



HAL
open science

Towards the introduction of an autonomous transportation line in a multimodal transportation system

Dihya Atmani, Fabio Galatioto, Neila Bhourri, Patrizia Franco, Jean Patrick Lebacque, Margareth Bell, Habib Haj Salem

► **To cite this version:**

Dihya Atmani, Fabio Galatioto, Neila Bhourri, Patrizia Franco, Jean Patrick Lebacque, et al.. Towards the introduction of an autonomous transportation line in a multimodal transportation system. ARTS ECR 2015, Autonomic Road Transport Support Systems Early Career Researcher Conference, May 2015, La valetta, France. 8p. hal-01416167

HAL Id: hal-01416167

<https://hal.science/hal-01416167>

Submitted on 14 Dec 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Towards the introduction of an autonomous transportation line in a multimodal transportation system

Dihya Atmani
PHD student
IFSTTAR, France

Dr Fabio Galatioto
Research fellow
University of Newcastle

Dr Neila Bhourri
Research fellow
IFSTTAR, France

Dr Patrizia Franco
Research fellow
University of Newcastle

Dr Jean-Patrick Lebacque
Research fellow
IFSTTAR

Pr Margareth Bell
Research fellow
University of Newcastle

Pr Habib Haj-Salem
Research fellow
IFSTTAR, France

Abstract

This paper presents a new transport management system based on the concept of Autonomic Road Transport Support systems (ARTS). It presents the first attempt of setting, using an agent based model, which implement and assesses the agent's behaviours and transport impacts of the gradual implementation of an ARTS system by implementing a set of transitioning scenarios.

1. Introduction

The changing environment of the road transport system imposes new challenges on road traffic management research and applications. Some of today problems, such as increase in car use, causing congestion may be still present in the future and in the short- to medium-term will result in delays and elevated vehicle emissions. Congestion problems affect the economy and health with wider environmental impacts such as climate change. Solutions that address climate and air quality targets need scientific evidence and a wider knowledge base upon which to better inform decisions not just in the context of traffic management from day to day but also in longer term strategic land use planning with integration of public and non-motorised transport systems, to reduce the number of vehicle kilometres travelled, VKT, (Bell, 2008).

Thus, the need to assign road users to public transportation systems becomes an obligation. The best way to have an optimal assignment is to use Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) technologies which provides us with real-time information. Today, interpretation of this real-time information is achieved through engineering judgement carried out by highly trained

and experienced engineers who develop solutions in consultation with traffic managers and policy makers. As more and more ITS technologies come on board the data available on the information platform grows. Investment in low-cost CCTV surveillance and interactive wall to wall flat-screens to display textual, numeric, mapped and graphic data simultaneously from multiple technologies has widened the knowledge base upon which operators make decisions. However, to some extent the rapid growth in automatic ITS has placed the operator and decision maker into a situation of information overload and one can argue that the full potential of ITS is not fully reached. This is because network problems are solved within the capability of the operator and confined to what he/she can 'see' and therefore a new generation of 'super- intelligent' or 'smarter' systems is required.

In addition operators have to deal with other issues such as air quality targets and those of climate change whilst maintaining a good level of service. For this reason the traffic needs to be managed more intelligently keeping in mind the spatial and temporal changes in traffic flow on the one hand but also respecting local policies (air quality, noise, parking restraint, heavy goods vehicle restrictions etc.) and the nature of the built environment and location of homes in respect of the network. The data processing and analysis to deliver such multi-objective traffic control and management requires sophistication beyond current control systems. This is why we chose to model the problem as a multiagent system.

2. Global aim and objectives

The global aim of this work is to assess the need to introduce an autonomic transport system. To do so, we first model a multimodal transportation system using an agent based environment. Then, we introduce, step by step, different transportation lines and evaluate the impact of each one of them on the system.

The specific objectives of the research are:

1. To investigate metrics to monitor the network performance suitable for optimising the flow balance across the network
2. To identify suitable scenarios that will represent the transition.
3. To analyse the data to create parameters that could be used to deliver self-optimisation property
4. To explore how to inform the autonomic property of self-optimisation.

In this way, the analysis will be mapped onto the self-optimisation property of an autonomic system and thus to identify the particular intelligent component of the status monitoring that makes the optimiser autonomic rather than automatic.

3. Multi agent based model for the multimodal transportation problem

3.1. State of the art on multiagent platforms

Many studies have been carried out to construct guidance systems in multimodal networks where the users equipped with information systems are provided with multimodal itineraries based on real time information during their journey. The most known platforms are the Transportation Analysis and Simulation System (TRANSIMS) which is an open source integrated transportation modeling and simulation toolbox for regional transportation system analysis, Multi-Agent Transport Simulation Toolkit (MATSim) which is a microsimulation platform implemented as a Java application that adopts the activity based approach to simulate travelers activities, Sacramento Activity-Based Travel Demand Simulation Model (SACSIM) which is a regional travel forecasting model used by the Sacramento Area Council of Governments to simulate each individual's full day activity and travel plan. We also cite the work of (Kamoun, 2007) and (Zidi,2006) who used a multiagent architecture to create a guidance system that assigns the travelers to a multimodal itinerary of minimal cost. Introducing guidance brings an important question: How to calculate an assignment scheme that keeps the system in equilibrium without penalizing the users? For this issue, (Ma & Lebacque, 2012) calculate the system optimal predictive dynamic assignment using a

multiagent simulation based model combined with a cross entropy algorithm.

Our agent based model is a multimodal guidance application that was developed under JADE. Its aim is to provide users with an optimal multimodal itinerary. The main characteristic of our platform is that it considers a portion of travelers that are not equipped with an information device and chose their route based on their previous knowledge of the network.

3.2. Description of the multi-agent systems

In order to implement the scenarios described above, we use a multi-agent simulation model. As in the social welfare domain, the multi-agent approach is often used to support the design of complex systems where several decision scales have to be taken into account. Indeed, the multi-agent domain is adapted to study the effects of individual behavior of an agent on the collective behavior and vice versa (see Bazzan and Klügl, 2013, Chen and Cheng, 2010, Bhourri et al., 2012). Therefore, we propose a multi-agent modeling to process our multimodal management problem.

These types of models seem to be the most suitable for our problem given its complexity and the interactions between the different types of entities involved in our model.

Our multi-agent architecture is constituted of two types of agents: User agents and central agents. Those agents are described as follows

3.2.1. Multi-agent architecture

Central agent

3.2.2. Cellular automata model

Cellular automata models are widely used in literature because they keep the main properties of a network while being relatively simple to use (Nagel and Schreckenberg, 1992; Maerivoet and De Moor, 2005).

At the entrance of an intersection, user agents send a travelling request to the central agent along with their preferred transportation modes. At time $t=0$, the central agent applies a Dijkstra algorithm to find the shortest path using the free travel times then sends back the following arc to take. This way, the shortest path is re-evaluated at each intersection. After the assignment of the agent is made on a given arc, the travel cost on that arc is updated as the experienced travel time by the user. The movement of users on the network is given as follows:

The user checks if the first cell of the arc is free and occupies it then verifies if the next one is free. If so, he moves along until the end of the arc. It is at this moment that the costs are updated as the traveling time experienced by the user. If the cell is occupied, the user waits in a buffer and renews his demand at the following simulation step. To move from one arc to another, the vehicle is buffered in a waiting queue until the first cell of the following arc is free, then he moves as described previously. The reader must also note that a buffer is created for each exit arc of an intersection but only one buffer is created at the entrance of the intersection. The movement of the particles is described in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Cellular automata model

When a user is assigned to a vehicular arc, the movement works differently than if it was assigned to a public transportation arc

To attend a public transportation arcs, the user must take a walking arc where he moves cell by cell. When the user attends the metro or bus node, he waits for the passage of the vehicle in an unlimited capacity buffer created at that node. The loading of the vehicles is made using a FIFO rule until the capacity of the vehicle is reached. As for the remaining users, they will wait for the following train/bus.

4. Comparative study

In order to assess the need to introduce an autonomic transportation system, we will hold a comparative study where we introduce different transportation lines to the system and evaluate its impact on the overall system. The steps as described as follows

- First, we settle the base case scenario which consists of a simple road network relating an origin o to a destination d . We use the assignment rule on this network and evaluate how the travel time evolves depending on the number of users in the system.
- Then, we introduce a railway line that relates the samed OD pair.
- After that, we introduce an additional bus line
- Finallay, we will introduce the autonomic line.

We will compare the travel times of each scenario and discuss how the introduction of each line brought a change into the overall performance of the system.

5. Computational study

In this section, we present the computational result of our agent based simulation model for the dynamic multimodal assignment problem applied on the scenarios described above. The results regarding the introduction of the autonomic line will be presented in the final paper.

The simulations are computed on a small archetype graph of 10 nodes and 10 arcs. This graph has been chosen because it connects two rural regions with a 2 way motorway but also with a train line. The length of the train line is of 8 km, while the length of the motorway is

of 6 km.

The speed of the train is set to 150km/h and the maximum speed on the motorway is set to 110km/h. The length of the rural arcs is of 1 km each and their speed is of 70km/h.




Figure2.Multimodal graph

The arc relating nodes 3 and 4 represent the motorway, the arcs in red represent the railway line. All the other arcs represent vehicular rural arcs.

The users going from node 1 will have two choices: use their car or take the train.

The travel time on the railway line remains unchanged and is equal to 4.91 minutes (294.6 s) while the free travel time on the road path is equal to 4.41 minutes (265 s). This means that at time $t=0$ it would be preferable to take the car.

We run the multi-agent simulations on this scenario for 10 minutes with a number of agents equal to 1000 in order to observe how the vehicular travel time evolves over time and according to the number of users that take the motorway.

We observe that the travel time on the path ((1,3),(3,4),(3,5)), which is the competitor of the railway path, increases with the increase of the number of users on the path and reaches 600 s which exceeds the travel time that would have been experienced with the railway line.

We now run the same simulations, except that this time we assign some travelers to the train. We assume that a train takes 300 passengers and that it circulates every 5 minutes. We will treat the same number of agents except this time we will assign 600 to the train, so only 400 users will use the motorway and observe whether or not the congestion has been reduced.

This time, we realize that the travel time still increases with the number of users on the motorway except the average travel time is now equal to 485.004 s as it was equal to 509.4s in the previous scenario. We can conclude that the introduction of the railway line reduced the congestion on the motorway.

Finally, we will now introduce a bus line on the road path which will run every two minutes. Overall, 5 buses will be launched, each one with a capacity of 40 passengers. The demand will be then split up as follow: 600 users will take the train, 200 will take the bus and 200 will take their cars. In this scenario, the bus is allowed to take the motorway but doesn't have a reserved line yet. Meaning that, the travel time of the bus, same as the car, will depend on the number of users taking the motorway..

The average travel time after introducing the bus line is equal to 411.93 s which is slightly lower than the travel time experienced in the previous scenario.

Figure 3 gives the comparison of the travel time of all three scenarios.

We can see that the figure representing the case where all users are assigned to the road network dominates all the other figures. Followed by the case where the users are split between the road network and the railway network. Meaning that the least travel time is experienced when there are three transportation modes present in the system. The results on regarding the autonomic line will be presented in the final paper.




Figure 3. Comparison of the travel times in the three scenarios

6. Conclusion

In this paper a new frontier of research in transport management system, which is the implementation of the concept of Autonomic Road Transport Support systems (ARTS), has been identified. A first attempt of setting, using an agent based model, a hypothetical multi-modal transport network, which implement and assess the agent's behaviours and transport impacts of the gradual implementation of an ARTS system by implementing a set of transitioning scenarios has been presented.

The simulations show that introducing a train and a bus line that compete with the road itinerary reduces the average travel time of the users that take the motorway. However, the decrease is non optimal since the motorway still faces congestion problems. We believe that introducing an autonomous line will help solve this problem. The simulations and results will be presented in the final paper.

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to present our acknowledgements to the COST Action project ARTS which helped fund this research.

References

- [1] Bell, M.C. 2008. Environmental factors in future transport. Proceedings of the 40th University Transport Studies Group Conference Southampton. Foresight Intelligent Infrastructure Systems Project.

- [2] Bell M.C., Hoogendoorn R., Galatioto F. 2014. "Autonomic Decision Support System for Traffic and Environment Management" IET Conference Publications, Volume 2014, Issue CP633.
- [3] OECD 2008. Towards zero – Ambitious road safety targets and the safe system approach Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development/International Transport Forum, Paris
- [4] M. Peden, R. Scurfield, D. Sleet, D. Mohan, A.A. Hyder, E. Jarawan, C. Mathers (Eds.), 2004. World report on road traffic injury prevention, World Health Organization, Geneva
- [5] IBM 2005. An architectural blueprint for autonomic computing: White Paper. IBM.
- [6] Mannava, V. & Ramesh, T. 2012. A novel adaptive re-configuration compliance design pattern for autonomic computing systems. *Procedia Engineering* 30 1129 - 1137.
- [7] Marsh, D., Tynan, R., O'kane, D. & O'hare, G. M. P. 2004. Autonomic wireless sensor networks. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 17, 741-748.
- [8] Tianfield, H. & Unland, R. 2004. Towards autonomic computing systems. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 17 689-699.
- [9] Nguyen, Q.T., Bouju, A. and Estrailier. P. (2012). Multi-agent architecture with space-time components for the simulation of urban transportation systems}. 15th meeting of the Euro Working Group on Transportation.
- [10] Kamoun. M. A. 2007. Conception d'un système d'information pour l'aide au déplacement multimodal : Une approche multi-agents pour la recherche et la composition des itinéraires en ligne. PHD thesis, Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille et l'Ecole Centrale de Lille.
- [11] Zidi. K. 2006. Système Interactif d'Aide au Déplacement Multimodal (SIADM). PHD thesis, Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille et l'Ecole Centrale de Lille.
- [12] Zgaya, H. 2007. Conception et optimisation distribuée d'un système d'information d'aide à la mobilité urbaine : Une approche multi-agent pour la recherche et la composition des services liés au transport. Ecole centrale de Lille.
- [13] Ma, T-Y. and Lebacque, J-P. 2012. Dynamic System optimal routing in multimodal transit network. TRB
- [14] Bradley, M., Bowman, J.L. and Griesenbeck, B. 2010. SACSIM: An applied activity-based model system with fine-level spatial and temporal resolution. *Journal of choice modelling*
- [15] Smith, L. , Beckman, R. , Anson, D. , Nagel, K. and William, K. 1995. TRANSIMS: Transportation analysis and simulation system. Transportation research board (TRB).
- [16] Raney, B., Çetin, N., Völlmy, A., Vrtic, M., Axhausen, K.W. and Nagel, K.. 2003. An agent-based microsimulation model of Swiss travel: First results. *Networks and Spatial Economics*, 3 (1) 23–42
- [17] Cormen, T.H., Leirson, C.E., Rivest, R.L and Stein, C. 2001. "Section 24.3: Dijkstra's algorithm". *Introduction to Algorithms (Second ed.)*. MIT Press and McGraw–Hill. pp. 595–601. ISBN 0-262-03293-7.
- [18] Nagel, K. M. Schreckenberg. 1992. A cellular automaton model for freeway traffic. *Journal de Physique I*, 2 , pp. 2221–2229
- [19] Bazzan. A. L. and Klügl F. 2013. A review on agent-based technology for traffic and transportation. *The Knowledge Engineering Review*, page 1 of 29. & Cambridge University Press, 2013 doi:10.1017/S0269888913000118
- [20] Chen B., Cheng H. H. (2010). A review of the applications of agent technology in traffic and transportation systems. *Intelligent Transportation Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 11(2), 485-497.

- [21] Bhourri, N., Balbo, F., Pinson, S. (2012). An agent-based computational approach for urban traffic regulation. *Progress in Artificial Intelligence*, 1(2), 139-147.
- [22] S. Maerivoet and B. De Moor. Cellular automata models of road traffic. *Physics Reports*, 419, 2005