



**HAL**  
open science

## Mercury Sulfide Dimorphism in Thioarsenate Glasses

Mohammad Kassem, Anton Sokolov, Arnaud Cuisset, Takeshi Usuki, Sohayb Khaoulani, Pascal Masselin, David Le Coq, M. Feygenson, C. J. Benmore, Alex C Hannon, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Mohammad Kassem, Anton Sokolov, Arnaud Cuisset, Takeshi Usuki, Sohayb Khaoulani, et al.. Mercury Sulfide Dimorphism in Thioarsenate Glasses. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2016, 120 (23), pp.5278 - 5290. 10.1021/acs.jpccb.6b03382 . hal-01426924

**HAL Id: hal-01426924**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01426924>**

Submitted on 5 Jan 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Mercury Sulfide Dimorphism in Thioarsenate Glasses

Mohammad Kassem, Anton Sokolov, Arnaud Cuisset, Takeshi Usuki, Sohayb Khaoulani, Pascal Masselin, David Le Coq, Joerg C. Neufeind, Mikhail Feygenson, Alex C Hannon, Chris J. Benmore, and Eugene Bychkov

*J. Phys. Chem. B*, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • Publication Date (Web): 23 May 2016

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on May 23, 2016

### Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

# Mercury Sulfide Dimorphism in Thioarsenate

## Glasses

M. Kassem,<sup>†</sup> A. Sokolov,<sup>†</sup> A. Cuisset,<sup>†</sup> T. Usuki,<sup>‡</sup> S. Khaoulani,<sup>†</sup> P. Masselin,<sup>†</sup> D. Le Coq,<sup>||</sup>  
J. C. Neufeind,<sup>§</sup> M. Feygenson,<sup>§</sup> A. C. Hannon,<sup>#</sup> C. J. Benmore,<sup>◇</sup> and E. Bychkov<sup>\*†</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, LPCA, EA CNRS 4493, F-59140 Dunkerque, France

<sup>‡</sup> Faculty of Science, Yamagata University, Yamagata 990-8560, Japan

<sup>||</sup> Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, UMR CNRS 6226, Eq. Verres et Céramiques, Université de  
Rennes I, F-35042 Rennes, France

<sup>§</sup> Neutron Scattering Science Directorate, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1 Bethel Valley Road,  
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6475, USA

<sup>#</sup> ISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, OX11 0QX, UK

<sup>◇</sup> X-ray Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA

1  
2  
3 ABSTRACT  
4  
5  
6

7 Crystalline mercury sulfide exists in two drastically different polymorphic forms in different  
8 domains of the  $P,T$ -diagram: red chain-like insulator  $\alpha$ -HgS, stable below 344 °C, and black  
9 tetrahedral narrow-band semiconductor  $\beta$ -HgS, stable at higher temperatures. Using pulsed  
10 neutron and high-energy X-ray diffraction, we show that these two mercury bonding pattern are  
11 present simultaneously in mercury thioarsenate glasses  $\text{HgS-As}_2\text{S}_3$ . The population and  
12 interconnectivity of chain-like and tetrahedral dimorphous forms determine both the structural  
13 features and fundamental glass properties (thermal, electronic, etc.). DFT simulations of mercury  
14 species and RMC modelling of high-resolution diffraction data provide additional details on  
15 local Hg environment and connectivity implying the  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$  oligomeric chains ( $1 \leq m \leq 6$ ) are  
16 acting as a network former while the  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$ -related mixed agglomerated units behave as a  
17 modifier.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 INTRODUCTION  
4  
5

6  
7 The vast majority of crystals exists in several polymorphic forms in different domains of the  $P, T$ -  
8  
9 diagram. Liquids and glasses also exhibit density- and entropy-driving polyamorphism,<sup>1-5</sup> and  
10  
11 the question remains whether the liquid-liquid or amorphous-amorphous transformation appears  
12  
13 to be a phase transition of the first or second order.<sup>6-8</sup> Molecular chalcogenide glasses  
14  
15 synthesized in high pressure/high temperature conditions often show two polyamorphic forms  
16  
17 simultaneously, i.e., a molecular cage and a network-related motif.<sup>9,10</sup> The network-related  
18  
19 population increases with applied pressure squashing the cage molecules. Here we report a  
20  
21 different phenomenon: mercury sulfide dimorphism in network glasses synthesized in usual  
22  
23 conditions without external stimuli. The term 'dimorphism' in this particular glass system is  
24  
25 used to emphasize the origin of  $\text{HgS}_{2/2}$  and  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  units deriving from the two drastically  
26  
27 different polymorphic forms of mercury sulfide, low-temperature cinnabar  $\alpha$ -HgS and high-  
28  
29 temperature metacinnabar  $\beta$ -HgS.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36  
37 Chalcogenide glasses transparent in the far IR region ( $\lambda > 15 \text{ mm}$ ) are critically important  
38  
39 for many optical systems. Selective remote IR spectroscopy of various biotoxin and gas species,  
40  
41 thermal imaging, interstellar IR detection of life signature at exoplanetary systems, etc.<sup>11-14</sup>  
42  
43 represent only a few examples. Mercury chalcogenide glasses are particularly promising for  
44  
45 these applications. Surprisingly, very little is known about their structure and properties. Using  
46  
47 Raman spectroscopy and DFT modelling, we have shown recently that mercury thioarsenate  
48  
49 glasses  $\text{HgS-As}_2\text{S}_3$  form a hybrid Hg-S chain/As-S pyramidal network.<sup>15</sup> Nevertheless, the  
50  
51 presence of a small fraction of  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  tetrahedral units in the hybrid network cannot be excluded  
52  
53 completely since the Raman spectra of crystalline references, trigonal cinnabar  $\alpha$ -HgS (Hg-S  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 chains) and cubic metacinnabar  $\beta$ -HgS ( $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  tetrahedra), were found to be rather similar in the  
4 stretching region.<sup>16-18</sup> Consequently, the two possible structural motifs in glasses may have  
5 similar Hg-S stretching frequencies. Pulsed neutron and high-energy X-ray diffraction over a  
6 wide  $Q$ -range combined with DFT simulation of 4-fold coordinated mercury entities and RMC  
7 modelling of the diffraction data are necessary to solve this structural puzzle. High-resolution  
8 diffraction results and comprehensive analysis allow a reliable conclusion to be drawn  
9 concerning dual structural role of mercury acting as either a network-former or a modifier. We  
10 will show that this structural analysis appears to be consistent with basic thermal and electronic  
11 glass properties. In addition, it should also be noted the lack of diffraction studies for mercury  
12 chalcogenide glasses probably related to high mercury absorption for both X-rays ( $Z_{\text{Hg}} = 80$ )  
13 and neutrons ( $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 372.3$  barns<sup>19</sup>). The paper will unveil the structural features of these  
14 exciting glasses in the  $Q$ - and  $r$ -space. This understanding will be beneficial for their practical  
15 applications in optical systems and chemical sensing.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

## 39 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

40  
41  
42 **Glass preparation.** The quasi-binary  $(\text{HgS})_x(\text{As}_2\text{S}_3)_{1-x}$  samples ( $x = 0.0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2,$   
43  $0.3, 0.4, 0.5$ ) were prepared from HgS and  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$ . Red mercury sulfide  $\alpha$ -HgS (99.99% pure,  
44 Sigma-Aldrich) was used for synthesis of HgS– $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  samples without additional purification.  
45 Arsenic sulfide  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  was prepared from arsenic pieces (99.9999% pure, Cerac) and sulfur  
46 pellets (99.999% pure, Acros Organics). Arsenic and sulfur were purified from  $\text{As}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{SO}_2$   
47 oxides at the surface by heating under vacuum at 320 °C and 130 °C, respectively. The detailed  
48 synthesis and homogenization procedure was described elsewhere.<sup>15</sup> The obtained glasses were  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 found to be homogeneous on macroscopic (1-100  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and mesoscopic (10-1000  $\text{\AA}$ ) scale (a  
4  
5 single glass transition over the entire glass forming range,  $0.0 \leq x \leq 0.5$ ,<sup>15</sup> and the absence of  
6  
7 small-angle neutron and X-ray scattering in contrast to a typical behavior of phase-separated  
8  
9 glasses; Fig. S1, Supporting information).

10  
11  
12  
13  
14 **Diffraction measurements.** Neutron diffraction experiments were carried out using the  
15  
16 ISIS spallation neutron source (Rutherford-Appleton Laboratory, UK) and the SNS facility (Oak-  
17  
18 Ridge National Laboratory, USA). The SANDALS ( $x = 0, 0.1, 0.2,$  and  $0.4$  samples), GEM ( $x =$   
19  
20  $0.05$ ) and NOMAD ( $x = 0.05, 0.3, 0.5$ ) diffractometers<sup>20-23</sup> at ISIS and SNS provide diffraction  
21  
22 data over an extended range in reciprocal space (values of scattering vector  $Q = 4\pi \sin\theta/\lambda$  up to  
23  
24  $50 \text{\AA}^{-1}$ ; where  $2\theta$  is the scattering angle,  $\lambda$  the neutron wavelength), leading to high resolution in  
25  
26 real space. The neutron diffraction data were corrected<sup>22,24</sup> for background and container  
27  
28 scattering, self-attenuation, multiple scattering, and inelasticity (Placzek) effects to obtain the  
29  
30 total neutron structure factor  $S_N(Q)$ .  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36  
37 High-energy X-ray diffraction experiments were conducted at the 6-ID-D beam line<sup>25</sup> at  
38  
39 APS (Argonne National Laboratory, USA). The X-ray energy was 100 keV, providing data at  $Q$   
40  
41 values up to  $30 \text{\AA}^{-1}$ . A 2D setup was used for data collection with a Perkin Elmer model 1621 X-  
42  
43 ray area detector. The two dimensional diffraction patterns were reduced using the Fit2D<sup>26</sup>  
44  
45 software. The measured background intensity was subtracted and corrections were made for the  
46  
47 different detector geometries and efficiencies, sample self-attenuation and Compton scattering  
48  
49 using standard procedures<sup>27,28</sup> giving the total X-ray structure factor  $S_X(Q)$ .  
50  
51  
52  
53

54  
55 **DFT simulation.** The DFT calculations have been carried out using GAUSSIAN 09  
56  
57 software<sup>29</sup> associated with its graphical user interface GaussView. In order to find a compromise  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 between the cost of the calculations and the accuracy of the results, structural optimization and  
4  
5 harmonic vibrational frequency calculations were performed for size-limited clusters composed  
6  
7 of isolated  $\text{HgS}_4(\text{H}_4)$  and  $\text{HgS}_3(\text{H}_3)$  units, mixed  $\text{Hg}_2\text{S}_5(\text{H}_4)$ ,  $\text{Hg}_3\text{S}_6(\text{H}_4)$ ,  $\text{Hg}_3\text{S}_8(\text{H}_6)$  and hybrid  
8  
9  $\text{HgAsS}_6(\text{H}_5)$  clusters. Our previous DFT modelling of the chalcogenide glass vibrational  
10  
11 properties<sup>15,30</sup> has shown that the above cluster size is sufficient to adequately represent the  
12  
13 characteristic vibration modes in the glass and follow compositional trends. The terminal  
14  
15 hydrogen atoms will be omitted in further discussions.  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21 The DFT calculations were carried out with the Becke<sup>31</sup> three parameters hybrid  
22  
23 exchange functional and the Lee–Yang–Parr correlation functional (B3LYP).<sup>32</sup> The rather large  
24  
25 6-311G++(3df,2p) basis-set was used for arsenic, sulfur and hydrogen. In the case of atoms with  
26  
27 a heavy nucleus like mercury, relativistic effects due to the inner core electrons having a velocity  
28  
29 close to the speed of light should be taken into account. For Hg atoms, we have declared in the  
30  
31 input file an external pseudo-potential or Effective Core Potential available in the Environment  
32  
33 Molecular Science Library.<sup>33</sup> The small-core relativistic pseudo-potential basis set (cc-pVTZ-  
34  
35 PP)<sup>34</sup> was specifically employed. All the structures were optimized using the tight convergence  
36  
37 option ensuring adequate convergence and reliability of computed wavenumbers.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 **Reverse Monte Carlo modelling.** The diffraction data of the  $x = 0.4$  glass were used for  
44  
45 Reverse Monte Carlo (RMC) modelling. Initial random configurations of 760 and 7600 atoms  
46  
47 were created and refined using the RMC\_POT++ package<sup>35,36</sup> which is a C++ implementation of  
48  
49 the RMC algorithm<sup>37-40</sup> for deriving structures of disordered materials from experimental data.  
50  
51 The small configuration was used to adjust constraints; the larger model (800 Hg, 2400 As and  
52  
53 4400 S atoms positioned inside a cubic box with a side length of 58.26 Å matching the  
54  
55 experimental number density) was applied for final simulation. Atomic configurations were  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



generated first by running a conventional hard sphere Monte Carlo simulation. The following *a priori* information was used to constrain the configurations: (i) the hard-sphere closest approaches between different types of atoms (cut-off distances), and (ii) the coordination number constraints. The cut-off distances are given in Table 1. The measured neutron and X-ray structure factors,  $S_N(Q)$  and  $S_X(Q)$ , and neutron pair distribution function  $g_N(r)$  were then used to generate RMC models. The simulated structural functions, calculated from a configuration of atomic positions with periodic boundary conditions, were refined in comparison with experimental data. A typical number of generated/accepted moves for the larger simulation box was about  $2.0 \times 10^7/1.6 \times 10^6$  in order to minimize the differences between a simulated and experimental function. RMC simulations were performed using a multiprocessor calculation server; the algorithm converges to a local minimum during a few hours or a few days depending on number of atoms in the configuration.

**Table 1. Hard-sphere closest approaches (cut-off distances) for different atom pairs used in RMC modelling of 0.4HgS-0.6As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> glass**


Atom pair	As–S	Hg–S	As–As	As–Hg	Hg–Hg	S–S
Cut-off distance (Å)	2.0	2.15	2.8	3.3	3.3	2.8

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Diffraction: *Q*-space.** Weighted average structure factors  $S(Q)$  were derived from the measured scattering cross section per atom  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  through the relation

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left| \sum_a c_a \bar{b}_a \right|^2 (S(Q) - 1) + \sum_a c_a \bar{b}_a^2, \quad (1)$$

in the case of neutron scattering, where  $c_a$ ,  $\bar{b}_a$  and  $\bar{b}_a^2$  are, respectively, the atomic concentration, the average (over isotopes and spin states) of the neutron–nucleus scattering length, and the mean square scattering length of element  $a$ . In the X-ray case,  $\bar{b}_a$  in eq. (1) is replaced by  $f_a(Q)$ , the atomic scattering factor of element  $a$ , which is  $Q$  dependent.




**Figure 1.** Typical (a) neutron  $S_N(Q)$  and (b) X-ray  $S_X(Q)$  structure factors of mercury thioarsenate glasses  $(\text{HgS})_x(\text{As}_2\text{S}_3)_{1-x}$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 0.5$ , shown over a limited  $Q$ -range. Remarkable changes in the low- $Q$  region below  $2 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  are highlighted in grey. RMC simulated  $S_N(Q)$  and  $S_X(Q)$  for the  $x = 0.4$  glass are shown by the dashed lines.

Typical neutron and X-ray structure factors of mercury thioarsenate glasses  $(\text{HgS})_x(\text{As}_2\text{S}_3)_{1-x}$  are shown in Fig. 1. We note distinct high- $Q$  oscillations, observed up to  $40 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  for glassy  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$ , which are decreasing in amplitude with increasing HgS content  $x$ . Small Bragg peaks, related to cubic metacinnabar  $\beta$ -HgS, were observed only for large  $x = 0.5$  neutron sample ( $\approx 3 \text{ g}$ ) and absent for all other glasses including tiny  $x = 0.5$  X-ray specimen, synthesized and quenched in thin-walled silica tube in small quantity (0.1 g). The most prominent changes were

observed in the low- $Q$  region,  $Q < 2 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ , highlighted in grey in Fig. 1. The published data<sup>41-45</sup> for vitreous  $g\text{-As}_2\text{S}_3$  are similar to our results.

Glassy arsenic sulfide is characterized by a well-defined intermediate-range order (IRO) manifested by the first sharp diffraction peak (FSDP) at  $Q_0 = 1.24 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ .<sup>41-47</sup> As-As correlations with a characteristic length scale  $L_0 \cong 2\pi/Q_0 = 5.1 \text{ \AA}$  are responsible for the FSDP<sup>43,48-50</sup> and represent average repeating As-As distances within or between  $\text{As}_n\text{S}_n$  rings, the origin of intermediate-range ordering in  $g\text{-As}_2\text{S}_3$ . Layered monoclinic orpiment  $c\text{-As}_2\text{S}_3$  consists of  $\text{As}_6\text{S}_6$  rings and each arsenic atom participates in three neighboring rings.<sup>51</sup> The As-As intra-ring and short interlayer correlations in  $c\text{-As}_2\text{S}_3$  cover the  $5.05 \leq r(\text{As-As}) \leq 5.85 \text{ \AA}$  range. The ring size  $n$  appears to be variable in glassy arsenic sulfide.<sup>52</sup>

A new low- $Q$  feature appears and grows with increasing mercury sulfide content in  $(\text{HgS})_x(\text{As}_2\text{S}_3)_{1-x}$  glasses. In order to extract the parameters of the two pre-peaks, a Voigt function was used to approximate the background underneath the features,<sup>24</sup> allowing the pre-peaks to be isolated and fitted with Gaussians. Figure 2 shows the two pre-peaks as a function of  $x$ .



**Figure 2.** Two pre-peaks at  $Q_{0A} \approx 1.3 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  (As-As correlations) and  $Q_{0B} \approx 1.7 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  (Hg-Hg correlations) isolated from (a) neutron  $S_N(Q)$  and (b) X-ray  $S_X(Q)$  structure factors for  $(\text{HgS})_x(\text{As}_2\text{S}_3)_{1-x}$  glasses.


1  
2  
3 The first pre-peak at  $Q_{0A} \approx 1.3 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ , corresponding to As-As IRO correlations in the  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  host  
4 matrix, decreases with increasing  $x$ . Its amplitude  $A_{0A}$  in neutron data is slightly higher than that  
5 for hard X-rays, consistent with neutron and X-ray As-As weighting factors in the mercury  
6 thioarsenate glasses,  $1.10 \leq \frac{W_{\text{As-As}}^N}{W_{\text{As-As}}^X} \leq 1.26$ , where  $w_{ab}^N = (2 - \delta_{ab}) \frac{c_a \bar{b}_a c_b \bar{b}_b}{|\sum_a c_a \bar{b}_a|^2}$  is the neutron  
7 weighting factor for the atom pair  $(a,b)$  and  $\delta_{ab}$  is the Kronecker delta function. In the X-ray  
8 case,  $\bar{b}_a$  is replaced by  $Q$ -dependent atomic scattering factor  $f_a(Q)$ , see also eq. (1) for further  
9 details. In contrast, the second pre-peak at  $Q_{0B} \approx 1.7 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  grows with mercury sulfide content,  
10 and the  $A_{0B}$  amplitude is slightly higher for the  $S_X(Q)$  structure factors, correlating with Hg-Hg  
11 weighting factors,  $0.70 \leq \frac{W_{\text{Hg-Hg}}^N}{W_{\text{Hg-Hg}}^X} \leq 0.80$ . Spatial periodicity related to the second pre-peak, i.e., a  
12 characteristic length scale  $L_{0B}$  deduced from  $Q_{0B}$ ,  $L_{0B} \cong 2\pi/Q_{0B} = 3.7 \text{ \AA}$ , is similar to Hg-Hg  
13 intrachain second neighbor distance in  $\alpha$ -HgS ( $3.75 \text{ \AA}$ )<sup>53</sup> and distinctly shorter than the closest  
14 Hg-Hg interchain correlations ( $4.10$ - $4.15 \text{ \AA}$ )<sup>53</sup> or Hg-Hg second neighbor contacts in  $\beta$ -HgS  
15 ( $4.14 \text{ \AA}$ ).<sup>54</sup> Consequently, the appearance and position of the second pre-peak seems to be related  
16 to Hg-Hg correlations in  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$  zig-zag chains existing in hybrid chain/pyramidal network of  
17 HgS- $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  glasses.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 The origin of the two pre-peaks is clearly seen on difference structure factors  $\Delta S(Q)$   
44 without As-As or Hg-Hg correlations (Fig. 3) calculated using a combination of neutron and  
45 high-energy X-ray diffraction data and removing Hg-Hg correlations as an example:  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

$$51 \Delta S_{\text{-HgHg}}(Q) - 1 = \left\{ [S_X(Q) - 1] - \frac{W_{\text{HgHg}}^X(Q)}{W_{\text{HgHg}}^N} [S_N(Q) - 1] \right\} \frac{W_{\text{AsAs}}^X(Q)}{W_{\text{AsAs}}^X(Q) - \frac{W_{\text{HgHg}}^X(Q)}{W_{\text{HgHg}}^N} W_{\text{AsAs}}^N}, \quad (2)$$


52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

where  $S_X(Q)$  and  $S_N(Q)$  are the Faber-Ziman X-ray and neutron structure factors,  $W_{ab}^X(Q)$  are the  $Q$ -dependent X-ray weighting factors, and  $W_{ab}^N$  are the neutron weighting coefficients. The second term in eq. (2) normalizes the difference  $\Delta S(Q)$  structure factor to the original (before subtraction) As-As correlations.



**Figure 3.** The  $1.3 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  (As-As) and  $1.7 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  (Hg-Hg) pre-peaks isolated from the difference structure factors  $\Delta S(Q)$  without As-As (the dashed line) or Hg-Hg correlations (the solid line) for the  $x = 0.4$  glass.

The pre-peak at  $1.7 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  is absent for  $\Delta S(Q)$  without Hg-Hg correlations, while the subtraction procedure for the  $1.3 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  pre-peak leaves some residuals on  $\Delta S(Q)$  without As-As correlations, Fig. 3. Nevertheless, the negative or positive amplitude of these residuals is small compared to that of the  $1.3 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  pre-peak. We have also verified a possible implication of As-Hg correlations in the  $1.7 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  pre-peak. Subtracting the As-Hg term yields strong negative amplitude at  $\approx 1.7 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  and also affects the As-As pre-peak at  $\approx 1.3 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ , Fig. S2 (Supporting information), since the As-Hg weighting is larger than the Hg-Hg weighting for all compositions studied, for both X-rays and neutrons. Consequently, we are ruling out this possibility.



**Figure 4.** The  $1.3 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  (As-As) and  $1.7 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  (Hg-Hg) pre-peak (a) position and (b) amplitude in mercury thioarsenate glasses. Circles and squares correspond to neutron and X-ray data, respectively. The threshold concentration  $x_0 \approx 0.3$  between Regions 1 and 2 is highlighted in blue. The lines are drawn as a guide to the eye.

The composition dependences of the pre-peak amplitudes ( $A_{0A}, A_{0B}$ ) and positions ( $Q_{0A}, Q_{0B}$ ), shown in Fig. 4, exhibit a critical behavior with the threshold concentration  $x_0 \approx 0.3$ . A nearly linear decrease of the  $A_{0A}(x)$  amplitude below  $x_0$  (Region 1) is simply related to a diminishing  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  fraction,  $1-x$ , with the increasing mercury sulfide content  $x$ ;  $A_{0A}(x)$  tends to 0 as  $x \rightarrow 1$ . The  $A_{0B}(x)$  amplitude increases monotonically with  $x$  up to  $x_0 \approx 0.3$ . The both trends change for HgS-rich glasses. The  $1.3 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  pre-peak starts to disappear rapidly at  $x \geq x_0$  (Region 2), and  $A_{0A}(x)$  is expected to be zero just above the glass-forming limit. Simultaneously, one observes a distinct increase of  $Q_{0A}(x)$ , which is constant below  $x_0$ . On the

other hand, the  $1.7 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  pre-peak stops growing above  $x_0$  indicating a limiting role of  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$  helical chains in further network transformation.

**Diffraction:  $r$ -space.** Total correlation functions  $T_N(r)$  and  $T_X(r)$  were derived through the usual Fourier transform,

$$T(r) = 4\pi\rho_0 r + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{Q_{\max}} Q[S(Q) - 1] \sin Qr M(Q) dQ, \quad (3)$$

where  $\rho_0$  is the total number density, and  $M(Q)$  is the Lorch modification function.<sup>55</sup> Results for the  $\text{HgS-As}_2\text{S}_3$  glasses are shown in Fig. 5 taking  $Q_{\max} = 30 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  for both neutron and X-ray data for comparative purposes. The atomic number densities were derived from the experimental glass density values.<sup>15</sup>

The total correlation function defined in eq. (3) is a weighted average of partial total correlation functions for the different atom pairs ( $a, b$ ):


$$T(r) = \sum_{ab} W_{ab} T_{ab}(r) = \sum_{ab} c_a c_b \frac{\bar{b}_a \bar{b}_b}{|\sum_a c_a \bar{b}_a|^2} T_{ab}(r). \quad (4)$$

A weighted average coordination number for a peak in  $T(r)$  extending from  $r_x$  to  $r_y$  is defined as

$$C = \int_{r_x}^{r_y} r T(r) dr. \quad (5)$$

Analogously to eq. (4), this  $C$  is related to the partial coordination numbers  $N_{ab}$  expressing the average number of  $b$ -atoms within the  $r_y - r_x$  range from an  $a$ -atom at the origin<sup>56</sup>

$$C = \sum_{ab} w_{ab} N_{ab} = \sum_{ab} c_a \frac{\bar{b}_a \bar{b}_b}{|\sum_a c_a \bar{b}_a|^2} N_{ab}. \quad (6)$$




**Figure 5.** (a) Neutron  $T_N(r)$  and (b) X-ray  $T_X(r)$  total correlation functions of  $(\text{HgS})_x(\text{As}_2\text{S}_3)_{1-x}$  glasses. The first neighbor peak at  $\approx 2.3$  Å, the second neighbor peak at  $\approx 3.5$  Å, and the  $\text{As}_n\text{S}_n$  center-center correlations at  $\approx 5.2$  Å are highlighted in yellow, green and orange respectively.

Glassy  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  shows three characteristic peaks in real-space functions: (i) a narrow peak at  $2.27$  Å corresponding to As-S first neighbor correlations; (ii) a broad peak at  $\approx 3.5$  Å related to As-As, S-S and As-S second neighbor intra-layer contacts and shortest interlayer correlations; (iii) a distinct feature at  $\approx 5.2$  Å reflecting center-center correlations between  $\text{As}_n\text{S}_n$  rings ( $\text{As}_6\text{S}_6$  in monoclinic  $c$ - $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$ ). The area of the  $2.27$  Å peak confirms trigonal arsenic coordination,  $N_{\text{As-S}} \approx 3$ .

The  $2.27$  Å peak decreases in  $(\text{HgS})_x(\text{As}_2\text{S}_3)_{1-x}$  glasses following the  $(1-x)$  concentration factor. In addition, a distinct asymmetric high- $r$  broadening is observed with increasing  $x$ . This broadening is expected since the Hg-S first neighbour distance in trigonal cinnabar  $\alpha$ -HgS is  $2.38$  Å and that in cubic metacinnabar  $\beta$ -HgS is  $2.54$  Å.<sup>53,54</sup> A new broad feature at  $\approx 4$  Å appears




and increases with  $x$ . This change is also expected since the second neighbour correlations at  $4 \text{ \AA} \leq r \leq 5 \text{ \AA}$  exist in both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -HgS. Finally, the  $5.2 \text{ \AA}$  feature broadens and becomes less intense with increasing  $x$ .



**Figure 6.** Difference total correlation functions  $\Delta T(r)$  for HgS-As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> glasses obtained by combination of neutron and X-ray diffraction data for each glass composition. The solid lines correspond to  $\Delta T(r)$  without As-S correlations. The dotted lines are  $\Delta T(r)$ 's without Hg-S correlations. The As-S and Hg-S first neighbor correlations are highlighted in yellow and dark yellow, respectively.

Two contributions to the first neighbor peak at  $\approx 2.3 \text{ \AA}$  are clearly visible on difference correlation functions  $\Delta T(r)$ , Fig. 6, obtained using a combination of neutron and X-ray diffraction data for each glass composition, eq. (2). Different neutron and X-ray weighting factors for As-S,  $W_{\text{As-S}}^N(x)$  and  $W_{\text{As-S}}^X(x)$ , and Hg-S pairs,  $W_{\text{Hg-S}}^N(x)$  and  $W_{\text{Hg-S}}^X(x)$ , allow  $\Delta T(r)$  to be calculated without As-S (the solid lines in Fig. 6) or Hg-S correlations (the dotted

lines in Fig. 6). As a result, the overlapping first neighbor peak at  $\approx 2.3$  Å for  $T_N(r)$ 's and  $T_X(r)$ 's (Fig. 5) appears to be split into two features in  $\Delta T(r)$  functions.



**Figure 7.** A three-peak fitting of the first feature in (a) neutron  $T_N(r)$  and (b) X-ray  $T_X(r)$  total correlation functions for the  $x = 0.4$  glass. The As–S, two-fold  $\text{Hg}_{2F}$ –S and four-fold  $\text{Hg}_{4F}$ –S correlations are highlighted in red, green and blue, respectively.


Fitting the first peak in  $T_N(r)$  and  $T_X(r)$  with two Gaussians yields  $N_{\text{As-S}} \approx 3$  and  $N_{\text{Hg-S}} \geq 2$ , the Hg-S coordination number is increasing with  $x$ . In other words, the four-fold coordinated mercury species appear in the glass network. In contrast, the trigonal arsenic coordination remains intact, consistent with Raman spectroscopy data.<sup>15</sup> The fit quality deteriorates with  $x$  since  $r(\text{Hg}_{4F}\text{-S}) > r(\text{Hg}_{2F}\text{-S})$ , 2.54 vs. 2.38 Å in crystalline  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -HgS, respectively. Consequently, a three-peak fitting (Fig. 7) was necessary with the following constraint on  $C_{\text{Hg}}^{2F}$  and  $C_{\text{Hg}}^{4F}$  peak areas:

$$2 - \frac{C_{\text{Hg}}^{2F}}{w_{\text{Hg-S}}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{C_{\text{Hg}}^{4F}}{w_{\text{Hg-S}}}, \quad (7)$$

where  $C_{\text{Hg}}^{2F}$  and  $C_{\text{Hg}}^{4F}$  are the peak areas, defined by eq. (5), corresponding to 2-fold and 4-fold coordinated mercury,  $w_{\text{Hg-S}}$  is the neutron or X-ray Hg-S weighting factor. The obtained partial

coordination numbers  $N_{\text{Hg}}^{2F}$  and  $N_{\text{Hg}}^{4F}$  were used to calculate the fraction of 4-fold coordinated Hg species  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F}$ :

$$f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F} = \frac{N_{\text{Hg-S}}^{4F}}{4} = 1 - \frac{N_{\text{Hg-S}}^{2F}}{2}. \quad (8)$$



**Figure 8.** (a) The fraction of four-fold coordinated mercury  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F}$  and (b) the first neighbor As-S,  $\text{Hg}_{2F}$ -S and  $\text{Hg}_{4F}$ -S distances in  $\text{HgS-As}_2\text{S}_3$  glasses plotted as a function of  $x$ . The average (neutron and X-ray) values are drawn for  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F}$ . The  $x = 0.5$  composition is characterized by large n/X difference, probably because the neutron sample is partly crystallized. The first neighbor distances are shown separately for neutrons (circles) and X-rays (squares). The threshold concentration  $x_0 \approx 0.3$  is highlighted in blue. The lines are drawn as a guide to the eye.

The  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F}$  fraction is negligible at  $x \leq 0.1$  but increases with mercury sulfide content reaching  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F} \approx 0.3$  for equimolar  $\text{HgS/As}_2\text{S}_3$  composition ( $x = 0.5$ ), Fig. 8. The fitting results are given in Table 2.

**Table 2. Structural parameters of HgS-As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> glasses obtained using pulsed neutron and high-energy X-ray diffraction: first neighbor interatomic distances,  $r(\text{As-S})$ ,  $r(\text{Hg}_{2F}\text{-S})$  and  $r(\text{Hg}_{4F}\text{-S})$ , for arsenic, two-fold and 4-fold coordinated mercury species; partial coordination numbers,  $N_{\text{As-S}}$ ,  $N_{\text{Hg-S}}^{2F}$ , and  $N_{\text{Hg-S}}^{4F}$ .**


HgS Fraction $x$	$r(\text{As-S})$ (Å)	$N_{\text{As-S}}$	$r(\text{Hg}_{2F}\text{-S})$ (Å)	$N_{\text{Hg-S}}^{2F}$	$r(\text{Hg}_{4F}\text{-S})$ (Å)	$N_{\text{Hg-S}}^{4F}$
Neutron diffraction						
0.0	2.27(1)	2.95(10)	–	–	–	–
0.05	2.27(1)	2.96(10)	2.37(3)	1.95(10)	–	–
0.1	2.27(1)	2.99(10)	2.39(2)	2.02(10)	–	–
0.2	2.28(1)	2.97(10)	2.39(2)	1.90(10)	2.45(3)	0.23(10)
0.3	2.27(1)	2.99(10)	2.38(2)	1.79(10)	2.49(3)	0.44(10)
0.4	2.27(1)	3.01(10)	2.37(2)	1.61(10)	2.42(2)	0.80(10)
0.5*	2.27(1)	3.00(1)	2.36(2)	1.25(10)	2.41(2)	1.50(10)
High-energy x-ray diffraction						
0.0	2.27(1)	2.94(10)	–	–	–	–
0.05	2.27(1)	2.97(10)	2.38(2)	2.00(10)	–	–
0.1	2.28(1)	3.00(10)	2.38(1)	1.95(10)	2.47(2)	0.10(10)
0.2	2.27(1)	2.98(10)	2.38(2)	1.90(10)	2.47(2)	0.22(10)
0.3	2.27(1)	3.00(10)	2.37(1)	1.65(10)	2.45(2)	0.73(10)
0.4	2.27(1)	2.98(10)	2.36(2)	1.69(10)	2.42(2)	0.63(10)
0.5	2.27(1)	2.94(10)	2.35(2)	1.59(10)	2.46(2)	0.80(10)

The derived fraction  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F} \leq 0.3$  indicates that a majority of mercury species is two-fold coordinated and the hybrid chain/pyramidal network is the main structural motif in mercury thioarsenate glasses. A higher flexibility of the hybrid network compared to  $g\text{-As}_2\text{S}_3$  results in lower glass transition temperatures  $T_g(x)$ , observed experimentally.<sup>15</sup>

1  
2  
3 The critical behavior is less evident for structural parameters in the  $r$ -space. We should  
4  
5 note a certain discontinuity for  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F}$  at  $x_0$ . The two Hg-S distances also start decreasing above  $x_0$   
6  
7 (Region 2),  $2.36 \text{ \AA} \leq r(\text{Hg}_{2F}\text{-S}) \leq 2.39 \text{ \AA}$ , and  $2.41 \text{ \AA} \leq r(\text{Hg}_{4F}\text{-S}) \leq 2.49 \text{ \AA}$ . The latter  
8  
9 distance appears to be distinctly shorter than  $r(\text{Hg}_{4F}\text{-S}) = 2.54 \text{ \AA}$  in cubic metacinnabar  $\beta$ -HgS.  
10  
11 However, the As-S first neighbor separation remains invariant over the entire composition range,  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  $r(\text{As-S}) \approx 2.27 \text{ \AA}$ .  
17  
18  
19

20 **Raman signature of 4-fold coordinated mercury species calculated using DFT.** DFT  
21  
22 modelling of Raman spectra for four-fold coordinated mercury species has shown several  
23  
24 interesting features: (1) a contrasting stability of isolated  $\text{HgS}_4$  molecules, (2) similar Hg-S  
25  
26 symmetric stretching frequencies for two-fold and 4-fold coordinated Hg entities with a distinct  
27  
28 red shift for  $\text{HgS}_4$  units, and (3) a remarkable blue shift of  $\approx 35 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  between  $A_1$  symmetric  
29  
30 stretching modes in crystalline HgS polymorphs and small Hg-S clusters in contrast to a  
31  
32 resemblance of DFT simulated and experimental frequencies in glasses.  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 First, an isolated  $\text{HgS}_4$  tetrahedral molecule ( $T_d$  symmetry) appears to be unstable and  
38  
39 transforms into an  $\text{HgS}_4$  square ( $D_{4h}$  symmetry), Fig. 9(a). The calculated Hg-S interatomic  
40  
41 distances,  $2.42 \text{ \AA}$ , are shorter than those in cubic metacinnabar  $\beta$ -HgS but similar to the  $\text{Hg}_{4F}\text{-S}$   
42  
43 first neighbour contacts observed in diffraction experiments of HgS- $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  glasses, Fig. 8(b). A  
44  
45 DFT Raman spectrum of the  $\text{HgS}_4$  square in the stretching region exhibits a bimodal feature: Hg-  
46  
47 S asymmetric and symmetric stretch at  $269$  and  $287 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively, Fig. 9(b). Fixed  
48  
49 tetrahedral geometry of  $\text{HgS}_4$  with identical  $\angle\text{S-Hg-S}$  angles of  $109.47^\circ$  yields rather similar  
50  
51 Raman spectrum. Nevertheless, negative (imaginary) frequencies for some bending and H-  
52  
53 related modes, are indicating again that isolated tetrahedral mercury species remain unstable.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Figure 9.** (a) Schematic representation of small Hg-S and Hg-As-S clusters used for DFT modelling; the terminal hydrogen species are omitted; (b) DFT Raman spectra in the stretching domain for (1)  $\text{HgS}_4$  square, (3)  $\text{HgAsS}_6$  hybrid cluster, (4)  $\text{Hg}_2\text{S}_5$  mixed unit, and (5)  $\text{Hg}_2\text{S}_3$  oligomer,<sup>15</sup> plotted using fixed FWHM of  $8\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The hydrogen-related modes are removed from the spectra. The spectrum for (2) isolated  $\text{HgS}_4$  tetrahedron is not shown because of imaginary frequencies. The highlighted features in yellow at  $265\text{--}305\text{ cm}^{-1}$  correspond to symmetric Hg–S stretching in mercury sulfide related fragments. The As–S stretching modes in hybrid  $\text{HgAsS}_6$  are highlighted in green. The dash-dotted and dashed lines at  $247$  and  $256\text{ cm}^{-1}$  show the positions of the most intense vibrational modes in cubic metacinnabar  $\beta$ - $\text{HgS}$  and trigonal cinnabar  $\alpha$ - $\text{HgS}$ , respectively.<sup>16–18</sup> A typical difference Raman spectrum for (6) the  $x = 0.5$  glass is also shown.<sup>15</sup>

The tetrahedral instability persists in  $\text{Hg}_2\text{S}_5$ ,  $\text{Hg}_3\text{S}_6$ ,  $\text{Hg}_3\text{S}_8$  mixed units, and hybrid  $\text{HgAsS}_6$  clusters yielding the approximate  $D_{4h}$  symmetry, Fig. 9(a), starting from regular  $T_d$  configuration for an  $\text{HgS}_4$  molecule, Fig. S3 (Supporting information). The optimized geometry of  $\text{HgS}_4$  square is similar in all cases: the average Hg–S distances remain  $2.43 \pm 0.02\text{ \AA}$ , while the  $\angle\text{S–Hg–S}$  bond angles are  $90 \pm 3^\circ$  and  $178 \pm 2^\circ$  for sulfur atoms in *cis*- or *trans*-position,

respectively. We note, however, the increasing distortion of square geometry for mixed and hybrid clusters compared to a single  $\text{HgS}_4$  molecule, evidenced by variability of bond lengths and angles, i.e.,  $90.0\pm 0.2^\circ$  ( $\text{HgS}_4$ ) vs.  $90\pm 3^\circ$  ( $\text{Hg}_2\text{S}_5$  or  $\text{HgAsS}_6$ ).

The  $-\text{S}-\text{Hg}-\text{S}-$  chain fragments are characterized by shorter bonds,  $2.36\pm 0.01$  Å, and usual bond angles for chains,  $\angle\text{S}-\text{Hg}-\text{S} = 176\pm 3^\circ$ . These results are consistent with those reported previously<sup>15</sup> and diffraction data, Fig. 8(b). The  $\text{AsS}_3$  pyramidal units show a distorted  $C_{3v}$  symmetry with similar As-S distances, 2.28 Å, but different angular parameters,  $\angle\text{S}-\text{As}-\text{S} = 97\pm 7^\circ$ . The full set of optimized geometry for DFT-relaxed clusters is given in Table 3.

**Table 3. Optimized geometry parameters (mercury-sulfur,  $r(\text{Hg}_{2F}-\text{S})/r(\text{Hg}_{4F}-\text{S})$ , and arsenic-sulfur,  $r(\text{As}-\text{S})$ , interatomic distances, and related bond angles) of isolated  $\text{HgS}_4$ ,<sup>§</sup> mixed  $\text{Hg}_2\text{S}_5$ ,<sup>§</sup>  $\text{Hg}_3\text{S}_6$ ,<sup>§</sup>  $\text{Hg}_3\text{S}_8$ ,<sup>§</sup> and hybrid  $\text{HgAsS}_6$ ,<sup>§</sup> clusters used in DFT modelling of vibrational properties.**

	$r(\text{Hg}_{4F}-\text{S})$ (Å)	$r(\text{Hg}_{2F}-\text{S})$ (Å)	$\angle\text{S}-\text{Hg}_{4F}-\text{S}$ (deg)	$\angle\text{S}-\text{Hg}_{2F}-\text{S}$ (deg)	$r(\text{As}-\text{S})$ (Å)	$\angle\text{S}-\text{As}-\text{S}$ (deg)	$\angle\text{Hg}-\text{S}-\text{X}^\#$ (deg)
$\text{HgS}_4$ <sup>§</sup>	2.42	–	$90.0(2)$ <sup>†</sup> $179.4(2)$ <sup>§</sup>	–	–	–	–
$\text{Hg}_2\text{S}_5$ <sup>§</sup>	2.43(1)	2.36(1)	$90(3)$ <sup>†</sup> $178(2)$ <sup>§</sup>	175.8	–	–	100.4
$\text{Hg}_3\text{S}_6$ <sup>§</sup>	2.43(2)	2.36(1)	$90(3)$ <sup>†</sup> $176(3)$ <sup>§</sup>	176.5(7)	–	–	101.7(11)
$\text{Hg}_3\text{S}_8$ <sup>§</sup>	2.43(1)	2.37(2)	$90(3)$ <sup>†</sup> $177(4)$ <sup>§</sup>	175.8(11)	–	–	100.5
$\text{HgAsS}_6$ <sup>§</sup>	2.43(2)	–	$90(3)$ <sup>†</sup> $178(2)$ <sup>§</sup>	–	2.28	97(7)	103.3

<sup>§</sup> The terminal protons in the formula are omitted

<sup>#</sup> X = Hg or As

<sup>†</sup> S atoms in *cis*-position

<sup>§</sup> S atoms in *trans*-position

The last digit(s) in parentheses corresponds to the mean-square deviation (MSD) of the average calculated values. The missing MSD for the calculated geometry parameters means either a single calculated value or a negligible difference between several nearly identical geometry parameters.

1  
2  
3 The tetrahedral instability of  $\text{HgS}_4$  molecules in DFT simulations is related to the  
4 “standard”  $T = 0$  K ground state of analyzed systems. As a result, it is consistent with  
5 thermodynamic stability range of high-temperature cubic  $\beta$ - $\text{HgS}$ , metastable below  $344$  °C, in  
6 contrast to low-temperature trigonal cinnabar. A non-negligible distortion of  $\text{HgS}_4$  square  
7 geometry in mixed and hybrid clusters, Table 3, suggests a variety of 4-fold coordinated mercury  
8 local environments in the  $\text{HgS-As}_2\text{S}_3$  glasses.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19 The calculated frequencies of the most intense Hg-S symmetric stretching modes in DFT  
20 Raman spectra of different 4-fold coordinated mercury species are red-shifted compared to  
21 analogous  $A_1$  modes in  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$  chains and hybrid units, see Fig. 9, Fig. S4 (Supporting  
22 information) and Ref. [15]. For example, a red shift of  $-24$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is observed between the  $\text{Hg}_2\text{S}_3$   
23 oligomer and  $\text{Hg}_2\text{S}_5$  mixed unit. This finding correlates with experimental Raman results<sup>16-18</sup> and  
24 a small but distinct red shift ( $-9$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) between  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ - $\text{HgS}$ , schematically shown in Fig. 9(b)  
25 by the dashed and dash-dotted lines, respectively. On the other hand, the observed blue shift  
26  $\Delta\omega = \omega_{DFT} - \omega_{cr}$  between the simulated DFT frequencies and crystal data,  $+20$   $\text{cm}^{-1} \leq \Delta\omega \leq$   
27  $+50$   $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , is certainly related to enhanced intrachain/intra-fragment interactions in small clusters  
28 compared to bulk 1D ( $\alpha$ - $\text{HgS}$ ) or 3D ( $\beta$ - $\text{HgS}$ ) network, discussed in details previously.<sup>15</sup>  
29 However, the calculated frequencies are in good agreement with experimental glass results, Fig.  
30 9(b), extending the hypothesis of strong intra-fragment interactions to Hg-related structural  
31 motifs in glasses.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 The presence of  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  units in mercury thioarsenate glasses, evidenced by neutron and  
52 high-energy X-ray diffraction, is consistent with Raman data and DFT simulations. The Hg-S  
53 symmetric stretching modes of both two-fold and 4-fold coordinated mercury entities in glasses  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 are overlapping and hardly distinguishable. Nevertheless, a small red shift in  $A_1$  stretching  
4  
5 frequencies between the two species implies an increasing linewidth and peak position evolution  
6  
7 with increasing HgS content. The two trends (a 40% increase in FWHM and a systematic red  
8  
9 shift of  $-11 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) are observed experimentally.<sup>15</sup>  
10  
11

12  
13  
14 Using DFT, we have also verified a possible implication of 3-fold coordinated  
15  
16 mercury in the structural organization of the HgS-As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> glasses. The DFT modelling of a  
17  
18 trigonal Hg-S cluster has shown its instability. Starting from the initial HgS<sub>3</sub> pyramidal  
19  
20 configuration with identical Hg-S distances of 2.40 Å and S-Hg-S angles ( $109^\circ$ ), one of the  
21  
22 three sulfur species is rapidly moving out from the central mercury atom, 3 Å after 16 DFT  
23  
24 steps (Fig. S5, Supporting information). The remaining HgS<sub>2</sub> unit transforms into a typical  
25  
26 HgS<sub>2/2</sub> chain fragment,<sup>15</sup>  $r(\text{Hg}_{2F} - \text{S}) = 2.37 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\angle\text{S-Hg-S} \approx 180^\circ$ .  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31


32 **Characteristic features in RMC generated glass structure model.** As expected, a good  
33  
34 agreement has been found between the experimental and simulated neutron and X-ray total  
35  
36 structure factors  $S_N(Q)$  and  $S_X(Q)$ , Fig. 1, and the neutron pair distribution function  $g_N(r)$  for  
37  
38 the  $x = 0.4$  glass, Fig. S6 (Supporting information). A snapshot of the 58.26 Å RMC simulation  
39  
40 box with 7600 atoms (Hg, As, S) corresponding to the  $x = 0.4$  glass chemical composition is  
41  
42 shown in Fig. 10(a). Typical RMC generated configurations for  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$  chain fragments,  
43  
44 isolated and agglomerated HgS<sub>4/4</sub> structural units are visualized in Fig. 10(b). The partial pair  
45  
46 distribution functions  $g_{ij}(r)$  are given in Fig. 11 and the bond angle distributions ( $\angle\text{S-As-S}$ ,  
47  
48  $\angle\text{As-S-As}$ ,  $\angle\text{S-Hg-S}$ , and  $\angle\text{Hg-S-Hg}$ ) in Fig. 12.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

55 The RMC generated 3D structural model for the  $x = 0.4$  glass reproduces well the results  
56  
57 of direct  $T_N(r)$  and  $T_X(r)$  fitting. The majority of arsenic species (94.8 %) are three-fold  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 coordinated. The fraction of 4-fold coordinated mercury is  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F} = 0.16$  vs.  $0.18 \pm 0.02$  for direct  
4  
5 fitting, Fig. 8(a). The distribution of arsenic and mercury coordination numbers is given in Fig.  
6  
7 S7 (Supporting information). It should be noted that in the RMC modelling we have assumed a  
8  
9 bimodal distribution of mercury coordination centered at  $N_{\text{Hg-S}} = 2$  and  $N_{\text{Hg-S}} = 4$  and used the  
10  
11 respective coordination constraints. A significant implication of 3-fold coordinated mercury was  
12  
13 excluded based on (i) known crystallographic data for binary mercury sulfide, ternary and multi-  
14  
15 component mercury(II) thio-compounds of Group VA/15 (P, As, Sb), IVA/14 (Si, Ge, Sn) and  
16  
17 IIIA/13 (Al, Ga, In), more than 100 references in the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database  
18  
19 (<http://icsd.fiz-karlsruhe.de>). In all these compounds, the mercury coordination was found to be 2  
20  
21 and/or 4. (ii) Our DFT modelling of a 3-fold coordinated Hg-S cluster has also shown its  
22  
23 instability (Fig. S5, Supporting information). In future experiments, a mercury-selective local  
24  
25 probe, i.e.,  $^{199}\text{Hg}$  NMR, could be efficient to precise the mercury coordination in glasses.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32


33 Additional structural information is available from the detailed analysis of RMC  
34  
35 configuration using the RINGS<sup>57</sup> and the connectivity analysis<sup>58</sup> codes. The angular distribution  
36  
37 functions and mercury connectivity are of primary importance.  
38  
39  
40

41 *Angular distributions.* The bond angle distribution  $B(\theta)$  is related to the number of bonds  
42  
43 between angles of  $\theta$  and  $\theta + \Delta\theta$ , and the number of angles available at angle  $\theta$  is proportional to  
44  
45  $\sin \theta$ .<sup>59</sup> Consequently, it is appropriate to remove this effect by plotting the distribution  $B(\theta)/$   
46  
47  $\sin \theta$ .<sup>59-61</sup> It should also be noted that the number of angles becomes vanishingly small at  $\theta \approx \pi$   
48  
49  
50  
51 and the high- $\theta$  part of  $B(\theta)/\sin \theta$  appears to be noisy.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




**Figure 10.** (a) A snapshot of the 58.26 Å RMC simulation box with 7600 atoms (Hg, As, S) corresponding to the  $x = 0.4$  glass chemical composition; (b) typical RMC generated configurations: (1) isolated  $iso\text{-HgS}_{2/2}$  monomer (45.1% of Hg sites) and (2)  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_2$  dimer (14.8%), (3) isolated  $iso\text{-HgS}_{4/4}$  (4.8%) and (4) agglomerated  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m(\text{HgS}_{4/4})_m$  units (28.8%). The remaining oligomeric chains  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$  (5.0%),  $2 < m \leq 6$ , and connected 4-fold coordinated  $(\text{HgS}_{4/4})_m$  units (1.5%) are not shown.

Arsenic-related bond angle distributions, Fig. 12(a) and (b), indicate that  $\text{AsS}_{3/2}$  pyramidal geometry is rather well preserved in the  $x = 0.4$  glass. Broad asymmetric features at  $\approx 96^\circ$  for the two  $B(\theta)/\sin\theta$  functions are consistent with characteristic bimodal values for monoclinic orpiment  $c\text{-As}_2\text{S}_3$ ,  $\angle\text{S-As-S}$ :  $96 \pm 3^\circ$  (67 %) and  $104.5 \pm 0.5^\circ$  (33 %);  $\angle\text{As-S-As}$ :  $88 \pm 4^\circ$  (33 %) and  $102.4 \pm 1.5^\circ$  (67 %),<sup>51</sup> reflecting the  $C_s$  symmetry of the  $\text{AsS}_{3/2}$  entities. As expected, trigonal units in the glass are more distorted in both intra-pyramidal geometry and inter-pyramidal connectivity.



**Figure 11.** Simulated partial pair distribution functions  $g_{ij}(r)$  for the  $x = 0.4$  glass.



**Figure 12.** Simulated bond angle distributions  $B(\theta)/\sin \theta$  for the  $x = 0.4$  glass: (a) As-S-As, (b) S-As-S, (c) Hg-S-Hg, and (d) S-Hg-S. The crystal values for monoclinic orpiment are shown by (a) green and (b) light brown bars; (c,d) the characteristic values for trigonal cinnabar are highlighted in red; the tetrahedral values for cubic metacinnabar in yellow. The bar height reflects the expected population of bonds for a given angle.


Mercury sulfide dimorphism in the glass network complicates interpretation of Hg-related angular distributions, Fig. 12(c) and (d). Both two-fold and 4-fold coordinated mercury species seem to be highly distorted. For example, the Hg-S chains in trigonal cinnabar are characterized by well-defined  $\angle\text{S-Hg-S} = 173.1^\circ$  and  $\angle\text{Hg-S-Hg} = 104.2^\circ$  bond angles.<sup>53</sup> The fraction of nearly straight S-Hg-S angles is quite high in the  $x = 0.4$  glass, Fig. 12(d). Nevertheless, one observes an additional broad angular distribution with a maximum at  $\approx\pi/2$ . The Hg-S-Hg angles are more regular. Their narrower distribution is centered at  $\approx 110^\circ$ , i.e., similar to the crystal value.

Small 4-fold coordinated mercury fraction  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F} = 0.16$  (RMC) or  $0.18 \pm 0.02$  (direct fitting) makes hardly possible a comprehensive analysis of  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  tetrahedra using the entire simulation box. A separate examination has been carried out for four-fold coordinated Hg-S entities. Highly

1  
2  
3 distorted  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  tetrahedra are mostly observed with a broad distribution of the tetrahedral S-Hg-  
4 S angles, i.e.,  $108\pm 17^\circ$  vs.  $109.47^\circ$  for a regular tetrahedron, and of the Hg-S distances, e.g.  
5  $2.40\pm 0.10$  Å. Some of  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  units approach a square geometry with a distribution of the *cis*-  
6 angles,<sup>62</sup>  $92\pm 13^\circ$ , centered on  $\pi/2$  and the *trans*-angles,  $148\pm 25^\circ$ , similar to  $\pi$ . Some  
7  
8 characteristic examples are shown in Fig. S8 (Supporting information). A large variety of 4-fold  
9  
10 coordinated mercury species predicted by DFT is thus observed in RMC modelling.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 *Mercury connectivity.* One half of Hg-related entities are only connected to  $\text{AsS}_{3/2}$  pyramids, Fig.  
20 10(b). Let's call them isolated units. The majority of isolated Hg-S species (45.1 % of the total  
21 number of Hg sites) are two-fold coordinated chain monomers *iso*- $\text{HgS}_{2/2}$ . The fraction of  
22 isolated four-fold coordinated entities, *iso*- $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$ , is just 4.8 % compared to 16 % of  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$   
23 units present within the entire glass network. The *iso*- $\text{HgS}_{2/2}$  and *iso*- $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  entities are  
24 distributed randomly over the network as shows the connectivity analysis.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34


35 Plotting the bound mercury fraction  $F_{\text{Hg}}(r)^{63}$  for the full RMC model containing 800 Hg  
36 atoms as a function of Hg-Hg separation distance, one observes that 95 % of Hg-S species are  
37 located within the  $r(\text{Hg} - \text{Hg}) \leq 5.3$  Å range from each other (Fig. 13), consistent with the partial  
38 pair distribution function  $g_{\text{Hg-Hg}}(r)$  (Fig. 11). The calculated value appears to be below the  
39 average random Hg-Hg separation distance, 6.3 Å in cubic or 7.8 Å in spherical approximation,  
40 highlighted in Fig. 13 in red. This result was expected since one half of Hg-S species are  
41 interconnected and the Hg-Hg second neighbor distance is  $4.1\pm 0.5$  Å. In contrast, 95 % of  
42 isolated *iso*- $\text{HgS}_{2/2}$  chain monomers reside within the  $r(\text{Hg} - \text{Hg}) \leq 7.2$  Å range supporting a  
43 random distribution of isolated Hg-S species.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Figure 13.** Bound mercury fraction  $F_{\text{Hg}}(r)$ <sup>63</sup> for the RMC generated 3D structural model of the  $x = 0.4$  glass; blue squares: the entire simulation box containing 800 Hg atoms, red diamonds: a partial reduced configuration containing 361 isolated  $\text{HgS}_{2/2}$  chain monomers. The derived random Hg-Hg separation distance is highlighted in red spreading out between 6.3 Å (cubic approximation) and 7.8 Å (spherical approximation).

The results also imply that a subnetwork of isolated mercury species is slightly enriched in chain fragments and significantly depleted in 4-fold coordinated Hg entities (−40 %) compared to the mercury speciation over the entire glass network. Consequently, the fraction of isolated  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  units for the  $x = 0.4$  glass appears to be similar to that in the Region 1 glasses,  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F} \leq 0.1$  at  $x < x_0$ , Fig. 8(a).

The second half of mercury species form either connected chain fragments  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$ , where  $m > 1$ , or mixed and agglomerated entities including  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  units, Fig. 10(b). The average chain length is rather small,  $m \leq 6$ , and the main chain-like fragments are dimers ( $m = 2$ , 75 % of the total number of  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$  chains). Figure 14(b) shows the fractions of mercury involved in (i) two-fold coordinated Hg-S chains, (ii) mixed and agglomerated  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m(\text{HgS}_{4/4})_{m'}$  entities, and (iii) connected  $(\text{HgS}_{4/4})_{m'}$  units plotted as a function of the number of atoms  $\ell$  in a connected structural fragment. For example, the number of atoms in a  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_3$  trimer is  $\ell = 7$ , identical to that in a  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})(\text{HgS}_{4/4})$  mixed unit.



**Figure 14.** Size distribution of connected Hg-S entities of three types for the (a)  $x = 0.2$ , and (b)  $x = 0.4$  glasses: (i) oligomeric chains  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$ ,  $2 \leq m \leq 6$  (red); (ii) mixed agglomerated units  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m(\text{HgS}_{4/4})_{m'}$ ,  $2 \leq m+m' \leq 6$  (blue); (iii) connected tetrahedra  $(\text{HgS}_{4/4})_{m'}$ ,  $m' = 2$  and  $4$  (cyan). The insets show the integrated Hg fraction for the three types of connected Hg-S units.

The  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_2$  dimers ( $\ell = 5$ ) remain the highest single fraction of connected mercury; however, the population of other members of this family is small. The mixed and agglomerated Hg-S entities also contain up to 6 ( $= m+m'$ ) interconnected  $\text{HgS}_{2/2}$  and  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  units but their size distribution is broader ensuring the next 5 positions in a population ranking. Finally, the minority species appear to be connected tetrahedral fragments.

The inset in Fig. 14(b) shows the summed Hg fraction for the three types of mercury connectivity. The mixed and agglomerated  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m(\text{HgS}_{4/4})_{m'}$  entities appear to be the major species (57.4 %), whose population is by a factor of 1.5 higher than that of the  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$  chains ( $m > 1$ , 39.5 %). A few connected  $(\text{HgS}_{4/4})_{m'}$  units are clearly outsiders (3.1 %).

1  
2  
3 A preliminary RMC modelling of the  $x = 0.2$  glass (Region 1) shows a drastic difference  
4 in the mercury connectivity, Fig. 14(a), compared to the  $x = 0.4$  glass (Region 2). We note a  
5 larger fraction of isolated units *iso*-HgS<sub>2/2</sub> and *iso*-HgS<sub>4/4</sub>, 76.5% ( $x = 0.2$ ) vs. 49.9% ( $x = 0.4$ ).  
6  
7 The (HgS<sub>2/2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> dimers appear to be the major connected species while the fraction of short  
8 oligomeric chains (HgS<sub>2/2</sub>)<sub>m</sub>,  $2 < m \leq 4$ , and (HgS<sub>2/2</sub>)(HgS<sub>4/4</sub>) mixed units represents just one  
9 quarter of connected Hg-S entities. Further detailed analysis of RMC models for the entire HgS-  
10 As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> glass-forming domain will be published elsewhere.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 **Dual structural role of mercury and the nature of HgS dimorphism in glasses.** Two  
22 composition domains in (HgS)<sub>x</sub>(As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>)<sub>1-x</sub> glasses below (Region 1) and above  $x_0 \approx 0.3$  (Region  
23 2), found using diffraction studies, are consistent with thermal and electronic transport  
24 properties: (i) the absence of crystallization peaks at  $x \leq 0.3$  in a DSC experiment, and (ii) non-  
25 monotonic changes of conductivity parameters with a distinct threshold at  $x_0 \approx 0.3$ .<sup>15</sup>  
26 Crystallization-resistant HgS-poor thioarsenate glasses imply that two-fold coordinated mercury  
27 species forming (HgS<sub>2/2</sub>)<sub>m</sub> monomers ( $m = 1$ ) and oligomeric chains ( $m > 1$ ), the main Hg-related  
28 structural motif in Region 1, are acting as a network-former. The composition trends of the 1.3  
29 Å<sup>-1</sup> pre-peak (Fig. 4) also show that the Hg-S chains do not modify significantly the As<sub>n</sub>S<sub>n</sub> ring  
30 size distribution and population. In other words, the chains easily co-exist with AsS<sub>3/2</sub> pyramids  
31 leaving intact local and intermediate-range ordering in the *g*-As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> host. The dielectric properties  
32 of chain-like mercury sulfide (red cinnabar  $\alpha$ -HgS is an electronic insulator,  $E_g = 2.1$  eV<sup>64</sup>)  
33 explain a conductivity decrease and an activation energy increase for the Region 1 glasses.<sup>15</sup>  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52  
53  
54 Tetrahedral HgS<sub>4/4</sub> species behave as a network modifier. They are present in Region 1  
55 but underlying structural hypothesis suggests a random distribution of HgS<sub>4/4</sub> tetrahedra below  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3  $x_0$  when  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F} < 0.1$  and a formation of agglomerated  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m(\text{HgS}_{4/4})_m$  mixed clusters for the  
4  
5  
6 Region 2 glasses at  $x > x_0$  and  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F} > 0.1$ , Figs. 8 and 10. Similar agglomeration trend was  
7  
8 observed for  $\text{A}_y\text{Se}_{1-y}$  binary selenide glasses, where A = Si, P, Ge, or As.<sup>65</sup> Below  $y_0 \approx$   
9  
10  $0.10 \pm 0.02$ ,  $\text{ASe}_{k/2}$  structural units ( $k = 4$  for Si or Ge, and  $k = 3$  for P or As) are distributed  
11  
12 randomly within chain-like selenium network but start forming interconnected A-related  
13  
14 subnetwork above  $y_0$ . It should also be noted that the HgS molar concentration  $x_0 = 0.30$   
15  
16 corresponds to the Hg atomic concentration  $y_0 = 0.073$ .  
17  
18  
19

20  
21  
22 The rigid  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  tetrahedral fragments are hardly compatible with the hybrid  
23  
24 chain/pyramidal network of the Region 1 glasses and crystallization attempts intensify with  
25  
26 increasing  $x > x_0$  (Fig. 3 in Ref. [15]). The  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  agglomeration also leads to a fragmentation of  
27  
28 the glassy host. A rapid decrease of the  $A_{0A}(x)$  amplitude with simultaneous increase of  $Q_{0A}(x)$   
29  
30 implies a decreasing population of the  $\text{As}_n\text{S}_n$  rings and their diminished size. In contrast, the  
31  
32  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$  monomers and oligomeric chains are less affected by tetrahedral  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  species. Their  
33  
34 population just stops increasing at  $x > x_0$  (Fig. 4) but the intrachain angular distribution,  
35  
36 affecting  $Q_{0B}(x)$ , remains essentially intact.  
37  
38  
39  
40

41  
42 The increasing population of agglomerated  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m(\text{HgS}_{4/4})_m$  mixed subnetwork and  
43  
44 increasing tetrahedral  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  fraction changes the electronic properties. The conductivity starts  
45  
46 increasing with corresponding decrease of the activation energy and optical gap (Fig. 6 in Ref.  
47  
48 [15]) consistent with semiconducting properties of black cubic metacinnabar  $\beta$ -HgS ( $E_g = 0.4$   
49  
50 eV).<sup>66</sup>  
51  
52  
53  
54

55 The question arises what is the reason of mercury sulfide dimorphism in glasses. Taking  
56  
57 into account different thermal stability of trigonal cinnabar  $\alpha$ -HgS (stable below 344 °C) and  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 high-temperature cubic metacinnabar  $\beta$ -HgS, one assumes that in the high-temperature melt  
4 mercury species exist as tetrahedral units  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  (no structural information is available for liquid  
5 HgS). Quenching the HgS- $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  glass-forming melt,  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  tetrahedra are entirely or partially  
6 transformed into  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$  chain fragments. The mercury transformation on cooling implies a  
7 significant distortion of both two-fold and 4-fold coordinated mercury species observed in RMC  
8 modelling and predicted by DFT simulations. The transformation rate seems to be dependent on  
9 stoichiometry relations  $\text{HgS}/\text{As}_2\text{S}_3 = x/(1-x)$  and increases with decreasing  $x$ . Metastable at room  
10 temperature, frozen  $\beta$ -HgS, observed for the  $x = 0.5$  neutron sample, is consistent with this  
11 hypothesis. Further studies of HgS- $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  liquids are necessary to elucidate the nature of mercury  
12 sulfide dimorphism in glasses.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

## 32 CONCLUSIONS

33  
34 Pulsed neutron and high-energy X-ray diffraction of mercury thioarsenate glasses  
35  $(\text{HgS})_x(\text{As}_2\text{S}_3)_{1-x}$ ,  $0.0 \leq x \leq 0.5$ , combined with DFT simulation of four-fold mercury species and  
36 RMC modelling of high-resolution diffraction data were used to unveil short- and intermediate-  
37 range ordering of these exciting vitreous materials of practical importance. Mercury sulfide  
38 appears to be dimorphous over the investigated composition range. The main structural motif  
39 consists of  $(\text{HgS}_{2/2})_m$  monomers ( $m = 1$ ) and oligomeric chains ( $2 \leq m \leq 6$ ) forming a hybrid Hg-  
40 S chain/As-S pyramidal network. The two-fold coordinated mercury is thus a network former.  
41 The population of 4-fold coordinated  $\text{HgS}_{4/4}$  minorities increases with  $x$  but even at the glass-  
42 forming limit,  $x = 0.5$ , the fraction of four-fold coordinated mercury is below 50%, i.e.,  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F} \approx$   
43 0.3. The highly distorted tetrahedral mercury species behave as a network modifier. Two  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 composition domains have been found in the HgS-As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> glasses with a threshold concentration  
4  
5  $x_0 \approx 0.3$ . In mercury-poor glasses (Region 1,  $x < x_0$ ), the HgS<sub>4/4</sub> units seem to be distributed  
6  
7 randomly in the hybrid network and do not affect structural, thermal or electronic properties. An  
8  
9 agglomerated mixed (HgS<sub>2/2</sub>)<sub>m</sub>(HgS<sub>4/4</sub>)<sub>m</sub> subnetwork is formed in HgS-rich vitreous alloys  
10  
11 (Region 2,  $x > x_0$ ,  $f_{\text{Hg}}^{4F} > 0.1$ ), leading to a fragmentation of the host network, reduced thermal  
12  
13 stability and crystallization as well as changes in electronic properties. The nature of mercury  
14  
15 sulfide dimorphism in glasses appears to be related to a polyamorphic transformation during  
16  
17 glass synthesis and quenching. Further studies of high-temperature HgS-As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> liquids will give a  
18  
19 detailed and definitive answer.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

## 25 26 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### 27 28 29 **Supporting Information**

30  
31  
32 DSC traces and small-angle neutron scattering intensity for homogeneous  
33  
34 (HgS)<sub>0.4</sub>(As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>)<sub>0.6</sub> and phase-separated AsS<sub>5</sub> glasses (Figure S1)

35  
36  
37 The low- $Q$  pre-peaks isolated from the difference structure factors  $\Delta S(Q)$  without As-Hg  
38  
39 or Hg-Hg correlations for the  $x = 0.4$  glass (Figure S2)

40  
41  
42 DFT transformation of an HgS<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron into an HgS<sub>4</sub> square (Figure S3)

43  
44  
45 DFT Raman spectra of Hg-As-S hybrid clusters with two-fold and 4-fold coordinated  
46  
47 mercury (Figure S4)

48  
49  
50 The Supporting Information is available free of charge via the Internet at  
51  
52 <http://pubs.acs.org>.

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60 Instability of an HgS<sub>3</sub> pyramidal unit during DFT geometry optimization (Figure S5)

1  
2  
3 Experimental and simulated neutron pair distribution function for the 0.4HgS-0.6As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>  
4 glass (Figure S6)  
5  
6

7  
8 Distribution of Hg-S and As-S coordination numbers in the RMC model of 0.4HgS-  
9 0.6As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> glass (Figure S7)  
10  
11

12 Characteristic distorted HgS<sub>4/4</sub> units in the 7600 atoms RMC model (Figure S8)  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

## 19 AUTHOR INFORMATION

### 20 21 22 **Corresponding Author**

23  
24  
25 \*E-mail: [bychkov@univ-littoral.fr](mailto:bychkov@univ-littoral.fr)  
26

27  
28 \*Telephone: +33-328-658250  
29

### 30 31 **Author Contributions**

32  
33 The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval  
34 to the final version of the manuscript.  
35  
36  
37

### 38 39 **Notes**

40  
41 The authors declare no competing financial interest.  
42  
43  
44

### 45 46 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

47 This work was supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR, France) under grant No.  
48 ANR-15-ASTR-0016-01. Research conducted at ORNL's Spallation Neutron Source was  
49 sponsored by the Scientific User Facilities Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S.  
50 Department of Energy. Experiments at the ISIS Pulsed Neutron and Muon Source were  
51 supported by a beamtime allocation (RB1510269) from the Science and Technology Facilities  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Council. Work at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory was supported in  
4  
5 part by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. DE-  
6  
7 AC02-06CH1135.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## REFERENCES

(1) Mishima, O.; Calvert L. D.; Whalley R. 'Melting Ice' I at 77 K and 10 kbar: A New Method of Making Amorphous Solids. *Nature* **1984**, 310, 393–395.

(2) Poole, P. H.; Grande, T.; Angell C. A.; McMillan P. F. Polymorphic Phase Transitions in Liquids and Glasses. *Science* **1997**, 275, 322–323.

(3) Katayama, Y.; Mizutani, T.; Utsumi, W.; Shimomura, O.; Yamakata, M.; Funakoshi, K. A First-Order Liquid-Liquid Phase Transition in Phosphorus. *Nature* **2000**, 403, 170–173.

(4) Tulk, C. A.; Benmore, C. J.; Urquidi, J.; Klug, D. D.; Neuefeind, J.; Tomberli, B.; Egelstaff, P. A. Structural Studies of Several Distinct Metastable Forms of Amorphous Ice. *Science* **2002**, 297, 1320–1323.

(5) McMillan, P. F. Polyamorphic Transformations in Liquids and Glasses. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2004**, 14, 1506–1512.

(6) Greaves, G. N.; Wilding, M. C.; Fearn, S.; Langstaff, D.; Kargl, F.; Cox, S.; Van, Q. V.; Majérus, O.; Benmore, C. J.; Weber, R.; et al.; Detection of First-Order Liquid/Liquid Phase Transitions in Yttrium Oxide-Aluminum Oxide Melts. *Science* **2008**, 322, 566–570.

(7) Barnes, A. C.; Skinner, L. B.; Salmon, P. S.; Bytchkov, A.; Pozdnyakova, I.; Farmer, T. O.; Fischer, H. E. Liquid-Liquid Phase Transition in Supercooled Yttria-Alumina. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2009**, 103, 225702.

(8) Stanley, H. E. (Ed.), *Liquid Polymorphism, Advances in Chemical Physics*, Vol. 152; Wiley: Hoboken, N.J., 2013.

(9) Brazhkin, V. V.; Gavriluk, A. G.; Lyapin, A. G.; Timofeev, Yu. A.; Katayama, Y.; Kohara, S. AsS: Bulk Inorganic Molecular-Based Chalcogenide Glass. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2007**, 91, 031912.

1  
2  
3 (10) Brazhkin, V. V.; Katayama, Y.; Kondrin, M. V.; Hattori, T.; Lyapin, A. G.; Saitoh, H.  
4  
5 AsS Melt under Pressure: One Substance, Three Liquids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, 100, 145701.  
6

7  
8 (11) Riley, M. R.; Lucas, P.; Le Coq, D.; Juncker, C.; Boesewetter, D. E.; Collier, J. L.; De  
9  
10 Rosa, D. M.; Katterman, M. E.; Boussard-Plédel, C.; Bureau, B. Lung Cell Fiber Evanescent  
11  
12 Wave Spectroscopic Biosensing of Inhalation Health Hazards. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2006**, 95,  
13  
14 599–612.  
15

16  
17 (12) Wilhelm, A. A.; Boussard-Plédel, C.; Coulombier, Q.; Lucas, J.; Bureau, B.; Lucas, P.  
18  
19 Development of Far-Infrared-Transmitting Te Based Glasses Suitable for Carbon Dioxide  
20  
21 Detection and Space Optics. *Adv. Mater.* **2007**, 19, 3796–3800.  
22  
23

24  
25 (13) Beichman, C.; Gomez, G.; Lo, M.; Masdemont, J.; Romans, L. Searching for Life with  
26  
27 the Terrestrial Planet Finder: Lagrange Point Options for a Formation Flying Interferometer.  
28  
29 *Adv. Space Res.* **2004**, 34, 637–644.  
30

31  
32 (14) Joiner, J.; Yoshida, Y.; Vasilkov, A. P.; Yoshida, Y.; Corp, L. A.; Middleton, E. M. First  
33  
34 Observations of Global and Seasonal Terrestrial Chlorophyll Fluorescence from Space.  
35  
36 *Biogeosciences* **2011**, 8, 637–651.  
37

38  
39 (15) Kassem, M.; Khaoulani, S.; Cuisset, A.; Le Coq, D.; Masselin P.; Bychkov, E. Mercury  
40  
41 Thioarsenate Glasses: A Hybrid Chain/Pyramidal Network. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, 4, 49236–49246.  
42

43  
44 (16) Zallen, R.; Lucovsky, G.; Taylor, W.; Pinczuk, A.; Burstein, E. Lattice Vibrations in  
45  
46 Trigonal HgS. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1970**, 1, 4058–4070.  
47

48  
49 (17) Imano, W.; Simpson, C. T.; Becker, W. M.; Ramdas, A. K. Resonant Raman Effect in  
50  
51 Cinnabar. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1980**, 21, 634–642.  
52

53  
54 (18) Szuszkiewicz, W.; Witkowska, B.; Jouanne, M.; Balkanski, M. Raman Spectroscopy of  
55  
56 Cubic  $\text{Hg}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{S}$ . *Mater. Sci. Forum* **1995**, 182–184, 711–714.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (19) Sears, V. F. Neutron Scattering Lengths and Cross Sections. *Neutron News* **1992**, 3, 26–  
4  
5  
6 37.

7  
8 (20) Benmore, C. J.; Soper, A. K. *A Guide to Performing Experiments on the Small Angle*  
9  
10 *Neutron Diffractometer for Amorphous and Liquid Samples at ISIS*, Rutherford-Appleton  
11  
12 Laboratory Report, 1998.

13  
14 (21) Hannon, A. C. Results on Disordered Materials from the GEneral Materials  
15  
16 Diffractometer, GEM, at ISIS. *Nucl. Instr. Methods A* **2005**, 551, 88–107.

17  
18 (22) Hannon, A. C.; Howells, W. S.; Soper, A. K. ATLAS: A Suite of Programs for the  
19  
20 Analysis of Time-of-Flight Neutron Diffraction Data from Liquid and Amorphous Samples. *Inst.*  
21  
22 *Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1990**, 107, 193–211.

23  
24 (23) Neufeind, J.; Feyngenson, M.; Carruth, J.; Hoffmann, R.; Chipley, K. K. The Nanoscale  
25  
26 Ordered MAterials Diffractometer NOMAD at the Spallation Neutron Source SNS. *Nucl. Instr.*  
27  
28 *Methods B* **2012**, 287, 68–75.

29  
30 (24) Bytchkov, A.; Cuello, G. J.; Kohara, S.; Benmore, C. J.; Price, D. L.; Bychkov, E.  
31  
32 Unraveling the Atomic Structure of Ge-rich Sulfide Glasses. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, 15,  
33  
34 8487–8494.

35  
36 (25) Alderman, O. L. G.; Liška, M.; Macháček, J.; Benmore, C. J.; Lin, A.; Tamalonis, A.;  
37  
38 Weber, J. K. R. Temperature-Driven Structural Transitions in Molten Sodium Borates  
39  
40 Na<sub>2</sub>O–B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: X-ray Diffraction, Thermodynamic Modeling, and Implications for Topological  
41  
42 Constraint Theory. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, 120, 553–560.

43  
44 (26) Hammersley, A. P.; Svensson, S. O.; Hanfland, M.; Fitch, A. N.; Häusermann, D. Two-  
45  
46 Dimensional Detector Software: From Real Detector to Idealised Image or Two-Theta Scan.  
47  
48 *High Pressure Res.* **1996**, 14, 235–248.



1  
2  
3 (27) Wagner, C. N. J. Direct Methods for the Determination of Atomic-Scale Structure of  
4 Amorphous Solids (X-ray, Electron, and Neutron Scattering). *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 1978, **31**, 1–  
5  
6  
7  
8 40.

9  
10 (28) Skinner, L. B.; Benmore, C. J.; Parise, J. B. Area Detector Corrections for High Quality  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Synchrotron X-ray Structure Factor Measurements. *Nuclear Instr. Methods A* **2012**, 662, 61–70.

(29) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman,  
J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; et al. *Gaussian 09, Revision*  
*D.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(30) Cuisset, A.; Hindle, F.; Laureyns, J.; Bychkov, E. Structural Analysis of  
 $x\text{CsCl}(1-x)\text{Ga}_2\text{S}_3$  Glasses by Means of DFT Calculations and Raman Spectroscopy. *J. Raman*  
*Spectrosc.* **2010**, 41, 1050–1058.

(31) Becke, A. D. Density-Functional Thermochemistry. III. The Role of Exact Exchange. *J.*  
*Chem. Phys.* **1993**, 98, 5648–5653.

(32) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy  
Formula into a Functional of the Electron Density. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*  
**1988**, 37, 785–789.

(33) Feller, D. The Role of Databases in Support of Computational Chemistry Calculations. *J.*  
*Comput. Chem.* **1996**, 17, 1571–1586.

(34) Peterson, K. A.; Figgen, D.; Goll, E.; Stoll, H.; Dolg, M. Systematically Convergent  
Basis Sets with Relativistic Pseudopotentials. II. Small-Core Pseudopotentials and Correlation  
Consistent Basis Sets for the Post-d Group 16–18 Elements. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, 119, 11113.

1  
2  
3 (35) Gereben, O.; Jóvári, P.; Temleitner, L.; Pusztai, L. A New Version of the RMC++  
4 Reverse Monte Carlo Programme, Aimed at Investigating the Structure of Covalent Glasses. *J.*  
5 *Optoelectr. Adv. Mater.* **2007**, *9*, 3021–3027.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 (36) Gereben, O.; Pusztai, L. Extension of the Invariant Environment Refinement Technique  
11 + Reverse Monte Carlo Method of Structural Modelling for Interpreting Experimental Structure  
12 Factors: the Cases of Amorphous Silicon, Phosphorus, and Liquid Argon. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**,  
13 135, 084111.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19  
20 (37) McGreevy, R. L.; Pusztai, L. Reverse Monte Carlo Simulation: A New Technique for the  
21 Determination of Disordered Structures. *Mol. Simul.* **1988**, *1*, 359–367.  
22  
23

24 (38) Gereben, O.; Pusztai, L. Structure of Amorphous Semiconductors: Reverse Monte Carlo  
25 Studies on a-C, a-Si and a-Ge. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1994**, *50*, 14136–  
26 14143.  
27  
28  
29

30  
31 (39) McGreevy, R. L. Reverse Monte Carlo Modelling. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2001**, *13*,  
32 R877–R913.  
33  
34

35  
36 (40) Cliffe, M. J.; Dove, M. T.; Drabold, D. A.; Goodwin, A. L. Structure Determination of  
37 Disordered Materials from Diffraction Data. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2010**, *104*, 125501.  
38  
39

40 (41) Tsuchihashi, S.; Kawamoto, Y. Properties and Structure of Glasses in the System As-S.  
41 *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **1971**, *5*, 286–305.  
42  
43

44 (42) Leadbetter, A. J.; Apling, A. J. Diffraction Studies of Glass Structure. V. The Structure  
45 of Some Arsenic Chalcogenide Glasses. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **1974**, *15*, 250–268.  
46  
47  
48

49 (43) Zhou, W.; Sayers, D. E.; Paesler, M. A.; Boucher-Fabre, B.; Ma, Q.; Raoux, D. Structure  
50 and Photoinduced Structural Changes in a-As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> Films: A Study by Differential Anomalous X-  
51 ray Scattering. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1993**, *47*, 686–694.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (44) Iwadate, Y.; Hattori, T.; Nishiyama, S.; Fukushima, K.; Mochizuki, Y.; Misawa, M.;  
4  
5 Fukunaga, T. Pulsed Neutron Diffraction Study of the Short Range Structure in Amorphous  
6  
7 Arsenic Chalcogenides. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1999**, 60, 1447–1451.

8  
9  
10 (45) Barney, E. R.; Abdel-Moneim, N. S.; Towey, J. J.; Titman, J.; McCarthy, J. E.; Bookey,  
11  
12 H. T.; Kar, A.; Furnissa, D.; Seddon, A. B. Correlating Structure with Non-Linear Optical  
13  
14 Properties in  $x\text{As}_{40}\text{Se}_{60}\cdot(1-x)\text{As}_{40}\text{S}_{60}$  glasses. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, 17, 6314–6327.

15  
16  
17 (46) Bychkov, E.; Price, D. L. Neutron Diffraction Studies of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{S}-\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  Glasses in the  
18  
19 Percolation and Modifier-Controlled Domains. *Solid State Ionics* **2000**, 136–137, 1041–1048.

20  
21  
22 (47) Bychkov, E.; Miloshova, M.; Price, D. L.; Benmore, C. J.; Lorriaux, A. Short,  
23  
24 Intermediate and Mesoscopic Range Order in Sulfur-Rich Binary Glasses. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*  
25  
26 **2006**, 352, 63–70.

27  
28  
29 (48) Moss S. C.; Price, D. L. Random Packing of Structural Units and the First Sharp  
30  
31 Diffraction Peak in Glasses. In *Physics of Disordered Materials*; Adler, D., Fritzsche, H.,  
32  
33 Ovshinsky, S. R., Eds.; Plenum: New York, 1985; pp. 77–95.

34  
35  
36 (49) Elliott, S. R. Medium-Range Structural Order in Covalent Amorphous Solids. *Nature*  
37  
38 **1991**, 354, 445–452.

39  
40  
41 (50) Salmon, P. S. Real Space Manifestation of the First Sharp Diffraction Peak in the  
42  
43 Structure Factor of Liquid and Glassy Materials. *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **1994**, 445, 351–  
44  
45 365.

46  
47  
48 (51) Mullen, D. J. E.; Nowacki, W. Refinement of the Crystal Structures of Realgar, AsS and  
49  
50 Orpiment,  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$ . *Z. Kristallogr.* **1972**, 136, 48–65.

1  
2  
3 (52) Simdyankin, S. I.; Elliott, S. R.; Hajnal, Z.; Niehaus, T. A.; Frauenheim, Th. Simulation  
4 of Physical Properties of the Chalcogenide Glass  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  using a Density-Functional-Based Tight-  
5 Binding Method. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2004**, 69, 144202.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 (53) Schleid, T.; Lauxmann, P.; Schneck, C. Roentgenographische Einkristalluntersuchungen  
11 an alpha-HgS (Zinnober). *Z. Kristallogr.* **1999**, 16, 95.  
12  
13

14  
15 (54) Rodic, D.; Spasojevic, V.; Bajorek, A.; Onnerud, P. Similarity of Structure Properties of  
16  $\text{Hg}_{1-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{S}$  and  $\text{Cd}_{1-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{S}$  (Structure Properties of HgMnS and CdMnS). *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*  
17 **1996**, 152, 159–164.  
18  
19

20  
21 (55) Lorch, E. Neutron Diffraction by Germania, Silica and Radiation-Damaged Silica  
22 Glasses. *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **1969**, 2, 229–237.  
23  
24

25  
26 (56) Susman, S.; Volin, K. J.; Montague, D. G.; Price, D. L. The Structure of Vitreous and  
27 Liquid  $\text{GeSe}_2$ : A Neutron Diffraction Study. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **1990**, 125, 168–180.  
28  
29

30  
31 (57) Le Roux, S.; Jund, P. Ring Statistics Analysis of Topological Networks: New Approach  
32 and Application to Amorphous  $\text{GeS}_2$  and  $\text{SiO}_2$  Systems. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **2010**, 49, 70–83.  
33  
34

35  
36 (58) Kohara, S.; Ohno, H.; Tabaka, M.; Usuki, T.; Morita, H.; Suzuya, K.; Akola, J.; Pusztai,  
37 L. Lead Silicate Glasses: Binary Network-Former Glasses with Large Amounts of Free Volume.  
38 *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2010**, 82, 134209.  
39  
40

41  
42 (59) Tucker, M. G.; Keen, D. A.; Evans, J. S. O.; Dove, M. T. Local Structure in  $\text{ZrW}_2\text{O}_8$   
43 from Neutron Total Scattering. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2007**, 19, 335215.  
44  
45

46  
47 (60) Zeidler, A.; Salmon, P. S.; Martin, R. A.; Usuki, T.; Mason, P. E.; Cuello, G. J.; Kohara,  
48 S.; Fischer, H. E. Structure of Liquid and Glassy  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater.*  
49 *Phys.* **2010**, 82, 104208.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (61) Bouzid, A.; Pizzey, K. J.; Zeidler, A.; Ori, G.; Boero, M.; Massobrio, C.; Klotz, S.;  
4 Fischer, H. E.; Bull, C. L.; Salmon, P. S. Pressure-Induced Structural Changes in the Network-  
5 Forming Isostatic Glass GeSe<sub>4</sub>: An Investigation by Neutron Diffraction and First-Principles  
6 Molecular Dynamics. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2016**, 93, 014202.  
7  
8

9  
10  
11 (62) The  $\angle$ S-Hg-S Angles with S Atoms in *Cis*-position for a HgS<sub>4</sub> Square are called for  
12 Short '*Cis*-angles' and those with S Species in *Trans*-position as '*Trans*-angles'. The *Cis*-angles  
13 are equal to  $\pi/2$  and the *Trans*-angles are  $\pi$  for a Square of D<sub>4h</sub> Symmetry, see also Fig. 9(a) and  
14 Fig. S3 (Supporting information).  
15  
16  
17

18  
19 (63) The Bound Mercury Fraction  $F_{\text{Hg}}(r)$  is Defined as a Fraction of Mercury Species having  
20 at Least one Hg Nearest or Distant Neighbor at the Distance  $r$ .  
21  
22

23 (64) Berger, L. I. *Semiconductor Materials*, CRC press: New York, 1997.  
24  
25

26  
27 (65) Bychkov, E.; Benmore, C. J.; Price, D. L. Compositional Changes of the First Sharp  
28 Diffraction Peak in Binary Selenide Glasses. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2005**,  
29 72, 172107.  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 (66) Mahapatra, A. K., Dash, A. K.  $\alpha$ -HgS Nanocrystals: Synthesis, Structure and Optical  
35 Properties. *Physica E* **2006**, 35, 9–15.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## The TOC Graphic

