



HAL
open science

To What Extent Do Food Preferences Explain the Trophic Position of Heterotrophic and Mixotrophic Microbial Consumers in a Sphagnum Peatland?

Vincent P Jassey, Caroline Meyer, Christine Dupuy, Nadine Bernard, Edward Ad Mitchell, Marie-Laure Toussaint, Marc Metian, Auriel P Chatelain, Daniel Gilbert

► To cite this version:

Vincent P Jassey, Caroline Meyer, Christine Dupuy, Nadine Bernard, Edward Ad Mitchell, et al.. To What Extent Do Food Preferences Explain the Trophic Position of Heterotrophic and Mixotrophic Microbial Consumers in a Sphagnum Peatland?. *Microbial ecology*, 2013, 66 (3), pp.571 - 580. 10.1007/s00248-013-0262-8 . hal-01420339

HAL Id: hal-01420339

<https://hal.science/hal-01420339>

Submitted on 20 Dec 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

To what extent do food preferences explain the trophic position of heterotrophic and mixotrophic microbial consumers in a *Sphagnum*-peatland?

Vincent EJ Jassey* ^{1,2,3}¶, Caroline Meyer ¹¶, Christine Dupuy ⁴, Nadine Bernard ¹, Edward AD Mitchell ⁵, Marie-Laure Toussaint ¹, Marc Metian ⁴, Auriel P Chatelain ⁵ and Daniel Gilbert ¹

¶ these authors contributed equally

¹ Université de Franche-Comté, Laboratoire Chrono-Environnement, UMR CNRS/UFC 6249, F-25211 Montbéliard cedex, France

² School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering (ENAC), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne EPFL, Ecological Systems Laboratory (ECOS), Station 2, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

³ Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL), Site Lausanne, Station 2, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

⁴ Université de La Rochelle, Laboratoire Littoral Environnement et Sociétés, UMR CNRS 7266, F-17000 La Rochelle, France

⁵ University of Neuchâtel, Laboratory of Soil Biology, Rue Emile-Argand 11, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

* Corresponding author, fax +41 (0) 216 935 774; email vincent.jassey@epfl.ch (V.E.J. Jassey).

Running title: Microbial food web structure in peatland

1 **Abstract**

2 Although microorganisms are the primary drivers of biogeochemical cycles, the structure and
3 functioning of microbial food webs is poorly studied. This is the case in *Sphagnum*-peatlands,
4 where microbial communities play a key role in the global carbon cycle. Here, we explored
5 the structure of the microbial food web from a *Sphagnum*-peatland by analysing (1) the
6 density and biomass of different microbial functional groups, (2) natural stable isotope ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$
7 and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) signatures of key microbial consumers (testate amoebae), and (3) the digestive
8 vacuole contents of *Hyalosphenia papilio*, the dominant testate amoeba species in our system.
9 Our results showed that the feeding type of testate amoeba species (bacterivory, algivory or
10 both) translates into their trophic position as assessed by isotopic signatures. Our study further
11 demonstrates, for *Hyalosphenia papilio*, the energetic benefits of mixotrophy when the
12 density of its preferential prey is low. Overall, our results show that testate amoebae occupy
13 different trophic levels within the microbial food web, depending on their feeding behaviour,
14 the density of their food resources and their metabolism (i.e. mixotrophy vs. heterotrophy).
15 Combined analyses of predation, community structure and stable isotopes now allow the
16 structure of microbial food webs to be more completely described, which should lead to
17 improved models of microbial community function.

18

19 **Keywords:** 13 Carbon and 15 nitrogen isotopes / Feeding habit / Foraging traits / Natural
20 stable isotopes / Microbial food web / Testate amoebae

21

22

23

24

25 **Introduction**

26 The way in which community structure and species attributes determine fluxes of energy in
27 ecosystems is an important topic in ecology. The study of food webs provides a quantitative
28 framework in our understanding of links between community structure and ecosystem
29 functioning [1]. Food webs are networks determining the number and direction of trophic
30 interactions in ecosystems and provide a natural framework for understanding the ecological
31 role of species. Identifying how and to what extent these webs are structured is necessary if
32 we hope to move beyond a “black-box” approach and toward a more mechanistic
33 understanding of the ecosystem processes and functions that they drive [2, 3, 4, 5, 6].
34 However, the presence of incompletely described feeding relationships hampers our
35 understanding of complex and dynamic food webs in nature [7]. A clear example is the
36 scarcity of existing data on microbial food webs, which, for practical reasons are often limited
37 to experimental studies using a very limited number of taxa and often in combinations that do
38 not reflect any natural system [8, 9, 10, 11].

39 A major impediment in determining microbial food web structure probably stems from
40 the difficulty in identifying interspecific links and collecting the required quantitative dietary
41 data. Microbial communities are composed of numerous (and often poorly described or
42 altogether unknown) species that can form complex food webs with numerous feeding links.
43 Discovering the structure of these food webs is important because they may affect processes
44 occurring at the population, community, and ecosystem level [7]. The true trophic position
45 and diversity in trophic roles of different microbial species and/or species group of different
46 species was until recently all but impossible to determine. The increasing sensitivity of
47 analytical tools now makes it possible to study ever-smaller organisms using stable isotope
48 probing [8, 12]. Stable isotope ratios of carbon and nitrogen are increasingly used to provide
49 time-integrated information about feeding relationships and food web structure, as showed for

50 aquatic food webs [13, 14, 15]. By providing a temporally and spatially averaged estimate of
51 carbon and nitrogen that is actually assimilated by organisms [16], stable isotopes can
52 improve our estimates of the major pathways of energy flows through microbial food webs
53 [17].

54 We focused here on microbial communities living in *Sphagnum*-peatlands. In this
55 diverse community a group of protozoa, the testate amoebae, are especially abundant. Testate
56 amoebae represent an ideal model group for microbial food web studies in terrestrial
57 ecosystems owing to their abundance, diversity, and ubiquity [18]. Testate amoebae are
58 usually considered as feeding on a wide range of food sources, such as bacteria, fungi,
59 microalgae, ciliates, rotifers and nematodes [12, 19, 20, 21, 22]. As a result, they are
60 considered top-predators in microbial food webs [23, 24, 25]. As such, they play an important
61 role in carbon and nutrient cycling in soils [26, 27, 28, 29]. However, in order to understand
62 the role of testate amoebae in carbon and nutrient cycling, key aspects of their ecology need
63 to be understood, including how they obtain energy and nutrients. Precise information on the
64 feeding habits of testate amoebae is limited to a few species [29]. The broad range of sizes
65 (ca. 5-500 μm in length, corresponding to volumes spanning six orders of magnitude) and
66 high diversity of morphologies and functional traits found among testate amoebae [30, 18]
67 suggest that they play different functional roles in microbial food webs. Species commonly
68 described as omnivores probably occupy a different trophic level in the microbial network
69 than specific feeders such as bacterivores or predators. Furthermore, many pigmented
70 (photosynthetic) microorganisms are known or suspected to be mixotrophic (i.e. organisms
71 that combine autotrophy and heterotrophy using endosymbiotic zoochlorellae); these include
72 several testate amoeba species such as *Hyalosphenia papilio* and *Archerella flavum* [31, 32],
73 species that are commonly found in northern *Sphagnum* peatlands [e.g. 18, 33, 34, 35, 36].
74 The mixotrophic life trait has significant functional and physiological implications [10, 37,

75 38] and should theoretically translate into distinct isotopic ratios. Hence, the use of isotopic
76 analyses may provide a mechanistic understanding on how testate amoebae are trophically
77 structured, highlighting the strategy and functional role of dominant species in food web.

78 Here, we aim to track energy flow through microbial food chains from lower to higher
79 trophic levels to disentangle the trophic structure of testate amoeba populations in microbial
80 food web; and to elucidate the role of the mixotrophic strategy in microbial food web
81 functioning. We hypothesize that the trophic level –based on isotopic enrichment– of testate
82 amoebae within the microbial network relate to their feeding habit (bacterivory, algivory, and
83 predation) and metabolism (heterotrophy vs. mixotrophy). Considering the key role of
84 foraging traits such as density of prey in the feeding habit of testate amoebae [12], we also
85 hypothesize that prey density affects the trophic position of testate amoebae. For this, we
86 explored the microbial food web associated with *Sphagnum* moss by combining predation of
87 testate amoebae (digestive vacuole contents and predatory-prey associations), analyses of
88 community density and biomass, and isotopic signatures ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) of testate amoebae
89 and their potential prey, including microalgae, cyanobacteria, rotifers and nematodes.

90

91 **Materials and methods**

92 Study area and experimental design

93 We sampled one peat monolith (43.5 cm x 33.5 cm, 22 cm in depth) in a macroscopically
94 homogeneous *Sphagnum fallax* lawn in a peatland in the Jura Mountains (Sur-les-Seignes,
95 Frambouhans-Les Ecorces, France, 47°18'N, 6°79'E) in late-November 2010. The core was
96 then placed under controlled conditions to quickly reactivate microbial life, average
97 temperature of 22°C (day) and 18°C (night), a light cycle of 16h/8h (light/dark) and a light
98 intensity of 0.029 kW.m⁻². The depth to water table (DWT) was maintained at constant level
99 (6.5 cm below the top of the moss carpet) by adding standardized solution (Volvic water = 0.8

100 L every 2 days). We measured the physicochemical conditions of the peat core when the
101 container was placed under controlled conditions. Temperature of the water table and at the
102 surface was of 5°C and 12°C, respectively; pH = 4.4; and conductivity = 20.1 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$. After
103 15 days these values increased (average temperature of the water table and at the surface of
104 17°C and 20°C, respectively, pH = 4.9, conductivity = 44.1 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$) and stabilised.

105 After 15 days, the *Sphagnum* carpet from the peat monolith was subdivided into three
106 subsamples. For each subsample, the totality of the living apical green segments of *Sphagnum*
107 shoots (top 3 cm) was collected. We randomly sampled 5 g of fresh material (ca. fifteen
108 shoots) in each sub-plot and fixed it in 20 ml of glutaraldehyde (2% final concentration) for
109 microbial community analysis (density, biomass, and diversity). The remaining material was
110 used for isotopic analyses. Fully opened healthy capitula of *Sphagnum* (top 3 cm) were also
111 sampled and lyophilized for isotopic analyses. No moss was taken from the marginal 5 cm
112 border zone of the peat monolith to avoid possible border effects.

113

114 Microscopic and isotopic analyses

115 All microorganisms were extracted from *S. fallax* following the method used by Jassey et al.
116 [12]. Microalgae, cyanobacteria, protozoa, rotifers, nematodes and fungi were identified and
117 quantified at 400x magnification by means of inverted microscopy (Olympus IX71) and
118 following Uthermöhl's method [39]. Bacterial density was estimated by flow cytometry
119 (FAC-SCalibur flow cytometer, Becton Dickinson). Fluorescent microbeads (molecular
120 probes) 1 μm in diameter were added to each sample as an internal standard. Bacteria were
121 stained with SYBR Green I (1/10,000 final conc.) for 15 min in the dark and samples run at
122 medium speed (40 $\mu\text{L min}^{-1}$). Bacterial size was estimated by epifluorescence microscopy
123 using image analysis. The feeding habit of the dominant testate amoeba species,
124 *Hyalosphenia papilio*, was studied in detail. To this aim, a total of 670, 715, and 500

125 specimens of *H. papilio* were observed by inverted microscopy in the three subsamples,
126 respectively. Among active specimens, we distinguished between individuals with a prey
127 within the shell and those that were actively feeding (determined as attached to prey or
128 organic matter particles), to determine the feeding habit of this species, as described in Gilbert
129 et al. [20]. Such an analysis was not possible for others testate amoeba species due to their
130 low abundance.

131 The biovolume of each morpho-species or group was estimated using geometrical
132 shapes and then converted to carbon using the following conversion factors, heterotrophic
133 bacteria, $1\mu\text{m}^3 = 5.6 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{gC}$ [40]; cyanobacteria and microalgae, $1\mu\text{m}^3 = 1.2 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{gC}$;
134 ciliates and testate amoebae, $1\mu\text{m}^3 = 1.1 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{gC}$ [41]; fungi $1\mu\text{m}^3 = 2.5 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{gC}$;
135 nematodes and rotifers, $1\mu\text{m}^3 = 1.25 \times 10^{-7} \mu\text{gC}$ [42]. These data were expressed as μgC per
136 gram of *S. fallax* dry mass ($\mu\text{gC g}^{-1} \text{DM}$).

137 Collection and preparation of microbial samples for isotopic analyses follow Jassey et
138 al. [12]. We measured the isotopic signatures of cyanobacteria + microalgae (CA), fine
139 organic matter including bacteria (FOMB), two abundant groups of micro-metazoa, small
140 nematodes ($< 200 \mu\text{m}$) and rotifers, and the dominant testate amoeba species *Arcella vulgaris*,
141 *Archerella flavum*, *Bullinularia indica*, *Euglypha compressa*, *Hyalosphenia papilio* and
142 *Nebela tincta*. Microorganisms were extracted from fresh moss by six successive rinsing of *S.*
143 *fallax* using distilled water and successively filtered at 150, 120, 70, 50, 30, 20 and 11 μm
144 (SEFAR NITEX ®). The fraction under 11 μm was used for FOMB and microalgae. For
145 FOMB, the fraction was centrifuged at 15,000 r.min^{-1} for 20 min. Then, the supernatant was
146 centrifuged again at 10,000 r.min^{-1} for 10 min and the pellet was used for isotopic
147 measurements. All other categories were hand picked randomly and individually using
148 micropipettes. Between 50 and 1,500 living testate amoeba specimens of the selected species
149 were collected in each sub-sample, depending on their size (Table 1). In order to obtain

150 reliable measurements, 0.1 mg of matter is required for isotopic measurement. To reach this
151 amount, some samples had to be pooled from the three sub-plots, *Arcella vulgaris*, *Archerella*
152 *flavum*, *Bullinularia indica*, *Euglypha compressa*, *Nebela tincta*, rotifers and nematodes, and
153 for these no replicated measurements could be obtained. After 3 days, we also collected the
154 CA biofilm using a micropipette. We estimated trophic positions (TP) following the method
155 of Post [43]. We used *S. fallax* capitula as the baseline of the network for estimating trophic
156 positions using $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, because we focused on microbial communities living in *Sphagnum*
157 moss, and *Sphagnum* integrate temporal and spatial variation in isotopic signatures of basal
158 resources [43].

159

160 Data analysis

161 We used linear mixed effects models to test for relationships between 1) $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
162 signatures of microbial species/groups, 2) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and testate amoeba morphological traits (i.e.
163 body size - length or diameter – shell aperture size and biovolume). These models were built
164 while accounting for the pseudo-replication measurements in the peat monolith. We fitted all
165 models including sub-plot as a random effect on the intercept, i.e. we corrected for the
166 inflation of the residual degrees of freedom that would occur if we were using repeated
167 measurements as true replicates. Regression diagnostics (leverage and outliers effects) and
168 global validations of linear model assumptions (normality of the data distribution and
169 homogeneity of variance) were examined and tested as described in Fox and Weisberg [44].

170 Differences in TP of identified groups or species were assessed using non-parametric
171 Mann Whitney tests. A hierarchical cluster analysis using the Ward distance method and Bray
172 Curtis dissimilarity index were computed on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, isotopic nitrogen over carbon
173 ratio, and trophic level values in order to identify feeding types of species and/or microbial

174 groups. The robustness and the significance of the cluster were tested using bootstrap
175 resampling (i.e. 1000 resampling from the data).

176 All statistical analyses were performed with R 2.15.1 using the *ade4*, *car* and *pvc* packages [44, 45, 46].
177

178

179 **Results**

180 Microbial community structure

181 In term of biomass, the microbial community was composed of 53% of decomposers (bacteria
182 and fungi), 17.3% microbial primary producers (microalgae and cyanobacteria), and 29.8% of
183 consumers (all other groups; Fig. 1a). Bacteria accounted for almost half of the total biomass
184 ($726 \pm 232 \mu\text{gC g}^{-1} \text{DM}$), while fungi represented only 6.6% ($103 \pm 53 \mu\text{gC g}^{-1} \text{DM}$; Fig. 1).
185 Testate amoebae represented about one-quarter of the total biomass ($413 \pm 176 \mu\text{gC g}^{-1} \text{DM}$)
186 and were the dominant group of consumers while rotifers made up only 3.1% of the total
187 biomass ($49 \pm 37 \mu\text{gC g}^{-1} \text{DM}$; Fig. 1a). Ciliates and nematodes together represented less than
188 1% of the total biomass (3 ± 1 and $2 \pm 0 \mu\text{gC g}^{-1} \text{DM}$, respectively). Primary producers were
189 dominated by microalgae (14.7% of the total biomass), while cyanobacteria accounted for a
190 much lower fraction of the total biomass (ca. 2.6%). The green alga *Cylindrocystis brebissonii*
191 was the more abundant species of microalgae with more than 90% of the microalgal biomass,
192 whereas *Aphanothece nidulans* and *Anabaena cylindrica* were the most abundant species of
193 cyanobacteria (54% and 34% of cyanobacteria biomass, respectively). *Hyalosphenia papilio*
194 strongly dominated the testate amoeba community and accounted for 89.8% of its biomass
195 (Fig. 1b).

196

197

198 Feeding habit of *Hyalosphenia papilio*: digestive vacuole contents approach

199 On average 25% of the observed *Hyalosphenia papilio* specimens were associated with prey.
200 Among the identified food sources, 80% were microbial primary producers (CA = microalgae
201 and cyanobacteria, e.g. *Aphanothece nidulans*), 12% were fungi (including hyphae of
202 ascomycetes and spores of *Helicoon pluriseptatum*) and 6% were ciliates (e.g. *Platyophrya*
203 *sphagni*) (Table 2). Predation on other testate amoebae (e.g. *Archerella flavum*), rotifers (e.g.
204 *Habrotrochoa* sp. and *Colurella* sp.) and nematodes was observed only rarely (<1% of the
205 total identified predator-prey associations). These proportions matched very closely the
206 density of these organisms in the environment (Table 2). These frequencies represented in
207 term of grazed biomass 9.8% of the biomass of CA, 20.1% of that of fungi and 49.3% of that
208 of ciliates.

209

210 Isotopic composition of the microbial food web

211 The isotopic values of cyanobacteria and microalgae (CA) ($\delta^{13}\text{C} = -26.8\text{‰}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N} = -6.7\text{‰}$)
212 were almost identical to that of *Sphagnum* ($\delta^{13}\text{C} = -28.0\text{‰}$; $\delta^{15}\text{N} = -5.4\text{‰}$), which is
213 therefore used as a baseline for further interpretation. By contrast, all other microbial groups
214 yielded enriched $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values relative to the baseline value determined from *S.*
215 *fallax* shoots (Fig. 2, Table 1).

216 The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signatures of consumers covered a broad range of values, from
217 -27.3‰ to -21.6‰ and -1.9‰ to $+1\text{‰}$, respectively. Isotopic values were significantly
218 higher in consumers (testate amoebae, rotifers and nematodes) as compared to primary
219 producers (*S. fallax* and CA; $P = 0.04$, $r = 0.5$). For instance, the isotopic diagram showed that
220 *Archerella flavum* was more enriched in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ($+1.6\text{‰}$) and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ($+2.9\text{‰}$) than FOMB (Fig.
221 2). Isotopic values of *Arcella vulgaris* ($\delta^{13}\text{C} = +0.3\text{‰}$; $\delta^{15}\text{N} = +2.1\text{‰}$), *B. indica* ($\delta^{13}\text{C} = +$

222 0.7‰; $\delta^{15}\text{N} = + 3\text{‰}$), and *N. tincta* ($\delta^{13}\text{C} = + 0.3\text{‰}$; $\delta^{15}\text{N} = + 1.7\text{‰}$) were also consistently
223 enriched relative to those of their supposed diet (CA) (Fig. 2, Table 1). Our results also
224 showed that the mixotrophic species *H. papilio* was highly enriched in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (+ 5.2‰), as
225 compared to its diet (CA) (Fig. 2, Table 2). This was not the case for the other mixotrophic
226 species studied (*A. flavum*).

227 Translating these results into trophic position, primary producers (CA) had the same
228 trophic level as *S. fallax* in the microbial food web (TP = 1), while FOMB (TP = 2) and
229 consumers (TP ranged from 2.3 to 2.9) had significant higher trophic level than primary
230 producers ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1, Fig. 3b). In the cluster analysis, microbial groups were split
231 into primary producers and consumers. The microbial consumers were further split into five
232 sub-groups corresponding to different feeding strategies (Fig. 3a, b, Table 1). The first sub-
233 group corresponded to mixotrophic algivores (C1) composed by *H. papilio* (Fig. 3). The
234 second sub-group included decomposers (FOMB; C2), while the third and fourth sub-groups
235 corresponded to bacterivores (C3) including *A. flavum* and nematodes, and heterotrophic
236 algivores (C4) including *A. vulgaris*, *B. indica*, *N. tincta* (Fig. 3, Table 1). The fifth sub-group
237 (C5) included *E. compressa* and rotifers and corresponded to a mix between algivores and
238 bacterivores (Fig. 3, Table 1). Furthermore, we found that the trophic level of *H. papilio* was
239 linked to the contribution of ciliates and CA to its total diet (Fig. 4). We found that the trophic
240 level of *H. papilio* increased with the rise of ciliates and the decrease of CA in its total diet
241 (Fig. 4a, b).

242 The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of testate amoebae were negatively correlated to simple
243 morphological traits such as size of their shell aperture ($r = -0.86$, $P = 0.02$) and their
244 biovolume ($r = -0.88$, $P = 0.01$), while no significant correlation was found with their body
245 size (length or diameter) ($r = -0.72$, $P = 0.07$, ANOVA).

246

247 **Discussion**

248 We investigated the trophic structure of the microbial food web associated to *Sphagnum* moss
249 by combining analyses of microbial density and biomass, stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) of
250 microbial groups and digestive vacuole content of key microbial consumers. We found that
251 the trophic level of microbial organisms inferred from stable isotopes was in line with
252 expectations; bacteria (FOMB) have a higher trophic level than autotrophs (*S. fallax*, CA),
253 and microbial consumers a higher trophic level than both decomposers and autotrophs. We
254 further showed that isotopic signatures of moss and CA did not differ and that both
255 autotrophic microorganisms and *Sphagnum* mosses have similar directional shifting in food
256 web space. This agrees with our assumption that autotrophs can be used as the baseline of the
257 microbial food web associated to *Sphagnum* moss.

258

259 Trophic structure of the microbial food web

260 In most cases, we found isotopic enrichments in line with those of aquatic and soil food web
261 in which consumer $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signatures were higher than 3‰ [43, 47]. Based on these isotopic
262 enrichments and identified feeding habit, we showed two trophic pathways in our microbial
263 network: a herbivorous pathway and a detritivorous pathway. Firstly, we found a significant
264 enrichment in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ between microbial autotrophs (CA) and microbial consumers such as
265 *Nebela tinctoria*, *Euglypha compressa*, *Hyalosphenia papilio*, *Arcella vulgaris* and rotifers,
266 characterizing the herbivorous pathway. These isotopic enrichments indicated that these
267 consumers mainly fed on CA in the current study. This agrees with our feeding observations
268 on *H. papilio*, as well as with previous observations of feeding habits for *Arcella vulgaris*,
269 *Euglypha compressa* and rotifers [19, 21, 48, 49]. Secondly, the significant $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ enrichment
270 between FOMB and consumers such as *Archerella flavum* and nematodes suggests the
271 existence of a detritivorous pathway. *A. flavum* has been described as exclusively autotrophic

272 [32], but its isotopic signature rather indicated that this species mainly fed on bacteria or fine
273 organic particles (FOMB). Although food particles are rarely observed in *A. flavum* vacuoles,
274 this does not exclude the possibility that bacteria occur in their vacuole. Indeed, bacteria are
275 difficult to identify in testate amoeba vacuoles when using direct observation with
276 epifluorescence microscopy (pers. observation). Obviously, the recorded isotopic signatures do
277 not exclude that microbial consumers feed on additional food sources and/or intermediate
278 trophic level [50, 51], as clearly showed for *H. papilio*.

279 More surprising is the difference between the isotopic signatures of *H. papilio* and *N.*
280 *tincta* between this study and the one of Jassey et al. [12]. These results reflect inter-site
281 differences, showing that the isotopic signatures of a given species can be quite variable in
282 space and/or time depending on environmental conditions [50]. However, the similar
283 signature of the baseline (i.e. *Sphagnum fallax*) between the two studies also underlines
284 differences of inter-site food web functioning. The linkages between the trophic position of *H.*
285 *papilio* and the contribution of main categories of prey to its total diet agree the hypothesis
286 that differences in prey-availability influence trophic position of testate amoebae. Indeed,
287 isotopic signatures and trophic levels of top-predators, *H. papilio* and *N. tincta* ($TP_{H. papilio}$, 2.3
288 and $TP_{N. tincta}$, 2.4), were significantly lower than those recently obtained by Jassey et al.
289 (2012; $TP_{H. papilio}$, 3.5 and $TP_{N. tincta}$, 4.2). Such results are not surprising when we consider the
290 low density of the potential energetic prey for *H. papilio* and *N. tincta* recorded in this study
291 (e.g. ciliates, rotifers and nematodes). Because of the very low relative abundances of its
292 preferential food sources (i.e. ciliates; [12]), our results suggest that *H. papilio* adapts its
293 feeding behaviour by targeting the most abundant and available food sources (e.g. CA). This
294 agrees with the predictions of optimal foraging theory, which states that organisms forage in
295 such a way as to maximize their net energy intake. Optimally-foraging organisms can
296 minimize the energy cost of food uptake by targeting the most abundant and easily captured

297 prey [52, 53]. We estimated that predation of *H. papilio* on ciliates represented up to 50% of
298 the total standing biomass of ciliates. This suggests that the density of ciliates was probably
299 lower than a threshold allowing higher active predation of *H. papilio* on this otherwise
300 energetically optimal prey.

301 Our results reveal that testate amoeba species occupy different trophic positions in the
302 microbial food web and that these differences could be related to their feeding type.
303 Conversely, this study illustrates that it is difficult to reliably infer trophic position of testate
304 amoebae using relatively simple morphological traits without taking into account prey stocks.
305 Food webs usually exhibit a surprisingly consistent topological architecture across different
306 ecosystem types [4, 54] mediated by fundamental constraints where species feed on nearly
307 contiguous ranges of mostly lower ranked species [55]. In general, species with large body
308 size occupy high trophic levels and consume many food sources, whereas smaller species
309 share low trophic levels with more specific food strategies (e.g. bacterivory; [56]). Body size
310 is thus a fundamental indicator of trophic level in food webs because it places constraints on
311 many biological variables, including crucial foraging traits (energy content of resources,
312 handling times, attack rates, and prey density) [57, 58, 59, 60]. However, strong relationship
313 between body size (here testate amoebae shell length or diameter) and trophic position cannot
314 be found for consumers that exploit a wide range of prey body sizes [7, 59], as shown here
315 with *H. papilio* and *N. tincta*. Nevertheless, we found that the size of shell aperture and
316 biovolume were inversely proportional to the trophic position of testate amoebae in the
317 microbial network, while usually these morphological traits are considered as indicators of
318 high trophic level [12, 25, 27]. Again, such results illustrate the importance of foraging traits
319 such as the density of preferential food sources on trophic position of consumers such as *H.*
320 *papilio* and *N. tincta*, and therefore on the food web structure. Indeed, if we compare these
321 results to those of Jassey et al. [12], these data indicate that two morphologically similar

322 populations of the same species (e.g. *H. papilio*) may occupy very different trophic positions,
323 thus altering any overall relationship between morphology and trophic position in *Sphagnum*-
324 associated food web. Furthermore, the inverse relationship between morphological trait-sizes
325 and trophic levels showed that smaller testate amoeba species such as *A. flavum* –found as a
326 consumer of FOMB– occupied the top of the food web. This suggested that bacterivores
327 received more translocated nitrogen from the detritivorous pathway than herbivores from the
328 herbivorous pathway, giving a greater functional role to bacterivores. This isotopic signature
329 of bacteria –and thus their trophic level– depends of the signature of the detrital source, which
330 may sometimes be higher than that of autotrophs [50]. Therefore, a switch from an
331 herbivorous to a detritivorous dominant pathway could break down the morphological
332 relationships between trait-size and trophic level.

333

334 Algal-endosymbiont contribution to host *Hyalosphenia papilio* diet

335 The highly enriched $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ content of *H. papilio* (i.e. -22‰ on average) suggested that this
336 species used another non-identified ^{13}C baseline than other testate amoeba species (i.e. for
337 which the average was -26‰), explaining why this species showed such distinct isotopic
338 patterns. An alternative hypothesis is that *H. papilio* used another source of carbon than CA
339 and ciliates such as their endosymbiotic algae. Few studies have attempted to quantify the
340 energetic benefits of endosymbiotic algae of mixotrophic species but Schönborn [32]
341 suggested that *H. papilio* could probably live without food sources using these endosymbiotic
342 algae as alternate energy source. The low availability of energetic resources probably led *H.*
343 *papilio* to use its endosymbionts as complementary energy source, and thus to receive more
344 translocated carbon from the stimulated activity of its endosymbionts than from CA,
345 explaining why $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ signature of *H. papilio* was so high. By analogy to our results,
346 Bergschneider and Muller-Parker [61] showed that the ^{13}C signature of zoochlorellae

347 increased from -25‰ to -20‰ when they were used as complementary energy source in
348 *Anemona elegantissima*. These authors also showed that zoochlorellate anemone exhibited
349 distinctly lower patterns of ¹⁵N values than non-zoochlorellate anemone indicating that
350 symbiotic anemones received nutrition from both external (heterotrophic) and internal
351 (translocated algal products) sources. Although our results do not allow drawing strong
352 conclusion about the use of endosymbionts as a complementary source of energy in *H.*
353 *papilio* specimens, they suggest that resource availability may play a fundamental role in the
354 use of endosymbionts as energy source.

355 The difference between the isotopic signatures of the two-mixotrophic species studied
356 (*H. papilio* and *A. flavum*) provides evidence in support the hypothesis that resource
357 availability may play a fundamental role in the use of endosymbionts as energy source.
358 Although obvious morphological differences in overall size and size of shell aperture occur
359 between these mixotrophic species, their range of prey available is likely to explain their
360 different isotopic signatures. These results suggest that mixotrophic species may become
361 more heterotrophic with abundant and available food sources, which alters their functional
362 role in food webs and the carbon cycle. Indeed, a shift towards more heterotrophic nutrition
363 may change the isotopic signature of mixotrophic specimens, and therefore their functional
364 role from primary production towards consumers [11]. Such results highlight that dynamics in
365 prey-predator abundance could modify the functional role of mixotrophic species in the
366 microbial food web. Furthermore, these results also suggest that mixotrophic species can
367 easily outcompete other competitors when food source are rare in networks by shifting on
368 their endosymbionts [10]. Hence, our results provide reason to expect that mixotrophic life
369 history trait play key role for both prey abundance and microbial food web structure
370 associated to *Sphagnum*.

371 In conclusion, our study demonstrates that nitrogen and carbon isotopic signatures of
372 microorganisms are useful for estimating food web structure of microbial network associated
373 to *Sphagnum*. These results show that testate amoeba consumers occupy different trophic
374 levels within the microbial food web, depending to their feeding behavior, the density of their
375 food resources and their metabolism (i.e. mixotrophy vs. heterotrophy). Our findings provide
376 experimental support for the novel hypothesis that mixotrophy has key implications in food
377 web structure and functioning [62, 63]. Given the key position of mixotrophs in microbial
378 community in peatlands, changes in their functional role towards primary producers to
379 consumers (or vice versa) may cascade through food webs, altering species interactions as
380 well as the magnitude and direction of carbon fluxes.

381

382 **Acknowledgments**

383 This research is a contribution of the ANR PEATWARM project (Effect of moderate
384 warming on the functioning of *Sphagnum* peatlands and their function as carbon sink) and the
385 μ POL-AIR project (Use of *Sphagnum*-peatlands to quantify the deposition of long-range air
386 pollutants and to evaluate their impact on environment). PEATWARM is supported by the
387 French National Agency for Research under the “Vulnerability, Environment—Climate”
388 Program (ANR-07-VUL-010) and μ POL-AIR by PRIMEQUAL program (support of French
389 Ministry of Environment and ADEME) (2010-Q.3-Chorus2100082984). Further funding was
390 provided to VEJ.J by the Franche-Comté Region, to CM by μ POL-AIR project and to
391 EAD.M and AP.C. by the University of Neuchâtel. The authors would like to thank T. Sime-
392 Ngando and J. Colombet (University of Clermont-Ferrand, FR) for their help with the flow
393 cytometry and two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on the manuscript.

394

395

396 **References**

- 397 1. Thompson RM, Brose U, Dunne JA, Hall Jr RO, Hladyz S, Kitching RL, Martinez ND,
398 Rantala H, Romanuk TN, Stouffer DB, Tylianakis JM (2012) Food webs: reconciling the
399 structure and function of biodiversity. *Trends Ecol Evol* 27: 689-697.
- 400 2. Bascompte J (2010) Structure and dynamics of ecological networks. *Science* 329: 765-766.
- 401 3. De Deyn GB, Raaijmakers CE, van Ruijven J, Berendse F, van der Putten WH (2004) The
402 response of nematodes from different trophic levels in the soil food web to the identity and
403 diversity of plant species. *Oikos* 106: 576-586.
- 404 4. Ings TC, Montoya JM, Bascompte J, Blüthgen N, Brown L, Dormann CF, Edwards F,
405 Figueroa1 D, Jacob U, Jones JI, Lauridsen RB, LedgerME, Lewis HM, Olesen JM, Van
406 Veen FJF, Warren PH, Woodward G (2009) Ecological networks – beyond food webs. *J*
407 *Anim Ecol* 78: 253-269.
- 408 5. Rooney N, McCann KS (2012) Integrating food web diversity, structure and stability.
409 *Trends Ecol Evol* 27: 40-46.
- 410 6. Stouffer DB, Sales-Pardo M, Sizer MI, Bascompte J (2012) Evolutionary Conservation of
411 Species' Roles in Food Webs. *Science* 335: 1489-1492.
- 412 7. Kuwae T, Miyoshi E, Hosokawa S, Ichimi K, Hosoya J, Amano T, Moriya T, Kondoh M,
413 Ydenberg RC, Elnor RW (2012) Variable and complex food web structures revealed by
414 exploring missing trophic links between birds and biofilm. *Ecol Let* 15: 347-356.
- 415 8. Pascal PY, Dupuy C, Richard P, Rzeznik-Orignac J, Niquil N (2008) Bacterivory of a
416 mudflat nematode community under different environmental conditions. *Mar Biol* 154: 671-
417 682.
- 418 9. Petchey OL, McPhearson PT, Casey TM, Morin PJ (1999) Environmental warming alters
419 food-web structure and ecosystem function. *Nature* 402: 69-72.
- 420 10. Tittel J, Bissinger V, Zippel B, Gaedke U, Bell E, Lorke A, Kamjunke N (2003)

- 421 Mixotrophs combine resource use to outcompete specialists: Implications for aquatic food
422 webs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 12776-12781.
- 423 11. Wilken S, Huisman J, Naus-Wiezer S, Donk E (2013) Mixotrophic organisms become
424 more heterotrophic with rising temperature. Ecol Let 16: 225-233.
- 425 12. Jasey VEJ, Shimano S, Dupuy C, Toussaint ML, Gilbert D (2012) Characterizing the
426 Feeding Habits of the Testate Amoebae *Hyalosphenia papilio* and *Nebela tincta* along a
427 Narrow “Fen-Bog” Gradient Using Digestive Vacuole Content and 13C and 15N Isotopic
428 Analyses. Protist 163, 451-464.
- 429 13. Anderson C, Cabana G (2009) Anthropogenic alterations of lotic food web structure,
430 evidence from the use of nitrogen isotopes. Oikos 118: 1929-1939.
- 431 14. Carscallen WMA, Vanderberg K, Lawson JM, Martinez ND, Romanuk TN (2012)
432 Estimating trophic position in marine and estuarine food webs. Ecosphere 3: 1-20.
- 433 15. Mao ZG, Gu XH, Zeng QF, Zhou LH, Sun MB (2012) Food web structure of a shallow
434 eutrophic lake (Lake Taihu, China) assessed by stable isotope analysis. Hydrobiol 683: 173-
435 183.
- 436 16. Schmidt SN, Olden JD, Solomon CT, Vander Zanden MJ (2007) Quantitative approaches
437 to the analysis of stable isotope food web data. Ecology 88: 2793-2802.
- 438 17. Vander Zanden MJ, Rasmussen JB (1999) Primary consumer delta C-13 and delta N-15
439 and the trophic position of aquatic consumers. Ecology 80: 1395-1404.
- 440 18. Mitchell EAD, Charman DJ, Warner BG (2008) Testate amoebae analysis in ecological
441 and paleoecological studies of wetlands, past, present and future. Biodiv Conserv 17: 2115-
442 2137.
- 443 19. Gilbert D, Amblard C, Bourdier G, Francez AJ, Mitchell EAD (2000) Le régime
444 alimentaire des thécamoebiens (Protista, Sarcodina). Année Biol 3: 57-68.
- 445 20. Gilbert D, Mitchell E.A.D, Amblard C, Bourdier G, Francez AJ (2003) Population

- 446 dynamics and food preferences of the testate amoeba *Nebela tinctoria major-bohemica-collaris*
447 complex (Protozoa) in a *Sphagnum* peatland. Acta Protozool 42: 99-104.
- 448 21. Laybourn J, Whymant L (1980) The effect of diet and temperature on reproductive rate in
449 *Arcella vulgaris* ehrenberg (Sarcodina, Testacida). Oecologia 45: 282-284.
- 450 22. Yeates GW, Foissner W (1995) Testate amoebae as predators of nematodes. Biol Fert
451 Soils 20: 1-7.
- 452 23. Meyer C, Bernard N, Moskura M, Toussaint ML, Denayer F, Gilbert D (2010) Effects of
453 urban particulate deposition on microbial communities living in bryophytes, An experimental
454 study. Ecotox Environ Safe 73: 1776-1784.
- 455 24. Meyer C, Gilbert D, Gillet F, Moskura M, Franchi M, Bernard N (2012) Using
456 Bryophyte-Testate Amoebae Microsystems as Indicators of Atmospheric Pollution at Three
457 Different Sites (Rural, Urban and Industrial). Ecol Ind 13: 144-151.
- 458 25. Meyer C, Desalme D, Bernard N, Binet P, Toussaint ML, Gilbert D (2013) Using testate
459 amoebae as potential biointegrators of atmospheric deposition of phenanthrene (polycyclic
460 aromatic hydrocarbon) on "moss/soil interface-testate amoebae community"
461 microecosystems. Ecotoxicology 22: 287-294.
- 462 26. Aoki Y, Hoshino M, Matsubara T (2007) Silica and testate amoebae in a soil under pine-
463 oak forest. Geoderma 142: 29-35.
- 464 27. Jasey VEJ, Chiapusio G, Binet P, Buttler A, Laggoun-Défarge F, Delarue F, Bernard N,
465 Mitchell EAD, Toussaint ML, Francez AJ, Gilbert D (2013) Above- and belowground
466 linkages in *Sphagnum*-peatland: warming affects plant-microbial interactions. Glob Change
467 Biol 19: 811-823.
- 468 28. Schröter D, Wolters V, De Ruiter PC (2003) C and N mineralization in the decomposer
469 food webs of a European forest transect. Oikos 102: 294-308
- 470 29. Wilkinson DM, Mitchell EAD (2010) Testate amoebae and nutrient cycling with

- 471 particular reference to soils. *Geomicrobiol J* 27: 520-533.
- 472 30. Fournier B, Malysheva E, Mazei Y, Moretti M, Mitchell EAD (2012) Toward the use of
473 testate amoeba functional traits as indicator of floodplain restoration success. *Eur J Soil Biol*
474 49: 85-91.
- 475 31. Chacharonis P (1956) Observations on the ecology of protozoa associated with
476 *Sphagnum*. *J Protozool* 3: 11-11.
- 477 32. Schönborn W (1965) Untersuchungen über die Zoochlorellen-Symbiose der Hochmorr-
478 Testacean. *Limnology* 5: 173-176.
- 479 33. Booth RK, Sullivan ME, Sousa VA (2008) Ecology of testate amoebae in a North
480 Carolina pocosin and their potential use as environmental and paleoenvironmental indicators.
481 *Ecoscience* 15: 277-289.
- 482 34. Heal OW (1962) Abundance and microdistribution of testate amoebae (Protozoa:
483 Rhizopoda) in *Sphagnum*. *Oikos* 13: 35-47.
- 484 35. Heal OW (1964) Observations on the seasonal and spatial-distribution of testacea
485 (protozoa, rhizopoda) in *Sphagnum*. *J Animal Ecol* 33: 395-412.
- 486 36. Heal OW (1961) The Distribution of Testate Amoebae (Rhizopoda: Testacea) in Some
487 Fens and Bogs in Northern England. *Zool J Linn Soc* 44: 369-382.
- 488 37. Flynn KJ, Stoecker DK, Mitra A, Raven JA, Glibert PM, Hansen PJ, Graneli E,
489 Burkholder JM (2012) Misuse of the phytoplankton-zooplankton dichotomy: the need to
490 assign organisms as mixotrophs within plankton functional types. *J Plankton Res.* doi:
491 10.1093/plankt/fbs062
- 492 38. Hartmann M, Grob C, Tarran GA, Martin AP, Burkill PH, Scanlan DJ, Zubkov MV
493 (2012) Mixotrophic basis of Atlantic oligotrophic ecosystems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109:
494 5756–5760.
- 495 39. Uthermölh H (1958) Zur vervollkommnung der quantitative phytoplankton-methodik. In.

- 496 Mitteilungen aus Institut Verhein Limnology 3: 1-38.
- 497 40. Bratbak G (1985) Bacterial biovolume and biomass estimations. *Appl Env Microb* 49:
498 1488-93.
- 499 41. Weisse T, Muller H, Pintocoelho RM, Schweizer A, Springmann D, Baldringer G (1990)
500 Response of the microbial loop to the phytoplankton spring bloom in a large prealpine lake.
501 *Limnol Ocean* 35: 781-94.
- 502 42. Gilbert D, Amblard C, Bourdier G, Francez AJ (1998) The microbial loop at the surface
503 of a peatland, Structure, function, and impact of nutrient input. *Microb Ecol* 35: 83-93.
- 504 43. Post DM (2002) The long and short of food-chain length. *Trends Ecol Evol* 17: 269-277.
- 505 44. Fox J, Weisberg S (2011) *An R Companion to Applied Regression*, Second Edition, Sage
- 506 45. Oksanen, J, Blanchet, G, Kindt, R, Legendre, P, O'Hara, R.G, Simpson, G.L, Solymos, P,
507 Stevens MHH, Wagner H (2010) *Vegan, Community Ecology Package*. R package version
508 1.17-1. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>
- 509 46. R Development Core Team (2012) *R, A Language and Environment for Statistical*
510 *Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 511 47. Kohzu A, Iwata, T, Kato M, Nishikawa, J, Wada E, Amartuvshin, N, Namkhaidorj B,
512 Fujita N (2009) Food webs in Mongolian grasslands : The analysis of ¹³C and ¹⁵N natural
513 abundances. *Iso. Env. Heal. Stu.* 45: 208-219.
- 514 48. Segers H (2008) Global diversity of rotifers (Rotifera) in freshwater. *Hydrobiol* 595: 49-
515 59.
- 516 49. Sharma BK (2005) Rotifer communities of floodplain lakes of the Brahmaputra basin of
517 lower Assam (NE India), biodiversity, distribution and ecology. *Hydrobiol* 533: 209-221.
- 518 50. Ponsard S, Arditi R, Jost C (2000) Assessing top-down and bottom-up control in a litter-
519 based soil macroinvertebrate food chain. *Oikos* 89: 524-540.
- 520 51. Scheu S, Falca M (2000) The soil food web beech forests (*Fagus sylvatica*) of contrasting

521 hulus type: stable isotope analysis of a macro- and a mesofauna-dominated community.
522 Oecol. 123: 285-296.

523 52. Akin S, Winemiller KO (2008) Body size and trophic position in a temperate estuarine
524 food web. Acta Oecol 33: 144-153.

525 53. Stephens DW, Krebs JR (1986) Foraging Theory. Princeton, Princeton University Press.

526 54. Schneider FD, Scheu S, Brose U (2012) Body mass constraints on feeding rates determine
527 the consequences of predator loss. Ecol Let 15: 436-443.

528 55. Stouffer DB, Camacho J, Amaral LAN (2005) Quantitative analysis of the local structure
529 of food webs. Ecology 86: 1301-1311.

530 56. Brose U, Dunne JA, Montoya JM, Petchey OL, Schneider FD, Jacob U (2012) Climate change in
531 size-structured ecosystems Introduction. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Biol. Sci. 367: 2903-2912.

532 57. Barnes C, Maxwell D, Reuman DC, Jennings S (2010) Global patterns in predator–prey
533 size relationships reveal size dependency of trophic transfer efficiency. Ecology 91: 222-232.

534 58. Heckmann L, Drossel B, Brose U, Guill C (2012) Interactive effects of body-size structure
535 and adaptive foraging on food-web stability. Ecol Let 15: 243-250.

536 59. Layman CA, Winemiller KO, Arrington DA, Jepsen DB (2005) Body size and trophic
537 position in a diverse tropical food web. Ecology 86: 2530-2535.

538 60. Thierry A, Petchey OL, Beckerman AP, Warren PH, Williams RJ (2011) The
539 consequences of size dependent foraging for food web topology. Oikos 120: 493-502.

540 61. Bergschneider H, Muller-Parker G (2008) Nutritional role of two algal symbionts in the
541 temperate sea anemone *Anthopleura elegantissima* Brandt. Biol. Bul. 215: 73-88.

542 62. Lopez-Urrutia A, San Martin E, Harris RP, Irigoien X (2006) Scaling the metabolic
543 balance of the oceans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 8739–8744.

544 63. Yvon-Durocher G, Jones JI, Trimmer M, Woodward G, Montoya JM (2010) Warming
545 alters the metabolic balance of ecosystems. Philos Trans R Soc B-Biol Sci 365: 2117–2126.

546 64. Yeates GW, Bongers T, Degoede RGM, Freckman DW, Georgieva SS (1993) Feeding-
547 habits in soil nematode families and genera- an outline for soil ecologists. *J Nematol* 25: 315-
548 331.
549

550 **Table 1:** Number of specimen sampled (n), weight of species sampled (mg, mean \pm standard error), isotopic ratios (‰, mean \pm standard error) of
 551 carbon and nitrogen in *Sphagnum fallax*, microbial groups and testate amoeba species. Trophic level, feeding type and strategy of microbial
 552 groups and testate amoeba species.

553

Group	Species name	n	Sample weight (mg)	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)	Trophic level	Feeding type ^a	Feeding strategy
Bryophyte	<i>Sphagnum fallax</i>		0.93 \pm 0.01	-28.0 \pm 0.2	-5.4 \pm 0.1	1.0		autotroph
Particulate matter + Bacteria			0.83 \pm 0.04	-27.3 \pm 0.2	-1.9 \pm 0.2	2.0	1A	
Microalgae*			0.96 \pm 0.1	-26.8 \pm 0.1	-6.7 \pm 1.4	1.0	0A	autotroph
Testate amoeba	<i>Arcella vulgaris</i> *	250	0.23	-26.8	-0.97	2.3	2C	B, CA, Fu [21]
	<i>Archerella flavum</i> *	4500	0.46	-25.7	1.0	2.9	2A	B Lara, personal communication
	<i>Bullinaria indica</i> *	330	0.19	-26.4	-0.97	2.3	2C	B, CA present paper
	<i>Euglypha compressa</i> *	50	0.07	-25.0	-0.93	2.3	2C	B, CA [19]
	<i>Hyalosphenia papilio</i>	1800	0.51 \pm 0.05	-21.6 \pm 0.5	-1.2 \pm 0.1	2.3	2B	CA, Fu, Fl, Ci, T, M [12]
	<i>Nebela tinctoria</i> *	150	0.07	-25.9	-0.6	2.4	2C	CA, Fu, Fl, Ci, T, M [19, 20, 12]
Rotifers*		300	0.09	-24.3	-0.5	2.4	2C	Filter feeders
Nematodes*		300	0.16	-25.4	0.5	2.7	2A	B [64]

* pooled species

Food sources: B = bacteria; Fu = Fungi; CA = cyanobacteria and microalgae; Fl = flagellates; Ci = ciliates; T = testate amoebae; M = micrometazoan (rotifers, nematodes)

Feeding type: 0A = photosynthetic organism (protist); 1 = OM feeders; 2A = bacterivores; 2B = primary producer feeders; 2C = bacteria and primary producer feeders

554 **Table 2:** Relative proportion of the identified prey ingested by *Hyalosphenia papilio*.
 555 Relative proportion of the abundance of microbial groups in *Sphagnum fallax*, excluding
 556 bacteria. CA = microalgae and cyanobacteria. Relative proportion of the biomass of the
 557 identified prey ingested by *H. papilio*.
 558

	Frequency of the preys ingested by <i>H. papilio</i> (%)	Frequency of identified prey (%)	Frequency of ingested organisms in term of biomass (%)
CA	80%	82.4%	9.8%
Fungi	12%	11.8%	20.1%
Ciliates	6%	0.4%	49.3%
Testate amoebae	0%	4.7%	< 0.1%
Rotifers	0.6%	0.5%	0.8%
Nematods	0%	0.2%	< 0.1%

559
 560
 561
 562

563 **Figure Legends**

564

565 **Figure 1:** (a) Relative biomass of different microbial groups (% of the total microbial
566 community) in a *Sphagnum fallax* carpet. (b) Relative abundance (%) of the main testate
567 amoeba species (% of the total testate amoeba community).

568 **Figure 2:** Stable isotope ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) food web diagram of the major microbial groups and
569 testate amoeba taxa in *Sphagnum fallax*. Symbols are as follows, Sph_fal, *Sphagnum fallax*;
570 CA, cyanobacteria + microalgae; Rot, rotifers; Nem, nematodes; FOMB, fine organic matter
571 in association with bacteria; Arc_vulg, *Arcella vulgaris*; Arch fla, *Archerella flavum*;
572 Bul_ind, *Bullinularia indica*; Eug_comp, *Euglypha compressa*; Hya_pap, *Hyalosphenia*
573 *papilio*; Neb_tin, *Nebela tinctoria*. Dark-grey circles represent the herbivory pathway, and light-
574 grey circles the detritivory pathway.

575 **Figure 3:** (a) Hierarchical clustering (Bray and Curtis index method) of microbial groups and
576 testate amoeba species from *Sphagnum fallax* based on their $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, isotopic nitrogen
577 and carbon ratio, and trophic level (see text for details). (b) Trophic level of the different
578 testate amoebae species and microbial groups.

579 **Figure 4:** (a) Contribution of food sources to the diet of *Hyalosphenia papilio*. Error bars,
580 S.E. CA = microalgae + cyanobacteria. (b) Trophic level of *H. papilio* vs. the contribution of
581 ciliates and CA to total diet of *H. papilio*. This figure includes some results from Jassey et al.
582 (2012).

583

584