



HAL
open science

Connecting few-body inelastic decay to many-body correlations: a weakly coupled impurity in a resonant Fermi gas

Sébastien Laurent, Matthieu Pierce, Marion Delehaye, Tarik Yefsah, Frédéric Chevy, Christophe Salomon

► **To cite this version:**

Sébastien Laurent, Matthieu Pierce, Marion Delehaye, Tarik Yefsah, Frédéric Chevy, et al.. Connecting few-body inelastic decay to many-body correlations: a weakly coupled impurity in a resonant Fermi gas. 2016. hal-01421476

HAL Id: hal-01421476

<https://hal.science/hal-01421476v1>

Preprint submitted on 22 Dec 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Connecting few-body inelastic decay to many-body correlations: a weakly coupled impurity in a resonant Fermi gas

Sébastien Laurent,^{1,*} Matthieu Pierre,¹ Marion Delehaye,^{1,†}
 Tarik Yefsah,¹ Frédéric Chevy,¹ and Christophe Salomon¹

¹Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, ENS-PSL Research University, CNRS,
 UPMC-Sorbonne Universités, Collège de France, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France

We study three-body recombination in an ultracold Bose-Fermi mixture. We first show theoretically that, for weak inter-species coupling, the loss rate is proportional to Tan’s contact. Second, using a ⁷Li/⁶Li mixture we probe the recombination rate in both the thermal and dual superfluid regimes. We find excellent agreement with our model in the BEC-BCS crossover. At unitarity where the fermion-fermion scattering length diverges, we show that the loss rate is proportional the 4/3 power of the fermionic density. Our results demonstrate that impurity-induced losses can be used as a quantitative probe of many-body correlations.

PACS numbers: 34.50.-s, 67.85.Pq

Understanding strongly-correlated quantum many-body systems is one of the most daunting challenges in modern physics. Thanks to a high degree of control and tunability, quantum gases have emerged as a versatile platform for the exploration of a broad variety of many-body phenomena [1], such as the crossover from Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) to Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) superfluidity [2], quantum magnetism [3] or many-body localization [4]. At ultra-low temperatures, atomic vapors are metastable systems and are plagued by three-body recombination which represents a severe limitation for the study of some dense interacting systems. A prominent example is the strongly correlated Bose gas [5, 6] that bears the prospect of bridging the gap between dilute quantum gases and liquid Helium. However inelastic losses can also be turned into an advantage. For instance, they can be used to control the state of a system through Zeno effect [7–9], or serve as a probe of non-trivial few-body states, as demonstrated by the observation of Efimov trimers, originally predicted in nuclear physics, but observed for the first time in Bose gases as resonances in three-body loss spectra [10].

In this Letter, we study inelastic losses in a mixture of spinless bosons and spin 1/2 fermions with tunable interaction. We show that when the Bose-Fermi coupling is weak, the loss rate can be related to the fermionic contact parameter, a universal quantity overarching between microscopic and macroscopic properties of a many-body system with zero-range interactions [3, 11, 13]. We first check our prediction on the strongly attractive side of the fermionic Feshbach resonance, where we recover known results on atom-dimer inelastic scattering. We then turn to the unitary limit where the fermion-fermion scattering length is infinite. We demonstrate both theo-




FIG. 1: Sketch of inelastic decay of an impurity immersed in a tunable Fermi gas. On the BEC side, \uparrow and \downarrow fermions are paired in tightly bound molecules and the decay mechanism is a two-body process involving the impurity (green disk) and a molecule. The loss rate scales as $1/a_{\text{ff}}$ [14, 15]. On the BCS side, the loss occurs through a three body-process and it scales as a_{ff}^2 in the mean-field limit [14]. The extrapolation of these two asymptotic behaviors towards the strongly correlated regime yields contradictory results (grey area).

retically and experimentally – with a ⁶Li/⁷Li Fermi-Bose mixture – that the bosons decay at a rate proportional to $n_f^{4/3}$, where n_f is the fermion density. More generally our work shows that the decay of an impurity immersed in a strongly correlated many-body system is a quantitative probe of its quantum correlations.

Inelastic decay of an impurity inside a two-component Fermi gas has been studied previously both in the weakly and strongly attractive limits of the BEC-BCS crossover [14, 16–18]. When the fermion-fermion interaction is weak, the fermions behave almost as isolated

*Electronic address: slaurent@lkb.ens.fr

†Present Address: FEMTO-ST UMR CNRS 6174 - UFC/ENSMM/UTBM, 26 chemin de l’Epitaphe, 25030 Besançon, France

particles and the recombination can be described as a three-body process involving one spin-up (\uparrow), one spin-down (\downarrow) fermion and the impurity (a boson in our experiments). In this case, the impurity/boson density n_b follows a rate equation $\dot{n}_b = -L_3 n_f^2 n_b$, with $L_3 \propto a_{\text{ff}}^2$, where a_{ff} is the fermion-fermion scattering length [14, 15, 17]. In contrast, on the strongly attractive side of the Feshbach resonance, the fermions form halo-dimers of size $\simeq a_{\text{ff}}$ and the relaxation occurs through two-body processes between one such molecule and one boson. In this case the rate equation for bosons reads $\dot{n}_b = -L_2 n_m n_b$, where $n_m = n_f/2$ is the molecule density. Far from the Feshbach resonance the two-body loss rate scales as $1/a_{\text{ff}}$ as a consequence of the enhanced overlap of the halo-dimer wavefunction with the deeply bound product molecules [14, 15]. However, these two scalings give rise to a paradox in the central region of the BEC-BCS crossover. Indeed, as depicted in Fig.1, the extrapolation towards unitarity leads to contradictory results depending on whether we approach the resonance from the BEC or the BCS side. In the former case, one would predict an increasingly long lifetime at unitarity while it tends to a vanishingly small value in the latter case. This paradox has a fundamental origin: these two scalings are obtained in the dilute limit where the recombination can be described by a well-defined few-body process, whereas this hypothesis fails in the strongly correlated regime where $n_f |a_{\text{ff}}|^3 \gg 1$. There, it is not possible to single out two fermions from the whole many-body system. Instead, the inelastic loss involving a boson and two fermions is tied to the correlations of the whole ensemble. A first hint towards reconciling these two behaviors near unitarity is to assume that they saturate for $a_{\text{ff}} \simeq n_f^{-1/3}$, yielding the same scaling $\dot{n}_b \propto n_f^{4/3} n_b$.

The three asymptotic regimes – BEC, BCS, and unitary – were obtained using different theoretical approaches and we now show that, using Tan’s contact, they can be unified within the same framework. The recombination rate is proportional to the probability of having the three particles within a distance b from each other, where b is the typical size of the deeply-bound molecule formed during the collision [2, 19]. Take $\rho_3(\mathbf{r}_\uparrow, \mathbf{r}_\downarrow, \mathbf{r}_b)$ the three-body probability distribution of the system. When the bosons are weakly coupled to the fermions, we can factor it as $\rho_3(\mathbf{r}_\uparrow, \mathbf{r}_\downarrow, \mathbf{r}_b) = \rho_f(\mathbf{r}_\uparrow, \mathbf{r}_\downarrow) \rho_b(\mathbf{r}_b)$. Integrating over the positions of the three atoms we readily see that the three-body loss rate is proportional to Tan’s Contact parameter C_2 of the fermions that gives the probability of having two fermions close to each-other [11]. C_2 is calculated using the equation of state of the system thanks to the adiabatic-sweep theorem

$$C_2 = -\frac{4\pi m_f}{\hbar^2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial(1/a_{\text{ff}})}. \quad (1)$$

where m_f is the fermion mass and F is the free-energy of the fermionic gas per unit-volume [3, 13]. The asymptotic expressions of C_2 in the BEC, BCS and unitary regimes

	BEC	Unitary	BCS
C_2	$8\pi \frac{n_m}{a_{\text{ff}}}$	$\frac{2\zeta}{5\pi} k_F^4$	$4\pi^2 a_{\text{ff}}^2 n_f^2$
$\frac{\dot{n}_b}{n_b}$	$\propto \frac{n_m}{a_{\text{ff}}} [14]$	$\propto n_f^{4/3}$	$\propto a_{\text{ff}}^2 n_f^2 [14]$

TABLE I: Scaling of Tan’s contact [11] and of the boson/fermion mixture loss rate in the BEC-BCS crossover. Both scalings are identical in the weakly and strongly attractive limits. As $k_F = (3\pi^2 n_f)^{1/3}$, at unitarity C_2 scales as $n_f^{4/3}$. ζ is a dimensionless constant, $\zeta = 0.87(3)$ [22, 25].

are listed in Table I. In the deep BEC limit, the free energy is dominated by the binding energy of the molecules $\hbar^2/m_f a_{\text{ff}}^2$; in the BCS regime C_2 is derived using the mean-field approximation [11]. At unitarity, the expression of the contact stems from the absence of any length scale other than the inter-particle distance. The dimensionless parameter $\zeta = 0.87(3)$ was determined both theoretically [21] and experimentally [22–24]. Expressions listed in Table I confirm that the contact parameter and the bosonic loss rate follow the same scalings with density and scattering length.

We support this relationship between inelastic losses and Tan’s contact by considering a microscopic model where the recombination is described by a three-body Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_3 = & \int d^3\mathbf{r}_b d^3\mathbf{r}_\uparrow d^3\mathbf{r}_\downarrow g(\mathbf{r}_b, \mathbf{r}_\uparrow, \mathbf{r}_\downarrow) \times \\ & \hat{\Psi}_m^\dagger \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_\uparrow + \mathbf{r}_\downarrow}{2} \right) \hat{\Psi}_b^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_b) \hat{\Psi}_b(\mathbf{r}_b) \hat{\Psi}_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}_\uparrow) \hat{\Psi}_\downarrow(\mathbf{r}_\downarrow) \quad (2) \\ & + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\Psi}_\alpha$ is the field operator for the species α and the coupling g takes significant values only when the three particles are within a distance b [41]. Assuming that b is the smallest distance scale in the problem and that this Hamiltonian can be treated within Born’s approximation we find that (see [26])

$$\dot{n}_b = -\gamma C_2 n_b, \quad (3)$$

The constant γ depends on the coupling g and describes the coupling to deeply bound non-resonant states; hence γ has essentially no variation with magnetic field across the fermionic Feshbach resonance.

Eq. (3) is the main prediction of this Letter and we explore the consequences of this equation by measuring the lifetime of an ultracold Fermi-Bose mixture of ^6Li and ^7Li atoms. Our experimental setup is described in [27]. The ^6Li atoms are prepared in a spin mixture \uparrow, \downarrow of $|F = 1/2, m_F = \pm 1/2\rangle$ for which there is a broad Feshbach resonance at 832 G [4]. The ^7Li atoms are transferred into the $|F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$ featuring two Feshbach resonances, a narrow one at 845.5 G and a broad one at 893.7 G [26]. The scattering length between bosons and fermions is $a_{\text{bf}} = 40.8 a_0$ and is equal for the \uparrow, \downarrow states.




FIG. 2: Remaining fraction of bosons (blue symbols) and fermions (red symbols, inset) after a 1 s and 1.5 s waiting time for spin-balanced (filled symbols), resp. 90% polarized (open symbols) fermions. The blue dash-dotted (red dashed, inset) curve is a coupled loss model describing the competition between boson fermion-dimer decay ($\propto 1/a_{\text{ff}}$) and dimer-dimer decay ($\propto 1/a_{\text{ff}}^{2.55}$) [2, 26]. The blue-shaded area represents the 1σ fluctuations for the remaining fraction of bosons with spin-polarized fermions. The initial atom numbers are 3×10^5 for ${}^6\text{Li}$ and 1.5×10^5 for ${}^7\text{Li}$ at a temperature $T \simeq 1.6 \mu\text{K}$ with trap frequencies $\nu_z = 26 \text{ Hz}$ and $\nu_r = 2.0 \text{ kHz}$.

It can be considered constant in the magnetic field range that we explored, 680-832 G. The atoms are confined in a hybrid magnetic/optical trap and are evaporated at the ${}^6\text{Li}$ Feshbach resonance until we reach dual superfluidity or any target temperature. We ramp the magnetic field to an adjustable value in 200 ms and wait for a variable time t . We then measure the atom numbers of the two species by in situ imaging or after time of flight.

We first show that the dominant boson loss mechanism on the BEC side of the resonance involves one boson, one fermion \uparrow , and one fermion \downarrow . This is easily done by comparing the boson losses for spin-balanced and spin-polarized fermionic samples. Fig. 2 displays the remaining fraction of bosons and fermions after a waiting time of 1 s for balanced fermions and 1.5 s for spin-polarized fermions with 90% polarization. We observe that the losses for high spin polarization are strongly suppressed indicating that fermions of both spin components are required to eliminate one boson.

Second we show that the losses in the weakly interacting regime $na_{\text{ff}}^3 \ll 1$ (deep BEC side of the resonance, 720 G) are proportional to the fraction of molecules in the sample, $\eta = 2N_m/(N_f + 2N_m)$. This fraction is varied by changing the temperature from $1 \mu\text{K}$ to $4 \mu\text{K}$ and ${}^6\text{Li}$ densities from $2 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ to $1.0 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. In these temperature and density ranges, both gases are well described by Maxwell-Boltzmann position and velocity distributions. The molecular fraction is calculated using the law of mass-action [7, 26] and is assumed to be time-independent owing to the high formation rate of halo-dimers ($\simeq \hbar a_{\text{ff}}^4/m_f$) [30]. We extract the inter-




FIG. 3: a) Boson-fermion loss rate vs molecule fraction. Circles: experimental data. The vertical error bars represent the statistical errors for L_{bf} from fitting the loss curves. The horizontal error bars represent the statistical errors on the molecule fraction due to ${}^6\text{Li}$ number fluctuations. The red dashed line is a linear fit to the data. b) Boson-dimer loss rate vs inverse scattering length. The blue dot-dashed line is a linear fit to the data with $n_f a_{\text{ff}}^3 \leq 0.025$ (black circles), providing $\gamma = 1.17(11) \times 10^{-27} \text{ m}^4 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, see Eq. 3.

species decay rate by fitting the time evolution of the bosonic population

$$\dot{N}_b = -L_{\text{bf}}\langle n_f \rangle N_b - \Gamma_v N_b. \quad (4)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ represents the trap-average, and Γ_v is the one-body residual gas loss rate (0.015 s^{-1}).

The data in Fig. 3a shows that the boson loss-rate is proportional to the molecule fraction of the fermionic cloud. Introducing the boson-fermion dimer molecule loss rate L_{bm} defined by $L_{\text{bm}}\langle n_m \rangle = L_{\text{bf}}\langle n_f \rangle$, we check the proportionality of L_{bm} with $1/a_{\text{ff}}$ predicted in table I by repeating the loss measurements for different magnetic fields in the interval 690-800 G, see Fig. 3b. From a linear fit to the data where interaction effects are negligible ($n_f a_{\text{ff}}^3 \leq 0.025$), we extract the slope $\gamma = 1.17(11) \times 10^{-27} \text{ m}^4 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ entering in Eq. (3).

Since γ doesn't depend on the magnetic field we can




FIG. 4: Boson loss rate versus fermion central density at unitarity, $n_f = n_f(0)$. Circles: experimental data. The red line is the $n_f^{4/3}$ prediction of Eq. (6) without any adjustable parameter. The red shaded area represents the 1σ uncertainty resulting from the error on γ .

now predict the loss rate anywhere in the BEC-BCS crossover using Eq. (3). The strongly interacting unitary regime ($1/a_{\text{ff}} = 0$) is particularly interesting and we measure the boson decay rate at 832 G in the low temperature dual superfluid regime [27]. The mixture is initially composed of about 40×10^3 fully condensed ${}^7\text{Li}$ bosons and 150×10^3 ${}^6\text{Li}$ spin-balanced fermions at a temperature $T \simeq 100$ nK which corresponds to $T/T_{\text{F}} \simeq 0.1$ where T_{F} is the Fermi temperature. At this magnetic field value, the atoms are now closer to the boson Feshbach resonance located at 845.5 G and bosonic three-body losses are no longer negligible. The time dependence of the boson number is then given by

$$\dot{N}_{\text{b}} = -L_{\text{b}}\langle n_{\text{b}}^2 \rangle N_{\text{b}} - \Gamma_{\text{bf}}N_{\text{b}} - \Gamma_{\text{v}}N_{\text{b}}. \quad (5)$$

To extract Γ_{bf} we measure independently L_{b} with a BEC without fermions in the same trap and inject it in Eq. (5), see [26]. We typically have $L_{\text{b}}\langle n_{\text{b}}^2 \rangle = 0.1 - 0.4 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and $L_{\text{b}} = 0.11(1) \times 10^{26} \text{ cm}^6 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ consistent with the model of [6]. Repeating such measurements for different fermion numbers and trap confinement, we now test the expected $n_f^{4/3}$ dependence of the Bose-Fermi loss rate at unitarity (central column in Table I). In this dual superfluid regime, the size of the BEC is much smaller than that of the fermionic superfluid and the BEC will mainly probe the central density region $n_f(r=0)$. However, it is not truly a point-like probe, and introducing the ratio ρ of the Thomas-Fermi radii for bosons and fermions, we obtain the finite size correction for Eq. (3) [26]:

$$\Gamma_{\text{bf}} = \gamma C_2(0) \left(1 - \frac{6}{7}\rho^2\right), \quad (6)$$

where $C_2(0) = \frac{2\zeta}{5\pi\xi} (3\pi^2 n_f(0))^{4/3}$, $\xi = 0.376(4)$ is the Bertsch parameter [32], and the last factor in parenthesis amounts to 0.9. The prediction of Eq. (6) is plotted as a red line in Fig. 4 and is in excellent agreement with our measurements without any adjustable parameter. Alternatively, a power-law fit An^p to the data yields an exponent $p = 1.36(15)$ which confirms the $n_f^{4/3}$ predicted scaling at unitarity. Finally fixing p to $4/3$ provides the coefficient A and a value of the homogeneous contact $\zeta = 0.82(9)$ in excellent agreement with previous measurements, $\zeta = 0.87(3)$ [22, 25]. This demonstrates that impurity losses act as a microscopic probe of many-body correlations.

The bosonic or fermionic nature of the probe is of no importance. Provided the coupling between the impurity and the resonant gas is weak, our method can also be applied to other mixtures. It gives a framework to interpret the experimental data on ${}^6\text{Li}/{}^{40}\text{K}$ [17] and, in particular, to test our prediction on the BCS side of the Feshbach resonance. It can also be applied to the recently observed ${}^6\text{Li}/{}^{174}\text{Yb}$ [33], ${}^6\text{Li}/{}^{41}\text{K}$ [34] and ${}^6\text{Li}/{}^7\text{Li}$ [35] dual-superfluid Bose-Fermi mixtures. Our observation of a loss rate scaling $\propto n_f^{4/3}$ at unitarity is in stark contrast with the generic case n^p , where the integer p is the number of particles involved in the recombination process. A fractional exponent is also predicted to occur for the resonant Bose gas [5, 6].

A first extension of this work is to investigate regimes where $a_{\text{bf}} \simeq a_{\text{ff}} \gg n^{-1/3}$ and Born approximation breaks down. In this case Efimovian features are expected to occur [36, 37]. Second, our method provides a unique microscopic way to measure the contact quasi-locally in a harmonic trap. An important perspective is to determine the homogeneous contact of the unitary Fermi gas at finite temperature, whose behavior is largely debated near the normal-superfluid transition [38]. Our approach can also be extended to the measurement of the three-body contact which is unknown for the two component Fermi gas at unitarity [15, 39, 40].

Acknowledgments

The authors thank G. Shlyapnikov, B. Svistunov and F. Werner for helpful discussions. They acknowledge support from Région Ile de France (DIM IFRAF/NanoK), ANR (Grant SpiFBox) and European Union (ERC Grant ThermoDynaMix).

-
- [1] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger. Many-body physics with ultracold gases. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 80(3):885–964, 2008.
- [2] W. Zwerger, editor. *The BCS-BEC Crossover and the Unitary Fermi Gas*, volume 836 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*. Springer, Berlin, 2012.
- [3] M. Inguscio, W. Ketterle, S. Stringari, and G. Roati, editors. *Quantum Matter at Ultralow Temperatures*, volume 191 of *Proceedings of the International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi"*. IOS Press, Varenna, 2016.
- [4] Jae-yoon Choi, Sebastian Hild, Johannes Zeiher, Peter Schauf, Antonio Rubio-Abadal, Tarik Yefsah, Vedika Khemani, David A Huse, Immanuel Bloch, and Christian Gross. Exploring the many-body localization transition in two dimensions. *Science*, 352:1547, 2016.
- [5] Philip Makotyn, Catherine E Klauss, David L Goldberger, EA Cornell, and Deborah S Jin. Universal dynamics of a degenerate unitary Bose gas. *Nature Physics*, 10(2):116–119, 2014.
- [6] F. Chevy and C. Salomon. Strongly correlated Bose gases. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 49(19):192001, 2016.
- [7] Niels Syassen, Dominik M Bauer, Matthias Lettner, Thomas Volz, Daniel Dietze, Juan J Garcia-Ripoll, J Ignacio Cirac, Gerhard Rempe, and Stephan Dürr. Strong dissipation inhibits losses and induces correlations in cold molecular gases. *Science*, 320(5881):1329–1331, 2008.
- [8] A.J. Daley, J.M. Taylor, S. Diehl, M. Baranov, and P. Zoller. Atomic three-body loss as a dynamical three-body interaction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 102(4):040402, 2009.
- [9] Bihui Zhu, Bryce Gadway, Michael Foss-Feig, Johannes Schachenmayer, ML Wall, Kaden RA Hazzard, Bo Yan, Steven A Moses, Jacob P Covey, Deborah S Jin, et al. Suppressing the loss of ultracold molecules via the continuous quantum zeno effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 112(7):070404, 2014.
- [10] T. Kraemer, M. Mark, P. Waldburger, JG Danzl, C. Chin, B. Engeser, AD Lange, K. Pilch, A. Jaakkola, H.C. Nägerl, et al. Evidence for Efimov quantum states in an ultracold gas of caesium atoms. *Nature*, 440(7082):315–318, 2006.
- [11] Shina Tan. Energetics of a strongly correlated fermi gas. *Annals of Physics*, 323(12):2952 – 2970, 2008.
- [12] S. Tan. Large momentum part of a strongly correlated Fermi gas. *Ann. Phys.*, 323(12):2971–2986, 2008.
- [13] Maxim Olshanii and Vanja Dunjko. Short-distance correlation properties of the lieb-liniger system and momentum distributions of trapped one-dimensional atomic gases. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 91(9):090401, 2003.
- [14] J.P. DIncao and B.D. Esry. Suppression of molecular decay in ultracold gases without Fermi statistics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100(16):163201, 2008.
- [15] J.J. Zirbel, K.-K. Ni, S. Ospelkaus, J.P. DIncao, C.E. Wieman, J. Ye, and D.S. Jin. Collisional stability of fermionic Feshbach molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100(14):143201, 2008.
- [16] J P D’Incao, Chris H Greene, and B D Esry. The short-range three-body phase and other issues impacting the observation of efimov physics in ultracold quantum gases. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 42(4):044016, 2009.
- [17] F. M. Spiegelhalter, A. Trenkwalder, D. Naik, G. Hendl, F. Schreck, and R. Grimm. Collisional Stability of ^{40}K Immersed in a Strongly Interacting Fermi Gas of ^6Li . *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 103(22):223203, Nov 2009.
- [18] Alexander Y. Khramov, Anders H. Hansen, Alan O. Jamison, William H. Dowd, and Subhadeep Gupta. Dynamics of feshbach molecules in an ultracold three-component mixture. *Phys. Rev. A*, 86:032705, Sep 2012.
- [19] Y. Kagan, B. V. Svistunov, and G. V. Shlyapnikov. Effect of Bose condensation on inelastic processes in gases. *Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters*, 42:209, August 1985.
- [20] D.S. Petrov, C. Salomon, and G.V. Shlyapnikov. Weakly bound dimers of fermionic atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 93(9):090404, 2004.
- [21] G.E. Astrakharchik, J. Boronat, J. Casulleras, and S. Giorgini. Equation of state of a Fermi gas in the BEC-BCS crossover: A quantum Monte Carlo study. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 93(20):200404, 2004.
- [22] Nir Navon, Sylvain Nascimbène, Frédéric Chevy, and Christophe Salomon. The equation of state of a low-temperature fermi gas with tunable interactions. *Science*, 328(5979):729–732, 2010.
- [23] Yoav Sagi, Tara E Drake, Rabin Paudel, and Deborah S Jin. Measurement of the homogeneous contact of a unitary fermi gas. *Physical review letters*, 109(22):220402, 2012.
- [24] E.D. Kuhnle, S. Hoinka, P. Dyke, H. Hu, P. Hannaford, and C.J. Vale. Temperature dependence of the universal contact parameter in a unitary Fermi gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 106(17):170402, 2011.
- [25] Sascha Hoinka, Marcus Lingham, Kristian Fenech, Hui Hu, Chris J. Vale, Joaquín E. Drut, and Stefano Gandolfi. Precise determination of the structure factor and contact in a unitary fermi gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 110:055305, Jan 2013.
- [26] See supplementary information.
- [27] I Ferrier-Barbut, M. Delehaye, S. Laurent, A.T. Grier, M. Pierce, B.S Rem, F. Chevy, and C. Salomon. A mixture of Bose and Fermi superfluids. *Science*, 345:1035–1038, 2014.
- [28] G. Zürn, T. Lompe, A. N. Wenz, S. Jochim, P. S. Julienne, and J. M. Hutson. Precise Characterization of ^6Li Feshbach Resonances Using Trap-Sideband-Resolved RF Spectroscopy of Weakly Bound Molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 110(13):135301, 2013.
- [29] Cheng Chin and Rudolf Grimm. Thermal equilibrium and efficient evaporation of an ultracold atom-molecule mixture. *Phys. Rev. A*, 69:033612, Mar 2004.
- [30] P. O. Fedichev, M. W. Reynolds, and G. V. Shlyapnikov. Three-body recombination of ultracold atoms to a weakly bound s level. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 77:2921–2924, Sep 1996.
- [31] Zav Shotan, Olga Machtay, Servaas Kokkelmans, and Lev Khaykovich. Three-body recombination at vanishing scattering lengths in an ultracold bose gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 113:053202, Jul 2014.
- [32] Mark JH Ku, Ariel T Sommer, Lawrence W Cheuk, and Martin W Zwierlein. Revealing the superfluid lambda transition in the universal thermodynamics of a unitary fermi gas. *Science*, 335(6068):563–567, 2012.
- [33] Richard Roy, Alaina Green, Ryan Bowler, and Subhadeep Gupta. Two-element mixture of bose and fermi

- superfluids. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.03221*, 2016.
- [34] Xing-Can Yao, Hao-Ze Chen, Yu-Ping Wu, Xiang-Pei Liu, Xiao-Qiong Wang, Xiao Jiang, Youjin Deng, Yu-Ao Chen, and Jian-Wei Pan. Observation of two-species vortex lattices in a mixture of mass-imbalance bose and fermi superfluids. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01717*, 2016.
- [35] T. Ikemachi, A. Ito, Y Aratake, Y. Chen, M. Koashi, M. Kuwata-Gonomaki, and M. Horikoshi. All-optical production of a superfluid bose-fermi mixture of ^6Li and ^7Li . *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.09404*, 2016.
- [36] Eric Braaten, H.-W. Hammer, Daekyoung Kang, and Lucas Platter. Three-body recombination of ^6Li atoms with large negative scattering lengths. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 103:073202, Aug 2009.
- [37] T. B. Ottenstein, T. Lompe, M. Kohnen, A. N. Wenz, and S. Jochim. Collisional stability of a three-component degenerate fermi gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 101:203202, Nov 2008.
- [38] Yoav Sagi, Tara E. Drake, Rabin Paudel, and Deborah S. Jin. Measurement of the homogeneous contact of a unitary fermi gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 109:220402, Nov 2012.
- [39] R. J. Fletcher, R. Lopes, J. Man, N. Navon, R. P. Smith, M. W. Zwierlein, and Z. Hadzibabic. Two and Three-body Contacts in the Unitary Bose Gas. *ArXiv e-prints*, 2016.
- [40] X. Du, Y. Zhang, and J. E. Thomas. Inelastic collisions of a fermi gas in the bec-bcs crossover. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 102:250402, Jun 2009.
- [41] Note that this Hamiltonian describes the formation of fermion-fermion molecules but our conclusion remains valid in the Bose-Fermi case.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

I. MICROSCOPIC THREE-BODY LOSS MODEL

We derive here the relationship between the contact and the bosonic loss rate using a microscopic model. We consider the three-body Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_3 = & \int d^3\mathbf{r}_1 d^3\mathbf{r}_2 d^3\mathbf{r}_3 g(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1, \boldsymbol{\rho}_2) \times \\ & \hat{\Psi}_M^\dagger \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2}{2} \right) \hat{\Psi}_3^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_3) \hat{\Psi}_3(\mathbf{r}_3) \hat{\Psi}_2(\mathbf{r}_2) \hat{\Psi}_1(\mathbf{r}_1) \quad (\text{S7}) \\ & + \text{hc}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\Psi}_\alpha$ are the field operators for the atoms ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$) and the molecule ($\alpha = M$). $g(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1, \boldsymbol{\rho}_2)$ is a kernel describing the molecule formation and is expressed in term of Jacobi's coordinates $\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 = \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_2 = \mathbf{r}_3 - (\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2)/2$. Its characteristic width is of the order of the typical size b of deeply bound molecules (\simeq Van Der Waals length) and is assumed to be much smaller than the other relevant length scales of the problem (scattering length and inter-particle distance). Finally we assume for the sake of simplicity that all atomic species ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$) have the same mass.

We now recast \hat{H}_3 in momentum space by taking

$$\hat{\Psi}_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \hat{a}_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) \quad (\text{S8})$$

where Ω is a quantization volume. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_3 = & \frac{1}{\Omega^{3/2}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 \\ \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}'_3}} \tilde{g}((\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)/2, (\mathbf{k}'_3 - \mathbf{k}_3)) \times \\ & \hat{a}_M(\mathbf{k}_M)^\dagger \hat{a}_3(\mathbf{k}'_3)^\dagger \hat{a}_3(\mathbf{k}_3) \hat{a}_2(\mathbf{k}_2) \hat{a}_1(\mathbf{k}_1) + \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S9})$$

with

$$\tilde{g}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}') = \int d^3\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 d^3\boldsymbol{\rho}_2 e^{-i(\mathbf{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 + \mathbf{q}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_2)} g(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1, \boldsymbol{\rho}_2),$$

and $\mathbf{k}_M = \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}'_3$ owing to momentum conservation.

We treat the 1-2 atoms as a strongly correlated many-body system and we neglect their interactions with the 3-atoms or the molecules. We consider the initial state $|i\rangle = |0\rangle_{12} \otimes |\mathbf{k}_3\rangle_3 \otimes |\emptyset\rangle_M$ where $|0\rangle_{12}$ is the ground-state of the many-body 1-2 system, $|\mathbf{k}_3\rangle_3$ corresponds to a single 3-particle with momentum \mathbf{k}_3 and $|\emptyset\rangle_M$ is the molecule-vacuum. Assuming that the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_3 can be treated perturbatively, the molecule formation-rate Γ is given by Fermi's Golden Rule

$$\Gamma = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_f |\langle f | \hat{H}_3 | i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i). \quad (\text{S10})$$

Here, the final state takes the form $|f\rangle = |\psi_f\rangle_{12} \otimes |\mathbf{k}'_3\rangle_3 \otimes |\mathbf{k}_M\rangle_M$, where $|\psi_f\rangle_{12}$ is an arbitrary eigenstate of the many-body Hamiltonian for species 1 and 2 and $|\mathbf{k}_M\rangle_M$ describes the state of a single molecule with momentum \mathbf{k}_M .

Assuming that the binding energy Δ of the molecule is much larger than the typical single-particle energies of the initial state (chemical potential, temperature...), the momentum and energy-conservation conditions are dominated by the state of the atom 3 and of the molecule after the decay. We therefore have $\mathbf{k}_M \simeq -\mathbf{k}'_3$ and $E_f - E_i \simeq \hbar^2 \mathbf{k}'_3{}^2 / 2m + \hbar^2 \mathbf{k}_M{}^2 / 4m - \Delta$.

The decay rate takes the form

$$\Gamma \simeq \frac{2\pi}{\hbar\Omega^3} \sum_{\psi_f, \mathbf{k}'_3} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}'_3)_{12} \langle \psi_f | \hat{a}_2(\mathbf{k}_2) \hat{a}_1(\mathbf{k}_1) | 0 \rangle_{12} \right|^2 \times \delta \left(\frac{3\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}'_3{}^2}{4m} - \Delta \right). \quad (\text{S11})$$

Using the closure relation $\sum_{\psi_f} |\psi_f\rangle \langle \psi_f| = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \simeq & \frac{2\pi}{\hbar\Omega^2} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 \\ \mathbf{k}'_1, \mathbf{k}'_2}} \chi(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}'_1 - \mathbf{k}'_2) \times \\ & {}_{12} \langle 0 | \hat{a}_1(\mathbf{k}'_1)^\dagger \hat{a}_2(\mathbf{k}'_2)^\dagger \hat{a}_2(\mathbf{k}_2) \hat{a}_1(\mathbf{k}_1) | 0 \rangle_{12}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S12})$$

with

$$\chi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}') = \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'_3} \delta \left(\frac{3\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}'_3{}^2}{4m} - \Delta \right) \tilde{g}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}'_3) \tilde{g}(\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{k}'_3)^* \quad (\text{S13})$$

Going back into real space and using the fact that thanks to momentum conservation, we must have $\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{k}'_1 + \mathbf{k}'_2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \simeq & \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \int d^3\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 d^3\boldsymbol{\rho}_2 G(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1, \boldsymbol{\rho}_2) \times \\ & {}_{12} \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_1^\dagger(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1) \hat{\Psi}_2^\dagger(-\boldsymbol{\rho}_1) \hat{\Psi}_2(\boldsymbol{\rho}_2) \hat{\Psi}_1(-\boldsymbol{\rho}_2) | 0 \rangle_{12}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S14})$$

with

$$G(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\rho}') = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}'} \chi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}') e^{-i(\mathbf{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho} + \mathbf{q}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}')}. \quad (\text{S15})$$

Since G takes significant values for $\rho_1, \rho_2 \lesssim R^*$, we care only about the values of the correlation function ${}_{12} \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_1^\dagger(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1) \hat{\Psi}_2^\dagger(\boldsymbol{\rho}_2) \hat{\Psi}_2(\boldsymbol{\rho}_3) \hat{\Psi}_1(\boldsymbol{\rho}_4) | 0 \rangle_{12}$ for small values of the ρ_α .

Following the argument proposed in [1, 2], the short distance behaviour is dominated by two-body physics, leading to a scaling




FIG. S5: Magnetic fields dependence for the different scattering lengths a_{bb} (blue), a_{ff} (red, divided by 100), a_{bf} (green) and effective range $r_{e,b}$ (yellow) involved in our system.

$${}_{12}\langle 0 | \widehat{\Psi}_1^\dagger(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1) \widehat{\Psi}_2^\dagger(\boldsymbol{\rho}_2) \widehat{\Psi}_2(\boldsymbol{\rho}_3) \widehat{\Psi}_1(\boldsymbol{\rho}_4) | 0 \rangle_{12} \simeq \varphi(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 - \boldsymbol{\rho}_2)^* \varphi(\boldsymbol{\rho}_3 - \boldsymbol{\rho}_4) \quad (\text{S16})$$

where φ is the two-body function in a scattering state. According to Bethe-Peierls' condition, we have $\varphi(r) \simeq A/r$ at short distance, hence

$${}_{12}\langle 0 | \widehat{\Psi}_1^\dagger(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1) \widehat{\Psi}_2^\dagger(\boldsymbol{\rho}_2) \widehat{\Psi}_2(\boldsymbol{\rho}_3) \widehat{\Psi}_1(\boldsymbol{\rho}_4) | 0 \rangle_{12} \simeq \frac{|A|^2}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 - \boldsymbol{\rho}_2| |\boldsymbol{\rho}_3 - \boldsymbol{\rho}_4|}. \quad (\text{S17})$$

The value of $|A|^2$ is obtained by taking $\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 = \boldsymbol{\rho}_4$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_2 = \boldsymbol{\rho}_3$ and, according to Tan's relation for the density-density correlation function [3], we have

$${}_{12}\langle 0 | \widehat{\Psi}_1^\dagger(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1) \widehat{\Psi}_2^\dagger(\boldsymbol{\rho}_2) \widehat{\Psi}_2(\boldsymbol{\rho}_2) \widehat{\Psi}_1(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1) | 0 \rangle_{12} \simeq \frac{C_2}{4\pi |\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 - \boldsymbol{\rho}_2|^2}, \quad (\text{S18})$$

where C_2 is the contact. Therefore $|A|^2 = C_2/4\pi$ hence

$$\Gamma = \frac{C_2}{8\hbar} \int d^3\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 d^3\boldsymbol{\rho}_2 \frac{G(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1, \boldsymbol{\rho}_2)}{\rho_1 \rho_2}. \quad (\text{S19})$$

leading to equation 1 in the main text.

II. FESHBACH RESONANCES

In Fig. S5 we present the relevant s-wave scattering lengths characterizing the ${}^6\text{Li}$ - ${}^6\text{Li}$, ${}^7\text{Li}$ - ${}^7\text{Li}$, and ${}^6\text{Li}$ - ${}^7\text{Li}$ interactions (a_{ff} , a_{bb} , and a_{bf} respectively). ${}^6\text{Li}$ exhibits a broad Feshbach resonance at 832.18 G [4]. ${}^7\text{Li}$ - ${}^7\text{Li}$ interactions exhibits two Feshbach resonances located at 845.5 G and 894 G [5]. Also plotted is the effective range for the ${}^7\text{Li}$ - ${}^7\text{Li}$ interactions ($r_{e,b}$) in the 700 G-900 G magnetic field region of interest. In this region $r_{e,b}$ is relatively large and contributes to the ${}^7\text{Li}$ three-body loss




FIG. S6: Example of atom losses at $B = 720$ G for a non degenerate Bose-Fermi mixture at $T = 1.25 \mu\text{K}$. Red circles: fermion decay. Blue circles: boson decay. Each circle is the average of 3 to 5 data points with their standard deviation. The red dashed curve is a fit of the fermion decay using Eq. S21 to estimate $n_f(t)$. The blue dashed curve is a fit to the boson decay using Eq. S20 and the previously fitted $n_f(t)$ to extract L_{bf} .

dependence with magnetic field [6]. For the ${}^6\text{Li}$ - ${}^7\text{Li}$ interaction, the scattering length $a_{bf} = 40.8 a_0$ is identical for the two ${}^6\text{Li}$ spin states and does not depend on the magnetic field.

III. LOSS COEFFICIENT EXTRACTION ON THE BEC SIDE

In Fig. S6, we show a typical loss rate measurement at 720 G for a cold thermal Bose-Fermi mixture. On the BEC side of the ${}^6\text{Li}$ resonance, several processes contribute to the loss of ${}^6\text{Li}$ atoms: atom-dimer and dimer-dimer inelastic collisions, evaporation losses and Bose-Fermi losses, resulting in a non-trivial time dependence. On the contrary, the ${}^7\text{Li}$ cloud will mainly loose atoms via Bose-Fermi losses, since evaporation loss and three-body losses are negligible due to the small ${}^7\text{Li}$ - ${}^7\text{Li}$ scattering length in this region of magnetic field. We thus use the boson decay rate to extract L_{bf} using

$$\dot{n}_b = -L_{bf} n_f n_b - \Gamma_v n_b \quad (\text{S20})$$

where the local densities follow Boltzmann distributions and $\Gamma_v = 0.015 \text{ s}^{-1}$ is the residual background gas loss rate measured independently. For $n_f(t)$, we use a two-body decay function

$$n_f(t) = n_0 / (1 + \alpha t) \quad (\text{S21})$$

IV. MOLECULE FRACTION

To compute the molecule fraction of the ^6Li cloud, $\eta = 2N_m/(N_f + 2N_m)$ we model the fermionic ensemble as a non-interacting mixture of N_m molecules and N_f free atoms. This assumption is only valid far on the BEC side of the resonance ($n_f a_{\text{ff}}^3 \ll 1$) and for a non-degenerate thermal gas. Following [7], we simply write a chemical equilibrium condition between atoms and molecules in the trap at temperature T :

$$N_f = 2 \left(\frac{k_B T}{\hbar \omega} \right)^3 Li_3(z) \quad (\text{S22})$$

$$N_m = \left(\frac{k_B T}{\hbar \omega} \right)^3 Li_3(z^2 e^{-E_b/k_B T}) \quad (\text{S23})$$

where Li is a polylogarithm function, $z = e^{\mu/k_B T}$ the fugacity and $E_b = -\hbar^2/m_f a_{\text{ff}}^2$ the molecule's binding energy. The fugacity is calculated by imposing the total number of atoms in the trap $N_{\text{tot}} = N_f + N_m$.

V. BOSE-FERMI LOSS COEFFICIENT AT UNITARITY

In Fig. S7, we show a typical loss rate measurement in the dual superfluid regime at unitarity. As stated in the main text the three-body recombination in the Bose gas itself also contribute to the boson decay. In order to measure the Bose-Fermi loss rate Γ_{bf} we first measure the three-body loss coefficient L_b for a BEC alone using the following equation,

$$\dot{n}_b = -L_b n_b^3 - \Gamma_v n_b \quad (\text{S24})$$

We restrict the measurement over a period of time for which the thermal fraction surrounding the BEC is not visible. We thus assume that the ^7Li cloud density is given by a Thomas-Fermi distribution. Knowing L_b , we extract Γ_{bf} for a BEC in presence of fermions in the same trap using

$$\dot{n}_b = -L_b n_b^3 - \Gamma_v n_b - \Gamma_{\text{bf}} n_b. \quad (\text{S25})$$

Since n_f does not vary significantly over the measurement duration, see Fig.S7, Γ_{bf} is now assumed constant.

VI. REDUCTION OF THE BOSE-FERMI LOSSES DUE TO THE FINITE SIZE OF THE BEC

In the dual superfluid regime, the bosonic sample is not a perfect point-like impurity probing the central density

of the Fermi gas. The finite size of the BEC leads to a slight reduction of the Bose-Fermi losses that we compute using the local density approximation:

$$\frac{\langle n_f^{4/3}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_{\text{BEC}}}{n_f^{4/3}(0)} = \frac{\int d^3 r n_b(\mathbf{r}) n_f^{4/3}(\mathbf{r})}{n_f^{4/3}(0) \int d^3 r n_b(\mathbf{r})} \quad (\text{S26})$$




FIG. S7: Example of atom loss at $B = 832.1$ G in the dual superfluid regime. Green circles: BEC without fermions. Blue circles: BEC in presence of the fermionic superfluid. Each circle is the average of 3 to 5 data points with their standard deviation. Green dashed curve: fit to the decay of the BEC alone using Eq. S24 providing the three body loss coefficient L_b . Blue dashed curve: fit to the BEC with fermions using Eq. S25 which gives $\Gamma_{\text{bf}} = 0.14(4) \text{ s}^{-1}$. Light blue dashed curve: expected BEC decay without Bose-Fermi losses. Inset: the number of ^6Li atoms for the same time duration (red circles). As it is nearly constant we use the mean number of ^6Li atoms shown as a red dashed line to compute the peak density of the fermionic superfluid during the losses.

where n_b and n_f are respectively the boson and fermion densities. Introducing the Thomas-Fermi radii $R_{\text{TF},b}$ and $R_{\text{TF},f}$, we find

$$\frac{\langle n_f^{4/3}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_{\text{BEC}}}{n_f^{4/3}(0)} = 1 - \frac{6}{7} \left(\frac{R_{\text{TF},b}}{R_{\text{TF},f}} \right)^2 + \frac{5}{21} \left(\frac{R_{\text{TF},b}}{R_{\text{TF},f}} \right)^4. \quad (\text{S27})$$

With $R_{\text{TF},b} = 0.4 R_{\text{TF},f}$, the reduction factor amounts to 0.9.

[1] Félix Werner and Yvan Castin. General relations for quantum gases in two and three dimensions: Two-component

fermions. *Phys. Rev. A*, 86(1):013626, 2012.

- [2] D.S. Petrov, C. Salomon, and G.V. Shlyapnikov. Weakly bound dimers of fermionic atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 93(9):090404, 2004.
- [3] S. Tan. Large momentum part of a strongly correlated Fermi gas. *Ann. Phys.*, 323(12):2971–2986, 2008.
- [4] G. Zürn, T. Lompe, A. N. Wenz, S. Jochim, P. S. Julienne, and J. M. Hutson. Precise Characterization of ^6Li Feshbach Resonances Using Trap-Sideband-Resolved RF Spectroscopy of Weakly Bound Molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 110(13):135301, 2013.
- [5] N. Gross, Z. Shotan, O. Machtey, S.J.J.M.F Kokkelmans, and L. Khaykovich. Study of Efimov Physics in two nuclear-spin sublevels of 7 Li. *Comptes Rendus Physique*, 12(1):4–12, 2011.
- [6] Zav Shotan, Olga Machtey, Servaas Kokkelmans, and Lev Khaykovich. Three-body recombination at vanishing scattering lengths in an ultracold bose gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 113:053202, Jul 2014.
- [7] Cheng Chin and Rudolf Grimm. Thermal equilibrium and efficient evaporation of an ultracold atom-molecule mixture. *Phys. Rev. A*, 69:033612, Mar 2004.