

Impact of climate change on aquatic ecosystems along the Asse river network

T. Cipriani, François Tilmant, F. Branger, Eric Sauquet, T. Datry

▶ To cite this version:

T. Cipriani, François Tilmant, F. Branger, Eric Sauquet, T. Datry. Impact of climate change on aquatic ecosystems along the Asse river network. Proceedings of FRIEND-Water 2014, Oct 2014, Hanoi, France. 6 p. hal-01419537

HAL Id: hal-01419537 https://hal.science/hal-01419537

Submitted on 16 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Impact of climate change on aquatic ecosystems along the Asse river network

THOMAS CIPRIANI¹, FRANÇOIS TILMANT¹, FLORA BRANGER¹, ERIC SAUQUET¹ & THIBAULT DATRY²

1 Irstea, Hydrology-Hydraulics Research Unit, 5 rue de la Doua, CS70077, 69626 Villeurbanne cedex, France thomas.cipriani@irstea.fr

2 Irstea, Freshwater Systems, Ecology and Pollution Research Unit, 5 rue de la Doua, CS70077, 69626 Villeurbanne cedex, France

Abstract Intermittent rivers and their ecosystem will have to face climate change during the 21st century, with possible more frequent and more severe droughts leading to changes in biodiversity. The Asse River basin is one of the tributaries of the Durance River basin experiencing dry conditions. A framework was developed to simulate flows and biodiversity richness of intermittent rivers. The approach involves two rainfall-runoff models with distinct structures and a post-processing technique to simulate zero flow events. Perturbed meteorological forcings (downscaled GCM projections and biased resampled observed time series) are considered to study the biological response and intermittence. Results suggest that, by 2050, (i) zero flow events could be more frequent, (2) durations of zero-flows event are expected to increase, and (3) the consequence could be a loss of approximately 2 taxa. Sensitivity analysis demonstrates also that this basin is very sensitive to changes in total precipitation between June and November.

Key words intermittence; rivers; Durance; climate change, hydro-ecology, hydrological modelling

INTRODUCTION

Intermittent rivers (IRs) represent a large proportion of the network and are not restricted to areas under arid climate (e.g. Snelder *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, in the perspective of global change this proportion may increase (Larned *et al.*, 2010). Nevertheless only a few studies concentrate on hydrological modelling of IRs, and knowledge on their biodiversity richness and relationship to river flow regime is still limited leading to nonexistent management to protect the current ecosystems (Datry *et al.*, 2011). The Asse River is located in the Southern part of France, which experiences intermittent flow in the downstream part. This basin is considered as representative of the Mediterranean IRs within the Durance River basin and has been monitored since 2008 to analyze IR biodiversity and its controls.

The objective of this study is to capitalize on previous studies (Datry, 2012; Larned *et al.*, 2011), to model change in intermittence and to assess potential impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem services for the 2050s for the Asse River. This is one of the main issues addressed by the national research project R²D²-2050 (Risk, water Resources and sustainable Development within the Durance river basin in 2050; <u>http://r2d2-2050.cemagref.fr/</u>).

Two rainfall-runoff models, including GR4J (Perrin *et al.*, 2003) and J2000 (Krause and Hanisch, 2009) were applied to simulate discharge time series at the gauging station located at La Clue de Chabrières (LCL). Then, a post processing procedure was carried out to simulate zero flows downstream to LCL gauging station. An ensemble of perturbed climate conditions was used to study changes in river flow regime. Flow intermittence~biodiversity relationships generated under present-day conditions were then applied to predict possible future changes in biodiversity.

STUDY AREA AND DATA SET

The Asse River basin (660 km²) is located in southeastern France (Fig 1(a)). The river flow regime is partly influenced by snow melt processes in the upper part of the basin due to high elevation (the highest elevation is 2282 m.a.s.l) whereas the Mediterranean climate does lead to severe low flow events and drives the seasonal variations of runoff contribution in the alluvial plain (Fig 1(b)).

Observed discharges are available at LCL gauging station (375 km²) upstream (Fig 1(c)). In addition 13 cross-sections are regularly gauged (13 spot gauging data during the period 2004-

Cipriani et al.

2010). This monitoring system shows losses occur to the underlying aquifer between Section 1 and 7 and downstream to Section 8 before merging with the Durance River. Benthic and hyporheic invertebrates were collected twice a year - in autumn and in spring – in addition to point flow measurements from the 13 sites along the alluvial plain. Dates for invertebrate collection were centred on the 15^{th} October and the 15^{th} April of each year. The samples are representative of conditions before and after observed dry events and therefore characterized the invertebrate richness in spring and in autumn, respectively.

Daily climate time series were extracted from the DuO database (Magand *et al.*, submitted) which is a hybrid of two re-analyses: Safran (Vidal *et al.*, 2010) and SPAZM (Gottardi *et al.*, 2012). The FAO-Penman formulation (Allen *et al.*, 1998) was used to compute reference evapotranspiration.

For this study, 300 climate projections derived from the ENSEMBLES Stream2 GCMs under the A1B emissions scenario, downscaled with three statistical procedures (Lafaysse *et al.*, submitted), were used.



Fig. 1 (a) Location of the monitored sites within the Asse River basin. (b) View of the alluvial plain. (c) Long-term average monthly discharge is displayed at La Clue de Chabrières gauging station (the dotted line refers to the long-term average annual discharge).

MODELLING FRAMEWORK

Two hydrological models were adopted for simulating natural daily discharge at Section 13 and at LCL gauging station where an analysis of performance is possible. The GR4J model is a daily lumped rainfall-runoff model (Perrin *et al.*, 2003). The four parameters calibrated against the observed discharges at LCL gauging station were transferred to the downstream cross-section 13 and slightly modified to fit against spot-gauging data. The distributed physically based model J2000 (Krause and Hanisch, 2009) simulated processes including river flows using the concept of Hydrological Response Units (HRUs). The J2000 model is not calibrated.

Considering the period of records between 1961 and 2009 at LCL gauging station with perennial flows, the Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency criterion computed on log transformed flows was 0.69 and 0.63 for the GR4J and J2000 models, respectively. A more satisfactory fit between observed and simulated flows was obtained with the GR4J model as no calibration procedure was considered for the J2000 model.

At Section 13 both models failed to reproduce dry river conditions. A post-processing technique of the simulated discharges (quantile mapping approach, e.g. Snover *et al.*, 2003) was therefore carried out to simulate zero-flow events (Fig. 2). The procedure was first tested with other strategies on another temporary French river basin, the Albarine River basin (Larned *et al.*,

2011) and was found the most reliable way to model flow intermittence without modifying the structures of the models.

In addition, discharges at gauged sites were estimated, as a first guess, by linear interpolation along the river network between 2003 and 2010 using the ELFMOD model (Larned *et al.*, 2011).



Fig. 2 Simulated and bias-corrected discharge time series at Section 13 for year 2009.

Flow permanence Fp, *i.e.* the number of flowing days over the period between two successive dates of invertebrate collection (expressed in %), and drying duration DD, *i.e.* the mean number of days with zero-flow observed per event over the period between the two successive dates of invertebrate sampling, were found to be the most explanatory variables for community richness and structure within the collected invertebrate samples. This result is in accordance with other sites (Datry, 2012). The empirical regression shown in Fig. 3 indicates that 2.6 taxa disappear for every 10% decrease in Fp.



Fig. 3 Biological models relating flow permanence Fp to invertebrate Richness.



Fig. 4 Bias-corrected statistics of zero flow events at Section 13 for the period 1961-2009 (a: GR4J; b: J2000).

The GR4J model suggests less severe low-flow conditions than the J2000 model (Fig. 4) with a mean annual Fp of 0.92 and 0.74 for GR4J and J2000, respectively. No flow was observed at Section 13 for 3 of the 13 measuring campaigns. Hence if the gauged conditions are supposed to

be representative of the river flow regime, the mean annual Fp is 0.77 (=1-3/13), which is an intermediate value between the two estimations.

PROJECTED CHANGES AND SENSITIVITY TO CLIMATE VARIATIONS

Only results obtained by the GR4J model are shown here. The GR4J model was forced by 300 climate projections derived from the ENSEMBLES Stream2 GCMs under the A1B emissions scenario, downscaled with three statistical procedures (Lafaysse *et al.*, submitted). Changes were examined for model runs under perturbed climate data between control period (1980-2009) and future period (2036-2065).

Under current day condition, in the majority of cases, zero-flow events occur between June and November. There is a weak auto-correlation within the discharge time series at Section 13 (partial autocorrelation is less than 0.07 for lag time higher than 4 days) explaining why the analysis of climate change focuses on temperature T and total precipitation P during the June-November period.

All of the projections suggest an increase in air temperature dT between +0.9 and +3.3°C on average during the June-November period. There is less consistency on total precipitation P during the June-November period with dP between -25% and +12%.

Most of the scenarios lead to changes in *DD* between -10 and +18 days (mean: +1.5 days) and in annual *Fp* between -14 and +7% (mean: -3.5%) (Fig. 5). A consistent pattern was observed in Figure 5 for *Fp*: changes in *Fp* seem correlated with changes in *P*. No structure of correlation is evident for *DD*.

More significant changes were observed when flow permanence Fp is computed between the 15th April and the 15th October (min: -23%, mean: -5%, max: +11%). Consequently, after the zero flow period, in autumn, assuming that the empirical regression shown in Fig. 3 is still valid, change in *Richness* is given by:

$$dRichness = 0.256dFp$$

and all other things being equal *Richness* is expected to decrease with, on average, a loss of 1.3 taxa with dFp = -5%.

(1)



Fig. 5 Changes in flow intermittence projected by the GR4J model (the colors of the triangles are related to one GCM projection).

Climate expected by 2050 is significantly different from recent past climate. This may question the stationarity of the hydrological processes operating in the rainfall–runoff transformation. This hypothesis may not hold because land and vegetation cover will adapt in response to altered climatic conditions and considering parameters for the GR4J model constant over time is arguable. Therefore, a sensitivity analysis to climate variations around the current-day conditions (Fronzek *et al.*, 2010) was performed to complement the climate change impact study. Perturbed climates were derived from observations applying the modified KNN resampling technique (Hendrickx and Sauquet, 2013). The KNN scenarios were used as inputs of GR4J. Changes in annual Fp are estimated by a quadratic polynomial with the two variables dP and dT fitted to the set of simulated Fp ($r^2=0.96$):

$$dFp = 2.38(dP/10) - 0.722dT - 0.246(dP/10)^2$$
⁽²⁾

A similar relationship ($r^2 = 0.93$) was fitted to predict changes in Fp between the 15th April and the 15th October:

$$dFp = 2.95(dP/10) - 1.348dT - 0.272(dP/10)^2$$
(3)

Figure 6 shows that changes in Fp for this basin were more related to changes in rainfall regime than to changes in air temperature and results partly confirm those obtained with climate change scenarios (Fig. 5)). Black dots indicate results for a given KNN climate. Anomalies for specific years are indicated by grey dots (year and its related Fp are indicated above and below the dots, respectively). All other things being equal 1.8 taxa may disappear as result of a 20% decrease in total precipitation during the June-November period.



Fig. 6. Sensitivity analysis of Fp calculated between the 15th April and the 15th October to climate anomalies from June to November (• KNN scenario; • observed year).

DISCUSSION

The results obtained with the two models show that modelling flow intermittence is a difficult task for hydrologists. The two rainfall-runoff models were not easily adapted to simulate dry conditions and zero-flow events, and an additional post-processing requiring days with observed zero flow is necessary.

The mean air temperature is likely to increase over the next decades for the Asse River basin. Projected changes in flow permanency may lead to the decrease in species richness, due to increasing zero-flow events. The sensitivity analysis to climate variations performed with the GR4J model showed that, for this basin, Fp is more sensitive to rainfall regime than to air temperature.

To date, the effects of climate change on freshwater biodiversity have been assessed mostly through the responses of communities to increasing water temperature (e.g. Ormerod, 2009; Heino *et al.* 2009). To our knowledge, this study is the first to attempt predicting the effects of increasing flow intermittence on river biodiversity. Our results indicate that from 1 to 2 species may be lost from invertebrate communities due to increasing flow intermittence around 2050 in the Asse

Cipriani et al.

River. Yet, this exercise has some limitations. Firstly, some invasive species could take advantage of this increasing harshness to colonize the river and out compete some present-day species. However, integrating this aspect is out of the scope of the present study. Secondly, changes in community diversity do not necessarily reflect changes in community function (Bonada *et al.* 2007). Some species may be lost without resulting in severe changes in ecosystem functions, thanks to species redundancy (Lawton and Brown, 1993). In contrast, some key species loss may lead to irreversible and dramatic changes in ecosystem functions. Therefore, our analysis needs to be refined in the future to identify which species would most likely disappear from river communities. Moreover, such modelling exercise would benefit to be applied in other river basins, notably in those with different spatio-temporal pattern and magnitude in flow intermittence than the Asse River (e.g. Datry, 2012; Larned *et al.*, 2011). One perspective of this future study will consist in transferring this framework into different basins on several continents to have a broader view of biodiversity changes related to increasing flow intermittence. This is one goal of the recently started IRBAS international project (www.irbas.fr).

Uncertainty related to hydrological modelling under current climatic conditions is high (e.g. the noticeable difference between long-term average Fp estimated by the two models). The next step will be to confirm the results of the sensitivity analysis applying J2000.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study is one of the issues addressed by the national project R^2D^2 -2050 "Risk, water Resources and sustainable Development within the Durance river basin in 2050" (https://r2d2-2050.cemagref.fr/). The project R^2D^2 -2050 is partly supported by funding from the GICC programme of the French Ministry for Ecology.

REFERENCES

- Allen, R.G. et al. (1998) Crop evapotranspiration guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and drainage 56, FAO Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Roma.
- Bonada, N., Dolédec, S. & Statzner, B. (2007) Taxonomic and biological trait differences of stream macroinvertebrate communities between Mediterranean and temperate regions: implications for future climatic scenarios. *Global Change Biology* 13(8), 1658–1671.
- Datry, T. (2012) Benthic and hyporheic invertebrate assemblages along a flow intermittence gradient: effects of duration of dry events. *Freshw. Biol.* 57, 563–574.
- Datry, T. et al. (2011) Recent perspectives on temporary river ecology. Aquatic Sciences 73, 453–457, doi 10.1007/s00027-011-0236-1
- Fronzek, S. *et al.* (2010) Applying probabilistic projections of climate change with impact models: a case study for sub-arctic palsa mires in Fennoscandia. *Climatic Change* 99, 515–534.
- Gottardi, F. *et al.* (2012) Statistical reanalysis of precipitation fields based on ground network data and weather patterns: application over French mountains. *J. Hydrol.*, 432-433, 154–167.
- Heino, J., Virkkala, R. & Toivonen, H. (2009) Climate change and freshwater biodiversity: detected patterns, future trends and adaptations in northern regions. *Biological Reviews* 84(1), 39–54.
- Hendrickx, F. & Sauquet, E. (2013) Impact of warming climate on water management for the Ariège River basin (France). *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 58(4), 1–17
- Krause, P. & Hanisch S. (2009) Simulation and analysis of the impact of projected climate change on the spatially distributed water balance in Thuringia, Germany. Advances in Geosciences 21, 33–48.
- Lafaysse, M. et al. (2013) Sources of uncertainties in future climate and hydrological projections: the Alpine Durance basin. Water Resources Research, submitted.
- Larned, S.T. et al. (2011) Longitudinal river ecohydrology: flow variation down the lengths of alluvial rivers. Ecohydrology doi: 10.1002/eco.126
- Lawton, J. H. & Brown, V. K. (1993) Redundancy in ecosystems (pp. 255-270). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Magand, C. *et al.* (2013) Introducing hysteresis in snow depletion curves to improve the water budget of a land surface model in an Alpine catchment. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, submitted.
- Ormerod, S. J. (2009). Climate change, river conservation and the adaptation challenge. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 19(6), 609–613.
- Perrin, C. et al. (2003) Improvement of a parsimonious model for streamflow simulation. J. Hydrol. 279, 275-289.
- Snelder, T.H. et al. (2013) Regionalization of patterns of flow intermittence from gauging station records. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 17, 2685–2699, doi:10.5194/hess-17-2685–2013.
- Snover, A.K., A.F. Hamlet & D.P. Lettenmaier (2003) Climate-change scenarios for water planning studies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 84(11), 1513–1518.
- Vidal, J.-P. et al. (2010) A 50-year high-resolution atmospheric reanalysis over France with the Safran system. International Journal of Climatology 30 (11), 1627–1644.