1 mag and compute the ratio between the number of recovered stars and the number of artificial stars as a function of magnitude and position. The bottom panel of Figure 11 shows the completeness fraction as a function of the input AB magnitude for each of the four quadrants, the entire survey, and the deepest and

FIG. 8.— Image quality maps for the NGVS-IR pilot field split into the four quadrants corresponding to the NGVS MegaCam tile $(0,0)$, $(+1,0)$, $(+1, +1)$, and $(0, +1)$ (see Ferrarese et al. 2012, for details). The color bar parameterizes the full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of point-like sources in units of arc seconds over the survey area, the maximum variation of which is $\sim 20\%$ which is mainly due to the seeing distributions of observations in each tile of the NGVS-IR mosaic as illustrated in Figure 6.

FIG. 9.— The photometric calibration accuracy of the NGVS-IR pilot field data vs. 2MASS (left) and UKIDSS data (right). Objects in this plot are point sources according to their K_s -band morphology and NGVS/NGVS-IR color color bar encodes the H−K color measured in the corresponding comparison system and illustrates significant residual color terms in the photometric calibration. To avoid conversion of UKIDSS and 2MASS magnitudes into the AB system, the plots show point source magnitudes in the Vega magnitude system. Note the significantly different photometric depth (i.e. \sim 3 mag) between the 2MASS and UKIDSS data. The data distributions in both panels are entirely dominated by the photometric uncertainties of the 2MASS and UKIDSS data.

shallowest regions in the pilot field. The 90% completeness magnitude for point-source detections and the corresponding 5σ limiting magnitude together with a conservative surface brightness limit estimate for the NGVS-IR quadrants are summarized in Table 3.

Figure 12 illustrates the variations of all the completeness magnitudes across the four quadrants. The main reason for the fluctuations visible across the field stems from the photomet-

FIG. 10.— (*Left panel*): Color term resulting from the differences between the UKIDSS and WIRCam K_s filters. The shown stellar sample is the same as shown in the right panel of Figure 9. WIRCam K_s aperture photometry (in Vega mag) is used for color coding, and the best linear fit is indicated by the solid line. (*Right panel*): Same as right panel of Figure 9, but after applying the color-term correction to the K_s NGVS-IR data. The symbols color is parametrized according to the $(H-K)$ UKIDSS color as indicated by the color scale next to the panel.

FIG. 11.— (*Top panel*): Internal photometric errors for tile +0+0 of the NGVS-IR. The errors were computed by adding artificial stars to the stacked image and then running SEXTRACTOR to do the photometry. (*Bottom panel*): Photometric completeness curves for the four quadrants of the NGVS-IR Pilot program region, plus the deepest and shallowest regions in the survey. The horizontal dashed lines correspond to the 90% and 50% completeness.

TABLE 3 COMPLETENESS MAGNITUDE OF THE NGVS-IR STACKS

Tile			$K_{AB,90\%}$ $K_{AB,5\sigma}$ $\mu_{K_s,AB,5\sigma}$
$+0+0$	23.70	24.64	25.11
$-1 + 0$	23.51	24.61	25.08
$+0+1$	23.32	24.16	24.63
$+1+1$	23.34	24.23	24.70

NOTE. — The 5σ limiting magnitude is measured inside a circular aperture with 0.7" radius and marks the limiting surface brightness estimate.

ric quality, i.e. FWHM distribution (see Figure 6) in each individual WIRCam tile contributing to the final quadrant stack.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. *Color-Color Diagrams*

Adding more filters and a successively wider SED coverage to the investigation of astronomical objects adds more diagnostic power to the analysis and delivers ultimately more robust and astrophysically meaningful results (e.g. Park & Choi

2005; Puzia et al. 2007). Color-color planes are efficient tools for the classification of sources in large-scale imaging surveys (e.g. Daddi et al. 2004; Faber et al. 2007). By combining near-UV and optical data from NGVS and near-IR photometry from the NGVS-IR, the advantage of spanning the entire spectral range of stellar emission from the atmospheric UV cutoff at $\sim 3200 \text{\AA}$ to the near-infrared at $\sim 2.5 \mu \text{m}$ becomes clear when one is confronted with the vastly improved system throughputs and SED coverage of the combined NGVS+NGVS-IR filter set as illustrated in Figure 13.

To construct a first NGVS+NGVS-IR source catalog, we cross-matched the NGVS-IR catalog positions with those of the NGVS u^*griz catalog, which contains approximately half a million objects. The distribution of angular separations between K_s and *i*-band coordinates peaks at 0.09", and 95% of the detected sources (of small angular size) have a separation smaller than 0.3 ". This small bias is due to the marginally resolved nature of background galaxies which constitute a large fraction of the matched catalog and introduce a random offset in the matching accuracy that appears as a systematic in one radial dimension. Future dedicated versions of those matched catalogs will be built with object-dependent procedures that allow for self-consistent optical and near-IR measurements, in particular for extended sources. However, independent measurements and subsequent matching are fully sufficient for the qualitative purposes of this paper.

Historically, the optical/near-IR color-color plane that is most widely used in conjunction with large extragalactic surveys is the BzK diagram which combines $(B-z)$ and $(z-K)$ color indices or equivalents thereof. The diagram was highlighted by Daddi et al. (2004) as a means of identifying both passively evolving and star-forming galaxies located at redshifts larger than 1.4 or so. It was published later for a variety of deep fields, confirming the original segregation between low and high-redshift sources (e.g. Lane et al. 2007; McCracken et al. 2010, 2012; Bielby et al. 2012). The BzK technique is now being frequently used for studies of the clustering properties, intrinsic morphologies, stellar populations, and the X-ray luminosities of BzK -selected galaxies (e.g. Lin et al. 2012; Yuma et al. 2011, 2012; Ly et al. 2012; Rangel et al. 2013). Ultra-deep follow-up spectroscopy campaigns confirm the efficient selection of this type of high-redshift, starforming galaxies that suffers $\sim 10\%$ contamination by other sources (Kurk et al. 2013).

FIG. 12.— Illustration of the photometric depth variations for each of the NGVS-IR pilot field quadrants. The color bar corresponds to the 50% completeness magnitude of point-like source detections. The structures in each field are mainly due to the seeing distribution of the corresponding tiles and their overlap regions.

6.2. *The NGVS+NGVS-IR analog of* BzK *: the* gzK_s *color-color plane*

In terms of SED coverage of the involved filters, the closest analog to the BzK diagram that can be constructed from our combined NGVS+NGVS-IR data is the gzK_s diagram which is shown in the top panel of Figure 14, which illustrates our pilot field sample greyscale-coded by the Gaussian propagated total error of each contributing filter (see also Figure 13 for a comparison of the corresponding gzK_s vs. BzK system throughput curves). The mean foreground extinction towards M87 is $A(g) \simeq 0.076$, $A(z) \simeq 0.029$, and $A(K_s) \simeq 0.007$ mag, and was taken from Schlafly & Finkbeiner $(2011)^8$. The following analysis includes the corresponding extinction correction terms. We observe various characteristic object overdensities and sequences in the gzK_s plane, such as the narrow sequence of foreground Galactic stars and other less constrained object distributions including actively star-forming and passively evolving galaxies at various redshifts that were discussed in previous works (e.g. Bielby et al. 2012; Merson et al. 2013). We use equations (1)–(8) provided in Bielby et al. (2012) to transform the BzK object selections into our gzK_s color-color plane. In particular, we use the median color $(u-g) = -0.194$ mag of our entire sample and obtain for the selection of star-forming galaxies at $z \gtrsim 1.4$ the following relation (shown as solid magenta line in Figure 14):

$$
(z - K_s)_0 > 1.233 \times (g - z)_0 - 0.017. \tag{3}
$$

To select passively evolving galaxies at $z \gtrsim 1.4$ we obtain (illustrated as dashed magenta line in Figure 14):

$$
(z-K_s)_0 < 1.233 \times (g-z)_0 - 0.017 \cap (z-K_s)_0 > 2.5. \tag{4}
$$

To select foreground stars and separate them from galaxies our transformations yield (dotted magenta line in Figure 14):

$$
(z - K_s)_0 < 0.37 \times (g - z)_0 + 0.474 \tag{5}
$$

The corresponding relations shown in the top panel of Figure 14 illustrate the quality of separating the classically defined BzK galaxies at $z > 1.4$ from the general locus of more nearby galaxies and the stellar sequence. However, it is quite evident that even with the NGVS+NGVS-IR highquality data, a clear separation of stellar foreground from the background galaxy population is not entirely possible based on the gzK_s color-color diagram alone.

Overall the gzK_s color-color plane is the tool of choice for the study of the redshifted universe beyond Virgo due to the superior photometric depth of the g filter compared with the u^* band observations. However, unlike any other deep optical/near-IR survey, NGVS+NGVS-IR also contains

⁸ See Appendix B for a discussion on the small color dependence of the extinction coefficients.

FIG. 13.— *Top panel*: Direct comparison of system throughput curves, including detector efficiency, effects of telescope optics, and atmospheric absorption for all NGVS+NGVS-IR filters. Note that the NGVS-IR K_s -band filter mainly differs in detector efficiency and optics between the two instruments, i.e. CFHT/WIRCam vs. VISTA/VIRCAM. For comparison purposes, we plot the BzK system throughput curves that were used in Daddi et al. (2004) in light grey shading. Note that the atmospheric absorption effects impacting the K_s -band curve in the BzK system are not included. *Bottom panel*: Comparison of four different SED types: 12 Gyr old metal-poor SSP with [Fe/H] = -1.65 dex, constant SFR, e-folding SFR with $\tau = 3$ Gyr, and passively evolving starburst since $z = 3$, taken from PÉGASE (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1997). Note that for SSPs with higher [Fe/H] the metal-poor SSP approximates the passively evolving starburst SED, that has been calculated for solar chemical composition. For easy comparison all SED curves at $z = 0$ were normalized to the flux at $2.2 \mu m$, roughly corresponding to the effective wavelength of the K_s band. The open SED curves correspond to the same four SED types as they would appear at $z = 1.4$.

a nearby structure: Virgo itself. The most striking difference between our color-color diagrams and those of other surveys is not due to Virgo galaxies – these occur in negligible numbers in any given part of the diagram –, but due to GCs that are concentrated around the massive elliptical galaxy NGC 4486 (M87) and other giant ellipticals located in the central regions of Virgo (see Figure 3). To demonstrate the locus of qzK_s colors of Virgo GCs we highlight radial-velocity confirmed GCs in Figure 14 as red dots (E. Peng et al., *in preparation*). This GC locus overlaps with the colors of stars at the blue end, and is heavily contaminated by background galaxies towards redder colors, in the gzK_s diagram.

6.3. *The* uiK^s *Color-Color Plane*

For a photometry-based selection of GCs and other compact stellar systems, such as UCDs and dwarf galaxies, we show in the following that the most powerful color combination is given by the uiK_s diagram, which combines high-quality near-UV, optical, and near-IR photometry from NGVS and NGVS-IR. The bottom panel of Figure 14 shows the uiK_s color-color diagram, in which the data is deredden with the values extracted from the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) maps using $A(u) \simeq 0.097$, $A(i) \simeq 0.039$, and $A(K_s) \simeq 0.007$ mag. The typical structures seen in the gzK_s diagram (top panel of Figure 14) that were classified in previous deep-field surveys appear much more prominent and better defined in the

 uiK_s color-color plane. The stellar sequence seen in the uiK_s diagram remains very clearly identified in this new plane and appears more separated from the main cloud of galaxies. This will be particularly useful for the analysis of stellar age and metallicity distribution functions in the Virgo overdensity described in Ferrarese et al. (2012). Several new narrowly defined features in the uiK_s plane become visible at intermediate colors that we attempt to classify qualitatively in the following. Most importantly, however, we note that the contamination of the GC locus is very significantly reduced with the use of the uiK_s filter combination.

6.3.1. *An Efficient Tool for Star Cluster Selection*

In order to better understand the various features in the uiK_s plane, we overplot in Figure 15 predictions of simple stellar population (SSP) model calculations based on a customized version of the population synthesis code PÉGASE (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1997) that includes the exactly matched throughput functions for all NGVS+NGVS-IR filters. While in the gzK_s plot these SSP models coincide with the overlap region between stars and galaxies, in the uiK_s plane they fall right on top of a sharply defined sequence which we identify as GCs. We note that the metallicity and age coverage of the shown SSP model predictions, i.e. $Z =$ $0.0004, 0.001, 0.004, 0.02$ and $t = 8$ to 13 Gyr (each running from bluer to redder colors), agrees fairly well with the GC

FIG. 14.— Illustration of the gzKs (*top panel*) and uiK (*bottom panel*) color-color diagrams of all the objects in the NGVS pilot field (*grayscale dots*). The symbol shading is parametrized by the total photometric error of each filter contributing to each color plane. All magnitudes are in the AB system and include the reddening corrections from Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011). The red dots mark spectroscopically confirmed globular clusters with the systemic velocity of Virgo cluster galaxies (*see text for details*). The magenta solid line shows the criteria defined by Daddi et al. (2004) to isolate z >1.4 star-forming galaxies, the dashed line to separate $z > 1.4$ passively evolving galaxies from nearby systems, and the dotted line to separate foreground stars from galaxies. The corresponding BzK areas are labeled accordingly in the top panel. We indicate in the bottom panel characteristic object sequences (i.e. stars, GCs, and passively evolving galaxies) and other overdensities (locations of normal and star-forming background galaxies) that are discussed in section 6.3.

FIG. 15.— (*Left panel:*) Model locations on the gzK_s diagram. The finely-dotted, black lines represent sequences of old single-age stellar populations (at redshift 0), for metallicities $Z = 0.0004, 0.001, 0.004, 0.02$ (from bluer to redder colors) using the PÉGASE population synthesis models (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1997). The large colored symbols represent the evolutionary paths of observed colors for galaxies formed at redshift 3 or above with three different star formation histories: (i) galaxy with a constant star-formation rate (cSFR), (ii) galaxy that formed the majority of its stars at high redshift, but star formation continues at a lower constant rate (burst+lowSFR), (iii) a "red-and-dead" galaxy that formed all its stars at redshift 3 and evolved passively ever since (burst+pE). (*Right panel:*) Model locations in the uiK_s diagram with symbols as in the left panel.

candidate locus, in particular in the uiK_s plane, and is consistent with what is expected from previous photometric and spectroscopic studies of stellar populations in typical Virgo GCs located in the vicinity of M87 (e.g. Hanes & Brodie 1986; Cohen et al. 1998; Hanes et al. 2001; Kissler-Patig et al. 2002; Jordan et al. 2002; Tamura et al. 2006b; Peng et ´ al. 2009; Yoon et al. 2011; Forte et al. 2013). We verify the fidelity of the GC selection with objects that have the systemic radial velocity of the Virgo cluster using spectroscopy available in the literature (Hanes et al. 2001; Strader et al. 2011) and recently obtained with the multi-object, moderatedispersion spectrograph HECTOSPEC at MMT (E. Peng et al., in preparation). In Figure 14 we overplot such radial-velocity confirmed Virgo GCs in the gzK_s and uiK_s color-color diagrams as red symbols. At this point we defer a more quantitative analysis of the Virgo GC stellar populations to a future paper and continue with the investigation of the other sequences, keeping in mind the uiK_s -based photometric GC selection.

6.3.2. *The Redshift Evolution of Galaxies in the* uiK_s *Plane*

In addition to the SSP model color predictions, we plot in Figure 15 the redshift evolution in the gzK_s and uiK_s colorcolor space of three prototypical SEDs of galaxies born at $z = 3$ with different types of star-formation histories. These tracks were computed using the NGVS+NGVS-IR instrument throughput curves (see Figure 13) with a customized version of the population synthesis code $PÉGASE$ (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1997), but other population synthesis codes show very similar trends (see also Dahlen et al. 2013). In the order of numerically increasing colors, the tracks correspond to 1) a continuously star-forming galaxy, 2) a galaxy that formed the majority of its stellar content at high redshift and star formation continues at a lower constant rate, 3) a passively evolving galaxy that formed all its stellar content at $z = 3$.

We notice that, in contrast to the gzK_s plane, galaxies with any level of star formation are expected to be fairly distinct in their uiK_s color properties from GCs and foreground stars from $z = 0$ out to redshifts $z \approx 1.5$ and higher. We find that the hook in the redshift evolution of star-bursting galaxies at $z \approx 0.5-1$ in the gzK_s color-color plane is responsible for much of the contamination at colors $(g - z) \simeq 1.0$ and $(z - K_s) \simeq 0 - 0.5$ mag, which is the mean locus of metal-

poor and intermediate-metallicity GCs. Such a contamination is entirely avoided in the uiK_s plane which is due to the mostly blue-ward evolution of the $(u-i)$ color when redshifting the steeply increasing near-UV part of star-forming galaxy SEDs. The redshift evolution of the corresponding passively evolving SEDs overlaps with the local galaxy background of Virgo and its diagnostic power is only limited by the depth of our u^* -band photometry. The shallower magnitude limit of the u^* -band data is apparent when the blue edge of the galaxy distribution in each diagram of Figure 15 is compared. Although the redshift distribution of the background galaxies in the uiK_s plane cuts off at lower values as compared to the gzK_s plane, especially along the sequence of passively evolving galaxies (the cut-off is near $z \approx 0.5$ instead of near $z \approx 1$), the uiK_s plane provides overall a clearly linear and powerful selection diagnostic of star-forming galaxies in the redshift range $z \approx 0.5-1.5$. Because of this property and the photometric depth of the NGVS+NGVS-IR data we are in the position of searching for galaxy clusters at redshifts $z \gtrsim 1.0$, which will be described in detail in future papers.

6.3.3. *The Diagnostic Power of the* uiK^s *Plane*

The reason for the superior GC-star and GC-galaxy separation power of the uiK_s plane lies in the combination of the near-UV, optical, and near-IR color information, compared to the classic optical/near-IR gzK_s plot (see Fig. 14). While the optical/near-IR $(i - K_s)$ color probes the effective temperature of the red giant branch (RGB) stellar population, the near-UV/optical $(u-i)$ color probes the stellar fluxes blueand redward of the 4000 Å break and is, therefore, sensitive to hot stellar evolutionary phases. This leads to a very efficient GC-galaxy separation due to the sensitivity of the uband to hot stellar components in star-forming galaxies, combined with the redshift evolution of galaxy SEDs (see Figs. 13 and 15). The efficient GC-star separation in the uiK_s diagram is due to the fact that at a given $(i-K_s)$ color (i.e. effective RGB temperature), the u -band picks up the additional hot stellar component flux generated by horizontal branch stars, making GCs appear bluer in the $(u-i)$ color.

It is worth pointing out that attempts at photometrically separating extragalactic GCs from foreground stars and background galaxies exist in the recent literature, however, based

FIG. 16.— (*Left panels:*) Illustration of the gzK_s color-color diagram, which is the best approximation to the classic BzK diagram using the NGVS+NGVS-IR filter set. The plots show the entire dataset in the Virgo pilot field. The lines mark the separations between star-forming galaxies at $z > 1.4$, passively evolving galaxies at $z > 1.4$, galaxies at $z < 1.4$ and foreground stars as defined by Daddi et al. (2004). Colorbars indicate the color parametrization of the symbols in each panel by one of the constituent gzK_s filters, i.e. in the g-band (*top*), z-band (*middle*) and the K_s filter (*bottom*). (*Right panels*): The corresponding $u i K_s$ color-color diagram of objects in the NGVS pilot field region. The colorbars indicate the photometric error parametrization in the u-band (*top*), i-band (*middle*), and the Ks-band (*bottom*).

on a combination of purely optical/near-IR (Puzia et al. 2002) or purely near-UV/optical colors (Kim et al. 2013). Neither of these color-color planes can separate foreground stars, GCs, and background galaxies. The only earlier study that combined near-UV/optical/near-IR photometry of relatively small GC samples was attempting to study the age distribution function of GCs in giant elliptical galaxies (Hempel & Kissler-Patig 2004), and was based on much poorer photometric quality. To our knowledge, the uiK_s diagnostic plane presented in Figure 14 is the first such plot based on high-quality near-UV/optical/near-IR photometry that allows for an efficient GC-star and GC-galaxy separation.

In order to assess the required photometric quality for robust GC-star and GC-galaxy separation, we plot in Figure 16 the gzK_s and uiK_s color-color plane and parametrize the symbol colors by the photometric uncertainty in each contributing filter. It becomes immediately clear that the photometric depth, i.e. photometric error distribution function in each filter, influences in a non-linear, and sometimes quite dramatic way the selection of BzK galaxies as defined in Daddi et al. (2004). The corresponding relations are shown in the left panels (as in Figure 14) and illustrate the quality of selecting the classically defined galaxy samples as a function of photometric depth. It is also quite evident that even with the NGVS+NGVS-IR high-quality data, a clear separation of stellar foreground from the background galaxy population is not entirely possible based on the gzK_s color-color diagram alone, in particular Virgo GCs cannot be robustly identified.

The right panels of Figure 16 demonstrate the high potential for studies of stellar populations in the nearby universe. We find that datasets with a photometric accuracy of $\Delta u \leq 0.05$, $\Delta i \lesssim 0.05$, and $\Delta K_s \lesssim 0.05$ mag (corresponding to $u \simeq 24.4$, $i \approx 23.4$, $K_s \approx 22.0$ AB mag in our dataset) will have the potential to select very clean samples of extragalactic GCs. We will discuss and quantify the exact contamination fractions of such samples in future papers.

With the superior spatial resolution of our K_s -band data (median seeing $0.54''$, see Section 3.6 and Figure 6) we search for additional diagnostic tools related to the morphology of objects in our survey field. For this, we devise a simple magnitude difference between a $3''$ diameter aperture magnitude and a PSF magnitude. The PSF magnitude produces integrated colors for stars very similar to the total integrated colors for GCs, and a color roughly representative of the central colors for galaxies. The results for all our objects with K_s -band photometry are shown in Figure 17 as a color parametrization of the uiK_s plane according to the morphological measure of their radially symmetric compactness. Intriguing correlations between this simple morphology parameter and uiK_s colors appear, that will be studied in subsequent papers of this series. We note here, that the figure clearly shows that GCs are marginally resolved in the WIRCam K_s images: their observed shapes are slightly less concentrated than those of stars. The accuracy of measuring relative GC sizes is sufficient to even make out the classic size difference between blue and red GCs (e.g. Webb et al. 2012, and references therein). Attempts to identify GCs solely on the basis of their morphology, however, produce samples whose colors reveal a high level of contamination by stars and remote galaxies. Our final classification algorithm (in preparation) will therefore be based on both colors and morphology.

7. SUMMARY

In this paper, we have described the NGVS-IR survey, its motivation, observational strategy, and science goals. We discuss in detail the data reduction procedures and present K_s band imaging data of the central 4 deg^2 of the Virgo galaxy cluster observed with WIRCam mounted on the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT). These NGVS-IR images have a median seeing of 0.54 ["] and a 90% completeness magnitude of better than $K_s = 23.3$ AB mag, thus, superseding in all aspects the 2MASS and UKIDSS mosaics of the same

FIG. 17.— Illustration of the K_s -band morphology variations across the uiK_s diagram. As a measurement of compactness, this figure uses the difference between the K_s -band PSF magnitude (resulting from the combined used of PSFEX and SEXTRACTOR) and a magnitude obtained within an aperture with $3''$ diameter.

region.

Using the full near-UV to near-IR SED coverage of our NGVS+NGVS-IR data we investigate the uiK_s color-color plane as a diagnostic tool to identify and select characteristic object classes. We also study the gzK_s color-color plane as the closest equivalent to the BzK plot from which star-forming galaxies at redshift $z > 1.4$ are typically selected. With the described uiK_s diagnostics we can identify several distinct and isolated groups of objects in the uiK_s diagram that correspond to *i)* star-forming galaxies out to redshifts ∼ 1.5 and higher, *ii)* a mixed distribution of galaxies with a variety of (non-negligible) levels of on-going star formation, *iii)* a redshift sequence of passively evolving old galaxies, *iv)* a relatively smooth sequence of Virgo GCs and UCDs, and *v)* a very distinct sequence of foreground Milky Way stars.

According to the photometric error distributions of our NGVS+NGVS-IR data in the uiK_s color-color diagram (see right panels of Figure 16), we can isolate the Virgo GC population, virtually free of contamination from foreground stars and background galaxies, if we restrict our selection to objects with photometric errors $\Delta u \lesssim 0.05$, $\Delta i \lesssim 0.05$ and $\Delta K_s \lesssim 0.05$ mag. Independent of the photometric quality of the dataset such a selection would be fundamentally impossible from the gzK_s diagram. The uiK_s diagram is to our knowledge the most efficient and least biased extragalactic GC selection technique that is relying purely on photometric colors and is likely to prove extremely efficient in the study of distant star cluster systems with the combined near-UV/optical/near-IR instrumentation that will be available on the upcoming generation of survey telescopes, such as the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST) and the Euclid spacecraft, as well as future facilities, like for instance E-ELT, JWST, etc.

Acknowledgments – This research was supported by CON-ICYT through Gemini-CONICYT Project No. 32100022, FONDECYT Regular Project No. 1121005, FONDECYT Postdoctoral Fellowship Project No. 3130750, FONDAP Center for Astrophysics (15010003), and BASAL Center for Astrophysics and Associated Technologies (PFB-06), the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR)

Grant Programme Blanc VIRAGE (ANR10-BANC-0506- 01). R.P. Muñoz and A. Lancon acknowledge support from the Scientific Council of Strasbourg University. A. Lancon is grateful for the hospitality and financial support during her stay at the Instituto de Astrofísica of Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile which was funded by the UMI International Academic Exchange Fund. E. W. Peng acknowledges support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11173003), and from the Laboratoire International Associe´ "ORIGINS". C. Liu acknowledges support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11203017).

Based on observations obtained with WIRCam, a joint project of CFHT, Taiwan, Korea, Canada, France, at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) which is operated by the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada, the Institute National des Sciences de l'Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique of France, and the University of Hawaii. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation. This work is based in part on data obtained as part of the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (found on the UKIRT site). This research has made use of the VizieR catalogue access tool and the Aladin plot tool at CDS, Strasbourg, France. We thank Sebastien Foucaud for providing a version of Swarp software that includes the sigma clipping rejection method. The authors acknowledge useful discussions with Simon Angel, Sibilla Perina, Ryan Quadri, Alvio Renzini, Mirko Simunovic and Jin-cheng Yu.

Facilities: CFHT (WIRCam, MegaCam).

REFERENCES

- Ames, A. 1930, Annals of Harvard College Observatory, 88, 1
- Arimoto, N. 1996, From Stars to Galaxies: the Impact of Stellar Physics on Galaxy Evolution, 98, 287
- Bekki, K., Couch, W. J., Drinkwater, M. J., & Shioya, Y. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 399
- Bertin, E., Mellier, Y., Radovich, M., et al. 2002, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XI, 281, 228
- Bertin, E. 2006, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XV, 351, 112
- Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, A&AS, 117, 393
- Bertin, E. 2011, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XX, 442, 435
- Binggeli, B., Sandage, A., & Tammann, G. A. 1985, AJ, 90, 1681
- Bielby, R., Hudelot, P., McCracken, H. J., et al. 2012, A&A, 545, A23
- Blakeslee, J. P., Jordan, A., Mei, S., et al. 2009, ApJ, 694, 556 ´
- Blakeslee, J. P. 2012, Ap&SS, 341, 179
- Cohen, J. G., Blakeslee, J. P., & Ryzhov, A. 1998, ApJ, 496, 808
- Côté, P., Blakeslee, J. P., Ferrarese, L., et al. 2004, ApJS, 153, 223
- Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., van Dyk, S., et al. 2003, VizieR Online Data Catalog, 2246, 0
- Dahlen, T., Mobasher, B., Faber, S. M., et al. 2013, ApJ, 775, 93
- Daddi, E., Cimatti, A., Renzini, A., et al. 2004, ApJ, 617, 746
- Dalton, G. B., Caldwell, M., Ward, A. K., et al. 2006, Proc. SPIE, 6269
- Faber, S. M., Willmer, C. N. A., Wolf, C., et al. 2007, ApJ, 665, 265
- Fellhauer, M., & Kroupa, P. 2002, MNRAS, 330, 642
- Ferrarese, L., Côté, P., Cuillandre, J.-C., et al. 2012, ApJ, 200, 4
- Fioc, M., & Rocca-Volmerange, B. 1997, A&A, 326, 950
- Forte, J. C., Faifer, F. R., Vega, E. I., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 431, 1405
- Gavazzi, G., Boselli, A., Donati, A., Franzetti, P., & Scodeggio, M. 2003, A&A, 400, 451
- Hanes, D. A., & Brodie, J. P. 1986, ApJ, 300, 279
- Hanes, D. A., Côté, P., Bridges, T. J., et al. 2001, ApJ, 559, 812
- Harris, W. E., Whitmore, B. C., Karakla, D., et al. 2006, ApJ, 636, 90
- Haşegan, M., Jordán, A., Côté, P., et al. 2005, ApJ, 627, 203
- Hempel, M., & Kissler-Patig, M. 2004, A&A, 428, 459
- Hilker, M. 2011, EAS Publications Series, 48, 219
- Jensen, J. B., Tonry, J. L., Barris, B. J., et al. 2003, ApJ, 583, 712
- Jones, J. B., Drinkwater, M. J., Jurek, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 312
- Jordán, A., Côté, P., West, M. J., & Marzke, R. O. 2002, ApJ, 576, L113
- Jordan, A., Peng, E. W., Blakeslee, J. P., et al. 2009, ApJS, 180, 54 ´
- Kissler-Patig, M., Brodie, J. P., & Minniti, D. 2002, A&A, 391, 441
- Kotulla, R., Fritze, U., Weilbacher, P., & Anders, P. 2009, MNRAS, 396, 462
- Lançon, A., & Mouhcine, M. 2000, Massive Stellar Clusters, 211, 34
- Lane, K. P., Almaini, O., Foucaud, S., et al. 2007, MNRAS, 379, L25
- Lawrence, A., Warren, S. J., Almaini, O., et al. 2007, MNRAS, 379, 1599
- Lawrence, A., Warren, S. J., Almaini, O., et al. 2012, VizieR Online Data Catalog, 2314, 0
- Lin, L., Dickinson, M., Jian, H.-Y., et al. 2012, ApJ, 756, 71
- Liu, Y., Zhou, X., Ma, J., et al. 2005, AJ, 129, 2628
- Ly, C., Malkan, M. A., Kashikawa, N., et al. 2012, ApJ, 757, 63
- McCracken, H. J., Capak, P., Salvato, M., et al. 2010, ApJ, 708, 202
- McCracken, H. J., Milvang-Jensen, B., Dunlop, J., et al. 2012, A&A, 544, A156
- Merson, A. I., Baugh, C. M., Helly, J. C., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 429, 556 Mouhcine, M., Gonzalez, R. A., & Liu, M. C. 2005, MNRAS, 362, 1208 ´
- Kim, H.-S., Yoon, S.-J., Sohn, S. T., et al. 2013, ApJ, 763, 40
- King, I. R. 1978, ApJ, 222, 1
- Kurk, J., Cimatti, A., Daddi, E., et al. 2013, A&A, 549, A63
- McDonald, M., Courteau, S., Tully, R. B., & Roediger, J. 2011, MNRAS, 414, 2055
- Mei, S., Blakeslee, J. P., Côté, P., et al. 2007, ApJ, 655, 144
- Misgeld, I., & Hilker, M. 2011, MNRAS, 414, 3699
- Park, C., & Choi, Y.-Y. 2005, ApJ, 635, L29
- Park, H. S., Lee, M. G., & Hwang, H. S. 2012, ApJ, 757, 184
- Paudel, S., Duc, P.-A., Côté, P., et al. 2013, ApJ, 767, 133
- Peng, E. W., Jordán, A., Côté, P., et al. 2008, ApJ, 681, 197
- Peng, E. W., Jordan, A., Blakeslee, J. P., et al. 2009, ApJ, 703, 42 ´
- Pessev, P. M., Goudfrooij, P., Puzia, T. H., & Chandar, R. 2008, MNRAS, 385, 1535
- Pota, V., Forbes, D. A.,Romanowsky, A. J., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 428, 389
- Puget, P., Stadler, E., Doyon, R., et al. 2004, Proc. SPIE, 5492, 978
- Puzia, T. H., Zepf, S. E., Kissler-Patig, M., et al. 2002, A&A, 391, 453
- Puzia, T. H., Mobasher, B., & Goudfrooij, P. 2007, AJ, 134, 1337
- Raimondo, G., Cantiello, M., Brocato, E., & Biscardi, I. 2010, Memorie della Societa Astronomica Italiana Supplementi, 14, 63
- Rangel, C., Nandra, K., Laird, E. S., & Orange, P. 2013, MNRAS, 428, 3089
- Reaves, G. 1956, AJ, 61, 69

Reaves, G. 1983, ApJS, 53, 375

- Riffel, R., Ruschel-Dutra, D., Pastoriza, M. G., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 410, 2714
- Romanowsky, A. J., Strader, J., Brodie, J. P., et al. 2012, ApJ, 748, 29
- Schlafly, E. F., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2011, ApJ, 737, 103
- Schuberth, Y., Richtler, T., Hilker, M., et al. 2012, A&A, 544, A115
- Sick, J., Courteau, S., Cuillandre, J.-C., et al. 2013, arXiv:1303.6290
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
- Strader, J., Romanowsky, A. J., Brodie, J. P., et al. 2011, ApJS, 197, 33
- Tamura, N., Sharples, R. M., Arimoto, N., et al. 2006a, MNRAS, 373, 588
- Tamura, N., Sharples, R. M., Arimoto, N., et al. 2006b, MNRAS, 373, 601
- Taylor, E. N., Hopkins, A. M., Baldry, I. K., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 418, 1587
- Tonry, J. L., Ajhar, E. A., & Luppino, G. A. 1990, AJ, 100, 1416
- de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A., Corwin, H. G., Jr., et al. 1991, Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies. Volume I: Explanations and references. Volume II: Data for galaxies between 0^h and 12^h . Volume III: Data for galaxies between 12^h and 24^h ., by de Vaucouleurs, G.; de Vaucouleurs, A.; Corwin, H. G., Jr.; Buta, R. J.; Paturel, G.; Fouqué, P.. Springer, New York, NY (USA), 1991, 2091 p., ISBN 0-387-97552- 7, Price US\$ 198.00. ISBN 3-540-97552-7, Price DM 448.00. ISBN 0- 387-97549-7 (Vol. I), ISBN 0-387-97550-0 (Vol. II), ISBN 0-387-97551-9 (Vol. III)
- van Dokkum, P. G. 2001, PASP, 113, 1420
- Vogel, H. 1979, Mathematical Biosciences, 44, 179-189
- Webb, J. J., Harris, W. E., & Sills, A. 2012, ApJ, 759, L39
- Worthey, G. 1994, ApJS, 95, 107
- Yoon, S.-J., Sohn, S. T., Lee, S.-Y., et al. 2011, ApJ, 743, 149
- Yuma, S., Ohta, K., Yabe, K., Kajisawa, M., & Ichikawa, T. 2011, ApJ, 736, 92
- Yuma, S., Ohta, K., & Yabe, K. 2012, ApJ, 761, 19

FIG. A1.— Components of the WIRCam Ks transmission used to compute synthetic photometry. The uppermost curve shows the filter transmission. The efficiency of the optics reduces the global efficiency to values between 60 and 70 percent (green curve). The additional effect of telluric features is shown for airmass of 1 (dotted) and 1.5 (solid).

TABLE A1

SYNTHETIC AB MAGNITUDE OF VEGA, FOR VARIOUS TRANSMISSION CURVES AND VEGA MODELS. AN AIRMASS OF 1.5 IS ASSUMED IN THE LAST LINE OF THIS TABLE. THE RECOMMENDED VALUE IS HIGHLIGHTED.

	alpha.lyr.stis.003 VegaLCB	
filter only	1.821	1.828
filter+optics	1.819	1.826
filter+optics+atm.	1.827	1.834

APPENDIX

WIRCAM K_S PHOTOMETRY - ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

AB magnitudes versus Vega-based magnitudes

The constant difference between Vega-based magnitudes and AB magnitudes for the WIRCam Ks observations can be obtained from synthetic photometry. The CFHT WIRCam web pages⁹ warn that various authors have used conversion constants that differ by up to 0.2 magnitudes. How the various values have been obtained, however, is not documented systematically and is rarely indicated in journal publications. We detail our own derivation below.

Figure A1 shows the components of the WIRCam Ks transmission curve. The data for the instrument components were obtained from the CFHT WIRCam Throughput web pages (files cfh8302.dat for the filter, and file WIRCamOpticsResponseCurve.xls for the optics). The optics transmission curve includes all optics except the telescope mirror and tip-tilt plate. We thus implicitely assume these two components have a flat response. The atmospheric transmission for Mauna Kea was obtained from the website of the Gemini Observatory. It is based on the ATRAN code (Lord, s.D. 1992, NASA Technical Memorandum 103957) and assumes a water vapor column of 1mm.

Table A1 provides the conversion constants obtained for WIRCam Ks under various assumptions. Three models for Vega were used. alpha.lyr.stis.003 and alpha.lyr.stis.005 are two versions of the standard Vega spectrum distributed by the Space Telescope Science Institute (Bohlin 2007 ASP Conf Ser. 364, 315, and calspec webpage on www.stsci.edu). They differ only shortward of 0.53 μ m, which affects the NGVS u^* and g bands but not WIRCam Ks. VegaLCB is the reference spectrum distributed with the population synthesis code $PÉGASE$ (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1997). The differences with the HST spectra are (1) a globally lower flux level (0.7 %), (2) a lower spectral resolution (which leads to small differences of the integrals over hydrogen features), and (3) local differences in the shape of the spectrum, such as between 2.3 and 2.5 μ m or around 1.5 μ m. The conversion constant we recommend is

$K_s(AB) - K_s(Vega) = 1.827 mag$

This value is essentially identical to the value obtained by Stéphane Arnouts at CFHT (1.824 mag).

Users of PÉGASE should be reminded that code provides Vega-type magnitudes and colors under the assumption that Vega has a magnitude of 0.03 (and not 0.0) in all filters. Therefore, the WIRCam Ks magnitudes produced for synthetic populations by PÉGASE in the AB-system and in the Vega-based system, using VegaLCB as a reference, differ by 1.804 instead of 1.834. Rather than using Vega, our customized version of PÉGASE computes AB synthetic magnitudes directly.

⁹ http://www.cfht.hawaii.edu/Instruments/WIRCam/ dietWIRCam.html

		u^*	a	$r \qquad i \qquad z$		K_s
Vega	$A(\lambda)/A(V)$				1.490 1.190 0.874 0.674 0.498 0.118	
	Effective λ (μ m) 0.3895 0.4803 0.6212 0.7493 0.8849 2.144					
Sun	$A(\lambda)/A(V)$ 1.492 1.160 0.868 0.668 0.498 0.120					
	Effective λ (μ m) 0.3876 0.4906 0.6252 0.7532 0.885 2.144					
	Red star $A(\lambda)/A(V)$ 1.462 1.120 0.858 0.654 0.492 0.120					
	Effective λ (μ m) 0.3967 0.5038 0.6309 0.7620 0.8904 2.146					

TABLE B1 EXTINCTION COEFFICIENTS FOR NGVS+NGVS-IR FILTERS.

EXTINCTION COEFFICIENTS

We have derived extinction coefficients by comparing the synthetic colors of stellar model spectra and of reddened versions thereof, using the extinction law of Cardelli et al. (1989) with $R_V = 3.1$. The stellar models used were representations of Vega, of the Sun and of a red star (in practice, the solar model seen through 3 magnitudes of V -band extinction). Table B1 lists the results.

One may compare the values obtained here for CFHT/MegaCam with those provided for a G2V star on the stellar isochrone web site of Padova Observatory (http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/cmd), where the same extinction law is assumed. Their values of A(λ)/A(V) for Megacam u^* , g, r, i, z are 1.466, 1.167, 0.860, 0.656, 0.500. No comparison value is available through that web interface for WIRCam/Ks.

Note that the foreground extinction towards Virgo is smaller $A(V)=0.1$. Uncertainties associated with neglecting color terms or using one or the other reference for extinction coefficients will therefore be smaller than ∼0.5 %.