



HAL
open science

Quantum gravity without gravitons, as dark energy inflow.

Marco Fedi

► **To cite this version:**

| Marco Fedi. Quantum gravity without gravitons, as dark energy inflow.. 2016. hal-01423134v4

HAL Id: hal-01423134

<https://hal.science/hal-01423134v4>

Preprint submitted on 13 Mar 2017 (v4), last revised 19 Jul 2017 (v6)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Quantum gravity without gravitons as dark energy inflow

Marco Fedi¹

Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR), Rome, Italy

March 13, 2017

Abstract

It is assumed that dark energy (69.1% of what constitutes our Universe²) is quantized and behaves as a superfluid. We hypothesize that the flux described in Gauss's law for gravity is real and corresponds to dark energy absorption into massive particles, driven by spin. A quantum potential consequently arises from the pressure gradient around massive bodies, allowing us to obtain a formula for quantum gravity without resorting to gravitons. The Newtonian gravitational constant disappears and Einstein field equations, along with their metrics, are presented in a modified form, highlighting the role of dark energy's fluid dynamics, indicated as the quantum cause of the relativistic effects predicted in special and general relativity.

We also analyze the consequences of light propagation through dark energy, we explain gravitational waves as negative pressure waves propagating through dark energy and Lorentz factor as the rheogram of dark energy. Considering the unifying and clarifying faculty of an approach to relativity and cosmology based on the hydrodynamics of superfluid dark energy, it seems appropriate to continue with further investigations. Experimental verifications are eventually suggested.

keywords: gravity; dark energy; quantum theory; special and general relativity; nature of light; gravitational waves.

Introduction

We start from the hypothesis of a Universe full of superfluid, quantized dark energy, in which hydrodynamic perturbations would occur. The idea of a superfluid Universe is not new and was for instance investigated by K. Huang [1] at MIT. In Sec. 1, gravity is described as absorption of dark energy's quanta into massive particles, driven by spin. We show how to obtain a formula for quantum gravity without resorting to gravitons, following the hydrodynamic approach, thanks to which the gravitational constant disappears. We derive then the quantum potential (§1.1) and we modify the Einstein field equations and their solutions (§1.2) without resorting to any constant, nor to the speed of light (c), as it would depend on dark energy's density and isentropic compressibility. In fact, in section 2, since light propagates in a Universe full of dark energy and not in real vacuum, we wonder whether a photon could actually be a spin-1 phonon propagating through dark energy and we show that this analogy is sufficiently strong to describe the speed of light as the speed of sound through dark energy (31) and the electromagnetic field of a photon as the periodic excitation of dark energy's quanta during the propagation of the phonon, due to its spin. The consequences of such an approach to the interpretation of Hubble's law are discussed (§2.2) and the recently detected gravitational

¹ marco.fedi.caruso@gmail.com

² data from the Planck Space Observatory, ESA.

waves are also described as pressure waves propagating through dark energy (§2.1). Einstein's energy-matter formula is observed putting in evidence the role of dark energy (§2.3). We proceed discussing other consequences of dark energy fluid dynamics and showing that Lorentz factor could actually correspond to the rheogram of dark energy (§2.4). In section 3, we discuss a fluid equivalence principle which equates the gravitational field (as incoming flow of dark energy) to motion through dark energy, explaining time dilation of special relativity as a phenomenon actually caused by gravity, seen as the origin of any relativistic effect. In Sec. 4, we eventually discuss the interesting consequences of dark energy absorption into massive particles without provoking mass increase.

1. From classical gravity to quantum gravity without gravitons

Data from the Planck Space Observatory suggest that dark energy fills up the Universe, constituting 69.1% of its mass-energy. Since any form of energy has to be quantized, we suppose that also dark energy is and that this ubiquitous sea of dark quanta, partially (an additional 25.9%) condensed in dark matter, acts as a superfluid medium, producing fluid dynamic phenomena in the Universe and in the world of elementary particles. The most important would be gravity. Gauss's law for gravity,

$$\oiint_{\partial V} \mathbf{g} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = -4\pi GM \quad (1)$$

describes the gravitational field as an incoming flux. We assume that this flux is real and caused by the absorption of superfluid dark energy into massive particles, driven by their spin. Indeed, we believe that fundamental massive particles are superfluid vortices [1-7] of dark energy's quanta and according to Salvatore Esposito [3], who cites Recami and Salesi [2], we think that the quantum potential

$$Q = -\frac{1}{2} m \vec{v}_s^2 - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \vec{v}_s \quad (2)$$

of a particle totally arises from its internal motion $\vec{v}_s \times \vec{s}$, where

$$\vec{v}_s = \frac{1}{2m} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \rho = \frac{1}{2m} \frac{\nabla R^2}{R^2}, \quad (3)$$

putting $\hbar = 1$, and \vec{s} is the direction of spin. A superfluid horn-torus-shaped vortex evolving from a vortex ring would actually possess spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ as fermions and all the information of the particle would be contained on its surface, also explaining some instances of the holographic principle. Since even in superfluids viscosity is never exactly zero, the vortex would attract the surrounding quanta, causing pressure variation and gravitational acceleration in the environment. Below we show how the quantum potential in (3) connects with that of quantum gravity. Mass conservation despite energy absorption would be possible thanks to the emission of virtual photons, as discussed in §4, marking the nexus between gravity and electromagnetism.

Let us analyze below the mechanism of SQG and its consequences.

If a body radially absorbed the fluid in which it is immersed, it would consequently attract other bodies around it, which are immersed in the same fluid, due to a force originating from a pressure gradient. A

similar hypothesis of hydrodynamic gravity was proposed by Cahill [8], based on quantum foam absorption, a condition that we do not consider possible for several reasons³




Figure 1: Analogy between curved space-time in a Flamm's paraboloid (a), corresponding to the Schwarzschild solution, and inflow of superfluid dark energy, represented as water flowing into a bell-mouth spillway (b). An object floating in the area around the spillway would be attracted toward the site of absorption because of a force generated by a pressure gradient in a *flat* space-time.

CFD simulations confirm that in the case of a body absorbing a fluid medium, a pressure gradient (Fig. 2) arises around it. The absorption provokes an attractive force which obeys Gauss's law for gravity and the Schwarzschild solution. Refinement of the computational grid and domain enlargement helped to reduce the curvature of the flow lines up to a virtually radial flow.




Figure 2: Pressure gradient around a spherical body absorbing the fluid in which it is immersed. As verified in CFD simulations, the consequent attractive force mathematically equals Gauss's and Newton's laws for gravity and is compatible with the Schwarzschild solution. Refining the grid (on the right) leads to a perfectly radial symmetry. For a rotating body compatibility with the Lense-Thirring precession occurs.

We know that a pressure gradient generates a force, whose acceleration is expressed as

$$\vec{a} = -\vec{\nabla} \frac{P}{\rho}. \quad (4)$$

³ particle-antiparticle pairs immediately annihilate. Though the absorption occurred before the annihilation, a fermion as an electron could not absorb another electron. Moreover, the free positron would annihilate with a third electron.

In our case, (4) corresponds to the gravitational acceleration caused by dark energy absorption, then we have

$$\vec{g} = -\vec{\nabla} \frac{P_d}{\rho_d}, \quad (5)$$

where P_d and ρ_d are, respectively, pressure and mass density of dark energy.

By using (5) in Newton's second law, we can therefore write a hydrodynamic formula for universal gravitation, based on dark energy's fluid dynamics

$$\vec{F}_g = -m\vec{\nabla} \frac{P_d}{\rho_d}. \quad (6)$$

Since we assumed that dark energy is a quantized superfluid whose absorption into massive particles is driven by spin, (6) has to be the formula of quantum gravity. Below, we will derive the quantum potential.

In SQG, the classical gravitational potential (φ) corresponds to the ratio pressure to density expressed in (5), as a hydrodynamic gravitational potential φ_h :

$$\varphi = -G \frac{M}{r} \left[\frac{\text{m}^2}{\text{s}^2} \right] \Leftrightarrow \varphi_h = -\frac{P_d}{\rho_d} \left[\frac{\text{m}^2}{\text{s}^2} \right], \quad (7)$$

where the gravitational constant G disappears. This is a good hint, since the role of the Newtonian constant is simply that of adjusting calculations and units of measure in a non-quantum formula. It is also interesting to note that the units correspond to Gray (Gy), i.e. to the unit used for energy absorption (J/kg). In this case, absorption of dark energy.

We see that the same hydrodynamic expression is used for the equation of state of cosmology: $w = P / \rho$. By considering a Universe full of superfluid dark energy, that approach acquires greater significance.

1.1. Deriving the quantum potential

Let us consider the gravitational acceleration, due to the absorption, of N dark energy's quanta (DEQ) contained in a unit volume.

Their mass will be $m_v = mN$, where m is the mass of a single quantum. We multiply (7) by m_v , in order to obtain for the system a hydrodynamic quantum potential in units of energy

$$Q_\varphi = -m_v \frac{P_d}{\rho_d}. \quad (8)$$

According to Fick's law (9), a flow of DEQ is directed toward the region of lower density (Fig.2) around the absorbing body, producing a current of quanta in the sea of superfluid dark energy. Here the gravitational flow appears as a sort of pilot wave which drives other particles through the field, agreeing with Bohm's postulate [21] of a physical system comprising a wave propagating in space and time along with a point particle which moves under the steering of that wave. We can therefore express the pressure in (8) as $P = J \cdot u$, where

$$J = D \frac{d\rho}{dx} = \rho \cdot u \quad (9)$$

with D being Fick's diffusion coefficient with units m^2/s . By also considering the De Broglie relations, we therefore observe the following identities

$$Q_\phi = -m_v \frac{P_d}{\rho_d} = m_v \frac{J \cdot u}{\rho} = p \cdot u = -i\hbar \nabla u \Rightarrow -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + U \quad (10)$$

where $p = mu = \hbar k \Rightarrow -i\hbar \nabla$ represents the momentum, $m = m_v / N$ is the mass of a quantum and \hat{H} is the Hamiltonian operator of the Schrödinger equation (SE), indicating the energy of the system. Both energy operators, kinetic, $-(\hbar^2 / 2m) \nabla^2$, and potential, U , are expression of the same total gravitational quantum energy of the system, where potential energy gradually converts into kinetic energy as DEQ approach the absorption site. Let us now observe the SE with its quantum potential. We define the probability density for unit volume

$$\rho(r, t) = R(r, t)^2 = |\Psi(r, t)|^2 = \Psi^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (11)$$

being $R(r, t)$ the amplitude of the wavefunction $\Psi(r, t)$ and r the spatial coordinate. By rewriting the SE in polar form with $\psi = R e^{iS/\hbar}$ and S/\hbar as the phase of the wavefunction, we obtain two coupled equations. That arising from the real part of the SE reads

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = - \left[\frac{(\nabla S)^2}{2m} + U + Q \right] = H \quad (12)$$

where Q is the quantum potential. We can equate the Hamiltonian operator in (10) with that in (12) since in the considered system DEQ do not possess kinetic energy, $(\nabla S)^2 / 2m$, different from that due to the gravitational acceleration (seen as a quantum hydrodynamic phenomenon). We have

$$Q_\phi = H = - \left[U + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right]. \quad (13)$$

When DEQ reach the absorption site, potential energy (U) has been totally converted into kinetic energy. In that case

$$Q_\phi = - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla^2 \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}. \quad (14)$$

In our hypothesis, this quantum potential is connected with that at the source of quantum gravity in (3) due to a positive, non-zero viscosity of DEQ. We therefore look at the spin of massive particles as at the engine of quantum gravity.

We also notice that Eq.(14) has the same mathematical form of the quantum pressure tensor of Madelung's hydrodynamic approach to quantum mechanics [21].

In the case of a non-free body in a gravitational field, the energy, and our quantum potential, totally corresponds to potential energy. In fact, from (7), we observe

$$U = -m \frac{GM}{r} + k = -m \frac{P_d}{\rho_d} = Q_\varphi, \quad k = 0 \quad (15)$$

being U the gravitational potential energy of classical physics in its general formulation and putting $k = 0$ for a point at infinity.

Having defined the quantum potential, a quantum force, $\vec{F}_Q = -\vec{\nabla} Q_\varphi$, exists, which brings back to (6), now seen as the formula of quantum gravity

$$\vec{F}_Q = -\vec{\nabla} Q_\varphi = -m \vec{\nabla} \frac{P_d}{\rho_d} = \vec{F}_{gQ}. \quad (16)$$

It is now clear that in this approach we don't refer to curved space-time but to accelerated fluid space, due to dark energy's hydrodynamics, whose effects, as discussed below, are the same of those described in Einstein's relativity. Such analogies let us wonder whether curved space-time rather hides a fluid dynamic nature of space.

Since we can now indicate the hydrodynamic potential (7) as a gravitational potential of quantum origin we change the subscript into $\varphi_Q = \varphi_h$. From (7), we see that the disappeared Newtonian gravitational constant now reads

$$G = \varphi \frac{r}{M} = -\frac{P_d}{\rho_d} \frac{r}{M} = \varphi_Q \frac{r}{M} = const. \quad (17)$$

The differential form of Gauss's law for gravity (i.e. Poisson's equation) becomes

$$\nabla^2 \varphi_Q = 4\pi \varphi_Q \frac{r}{M} \rho_m = \frac{3\varphi_Q}{r^2} \quad (18)$$

where $\rho_m = \frac{3}{4} \frac{M}{\pi r^3}$ is mass density. We don't write the minus sign at the beginning of each member since contained in φ_Q (7).

1.2. Einstein field equations and their solutions in SQG

Let us now consider Einstein's field equations (EFE)

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (19)$$

In SQG the space-time curvature is substituted by dark energy's hydrodynamics but general relativity's differential geometry can still be a useful tool "as if space were curved". Below, the EFE are shown in a modified form by replacing:

a) the gravitational constant G from (17), considering a positive φ_Q

b) vacuum's density with dark energy's density when $g \rightarrow 0$, that is $\rho_{vac} = \rho_{d_0}$

c) the identity $1/c^2 = \beta_d \rho_{d_0} = \delta$, from (31), see §2, where β_d is dark energy isentropic compressibility

Therefore seeing that Einstein's constant becomes

$$\kappa = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} = \frac{8\pi\varphi_Q r}{M} \delta^2 \quad (20)$$

and the cosmological constant consequently reads

$$\Lambda = \rho_{d_0} \kappa = \rho_{d_0} \frac{8\pi\varphi_Q r \delta^2}{M}. \quad (21)$$

The field equations assume then the following quantum form, dominated by dark energy's fluid dynamics

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{8\pi\varphi_Q r \delta^2}{M} \rho_{d_0} g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi\varphi_Q r \delta^2}{M} T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (22)$$

The role of dark energy was already present in the meaning of the cosmological constant but it is here extended to the whole meaning of the field equations. We note that the stress-energy tensor is fully compatible with a quantum fluid dynamic interpretation which considers superfluid dark energy, being T^{00} its density; $\rho_{d_0} = \rho_{vac}$; T^{ii} its pressure, P_d ; $T^{0i} = T^{i0}$ the momentum density and being shear stress (cf. §2.4) and momentum flux the remaining components. We can indeed recall the single-fluid model of cosmological perturbation theory [23] and, reducing to the simpler case of a perfect fluid, hydrodynamically define the energy-momentum tensor as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (P + \rho) \frac{u_\mu u_\nu}{c^2} - P g_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow (P_d + \rho_d) u_\mu u_\nu \delta - P \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (23)$$

where u is the 4-velocity vector and the arrow leads to the case in which dark energy is the fluid, light speed is expressed as the speed of sound through dark energy (31) and the metric tensor reduces to flat metric since curved space-time is actually mimed by dark energy hydrodynamics. So, by replacing the flat metric form of (23) into (19), the latter says that Einstein's curved space-time corresponds to dark energy hydrodynamics in a flat space-time. In fact, both Ricci tensor

$$R_{ij} = R_{ikj}^k = \partial_l \Gamma_{ji}^l - \partial \Gamma_{li}^l + \Gamma_{l\lambda}^l \Gamma_{ji}^\lambda - \Gamma_{j\lambda}^l \Gamma_{li}^\lambda \quad (24)$$

and the scalar curvature

$$S = 2g^{ab} (\Gamma_{a[b,c]}^c + \Gamma_{a[b}^d \Gamma_{c]d}^c), \quad (25)$$

forming the Einstein tensor, $G_{\mu\nu}$ (19), are defined through the Christoffel symbols, which are themselves expressed by the metric tensor, $\Gamma_{cab} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_b g_{ca} + \partial_a g_{cb} - \partial_c g_{ab})$. Thus, once $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, the curvature in the field equations vanishes.

Now, by substituting G and c as above, we can rewrite the solutions to EFE in quantum hydrodynamic form. The line element for Schwarzschild metric will read

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = (1 - 2\varphi_Q \delta)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) - \delta^{-1} + 2\varphi_Q dt^2, \quad (26)$$

where θ and ϕ are coordinates of colatitude and longitude and φ_Q corresponds, as above, to the quantum gravitational potential.

Kerr metric becomes:

$$\delta^{-1} d\tau^2 = \left(\delta^{-1} - \frac{2\varphi_Q r^2}{\varrho^2} \right) dt^2 - \frac{\varrho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 - \varrho^2 d\theta^2 - \left(r^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\delta} + \frac{2\varphi_Q r^2}{\varrho^2} \gamma^2 \sin^2 \theta \right) \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 + \frac{4\varphi_Q r^2}{\varrho^2} \gamma \sin^2 \theta dt d\phi \quad (27)$$

by defining for brevity $\gamma = \frac{J}{Mc^2} = \frac{J\delta}{M}$, being J the angular momentum; $\varrho^2 = r^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\delta} \cos^2 \theta$ and $\Delta = r^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\delta} - 2\varphi_Q r^2 \delta$. We note that introducing dark energy's hydrodynamics, the Schwarzschild radius reads $R_S = 2\varphi_Q r \delta$.

Switching to Reissner-Nordström metric in spherical coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) , we see that

$$\delta^{-1} d\tau^2 = \left(\delta^{-1} - 2\varphi_Q + \frac{Q^2 \delta \varphi_Q}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r M} \right) dt^2 - \left(\frac{1}{\delta^{-1} - 2\varphi_Q + \frac{Q^2 \delta \varphi_Q}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r M}} \right) \delta^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (28)$$

where Q is the charge, ϵ_0 vacuum (dark energy) permittivity and $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$.

Finally, we see the line element of Kerr-Newman metric in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates as follows

$$\delta^{-1} d\tau^2 = - \left(\frac{dr^2}{\Delta} + d\theta^2 \right) \varrho \cos^2 \theta + \left(\sqrt{\delta^{-1}} dt - \xi \sqrt{\delta} \sin^2 \theta d\phi \right)^2 \frac{\Delta}{\varrho \cos^2 \theta} - (\varrho d\phi - \xi dt)^2 \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\varrho \cos^2 \theta} \quad (29)$$

where the following length-scales are introduced for brevity: $\xi = \frac{J}{M}$; $\varrho = r^2 + \delta \xi^2$ and

$$\Delta = \varrho - 2\varphi_Q r^2 \delta + \frac{Q^2 \varphi_Q r \delta^2}{4\pi \epsilon_0 M}.$$

2. Light propagation through dark energy

Light doesn't travel through a real vacuum. We don't refer to quantum vacuum⁴ [9, 10] or quantum foam [11] but to the fact that dark energy permeates the Universe. Light therefore propagates through dark energy. Equations show that a photon could actually be a special spin-1 phonon. A pulse propagating through superfluid dark energy. Waves existing in nature would reduce to only one type and photon's electromagnetic field could be interpreted as a periodic excitation of DEQ.

Let's consider the formula indicating the speed of a mechanical wave through a fluid, $a = \sqrt{K / \rho}$, in which K is the bulk modulus, referring in our case to dark energy compressibility. By putting $\beta_s = 1 / K$ as isentropic compressibility, we see

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_s \rho}} \quad (30)$$

If we consider $\beta_s = \beta_d$ as dark energy's isentropic compressibility, ρ_{d_0} as its density and c as the speed of the pulse, we get

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_d \rho_{d_0}}} \quad (31)$$

expressing the speed of a photon as a phonon through dark energy, mathematically analogous to $c = 1 / \sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}$ as resulting from Maxwell's equations. Amendola and Tsujikawa [22], writing the perturbed energy-momentum tensor for a perfect fluid applied to cosmology, also resort to the speed of sound through the fluid as adiabatic sound speed $c_{s(a)} \equiv \sqrt{\dot{P} / \dot{\rho}}$.

This means that light's EM field would quantum mechanically arise from the periodic excitation of DEQ during the propagation of the phonon. This explains why the physical vacuum (a false vacuum) possesses a given permittivity (ϵ_0) and permeability (μ_0) as other materials. From (31), we see that the speed of light would simply be the speed of sound through dark energy, a *sound* that we perceive through our eyes. “*Wie? Hör' ich das Licht?*”, wondered Tristan⁵.

We also have to consider light propagation as a phenomenon of second sound through dark energy, as photons transmit thermal energy. After all, we know that phonons as well are bosons [12], have wave-particle duality [13, 14], obey the doppler effect, are symmetric under exchange, $|\alpha, \beta\rangle = |\beta, \alpha\rangle$, can be created by repeatedly applying the creation operator, b^\dagger , share the same momentum of photons, $p_{ph} \equiv \hbar k = h / \lambda$, with $k = 2\pi / \lambda$, can produce photoelectric effect and Compton scattering thanks to their momentum, can possess spin [15], can form squeezed coherent states and can interact via parametric down

⁴ where particle-antiparticle pairs continuously form and annihilate probably corresponding to stochastic fluid dynamic perturbations of superfluid dark energy, i.e. superfluid quantum vortices.

⁵ “What? Is it the light I hear?”, R.Wagner, Tristan und Isolde, Act 3, Scene 2.

conversion [16]. Both for photons and phonons, $\hbar\omega/2$ is vacuum's (we say dark energy's) contribution, where the harmonic oscillator eigenvalues for the mode ω_k (k is the wave number) are:

$$E_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \hbar \omega_k \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (32)$$

If a photon is a pulse through superfluid dark energy and the gravitational field is an incoming flow of such medium, then light cannot have the same speed when travelling upward or downward parallel to the gravitational field lines. We need to vertically place a Michelson interferometer to verify that. Indeed, the original premise of the Michelson-Morley test was in this case wrong, since the ether (dark energy) is not stationary but radially directed toward the center of the Earth, independently of its motion about the Sun. The only influence on this flow comes from a celestial body's rotation and corresponds to the Lense-Thirring precession described in general relativity, in which the rotation of the body bends the gravitational field (in this case the flow of dark energy), as a rotating garden sprinkler bends its jet of water. Tests with a vertically placed Michelson interferometer gave positive result [17]. We also suggest to measure the speed of light (through the time of flight) without reflexion, along a single trajectory parallel to the gravitational field ($\vec{c} \parallel \vec{g}$), after having synchronized the clocks used to determine when the measurement begins and ends. In this case, the measured speed should be lower than c when the beam is directed upward or, vice versa, faster. In case of reflexion of the beam the discrepancies would be leveled.

Also, through other CFD simulations, we could verify that any other effect described in special and general relativity is explainable through the laws of fluid dynamics within a superfluid sea of dark energy, instead of resorting to curved space-time. The word dynamics already contains time in itself.

For instance, the gravitational lensing would not be caused by curved space-time but by the fact that light travels through a flowing medium, since dark energy flows toward massive bodies (5), (16), as stars. We observe the same mechanism in classical fluid dynamics when the wind deflects a sound. The inflow of dark energy would also keep a body orbitating about another one.

2.1. Gravitational waves

Assuming gravity as absorption of superfluid dark energy, gravitational waves [18] arise as negative pressure waves generated by periodic variations (e.g. due to a quadrupole) in the absorption intensity oriented toward a given point (for instance toward LIGO's mirrors [19]). Gravitational waves would be negative pressure waves propagating through dark energy. Again, no curved space-time is needed to explain what experimentally observed. Let us consider a supposed space-time deformation as a wave with polarization \times

$$h_{\times} = -\frac{1}{R} \frac{G^2}{c^4} \frac{4m_1 m_2}{r} (\cos \theta) \sin \left(2\omega \left(t - \frac{R}{c} \right) \right). \quad (33)$$

By substituting from (17) and (31) we see that the gravitational wave is a pressure oscillation propagating through dark energy

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\times} = & -\frac{1}{R} \left(2 \frac{P_d}{\rho_d} \beta_d \rho_{d_0} \right)^2 r m_2 (\cos \theta) \sin \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{P_d}{\rho_d} \left(1 + \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right)} \left(t - R \sqrt{\beta_d \rho_{d_0}} \right) \right) = \\ & -\frac{1}{R} (2Q_{\varphi} \delta)^2 \frac{r}{m_1 m_2} (\cos \theta) \sin \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{r^2} Q_{\varphi} \frac{m_1 + m_2}{m_1 m_2}} \left(t - R \sqrt{\delta} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

while the polarization h_+ reads

$$h_+ = -\frac{1}{R} (Q_\phi \delta)^2 \frac{2r}{m_1 m_2} (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \cos \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{r^2} Q_\phi \frac{m_1 + m_2}{m_1 m_2}} (t - R\sqrt{\delta}) \right), \quad (35)$$

where R is the distance from the observer, t the elapsed time, θ the angle between the perpendicular to the plane of the orbit and the line of sight of the observer, r the radius of the quadrupole, $\sqrt{(1/r^2) Q_\phi (m_1 + m_2) / m_1 m_2} = \omega$ the angular frequency of the quadrupole, obtained by using the identity (7) in the Newtonian formula for constant angular velocity of a circular orbit $\sqrt{G(m_1 + m_2) / r^3}$, Q_ϕ is positive and $\sqrt{\beta_d \rho_{d_0}} = \sqrt{\delta}$ corresponds to c^{-1} (31), which accounts for the speed of the gravitational wave as speed of light, since in both cases we observe a pressure wave through dark energy though, in the case of gravitational waves, with the distinction of a negative pulse whose frequency depends on the quadrupole. As shown in (4)(5) the pressure variation corresponds to an acceleration, acting in this case on LIGO's test masses, if we take into account the recent tests.

2.2. Hubble's law

Valid the analogy photon-phonon and taken into account that no superfluid has actually zero viscosity, Hubble's law in the form

$$z = \frac{H_0 D}{c} \quad (36)$$

or by highlighting dark energy's role, $z = H_0 D \sqrt{\delta}$, would be compatible with energy loss (\Rightarrow redshift) of photons, due to the minimal viscosity of dark energy and proportional to the distance: the further a galaxy, the greater the detected redshift, without accelerated expansion of the Universe. According to $E = hc / \lambda$, the energy decrease would cause a greater wavelength, hence a redshift, while the speed of light would remain unaffected.

Lemaître's hypothesis, $v \propto D$, used to interpret the empirical Hubble's law, would not be, therefore, correct in attributing the cause of the observed redshift to the recessional velocity of the galaxies. This phenomenon is different from the theorization of tired light provided by Zwicky [20]. The known objections to tired light vanish in this approach, since a redshift due to a quasi-zero, uniform viscosity of dark energy throughout the cosmos: a) would not exhibit blurring, since no Compton scattering occurs in this hypothesis, b) for the same reason, it would admit the same measurement in any wavelength-band and c) it would follow the empirical Hubble's law. Moreover, we don't need to explain cosmological time-dilation, since it was introduced within a competing hypothesis (the expansion of the Universe). We have to add, that the observed redshift might arise as

$$z_{obs} = z_a + z_\mu \quad (37)$$

i.e. due to concomitant causes, where z_a is the redshift due to the expansion (in this case weaker as we think) of the Universe and z_μ the component of redshift due to energy loss caused by dark energy's non-zero, positive viscosity.

2.3. Mass-energy formula

By calculating the speed of light as described in Eq. (31) and by using for brevity $1/c^2 = \beta_d \rho_{d_0} = \delta$, the mass-energy formula $E = mc^2$ can be observed in the form

$$E = \frac{m}{\delta}, \quad (38)$$

To use a German expression, here dark energy appears as the *Urenergie* in the Universe. Whereas using the speed of light (c) doesn't clarify the real meaning of the mass-energy formula, since such speed arises from more significant, fundamental parameters of dark energy, as its density and its isentropic compressibility (§2). If a certain mass were annihilated by a corresponding anti-mass, the total excitation of DEQ would correspond to a given number of photons, i.e. of phonons (§2) propagating through the superfluid sea of dark energy and occurring as a result of the destruction of superfluid vortices which possess conflicting spin (particle-antiparticle pair). The resulting thermal energy, propagated by the produced phonons, can be seen as second sound through dark energy.

2.4. Lorentz factor as the rheogram of dark energy

Dark energy's quanta which fill up the Universe as a suspension in space, would cause a non-newtonian, dilatant behavior of dark energy. However, given its extremely low density, the dilatancy of this granular, dark substance would be detectable only at drastically high shear stress. This would explain why particles which are accelerated in synchrotrons towards the speed of light encounter an increasing non-linear resistance (currently interpreted as relativistic mass increase), as indicated by Lorentz factor, where $\beta = v/c$ is here described as the ratio between the total flow velocity of dark energy (u_{tot}) which a body is subject to, as expressed in the fluid equivalence principle (40), and that of a pulse through dark energy, $u_p = c = \sqrt{\delta^{-1}}$, (31). Of course we assume it is not possible to exceed the speed of sound through a dilatant fluid, unless to crack it.




Figure 3: Lorentz factor as dark energy's rheogram.

The derivative of the arcsine indicates the impossibility of exceeding the speed of a pulse through dark energy because of its dilatant response as speed and shear stress increase

$$\gamma \equiv \arcsin' \left(\frac{u_{tot}}{u_p} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u_{tot}}{u_p} \right)^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - u_{tot}^2} \delta} \quad (39)$$

where $\delta = \frac{1}{c^2} = \beta_d \rho_{d_0}$ represents the role of dark energy, as a quantum fluid, in Lorentz factor.

Known the velocity field related to dark energy absorption, if we used the total flow velocity u_{tot} (40), instead of the simple translational velocity, Eq. (39), in the form $\Delta t' = \Delta t / \sqrt{1 - (u_{tot} / u_p)^2}$, could also express gravitational time dilation, instead of $\Delta t' = \Delta t / \sqrt{1 - R_s / r}$, as discussed below.

3. Relativistic time dilation and fluid equivalence principle (FEP)

Special relativity (SR) tells us that velocity slows down clocks. General relativity (GR) asserts that also gravity slows them down. Something interesting happens when we remember that we have defined a gravitational field as an incoming flow of dark energy (§1). A body immersed in a gravitational field is subject to that flow. Now, a condition of equivalence arises when a body travels through a stationary fluid, since subject to an apparent flow. In SQG this is the reason why both velocity and gravity slow down clocks, as they are subject to a flow of dark energy which exerts a force. In SR we actually observe an apparent gravitational force opposite to the direction of motion (let us call it drag weight), which increases as the speed of sound through dark energy (i.e. the speed of light) is approached, because of dark energy's dilatancy at extreme shear stress (Fig. 3). We can therefore express a fluid equivalence principle which considers both (direct and apparent) gravitational flows as:

$$\mathbf{u}_{tot} = \mathbf{u}_\varphi + \mathbf{u} \quad (40)$$

where the total flow velocity \mathbf{u}_{tot} of dark energy, which a body is subject to, arises from the sum of the flow velocity due to the gravitational absorption (\mathbf{u}_φ) and the translational velocity (\mathbf{u}) of the body through dark energy.

In this way, relativistic time dilation is reduced to the action of gravity also in SR. And since Lorentz-Fitzgerald contraction depends on time dilation, we can therefore demonstrate that all relativistic effects of special and general relativity are ascribable to the sole action of gravity.

By equating the time dilation formulas of SR and GR we see that translational velocity in SR corresponds to a function of the hydrodynamic gravitational potential (7), as second cosmic velocity, which has to counterbalance the absorption velocity, demonstrating the FEP in Einstein's relativity:

$$\Delta t' = \frac{\Delta t}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} = \frac{\Delta t}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{R_s}{r}}} \quad (41)$$

hence

$$\frac{v^2}{c^2} = \frac{R_s}{r} = \frac{2GM}{c^2 r} \Rightarrow v^2 = \frac{2GM}{r} \quad (42)$$

and

$$v = \sqrt{2rg} = \sqrt{2\varphi} \quad (43)$$

eventually from (7),

$$v = \sqrt{2 \frac{P_d}{\rho_d}} = \sqrt{2\varphi_Q}. \quad (44)$$

4. Mass conservation in SQG and prospect of fundamental interactions unification

The absorption of dark energy into massive particles would cause their mass to progressively increase. This doesn't occur. Since we assumed that the massive particles of the Standard Model are vortices in the superfluid sea of dark energy, according to Helmholtz's second theorem we consider an output for the absorbed energy and we believe it is packed, and emitted, into amounts known as virtual photons, which generate the electrostatic field of charged particles. This mechanism would connect gravity with electromagnetism. In the case of unbound neutral particles, as neutrons, the absorption process would push them to decay and we know, in fact, that unbound neutrons' mean lifetime is 881 s. Dark energy absorption explains in this way the β -decay. On the contrary, bound neutrons in the nucleus can transfer the exceeding DEQ to protons and be stable: this transfer corresponds to the gluon flow and hydrodynamically explains the strong interaction. A prediction of SQG would be for instance that of a greater mass of isolated neutrons before they decay, if compared with the mass of bound neutrons in a nucleus, as well as a faster decay of neutral pions ($8.4 \cdot 10^{-17}$ s) if compared with charged pions ($2.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ s), as it actually occurs.

Since the emission of virtual photons is quantized and we assume that each of them is made up of several DEQ, charged particles would briefly increase their mass before the emission of the following virtual photon obeying a sawtooth function. This energy loop would explain the hypothesized phenomenon of Zitterbewegung. Not by chance, stochastic electrodynamics explains Zitterbewegung as the interaction of a charged particle with the zero-point field (with dark energy, in our case).

5. Conclusion

Considering gravity as the absorption of superfluid, quantized dark energy into massive particles, we have obtained a formula for quantum gravity (16) without resorting to gravitons. The Newtonian gravitational constant disappears (7) and since the photon-phonon analogy (§2) seems sufficiently strong, we replaced all constants in Einstein's field equations and in their solutions with the corresponding, constituting quantities, showing the central role of dark energy, whose hydrodynamics replaces the idea of curved space-time. The recently detected gravitational waves are explained as negative pressure waves propagating through dark energy.

Thanks to a fluid equivalence principle, the cause of all relativistic phenomena is reduced to gravity as dark energy absorption.

Since light propagates through dark energy, it should change its speed if traveling parallel to a gravitational field, as probably observed in a test run by M. Grusenick [17] using a vertically placed Michelson interferometer. In fact, the premise of the standard Michelson-Morley test would be wrong, as in SQG the ether wind (the flux of dark energy) corresponds to the gravitational field and is independent of Earth's motion. The non-zero viscosity of dark energy would cause energy loss of light, avoiding the historical objections to the idea of tired light in explaining the empirical Hubble's law without accelerated expansion of the Universe. Although dark energy is a superfluid, for extreme shear stress its quantum, granular nature would determine a dilatant behavior, explaining why no physical body can overcome the speed of a pulse through dark energy, i.e. the speed of light. In this case relativistic mass increase would be actually the expression of a drag weight, i.e. of a gravitational force oriented against the direction of motion.

Eventually, the issue of mass conservation in SQG suggests how to complete the unification of the fundamental interactions (§4).

It seems therefore worthwhile to perform further tests to continue with the unifying approach of a superfluid Universe. For instance, a measurement of the speed of light (as time of flight) without reflexion, along a single trajectory parallel to the gravitational field lines ($\vec{c} \parallel \vec{g}$), after having synchronized the clocks used to determine when the measurement begins and ends, should be done. The measured speed should be lower than c when the beam is directed upward or, vice versa, faster. In case of reflexion of the beam the theorized discrepancies would be otherwise leveled. On the side of particle physics, subtle mass-energy variations in neutral particles, just before they decay, should be investigated.

References

1. Huang K., (2016) A Superfluid Universe, World Scientific Publishing Company.
2. Recami E., Salesi G., (1998) Phys. Rev. A57 98
3. Esposito S., (1999), On the Role of Spin in Quantum Mechanics, arXiv:quant-ph/9902019v1
4. Sbitnev V. I. (2016), Hydrodynamics of the physical vacuum: II. Vorticity dynamics. Found. of Physics.; 1-15. URL: <http://rdcu.be/kdon>. DOI: 10.1007/s10701-015-9985-3
5. G.E.Volovik (2012), Topology of Quantum Vacuum, arXiv:1111.4627v6
6. H.Marmanis (1998), The kinetic theory of point vortices, The Royal Society, vol. 454
7. L.F.Gomez, K.R.Ferguson, J.P. Cryan et al., Shapes and vorticities of superfluid helium nanodroplets, Science, Vol. 345 n. 6199 pp. 906-909
8. Cahill R. T. (2004), Gravity as quantum foam in-flow. 2004. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0307003>
9. P. W. Milonni (1994), The Quantum Vacuum (Academic Press, San Diego)
10. V. M. Mostepanenko and N. N. Trunov (1997), The Casimir Effect and its Applications (Clarendon, Oxford)
11. Wheeler J. A., Ford K. Geons, Black Holes (1995), and Quantum Foam. Norton & Co.

12. Feynman, Richard P. (1982). *Statistical Mechanics, A Set of Lectures*. Reading, Massachusetts: The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company.
13. Einstein, A. (1909). Über die Entwicklung unserer Anschauungen über das Wesen und die Konstitution der Strahlung. *Physikalische Zeitschrift*, 10: 817–825
14. Saleh, B. E. A. and Teich, M. C. (2007). *Fundamentals of Photonics*. Wiley
15. Santillán, A.O.; Volke-Sepúlveda, K. (2009), A demonstration of rotating sound waves in free space and the transfer of their angular momentum to matter, *Am. J. Phys.* 77, 209
16. Reiter, D E; et al. (2009). Generation of squeezed phonon states by optical excitation of a quantum dot, *J.Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 193 012121, Institute of Physics.
17. Grusenick M. (2009), Extended Michelson-Morley interferometer experiment. URL (15.02.2017): <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7T0d7o8X2-E>
18. Einstein, A (1918). Über Gravitationswellen, *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften Berlin*.
19. B. P. Abbott (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration) et al. (2016). "Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger". *Physical Review Letters*. 116 (6): 061102. arXiv:1602.03837
20. Zwicky F. (1929), On the Red Shift of Spectral Lines through Interstellar Space. *PNAS*; 15: 773-779.
21. Madelung E. (1927), Quantentheorie in hydrodynamischer Form, *Zeit. F. Phys.* 40
22. Bohm, D. (1952). A suggested Interpretation of the Quantum Theory in Terms of Hidden Variables, I. *Physical Review*. 85 (2)
23. Amendola, L.; Tsujikawa, S. (2010), *Dark Energy - Theory and Observations*, Cambridge University Press, §4.3