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A B S T R A C T

The palaeontological collections of the Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle (MNHN, Paris, France) and the Muséum d’Histoire naturelle d’Autun (MHNA, Autun, France) include many fossil specimens originating from the argillaceous shales of the Autun basin (Saône-et-Loire, France). These fossils are preserved within sedimentary rocks containing unstable sulphide compounds, such as pyrite, which may deteriorate in contact with water and oxygen. This alteration provokes crystalline efflorescence and cracks, thus compromising the preservations of the fossils. This work constitutes the first step of a project that aims to understand the mechanisms of alteration of these materials in order to define conservation guidelines for palaeontological collections. For this purpose, eight damaged specimens originating from the Permian Autun basin (Saône-et-Loire, France) were selected and analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman spectroscopy, scanning electron microscopy coupled to energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (SEM/EDS) and X-ray absorption spectroscopy at the threshold of the sulphur Kα-edge (XANES). This methodology enabled the characterization of the matrices composition and the chemical nature of the alterations. Subsequently, we have sought to reproduce by artificial ageing the alteration phenomena encountered in the collections. New shale samples were collected on seven outcrops of the same Autun basin. They were analyzed and subjected to artificial ageing at 50% relative humidity (RH) and at temperatures ranging between 40 °C and 90 °C. Our work shows that damaged specimens and newly collected shale have a similar mineralogical composition. Yet the crystalline efflorescence material formed on the surface of damaged specimens belongs to the iron sulphate group whereas gypsum predominates on artificially aged shale samples. Reproducing the alterations observed on specimens by artificial ageing remains therefore problematic. Additionally, it appears that the temperature of ageing controls the nature of the damage: at 40 °C, many samples are mechanically damaged whereas no or minor crystalline efflorescence occurs. At 90 °C, it is the opposite tendency that is observed. Finally, mechanical damages do not seem to be correlated with the development of the efflorescence: samples with efflorescent crystals generally do not show clearly visible cracks; those that seem most fragmented do not show any visible efflorescence.

© 2013 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

R É S U M É

Les schistes argileux du bassin d’Autun font partie intégrante des collections de paléontologie du Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle (MNHN, Paris, France) et du Muséum d’Histoire naturelle d’Autun (MHNA,
1. Introduction

Shales may contain fossils, as in the case of the world-renowned and widely studied Burgess Shale (Gaines et al., 2012). Although shales of the Autun basin (Saône-et-Loire, France) are less known, they have also delivered a large number of fossils, now stored in various museums, including the Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle, Paris, France (MNHN) and the Muséum d’Histoire naturelle d’Autun, Autun, France (MHNA). Some of these fossils are now showing significant degradations (Rouchon et al., 2012); these are frequently attributed to pyrite breakdown, hence the designation of “pyritized” fossils. However, a great variety of quite unstable sulphide species could as well be suspected to be the origin of this damage.

The alteration of pyritized fossils is mainly due to the oxidation of sulphides (sulphur of oxidation number —I or —II) into sulphates (sulphur of oxidation number +VI). Many investigations are currently dedicated to the degradation of sulphide species (Jambor et al., 2000; Vaughan, 2006; Murphy and Strongin, 2009; Chandra and Gerson, 2010; Schoonen et al., 2010; Heidel and Tichomirova, 2011). It has been in particular shown that the presence of heavy metal impurities (Lehner et al., 2007), microorganisms (Tupikina et al., 2009) or carbonates (Caldeira et al., 2010) significantly influences the oxidation process.

Palaeontologists and museum conservators are well aware of the risks related to pyrite breakdown (Howie, 1977a,b) and consequently developed several preventive and curative methods. Preventive methods, such as dry/anoxic storage in water/airtight plastic bags constitute a promising strategy (Carrio and Stevenson, 2002; McPhail et al., 2003; Day, 2005; Butler, 2006) but no information is available regarding the acceptable amount of humidity or residual oxygen in the bags. Curative methods, such as the application of ethanolamine thiglycollate (Cornish and Doyle, 1984; Cornish, 1986) are poorly documented with respect to their efficiency and long term side effects. They are moreover invasive and compromise any further diagnosis investigation.

Despite intensive studies dedicated to the decay of iron sulphide, the preservation of palaeontological specimens during their storage in museum collections remains misunderstood (Fellowes and Hagan, 2003). This work constitutes the first step of a project that aims to obtain a better insight into the physicochemical transformations taking place in fossils preserved in shale after their excavation in order to define conservation guidelines for palaeobotanical collections. For this purpose, it appears necessary:

- to characterize the alteration products;
- to reproduce the degradation in laboratory conditions.

Two approaches were therefore developed in this work: firstly, original damaged specimens from the Autun basin (Saône-et-Loire) were analyzed; secondly, shale samples were taken from different outcrops of the same basin and artificially aged with different temperature conditions. The purpose is to compare the newly induced alteration of these shale samples with that formed on the damaged specimens (Rouchon et al., 2012).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Investigated samples

2.1.1. Damaged specimens

The specimens (Table 1) were selected from the MNHN and MHNA collections. While damage is evident (Fig. 1), it is difficult to assess when and under which circumstances it occurred because the history of these specimens is not documented.

The specimens MNHN.F.6888, MNHN.F.6889, MNHN.F.6890, MNHN.F.6891 and MNHN.F.6892 belong to the Fleurost collection and were already depicted in the supporting information of a previous study (Rouchon et al., 2012). As these specimens jointly entered the Museum collection in 1865, it is reasonable to assume that they have been subjected to similar conservation conditions. They are now stored in the same drawer, in a room without air conditioning and thus exposed to temperature and humidity fluctuations (Fig. 2).

The specimens MHNA-PAL-000316, MHNA-PAL-000155 and MHNA-PAL-000167 (Fig. 1) were collected in the nineteenth century by Anatole Desplaces de Charmasse and donated to the Société d’Histoire naturelle d’Autun around 1900. In 1964, they were
Table 1
Mineralogical composition of damaged specimens. The composition of the matrices was determined by X-ray diffraction and scanning electron microscopy coupled to energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (SEM/EDS). The composition of the efflorescences was determined by X-ray diffraction and Raman spectrometry. All matrices quoted in this table present a matte aspect. The matrices of the specimen MNHN.F.6889 and MHNA-PAL-000167 show an additional layer that is not quoted in this table. It is shiny, amorphous, composed of organic matter and has the aspect of vitrinite.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>History</th>
<th>Geographical area</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Medium d'Histoire naturelle (MHNA), Autun</th>
<th>Maudam d'Histoire naturelle (MHNA), Autun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>Reference in Boucaud et al. 2012</td>
<td>Fossil</td>
<td>MHNA-PAL-000167</td>
<td>MHNA-PAL-000167</td>
<td>MHNA-PAL-000167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share matrix</td>
<td>Localisation</td>
<td>Qaute</td>
<td>Le Roet, Tavernay</td>
<td>Le Roet, Tavernay</td>
<td>Le Roet, Tavernay</td>
<td>Le Roet, Tavernay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efflorescence</td>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>CaO₂·2H₂O</td>
<td>CaSO₄·2H₂O</td>
<td>Na₂SO₄·2H₂O</td>
<td>CaCO₃·0.5H₂O</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃·0.7H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feldspar</td>
<td>Al₂Si₂O₆·4H₂O</td>
<td>NaAlSi₃O₆·2H₂O</td>
<td>KAlSi₃O₆·2H₂O</td>
<td>NaAlSi₃O₆·2H₂O</td>
<td>NaAlSi₃O₆·2H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dolomite</td>
<td>CaMg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>CaMg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>CaMg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>CaMg(CO₃)₂</td>
<td>CaMg(CO₃)₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>NaAlSi₃O₆·2H₂O</td>
<td>NaAlSi₃O₆·2H₂O</td>
<td>NaAlSi₃O₆·2H₂O</td>
<td>NaAlSi₃O₆·2H₂O</td>
<td>NaAlSi₃O₆·2H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ammoniojarosite</td>
<td>(NH₄)Fe⁺³·3(OH)₆(SO₄)₂·20(H₂O)</td>
<td>(NH₄)Fe⁺³·3(OH)₆(SO₄)₂·20(H₂O)</td>
<td>(NH₄)Fe⁺³·3(OH)₆(SO₄)₂·20(H₂O)</td>
<td>(NH₄)Fe⁺³·3(OH)₆(SO₄)₂·20(H₂O)</td>
<td>(NH₄)Fe⁺³·3(OH)₆(SO₄)₂·20(H₂O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Na₂Si₂O₅·3H₂O</td>
<td>Na₂Si₂O₅·3H₂O</td>
<td>Na₂Si₂O₅·3H₂O</td>
<td>Na₂Si₂O₅·3H₂O</td>
<td>Na₂Si₂O₅·3H₂O</td>
<td>Na₂Si₂O₅·3H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calciopairite</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃·3CaSiO₄·3H₂O</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃·3CaSiO₄·3H₂O</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃·3CaSiO₄·3H₂O</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃·3CaSiO₄·3H₂O</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃·3CaSiO₄·3H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ammoniojarosite</td>
<td>(NH₄)Fe⁺³·3(OH)₆(SO₄)₂·20(H₂O)</td>
<td>(NH₄)Fe⁺³·3(OH)₆(SO₄)₂·20(H₂O)</td>
<td>(NH₄)Fe⁺³·3(OH)₆(SO₄)₂·20(H₂O)</td>
<td>(NH₄)Fe⁺³·3(OH)₆(SO₄)₂·20(H₂O)</td>
<td>(NH₄)Fe⁺³·3(OH)₆(SO₄)₂·20(H₂O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natrojarosite</td>
<td>Na₂Si₂O₅·3H₂O</td>
<td>Na₂Si₂O₅·3H₂O</td>
<td>Na₂Si₂O₅·3H₂O</td>
<td>Na₂Si₂O₅·3H₂O</td>
<td>Na₂Si₂O₅·3H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1. General view of Muséum d'Histoire naturelle d'Autun (MHNA) specimens. a: MHNA-PAL-000316; b: MHNA-PAL-000155; c: MHNA-PAL-000167. A photographic report of MNHN specimens is available elsewhere.

Fig. 2. Temperature and relative humidity of the storage areas. Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle (MNHN), Brongniart's room, #M17 drawer, between October 2011 and November 2012 (up) and MNHA, air-conditioned vault between December 2011 and December 2012 (down).

2.1.2. Newly excavated shale samples

The crystalline efflorescence previously observed on the MNHN specimens was not systematically located on the fossils alone but was also distributed in the shale matrix (Rouchon et al., 2012). It was therefore not found necessary to collect shale slabs that contain fossils.

Samples, several decimetres in size, were taken from seven different outcrops of the Autun basin (Table 2). Its stratigraphy is well documented (Elsass Damon, 1977; Châteauneuf et al., 1980; Marteau, 1983). They do not show any visible fossils and present a matte aspect.

As they were taken from outcropping shale layers, the collected slabs might have already been exposed to oxygen and thus subjected to some "primary" oxidation. This oxidation was visible on one sample only, which came from Saint-Léger-du-Bois and presented a few spots of gypsum. Nevertheless, samples were housed in air and watertight plastic bags (ESCAL, Long Life for Art, Germany) with oxygen scavenger bags (ATCO FTM, Long Life for Art, Germany) for 3 months before the study. This conditioning was efficient in limiting drying, but oxygen depletion was sometimes ineffective, probably because the plastic did not withstand piercing from the sharp shale edges. It was also necessary to check regularly the amount of oxygen with an oximeter (Canal 111, Vigaz, Visciano, France).

Some specificity should be noticed: firstly, the slabs originating from the Muse site do not belong to the famous fish layer (Gand...
et al., 2010), but were sampled approx. one to two metres above this layer. Secondly, the outcrop of the site of La Comaille is situated very close to the locality “Le Ruet” where the MNHN specimens were collected. The slabs coming from La Comaille probably correspond to the same layer as the MNHN specimens.

2.2. Accelerated ageing

Three sets of newly excavated shale samples were artificially aged at the same level of relative humidity (50% RH) yet at different temperature values: 40 °C, 70 °C and 90 °C. Aged, was performed in closed vessels with an experimental setup similar to that depicted in the ASTM D6819 standard (Sawoszczyk et al., 2008); however, silica gel was used instead of paper for the monitoring of humidity (PROSorb, Long Life for Art, Germany; pre-conditioned at 50% RH). During ageing, the temperature and humidity conditions were monitored by small sensors placed in the vessel (Hygrobutton, ProgesPlus, USA).

Due to the heterogeneous nature of shale (Berrrow and Reaves, 1981; Subasinghe et al., 2009), each slab has been split into eight samples, each approximately 2 to 3 cm wide and 0.5 to 1 cm thick. These samples were then dispatched in eight tubes. As a result, each tube contained seven samples, each of them originating from a different outcrop. The eight tubes were closed and placed together in the same oven at a given temperature (40 °C, 70 °C or 90 °C). They were regularly removed from the oven and opened for a few days in order to be photographed. The silica gel was replaced and the tube placed back in the oven to pursue the ageing. In all, 168 samples were artificially aged for a total period of 16 weeks.

2.3. Characterisation techniques

2.3.1. Visual assessment during artificial ageing

Visible changes during artificial ageing were evaluated for each sample with macro-pictures taken with a digital camera placed on top of a 4300K light booth, specifically designed for small samples; see elsewhere for details (Rouchon et al., 2009). The comparison of pictures taken before and during ageing enabled the identification of changes. This visual assessment allowed to pinpoint the most obvious damages.

2.3.2. X-ray diffraction (XRD)

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to identify crystalline phases present in shale specimens and also in newly excavated shales. Small samples, several millimetres large, were taken from the slabs and crushed in an agate mortar. On some samples, XRD was used additionally for the identification of the efflorescence when the latter could be sampled in sufficient quantity. Analyses were conducted with a conventional Cu-Kα powder diffractometer (D2 Phaser diffractometer) equipped with a Ceramic KFL X-ray tube source and a 1D-linear LynxEye detector, using standard conditions: 30 kV; 10 mA; 20 range: 3° to 65°; timesteps 0.2 s step⁻¹; 3068 steps; angular speed 200 rad min⁻¹. Phases were identified with the Joint Committee Powder Diffraction Standard using the EVA software (Bruker, Germany).

2.3.3. Raman spectroscopy (RS)

Raman spectroscopy (RS) is a powerful tool for the speciation of sulphate minerals and was already used for the analyses of fossil degradation products (Rouchon et al., 2012). When the crystals were present in a quantity too small to be analysed by conventional XRD, they were characterized by RS, by the use of a Raman microscope (inVia, Renishaw, UK; 532 nm, 0.5 to 2.5 mW, 50 x objective) following a protocol already described elsewhere (Rouchon et al., 2012). As shale is a highly fluorescent material, the measurements were performed on microsamples taken from the surface of damaged shales.

2.3.4. Scanning electron microscopy/energy dispersive spectrometer (SEM/EDS)

Elemental analysis by SEM/EDS was mostly undertaken on shale matrices in order to map elemental distributions on the microscale (with an approximate lateral resolution of 5 micrometers) and to identify correlated elements. In addition, it was useful to characterize more precisely some efflorescence materials such as brousingautite, morehite and jarosite type sulfates. Measurements were conducted without any specific sample preparation with a low vacuum scanning electron microscope (JEOL, JSM-5410LV) coupled with an X-ray probe (Oxford Link Pentafet). Elemental mappings were recorded with the following experimental parameters: accelerating voltage, 20 kV; pressure, 20 Pa; working distance, 20 mm; aperture, 2; acquisition time, 1 hour. All data were treated with the LinkISIS software (Oxford).

2.3.5. 5 K-edge X-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy (XANES)

XANES appears to be a promising tool for the analysis of sulphur speciation in rocks and minerals (Prietzel et al., 2003; Mitklin and Tomashchevich, 2005; Jugo et al., 2010; Boye et al., 2011). A number of preliminary experiments were also undertaken on the LUCIA beam line of the SOLEIL synchrotron (Saint-Aubin, France) to evaluate the feasibility of XANES for the analysis of sulphur in shale matrices. The sample was placed in a vacuum chamber (2 · 10⁻² atm) and the measurements were performed in X-ray fluorescence mode with the following geometry: the sample surface was tilted 20° with respect to the incident beam and the detector was oriented perpendicular to the incident beam. 5 K-edge XANES spectra were recorded in the energy range from 2440 to 2600 eV, employing 175
data points with a spacing of 0.2 eV between 2465.2 eV and 2500 eV and 65 data points with a spacing of 1 eV elsewhere.

The analysis of sulphur rich species by synchrotron radiation is generally limited by the fact that sulphur is prone to reduction under high intensity light beams, thus leading to measurement artefacts if this reduction occurs during the acquisition (Wilke et al., 2008). On our specimens, this difficulty was overcome by the use of a defocused 1 x 1 mm beam that limits the incident light flux per surface unit. As shown on Fig. 3, several consecutive acquisitions could be made on the same position without noticeably changing the spectrum; the latter remains close to that of gypsum (CaSO₄·2H₂O).

**Fig. 3.** S K-edge X-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy preliminary testing: evaluation of the stability of samples under the beam. Four spectra were consecutively recorded on the same spot on sample MNHN.F.6889. No modification is observed between the first and the fourth spectra, meaning that the experimental conditions do not provoke sulphur reduction under the beam. Tests préliminaires d’absorption au seuil de la raie Ka du soufre : évaluation de la stabilité des échantillons sous le faisceau. Les quatre spectres ont été successivement enregistrés sur un même point de l’échantillon MNHN.F.6889. La superposition parfaite des spectres montre qu’il n’y a pas de réduction de soufre sous le faisceau.

SK-edge XANES measurements were performed on all the matte matrices of the MNHN specimens. Several spectra were recorded on each matrix and the most characteristic spectra were compared to the data collected on sulphur references or available in the literature (Cotte et al., 2006; Bohic et al., 2008; Almkvist et al., 2010) or in databases (ID21, 2011).

3. Results

### 3.1. Damaged specimens

#### 3.1.1. Mineral composition of efflorescence

The mineral compositions of the efflorescence, as determined by Raman spectrometry, are reported in Table 1. Most efflorescence belongs to the iron sulphate group and more specifically to the ferrous sulphate group (rozenite and szomolnokite are encountered everywhere). Ferric sulphates, such as copiapite, jarosite or metavoltine are also detected in some efflorescence but are present in much lower amounts.

#### 3.1.2. Mineral composition of matrices

The mineral compositions of the matrices, as determined by XRD measurements (Fig. 4), are reported in Table 1. The shiny layers are non-diffracting and are mainly composed of an amorphous organic matter, such as vitrinite, as confirmed by a smear slide. In the shiny layer of MNHN.F.6889, some quartz inclusions of less than 20 micrometers wide are detected by XRD and SEM/EDS.

In contrast, all matte layers are mainly composed of inorganic matter: they contain quartz, kaolinite and several undefined clay minerals (smectite, chlorite, illite, muscovite, etc.) (Table 1). A few samples additionally contain feldspars and carbonates (dolomite). The presence of pyrite is highlighted, but this mineral could be assessed in only two (out of the eight) specimens (Fig. 4) while sulphate alteration phases (gypsum and a mineral of the jarosite group) were identified by XRD in many of the matrices. As the numerous SEM/EDS mappings recorded on the matrices did not evidence the presence of grains rich in sulphur and iron, we

**Fig. 4.** X-ray diffraction spectra recorded on the specimen matrices. 
*Diffractogrammes enregistrés sur les encaissants des spécimens endommagés*
reasonably suppose that pyrite and jarosite are present in the shape of small crystals less than 5 μm large.

3.1.3. Determination of sulphur speciation in the matrices

The energies of S K-edge maxima are reported on Table 3 for several reference minerals. As expected, these values show a substantial shift between the most reduced sulphur species (such as pyrrhotite, o.n. = II, 2470.3 eV) and the most oxidized sulphur species (such as jarosite, o.n. +VI, 2482.8 eV). The most characteristic spectra recorded on the surface of the different specimen matrices are reported on Fig. 5: all these spectra show a sharp absorption edge positioned at 2482.5 ± 0.1 eV, meaning that sulphur is mostly present as sulphates (o.n. +VI) (Table 3) (ID21, 2011).

In most XANES spectra, a post-absorption edge pattern is noticeable between 2484 eV and 2486 eV. As it is present on gypsum/anhydrite spectra and absent from all other sulphate spectra, it is considered to be a signature of calcium sulphate. This observation is consistent with SEM/EDS maps in which 20 to 100 μm large grains, rich in calcium and sulphur are detected, mostly next to the surface and sparsely present in the inner part of the matrix. These crystals are not visible with the binocular microscope.

Some of the XANES spectra show no specific feature in the range 2484 to 2486 eV (as spectrum C of Fig. 5a). As XRD evidenced the presence of minerals of the jarosite group, these XANES spectra may reasonably be attributed to iron sulphate.

Although sulphur is mostly present as sulphate species, a small XANES pattern in the range 2472 to 2474 eV is noticed for all specimens, attesting the presence of reduced sulphur species (Fig. 5b). This signal seems to be composed of two absorption edges respectively positioned at 2472.1 eV and 2473.9 eV. The first edge is similar to that of pyrite or marcasite, i.e., iron sulphides of o.n. = II; it is not possible to distinguish with XANES between these two

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>o.n. of sulphur</th>
<th>Energy at the maximum of the S K-edge (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum, CaSO₄·2H₂O</td>
<td>+VI</td>
<td>2482.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhydrite, CaSO₄</td>
<td>+VI</td>
<td>2482.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rozenite, Fe₃(SO₄)·4H₂O</td>
<td>+VI</td>
<td>2482.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanterite, Fe₅(SO₄)·7H₂O</td>
<td>+VI</td>
<td>2482.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarosite, KFe₃(OH)₆(SO₄)₂</td>
<td>+VI</td>
<td>2482.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium sulphite, K₂SO₄</td>
<td>+IV</td>
<td>2478.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcasite, Fe₅S₂</td>
<td>−I</td>
<td>2472.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite, Fe₅S₂</td>
<td>−II</td>
<td>2472.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite, Fe₃S</td>
<td>−II</td>
<td>2470.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 5](image1.png)  
Fig. 5. Example of X-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy spectra recorded on matrices of Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle (MNHN) specimen. Spectra A, B, C and D were respectively recorded on specimen MNHN.F.6888, MNHN.F.6889, MNHN.F.6890 and MNHN.F.6892. *Exemples de spectres XANES enregistrés sur les spécimens du MNHN. Les spectres A, B, C et D ont été respectivement enregistrés sur les spécimens MNHN.F.6888, MNHN.F.6889, MNHN.F.6890 et MNHN.F.6892.*

![Fig. 6](image2.png)  
Fig. 6. Apparition of cracks during artificial ageing. *Exemple de délétion des schistes après vieillissement artificiel.*
minerals because they possess similar signatures. However, as pyrite was detected by XRD (Table 1), it seems likely that this first edge is mostly related to pyrite. The second edge does not seem to be related to iron sulphides and remains unattributed.

3.2. Artificial ageing of newly excavated shales

3.2.1. Visual assessment of artificial ageing

The examination of the photographs before and after ageing made it possible to assess the degradation of samples. Two types of visible damage become apparent: macroscopic crystalline efflorescence and fissuration. Efflorescence initially increases with ageing time and then stabilizes. Fissuration is assessed by the fact that shale slabs split and flake away (Fig. 6).

For each sample, the type of damage and the time when it was becoming visible was documented. This approach shows that all shale samples may get damaged whatever the outcrop they originate from. However, the reactivity of the samples significantly differs from one outcrop to another; the less reactive samples correspond to the sites of Igornay, Millery and Bois-des-Grands-Miens while the most reactive ones originate from the sites of La Comaille, Muse, Saint-Léger-du-Bois and Surmoulin.

Additionally it was noticed that samples of the same origin behave differently from each other even if they are aged in similar temperature conditions. Table 4 summarizes the damages observed on the 24 samples from the Surmoulin site and clearly illustrates the differences among samples: at 40°C, three (out of the eight) samples appear undamaged after 16 weeks of artificial ageing while two samples already got damaged after less than 10 weeks of ageing.

These observations confirm the necessity to consider a large number of samples for identifying overall tendencies. Despite the discrepancies observed among samples of the same origin, based upon the damage assessment of 168 samples, it is possible to highlight a few general behaviours.

Firstly, no efflorescence is visible on the samples that are showing obvious cracks and vice versa (Table 4). This feature is noticeable whatever the origin of the samples. It is clearly illustrated by Table 5 that reports the total number of samples affected by each type of damage. In this table, it can be seen that only two samples (out of the 168) simultaneously show efflorescence and cracks. This behaviour appears contrary to our expectations, as one would a priori suppose that the emergence of efflorescence would stress the matrix due to the crystallization pressure during salt growth and make it more fragile.

Secondly, an increase of temperature was expected to enhance all types of damages. This is not the case: at low temperature (40°C), fissuration prevails whereas at high temperature (90°C), efflorescence growth prevails (Table 5). The samples from the Surmoulin site (Table 4) illustrate this tendency: at 40°C, five (out of eight) samples get fissured while no efflorescence appears; at 90°C, efflorescence is noticeable on five (out of eight) samples whereas none of the samples appear fractured.

Table 4
List of the different types of damage that are observed on artificially aged shale samples coming from the Surmoulin site. Ageing was performed at 50% of relative humidity. The week numbers correspond to the first time damage was observed. “No” means that no damage was observed after 16 weeks of artificial ageing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>40°C</th>
<th>70°C</th>
<th>90°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of damage</td>
<td>Fissuration</td>
<td>Efflorescence</td>
<td>Fissuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube 1</td>
<td>Week 14</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Week 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube 2</td>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Week 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube 3</td>
<td>Week 9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Week 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube 4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Week 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube 5</td>
<td>Week 13</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Week 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube 6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Week 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube 7</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Week 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube 8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. X-ray diffraction spectra recorded on shale matrices before artificial ageing. Difractogrammes enregistrés sur les encaissants de schiste avant vieillissement.
**Table 6**
Composition of shale samples before artificial ageing as determined by XRD and SEM/EDS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribution</th>
<th>Saint Léger</th>
<th>Muse</th>
<th>Surmoulin</th>
<th>La Comaille</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz, SiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolinite, Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₃</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak peak at 14 Å (smectite, chlorite…)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak peak at 10 Å (illite, muscovite…)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albite, NaAlSiO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral of the Feldspar group</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite, CaMgCO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral of the jarosite group</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM/EDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements detected in all samples</td>
<td>Al, Si, S, K, Fe</td>
<td>Al, Si, S, K, Fe</td>
<td>Al, Si, S, K, Fe</td>
<td>Al, Si, S, K, Fe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other elements</td>
<td>Mg, Ti, Ca</td>
<td>P, Ca, Ti</td>
<td>Mg, P, Ca</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEM/EDS: scanning electron microscopy coupled to energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry; XRD: X-ray diffraction.

**Table 7**
Crystalline efflorescence obtained by artificial ageing at 90 °C. Efflorescent crystals were characterized by Raman spectroscopy and (if necessary) SEM/EDS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raman attribution</th>
<th>Saint-Léger-du-bois</th>
<th>Muse</th>
<th>Surmoulin</th>
<th>La Comaille</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of samples showing efflorescence at 90 °C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum, CaSO₄.2H₂O</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarosite, KFe₄(OH)₆(SO₄)₃</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite, BaSO₄</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEM/EDS: scanning electron microscopy coupled to energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry.

### 3.2.2. Composition of newly excavated shale before and after ageing

Analyses were mostly performed on samples coming from the sites of Surmoulin, Saint-Léger-du-Bois, Muse and La Comaille, because they appeared to be the most reactive. The mineralogical composition of the shales, as determined before ageing by XRD (Table 6, Fig. 7), is similar to that of the original specimens. It includes quartz, kaolinite, feldspars and clay minerals. Pyrite is not evidenced although iron and sulphur are detected in all samples by SEM/EDS.

The samples coming from the Surmoulin site are rich in dolomite, which was expected, as the layer of Surmoulin is the only one of the Autun basin that contains significant quantities of carbonates (Elsass Damon, 1977). A minor quantity of jarosite is additionally detected in the samples of the Saint-Léger site despite the fact that:

- no efflorescence is visible;
- these samples were preserved in anoxic conditions of 0.5 ± 0.2% O₂ until the analysis.

We think that this mineral could already have been present in the inner part of the shale before excavation and was formed during a primary oxidation that occurred on site.

Some of the samples that were mechanically damaged during the ageing at 40 °C were analysed by XRD. Their diffraction patterns were similar to those of unaged samples. No new crystalline phase was detected consistent with the absence of visible efflorescence.

**Table 7** summarises the composition of the crystalline efflorescence that is appearing at 90 °C: as expected, the efflorescence corresponds to the sulphate group. However, despite the fact that iron was present in all matrices, iron sulphates are found to be minor phases. Only a few crystals of jarosite were found, which were scarcely visible on the macro-pictures. In the samples originating from the La Comaille site, some small crystals of barite, of the order of 10 microns in size, were detected by SEM/EDS (Table 7, Fig. 8a). Beside this minor occurrence of jarosite and barite, all efflorescence crystals appear to be gypsum and show a large variety of crystalline morphologies: thin needles, organised in star shaped structures (Fig. 8a), large parallel beams (Fig. 8b), isolated crystals showing well defined planar edges (Fig. 8c) or conglomerates of crystals of various shapes (Fig. 8d).

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Characterization of damaged specimens

Most of the elements detected in the matrices by SEM/EDS are in good agreement with the mineral phases detected by XRD. Silicon and aluminium, the two major elements, mostly correspond to quartz and kaolinite that are the two major mineral phases. These elements can additionally be found in feldspars and other clay minerals (Table 1, peak at 14 Å and 10 Å) in combination with magnesium, sodium, potassium, calcium and iron. Sulphur can be related to sulphate or sulphide minerals, such as jarosite or pyrite. Titanium is the only element detected in all samples by SEM/EDS without being attributed to a specific mineral. This may be explained by its ability to substitute silicon in crystalline phases (Hartman, 1969).

This consistency should not conceal the complexity of shale materials, which are composed of organic and inorganic matter and of mineral and amorphous phases. XRD is not the most informative method for the determination of amorphous phases and the elements that are related to a crystalline phase may additionally be present in other amorphous phases. This is typically the case of sulphur and iron. The occurrence of pyrite was demonstrated by XRD only in some specimens. However, iron and sulphur were detected in all matrices by SEM/EDS and a small absorption edge at 2472.1 eV, which matches with iron sulphide compounds of o.n. – I, was present in the great majority of the S K-edge XANES spectra. All this information tends to evidence (but does not demonstrate) that there is a small fraction of poorly crystallised iron sulphide still remaining in the matrices, despite the fact that they were excavated more than a century ago.

An additional small absorption edge at 2473.9 eV is suggestive of other sulphide compounds that remain unidentified. These may possibly correspond to zinc sulphides such as sphalerite, showing
an absorption edge close to this value (2473.8 eV) (ID21, 2011); available elemental measurements (Elsass Damon, 1977) indicate that the matrices contain traces of zinc (100 ± 20 ppm). Yet, the presence of sphalerite appears doubtful as:

- our SEM/EDS measurements evidenced small grains of zinc, approx. 10 μm in size, that were not associated with sulphur;
- sphalerite was not evidenced by XRD.

This indicates that zinc sulphide (if present) is below the detection limit of XRD and SEM/EDS and is present in a poorly crystallized form.

Other candidates for the attribution of the 2473.9 eV absorption edge (Table 8) can be found among organic sulphides of o.n. –II: thiol, thioether, thiophene or thianthrene exhibit an absorption maximum of the S K-edge near 2473.9 eV (Bobic et al., 2008; Almkvist et al., 2010), meaning that this energy could be considered as a signature of C-S-C or C-S-H groups. A more quantitative
approach and the use of complementary techniques such as X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy might be useful to attribute more precisely the 2473.9 eV absorption edge.

4.2. Characterization of efflorescence on damaged specimens

Most efflorescent phases observed on damaged specimens are related to iron sulphates and predominantly iron(II) sulphates. Rozenite is the most abundant of these species, consistent with the fact that the temperature and humidity conditions of the storage (Fig. 2) correspond to its domain of stability (Chou et al., 2002).

Ferric sulphates, such as copiapite, jarosite and metavolatine are often detected together with ferrous sulphates, but in much smaller amounts. The simultaneous occurrence of several iron sulphates raises the question of their paragenesis, i.e. of the sequence of their formation. Iron(II) sulphates are much more abundant than iron(III) sulphates and some specimens (MNHN.F.6888 and MNHN.F.6892) present efflorescent crystals that exclusively belong to the group of iron(II) sulphates. These observations tend to indicate that iron(II) sulphates are the first to form and that iron(III) sulphates are mainly the result of their alteration. These considerations, although hypothetic, are consistent with classical studies of sulphate deposits (Jambor et al., 2000): iron(II)sulphates are often found in the deepest levels of a deposit, which are the most recently altered while iron(III) sulphates are preferentially found near the surface, i.e. in the levels that are first to be exposed to oxygen and humidity.

The occurrence of a great variety of mixed iron sulphates attests that other cations, such as sodium, potassium and ammonium, play a key role in the crystallization processes. They may have been incorporated as impurities in primary phases of iron(II) sulphates (Jambor et al., 2000), or be present in binary solid solutions. The case of boissangaultite and mohrite, which were simultaneously detected in all MHNA specimens is quite illustrative: these two phases belong to the picromerite group, feature a similar crystal structure and thus show similar diffraction patterns. They are only distinguishable by the fact that mohrite contains Fe²⁺ that is replaced by Mg²⁺ in the case of boissangaultite. In our samples, these two phases are thought to occur simultaneously on the micro-nanoscale as SEM/EDS elemental mapping showed that iron and magnesium were always correlated.

The presence of gypsum was expected since it is one of the most common degradation products found on shales (Mapes and Mapes, 1982) or during the oxidation of sediments that contain sulphide minerals (Jambor et al., 2000). However, it is rarely present in crystals of efflorescence and seems to remain in the surface matrix. This may be a thin layer, rich in calcium, initially present when the shale was excavated, or may result from a migration of calcium occurring a posteriori after the excavation.

4.3. Artificial ageing versus natural ageing

This work aimed to reproduce the alteration observed on damaged specimens by artificial ageing. This purpose is at least partly achieved since the two main damages observed on the collection specimens, i.e. cracks and efflorescence, could be reproduced on newly excavated shale samples. However, efflorescent crystals produced by artificial ageing are mainly composed of gypsum and differ significantly from those observed on damaged specimen, which are mainly related to iron sulphate.

This discrepancy may in first instance be attributed to the materials themselves. Museum specimens and newly excavated shale samples share similar characteristics, but contrary to museum specimens, the newly excavated shale samples did not include fossils. Additionally, the initial composition of specimens differs slightly from that of newly excavated samples: pyrite for instance was detected in museum specimens but not in newly excavated samples. Does this mean that these samples contain sulphides that are not related to iron sulphate? Complementary measurements, for instance S K-edge XANES spectra, appear to be necessary to estimate the nature and initial amount of sulphides.

Secondly, the discrepancy observed in the different types of efflorescence may possibly be inherent to the ageing conditions themselves. Shale presents a complex structure and its deterioration results from both physical and chemical processes. For example, crystal growth is conditioned by a large number of physico-chemical processes including micro-condensation in the porous matrix, dissolution of ions (e.g. sulphate, iron and calcium), migration of ions, precipitation, evaporation, etc. If a temperature increase provokes additional physical mechanisms, it will be difficult to compare the behaviour of artificially aged new samples with that of original specimens stored in ambient conditions for more than a century.

Whatever the type of efflorescence, the presence and the availability of cations (mainly iron and calcium) appear determinant in crystallization processes. They depend on several parameters, such as the temperature, the pH, the mobility of ions and, the solubility of the crystalline phase. This last parameter is quite low for gypsum (~2 g·L⁻¹ at 25°C) and quite high for iron(II) sulphates (~200 g·L⁻¹ at 20°C for melaniterite) meaning that the saturation of calcium sulphate solution in pores is easier to achieve than that of iron sulphates.

In micro-porous materials, micro-condensation of water may occur at relative humidity levels that are lower than 100%. This condensation generally follows Kelvin’s law and becomes significant when the dimension of the pores is less than 100 nm. The porous structure of shales (porosity: 5% to 20%) includes nanopores of the order of 1 nm in which water condenses at 50% RH. This

### Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Compound, formula</th>
<th>o.n. ofSulphur</th>
<th>S K-edge maximum (eV)</th>
<th>Calibration energy (eV)</th>
<th>Compounds used for energy calibration</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic sulphide</td>
<td>Sphalerite, ZnS</td>
<td>–II</td>
<td>2473.7</td>
<td>2482.5</td>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>ID21, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiol</td>
<td>Glutathion, (C₅H₇N₂O₆S)</td>
<td>–II</td>
<td>2473.4</td>
<td>2482.5</td>
<td>Zinc sulphate</td>
<td>ID21, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thiosalicyclic acid, 2·HSC₆H₄(C₆H₄)OH</td>
<td>–II</td>
<td>2473.5</td>
<td>2482.4</td>
<td>Sulphate, aqueous solution pH 7</td>
<td>Almkvist et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-cysteine, H₂SCH₂CH(NH₂)CO₂H</td>
<td>–II</td>
<td>2473.4</td>
<td>2482.4</td>
<td>Sulphate, aqueous solution pH 7</td>
<td>Almkvist et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-Cysteine, H₂SCH₂CH(NH₂)CO₂H</td>
<td>–II</td>
<td>2473.4</td>
<td>2482.5</td>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>Bohic et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thioether</td>
<td>Methionine, H₃CS(CH₃₂)₂CH(NH₂)CO₂H</td>
<td>–II</td>
<td>2473.4</td>
<td>2482.7</td>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>Bohic et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diphényl sulphide, (H₂C₆H₄)₂S</td>
<td>–II</td>
<td>2473.4</td>
<td>2476.4</td>
<td>DMSO, p-xylene</td>
<td>Almkvist et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-Methionine, H₃CS(CH₃₂)₂CH(NH₂)CO₂H</td>
<td>–II</td>
<td>2473.6</td>
<td>2482.4</td>
<td>Sulphate, aqueous solution pH 7</td>
<td>Almkvist et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiophènol</td>
<td>Dibenzothiophènol, C₁₂H₁₀S</td>
<td>–II</td>
<td>2474.0</td>
<td>2482.7</td>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>Bohic et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thianthreène</td>
<td>Thianthreène, (C₆H₄)₂S₂</td>
<td>–II</td>
<td>2474.2</td>
<td>2482.7</td>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>Bohic et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
condensation is temperature dependent and has a large impact on the dissolution, saturation and precipitation phenomena (Scherer, 2004).

Artificial ageing unexpectedly shows that fissuration is not correlated to the growth of sulphate efflorescence: these two types of deterioration are occurring complementary to each other. At higher temperature, crystal growth predominates, suggesting that ions migrate in the porous media from the inner to the outer part of the shale without provoking strong mechanical stress. Two aspects may explain this behaviour: firstly, according to Kelvin’s law, there is less condensation in the material at 90°C than at 40°C. This probably means that there is less mechanical stress in the matrix. Secondly, the transport of ions is favoured at elevated temperature because there is a higher hydrothermal gradient between the inner and the outer part of the shale. The observation of natural rock outcrops contaminated with soluble salts (Winkler, 1997) shows that such a gradient favours ionic transport and crystallisation.

At lower temperature, there is a lower hydrothermal gradient between the inner and the outer part of the samples, leading to a decrease ion mobility. Water vapour sorption may then become the dominant mechanism of alteration, especially when hygroscopic phases such as clay minerals are present. Interestingly, XRD analysis shows a peak at 14 Å (Tables 1 and 6), which is compatible with the presence of chlorite or smectite, two clays that are well known for their ability to swell. Several clayed rock studies dealt with the relationship between reactive clay minerals and their mechanical behaviours (for instance hygic dilation). In these studies the swelling of clays is presented as the result of two mechanisms respectively called “crystalline swelling” and “osmotic swelling” (Norrish, 1954; Madsen and Müller-Vonmoss, 1989). More generally, depending on their nature, their quantity and their porous network, clay minerals are involved in dilation processes that can lead to significant mechanical alteration, such as cracks, peeling and delamination (Scherer and Gonzalez, 2005). This could explain why mechanical damage is mainly observed at 40°C. However, this hypothesis remains to be confirmed by further investigations. In particular the clay fraction characteristics should be determined and their dilation should be monitored during ageing.

5. Conclusion

The first aim of this study was to try and define an analytical methodology for characterizing the alteration of shales. The damage provoked by artificial ageing was assessed by a visual examination of macroscopic photographs. This approach was useful to initiate the project but soon reached its limits. A methodology capable of characterizing and quantifying the mechanical damage of small samples (2–3 cm in size) would certainly help future investigations.

In this work, the visual assessment was completed by analytical techniques such as Raman spectroscopy, scanning electron microscopy/energy dispersive spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction. All these complementary techniques were successfully implemented to identify the composition of efflorescence and of shale matrices but an important amount of work remains to be done to develop a quantitative approach.

Additionally, the feasibility of using S-K Edge XANES was explored for sulphur speciation in shale. The analysis of a set of five specimens illustrated its potential for the detection of reduced sulphur compounds. This technique will undoubtedly help to monitor the oxidation of sulphur during artificial ageing and thus give some clues to understand the degradation mechanisms that are occurring at different temperatures.

The second aim of this study was to reproduce shale damage by artificial ageing. This goal was only partially reached, as the crystal growth that was generated on newly collected shale was mainly composed of calcium sulphate (gypsum) whereas the efflorescence found on original specimen mainly consisted of iron sulphate species. Assessing the origin of this discrepancy requires further research. One may suppose that artificial ageing conditions used in this work to provoke efflorescence correspond to a temperature value (90°C) that is too high to reliably reproduce the damage induced by “natural” ageing conditions. In this respect, a temperature of 40°C appears to be more adequate, as it is closer to ambient conditions. However, ageing at this temperature unfortunately provoked no efflorescence. Interestingly, efflorescence and visible mechanical damage appeared not to be correlated, suggesting that the appearance of efflorescence follows a mechanism that does not provoke drastic mechanical stress in the shale.

Artificial ageing conditions are not the only parameters to question. Some other aspects may have an impact on degradation phenomena, such as the history of the damaged specimens, the occurrence of fossil or the presence of active bacteria, etc. These aspects will be considered in the continuation of this work.
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