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# THE DENSITY OF SUPERCONDUCTIVITY IN THE BULK REGIME 

BERNARD HELFFER AND AYMAN KACHMAR


#### Abstract

In the asymptotic limit of a large Ginzburg-Landau parameter, we give a new asymptotic formula for the $L^{2}$-norm of the Ginzburg-Landau order parameter. The formula is valid in the bulk regime where the intensity of the applied magnetic field is of the same order as the Ginzburg-Landau parameter and strictly below the second critical field. Our formula complements the celebrated one of Sandier-Serfaty for the $L^{4}$-norm.


## 1. Introduction and main results

The Ginzburg-Landau model. The Ginzburg-Landau functional is defined as the sum of two functionals, the energy of the order parameter and the magnetic energy. It reads as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{GL}}(\psi, \mathbf{A})=\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{op}}(\psi, \mathbf{A})+\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{mag}}(\mathbf{A}), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{op}}(\psi, \mathbf{A}) & =\int_{\Omega}\left(|(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A}) \psi|^{2}-\kappa^{2}|\psi|^{2}+\frac{\kappa^{2}}{2}|\psi|^{4}\right) d x  \tag{1.2}\\
\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{mag}}(\mathbf{A}) & =\kappa^{2} H^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}-1|^{2} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Here:

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is an open, bounded and simply connected set with a $C^{\infty}$ boundary; $\Omega$ is the cross section of a cylindrical superconducting sample placed vertically.
- $(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \in H^{1}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C}) \times H^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ describes the state of superconductivity as follows: $|\psi|^{2}$ measures the local density of the superconducting Cooper pairs and curl $\mathbf{A}$ measures the induced magnetic field in the sample.
- $\kappa>0$ is the Ginzburg-Landau parameter, a material characteristic of the sample.
- $H>0$ measures the intensity of the applied magnetic field.
- The applied magnetic field is $\kappa H \vec{e}$, where $\vec{e}=(0,0,1)$.

We introduce the ground state energy of the functional in (1.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{gs}}\left(\kappa, h_{\mathrm{ex}}\right)=\inf \left\{\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{GL}}(\psi, \mathbf{A}):(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \in H^{1}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C}) \times H^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a given $(\kappa, H)$, a configuration $(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \in H^{1}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C}) \times H^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ satisfying $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{GL}}(\psi, \mathbf{A})=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{gs}}\left(\kappa, h_{\mathrm{ex}}\right)$ is called a minimizer of the functional $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{GL}}$ and we will denote it by $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$ to emphasize its dependence on $\kappa$ and $H$. Such a minimizer is a solution of the following Ginzburg-Landau equations (we use the notation $\nabla^{\perp}=\left(\partial_{x_{2}},-\partial_{x_{1}}\right)$ )

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A})^{2} \psi & =\kappa^{2}\left(1-|\psi|^{2}\right) \psi & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.4}\\
-\nabla^{\perp} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} & =(\kappa H)^{-1} \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\psi}(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A}) \psi) & & \text { in } \Omega \\
\nu \cdot(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A}) \psi & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} & =B_{0} & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Gauge invariant quantities. The physically relevant quantities, density, induced magnetic field, energy and supercurrent are invariant under the Gauge transformations. More precisely, the following quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\psi|^{2}, \quad \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}, \quad|(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A}) \psi|^{2}  \tag{1.5}\\
& j(\psi, \mathbf{A})=\operatorname{Re}(-i \bar{\psi}(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A}) \psi) \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

are invariant under the transformation $(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \mapsto\left(e^{i \chi}, \mathbf{A}-\nabla \chi\right)$ for every given $\chi \in H^{1}(\Omega ; \mathbb{R})$. This gauge invariance insures that all the quantities in (1.5) and (1.6) are smooth functions (cf. [23, Ch. 2]) when $(\psi, \mathbf{A})$ is a minimizer. The solution $(\psi, \mathbf{A})$ of (1.4) in the class such that $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}=0$ in $\Omega$ and $\mathbf{A} \cdot \nu=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ is indeed $C^{\infty}$.

Earlier results on the density. In this paper, we will study the asymptotics for the density in the following regime

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=b \kappa \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b \in(0,1)$ is a fixed constant.
This corresponds to the situation of an external magnetic field with intensity strictly below the second critical field $H_{c_{2}}(\kappa):=\kappa$. The case where $b>1$ in (1.7) is related to the phenomenon of surface superconductivity which is extensively studied by many authors [4, 8, 10, 22].

When (1.7) holds, Sandier-Serfaty [25] proved the following formula for the ground state energy in (1.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{gs}}\left(\kappa, h_{\mathrm{ex}}\right)=g(b)|\Omega| \kappa^{2}+o\left(\kappa^{2}\right) \quad \text { as } \kappa \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(b)$ is an implicitly defined quantity that depends only on $b$. Its precise definition will be given in (2.4). In particular, it satisfies:

$$
g(0)=-\frac{1}{2}, g(1)=0 \text { and } g(b)<0 \text { for } b \in(0,1)
$$

The convergence in (1.8) is uniform with respect to $b$ on every interval $[\epsilon, 1), \epsilon>0$. The uniform convergence fails on the interval $(0,1)$. More details regarding the uniformity with respect to $b$ are given by K. Attar in $[5,6]$.

Now suppose that (1.7) holds and that $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$ is a minimizer of the functional in (1.1). The magnetic energy satisfies [5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{2} H^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}-1|^{2} d x \leq C \kappa^{7 / 4} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\kappa \geq \kappa_{0}$, where $\kappa_{0}$ and $C$ are two constants that depend only on the domain $\Omega$ and the constant $b$ in (1.7). Hence its contribution in the ground state energy is relatively small as $\kappa \rightarrow+\infty$.

Again, if $b \in[\epsilon, 1)$ for some $\epsilon>0$, the constants $\kappa_{0}$ and $C$ can be selected independently from $b$, but they will depend on $\epsilon$. More details can be found in [5,6], where it is allowed for $\epsilon$ to depend on $\kappa, \epsilon=\epsilon(\kappa)$, and approach 0 as $\kappa \rightarrow+\infty$.

Using the Ginzburg-Landau equation for $\psi$ (see (1.4)), we get the following simple relation between the energy and the $L^{2}$-norm of the density:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{op}}(\psi, \mathbf{A})=-\frac{\kappa^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\psi(x)|^{4} d x \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\text {op }}$ is the energy of the order parameter introduced in (1.2). Consequently, combining the estimates in (1.8) and (1.9), we deduce the following formula regarding the $L^{2}$-norm of the density [25]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\psi(x)|^{4} d x=-2 g(b)|\Omega|+o(1) \quad \text { as } \kappa \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $o(1)$ is dominated by a function $s(\kappa)$ such that $s(\kappa)$ is independent of the choice of the minimizer $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$ and $s(\kappa) \rightarrow 0$ as $\kappa \rightarrow+\infty$. When $b \in[\epsilon, 1)$ for some $\epsilon>0$, the function $s(\kappa)$ can be selected independently from $b$. More details can be found in [5, 6], where
the case $\epsilon=\epsilon(\kappa)$ tending to 0 is considered. In particular the comparison of $\epsilon(\kappa)$ with the first critical field $H_{c_{1}}(\kappa) \approx \frac{\ln \kappa}{\kappa}$ could play a role.

Furthermore, Sandier-Serfaty obtained the following weak-convergence of $|\psi|^{4}$ as $\kappa \rightarrow+\infty$ in the sense of distributions [25]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi|^{4} \rightharpoonup-2 g(b) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Open questions. Note that for $b=0$ in (1.7), i.e. $H=0$, every minimizer $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$ satisfies $|\psi|=1$ and curl $\mathbf{A}=1$. This is consistent with (1.11) and (1.9). Indeed, as $b \rightarrow 0_{+}$, we know that $g(b) \rightarrow-\frac{1}{2}$.

The regime $b \rightarrow 0_{+}$(which corresponds to $H \ll \kappa$, see (1.7)) is thoroughly analyzed by Sandier-Serfaty in $[26,24]$. In particular, it is proved that, for any minimizer $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$, the density $|\psi|^{2}$ satisfies $|\psi|^{2} \rightarrow 1$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and it is close to 1 everywhere except in narrow regions of area $O\left(\kappa^{-1}\right)$. The region where $|\psi|^{2}$ is not close to 1 consists of small defects accommodating isolated zeros of $\psi$, called vortices. These vortices are evenly distributed in the domain $\Omega$ along a lattice, and the distance between two vortices is $\approx H^{-1}$, much larger than $\kappa^{-1}$, the core size of the vortex.

The detailed analysis of the distribution of vortices is missing when (1.7) holds for a fixed constant $b \in(0,1)$, even for small values of $b$. This is a challenging problem mainly for the following reason. For a minimizer $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$, it is expected that $\psi$ will have isolated zeros/vortices filling up all the domain $\Omega$, but these zeros are separated by a distance $O\left(H^{-1}\right)=O\left(\kappa^{-1}\right)$. At the same time, the core-size of every vortex is equal to $O\left(\kappa^{-1}\right)$. Consequently, detecting the vortices in this regime becomes harder than when $H \ll \kappa$ (i.e. $b \rightarrow 0_{+}$in (1.7)).

This problem is related to the one of the Abrikosov state near the critical field $H_{C_{2}}:=\kappa$, where the transition to the normal state in the bulk occurs. This is visualized in the regime $b \rightarrow 1_{-}$in (1.7) and is analyzed in many papers, $[3,12,18,19]$. The same difficulty is encountered when trying to detect the vortices by the methods of Sandier-Serfaty, so that the analysis is shifted to the distribution of the density $|\psi|^{2}$ instead.

In this paper, we complement the results of Sandier-Serfaty by obtaining analogues of the formulas in (1.11) and (1.12) for the density $|\psi|^{2}$ (instead of the square of the density, $|\psi|^{4}$ ), in the regime where (1.7) holds for a fixed constant $b \in(0,1)$. Besides that such results are new and do not follow from the analysis by Sandier-Serfaty [25], they might be helpful in the analysis of the vortices. Related to these results is the asymptotics of the supercurrent $j(\psi, \mathbf{A})$ when (1.7) holds. Even in the particular regime $H \ll \kappa$ (i.e. $b \ll 1$ in (1.7)), the analysis of the distribution of the super-current is missing. Actually, Sandier-Serfaty [23, Ch. 8, Corol. 8.1] prove only that, in the regime $\frac{|\ln \kappa|}{\kappa} \ll H \ll \kappa$, $\operatorname{curl} j \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$, as $\kappa \rightarrow+\infty$.
Main results. To state our main results, we recall some properties of $g$. The function $g$ is increasing and concave (cf. [13, Thm. 2.1]). Consequently, $g$ has at each point left- and rightsided derivatives $g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)$and $g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)$with

$$
g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right) \leq g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)
$$

Therefore, we can introduce the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\left\{b \in(0,1): g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)\right\} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose complement in the interval $(0,1)$ is countable. Assuming that $b \in \mathcal{R}$ and (1.7) holds, we will prove that every minimizer $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$ of the G-L functional in (1.1) satisfies (compare with (1.11))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\psi(x)|^{2} d x=\left(g^{\prime}(b)-2 g(b)\right)|\Omega|+o(1) \quad \text { as } \kappa \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula in (1.14) is consistent with the one given in [18, Eq. (1.6)] which is valid as $b \rightarrow 1_{-}$. We have indeed (see below (2.7)),

$$
g(b) \sim E_{\mathrm{Ab}}(b-1)^{2}
$$

where $E_{\mathrm{Ab}} \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$ is a universal constant.
More precisely, our main result is:
Theorem 1.1. Let $b \in(0,1)$. There exist $\kappa_{0}>0$ and a function $\lambda: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\lim _{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\kappa)=0$ and the following is true.
If $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$ is a minimizer of the functional in (1.1) for $H=b \kappa$ and $\kappa \geq \kappa_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)-\lambda(\kappa) \leq \frac{1}{\kappa^{2}|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \right\rvert\,(\nabla-i \kappa H) \mathbf{A}\right)\left.\right|^{2} d x \leq g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)+\lambda(\kappa) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2)

$$
g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)-2 g(b)-\lambda(\kappa) \leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega}|\psi(x)|^{2} d x \leq g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)-2 g(b)+\lambda(\kappa) \quad \text { as } \kappa \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

(3) If $b \in \mathcal{R}$, then as $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$, the following convergence holds in the sense of distributions

$$
|\psi|^{2} \rightharpoonup g^{\prime}(b)-2 g(b) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega) .
$$

(4) The supercurrent satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{\kappa^{2}|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega}|j(\psi, \mathbf{A})|^{2} d x \leq g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)+\lambda(\kappa),
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\kappa|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega}|j(\psi, \mathbf{A})| d x \leq \sqrt{g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)\left(g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)-2 g(b)\right)}+\lambda(\kappa) .
$$

## Remark 1.2. [On the leading order term]

The coefficient of the leading term in (1.14) does not vanish. Actually, $g^{\prime}(b) \geq 0$ since $g$ is increasing, and $g(b)<0$ for $b \in(0,1)$.

## Remark 1.3. [On the $L^{2}$-norm of $1-|\psi|^{2}$ ]

Using (1.11) and Hölder's inequality, we get, for fixed $b$ and as $\kappa \rightarrow+\infty$,

$$
\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega}|\psi(x)|^{2} d x \leq|\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\psi(x)|^{4} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq(-2 g(b))^{\frac{1}{2}}+o(1)
$$

Combined with the lower bound in (1.14), we get (we use that $g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right) \geq 0$ )

$$
-2 g(b)-o(1) \leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega}|\psi(x)|^{2} d x \leq(-2 g(b))^{\frac{1}{2}}+o(1) .
$$

Now we find the following estimate for the $L^{2}$-norm of $1-|\psi|^{2}$,

$$
\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega}\left(1-|\psi(x)|^{2}\right)^{2} d x \leq 1+2 g(b)+o(1)
$$

with the principal term on the right hand side approaching 0 as $b \rightarrow 0_{+}$, since

$$
\lim _{b \rightarrow 0_{+}} g(b)=-\frac{1}{2} .
$$

This is consistent with the behavior $|\psi|^{2} \rightarrow 1$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ obtained in [26].

## Remark 1.4. [On the potential energy]

When $b \in \mathcal{R}$ (see (1.13)), we get from Theorem 1.1 that the potential energy satisfies

$$
\kappa^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(-|\psi(x)|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|\psi(x)|^{4}\right) d x=\kappa^{2}\left(g(b)-g^{\prime}(b)\right)|\Omega|(1+o(1)) .
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. The bulk energy. Here we give the definition of the reference bulk energy $g(\cdot)$. This energy first appeared in [25] and was then extensively studied in [2, 13, 6, 7, 17].

Consider $b \in(0,+\infty), r>0$ and $Q_{r}=(-r / 2, r / 2) \times(-r / 2, r / 2)$. Define the functional,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{b, Q_{r}}(u)=\int_{Q_{r}}\left(b\left|\left(\nabla-i \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) u\right|^{2}-|u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|u|^{4}\right) d x, \quad \text { for } u \in H^{1}\left(Q_{r}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\mathbf{A}_{0}$ is the magnetic potential,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}_{0}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-x_{2}, x_{1}\right), \quad \text { for } x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the two ground state energies,

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{D}(b, r)=\inf \left\{F_{b, Q_{r}}(u): u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(Q_{r}\right)\right\}, \\
& e_{N}(b, r)=\inf \left\{F_{b, Q_{r}}(u): u \in H^{1}\left(Q_{r}\right)\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $g(\cdot)$ may be defined as follows (cf. [13, 25, 6]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall b>0, \quad g(b)=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{e_{D}(b, r)}{\left|Q_{r}\right|}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e_{N}(b, r)}{\left|Q_{r}\right|}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|Q_{r}\right|$ denotes the area of $Q_{r}\left(\left|Q_{r}\right|=r^{2}\right)$. Furthermore, there exists a constant $C$ such that, for all $r \geq 1$ and $b \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(b) \leq \frac{e_{D}(b, r)}{\left|Q_{r}\right|} \leq g(b)+C \frac{\sqrt{b}}{r} \quad \text { and } \quad e_{D}(b, R)-C r \sqrt{b} \leq e_{N}(b, r) \leq e_{D}(b, r) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Various properties satisfied by the function $g(\cdot)$ are established in [7, 13, 20, 25]. In particular, the function $g(\cdot)$ is a non decreasing continuous and locally Lipschitz function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(0)=-\frac{1}{2} \text { and } g(b)=0 \text { when } b \geq 1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{b \rightarrow 1_{-}} \frac{g(b)}{(b-1)^{2}}=E_{\mathrm{Ab}} \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. A priori estimates and Gauge tranformations. Here we collect useful estimates regarding the critical points of the Ginzburg-Landau functional (cf. [10, Prop. 10.3.1 and 11.4.4]).

Proposition 2.1. Let $b \in(0,1)$. There exist two constants $C>0$ and $\kappa_{0}>0$ such that, if $\kappa \geq \kappa_{0}, H=b \kappa^{2}$ and $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$ is a critical point of (1.3), then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\psi\|_{\infty} \leq 1  \tag{2.8}\\
& \|(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A}) \psi\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C \kappa  \tag{2.9}\\
& \|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}-1\|_{C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq \frac{C}{\kappa} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence of Proposition 2.1, we may pick a useful gauge transformation in every ball with small radius:

Proposition 2.2. Let $b \in(0,1)$. There exist two constants $C>0$ and $\kappa_{0}>0$ such that, for any $x_{0} \in \Omega$, there exists a function $\varphi_{0} \in C^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\forall x \in \Omega, \quad\left|\mathbf{A}(x)-\left(\mathbf{A}_{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)-\nabla \varphi_{0}(x)\right)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\kappa} \max \left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|,\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{A}_{0}$ is the vector field introduced in (2.2).

Proof. Let $B=\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$. Choose a convex and open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset U$. We may extend the function $B$ to a function $B_{\text {ext }}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp}\left(B_{\mathrm{ext}}\right) \subset U \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\nabla B_{\mathrm{ext}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(U)} \leq C\|\nabla B\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant that depends solely on $\Omega$ and $U$ (i.e. it is independent of $B$ ).
Define the vector field in $\Omega$

$$
\mathbf{G}(x)=2\left(\int_{0}^{1} s B_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(s\left(x-x_{0}\right)+x_{0}\right) d s\right) \mathbf{A}_{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right) .
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{G}=B_{\mathrm{ext}}=B \quad \text { in } \Omega .
$$

Consequently, since $\Omega$ is simply connected, there exists a smooth function $\varphi_{0}$ such that,

$$
\mathbf{A}(x)=\mathbf{G}(x)-\nabla \varphi_{0}(x) .
$$

Using (2.10), (2.11) and the mean value theorem, we get further

$$
\left|\mathbf{G}(x)-B_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \mathbf{A}_{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\kappa}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2} .
$$

Again, using (2.10), we write $\left|\left(B_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)-1\right) \mathbf{A}_{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right| \leq C \kappa^{-1}\left|x-x_{0}\right|$. This yields the inequality

$$
\left|\mathbf{G}(x)-\mathbf{A}_{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\kappa} \max \left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|,\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right) .
$$

Remark 2.3. We will use the inequality in Proposition 2.2 for $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \ell$ and $\ell \ll 1$, which in turn reads as follows

$$
\left|\mathbf{A}(x)-\left(\mathbf{A}_{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right)-\nabla \varphi_{0}(x)\right)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\kappa} \ell .
$$

## 3. On the local energy of minimizers

For any open set $D \subset \Omega$, we define the following local energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathcal{E}_{0}(f, a ; D)=\left.\int_{D}(\mid \nabla-i \kappa H a) f\right|^{2}-\kappa^{2}|f|^{2}+\frac{\kappa^{2}}{2}|f|^{4}\right) d x . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\ell>0, Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}+(-\ell / 2, \ell / 2)^{2}$ denotes the square of center $x_{0}$ and sidelength $\ell$.

We will need the following result, essentially proved in [5] modulo a few adjustments.
Proposition 3.1. If $b \in(0,1)$, there exist positive constants $C, R_{0}$, and $\kappa_{0}>0$, such that for $\kappa \geq \kappa_{0}, H=b \kappa, R_{0} \kappa^{-1} \leq \ell \leq \kappa_{0}^{-1}, x_{0} \in \Omega$, and if $\overline{Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)} \subset \Omega$, then the following inequalities hold

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|} \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(e^{i \kappa H \varphi_{0}} \psi, \mathbf{A}_{0}^{x_{0}} ; Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-\kappa^{2} g(b)\right| \leq C\left(\ell+(\kappa \ell)^{-1}\right) \kappa^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left.\left.\left|\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|} \int_{Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right| \psi(x)\right|^{4} d x+2 g(b) \right\rvert\, \leq C\left(\ell+(\kappa \ell)^{-1}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{A}_{0}^{x_{0}}(x)=\mathbf{A}_{0}\left(x-x_{0}\right), \mathbf{A}_{0}$ is the vector field in (2.2), and $\varphi_{0}$ is the function constructed in Proposition 2.2.

Proof. In [5, Prop. 4.2 and 6.2], it is proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|} \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\psi, \mathbf{A} ; Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-\kappa^{2} g(b)\right| \leq C\left(\ell+(\ell \kappa)^{-1}\right) \kappa^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimate of the remainder term in [5] was worse because the magnetic field was assumed nonconstant and a variant of the inequality in Proposition 2.2 was used (with a worse error as well). However, in our case of a constant magnetic field, we insert the inequality in Proposition 2.2 into the proof given in [5] and get the better remainder as in (3.2).

We write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\psi, \mathbf{A} ; Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)= & \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\psi, \mathbf{A}_{0}^{x_{0}}-\nabla \varphi_{0}+\left(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{A}_{0}^{x_{0}}+\nabla \varphi_{0}\right) ; Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \\
\geq & (1-\ell) \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\psi, \mathbf{A}_{0}^{x_{0}}-\nabla \varphi_{0} ; Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \\
& -\ell^{-1} \kappa^{2} H^{2} \int_{Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{A}_{0}^{x_{0}}+\nabla \varphi_{0}\right|^{2}|\psi|^{2} d x-\ell \kappa^{2} \int_{Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)}|\psi|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the gauge invariance, the bound $|\psi| \leq 1$ and the inequality in Proposition 2.2 , we get the following lower bound

$$
\mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\psi, \mathbf{A} ; Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \geq(1-\ell) \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(e^{-\kappa H \varphi_{0}} \psi, \mathbf{A}_{0}^{x_{0}} ; Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-C \kappa^{2} \ell^{3}
$$

In a similar fashion, we prove the upper bound

$$
\mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\psi, \mathbf{A} ; Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leq(1+\ell) \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(e^{-\kappa H \varphi_{0}} \psi, \mathbf{A}_{0}^{x_{0}} ; Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+C \kappa^{2} \ell^{3} .
$$

Inserting the foregoing lower and upper bounds into (3.2), we get the first inequality in Proposition 3.1.

Now we prove the second inequality in Proposition 3.1. We multiply the first G-L equation in (1.4) by $\bar{\psi}$ and integrate by parts in the integral over $Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)$. We get

$$
-\frac{\kappa^{2}}{2} \int_{Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)}|\psi(x)|^{4} d x=\mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\psi, \mathbf{A} ; Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+\int_{\partial Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)} \bar{\psi}(\nu \cdot(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A}) \psi) d \sigma(x)
$$

Using the bounds $|\psi| \leq 1$ and $|(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A}) \psi| \leq C \kappa$ in Proposition 2.1, we get that the boundary term is bounded by $\tilde{C} \kappa \ell$, where $\tilde{C}$ is a constant.

Now, using (3.2), we get

$$
\left.\left.\left|-\frac{\kappa^{2}}{2} \int_{Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right| \psi(x)\right|^{4} d x-g(b) \kappa^{2}\left|Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\left|\leq C\left(\ell+(\kappa \ell)^{-1}\right) \kappa^{2}\right| Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right) \right\rvert\,
$$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 has some similarities with the analysis of diamagnetism [11] and the computation of the quantum supercurrent [9].

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is easier to work with rescaled variables.
Definition 4.1. Let $x_{0} \in \Omega, \ell>0$ and $f \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ and suppose that $Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset \Omega$. We define the new function $\tilde{f}$ on $Q_{\ell \sqrt{\kappa H}}:=Q_{\ell \sqrt{\kappa H}}(0)$ as follows:

$$
\tilde{f}(y)=f\left(x_{0}+\frac{y}{\sqrt{\kappa H}}\right)
$$

For $H=b \kappa$ and $R=\ell \sqrt{\kappa H}$, we have the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\kappa^{2}\left|Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|} \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(f, \mathbf{A}_{0}^{x_{0}} ; Q_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\left|Q_{R}\right|} \int_{Q_{R}}\left(b\left|\left(\nabla-i \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \tilde{f}\right|^{2}-|\tilde{f}|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|\tilde{f}|^{4}\right) d y \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.2. For $b \in(0,1)$, there exist $\kappa_{0}, R_{0}>0$ and a positive-valued function $\mathrm{r}(\cdot, \cdot)$ such that $\lim _{\left(t^{-1}, s\right) \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{r}(t, s)=0$ and the inequality

$$
g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)-\mathrm{r}(R, \ell) \leq \frac{1}{\left|Q_{R}\right|} \int_{Q_{R}}\left|\left(\nabla-i \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \tilde{f}\right|^{2} d y \leq g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)+\mathrm{r}(R, \ell)
$$

holds for (cf. Prop. 3.1)

$$
f(x)=e^{i \kappa H \varphi_{0}} \psi(x)
$$

$R=\ell \sqrt{\kappa H}, R_{0} \kappa^{-1}<\ell<\kappa_{0}^{-1}, \kappa \geq \kappa_{0}, H=b \kappa$ and $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$ is a minimizer of the functional in (1.1).
Proof. Recall the definition of the function $F_{b, Q_{R}}$ in (2.1). By (4.1) and Proposition 3.1,

$$
F_{b, Q_{R}}(\tilde{f}) \leq g(b)\left|Q_{R}\right|+C\left(R+\ell R^{2}\right)
$$

Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $b+\epsilon \in(0,1)$. Using (2.5), we get

$$
F_{b+\epsilon, R}(\tilde{f}) \geq e_{N}(b+\epsilon, R) \geq g(b+\epsilon)\left|Q_{R}\right|-C R
$$

It is easy to notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon \int_{Q_{R}}\left|\left(\nabla-i \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \tilde{f}\right|^{2} d y & =F_{b+\epsilon, R}(\tilde{f})-F_{b, R}(\tilde{f})  \tag{4.2}\\
& \geq(g(b+\epsilon)-g(b))\left|Q_{R}\right|-C\left(R+\ell R^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $\epsilon>0$, we infer from (4.2) the lower bound

$$
\int_{Q_{R}}\left|\left(\nabla-i \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \tilde{f}\right|^{2} d y \geq \frac{g(b+\epsilon)-g(b)}{\epsilon}\left|Q_{R}\right|-C \epsilon^{-1}\left(R+\ell R^{2}\right)
$$

Choosing $\epsilon=\max \left(R^{-1 / 2}, \ell^{1 / 2}\right)$, we get further

$$
\int_{Q_{R}}\left|\left(\nabla-i \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \tilde{f}\right|^{2} d y \geq g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)\left|Q_{R}\right|-\mathrm{r}_{1}(R, \ell)\left|Q_{R}\right|
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{r}_{1}(R, \ell)=C\left(R^{-1 / 2}+\ell^{1 / 2}\right)+\left|\frac{g(b+\epsilon)-g(b)}{\epsilon}-g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \quad\left(R^{-1}, \ell\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

In a similar fashion, we choose $\epsilon=-\max \left(R^{-1 / 2}, \ell^{1 / 2}\right)<0$ and infer from (4.2) the upper bound

$$
\int_{Q_{R}}\left|\left(\nabla-i \mathbf{A}_{0}\right) \tilde{f}\right|^{2} d y \leq g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)\left|Q_{R}\right|+\mathrm{r}_{2}(R)\left|Q_{R}\right|
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{r}_{2}(R, \ell)=C\left(R^{-1 / 2}+\ell^{1 / 2}\right)+\left|\frac{g(b+\epsilon)-g(b)}{\epsilon}-g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \quad\left(R^{-1}, \ell\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

To conclude, we choose $\mathrm{r}(R)=\max \left(\mathrm{r}_{1}(R, \ell), \mathrm{r}_{2}(R, \ell)\right)$.

Lemma 4.3. There exists a function $\tilde{\mathrm{r}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ such that $\lim _{\left(t^{-1}, s\right) \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathrm{r}}(t, s)=0$ and, under the assumptions in Lemma 4.2, the following inequality holds

$$
g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)-\tilde{\mathrm{r}}(R, \ell) \leq \frac{1}{\left|Q_{R}\right|} \int_{Q_{R}}|\tilde{f}(y)|^{2} d y \leq g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)+\tilde{\mathrm{r}}(R, \ell)
$$

Proof. By (4.1) and Proposition 3.1,

$$
\left|F_{b, Q_{R}}(\tilde{f})-g(b)\right| Q_{R}| | \leq C R^{3 / 2}
$$

By the formula for the $L^{4}$-norm of $\psi$ in Proposition 3.1 and a change of variables, we have

$$
\left.\left|\int_{Q_{R}}\right| \tilde{f}(y)\right|^{4} d y+2 g(b)\left|Q_{R}\right|\left|\leq C\left(\ell+R^{-1}\right)\right| Q_{R} \mid
$$

Combining the aforementioned formulae and the one in (4.2), we get the formula for the integral of $|\tilde{f}|^{2}$.

By rescaling, we deduce from Lemma 4.3:
Theorem 4.4. Let $b \in(0,1)$. There exist $C, R_{0}, \kappa_{0}>0$ and a positive-valued function $\lambda(\cdot)$ such that $\lim _{\kappa \rightarrow+\infty} \lambda(\kappa)=0$ and the following is true.

Suppose that

- $\kappa \geq \kappa_{0}$ and $H=b \kappa$;
- $R_{0} \kappa^{-1} \leq \ell \leq \kappa_{0}^{-1}$;
- $Q_{\ell}$ is the interior of a square of side length $\ell$ satisfying $\overline{Q_{\ell}} \subset \Omega$;
- $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$ is a minimizer of the functional in (1.1).

Then the following inequalities hold

$$
g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)-2 g(b)-\lambda(\kappa) \leq \frac{1}{\left|Q_{\ell}\right|} \int_{Q_{\ell}}|\psi(x)|^{2} d x \leq g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)-2 g(b)+\lambda(\kappa)
$$

Theorem 4.4 improves the results in [25], where only a non-optimal upper bound on the integral of $|\psi|^{2}$ is given (see [25, Eq. (1.18)]). In Theorem 4.4, we not only prove a lower bound on the integral of $|\psi|^{2}$, but also a matching upper bound in the case where $b \in \mathcal{R}$ (i.e. when $g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof of the statements (2) and (3) regarding the estimate of the $L^{2}$-norm of $\psi$ and the weak convergence of $|\psi|^{2}$ both follow from Theorem 4.4 in a standard manner, see e.g. [5, Proof of Thm. 4.1].

Now, the proof of statement (1) regarding the $L^{2}$-norm of the magnetic gradient is a consequence of statement (1) and the formulas in (1.10) and (1.11).

The first inequality in statement (4) regarding the supercurrent results from statement (1) and the following inequality

$$
|j(\psi, \mathbf{A})| \leq|(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A}) \psi|
$$

which is a consequence of the definition of the supercurrent in (1.6) and the inequality in (2.8).
The other inequality for the $L^{1}$-norm of the supercurrent results from the inequality

$$
|j(\psi, \mathbf{A})| \leq|\psi||(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A}) \psi|
$$

the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the conclusions in Statements (1) and (2).
5. New properties of the function $g$
5.1. Universal estimates of $g(b)$. As a by-product of the result in Theorem 1.1, we get new properties of the function $g(\cdot)$ introduced in (2.4).

Using the classical bound $|\psi| \leq 1$ (see (2.8)), we deduce from (1.14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall b \in(0,1), \quad g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)-2 g(b) \leq 1 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can obtain an upper bound on the left-derivative of $g$ as well by expanding the square in the inequality $\int_{\Omega}\left(1-|\psi(x)|^{2}\right)^{2} d x \geq 0$ then using (1.11) and (1.14):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall b \in(0,1), \quad g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}+g(b) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (5.2) is better than (5.1) since $g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right) \leq g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right), g(b) \geq-\frac{1}{2}$, hence $\frac{1}{2}+g(b) \leq 1+2 g(b)$.
5.2. On the behavior of $g(b)$ as $b \rightarrow 0_{+}$. Taking the limit as $b \rightarrow 0_{+}$in (5.1) and noticing that $g^{\prime}\left(b_{ \pm}\right) \geq 0$ and $g(0)=-\frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$
\lim _{b \rightarrow 0_{+}} g^{\prime}\left(b_{ \pm}\right)=0
$$

Consequently, there exists a sequence $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{R}$ such that $b_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $g^{\prime}\left(b_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0(\mathcal{R}$ is defined in (2.5)). On the other hand, it is proved in [20] that as $b \rightarrow 0_{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(b)=-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{b}{4} \ln \frac{1}{b}+o\left(b \ln \frac{1}{b}\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce from this that:

- $g^{\prime}\left(0_{+}\right)=+\infty$;
- the function $b \mapsto g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)$is not continuous at 0 ;
- The asymptotics in (5.3) can not be differentiated, i.e. the formula

$$
g^{\prime}(b) \sim \frac{1}{4} \ln b-\frac{1}{4}
$$

does not hold as $b \underset{b \in \mathcal{R}}{ } 0_{+}$. Simply, the aforementioned sequence $\left(b_{n}\right)$ violates this formula.
5.3. The radial symmetry. Next we try to extract more information about the function $g$ by exploiting the radial symmetry. The function $g$ may be expressed as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall b \in(0,1), \quad g(b)=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathfrak{e}_{\mathrm{disc}}(b, R)}{\pi R^{2}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{e}_{\text {disc }}(b, R)=\inf \left\{F_{b, D_{R}}(u): u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(D_{R}\right)\right\} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D_{R}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|x|<R\right\}$ and $F_{b, D_{R}}$ is the functional introduced in (2.1). The proof of (5.4) is standard (see [2, 13]). It follows by covering the disc $D(0, R)$ with squares $\left(Q_{R^{\prime}, j}\right)_{j}$ with side-length $1 \ll R^{\prime} \ll R$ and using the estimates in (2.5) (for $r=R^{\prime}$ ). We omit the technical details.

We restrict the functional $F_{b, D_{R}}(u)$ on configurations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r, \theta)=e^{i m \theta} f(r), \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f:(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(r, \theta)$ denote the polar coordinates.
Note that $u \in H_{0}^{1}\left((B(0, R))\right.$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{D}_{m, R}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{m, R}=\left\{f: \sqrt{r} f^{\prime}, \sqrt{r} f, \frac{m}{\sqrt{r}} f \in L^{2}((0, R) ; \mathbb{R}), f(R)=0\right\} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
F_{b, D_{R}}(u)=G_{m, b, R}(f),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{m, b, R}(f)=2 \pi \int_{0}^{R}\left(b\left|f^{\prime}(r)\right|^{2}+b\left(\frac{m}{r}-\frac{r}{2}\right)^{2}|f(r)|^{2}-|f(r)|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|f(r)|^{4}\right) r d r . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we define the following ground state energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{e}^{1 \mathrm{D}}(m, b, R)=\inf \left\{G_{b, m, R}(f): f \in \mathcal{D}_{m, R}\right\} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A minimizer $f_{m, b, R}$ exists, can be selected real-valued and non-negative (because $\left|f_{m, b, R}\right|$ is a minimizer too) and satisfies the following ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
-f_{m, b, R}^{\prime \prime}(r)-\frac{1}{r} f^{\prime}(r)+\left(\frac{m}{r}-\frac{r}{2}\right)^{2} f_{m, b, R}(r)=\frac{1}{b}\left(1-\left|f_{m, b, R}(r)\right|^{2}\right) f_{m, b, R}(r) \quad \text { in }(0, R) . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the magnetic field is absent (i.e. the term $\frac{r}{2}$ is dropped from (5.10)) and $R=+\infty$, (5.10) has been studied in many papers, for example [16].

Now we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{m}(b)=\limsup _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathfrak{e}^{1 \mathrm{D}}(m, b, R)}{\pi R^{2}} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall b \in(0,1), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad g(b) \leq g_{m}(b) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5.1. A natural question is then to determine if for any $b \in(0,1)$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g(b)=g_{m}(b)$ and if the discontinuity of $g^{\prime}$ corresponds to the case when two $m$ 's satisfy this property.

## 6. EXtension to three dimensional domains

The result in Theorem 1.1 can be easily extended to the three dimensional Ginzburg-Landau model. In this section, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ denotes a bounded smooth open set with a smooth boundary. We introduce the Ginzburg-Landau functional in $\Omega$ as follows [10, 21],

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}^{3 \mathrm{D}}(\psi, \mathbf{A})=\mathcal{E}_{\kappa, H}^{3 \mathrm{D}}(\psi, \mathbf{A})=\int_{\Omega}\left[|(\nabla-i \kappa H \mathbf{A}) \psi|^{2}-\kappa^{2}|\psi|^{2}\right. & \left.+\frac{\kappa^{2}}{2}|\psi|^{4}\right] d x \\
& +\kappa^{2} H^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}-\beta|^{2} d x \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta=(0,0,1)$.
The configuration $(\psi, \mathbf{A})$ belongs to the space $H^{1}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C}) \times \dot{H}_{\text {div, }, \mathbf{F}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with $\dot{H}_{\mathrm{div}, \mathbf{F}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ defined as follows. Let $\dot{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ be the homogeneous Sobolev space, i.e. the closure of $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ under the norm $u \mapsto\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}:=\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}$. Let further $\mathbf{F}(x)=\left(-x_{2} / 2, x_{1} / 2,0\right)$. Clearly $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}=0$. We define the space,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{H}_{\mathrm{div}, \mathbf{F}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\left\{\mathbf{A}: \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}=0, \text { and } \quad \mathbf{A}-\mathbf{F} \in \dot{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right\} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define the ground state energy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{gs}}\left(\kappa, h_{\mathrm{ex}}\right)(\kappa, H)=\inf \left\{\mathcal{E}^{3 \mathrm{D}}(\psi, \mathbf{A}):(\psi, \mathbf{A}) \in H^{1}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C}) \times \dot{H}_{\mathrm{div}, \mathbf{F}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right\} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This energy is estimated in [13] when $H=b \kappa, b \in(0,1)$ is a fixed constant and $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$. Using the methods in [13], we may easily adapt the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 4.4 to get the following result:

Theorem 6.1. For $b \in(0,1)$, there exist $C, R_{0}, \kappa_{0}>0$ and a positive-valued function $\lambda(\cdot)$ such that $\lim _{\kappa \rightarrow+\infty} \lambda(\kappa)=0$ and the following is true.

Suppose that

- $\kappa \geq \kappa_{0}$ and $H=b \kappa$;
- $R_{0} \kappa^{-1} \leq \ell \leq \kappa_{0}^{-1}$;
- $Q_{\ell}$ is the interior of a cube of side length $\ell$ satisfying $\overline{Q_{\ell}} \subset \Omega$;
- $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$ is a minimizer of the functional in (6.1).

Then the following inequalities hold

$$
g^{\prime}\left(b_{+}\right)-2 g(b)-\lambda(\kappa) \leq \frac{1}{\left|Q_{\ell}\right|} \int_{Q_{\ell}}|\psi|^{2} d x \leq g^{\prime}\left(b_{-}\right)-2 g(b)+\lambda(\kappa)
$$

As a consequence of Theorem 6.1, we can get that the minimizer $(\psi, \mathbf{A})_{\kappa, H}$ satisfies the following weak convergence for $H=b \kappa, b \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
|\psi|^{2} \rightarrow g^{\prime}(b)-2 g(b) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)
$$

This result is complementary to the results in [14] and [19] devoted respectively to the regimes $b>1$ (surface superconductivity) and $b \rightarrow 1_{-}$(bulk superconductivity near $H_{C_{2}}$ ) for three dimensional superconducting samples.
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